

# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL, CIVIL DIVISION

REF: C3/2013/0443(A)



**BRITISH SKY BROADCASTING LTD & ORS** 

TOP UP TV EUROPE LTD & ORS

#### ORDER made by the Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Patten

On consideration of the appellant's notice and accompanying documents, but without an oral hearing, in respect of an application for permission to appeal, expedited hearing and a stay

<u>Decision</u> : granted, refused, adjourned. An order granting made subject to conditions.	permission may limit the issues to be heard or be
Permission to appeal refused. Stay of CAT's order until after	any renewed hearing of this application.
as regulator to impose an ex ante remedy to secure (and only real issue) is whether Ofcom's Statement or could be justified whether or not Sky had intention anti-competitive. The CAT in my view correctly anal	s a forward-looking instrument which enables Ofcome effective competition in the future. But the dispute was based on its assessment of Sky's past conduct nally indulged in practices and strategies which were lysed Ofcom's reasons and the factual findings which those critical findings was therefore sufficient to
The CAT explained in its decision how it approac appeal as formulated seems to be a direct challenge.	thed the rate card issue and the second ground of e to its findings of fact.
	tise any real issues of law. I will, however, continue the effective disposal of any renewed application for the heard as soon as possible in the new term.
Information for or directions to the parties  This case falls within the Court of Appeal Mediation Scheme at Recommended for mediation Yes No If not, please give reason:	automatic pilot categories *. Yes \( \text{No } \text{No } \( \text{S} \)
Where permission has been granted, or the application ac	ijourned
a) time estimate (excluding judgment) b) any expedition  E & W	Signed: March 2013

- (1) Rule 52.3(6) provides that permission to appeal may be given only where
  - a) the Court considers that the appeal would have a real prospect of success; or
  - there is some other compelling reason why the appeal should be heard.
- (2) Rule 52.3(4) and (5) provide that where the appeal court, without a hearing, refuses permission to appeal that decision may be reconsidered at a hearing, provided that the request for such a hearing is filed in writing within <u>7 days</u> after service of the notice that permission has been refused. Note the requirement imposed on advocates by paragraph 16(1) of CPR PD 52C.

## DATED 26TH MARCH 2013 IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

BRITISH SKY BROADCASTING LIMITED & ORS

- and -

TOP UP TV EUROPE LIMITED & ORS

### ORDER

#### Copies to:

Ashurst Llp Broadwalk House 5 Appold Street London EC2A 2HA

Bird & Bird Llp 15 Fetter Lane London EC4A 1JP

Bt Legal
Bt Centre
81 Newgate Street
London
EC1A 7AJ

Ref: BEATRICE ROXBURGH/JOE WARD

Dla Piper Uk Llp 3 Noble Street London EC2V 7EE

Herbert Smith Freehills Llp Exchange House Primrose Street London EC2A 2EG

Ref: ANDREW NORTH/STEPHEN WISKING

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & Mccloy Llp 10 Gresham Street

London EC2V 7JD

Office Of Communications Polly Weitzman/Simon Leathley Riverside House 2a Southwark Bridge Road London SE1 9HA

Onside Law 28 Elysium Gate 126-128 New Kings Road London EC2V 7EE

Rfl Red Hall Red Hall Lane Leeds LS17 8NB

Rfu Rugby House Twickenham Station 200 Whitton Road Twickenham, Middlesex TW2 7BA

Sj Berwin Llp 10 Queen Street Place London EC4R 1BE

Snr Denton One Fleet Place London EC4M 7WS

Lower Court Ref: 2012CAT20