

# IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Cases No: 1147/5/7/09

1153/5/7/10

BETWEEN:

(1) MOY PARK LIMITED
(2) FACCENDA GROUP LIMITED
(3) GW PADLEY POULTRY LIMITED
(4) O'KANE POULTRY LIMITED

Claimants

-V-

# (1) EVONIK DEGUSSA GMBH (2) DEGUSSA LIMITED

**Defendants** 

- and -

## (1) SANOFI-AVENTIS SA (2) AVENTIS ANIMAL NUTRITION SA

**Further Defendants** 

- (1) VION HOLDING N.V.
  - (2) **VION N.V.**
- (3) VION FOOD GROUP LIMITED
- (4) MARSHALL FOOD GROUP LIMITED
  - (5) VION AGRICULTURE LIMITED
  - (6) VION FOOD SCOTLAND LIMITED
- (7) VION FOOD WALES & WEST ENGLAND LIMITED
  - (8) CYMRU COUNTRY CHICKENS LIMITED
    - (9) CYMRU COUNTRY FEEDS LIMITED
  - (10) GRAMPIAN COUNTRY FEEDS LIMITED
  - (11) GRAMPIAN COUNTRY CHICKENS LIMITED
- (12) GRAMPIAN COUNTRY CHICKENS (BUCKSBURN) LIMITED
  - (13) FAVOR PARKER LIMITED
  - (14) SOVEREIGN FOOD GROUP LIMITED
    - (15) ROWYELL ROASTERS LIMITED
  - (16) MAYHEW COUNTRY CHICKENS LIMITED
    - (17) MAYHEW COUNTRY FOODS LIMITED
      - (18) VION FOOD UK LIMITED

Claimants

-v-

## (1) EVONIK DEGUSSA GMBH (2) DEGUSSA LIMITED

Defendants

- and -

## (1) SANOFI-AVENTIS SA (2) AVENTIS ANIMAL NUTRITION SA

Further Defendants

#### ORDER OF THE CHAIRMAN

**UPON** reading the Tribunal's Order of 13 January 2011 in relation to the future conduct of these claims ("the January Order")

**AND UPON** reading the Tribunal's Order of 4 April 2011 varying the January Order

**AND UPON** the parties indicating in correspondence that they agree to a further variation of the January Order following the Court of Appeal granting the Emerson Claimants permission to appeal the Emerson Strike-Out Judgment on 11 October 2011

#### IT IS ORDERED IN RESPECT OF EACH CLAIM THAT:

- 1. The January Order be varied by the deletion of paragraph 2 and the substitution of the following text:
  - "2.1 The proceedings are stayed until the determination of the appeal by the Emerson Claimants of the Emerson Strike-Out Judgment by the Court of Appeal;
  - 2.2 Within 7 days of the lifting of the stay, the Claimants shall notify the Defendants of any proposal to withdraw the proceedings against the Second Defendant;
  - 2.3 The Second Defendant shall proceed with its strike-out application within 7 days thereafter, if so advised;
  - 2.4 If the Second Defendant files such an application, the orders in paragraphs 5 to 10 below shall be stayed until the earliest of (i) the Claimants' withdrawal of the claim against the Second Defendant, or (ii) 7 days from the judgment of the Tribunal on any such application;
  - 2.5 All parties in any event have liberty to apply for such further or other directions as to the lifting of the stay."

Made: 25 October 2011

Drawn: 28 October 2011

2. There be liberty to apply

Marcus Smith QC
Chairman of the Competition Appeal Tribunal