Case No: 1262/5/7/16 (T) five minutes but I haven't been able to digest it. IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL 2 THE CHAIRMAN: That is entirely fair enough. It is a fast 3 moving thing. We will rise for five minutes. Before we Competition Appeal Tribunal Victoria House 4 do, I was going to start by just putting on the record, Bloomsbury Place 5 I am sure for all of us, our appreciation for the London WC1A 2EB 6 transcribers and the court staff -- it's very easy for 7 the chairman to say in a blasé sort of way "Let's start 8 at 9 o'clock tomorrow." Considerable effort has gone Before: 9 into making this possible and I am sure I speak for MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH 10 everyone when I say thank you. We'll rise for five MR PETER FREEMAN CBE,QC (Hon)and MR BRIAN LANDERS minutes. 11 Between: 12 MR MACLEAN: Just one other point. We were obviously hoping AGENTS' MUTUAL LIMITED 13 and expecting to receive the extracts earlier than we Claimant 14 did. And had we done so, I apprehend that Mr Springett GASCOIGNE HALMAN LIMITED (T/A GASCOIGNE HALMAN) 15 would have familiarised himself with the extracts but he Defendant hasn't had an opportunity to do that. When this phase 16 17 you are about to embark on, finishes, obviously 18 Mr Springett will be back in the witness box but he MR ALAN MACLEAN QC and MR JOSH HOLMES appeared on behalf of the Claimant 19 will, I suppose we could do it now, but he will need an 20 opportunity, depending on what happens, to familiarise MR PAUL HARRIS QC and MR PHILIP WOOLFE appeared on behalf of 21 himself with such extracts as might be in play. the Defendant 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are a number of practical issues 23 if this material is to be put to him, including, for 24 example, whether he sees the confidential parts of 25 Mr Bronfentrinker's sixth statement which I understand Page 1 Page 3 1 Thursday, 9 February 2017 1 he's not seen as yet. 2 (9.00 am) 2 MR MACLEAN: I don't believe he has, no. 3 Application re Recorded Evidence 3 THE CHAIRMAN: But these are matters which we will obviously 4 MR MACLEAN: Sir, before Mr Harris says whatever he is about 4 have to discuss. 5 5 MR MACLEAN: These are all down the line and in my to say, can I just say that after I left my chambers 6 this morning, between leaving chambers and arriving 6 submission, as I will develop at the appropriate moment, 7 7 here, we received a letter from Quinn Emanuel. I was we won't get to any of this. 8 8 forwarded an email from Miss Farrell, who instructs me, THE CHAIRMAN: I think I know where you are coming from, 9 9 at 8.51 this morning. At page 405, including that Mr Maclean 10 10 letter on my phone, it seems to us absolutely MR HARRIS: Sir, of course, on that last point, the obvious 11 extraordinary that the extracts from these transcripts 11 course is for Mr Springett to have regard to the 12 were sent at 1.51 this morning and this explanation from 12 excerpts now. He is not giving evidence for nearly an 13 Mr Bronfentrinker arrived at 8.51. My point is I 13 hour and a half. I think the point Mr Maclean is making 14 haven't read that letter. Has the Tribunal received that 14 is that Mr Springett hasn't read them but he can read 15 letter? 15 them now. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we've received and read it. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Does that pre-judge our decision? 17 MR MACLEAN: I haven't read all of it yet and I would like 17 MR HARRIS: Not for him to read the extracts. If they are 18 the opportunity to finish the five page letter. I have 18 then excluded, there is no problem. 19 19 digested, obviously, the one paragraph letter from 1.51 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't particularly have a problem with 20 this morning but I haven't yet, and Mr Holmes hasn't 20 that, Mr Maclean. 21 read at all, the five page letter that arrived within 21 MR MACLEAN: Not specially. Obviously, it is conceivable we 22 22 the last two minutes. might need to take instructions from him in the course 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want us to rise now for ten minutes 23 of this part of the hearing but, in principle, that is 24 for you to read it? 24 25 MR MACLEAN: It won't take ten minutes, it will just take 25 THE CHAIRMAN: If he wants to avail himself of the Page 2 Page 4

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

perhaps at this stage to see the full Broofentrisher perhaps at this stage to see the full Broofentrisher statement. MR HARRIS: No there are confidential items in the witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN I know. Well, we will stick with the transment. Well rise for five minutes and then see how we go. (9.07 am) (A short break) MR WOOLFF: Strift danks you. This is cour application to be made by Gisecoigne. Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application. Yes, Mr Woolfe. MR WOOLFF: Strift danks you. This is cour application to administer terreally only one issue which is the whether or not here can farrly be accommondated within the trial rised and with the severything which I think you should address you much if they can be a have everything which I think you should have. Then I am of only, I'll cover off the first two points that you can see the full pricture. Page 5 I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 I will deal with the trial, so it is relevant to the issue wither we say is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one, whether it can be the issue wither the says is the core one whether the confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the increasing to refer you are looking at anything, the increasing to refer you in the order of the feter with by you received fits morning and then, finally, in the light o			Г	
2 perhaps ar this stage to see the full Biometerinker 3 statement. 4 MR HARRIS. No, there are confidential items in the witness 5 statement. 5 mile CHARRMAN: I know. Well, we will stick with the 6 transcript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes 8 and time see how we go. 9 (907 am) 10	1	opportunity, then it might be worth him doing that but	1	Now, in the exhibits to the witness statement which
4 MR HARRIS: No, there are confidential items in the witness statement of THE CHAIRMAN: I know. Well, we will stick with the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for five moments. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for five moments. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for five moments. Well feel with the transcript and the ranscript for five moments with the well and the ranscript for five moments. Well rise for five minutes and the ranscript for five moments. Well rise for five minutes for five first five policies. The first five policies of the minutes of the collection of the minute first five policies of the minutes of the first five policies of the first five policies of the first five policies of the first five	2		2	is where I am going to be working from predominantly,
5 statement 6 THE CHAIRMAN; I know. Well, we will stick with the 7 transcript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes 8 and then see how we go. 9 (90 7 am) 10 (A short break) 11 (91 5 am) 12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Giascoigne 13 Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application 15 MR WOOLFE. Sir, thank you. This is our application to 16 admit the transcripts, as you are saver. In our 17 submission there is really only one issue which is 18 whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within 19 the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue 20 to which you should address your mind. If they can be 21 they should be allowed. 22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the 23 documents you have befrey out, just to check that you 24 have everything which I think you should have. Then 25 I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles 26 that you raised yesterfore, you, just to check that you 27 and in so doing. I'll cover off the first two points 28 that you raised yesterfore, you, is about the relevant of the lessues, its credibility and its probative force. 3 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this 4 witness statement, the confidential version. I would say you have view EAV, for example, 3 and then, finally, in the timing of its late provision 4 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 5 and then, finally, in the timing of its late provision 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 7 Then I will deal with the value of this 8 witness statement, the confidential version, so that you 2 and then, finally, in the scaparate merities. I want to 3 mark woold. Final string the state provision 4 may to look at all you are looking at anything, the 4 witness statement, the confidential version, so that you 5 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 6 there are only three meetings in issue. 7 The I will Admit have the many of the sixth 8 may to be the constanting in issue. 8 may to be the section of the attributi	3	statement.	3	the February transcript, that runs from pages 33 to 46.
6 THE CHAIRMAN. I lanow. Well, we will stick with the transcript for the moment. Well rise for five minutes and then see how we go. 9 (907 am) 10 (A short break) 11 (9.15 am) 12 MR HARRIS. There's an application to be made by Gascoigne Hallman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application. Yes, Mr Woolfe. 13 Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application to admit the transcripts. So you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts and the transcript and the transcript and the transcript and the state on puge 84. 11 ECHAIRMAN: When you say it has been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript and that state to puge 84. 11 ECHAIRMAN: When you say it has been professionally prepared, it work have been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript and the state to puge 84. 11 ECHAIRMAN: When you say it has been professionally prepared, it work have been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript and	4	MR HARRIS: No, there are confidential items in the witness	4	The April transcript runs from pages that is the one
transcript for the moment. We'll rise for five minutes and then see how we go. 9 (907 am) (A short break) 10 (A short break) 11 (9.15 am) 12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application to be made by Gascoigne Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application to admit the transcripts, so you are sowner. In our submission there is really only one issue which is whether or not flesse can fluirly be accommodated within the they should address you make the fore you, just to check that you have been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript, as a whether or not flesse can fluirly be accommodated within the they should be allowed. 18 We'll all as it currently shanks and that is fis in its same to which you should address your mind. If they can be they should be allowed. 20 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript, as the convenience transcript, and the probability of the convenience transcript and the probability of the probability	5	statement.	5	there are two versions of runs from pages 1 to 32 and
and then see how we go. 9 (9.07 am) (A short break) 10 (A short break) 11 (9.15 am) 12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application. 13 MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our submission there is ready only one sissue which is whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue they should be allowed. 21 Is agoing to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have everything which I hink, you should have. Then and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 4 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial intrable. 23 Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you can see the full picture. 3 The I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and them, finally, in the light of that, address the witness statement, the confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. 3 The CHAIRMAN's Exp. When you say is has been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcripts of the convenience transcript in independence of the convenience transcript in indepen	6	THE CHAIRMAN: I know. Well, we will stick with the	6	pages 47 to 83 and I am going to refer you to the one
9 more likely to be more a reliable transcription of what is on the recording of that meeting. 10 (A short break) 11 (9.15 am) 12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne 13 Halman. Mr Woolfe: will address you on that application. 14 Yes, Mr Woolfe. 15 MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to address the transcripts, as you are aware. In our submission there is really only one issue which is which you should address your mind. If they can be to which you should address your mind. If they can be to which you should be allowed. 22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you a policy of the property one of the relevant legal principles Page 5 1 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points 2 that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 1 Then I will furn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 1 Then I will furn on to deal with the trial as of its relevant to 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 2 Then I will furn on to deal with the trial as of its relevant to 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 2 Then I will form of the corre one, whether it can be 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 3 Then I will for the first two points and then, finally, in the light of that, address the 6 witness statement, the correlation of the assets them of the correlation of the size of the April meeting. 3 That attaches four transcripts which are made of 6 three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. 4 The correlation of the same of the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is spacking. Whereas the other M voices may be that clear and only the coordination and the same of the person	7	transcript for the moment. We'll rise for five minutes	7	that starts on page 47 because that is the one which has
10 (A short break) 11 (9.15 am) 12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne 13 Halman. Mr Wooffe will address you on that application. 14 Yes, Mr Wooffe. 15 MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to 16 admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our 17 submission there is really only one issue which is 18 whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within 19 the trail as in currently stands and that is the issue 20 to which you should address your mund. If they can be 21 they should be allowed. 22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the 23 documents you have before you, just to check that you 24 have everything which I think you should abrow. Then 25 I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles 26 the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevant legal principles 27 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points 28 that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the hard you raised yesterday, sir, abo	8	and then see how we go.	8	been professionally prepared and therefore we think is
11 (9.15 am) 12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne 13 Halman. Mr Woolfe. 14 Halman. Mr Woolfe. 15 MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to 16 admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our 17 submission there is really only one issue which is 18 whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within 19 the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue 20 to which you should address your mind. If they can be 21 they should be allowed. 22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the 23 documents you have before you, just to check that you 24 have everything which I think you should have. Then 25 I will deal with some of the relevante legal principles 26 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this 27 evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to 28 the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 39 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 39 and then, finally, in the light of that, address the 30 issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be 31 fairly accommodated within the trial is entered to be return which you received this morning 30 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 31 male fairly accommodated within the trial is of its relevant to 32 make statement, the confidential versions, I would ask 33 you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the 34 writess statement of Mr Bronfentrinker refers to it, because presumably the 35 man refer to the letter man and the size of the same which we say is the core one, whether it can be 36 the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 37 man fail the trial so it is relevant to 38 marker feet to the letter which you received this morning 39 and then, finally, in the light of that, address the 30 same refer to the letter which you received this morning 30 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 31 man fail the same which we say is the core one, whether it can be 32 fished and non-confidential versions, so that you 33 can rest the fill picture. 34	9	(9.07 am)	9	more likely to be more a reliable transcription of what
12 MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne 13 Ilalman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application. 14 Yes, Mr Woolfe. 15 MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to 16 admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our 17 submission there is really only one issue which is 18 whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within 19 the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue 20 to which you should address your mind. If they can be 21 they should be allowed. 22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the 23 documents you have before you, just to check that you 24 have everything which I think you should have you should self with you should and with you should and with you should have been deviced in the transcript and the you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of 3 the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 4 Then I will them to deal with the value of this 5 evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 7 Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision 8 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 9 and then, finally, in the light of that, address the 16 fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. 17 sources and the provision of the reversal to the receordings of three separate meetings. I want to 18 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 20 there executings of three separate meetings. I want to 21 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 22 there are only three meetings in issue. 23 the February, April and June transcripts one 24 conversation. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: So well call him or her X.	10	(A short break)	10	is on the recording of that meeting.
Halmam Mr Woolfe will address you on that application. Yes, Mr Woolfe. MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our submission there is really only one issue which is whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue to which you should address your mind. If they can be to which you should address your mind. If they can be to which you should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the 23 documents you have before you, just to check that you a darent your arised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Page 5 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that your raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the confidential and non-confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, and the page 32. MR WOOLFE: As you said, there is some — THE CHAIRMAN: Cross-Ferditistion. MR WOOLFE: As pouls aid, two contents. There are some occasions on which some of the transcript and the transcript and the convenience transcript. 12 you can see their name. But that is a minority of casse. By and large, the attribution of voices to names. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it has been professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript. 14 The THAIRMAN: Some of the convenience transcript. 15 MR WOOLFE: As you said, there is some — 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Some of the adventure of the two.	11	(9.15 am)	11	And then finally, the June transcription is the one
Yes, Mr WoolFe: MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our submission there is really only one issue which is whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue to which you should address your mind. If they can be they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have verything which think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 I and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the later which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable, you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are ronly three meetings in issue. High Professionally prepared in independence of the convenience transcript. MR WOOLFE: Steath at what I was going to come to. HIB CHAIRMAN: So there's a pollution between the two. MR WOOLFE: I there so pollution between the two. MR WOOLFE: I there is that you so so met the transcript in responsibly the names of people will have been derived from the convenience transcript. You con see their name. But that is a minority of casses. By and large, the attribution of voices to names has been done by using the names from that carlier transcript in respect of the April meeting. I have deverything which same of the transcript and the transcript and the transcript of the casses. By and large, the attributions of voices to names has been done by using the names of the transcript in subject of the primate prevention of the	12	MR HARRIS: There's an application to be made by Gascoigne	12	that starts on page 84.
independence of the convenience transcript, as admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our submission there is really only one issue which is whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue to this you should address your mind. If they can be they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the darmed so people will have been derived from the convenience transcript. MR WOOLFE: Yes, that is what I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's a pollution between the two. MR WOOLFE: As you said, there is some — THE CHAIRMAN: So there's a pollution between the two. MR WOOLFE: As you said, there is some — THE CHAIRMAN: So there's a some body's name, or is introduced in the transcript and Page 5 Page 5 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the the within some of the relevant legal principles or that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the the within the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the sissue, is treedibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: hat's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.	13	Halman. Mr Woolfe will address you on that application.	13	THE CHAIRMAN: When you say it has been professionally
admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our submission their is really only one issue which is whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue to which you should address your mind. If they can be the should be allowed. 21 they should be allowed. 22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have verything which I think you should have. Then 23 have everything which I think you should have. Then 24 have everything which I think you should have. Then 25 I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 Page 7 1 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 4 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 7 The I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be 1 fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. 12 Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. 18 That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. 24 MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: So will call him or her X.	14	Yes, Mr Woolfe.	14	prepared, it won't have been professionally prepared in
submission there is really only one issue which is whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue to which you should address your mind. If they can be they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have everything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 I and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the lissue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial so it sumbses statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were convenience transcript. The CHAIRMAN: Cons-fertilisation. MR WOOLFE: In terms of the attribution of voices to names. There are some occasions on which somebody uses somebody's name, or is introduced in the transcript and Page 7 you can see their name. But that is a minority of cases. By and large, the attributions of voices to names has been done by using the names from that earlier transcript in respect of the April transcript, in landicion, the person wholl, the person wholl, the person wholl the parily meeting. I haddion, the person wholf the parily terminated to the transcript has identified where the same voice repeats, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is speaking. Whereas the other M voices may o	15	MR WOOLFE: Sir, thank you. This is our application to	15	independence of the convenience transcript, as
whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the day documents you have before you, just to check that you have everything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 I and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn no to deal with the trialing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial imetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions, I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Then I will turn and the surface of the sixth witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. The I will deal with the triming of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the sisue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. The CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: Yas I understand, the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript, where does the	16	admit the transcripts, as you are aware. In our	16	Mr Bronfentrinker refers to it, because presumably the
the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue to which you should address your mind. If they can be they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have everything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 I and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the tissues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the core one, whether it can be issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be wincess statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the wincess statement, the confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the wincess statement, the confidential versions of three experate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. In the CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So there's a pollution between the two. MR WOOLFE: As us add, there is some — THE CHAIRMAN: Cross-fertilisation. 24 MR WOOLFE: he terms of the attribution of voices to names. The CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN: So that I was going to come to. THE CHAIRMAN	17	submission there is really only one issue which is	17	names of people will have been derived from the
to which you should address your mind. If they can be they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have everything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 I and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the winess statement of Mr Bornfeirninker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the winess statement, the confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the winess statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Then I will deal with the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the winess statement the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. The I will deal with the trial timetable. The I will deal with the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the winess statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. The I will deal with the time and the full will be allowed. There are only three meetings in issue. There are some occasions on which somebody uses somebody an ame, or is introduced in the transcript and the same bate thrinbutions of voices to names has been d	18	whether or not these can fairly be accommodated within	18	convenience transcript.
they should be allowed. I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the documents you have before you, just to check that you have everything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 The and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of the recreordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. MR WOOLFE: As you said, there is some— THE CHAIRMAN: Cross-fertilisation. MR WOOLFE: ha terms of the attribution of voices to names. There are some occasions on which somebody uses somebody's name, or is introduced in the transcript and transcript of cases. By and large, the attributions of voices to names has been done by using the names from that earlier transcript in respect of the April meeting. I you can see their name. But that is a minority of cases. By and large, the attributions of voices to names has been done by using the names from that earlier transcript in respect of the April meeting. I transcript i	19	the trial as it currently stands and that is the issue	19	MR WOOLFE: Yes, that is what I was going to come to.
22 I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the 23 documents you have before you, just to check that you 24 have everything which I think you should have. Then 25 I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 Page 7 1 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points 2 that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of 3 the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 4 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this 5 evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 7 Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision 8 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 9 and then, finally, in the light of that, address the 10 issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be 11 fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. 12 Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth 13 witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were 14 confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask 15 you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the 16 witness statement, the confidential version, so that you 17 can see the finally, in the light of that, address the 18 That attaches four transcripts which are made of 19 three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to 19 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 20 three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to 21 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Cross-fertilisation. 23 MR WOOLFE: He is named individual. Who 24 that you raised yesterday, sir, about the refevance of 25 somebody's name, or is introduced in the transcript and 26 There are some occasions on which somebody uses 27 Somebody's name, or is introduced in the transcript of asses. By and large, the attributes of voices to 28 asses. By and large, the attributed of the same, 29 In addition, the person who listened to the 29 transcript almeeting. 29 In addition, the person who listened to the 29 transcript almeeting. 29 In addition, the person w	20	to which you should address your mind. If they can be	20	THE CHAIRMAN: So there's a pollution between the two.
documents you have before you, just to check that you have verything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 1 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 4 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 7 Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be life fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Sir, the transcripts where exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Sir, the transcripts where exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. Sir, the transcripts where exhibited to the sixth you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions of the cannel of the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual	21	they should be allowed.	21	MR WOOLFE: As you said, there is some
have everything which I think you should have. Then I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles Page 5 Page 7 1 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points 2 that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of 3 the lawfulness of the collection of the material. 4 Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this 5 evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to 6 the issues, its credibility and its probative force. 7 Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision 8 and refer to the letter which you received this morning 9 and then, finally, in the light of that, address the 10 issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be 11 fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. 12 Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth 13 witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were 14 confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask 15 you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the 16 witness statement, the confidential version, so that you 17 can see the full picture. 18 That attackes four transcripts which are made of 19 three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to 19 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 20 there are only three meetings in issue. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one 23 conversation. 24 MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as 25 the February, April and June transcripts.	22	I am going to, first of all, briefly explain the	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Cross-fertilisation.
Page 5 Page 5 Page 7 I and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you rean see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual who make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual, MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.	23	documents you have before you, just to check that you	23	MR WOOLFE: In terms of the attribution of voices to names.
Page 5 and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of a the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this the isams it stands and large, the attribution of voices to names has been done by using the names from that earlier transcript in respect of the April meanes from the tearlier transcript has identified where the same voice repeats, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April meanes on, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is peaking. Whereas the other M voices may or may not be the same. The CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual, best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: S	24	have everything which I think you should have. Then	24	There are some occasions on which somebody uses
and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the reference of the April mame. But that is a minority of cases. By and large, the attributions of voices to names has been done by using the names from that earlier transcript in respect of the April meanse from that earlier transcript in respect of the April meanse from that earlier transcript in respect of the April meanse from that earlier transcript and better which where the same voice repeats, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is speaking. Whereas the other M voices may or may not be the same. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from	25	I will deal with some of the relevant legal principles	25	somebody's name, or is introduced in the transcript and
and in so doing, I'll cover off the first two points that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.		D 5		D 7
that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.		Page 5		Page /
that you raised yesterday, sir, about the relevance of the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.	1	and in so doing. I'll cover off the first two points	1	you can see their name. But that is a minority of
the lawfulness of the collection of the material. Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth switness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you the recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.				
Then I will turn on to deal with the value of this evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. Then I will deal with the tirial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. In addition, the person who listened to the transcript as identified where the same voice repeats, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript. TH			3	
sevidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential versions, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of there recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. In addition, the person who listened to the transcript has identified where the same voice repeats, so even though a name can't be attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it eat the attributed. So, for instance, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the 11 person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is speaking. Whereas the other M voices may or may not be the same. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'				
the issues, its credibility and its probative force. Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is you are looking at the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is yeaking. Whereas the other M voices may or may not be the same. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.	5	evidence as it stands in the trial, so it is relevant to	5	
Then I will deal with the timing of its late provision and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you ran see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So try, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.	6		6	
and refer to the letter which you received this morning and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual, set and the April transcript, for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example, it refers to throughout and that is M2 because the person listening to it believes on education, it is the same voice that is speaking. Whereas the other M voices may or may not be the same. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.	7		7	
and then, finally, in the light of that, address the issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth switness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorty, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.	8		8	
issue which we say is the core one, whether it can be fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.	9		9	for example, on page 33, you have voice M2, for example,
fairly accommodated within the trial timetable. Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual, make that clear. Although there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts.	10		10	
Sir, the transcripts were exhibited to the sixth witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual. MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.			11	
witness statement of Mr Bronfentrinker. They were confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individual. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. Mattribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.	12	-	12	
confidential and non-confidential versions. I would ask you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Woolfe, can I ask, in the convenience transcript, where does the attribution of names come from? MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				
you to look at, if you are looking at anything, the witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.		-	1	
witness statement, the confidential version, so that you can see the full picture. That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				F1
17 can see the full picture. 18 That attaches four transcripts which are made of 19 three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to 20 make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, 21 there are only three meetings in issue. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one 23 conversation. 24 MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as 25 the February, April and June transcripts. 26 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X. 27 MR WOOLFE: As I understand, the attribution of the names on 18 the convenience transcript comes from the individual who 19 is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness 20 statement. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? 22 MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. 23 MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best 24 guess. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				•
That attaches four transcripts which are made of three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. I the convenience transcript comes from the individual who is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.		•		
three recordings of three separate meetings. I want to make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. Is named at paragraph 6 of Mr Bronfentrinker's witness MR Bronfentrinker's witness MR WOOLFE: He is a named individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				
make that clear. Although there are four transcripts, there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. 20 statement. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				•
there are only three meetings in issue. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess? THE CHAIRMAN: So this is unnamed individuals, best guess?				
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are two transcripts of one conversation. MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. MR WOOLFE: He is a named individual. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best guess. THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				
23 conversation. 24 MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as 25 the February, April and June transcripts. 28 MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best 29 guess. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.			22	
MR WOOLFE: That's right. I am going to refer to them as the February, April and June transcripts. 24 guess. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.			23	MR FREEMAN: Sorry, named confidential individual's best
the February, April and June transcripts. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll call him or her X.				
Page 6 Page 8	25		25	
Page 6 Page 8				
		Page 6		Page 8

1	MR WOOLFE: In terms of	1	MR LANDERS: But you are not suggesting that Mr Notley has
2	THE CHAIRMAN: But X did not do the recording, that will be	2	actually told you that Zoopla have not seen these
3	Y.	3	documents?
4	MR WOOLFE: Yes, X is paragraph 6.	4	MR WOOLFE: Our understanding is that he hasn't got them. Hasn't seen them before.
5	MR MACLEAN: And X didn't attend any of the meetings,	5	
6	paragraph 9.	6	MR LANDERS: He hasn't told you he has seen them but have
7	MR WOOLFE: And Y made the recordings. The attribution of	7	you asked him?
8	the names has been done by X.	8	MR WOOLFE: When we set out in the letter, when Quinn
9	There is one other point to note on the attribution	9	Emanuel went to Mr Notley on 23 January to ask him, now we have heard these transcripts do exist, he didn't have
10	of names, which is insofar as the name Lorna Kerr is	10	•
11	attributed to who is speaking, we will say there are two	11 12	a copy, he didn't know any more than he said about the
12	points. First of all, there is a female Scottish voice.	13	rumour previous.
13	All the other voices are Northern Irish. And secondly,	13	THE CHAIRMAN: One question that arises is whether X has
14	you can see from the content of what is said that there	15	shown this material to anybody else. I am not sure you have told us about that.
15	is reference to Lorna, she is introduced. She is speaking in the first person plural in respect of	16	MR WOOLFE: The full state of our knowledge about that is
16	Agents' Mutual, so we say it is quite clear that it does	17	
17 18	appear to be an agent representative. Therefore, that	18	set out in the letter. THE CHAIRMAN: Your knowledge may be incomplete.
19	attribution, we say, is one which is supported by the	19	MR WOOLFE: Indeed it may. I wouldn't pretend otherwise.
20	intrinsic evidence of the transcript. There are also	20	We don't have full knowledge but we have given a full
21	occasions on which I think Philip Tweedie, his name is	21	and open account of what knowledge we do have in respect
22	used at various points. There are things that support	22	of
23	the attribution, albeit that actually, the names aren't	23	THE CHAIRMAN: We would expect nothing else, Mr Woolfe.
24	taken from X.	24	MR WOOLFE: Now if I can turn to deal with the relevant law.
25	We don't propose to rely on the specific names per	25	The starting point should be rule 55 of the tribunal
23	we don't propose to fery on the specific names per	23	The starting point should be full 33 of the tribunal
	Page 9		Page 11
1	se. It is not a part of the reliance we place upon it	1	rules which says that the Tribunal may give
2	that, therefore, Mr Tweedie said X or that Mr Dan Henry	2	directions do you have a copy of that available?
3	said X. That's not important for the purposes for which	3	THE CHAIRMAN: I think we have enough copies to be getting
4	we would seek to rely upon the transcripts.	4	on, with Mr Woolfe.
5	So Mr Bronfentrinker, in his sixth witness	5	MR WOOLFE: I would say the relevant parts of it, I think,
6	statement, gave ancillary evidence as to the provenance	6	are rules 55.1 (b), (c) and (f):
7	of these transcripts and then you should also have	7	"The Tribunal may give directions on issues on which
8	a copy of the letter which I understand was read this	8	it provides evidence and(Reading to the words)
9	morning, from Quinn Emanuel. That has been provided	9	and the admission and exclusion of the proceedings of
10	partly to assist on the issues which the Tribunal raised	10	evidence."
11	yesterday and some issues which Mr Maclean raised and in	11	"All submissions [at (c)] the nature of the evidence
12	particular with the suggestion form Mr Maclean that GHL	12	required to decide issues."
13	or Connells or Zoopla may have had access to these at	13	And:
14	some earlier date and as we see from the letter, that is	14	"(f) the way in which evidence is to be placed
15	simply not the case. They came into the possession of	15	before the Tribunal."
16	GHL late last week and so far as anybody knows, neither	16	All those may be an issue (inaudible) may need to
17	GHL nor Connells ever had access to any	17	make. So there is an obvious analogy to CPR32.1 and
18	MR FREEMAN: Zoopla played a little role in it, didn't they?	18	I also submit that this power should be exercised in
19	MR WOOLFE: Yes.	19	accordance with rule 4 which is the requirement to
20	MR FREEMAN: There is a reference to Mr Notley. A rumour	20	ensure that each case is dealt with justly and at
21	that a recording was circulating.	21	proportionate cost. We further submit that cost issues
22	MR WOOLFE: Yes. As set out in the letter, sir, but that	22	are not really what is centrally in issue here. What
23	doesn't suggest that Mr Notley had a copy of the	23	matters under rule 4 is 4.2(a), ensuring parties are on
24	transcripts	24	an equal footing and (d) ensuring the case is dealt with
25	MR FREEMAN: No, I didn't say it didn't.	25	expeditiously and fairly and, of course, the overriding
	Page 10		Page 12
	1 age 10		Page 12

1	agneem is justice	1	the conversation is being least hidden every from the
1 2	concern is justice. We submit that the relevant issues are justice,	1 2	the conversation is being kept hidden away from the other protagonists. We don't know quite what's going on
3	achieving the right result in the case and fairness to	3	
4	both parties.	4	on page 34. MR WOOLFE: No, we don't. It may have been a button that
5	Sir, I was going to refer to a case which	5	beeps on the line or there may be a number of things.
6	I understand my learned friend is also going to refer	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
7	you to, Jones v University of Warwick. If I could hand	7	MR WOOLFE: There are certainly a lot of points there are
8	up some copies of that. (Handed) The relevant facts of	8	personal conversations at the fringe of these meetings
9	the case appear from the headnote. It was a personal	9	which are included. There is that too. I wouldn't say
10	injury case involving a hand injury to an employee which	10	otherwise.
11	was said to give rise to continuing disability and a	11	Just turning back to Jones v Warwick for a moment.
12	substantial claim for damages. Liability wasn't an	12	Paragraph 15 at the bottom of page 958 just sets out the
13	issue but it was an issue of quantum.	13	reasoning of the court below. It is said that:
14	And you see between (c) and (d):	14	"The primary question for the court is not whether
15	"On two occasions an enquiry agent acting for the	15	or not to give a(Reading to the words) evidence
16	defence insurers obtained access to the claimant's home	16	was obtained, it is whether justice and fairness
17	by posing as a market researcher and using a hidden	17	required that this highly(Reading to the words)
18	camera to film the claimant without her knowledge."	18	to others should be put to her before the trial judge
19	So essentially it is obtaining access to her home by	19	sound conclusion"
20	deception. Filming it.	20	So that was the effectively the QBD judge's
21	And it was said, and you will see from paragraph 9	21	reasoning.
22	later on, it says it was common ground this was trespass	22	Then the reasoning of the Court of Appeal starts on
23	and infringement of her rights to privacy. The district	23	paragraph 21 on page 960. And the court which is led by
24	judge excluded the evidence originally. The judge in	24	Lord Justice Woolfe for dealing with the case under the
25	the Queens Bench division reversed that decision on	25	new rules, said at paragraph 21 to the previous
	Page 13		Page 15
	rage 13		rage 13
1	appeal and allowed it in and this was a second appeal to	1	authorities and noted that in the old authorities, the
2	the Court of Appeal.	2	achieving of justice in a particular case before the
3	My first submission is as civil cases regarding the	3	court was the paramount consideration but at
4	exclusion of evidence goes, this is quite extreme facts.	4	paragraph 22, goes on to note that things had moved on
5	It is common ground that there had been deception by the	5	a bit and says:
6	insurers, who effectively something very close to	6	"While this approach will help to achieve justice in
7	being one of the parties in the case and an invasion of	7	a particular case(Reading to the words)those
8	the claimant's privacy by going into her home. So it is	8	engaged in or about to be engaged in legal proceedings.
9	a fairly extreme case. And at paragraph 29 the court	9	That is also a matter of real public concern."
10	commented that that was improper and not justified. Our	10	At paragraph 23:
11	case doesn't appear to suffer from those problems. The	11	"If the conduct of the insurers in this case goes
12	recordings were made covertly. There is nothing from	12	uncensored, there would be a significant risk that
13	what we can see to suggest that there was deception	13	practices of this type would be encouraged."
14	involved nor an invasion of somebody's home and it is	14	Twenty-four goes on to note "Additionally, in
15	business meetings that have been recorded, so a	15	criminal cases" section 78 of PACE. "Admission of
16	distinction there.	16 17	the evidence adversely affects the fairness of the
17	Just turning back to Jones for a moment.	l .	proceedings."
18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: I take the point about the home but looking	18	Paragraph 24, it also notes the case of Rall v Hume
20	at page 34 of the February transcript, I am not quite sure what to make of it but do you see the long	19 20	and disapproval of trial by ambush, paragraph 25. So
20	paragraph of M2 at the top of page on page 34 which ends	20 21	where one party deliberately holds back and ambushes the other. That is a fact to be taken into account.
22	up with "Have we all got our boxes in, Brian?" And then	21 22	And then I think the court's test, as it were, is
23	"M1: mum don't, that's you recording." I am not quite	23	set out at paragraph 28, just below D. The second
24	sure what's going on there but there does seem to be an	24	sertout at paragraph 28, just below D. The second sentence of that:
25	element of ensuring that the phone being used to record	25	"The court must try to give effect to what are here,
	Page 14		Page 16

g

the margins.

the two conflicting ...(Reading to the words)... as will the gravity of the breach of article 8. According to the facts of the particular case, the decision will depend on all the circumstances. Here the court ...(Reading to the words)... who has the task of trying the case." Then there is an issue in that case about having to instruct new medical experts which doesn't relate to us. Pausing there. In our case we say that the balancing exercise is very simple. The interests of justice favour letting the evidence in and using it for what it is worth, weighing it appropriately, given any

to achieve the right result.

And we say privacy is not a weighty factor in this case, as between the parties, for several reasons.

First, as I have already said, what has been recorded are predominantly business conversations held outside the home and of course, people's business life. Now, business life doesn't fall outside article 8. There's a case where it does fall within but it is rather more at

issues, problems it may have, also its probative force,

Secondly, it is hard to see how any infringement of privacy is relevant to the claimant. The claimant is both a business and a company and it is not its private

MR WOOLFE: Sir, I wouldn't say it is irrelevant but it has to be weighed in the balance against the requirements of doing justice in the case and having regard to the use that is actually going to be made of particular parts of the transcript, what is actually going to be trawled over to a significant extent in court and any other protection that can be put in place, if necessary, to prevent any further disclosure, if that were necessary.

9 February 2017

As you will have seen from the passages that we have highlighted, what we are interested in are statements by Agents' Mutual as to their strategy and their pitch to agents in what they are trying to do and aspects of concerted practices as to choice of portal, information exchange and the like between agents.

Neither of those are matters to which we say -- no privacy concerns should be attached to those. If agents haven't actually engaged in, for instance, information exchanges to competitive intentions, clearly this tribunal should look at that evidence without any concern for privacy rights in that respect.

The transcripts, incidentally, may contain some chitchat between people of the kind that goes on in the margin of business meetings, but by the nature of it being a business meeting, it is necessarily the kind of things that people -- it is not central as in very, very

Page 17

some debate as to whether companies might have some

life that is in issue in these transcripts. There is

rights under article 8 to protect their reputation and the like, but I don't think I ever heard it suggested that a company has a right to protection of family life. Now, the company didn't attend one of the meetings and its only involvement in the other is that one of its business development consultants or regional sales managers, as we apprehend it, has been recorded talking to customers or potential customers. And we say that

doesn't engage, to any significant extent, at least, any article 8 rights that the claimant may have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ought we not to have regard to the rights of parties not represented here? Mr Maclean is going to be able to press the claimant's position but if we admit this evidence, there is going to be a trawling over other people's conversations. Now, it may be that your clients don't need to refer to those bits, as you have just indicated, but to go back to the unfortunate cherry-picking analogy, it does seem that if this material is admitted, it goes in in its entirety for both parties to make use of it, to the extent that they

Page 18

see fit. And ought we not to have regard to the fact

that there are various other interests of third parties

engaged or is that simply irrelevant?

Page 19

(inaudible). And we are not going to be reading those out in court, putting them to witnesses or otherwise. They are simply irrelevant to the case. They happen to be on the documents and we say in those circumstances, it is not really a weighty factor.

Finally, as regards any unlawfulness, as you see in the Jones case, the evidence has been obtained by deception and by trespass. That wasn't seen as a bar as such, to it going into evidence. It is really a question of public policy whether admitting the evidence would encourage parties to engage in unlawful or improper conduct and we say that that policy just doesn't really bite in our case. This is not a case where GHL or anybody associated with GHL has been doing anything regarding the making of these recordings. They have simply happened to obtain them after the event because they happened to be available. There is no policy that would encourage litigants to engage in any sinister practices. The question of lawfulness is something of a red herring, for the reasons I have given. It doesn't really bite on the central issues before the court. As regards the lawfulness of covert recording, so far as I have been able to ascertain, in England and Wales, covert recording is not, as such, unlawful. You may commit offences or torts in the

Page 20

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

_	
1	course of conducting unlawful recording, as in fact
2	happened in Jones. That was a case there may be
3	deception or similar. I am not Northern Irish counsel
4	and I wouldn't want to address the tribunal with any
5	authority on Northern Irish law. However, in the
6	research I have done overnight, I have not identified
7	any general prohibition of tape recording in Northern
8	Ireland.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: I tell you what, Mr Woolfe, let's leave that
10	point there because if Mr Maclean has more to say on
11	lawfulness, then you can deal with it in your reply.
12	MR WOOLFE: Thank you. Now if I can turn to the value of
13	the evidence. As you will have seen from the excerpts,
14	broadly they contain evidence, as I said, of how the
15	Agents' Mutual representative in those meetings
16	presented the Agents' Mutual project, the Bricks and
17	Mortar restriction and the OOP rule, to agents at
18	face-to-face meetings. And secondly, there is evidence
19	of agent behaviour in group meetings, both with and
20	without Agents' Mutual present and there appears to be,
21	in the meeting without Agent's Mutual present, exchange
22	of information regarding future intentions. And it also
23	reflects on their understanding of the Agents' Mutual
24	project, if I can call it that, in the meeting with
25	Agents' Mutual present.
	Page 21

attention, in particular, to paragraph 40(b) on page 50 which is -- so this concerted practice consisting is partly in the OOP rule and part of it, and then conduct of -- 40(d), sub4, on page 51 which is the -- sorry, 40(d) is the conduct of agents effectively checking up on each other, stabilising ... concerted practice. 40(e):

9 February 2017

"... representations made by Agents' Mutual to its members."

So those are in issue and that's what these transcripts go to and 40(f) as well, which is the practice according to (inaudible). It is directly relevant, we say, to those pleaded issues.

Dealing with the credibility, the authenticity of the transcripts, I am going to deal with this fairly shortly. Subject to anything my learned friend may have to say. In short, there was nothing to suggest from the transcripts themselves or, we say, the recordings. Nothing to suggest they are not authentic or have been tampered with in any way. I just note that in respect of two of the meetings, Agents' Mutual is in a position to check with the lady who we say was present, Lorna Kerr, to ask whether or not she attended the meetings on those days. We gave them the recordings on Sunday. So they have had a few days to be able to listen to them

That goes to a number of issues in the case. If I can ask you to take out the pleading bundle fairly swiftly. It is bundle A. And at tab 3 is our amended defence and the pleaded issues which we would say this evidence goes to are -- using the bundle numbering on page 43, our pleading of the object of the OOP rule, subparagraphs 32(b) and (c), so that is an understanding of the OOP rule as a limit on the service estate agents can provide and having the object of drawing UK estate agents away from this (inaudible) on other property portals rather than -- through contractual restrictions rather than competition on the merits. Also an object restriction in respect of the portal market which is the object -- paragraph (e):

"To weaken potentially ...(Reading to the words)... presented by Zoopla."

And it is relevant evidence connected to that as well.

Also paragraph 36, over the page, we say it goes to 36(l). So whether or not there is, in fact, a real restriction of competitive conduct and consumer harm. I don't know if you notice, sir, one particular point, there is a reference to selling consumers a bum deal.

And then concerted practice on portal choice is pleaded at paragraphs 38 and 40 and I call your

Page 23

and we gave them the transcripts on Tuesday, with an

explanation of where they were. So they can carry out

some steps to, basically, did these meetings happen on that day, were you present, does this broadly reflect your recollection? And we haven't heard anything from them since Sunday or since Tuesday, to suggest that these are simply entirely concocted or anything of that THE CHAIRMAN: Does that in itself though, amount to a degree of prejudice to Agents' Mutual? I mean we are in the middle of a very heavy trial. I appreciate both sides have large legal teams but this might be said. I don't want to put words into Mr Maclean's mouth. MR WOOLFE: Clearly it is not ideal to have evidence disclosed in the middle of the trial. Nobody wants to be in that situation. It is not something that we sought. It is the way it is. And I am going to say, the thing to judge is whether or not, in the time that is available -- bear in mind they were given the recordings on Sunday, Monday morning. The trial closes a week on Monday, so that is a two week gap and the issue for you is whether they can, in fairness, manage to deal with it and put in any witness statements from

Miss Kerr in response within that time.

MR FREEMAN: Mr Woolfe, you said there was nothing to

Page 24

Page 22

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

, .			8
1	suggest there was any impairment of the authenticity of	1	about it would appear that you have separate little
2	the recordings; is that what you said? Nothing to	2	excerpts of recordings which are being timed
3	suggest they weren't authentic?	3	individually. So you see you start at 20 seconds,
4	MR WOOLFE: That is what I said, nothing to suggest they are	4	I assume, and then 30 seconds. If you look in the
5	not.	5	middle of the page, you have 1 minute 28 and then
6	MR FREEMAN: Is it right you are not relying on the first	6	a whole minute, you have 2, 38. That seems to me quite
7	transcript?	7	a large gap in time to say what is said in those ten
8	MR WOOLFE: We are not relying on the first transcript as	8	lines. So what I am wondering is whether there hasn't
9	being an accurate transcription of what is said in the	9	been an element of selection, gaps in the record? And
10	meeting because we prepared this is our work in	10	one can see it elsewhere. If you look at page 10, we
11	preparing the transcript.	11	have an oddity of timing because you can see in the
12	MR FREEMAN: If there were inaccuracies in the first	12	middle of page 10, you see the time for the bit in red,
13	transcript, that might suggest that the professionally	13	where it is said: well again, Agents' Mutual are you
14	adjusted version of it might also be suspect.	14	Agents' Mutual? That is 30.7 but then the next entry is
15	MR WOOLFE: As regards the attribution of the names, which	15	30.11 which would appear to be earlier in time than the
16	are taken from that first transcript, that is a fair	16	preceding extract. You get the same at page 13, where
17	point. Can I explain briefly why we disclosed this	17	you see there is the at 49.22 "sorry to interrupt",
18	first transcript that we have? Initially, the view was	18	and then one ignores the 39.55 because that's simply the
19	actually taken, the reason the recordings were sent at	19	count but then you go down to 40.25. So we seem to have
20	the weekend and not this transcript, the convenience	20	gone back in time by nine minutes.
21	transcript, is because it was thought well, it may	21	MR WOOLFE: Sir, this
22	just until we listen to the recordings properly and	22	THE CHAIRMAN: So I am not expecting, Mr Woolfe, for you to
23	do our own, this may not be very reliable.	23	answer these questions because I anticipate that you
24	If I can ask you to turn to page 32, you can see	24	can't. That, I think, is the problem. There are
25	some highlighted words on that page.	25	questions going to the simple process by way of which
	Page 25		Page 27
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	1	this recording was obtained.
2	MR WOOLFE: These are typed in, we think, by X, it certainly	2	MR WOOLFE: Sir, one thing I would say is that I think that
3	seems so by the heading that's in them and bearing in	3	is using a transcript, the reliability of which, I think
4	mind who X is. And hence, this is a different document.	4	is genuinely in question because it has not been
5	THE CHAIRMAN: It is a working document of X.	5	professionally prepared, to go back and try and make
6	MR WOOLFE: It is a working document of X and also it is	6	sense about what may have been done with the recording.
7	a distinct document for CPR disclosure purposes and	7	Now, somebody may, for instance, have typed the wrong
8	therefore we thought properly we should disclose it.	8	number in and it has not been sequentially.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: If I may say so, that was entirely the right	9	THE CHAIRMAN: They may have done but the numbers don't
10	course but it does raise questions. Let me put a few	10	appear in the
11	cards on the table about the concerns I have here.	11	MR WOOLFE: And the gaps, that can be checked by listening
12	Leaving entirely on one side the content of the	12	to the recording to see how long the gaps are and
13	recordings and transcripts, we have issues regarding the	13	whether they actually tally up. There are also things
14	reliability of the recording and I am going to show you	14	which can be done, as I understand it, to send audio
15	where I have concerns, having read them overnight.	15	files off for analysis, to see if they have been fiddled
16	We then have supplementation of the recordings by	16	with in various ways. We haven't done that. It is for
17	additional evidence which doesn't emerge from the	17	the other side to do so if they wanted to do.
18	recording and again, that's something which I think I am	18	So we consider the primary evidence is the
19	going to need your help on. But let's start with the	19	recordings. We have arranged for them to be listened to
20	issues regarding the recordings.	20	and prepared, the transcripts on which we rely, based on
21	If you look at page 1, so the convenience	21	those recordings.
22	transcript, you see that each passage has got a time and	22	I can see the examples on which you say the numbers
		1	
23	what I am inferring, but you can correct me if I am	23	do get out of line. Some of the gaps may appear wrong

24

25

wrong -- but in a sense, this is part of the problem

Page 26

because we are debating something that we don't know

24

25

but that assumes that this person has accurately written

down the time at every point without making a mistake

Page 28

1	anywhere in a longish document and also that the	1	or denied by
2	speakers are not speaking slowly.	2	MR FREEMAN: You talked about concerted practice as an
3	MR LANDERS: Could you just confirm that these are	3	exchange of information between agents. You would need
4	recordings of an entire meeting, as opposed to	4	to establish these are agents and it would be helpful in
5	a sequence of recordings that have been spliced	5	doing so, to know their names. You are asking us to
6	together. Sometimes when you record on the phone, it	6	accept that they are agents, unnamed, anonymous agents,
7	clicks off after a while and it starts again. Was it	7	with names we don't have to worry about. It seems
8	like that or was it one long sequence?	8	rather scrappy.
9	MR WOOLFE: We have three files, one for each of the	9	MR WOOLFE: We are not seeking to make those agents liable
10	meetings. That's what we have. Now that doesn't answer	10	in any way.
11	the chairman's point, which is somebody may have put	11	MR FREEMAN: I am not suggesting you do but according to
12	into one file, things that weren't in different sequence	12	your analysis, what agents do, qua agents, is relevant.
13	or a different file or whatever but as we have received	13	MR WOOLFE: Yes. You can see perhaps I will take you to
14	them, they are one file for each meeting. They have	14	it you can see in the evidence of the transcript
15	been listened to in one go and it sounds to us like	15	leaving agents' credibility to one side for the moment
16	a continuous recording. It is not, obviously, glitching	16	just focussing on the names issue, and whether or not
17	in that sense but that is no more than our impression.	17	that matters, and whether or not these are agents, we
	•	18	
18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: The second point that I raised was the added value because you refer in the singular to the evidence	19	submit that it is amply apparent from the discussion that it is actually contained in the February meeting
		20	
20	and I am not sure that that is an accurate way of	20 21	and the April meeting and the June meeting, that these
21	describing it. What I think we have are two evidence		are agents and the nature of their discussion, they are
22	streams. We have the recording. We then have the work	22	talking about coming off PropertyPal, Property News.
23	that has been done to make the recording more easily	23	"Let's get stickers for our boards". They are referring
24	digestible and that includes, for instance, the tidying	24	to certain names of agents, I think Dalzell is referred
25	up of ambiguities, lack of clarities in the recording,	25	to at one point. That is in what is spoken, there are
	Page 29		Page 31
1	the insertion of names, where they don't appear from the	1	names of agencies. Century 21 is mentioned as well
2	transcript, where X has done that.	2	which can also be checked. It's an agency in Northern
3	Of course, the tidying up has only gone so far.	3	Ireland.
4	There are, I anticipate, additional details that could	4	So we say from the intrinsic evidence or the
5	be provided regarding the transcript which might be	5	transcript, assuming it is reliable for a moment, you
6	helpful. So, for instance, one might be able to provide	6	can see that these are agents and the nature of their
7	the identity of F1. One could certainly provide the	7	discussion.
8	identity of X.	8	THE CHAIRMAN: You say that is good enough, do you?
	MR WOOLFE: We have provided.	١.	MR WOOLFE: For the purposes for which we would seek to rely
10		10	
10	THE CHAIRMAN: You have but rather more openly than has been done at the moment. Obviously we are not going to go	11	upon it. In a sense, the question then is, if we were
11	, , , , ,		to seek to deploy it in a way and required reliance on
12	there yet. Put these are points, particularly the adding of	12	the names, then there would be an issue, but if we
13	But these are points, particularly the adding of	13	don't, that issue simply doesn't arise. Now, if we do
14	names on, which if you were to rely on the names being		that and you think that the names are important, at that
15	spoken, you are surely going to have to produce further	15	stage we rely upon it, then you can draw whatever
16	evidence to substantiate what appears on the face of	16	conclusion you like about the evidence and the weight to
17	these transcripts.	17	which can be placed upon it, but that is not a reason to
18	MR WOOLFE: Sir, as I think I made clear before, we are not	18	exclude it.
19	relying as such, on the attribution of names. It is not	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Woolfe, doesn't it sometimes matter who
20	central to our use of this evidence, that one particular	20	the agent is, simply to understand the tenor of the
21	name has been allocated, with the exception of	21	conversation? Let me give you an example. Let's look
22	Lorna Kerr, whose name we do rely upon. We say that can	22	at page 41 and we see here a conversation between M and
23	be supported and provide intrinsic evidence of the	23	F1.
24	transcript and can also be checked by her	24	MR WOOLFE: Yes.
25	participation in these meetings can easily be confirmed	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Now, we know that F1 is the lady who is doing
	Page 30		Page 32
	1 460 50		1 450 52

1	the recording.	1	comments of F1 at the bottom of 43?
2	MR WOOLFE: Yes.	2	MR WOOLFE: I can take you there if you like. So M2 says:
3	THE CHAIRMAN: So don't all of these conversations need to	3	it is all about protection, you don't want to see"
4	be read in light of the fact that F1 is in fact not	4	and she raises the issue of the Competition Act. Over
5	a friend of Agents' Mutual, not really interested in	5	the page on 44, she seems to refer to a market share of
6	participating in Agents' Mutual but is in fact well,	6	60 per cent. So, yes, she raises that as a concern with
7	I won't go any further because it runs the risk of	7	her fellow
8	disclosing material that is yellowed out but you get the	8	THE CHAIRMAN: I have to say, the way in which that concern
9	point.	9	is expressed could be regarded as disingenuous.
10	MR FREEMAN: Motives that are not entirely unalloyed.	10	MR WOOLFE: I think it can only be regarded as disingenuous
11	MR WOOLFE: The question, I think, is the motives with which	11	if we knew more about her and we don't and you may say
12	somebody acts in this sort of case don't really matter,	12	that is a problem but I don't think we can
13	save as regards it may affect	13	THE CHAIRMAN: That is exactly the point we are putting,
14	MR FREEMAN: We are not impugning the motives but what the	14	Mr Woolfe.
15	chairman is saying is that the tenor of the discussion	15	MR WOOLFE: But what difference does that little interchange
16	needs to be understood, having regard to the interests	16	actually make to the evidence provided in the rest of
17	of the person making the remark.	17	the transcript?
18	MR WOOLFE: Sir, do I understand the suggestion is that the	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Let me give you another example then, Mr
19	conversation may have been guided in some way?	19	Woolfe, page 13, show of hands which you do rely on.
20	MR FREEMAN: Well we don't know.	20	And we see the person who asks for the show of hands is
21	THE CHAIRMAN: That is a point, surely, that Mr Maclean	21	elliptically described as a new person. Do you see?
22	might very well want to ask F1.	22	MR WOOLFE: Yes.
23	MR WOOLFE: Just on that point, I mean, to take an example,	23	THE CHAIRMAN: The new person asks for a straw poll. No
24	the bottom of page 42 and if I can take you to a couple	24	idea who it is. Wouldn't you agree with us that who
25	of inches up, F1:	25	that person is might be relevant?
	Page 33		Page 35
1	"You are all dropping PropertyPal. This is great	1	MR WOOLFE: It might be, sir, but if you look at page 62,
2	news to me, I'll have a field day, I'll have a field	2	this is where we have taken our transcript and the voice
3	day."	3	is M, so it's described as a male voice, so it is not
4	So it is fairly clear she wasn't hiding the fact	4	F1.
5	that she wouldn't go with OnTheMarket.	5	THE CHAIRMAN: No.
6	Then you have a discussion, M2, that is not what	6	MR WOOLFE: So we don't know who it is but, in fact, it is
7	it's about, but is that's what it's all about. And	7	not Agents' Mutual and I do make that point very fairly.
8	then over the page, it's not about out doing anybody	8	It is not Agents' Mutual who say "Please can we have
9	else. It is about keeping the agents in business. That	′	a show of hands or a straw poll." So that is clear.
10	is what they want to have control. "And so on.	10	THE CHAIRMAN: There are two issues, aren't there, about
11	You can see the relationship on the face of the	11	detail? There are some details that we know you could
12 13	transcript on what she says and the response. If there	12	provide, the identity of F1 and the identity of X. MR WOOLFE: We can provide X. I am not sure we can provide
	were any points to be made about the evidential value of		
14	the response because of what she had previously said the	14 15	F1. We don't know.
15 16	moment before Mr Maclean can easily make it at the point we rely upon and that goes to the interpretation of the	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, maybe I have misunderstood the position.
17	evidence, not really as to whether it should be admitted	17	MR WOOLFE: We told the chairman everything that we know.
18	per se and also to say, if you look at the other two	18	THE CHAIRMAN: If, as the price of getting this material in,
		19	we said "I am afraid Mr Maclean needs to be at liberty
19 20	transcripts, F1 doesn't participate in terms of the discussion is really between other people and it appears	20	to refer to anything, including the identity of X",
20	to have been recorded. There can be no sense in for	20	what's your position?
22	instance, in the April transcript where, Miss Kerr says	22	MR WOOLFE: I would need to take instructions on that.
23	quite a lot about instruction and so on, there is no	23	Would you like me to do that?
24	sense in which F1 is entering that discussion.	24	THE CHAIRMAN: It may be that we'll park it for the moment
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Woolfe, are you going to take us to the	25	and let you finish your submissions and then you can let
	The state of the s	-3	and the year and the year and the year and the
	Page 34		Page 36

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1	us know. I am anticipating that given the route by way	1	terms of the probative force of this, I think. So if
2	of which you received these materials, that there would	2	I begin with the February transcript on page 33. You
3	be considerable push back from X, were that to be	3	can see the nature of the recording is apparent from the
4	a course, so I will leave that there.	4	first few lines. So that gives you some indication of
5	MR WOOLFE: Yes.	5	what is going on. It is dated February 2016. You can
6	THE CHAIRMAN: The other thing is what further	6	corroborate that internally by going to page 35 and
7	investigations can be done, in order to provide as full	7	going to the second hole punch and there is a discussion
8	a picture as possible. For instance, who the M speaker	8	by the M voice which is beside that:
9	was, who F1 is.	9	"So whatever you do(Reading to the words) but
10	MR WOOLFE: Sir, we would say that the people who are in the	10	nothing will go live until 1 March. I know that was
11	best position to do that are the other side because they	11	about two weeks ago(Reading to the words) live
12	have direct access to Miss Kerr, who was at the meetings	12	date is tomorrow for stuff to go on."
13	or at two of the meetings, in respect of those two.	13	So we are looking at something which appears to be
14	Insofar as we are looking at detailed points of how	14	prior to March but it is common ground that OTM was
15	do we interpret particular parts of the transcript, we	15	launched in Northern Ireland in 2016, 16 March. So
16	say those are best addressed by letting the evidence in	16	therefore, this transcript does appear to fit the date
17	and then seeing the argument on the particular parts and	17	that has been attributed to it in terms of that
18	then points can be made about the weight of it, bearing	18	intrinsic evidence.
19	in mind any of these kind of issues, in respect of the	19	We also say you can see from the transcript
20	particular arguments that are being advanced in reliance	20	itself this is the meeting in which none of the
21	on it.	21	voices appear to be employees of Agents' Mutual, from
22	THE CHAIRMAN: The problem is though, as I understand it,	22	what we can see of it. On the bottom of page 35, it
23	but again, you can correct me if I am wrong, you want to	23	does appear that the voice M2 seems to be an agent who
24	put this material to Mr Springett.	24	is acting in some sort of liaison type capacity. He may
25	MR WOOLFE: Sir, yes, to a limited extent. If I can explain	25	well be self appointed. I am not suggesting otherwise
	Page 37		Page 39
	1 age 37		1 age 37
1	the limited extent that consists of.	1	because what he says is:
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Let me just make the point which I was going	2	"We had hoped that during this process that you
3	to make which is this: Mr Springett is, I anticipate	3	could have it on all three but they came back to us and
4	from his evidence yesterday, going to say "I wasn't	4	said no, you have to go on one."
5	there."	5	So you can see from that phrase that there is some
6	MR WOOLFE: Indeed.	6	concern about what other agents and listing properties
7	THE CHAIRMAN: "And I had an understanding of the Northern	7	but they, Agents' Mutual came back to us, so clearly he
8	Ireland market but I wasn't involved on a day-to-day	8	is not from Agents' Mutual himself. So that gives you
9	basis."	9	an idea of who M2 is, which is fairly important.
10	MR WOOLFE: Yes, Mr Springett cannot fairly be asked any	10	Then on page 36, internal page 4, you can read down
11	questions about the accuracy of what is said in these	11	from the top of that page, a series of people saying
12	transcripts or recordings, as a record of what happened	12	which portal they are coming off. I would just note
13	at the meeting. He wasn't there. The only thing he can	13	that I think Portstewart is a town in Northern Ireland,
14	be asked about is really the full extent of the calls	14	on the coast.
15	with his use and his understanding and he will either	15	Then page 43, that's what we have just sorry, the
16	know that or he won't. His evidence will be what his	16	bottom of page 42, "it is great news to me" and so on.
17	evidence is. That is true, whether the transcripts are	17	And at the bottom of page 43, "You don't want to see
18	reliable or not. He can say: that is just not my	18	PropertyPal surviving." We say that does go to the
19	understanding or: it is my understanding, as the case	19	agents' understanding of the purpose of the OOP rule and
20	may be. It is not really affected by these questions we	20	the purpose of Agents' Mutual to get rid of a competitor
21	are debating today. Actually, cross-examination is	21	in the market. It is not something about launching
22	a rather easier case, if Mr Springett knows what he	22	a new project.
			ment of a file of the control of
23	knows about these documents and he can say what he	23	Then the April transcript, if I can take you to
24	knows about these documents and he can say what he knows, which is likely to be fairly limited.	24	that. This is the one where the names are attributed.
	knows about these documents and he can say what he	1	
24	knows about these documents and he can say what he knows, which is likely to be fairly limited.	24	that. This is the one where the names are attributed.

1 matter. Page 52, we have an unattributed voice and we 1 regaining control ...(Reading to the words)... a portal. 2 2 want to rely on this passage, saying: They are not media men. It was about letting us control 3 "As far as the clients are concerned, PropertyPal 3 the portal, as in they have a scheme that works for 4 and Property News wasn't broken...(Reading to the 4 them. We are mean people, giving agents back what they 5 words)... everything works and for us, we were trying to 5 should have had." 6 change something here because we were concerned about 6 And we say it is fairly clear from that, the person 7 7 the influences on ... so what we are trying to do is to speaking is from Agent's Mutual. She uses we, she is 8 8 actually change the public perception of PropertyPal and from Scotland. Unless the other side can come back to 9 Property News ... a bum deal to them as such." 9 us and say "Miss Lorna Kerr never said this", it is 10 We say that goes to the point that's being run into 10 a fair inference that it was her speaking and saying 11 the interests of estate agents, not in the interest of 11 this and it is indicative of how Agents' Mutual is 12 consumers. That is the point which I say can be made 12 representing itself to agents and agents' understanding 13 relying on, I think, a fair inference that M is an 13 of what they are signing up to. 14 estate agent in this meeting of Agents' Mutual. It 14 I can take you over to the next page, between the 15 doesn't depend on knowing his name. We don't in fact 15 hole punches, beginning: 16 know his name and it doesn't matter. 16 "Probably one of the most concerning things is they 17 17 talk to online estate agents ... (Reading to the Page 55, there is an agent speaking again, who is 18 18 words)... and additional products. We don't take any identified as M. The very bottom of that long 19 paragraph: 19 online ads. We don't take any banners, there is no 20 "Seems to stay with the model we have. At the same 20 additional products." 21 time, I don't see it working unless we do manage to kill 21 That goes to the point which you've heard us make, 22 22 off one of the other competitors." sir, that there is this perception amongst agents, 23 We have already seen the straw poll show of hands. 23 requested by agents, that OnTheMarket doesn't offer 24 That is, of course, after launch, people saying what 24 additional products. So this is a platform on which 25 25 they are doing. agents, once they are on it, will not compete by buying Page 41 Page 43 1 And then finally, if I can turn to the June --1 additional products or going on further portals and so 2 I should say these are highlights. There are obviously 2 3 much more than we've seen in the excerpts. 3 Then further down, at the bottom of that page, about 4 THE CHAIRMAN: You can take it we have read all of this. 4 seven lines up from the bottom and it is really about 5 MR WOOLFE: Pages 92 to 93 and the passage there with "LK" 5 having one agent's own portal: at the bottom of that page and we say it is fairly clear 6 6 "The goal for these guys is ... (Reading to the 7 from this, that this is an Agents' Mutual representative 7 words)... that a few years down the line ...(Reading to 8 8 speaking and somebody who is from Scotland. She says: the words)... and that is the goal for the market that 9 9 "That is about the same equivalent as to what I have you are all in control and should have ..." 10 in Glasgow ... west coast.' 10 Then finally, just on page 96, about halfway between 11 It starts a bit above that, the discussion of estate 11 the top hole punch and the top of the page: 12 12 agents: "So our strategy is absolutely intrinsic to our 13 "Over 250 homeworkers ... estate agents, that is 13 success ... (Reading to the words)... it will also do is 14 massive ... (Reading to the words)... So I would say that 14 impact on the success of the other portals. So it is 15 was pretty significant and these are all people that are 15 going to hit them significantly." able to operate, flourish and compete in your 16 16 Then further down that passage, between the two hole marketplace because the portals allow them to 17 17 punches: 18 ...(Reading to the words)... what they would do is open 18 "So you could have half your stock in one and half 19 the door to the onlines." 19 your stock in another. It wouldn't be ... (Reading to 20 Then over the page says they wouldn't be able to 20 the words)... one portal quite hard." 21 exist, the estate agents without the portals, and 21 The inference to be drawn from that is that there is 22 halfway down that passage: 22 a strategy of impacting that has been presented to the 23 "They wouldn't be able to do that if it wasn't for 23 agent: we want to impact one portal quite hard. It is 24 the portals allowing them to do it ...(Reading to the 24 subtractive in its nature and not simply about competing 25 25 words)... back Agents' Mutual, it was about agents on the merits. Page 42 Page 44

1	So those are the kind of points that we would seek	1	I would not be welcoming a submission from your side,
2	to take from these transcripts and the probative force	2	unless you want to persuade me otherwise, that
3	we say they hold and we say that, actually, unless it is	3	Mr Maclean's team should have gone further in response
4	not Miss Kerr speaking at all, that holds irrespective	4	to this material and produced additional evidence
5	of the precise identity of the agents to whom she is	5	themselves. Do you see what I am saying?
6	speaking and so many of the understandable concerns	6	MR WOOLFE: I would not anticipate you having any patience
7	which are raised do somewhat fall away.	7	with any unfair points that we make. I don't know
8	In terms of late provision, we have given you the	8	Miss Kerr's movements. If she is sat in an office ten
9	detail that we have. We are where we are. It is not	9	miles down the road and could look at this and just
10	our doing. It is not something deliberate that has been	10	doesn't bother, then the point may be a fair one; if she
11	contrived. We accept the issue is, can it fairly be	11	is on the other side of the world, to take another
12	accommodated now, and bearing in mind the impact on	12	extreme example, clearly that would be an unfair point
13	Agents' Mutual and what Mr Maclean says about that.	13	and clearly, whatever they do, they are restricted in
14	Broadly speaking, we say, sir, that it can fairly be	14	what they can do, given the time
15	used. First of all, in cross-examination, on any view,	15	THE CHAIRMAN: My point is that I just don't want to go
16	Mr Springett can simply say what he knows, whatever his	16	there. In other words, were this material to come in,
17	evidence may be about it. And in terms of reliance on	17	I would not want to be having in closing, a debate about
18	it as evidence, there is the sort of two week gap	18	how Agents' Mutual could, this late in the day, have
19	between the provision of this evidence and the closing	19	responded to the points that you are making which you
20	of this trial. We, of course, make no objection to	20	say fairly arise out of the transcript.
21	Agents' Mutual putting evidence of Miss Kerr in response	21	MR WOOLFE: Sir, I think that is entirely fair.
22	or anything else they may see fit and unless it is	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean.
23	suggested that that will in some sense derail the trial,	23	MR MACLEAN: My learned friend has taken far longer than
24	we say the fairest course is to allow the evidence and	24	I anticipated.
25	our submissions to be made on its weight and the	25	THE CHAIRMAN: He had a lot of interruption.
	Page 45		Page 47
1	inferences that can be drawn from it, in the usual way.	1	MR MACLEAN: I was just conscious that before I finish, the
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Woolfe. Before Mr Maclean	2	shorthand writers will probably want a break. Would it
3	rises, just two questions. I think to summarise your	3	be convenient to do that now rather than to interrupt my
4	submissions in a sentence, what you are saying is let it	4	submission? That is any question.
5	in and leave the Tribunal to judge its weight, whatever	5	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think it would because it would enable
6	that may be?	6	Mr Woolfe to seek instructions on the point of the
7	MR WOOLFE: Exactly, sir, and judge the weight of the	7	_
8	specific points.		position of Mr X, were we to say that the price of
	specific points.	8	position of Mr X, were we to say that the price of admission is the release of that further information.
9	• •	1	admission is the release of that further information.
9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the	8	•
	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge	8 9	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go
10	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the	8 9 10	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short
10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps.	8 9 10 11	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time.
10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight	8 9 10 11 12	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If
10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position.	8 9 10 11 12 13	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer.
10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away.
10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am) (A short break)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce such evidence, as you very fairly indicated, that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am) (A short break)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce such evidence, as you very fairly indicated, that evidence probably ought to go in. But the converse	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am) (A short break) (10.35 am) MR WOOLFE: Sir, just to respond to you on the question of
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce such evidence, as you very fairly indicated, that evidence probably ought to go in. But the converse situation would be that this material goes in, the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am) (A short break) (10.35 am) MR WOOLFE: Sir, just to respond to you on the question of whether or not we can reveal X's identity. We don't
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce such evidence, as you very fairly indicated, that evidence probably ought to go in. But the converse situation would be that this material goes in, the transcripts, we place what weight there is on there and	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am) (A short break) (10.35 am) MR WOOLFE: Sir, just to respond to you on the question of whether or not we can reveal X's identity. We don't know F1. As matters stands, X is not willing to agree
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight but that is your position. MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce such evidence, as you very fairly indicated, that evidence probably ought to go in. But the converse situation would be that this material goes in, the transcripts, we place what weight there is on there and Mr Maclean does nothing because it is too late in the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	admission is the release of that further information. MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short period of time. THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five minutes. (10.30 am) (A short break) (10.35 am) MR WOOLFE: Sir, just to respond to you on the question of whether or not we can reveal X's identity. We don't know F1. As matters stands, X is not willing to agree to the disclosure of his name and so if the Tribunal

1	only go in if his name is revealed, then it won't be	1	from Quinn Emanuel. The existence of an audio recording
2	going in.	2	of a meeting in Northern Ireland involving(Reading
3	THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful, Mr Woolfe, thank you	3	to the words) was something I came to learn of,
4	very much. Mr Maclean.	4	following investigations."
5	MR MACLEAN: Can I start by taking Mr Bronfentrinker's	5	And at paragraph 8, this witness statement is
6	witness statements, please, and could I invite you to	6	crafted in such a way as to start this part of the
7	have that open and then have alongside it, the Quinn	7	chronology at 26 January:
8	Emanuel letter of this morning. The first point I want	8	"An associate of Quinn Emanuel, working with me on
9	to make is that Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement	9	this matter, first contacted X, who I understood may
10	was served, as I think I remarked in the course of	10	have had a recording of a meeting in Northern Ireland
11	Tuesday, in the course of the court day on Tuesday.	11	involving agents and Agents' Mutual."
12	I think it was actually served at 2.38 pm on Tuesday	12	What is conspicuous by its absence from this witness
13	afternoon on Eversheds.	13	statement is any reference to Mr Notley's involvement
14	Mr Notley had started giving his evidence, as you	14	and any reference to the fact that we now discover this
15	will see from Tuesday's transcript, at about ten or	15	morning, in paragraph 5 of Quinn Emanuel's letter, that
16	quarter past 12 on Tuesday afternoon and he gave	16	Mr Bronfentrinker had had a conversation with Mr Notley
17	evidence, as you will recall, from then, over the short	17	at some point "in the first half of December 2006, see
18	adjournment and for much but not all, if I remember	18	paragraph 5."
19	correctly, of Tuesday afternoon.	19	It is impossible to resist the conclusion that this
20	Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement, I think	20	witness statement was carefully crafted in such a way as
21	I said I had seen it very briefly, raised I said the	21	not to reveal the involvement in these events of
22	following morning raised more questions than it	22	Mr Notley, who was in the witness box at the time and,
23	answered and when one looked at paragraph 6 of his	23	of course, had I known then and had the chance to
24	witness statement, it was clear to any intelligent	24	assimilate it and digest it, had I known then, the
25	reader that this was a statement that had been very	25	material which Quinn Emanuel chose to share with us in
	Page 49		Page 51
1	carefully put together.	1	this morning's letter, as opposed to Mr Bronfentrinker's
2	I think I remarked at the time, on Wednesday	2	witness statement, I would of course, have at least
3	morning, at the expression in paragraph 6 in the fourth	3	considered whether these were matters I wanted to
4	line of "I came to learn of", and I definitely remember	4	explore with Mr Notley.
5	referring to the point at paragraph 7 about "who	5	Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement, as I say, it
6	I understood", in the second paragraph.	6	is very carefully crafted. One can think of a number of
7	Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement does not	7	epithets to describe the witness statement on the one
8	reveal the full picture, as we now know from the Quinn	8	hand and the letter on the other, but I venture to
9	Emanuel letter. What he says in his witness statement	9	suggest that the late Lord Bingham would describe this
10	at paragraph 2 is that:	10	as a rather surprising statement to have produced, given
11	"Further to Quinn Emanuel's letter to Eversheds on	11	the fuller picture which we now understand, set out in
12	5 January which enclosed by way of disclosure and	12	the Quinn Emanuel letter. Because it is clear from
13	inspection, three audio files and the convenience	13	paragraph 5 of the Quinn Emanuel letter that
14	transcription."	14	Mr Bronfentrinker and Mr Notley had a conversation in
15	In paragraph 3, he says, chanting the usual mantra:	15	the first half of December and Mr Bronfentrinker then
16	"Save for the contrary as stated, the facts and	16	explains in paragraph 5 that what appears to be
17	matters set out in this witness statement are within my	17	a conscious decision was taken, not to explore this
18	knowledge and are true. To the extent that they are not	18	particular avenue. If I may say so, he was entirely
19	within my knowledge, I state the source of my knowledge	19	right to do so. And the first thoughts would have been
20	and confirm it is true to best of my information and	20	where matters ought to have rested.
21	belief."	21	It was decided at that stage, not to divert
22	And in paragraph 6 he says:	22	resources in pursuit of a rumoured recording which may
23	"The recordings were received from X [and he gives	23	or may not have existed with unknown content.
24	his role], who had obtained them on [and then we see the	24	What happened then was, we have paragraph 6 of the
25	words in yellow] own initiative, prior to any contact	25	Quinn Emanuel letter which is, if I may respectfully say
	Page 50		Page 52
	1 11/50 0 0		1 1150 0=

1	so, a thoroughly objectionable paragraph to put in	1	do contain information that is relevant to the issues in
2	a witness statement, seeking to give evidence of matters	2	dispute."
3	that they are not able then, to give evidence about.	3	Now, as I pointed out yesterday, that is not
4	Passing over that, in paragraph 7, we are then told.	4	actually a test for disclosure but, nonetheless, they
5	"As part of those ongoing efforts to obtain direct	5	were disclosed and inspection was given of them.
6	evidence from agents an associate working on this matter	6	So those are documents.
7	had discussions with Tollers."	7	(Transcript Redacted)
8	There is then reference to a conversation which took	8	MR WOOLFE: Sir, can that please be removed from the
9	place on 23 January and then further down that paragraph	9	transcript.
10	we are told, having received confirmation for the first	10	THE CHAIRMAN: I think that needs to be. These things do
11	time that audio recordings did in fact exist of meetings	11	happen.
12	in Northern Ireland, on 23 January the associate	12	MR MACLEAN: At page 32 is the document with the bullet
13	contacted Mr Notley.	13	points and the fifth bullet point. Will the Tribunal
14	Again, none of that is referred to in	14	just read that one to itself, beginning "What", and the
15	Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement which as I say,	15	last one beginning "Feature", is clearly a document
16	starts the clock in paragraph 7, at 26 January and can	16	which was properly disclosed, whatever view one might
17	at least be read as being the first real involvement	17	have taken of the disclosure or otherwise of the rest of
18	that Quinn Emanuel had. But we know that that's not the	18	the transcript.
19	case from the Quinn Emanuel letter this morning.	19	So the audio files and convenience transcript are
20	So the first point is that the way in which the	20	documents which may or may not be disclosed. What then
21	matter has been presented, is that leaves very much to	21	happens is other documents have been created with the
22	be desired. But then coming to what we actually have	22	help, it seems, of external transcribers from Northern
23	and what are the legal principles and what do we	23	Ireland and as Mr Bronfentrinker explains, with the
24	actually have here, Mr Bronfentrinker's witness	24	input of his own team. See paragraph 15:
25	statement is couched in terms of disclosure and	25	"My team was able to fill in certain gaps because of
	Page 53		Page 55
1	inspection. The Quinn Emanuel letter of 1.51 this	1	our familiarity with the issues being discussed."
2	morning, not the one I have just been dealing with, but	2	One question which arises then, are those newly
3	the shorter one, sent to the registrar of this tribunal,	3	created documents, those transcripts, are they within
4	says:	4	standard disclosure? Are they documents which give rise
5	"Further to the hearing(Reading to the words)	5	to inspection?
6	to admit into evidence, the audio recordings and	6	But what Mr Woolfe wants to do is something quite
7	transcripts."	7	different. He wants to rely, as I understand it, on
8	Now, what we have here, first of all, Quinn Emanuel	8	these transcripts as evidence; as evidence presumably,
9	got three audio files and the convenience transcription.	9	of the truth of the contents of the information set out
10	Now those clearly are documents. They are documents	10	in the transcripts.
11	within the rules and if those documents were within	11	I haven't listened to the audio recordings but I am
12	standard disclosure and they come into the lap of	12	told that at least two of the three audio recordings
13	solicitors, then of course, the solicitors are under an	13	jump around, move around and it is very hard to
14	obligation of continuing disclosure. So if they fell	14	understand what's going on. But if those are documents
15	within CPR 31.6 which is the disclosure rubric applied	15	which have been disclosed and inspected and somebody
16	to these proceedings, then they have to be disclosed and	16	wants to make some point on them, well, they are like
17	provided for inspection and that's what	17	all the other documents, they are in this bundle.
18	Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement is directed	18	But when it comes to the evidence, that is quite
19	towards. And I made the remark yesterday, I think it	19	a different matter. So you will know under the Civil
20	was, when one looks at paragraph 13 of	20	Evidence Act that the evidence, hearsay evidence is
21	Mr Bronfentrinker's statement, what he is saying is	21	a statement made otherwise by a person giving oral
22	that:	22	evidence in proceedings which is tendered as evidence of
23	"Following the review of the content of the audio	23	the matters stated.
24	files and following privileged communications between	24	So what the other side are trying to do is to rely
25	the legal advisers, it was concluded that the recordings	25	on evidence what they call relying on the contents of
	Page 54		Page 56
	1 480 01		1 450 30

these transcripts as evidence of the truth of their contents. But the Tribunal can have no confidence about the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the provenance and safe keeping of the original material the topick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't know and they don't know, whether this is only the middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe the tribunal can have no assurance about the safe the recordings were made or since the recordings were the tribunal can have no assurance about the safe the tribunal can have no assurance about the safe the tribunal can have no assurance about the safe the recordings w	this now.
2 contents. But the Tribunal can have no confidence about the 4 provenance and safe keeping of the original material 5 which are the audio tapes. We don't know, for example, 6 to pick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't 7 know and they don't know whether this is only the 6 middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and 10 the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe 11 custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time 12 the recordings were made or since the recordings were 13 made. 14 My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very 15 many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What 16 we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at 17 entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going 18 on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the 19 meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we 10 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 22 as as, just after Mr Fereman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 12 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 12 read -	this now.
But the Tribunal can have no confidence about the 4 provenance and safe keeping of the original material 5 which are the audio tapes. We don't know, for example, 6 to pick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't 7 know and they don't know, whether this is only the 9 middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and 10 the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe 11 custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time 12 the recordings were made or since the recordings were 13 made. 14 My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very 15 many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What 16 we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at 17 entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going 18 on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the 19 meetings is in cahoost with F1? We don't know. If we 20 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided:" MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: he making a direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mutual. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: he making a direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mutual. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: he making a direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mutual. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Tha	this now.
which are the audio tapes. We don't know, for example, to pick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't know and they don't know, whether the whole meetings were taped. They don't know whether this is only the middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time the recordings were made or since the recording were and it is page 51 of the transcript, that is the matter that tra	this now.
which are the audio tapes. We don't know, for example, to pick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't know and they don't know, whether the whole meetings were taped. They don't know whether this is only the middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time the recordings were made or since the recor	this now.
6 to pick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't know and they don't know, whether the whole meetings were taped. They don't know whether this is only the middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe 11 custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time 12 the recordings were made or since the recordings were made. My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very 15 many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What 16 we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at 17 entrapment. There is a surreptitous recording going 18 on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the 19 meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we don't know. If we 19 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 10 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 10 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can, I think, the better course is simply to 10 look at particular and the page 44 of the transcript, we can, I don't think it is necessary, always have an in camera version of the transcript we can, I don't think it is necessary. If the details that but I think the better course is simply to 10 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can, I don't think it is necessary. If the details that but I think the better course is simply to 12 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can, I don't think it is necessary. If the CHAIRMAN: As I say, Mr Maclean, these things and it is just a question of dealing w	this now.
7	this now.
were taped. They don't know whether this is only the middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time the recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, we might as well nai It is page 51 of the transcript, that is the matter that I am concerned about and I am making a direction the recordings were made. The CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, we might as well nai It is page 51 of the transcript, that is the matter that I am concerned about and I am making a direction that reference be removed from the public version of transcript. We can, I don't think it is necessary, always have an in camera version of the transcript wing details that but I think the better course is simply to excise that reference. MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a probler very sorry. The CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction of the previous page and he just about read— MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at FI "Well, I decided: " MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at FI "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular, that having heard it, they should not in particular, that having heard it, they should not in particular, that having heard it, they should not in particular, that having heard it, they should not in particular, that having heard it, they should not in particular. To any third party. MR WOOLFE: A nay other person who's not in — THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be excise that reference be removed from the public version of transcript. We can, I don't think it is	at
middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time the recordings were made or since the recordings were made. My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to the bottom of the previous page and he just about read MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. Page 57 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, we might as well nai It is page 51 of the transcript, that is the matter that I am concerned about and I am making a direction the that reference. Be removed from the public version of transcript. We can, I don't think it is necessary, always have an in camera version of the transcript wid details that but I think the better course is simply to excise that reference. MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a probler very sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: As I say, Mr Maclean, these things and it is just a question of dealing with it. MR WOOLFE: Sir MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not Page 59 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: A further down from where he stopped, if you look at Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Then J will make an order in thos	at
the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time the recordings were made or since the recordings were and transcript. We can, I don't think it is necessary, always have an in camera version of the transcript we details that but I think the better course is simply to excise that reference. The CHAIRMAN: As I say, for I have caused a probler very sorry. THE CHAIRMAN: As I say, for Maclean, these things and it is just a question of dealing with it. THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction a particular - everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not be a particular - everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not made a particular - everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not made a particular - everybody in the roo	
the recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made or since the recordings were made or since the recording store of transcript. We can, I don't think it is necessary, always have an in camera version of the transcript we details that but I think the better course is simply to excise that reference. The CHAIRMAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a probler very sorry. The CHAIRMAN: As I say, Mr Maclean, these things and it is just a question of dealing with it. The CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction in respect of a particular - everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not part within Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not part within Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not part within Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not part within Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not part within Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not part within Agents' Mu in particular. The CHAIRMAN: To any third party. The CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they	
the recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The recordings were made or since the recordings were made. The made. My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to the bottom of the previous page and he just about read — MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided:" MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in — THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party within Ag Mutual. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in — THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	the
made. My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What details that but I think the better course is simply to details that but I think the better course is simply to excise that reference. MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a probler on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to the bottom of the previous page and he just about read - most page and he just about of the Competition Act. MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular, that having heard it, they should not the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in	
many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to the bottom of the previous page and he just about read MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party within Ag MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be excise that treference. MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a probler very sorry. MR MACLEAN: I substance question of dealing with it. MR WOOLFE: Sir THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not Page 59 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	
15 many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What 16 we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at 17 entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going 18 on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the 19 meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we 20 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. 16 details that but I think the better course is simply to 26 excise that reference. 17 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a problem very sorry. 29 and it is just a question of dealing with it. 20 and it is just a question of dealing with it. 21 MR WOOLFE: Sir 22 THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction in respect of 23 a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu 25 in particular, that having heard it, they should not 25 Page 59 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 3 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	nich
16 we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at 17 entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going 18 on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the 19 meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we 20 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read - 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. Page 57 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a probler very sorry. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: As I say, Mr Maclean, these things and it is just a question of dealing with it. 21 MR WOOLFE: Sir 22 THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction in respect of 23 a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu 25 in particular, that having heard it, they should not Page 59 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 4 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	
17 entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going 18 on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the 19 meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we 20 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. 10 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a problem were says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 26 and it is just a question of dealing with it. 27 MR WOOLFE: Sir 28 MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of 29 a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu 29 in particular, that having heard it, they should not 20 Page 57 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided:" 1 disclose it. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 3 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	
on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to the bottom of the previous page and he just about read— MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: As I say, Mr Maclean, these things and it is just a question of dealing with it. MR WOOLFE: Sir THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not Page 59 MR MOOLFE: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag MR WOOLFE: To any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: That does sound fair enough to me. THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	ı, I am
19 meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we 20 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. 26 Page 57 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 4 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 5 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 6 MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular, that having heard it, they should not 25 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 26 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 27 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 3 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	•
20 look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. 26 Page 57 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 4 MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of 24 a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu 25 in particular, that having heard it, they should not 26 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 27 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 3 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag 3 Mutual. 4 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 5 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 6 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	do happen
21 says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to 22 the bottom of the previous page and he just about 23 read - 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. 26 Page 57 27 THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction in respect of 28 a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu 29 in particular, that having heard it, they should not 20 Page 59 21 MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of 22 a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu 23 in particular, that having heard it, they should not 25 Interpretation of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 26 It disclose it. 27 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 28 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Agents' Mu 29 Mutual. 20 MR WOOLFE: Sir - 20 MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of 20 Angents' Mu 21 Agents' Mu 22 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 29 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 20 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 20 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 21 THE CHAIRMAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	**
the bottom of the previous page and he just about read MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention of the Competition Act. MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag Mutual. MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag Mutual. MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular. THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag Mutual. MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular. THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag Mutual. MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular. THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: The I will make an order in thos	
23 read — 24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of a particular — everybody in the room but Agents' Mu in particular, that having heard it, they should not Page 59 1 disclose it. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. 3 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in — 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
24 MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention 25 of the Competition Act. Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 26 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 37 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 48 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " MR WOOLFE: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR WOCLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. MR WOOLFE: That does sound fair enough to me. MR WOOLFE: That does sound fair enough to me. MR WOOLFE: That does sound fair enough to me.	
25 of the Competition Act. Page 57 Page 59 1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 4 You look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 5 MR WOOLFE: To any third party. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	ual
Page 57 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. MR WOOLFE: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
1 MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the 2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be 8 enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
2 last line of 43. Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44, but 3 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if 4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be 8 enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
 just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag MR WOOLFE: To any third party. THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Ag MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those 	
4 you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " 5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
5 Mutual. 6 MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	ents'
7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be 8 enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those	
8 enough. 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	
9 9 MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	e fair
10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	
10 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in thos	
	e terms and
11 we'll get it drawn up.	
12 MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful.	
13 MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir.	
14 (Trancript Redacted) 14 MR MACLEAN: So the transcripts are of tenuous rel	evance, at
15 MR WOOLFE: Sir, can I Mr Maclean has mentioned the name 15 best, to the issues before the Tribunal, for a number	
16 twice now. 16 reasons and Mr Springett touched on this yesterday	
17 THE CHAIRMAN: We do need to be careful. 17 first reason is that we have different portals operating	
18 MR WOOLFE: I think I am going to have to ask the Tribunal 18 in Northern Ireland. Neither Rightmove or Zoopla	ıg
because he directly read the name from the document 19 there. We have a different pricing structure in	C
before. I may have to ask for an order that nobody 20 Northern Ireland, so the OOP rule is different in	C
21 disclose that name. 21 Northern Ireland, as Mr Springett explained yesterd	C
22 THE CHAIRMAN: I have that in mind. 22 Not only are the portals different but the agents	are
23 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, I don't have I have been reading 23 are different. Gascoigne Halman isn't there, doesn't	are
24 from the transcript. 24 have any business in Northern Ireland. I don't	ay.
25 understand but I'll be corrected if I am wrong, that	ay.
	ay.
Page 58 Page 60	ay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Connells has any business, but Connells isn't the defendant.

Moreover, the meetings, the transcripts of which are now in the bundles, are meetings which all took place after, months after, a year after and more, the launch of Agents' Mutual in Great Britain and two of them took place after the launch of Agents' Mutual in OnTheMarket in Northern Ireland.

Now, what we say in effect, can I just show you very quickly, a little bit of law from Phipsons. It is chapter 39. The first bit of this deals with improperly obtained evidence and unlawfully obtained evidence. Can I say just something about that. We don't know, we can't tell, whether this evidence was unlawfully obtained. We don't have enough information to be able to come to a conclusion. It might have been and it might not have been but the Tribunal isn't able to form any view about whether there was some breach of somebody's prevention rights or some other tort. We just don't know.

I accept that as a matter of the jurisdiction of the court or the Tribunal, that even if the evidence was unlawfully obtained, that doesn't mean that the court or the Tribunal automatically will shut it out. I accept that of course.

of page 32, did actually fall within standard disclosure and were properly disclosable, but they have been disclosed and inspected. So on my submission they are essentially, at best, background documents of minimal relevance to the case.

Now, those documents are there and I suppose if Mr Harris wants to put those documents of minimal background relevance to Mr Springett, it won't be the first or possibly the last irrelevant documents he would be putting to Mr Springett. I accept that and the evidence will then be Mr Springett's evidence in answer to whatever questions Mr Harris chooses to put on the basis of those documents.

As it were, so far, so unobjectionable.

But when it comes to putting in as evidence, relying on the truth of the contents of the material in the transcripts, that in our submission, the Tribunal should and must disallow because of all the problems that these documents face. The fact that they start life as transcriptions, poor transcriptions of meetings, where we don't know who's speaking, we don't know all the people there, we can't be sure if they are agents, we don't know whether we have the whole meeting, we don't know how the tape was produced, we don't know where it's been, we don't know who has been looking after it, what

Page 61

Mr Woolfe is, if I may say so, correct to say that the appropriate rules are in the CPR 32.1 and we have set that out in this extract from Phipsons at 39-35:

"The court may control the evidence by giving directions as to the issues in which it requires evidence, being the nature of the evidence which it requires to decide those issues and (c) the way in which the evidence is to be placed before the court."

As Mr Woolfe says, that finds its echo in the rules of this tribunal in rule 55 and, sir, you will recall that how we get into the Tribunal's rules in this case, because this part of the case was trying to open in the Chancery Division, it is a bit of a spaghetti junction through the rules, but we start at rule 72, when we come from the Chancery Division. That then tells us that the competition bit of the case will then be the subject of a case management conference under, I think, rule 54. So 72 drives one, I think from memory, to rule 54 and then we end up at rule 55, dealing with evidence.

The governing principles, as Mr Woolfe said, are rule 4 which echoes, obviously, the overriding objective.

Now, if I put my cards on the table, we are not remotely persuaded that the transcripts, any of them, including the convenience transcript, with the exception

Page 63

the custody or control of it. It is not like a Smith Bernal transcript, where we know it starts at 10.30 in the morning and finishes at 4.30 in the afternoon because that is all set out. It just doesn't provide any sort of basis for the Tribunal to be satisfied that the material can be properly adduced as evidence of the truth of its contents.

What one does normally is to serve a witness

statement from the person who one is wanting to call evidence from, whether it is F1 or male 1 or male 2 or any of these other people, but they haven't done that. And they haven't done that and what they should do is they should be serving witness statements from the people they want to call evidence from and I can then cross-examine. But F1 is not coming. They don't know who F1 is. It is completely unsatisfactory. THE CHAIRMAN: Help me on this, Mr Maclean: you mentioned earlier the Civil Evidence Act and the safeguards that exist in terms of identifying the precise statement that is being relied on. You have to specify all sorts of things like the person who is speaking, the person to whom the statement is made, the gist of the statement

24 MR MACLEAN: Yes.

and various other details.

25 THE CHAIRMAN: And, of course, one has to do that on good

Page 62

Page 64

1	notice and, naturally, those times can be abridged but	1	submission is that this Tribunal should in its
2	there is a formal process for the production of that	2	discretion refuse to admit the transcripts as evidence
3	sort of evidence.	3	on the basis that a conscious decision, it would seem,
4	MR MACLEAN: Yes.	4	was taken in December not to pursue this line, nothing
5	THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal, unless you want to correct me,	5	to suggest that if Mr Bronfentrinker had taken
6	doesn't operate on strict rules of evidence.	6	a different view from the view he expresses in the
7	MR MACLEAN: No, that's true.	7	middle of paragraph 5 that we would be in the position
8	THE CHAIRMAN: So my question to you is this: accepting, as	8	that we find ourselves in of this evidence being, if
9	I entirely do, that the requirements of the Civil	9	that what it is, being dropped on us at the time that it
10	Evidence Act are extremely sensible requirements in	10	was. That clearly goes to the discretion of the
11	order to assess the probative value of material don't	11	Tribunal to admit these documents as evidence.
12	all the points you are making to me and, indeed, I made	12	My primary submission is that the Tribunal should
13	to Mr Woolfe, simply go to the question of weight? We	13	make it clear and make it clear that it is not and
14	look at this document for what it is worth. We hear for	14	should decline in the exercise of its rule 55.1(b)
15	what it is worth questions that are put to Mr Springett	15	power, to decline to admit these transcripts as
16	if questions are put and we take a view but we obviously	16	evidence.
17	have to include in that view all of the points regarding	17	I accept however, that that doesn't mean that the
18	origin and reliability and information that could but	18	documents somehow disappear in a puff of smoke. They
19	has not been provided regarding these transcripts and we	19	have been disclosed. They have been given to us. I am
20	reach a view as to what it is worth.	20	not sure why they have been disclose. They have been
21	MR MACLEAN: In essence I see the force of that because	21	given to us. We have got them and you have got them and
22	what, sir, you are saying in effect is that in the	22	Mr Harris has got them and he can ask Mr Springett
23	absence of the strict rules of evidence that would apply	23	questions but the evidence will be Mr Springett's
24	in the commercial courts in the Chancery Division then	24	evidence and not the material in the transcript. That is
25	the distinction between a document which has been	25	my primary submission as to what the correct conclusion
23	the distinction between a document which has been	23	my primary submission as to what the correct conclusion
	Page 65		Page 67
1	disclosed for an inspection and relying on the truth of	1	of this is.
2	its contents in this rather formal and important process	2	That's really the long and short of it. This is
3	is somewhat eroded in this Tribunal. I see the force of	3	a very curious application and the way in which it has
4	that.	4	been deployed taking it at face value as I do obviously
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Normally that's something which	5	the Quinn Emanuel letter of this morning, the
6	both counsel and the Tribunal welcome with open arms,	6	circumstances in which that information was not provided
7	the ability to simply look at documents and treat them	7	in the witness statement on Tuesday is most unfortunate
8	as evidence and let everything go to weight.	8	because Mr Notley is clearly involved in this and he was
9	MR MACLEAN: Yes.	9	in the witness box at the time and that can't have
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Here it may be we are in a situation where it	10	escaped the attention of those who were preparing this
11	would perhaps be better that the strict rules of	11	material and that is, as I say, the late Lord Bingham I
12	evidence apply because then Mr Woolfe would make an	12	think would describe as rather surprising. For our part
13	application which would have to be firmly targeted to	13	consider it to be rather unfortunate.
14	certain requirements and those requirements simply don't	14	But as Mr Woolfe would say, we are where we are and
15	exist here.	15	in my submission, the Tribunal ought to exercise its
16	MR MACLEAN: I see the force of the point but what I do	16	powers in the way I have indicated. Unless I can help
17	submit is that the observations that I have been making	17	you any further, those are my submissions.
18	on the basis of the distinction between a document	18	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, so it is really a choice, looking at our
19	as stuff, background stuff that Mr Harris can put along	19	powers on rule 55 of either positively excluding the
20	with other ten thousands of pages of background stuff to	20	evidence, subject of course, to what you call the
21	Mr Springett on the one hand and relying on something as	21	document being put to Mr Springett, if Mr Harris chooses
22	evidence on the other hand is clearly something that the	22	to do so
23	Tribunal has a discretion to deal with under rule 55.	23	MR MACLEAN: Yes.
24	Now, in circumstances where, I come back to the	24	THE CHAIRMAN: against allowing that in any event and not
25	Quinn Emanuel letter at paragraph 5 my primary	25	excluding the material.
	-		
	Page 66		Page 68
			17 (Dagga (5 to (9)

1			
1	MR MACLEAN: And then	1	the kind of points which you came back with yesterday
2	THE CHAIRMAN: And letting it all go to weight.	2	afternoon. Who was it made by, how did it come into our
3	MR MACLEAN: Yes, that's right. The pick up on the	3	possession? And that's what it did.
4	discussion you had with Mr Woolfe, of course if it be	4	We didn't understand, nor do we normally set out an
5	the case that X is not content for his identity to be	5	exhaustive blow by blow account of the investigations
6	revealed, then that obviously, in my submission, weighs	6	which you undertook, in order to track everybody down
7	heavily in the scales in favour of my submission, which	7	that might have introduced some piece of evidence.
8	is that the Tribunal should draw a distinction between	8	There has been a lot of work going on for many months,
9	these materials as evidence and say: it is not evidence,	9	seeking to obtain various pieces of evidence and my
10	we are not allowing it to be treated as evidence. It is	10	solicitors have spoken to a lot of people and we haven't
11	merely stuff and it is like all the other stuff. And	11	given the other side a full account of every single
12	Mr Harris can ask Mr Springett questions and then we can	12	thing we've tried to do, nor do we propose to do so
13	make submissions in closing on the basis of	13	because it is privileged. Mr Bronfentrinker did
14	Mr Springett's evidence because it would be his evidence	14	something entirely proper which explains to the Tribunal
15	and not the transcript itself.	15	where the provenance or what the provenance of these
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Springett, I don't want to anticipate but	16	documents was. When some further queries were raised
17	Mr Springett is likely to say something like "I see the	17	yesterday afternoon, the best way of responding, just to
18	words on the page but I can't say anything more."	18	make clear he says: what he didn't know was to give
19	MR HARRIS: I am a little unhappy about Mr Springett is	19	a full account of that investigation that was undertaken
20	in the room.	20	and we thought it only fair to mention the fact that we
21	THE CHAIRMAN: He is, yes.	21	did hear of it by way of a rumour some months ago.
22	MR HARRIS: He can give his evidence without there being any	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Just bear with me one moment. (Pause).
23	suggestion as to what that evidence may be.	23	Mr Woolfe, it may be we can cut this a little
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, it seems to me to be a material	24	shorter. You are telling me that the timing and content
25	factor for our consideration. If you want me to reach	25	and production of both the letter and the statement is
			-
	Page 69		Page 71
1	a decision without airing a point that I think is	1	entirely a matter of administrative work as to when it
2	important, then I'll do that of course.	2	was done?
3	MR HARRIS: Sir, I simply put the marker down.	3	MR WOOLFE: Indeed, sir.
	THE CHAIRMAN: I am quite sure that Mr Springett knows his	1	
4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: I am quite sure that Mr Springett knows his obligations to tell the truth the whole truth and	4	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that?
5	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and	4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely.
5 6	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by	4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely
5 6 7	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on	4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the
5 6 7 8	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says.	4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of
5 6 7 8 9	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that.	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was
5 6 7 8 9	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to reveal the involvement of Mr Notley."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point. MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to reveal the involvement of Mr Notley." The purpose of this Bronfentrinker witness statement	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point. MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. Now I turn to the mention of whether or not these
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to reveal the involvement of Mr Notley." The purpose of this Bronfentrinker witness statement was to explain the provenance of the recordings that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point. MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. Now I turn to the mention of whether or not these are evidence and whether they are hearsay evidence and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to reveal the involvement of Mr Notley." The purpose of this Bronfentrinker witness statement was to explain the provenance of the recordings that we had actually obtained, where they actually came from, so	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point. MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. Now I turn to the mention of whether or not these are evidence and whether they are hearsay evidence and so on. The transcripts have been exhibited to a witness
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to reveal the involvement of Mr Notley." The purpose of this Bronfentrinker witness statement was to explain the provenance of the recordings that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point. MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. Now I turn to the mention of whether or not these are evidence and whether they are hearsay evidence and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on what he says. MR HARRIS: I accept that. MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my submissions. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing I should respond to is the criticism of Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth witness statement and I am going to respond to this fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to reveal the involvement of Mr Notley." The purpose of this Bronfentrinker witness statement was to explain the provenance of the recordings that we had actually obtained, where they actually came from, so	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely neutrally, was that the material in terms of the involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of 1.52 this morning, whenever it was MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but that is simply the way statements fell. MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address us any further on that point. MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. Now I turn to the mention of whether or not these are evidence and whether they are hearsay evidence and so on. The transcripts have been exhibited to a witness

1	evidence. They are documents and they are if I can	1	these are full or not. I have already addressed you on
2	just really go back to basics. They are indisputable	2	that point. Just to observe that the April one, at
3	evidence as to their contents, not as to the truth of	3	least, does start off with them saying: right, who is
4	their contents but as to their contents. They are these	4	going to get us started and ends with saying "Thank you
5	documents and they are evidence further, of what is in	5	all for coming." So on its face, it appears to be
6	the recordings. We would also say they are evidence of	6	complete.
7	what was being said in these meetings. You see there	7	Mr Maclean said that these are of tenuous relevance
8	was a chain of inferences about the accuracy of the	8	at best, and he referred to the fact that there is
9	transcript and the recordings and so on but they are	9	a different pricing structure in Northern Ireland and
10	evidence of what was said in those meetings. We rely on	10	things are different can I ask you to turn to bundle
11	them for that purpose.	11	X, tab 33. This was a letter received from Eversheds on
12	It is then a step further, whether we rely upon what	12	2 February which the night before trial was, by pure
13	was said in the meeting, for the truth of what was said	13	coincidence, around the time we happened to be receiving
14	in the meeting. And that is when hearsay becomes	14	the recordings on that day, as you will know from
15	relevant. If the people in that room are saying things	15	Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement but that is pure
16	about what they have done, a statement of fact, as it	16	coincidence. An issue had arisen between the parties in
17	were, if we were to rely upon that, that would then be	17	correspondence about the difference in the OOP rule in
18	hearsay. However, to take the example of somebody	18	the UK between Great Britain and Northern Ireland and
19	saying: can we take a straw poll? Who's going to do	19	the fact that Northern Ireland have this they can
20	what? Now, the people may have been lying to each other	20	comply with the OOP rule on a property by property basis
21	about the intentions or they may have believed something	21	rather than for a period of 12 months. That appears
22	different, we don't know, but it is not hearsay evidence	22	from the paragraph that's numbered 1 and I think that we
23	to say: this is evidence of people in the room,	23	knew that it was a different rule but the time of it was
24	exchanging views as to what their competitors'	24	new.
25	intentions are. There is direct evidence of that. As	25	This letter goes on to say:
			a the general transfer
	Page 73		Page 75
		_	
1	you say it is not entirely reliable because of the	1	"This approach reflects the different marketing
1	you say it is not entirely reliable because of the	1 2	"This approach reflects the different marketing
2	points you made, sir, these are different points but	2	conditions existing within the province, where the two
2 3	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question	2 3	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and
2 3 4	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made.	2 3 4	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News"
2 3 4 5	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this	2 3 4 5	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera.
2 3 4 5 6	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is	2 3 4 5 6	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at?
2 3 4 5 6 7	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what	2 3 4 5 6 7	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of letting it in, addressing these points by reference to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of letting it in, addressing these points by reference to weight, bearing in mind the lateness of it or as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded a market no wider than the UK.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of letting it in, addressing these points by reference to weight, bearing in mind the lateness of it or as Mr Maclean now suggests, simply allowing it to be put in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded a market no wider than the UK. MR FREEMAN: This goes to the relevant geographical market.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of letting it in, addressing these points by reference to weight, bearing in mind the lateness of it or as Mr Maclean now suggests, simply allowing it to be put in cross-examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded a market no wider than the UK. MR FREEMAN: This goes to the relevant geographical market. Is that what you are saying?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of letting it in, addressing these points by reference to weight, bearing in mind the lateness of it or as Mr Maclean now suggests, simply allowing it to be put in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded a market no wider than the UK. MR FREEMAN: This goes to the relevant geographical market.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	points you made, sir, these are different points but this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question of the specific reliance that is made. And so generally speaking we are talking about this as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what is being done in the meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was hearsay. MR WOOLFE: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was simply a question of reading the statement and saying: well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind of B? MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion and the court has other ones which are identified of letting it in, addressing these points by reference to weight, bearing in mind the lateness of it or as Mr Maclean now suggests, simply allowing it to be put in cross-examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	conditions existing within the province, where the two(Reading to the words) PropertyPal and Property News" Et cetera. MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered paragraph. So this is providing further information to us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to different market conditions. This is the first time on which it was ever suggested to us that competitive conditions were different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention about that. MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded a market no wider than the UK. MR FREEMAN: This goes to the relevant geographical market. Is that what you are saying?

1	Ireland having different competitive conditions. That	1	is a bit of a pause.
2	may go to market definition, but it may go to the extent	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. The problem is your transcript doesn't
3	to which we can rely upon the Northern Irish version of	3	have the times at all.
4	the OOP rule as being a less restrictive alternative,	4	MR WOOLFE: No, sir, it doesn't. The switching around of
5	for example. All I am saying is this is the first time	5	the numbers on the other pages, that could simply be
6	that that point was ever put and we do have in the	6	a typo. It is a bit of a strong inference to assume
7	transcripts one relevance of them is that they do	7	that somebody is tampering.
8	show people talking about the different competitive	8	THE CHAIRMAN: I raised them as a possibility. I don't
9	conditions in Northern Ireland, so it's a chance to have	9	think we are at the level of inference. I think we are
10	a little window into that world.	10	at the level of not knowing.
11	MR FREEMAN: But your clients must be aware of different	11	MR WOOLFE: Illustrating the problems but not knowing.
12	market conditions in Northern Ireland and the different	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly.
13	portals.	13	MR WOOLFE: I entirely accept that, sir, to be fair.
14	MR WOOLFE: We were aware of different portals but my	14	MR FREEMAN: It is absolutely clear that there are no other
15	client so Gascoigne Halman and Connells, the owner,	15	convenience transcripts; is that right?
16	don't operate in Northern Ireland, sir.	16	MR WOOLFE: I believe that is right. I need to double
17	MR FREEMAN: So Northern Ireland hasn't figured in the	17	check. To the best of our knowledge, there are no
18		18	others.
19	dispute so far. MR WOOLFE: There is an evidential dispute between the	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Bronfentrinker, I think, is fairly
20	parties about the extent to which the Northern Irish	20	there may be but, again, you don't know if there are.
21	version of the rule which we would say is less	21	MR WOOLFE: Yes, sir. Again, to the best of my knowledge,
22	restrictive, can be used as a relative comparator,	22	there aren't any recordings. Sir, unless I can assist
23	considering objective necessity and indispensability and	23	you further.
24	so forth and it is made in that context. I am simply	24	THE CHAIRMAN: One point, Mr Woolfe, at the risk of
25	saying that is an issue which is raised and it's	25	provoking Mr Harris's ire, I do think it important to
23	saying that is an issue which is faised and it's	23	provoking ivit Hairiss ne, i do dinik it important to
	Page 77		Page 79
1	evidence relevant to that issue. I am not making	1	the discretionary question that we have got here.
2	a criticism now, of things not being	2	I mean, if Mr Springett had been intensely involved in
3	MR FREEMAN: Thank you.	3	the recordings, the meetings that are recorded, then one
4	MR WOOLFE: Sir, just finally, dealing with the point	4	can see that cross-examination would create evidence
5	I think that you raised, regarding the timings on the	5	which would be helpful for the Tribunal, were it minded
6	convenience transcript. Can I say, sir, that is one of	6	to understand more about these meetings, to do so.
7	the reasons why we don't rely upon the transcript.	7	If, on the other hand, and I may be wrong,
8	There may have been errors in its compilation. We rely	8	Mr Springett's line is, "I wasn't there", the exclusion
9	as our description of what was said, on our own	9	of his evidence is more serious than were Mr Springett
10	transcript from the same recording and I accept that	10	to have been present and cross-examination to have some
11	point, sir. Just to take an example, I think you	11	mileage. Are you suggesting that is a relevant factor
12	pointed out on page 1 of that transcript to the fact	12	we ought to take into account?
13	that there was a considerable gap between 2.38 and 3.38.	13	MR WOOLFE: Sir, I would say that is a relevant factor. As
14	Is that right, sir?	14	I apprehend it, I don't think we would be intending to
15	THE CHAIRMAN: That was one example, yes.	15	ask Mr Springett questions that would verify the things
16	MR WOOLFE: to point out, "Does anyone want to raise	16	that are said because he wasn't there.
17	anything or say anything to get us started?" What we	17	THE CHAIRMAN: No, indeed, you very fairly made that point
18	have in square brackets is a new person. As you can	18	in opening.
19	see, in our version of the transcript which is at	19	MR WOOLFE: What this means to him as the chief executive of
20	page 47, there is a break between the two speakers. You	20	a company who is represented in these meetings and
21	can see that it is a new voice comes in. That confirms	21	so on. But, obviously, that is not asking him to
22	our listening to the transcript. In our recording, it	22	verify Thank you, sir.
23	is a new person.	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Woolfe.
24	The natural thing, when you walk into a meeting and	24	There being no reason that the cross-examination of
25	say: right, who wants to get us started, is that there	25	Mr Springett can't proceed, I am minded to hand down
	Page 78		Page 80

1	a ruling first thing tomorrow morning rather than	1	Northern Ireland and I just want to explore with you,
2	2 o'clock, which was my initial thinking. I think it	2	one or two factual matters that you will be pleased to
3	will be likely to be a more ragged ruling at 2 o'clock	3	hear are not in the transcript of Northern Ireland but
4	than first thing tomorrow, so unless you have any	4	they are in this rather unwieldy bundle X, if that could
5	problem with that, that's what I intend to do.	5	be handed to you. The Tribunal may still have it open
6	MR HARRIS: Sir, subject only to this consideration: there	6	at tab 33.
7	are one or two passages that I would be minded to ask	7	Mr Springett, what you ought to have at the first
8	Mr Springett questions that bear upon one or two	8	page of that tab, is a letter from your solicitors on
9	passages in the transcript. If you would prefer, I can	9	the night before trial, 2 September; do you have that?
10	avoid doing that at all today but that would be on the	10	A. I do.
11	understanding that if allowed to do so after the ruling	11	Q. And as well as providing some additional information
12	tomorrow, it would be a little bit out of order. Now,	12	about the duration of 12 months of a less restrictive
13	I can manage that but I am in your hands.	13	rule in Northern Ireland, you attach and
14	THE CHAIRMAN: No, I don't understand that to be a problem	14	incidentally, that is under the form of a statement of
15	unless Mr Maclean is going to leap up and say it is	15	truth. Is that your signature on the second page?
16	a problem. As I understood the position, we are not	16	A. Yes.
17	talking about putting these materials in	17	Q. You have attached your side has attached some new
18	cross-examination. Mr Maclean is perfectly relaxed	18	documents and it is those that I just want to take you
19	about that. I see him not standing up, so that is	19	to for a moment. In my copy of the bundle beginning
20	helpful. It is really much more whether the material	20	about eight to ten pages in at 255, there is a copy of
21	should be given any weight, absent Mr Springett's	21	a contract. Do you have that?
22	evidence, and that I think, is the question that the	22	A. I do.
23	Tribunal has to resolve. I see Mr Maclean nodding.	23	Q. And it is my understanding from the contents of the
24	MR MACLEAN: Yes, I am nodding, yes. Exactly.	24	letter that this is a contract that applies in Northern
25	THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, we'll let you proceed in your	25	Ireland and that's why it has been provided to us.
	Page 81		Page 83
1	own way. Mr Harris	1	Lannreciate there are no names on it but that is your
1	own way, Mr Harris.	1 2	I appreciate there are no names on it but that is your
2	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire	2	understanding as well, isn't it?
2 3	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself.	2 3	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes.
2 3 4	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris.	2 3 4	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter
2 3 4 5	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group.	2 3 4 5	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254,
2 3 4 5 6	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire.	2 3 4 5 6	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience	2 3 4 5 6 7	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris. MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris. MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris. MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued). MR HARRIS: Good morning, Mr Springett. Just you and I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That's what I thought. It is just that I see I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris. MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued). MR HARRIS: Good morning, Mr Springett. Just you and I have had slightly more of a back seat role this morning but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That's what I thought. It is just that I see I don't know if you can assist me with this or perhaps we need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris. MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued). MR HARRIS: Good morning, Mr Springett. Just you and I have had slightly more of a back seat role this morning but funnily enough, we finished the day, yesterday,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That's what I thought. It is just that I see I don't know if you can assist me with this or perhaps we need a letter in due course, but the contract begins by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire myself. MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience this morning with the slightly longer submissions than were intended. THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for the transcribers? MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the transcribers indicate that they would like a break before the short adjournment, we can do so. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can manage it, Mr Harris. MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued). MR HARRIS: Good morning, Mr Springett. Just you and I have had slightly more of a back seat role this morning but funnily enough, we finished the day, yesterday, Mr Springett I know you realise you are still under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understanding as well, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, there is in fact well, let's see if we can have a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie James or A. Everybody. Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been varied formally by contract variation, for those firms that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? A. Via this variation, yes, for the first 12 months. Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right? A. Correct. Q. That's what I thought. It is just that I see I don't know if you can assist me with this or perhaps we need a letter in due course, but the contract begins by saying the variation on 254:

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

1	clause 4 of the legal contract and this variation is	1	which they compete" might be easier.
2	valid only for a period of 12 months from	2	MR HARRIS: The reason I used the phrase, sir, but I am
3	15 March 2016."	3	happy to replace that is another word which occurs in
4	Then it goes on to give a revised version of the OOP	4	this case, replace, but I will change "parameter" for
5	rule. That's right, isn't it?	5	"weight", but the reason I used that in particular, when
6	A. Clearly it is right.	6	I was exploring with Mr Springett that particular
7	Q. But in fact, the clause that needs to be varied is	7	formulation, is it is the way the CMA puts it.
8	clause 5, isn't it?	8	MR FREEMAN: That is not so surprising.
9	A. That is right.	9	MR HARRIS: Do you see, Mr Springett, on 5395, under the
10	Q. So as far as you are aware, that is just a mistake in	10	first emboldened subheading, second sentence:
11	number?	11	"The CMA considers that the number and identity of
12	A. I am afraid so, yes.	12	portals they list on can be an important parameter of
13	Q. And in any event, the point of the formal contract	13	competition for estate agents."
14	variation is that, as you said very fairly yesterday	14	That is right in general, isn't it?
15	towards the end of the day, it produces a less	15	A. As a principle but it wasn't operating that way.
16	restrictive rule for the Northern Irish version, albeit	16	I think that's the difference with what between this
17		17	
	only for a period of 12 months. That is how you		and what I just said.
18	understand it, isn't it?	18	Q. Really? During the course of the remainder of this
19	A. It is.	19	trial we will see lots of examples of where it does work
20	Q. Thank you. We can put away that bundle then, thank you.	20	that way. I am just going to take you to one so we can
21	I just wanted to make sure I had understood it	21	see the operation of that in practice. This is an
22	correctly.	22	example of how it worked in Scotland. If you could take
23	One of the things, Mr Springett, that I explored	23	up bundle number 6, please. And this time turn to
24	with you yesterday, was the quality and practice of you,	24	page 3275C.
25	as the guiding light of Agents' Mutual, for getting	25	A. Yes.
	Page 85		Page 87
1	local groups of agents together in various different	1	Q. So of course, in the usual way, this is an email which
2	regions around the country; yes?	2	starts on the previous page at B, 28 July 2014, from
3	A. Yes.	3	Mr McEwan, who is obviously a senior figure, a chief
4	Q. Sorry, you have to say yes or no.	4	executive of a large grouping not grouping, firm in
5	A. Yes.	5	Scotland. Do you know that?
	Q. But I am right in saying, am I not, that the members of		A. I do.
6		6	
7	local groupings of estate agents, they would ordinarily	7	Q. And he is writing to Miss Kerr and as I think you said
8	compete with each other as to the number and identity of	8	yesterday, she is the senior Agents' Mutual
9	portals that they choose to list on, wouldn't they?	9	representative in Scotland and Northern Ireland; that is
10	A. Well, I think you heard yesterday from our other	10	right, isn't?
11	witnesses that that really wasn't functioning	11	A. That's correct.
12	particularly well. In practice, people were on two	12	Q. And do you see that towards the bottom of 325B, what
13	portals and they are the ones which mattered.	13	Mr McEwan is explaining is the dynamics of competition
14	Q. I accept that. But we are having a little bit of the	14	as between estate agents, at least in Scotland, and he
15	same skirting as yesterday. In principle, the number	15	says:
16	and identity of the portals that they list on is	16	"Well we were the first agent to promote Zoopla in
17	a parameter of competition as between estate agents;	17	Scotland and the first agent in the ESPC to list with
18	isn't it?	18	Rightmove. Both companies offered us various incentives
19	A. I am not sure I agree with that, depending on when you	19	to get our brand on board and as soon as we did, others
20	are talking about.	20	followed in our footsteps. These portals automatically
21	Q. Let's see what the CMA has to say about this then. If	21	gained significant momentum. as a result of our initial
22	you look in bundle number 10, if you could be provided	22	association."
23			A 1 (1 in
	with that and if you could please turn to page 5395.	23	And so there is an example of, according to
24	MR FREEMAN: Mr Harris, I think "parameter of competition"	24	Mr McEwan, other agents following what his firm is doing
			-

1	competition. Do you accept that?	1	into bed together."
2	A. I can see that's what he says.	2	So you would accept that at least the local agents
3	Q. Then he goes on to spell it out even more concretely,	3	saw context and prospects of getting on to
4	just above the first hole punch. He says:	4	Agents' Mutual as coming together, notwithstanding that
5	"Without MFL on board [that is McEwan Fraser Legal],	5	they were competitors; correct?
6	we would simply end up directly competing against any	6	A. I just highlight the date of this email, 24 July 2013.
7	agents who joined Agents' Mutual, who can't offer the	7	So this is the point in time where the decision agents
8	big two portals to clients and this will provide us with	8	who are considering the Agents' Mutual proposition were
9	a significant competitive advantage."	9	assessing whether it was even going to be viable.
10	Do you see that? So he does regard the number and	10	Q. Yes, I accept that, Mr Springett. That is a perfectly
11	choice of portals, including yours, as being a parameter	11	fair point about timing but, nevertheless, Mr Rook, in
12	or a way of competing with other agents in his locality,	12	this group of agents, is characterising the proposition
13	doesn't he?	13	of getting together and joining Agents' Mutual as being
14	A. Certainly after we came into being, that's true.	14	one between people who compete to the extreme and
15	Q. Of course, one of the aims of the OOP rule is to reduce	15	instead, have to lay down their arms and get into bed
16	that parameter of way of competing, isn't it? Instead	16	together?
17	of being able to have two or three or four or five or	17	A. No, that is not Mr Rook.
18	however many portals the agent may choose to list on,	18	Q. No, I beg your pardon, Mr Pattinson. That is the lens
19	any member of your company is restricted to just two, is	19	through which he is looking at it in part, isn't it?
20	not that member?	20	A. All I can see is what is here.
21	A. That is not the objective.	21	Q. That is a fair point as well. It was in your interests
22	Q. You certainly knew, didn't you, Mr Springett, that part	22	as the architect or as the principal proponent of
23	of what you were trying to achieve through the group	23	Agents' Mutual, to get groups of agents to join it,
24	meetings that we talked about yesterday was to get	24	wasn't it? Because you realised that as a group, they
25	estate agent competitors into bed with each other, as	25	could deliver very significant advantage to a portal?
	Daga 90		Dags 01
	Page 89		Page 91
1	regards at least, the decision to join OTM. That is	1	A. Well, any customer delivers advantage to the portal. I
1 2	regards at least, the decision to join OTM. That is right, isn't it?	1 2	A. Well, any customer delivers advantage to the portal. I am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and
2	regards at least, the decision to join OTM. That is right, isn't it? A. No.		am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and
	right, isn't it? A. No.	2	•
2 3 4	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the	2 3	am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition?
2 3	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on.	2 3 4	am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3	2 3 4 5	am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett.
2 3 4 5 6 7	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an	2 3 4 5 6 7	am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the	2 3 4 5 6	am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it? A. I am not following a joint approach.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And then he says at the end, if you just go to the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it? A. I am not following a joint approach. Q. Well a joint approach between a large number of firms,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And then he says at the end, if you just go to the end of his email:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it? A. I am not following a joint approach. Q. Well a joint approach between a large number of firms, that is what you wanted to get together because that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And then he says at the end, if you just go to the end of his email: "My other concern is to how to get people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it? A. I am not following a joint approach. Q. Well a joint approach between a large number of firms, that is what you wanted to get together because that was the joint grouping that was intended to be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And then he says at the end, if you just go to the end of his email:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it? A. I am not following a joint approach. Q. Well a joint approach between a large number of firms, that is what you wanted to get together because that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right, isn't it? A. No. Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the local groups recognised that that was what was going on. Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 at 13.47D. The document begins on 1347C and it is an email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the north east of England, isn't he? A. Keith Pattinson, yes. Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? A. Yes. Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And then he says at the end, if you just go to the end of his email: "My other concern is to how to get people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and I am using group meetings to present the proposition? Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. A. No. Q. You are saying that any one is good? A. Yes. Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is even better; right? A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one is good on top and then a fourth. Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? A. I don't think I am agreeing. Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large number of firms, in order to protect and defend the interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't you? A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the point, isn't it? A. I am not following a joint approach. Q. Well a joint approach between a large number of firms, that is what you wanted to get together because that was the joint grouping that was intended to be able to

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

1	A. I wanted as many people as possible to join	1	Q. At the time that you wrote them, Mr Springett.
2	Agents' Mutual.	2	A. Well, this is an evolving draft at the end of 2011 which
3	Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, I hadn't quite finished.	3	is two years before we got going.
4	A. I am sorry.	4	Q. And how is that relevant to my question, Mr Springett?
5	Q. It was a joint approach involving a large number of	5	A. Well, because this is an evolving discussion draft. It
6	firms that you wanted to put together, in order to	6	doesn't necessarily represent what the conclusions were
7	defend and promote the interests of the group in the	7	of the steering committee or indeed the company when it
8	portal's market, isn't it?	8	was formed in January 2013.
9	A. Repeated use of the word "group". We put our	9	Q. I see, so the words certainly the approach, I put it
10	proposition out there which was the Agents' Mutual	10	to you, that you had in mind when you wrote them down
11	Limited proposition and we were inviting people to	11	and you go on, don't you, to say later on in the words
12	support it.	12	that aren't crossed out that:
13	Q. You quibble with the wording, Mr Springett, but in fact	13	"We have concluded that a properly constituted and
14	this is your own wording, as I understand it, and	14	resourced joint venture is needed."
15	perhaps you could turn to bundle number 1, page 174.	15	That is not crossed out, is it?
16	May I just have a moment, sir, I may be on the wrong	16	A. No, it isn't.
17	page? Apologies, Mr Springett.	17	Q. So it was a joint and collaborative venture that you
18	This is a document which is one of the earliest	18	were aiming to put together amongst groups of estate
19	iterations of the project Z discussion piece, isn't it?	19	agents, right?
20	A. November 2011.	20	A. It was a joint venture. That's what it says.
21	Q. That is right. I am going to come back to, I think, 174	21	Q. Amongst groups of estate agents, right?
22	in a moment, but now just looking over the page	22	A. It doesn't say that.
23	at 175 I beg your pardon. No my confusion.	23	Q. That is who you are talking about?
24	I have written 174 and everything is on 175. If you go	24	A. It doesn't say that.
25	to the bottom of 175 do you see there is a paragraph	25	Q. I am not asking you what it says. I am asking you who
	Page 93		Page 95
		١.	
1	that begins with some crossed out words and then have	1	is in this joint venture. It is estate agents, isn't
2	some remaining words? Do you have that one?	2	it?
3	A. The last paragraph on that page?	3	A. Other estate agents, absolutely. They all own it.
4	Q. Yes.	4	Q. And indeed in the following line at the bottom of the
5	A. Yes.	5	page:
6	Q. In the second line the words that were were you	6	"Finance policies, membership requirements of such
7	responsible largely for altering this document as well.	7	a joint venture."
8	I think you did say that.	8	You do see this as being a joint collaborative
9	A. I certainly was.	9	venture between estate agents, don't you,
10	Q. And so I think you had originally written down, hadn't	10	Agents' Mutual?
11	you, that:	11	A. We are going to get into a discussion about what a joint
12	"The agents believe a joint approach involving	12	venture is, aren't we?
13	a large number of firms needs to be applied to defend	13	Q. No, we are not. It is a joint and collaborative
14	and promote their interest in the portals market."	14	venture. I am not using that as a legal term of art.
15	A. Sorry, I'm not seeing those words.	15	It is between estate agents, isn't it?
16	Q. They are crossed out in the second and third lines.	16	A. What do you mean by "collaborative"?
17	A. Crossed out?	17	Q. When they jointly get together in order to achieve aims
18	Q. Yes.	18	and objectives together, don't they?
19	A. I can see the words, yes.	19	A. What they've done is invested in and supported a new
			and supported it now
		2.0	venture for which Agents' Mutual Limited is the vehicle
20	Q. And you had written them, right?	20	venture for which Agents' Mutual Limited is the vehicle. O Yes and they jointly invest and collaborate together as
20 21	Q. And you had written them, right?A. Yes, it is my document.	21	Q. Yes, and they jointly invest and collaborate together as
20 21 22	Q. And you had written them, right?A. Yes, it is my document.Q. That is right. So at the time you wrote them you did	21 22	Q. Yes, and they jointly invest and collaborate together as regards the aims of the company of which they are mutual
20 21 22 23	Q. And you had written them, right?A. Yes, it is my document.Q. That is right. So at the time you wrote them you did think there was a joint approach involving a large	21 22 23	Q. Yes, and they jointly invest and collaborate together as regards the aims of the company of which they are mutual members, don't they?
20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you had written them, right?A. Yes, it is my document.Q. That is right. So at the time you wrote them you did think there was a joint approach involving a large number of firms?	21 22 23 24	Q. Yes, and they jointly invest and collaborate together as regards the aims of the company of which they are mutual members, don't they?A. You keep using the word "collaborate" and you haven't
20 21 22 23	Q. And you had written them, right?A. Yes, it is my document.Q. That is right. So at the time you wrote them you did think there was a joint approach involving a large	21 22 23	Q. Yes, and they jointly invest and collaborate together as regards the aims of the company of which they are mutual members, don't they?

a matural company. That means relations between the members thermoleves of the company, doesn't it? A. They are members of the company, doesn't it? A. They are members of the company, doesn't it? This conder to achieve the objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. MR HARRIS. The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. MR HARRIS. The purpose of the agents with a capital A that related to be going through in due course. MR HARRIS. The purpose of the agents with a capital A that related to be going through in due course. MR HARRIS. The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. MR HARRIS. What page are you on, sir? MR HARRIS. What page are you on sir. MR HARRIS. What page are you on, sir? MR HARRIS. What page are you on sir. MR HARRIS. The page for you on sir. MR HARRIS. The page for you on sir. MR HARRIS. The page are you on sir. MR HARRIS. The page are you on sir. MR HARRIS. The page are you on s	1	O. Vour own councel describe it in their dealeten of	1	England?
A. They are members of the company, doesn't ai? 4. A. They are members of the company, obtained and the control of the company of the company of the search of the company of the search of the search of the company of the search of the sear	1	Q. Your own counsel describe it in their skeleton as		England? MR EDEEMAN: Sorry can Light for my own satisfaction
4 A. They are members of the company. 5 Q. It was the purpose, wasn't it, to joint together with others in order to achieve the objectives, right? 7 THE CHAIRMAN. Don't you need to make it clear what the objectives, are, Mr Harry. 9 MR HARRIS. The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in duc course. 11 That is right, isn't it. Mr Springert? They were joining together in order to ensure the portals they use operate in the best interests of themselves? 12 joining together in order to ensure the portals they use operate in the best interests of themselves? 13 a portal to be going through in duc course. 14 A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say with of their businesses. 18 Q. You quibble, Mr Springert, with the use of the word sairly if you go back to page 174 and look in the court of your words is an it? If you go back to page 174 and look in the lought line down of your executive summany, that is commented to the page with a spatial A was the grouping— 19 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like other businesses and it in the propose other against the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like commented they will be a participate just like other as a sgents in order to get it up and off the ground unless people support it. 20 Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other ass agents in order to get it up and off the ground unless people support it. 3 Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each objectives, yee? 4 A. Join together with others. Were collaborating with each objectives, yee? 5 Q. Yes. Those are your words. Mr Springest, that you're a course of the agents is to join with others? 5 Q. Yes. Those are you words. Mr Springest. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time				3, 3
teres back presumably to the leading independent agents others in order to achieve the objectives, right? THE CHAIRMAN: Only you need to make it clear what the objectives, are, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. MR HARRIS: The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. The is right, shirt, Mr Springest? They were joining together in order to ensure the portals they use operate in the hear interests of themselves? A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. You quibble, Mr Springest, with the use of the word agents in the load of the good were vorted with others? A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they ground unless people support it. A. Join together with others, was a first plant off the ground weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as in order to get it up and off the ground weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as in order to get it up and off the ground weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as in order to get it up and off the ground weren't they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as and? A. Both together with others, was a first plant they off the ground unless people support it. A. Join together with others? Q. Yes, Those are your words, Mr Springest, Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you arther a long time of the ground weren't they very simple. That is right, as an every simple. That is right, as an every words, Mr Springest, Let's have a plant of the ground weren't they very simple. That is right, as an every words, Mr Springest, Let's have a look at page 180				
others in order to achieve the objectives, right? THE CHAIRMAN: Don't you need to make it clear what the objectives, are, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS: The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. That is right, is art it. Mr Springert? They were in operate in the best interests of themselves? A. Well, the structure of this is many that is group of the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as gents in order to get in up and off the other, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, says? A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as alm stage the purpose of the agents is to join with others? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, and this stage the purpose was to join with others. A. Well that vould be the reason for them to support the venture, vs. That is objectives, in this document as we are going to other a propose and the manner of those services that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other businesses would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each others as gents in order to get it up and off the company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, and this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Well that vould be the reason for them to support the venture, vs. That is other agents, skind you have a long time. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, vs. That is other agents, skind you have a long time. The propose of the ground understood the propose of the agents is to join with others. A. Well that vould be the reason for them to support the venture, vs. That is other agents, skind you have a long time. The propose of the general type of the propose of the agents is no				
THE CHAIRMAN. Don't you need to make it clear what the objectives, are, Mr Harris. MR HARRIS. The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. The is right, in it, Mr Springert? They were joining together in order to ensure the portals they use operate in the best interests of themselves? A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely defined the structure of the market which more closely defined the services that they say suited their businesses. Ye quibble, Mr Springert, with the use of the word companies to that they say suited their businesses. That is going the page 194 and look in the company was the steering committee or was a steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Yes, which became known as the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Hank you after the propose of the agents in the verture propose of the agents in the steering committee or was a steering committee. MR HARRIS. Hank y				
8 MR HARRIS. The objectives in this document as we are going 10 to be going through in due course. 11 That is right, tash it, Mr Springert 2 They were 12 joining together in order to consure the portals they use 13 operation to the market which more closely 14 A. That's not true either. What thry wanted to do is put 15 a new competitor into the market which more closely 16 delivered the services and the manner of those services 17 that they say writed their businesses. 18 Q. You quibble, Mr Springert, with the use of the word 29 "collaborative" but that is another one of your words 20 isn't it? If you go back to page 174 and look in the 21 fourth line down of your executive summary, that is 21 indeed your own phrase isn't in. They propose 22 an collaborative wenture with a broad range of other 24 agents. It don't know why you are not agrecing with 25 this, Mr Springert. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 1 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they 2 took an independent decision to participate just like 3 any other business would form. You can't get something 7 from the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, a tim is stage the purpose was to join 20 Q. That is right, and this stage the purpose was to join 20 Q. Yes. Tam sind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, and this stage the purpose was to join 12 to gether with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yee? 15 Q. Yes. Tame are your words, Mr Springett, Let's have a look a page 18. It it is king you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written 4 down yourself. Do you see at the top: 16 That is other agents, six in? 17 Agriculture of the ground was a steering committee. 18 MR HARRIS. What hat page are you on the say in it in the your ords. 18 MR HARRIS. What hat his subge it goined to do it is a point in this is that the wording archive and it is the founding members. 18 MR HARRIS. What hat his is defined at 174, sir				
MR HARRIS. The objectives in this document as we are going to be going through in due course. That is right, sirt, it, Mr Springert? They were joining together in order to ensure the portals they use opened in the best interests of themselves? A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. O. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word "collaborative" but that his sancher one of Your words is sirt it? If You go back to page 174 and look in the indeed your own phrase, isn't in they propose a collaborative but that is another one of Your words is mit off If You go back to page 174 and look in the food your own phrase, isn't in they propose a collaborative venture with a broad range of other age. That is not requested the services of the services this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. O. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wears' it, in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Yery simple. That is right, six? A. Join together with others, wears' it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others. A. Join together with others. C. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a does that you have already written does that you so were the top: That is other agents, firs' if a "it is the propose of the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you have already written does t		-		
to be going through in due course. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? They were operate in the best interests of themselves? A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. O. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word "collaborative" but that is another one of your words indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a collaborative with a broad range of other agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they coher as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, but at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. O. That is right but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already writen down your are how for the page. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, is n'it? That is other agents, is n'it? A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they coher as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the a book at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already writen down yourseff. Doy ous case at the top. 'Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, sin't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Page 19 **Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to jo				
That is right, itsn't it, Mr Springert? They were joining together in order to ensure the portals they use opining together in order to ensure the portals they use opining together in order to ensure the portals they use opining together in order to ensure the portals they use opining together in order to ensure the portals they use opining together in order to ensure the portals they use opining to opin		· ·		
joining together in order to ensure the portals they use operate in the best interests of themselves? A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. Q. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word "collaborative" but that is another one of your words isn't? If you go back to page 174 and look in the fourth line down of your executive summary, that is 22 indeed your own phrase, isn't it in they propose a collaborative venture with a broad range of other agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. Yes. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join objectives, yes? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join objectives, yes? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join objectives, yes? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the agents is to join with others." 120 A. Well come to that dead with in the North East. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the agents is to join with others." 121 A. Well come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. 122 That is other agents, isn't if? 223 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the avoid in the North East. 224 Q. Yes. I am right				
operate in the best interests of themselves? A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put to a cacept words that they say suited their businesses. New York of the ground, which was not green was proposed to a gents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, sin't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to objectives, yes? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't, it, more to a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the syou know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagung and questions. The group of agents are given the Arman and the stage agent with acaptila A and it is				
A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. R. Q. You quibble, Mr Springert, with the use of the word is interest. The company was a suited their businesses. R. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground multis papels usport it. A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground multis papels usport it. Q. That is right, but at this stage. That is right, isin'? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join topictives, yes? A. Join together with others." A. Join together with others. A. Join together with others." A. Well, that would be the reason for them to support the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the vourse, as a price of pagents, isn't if. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the vourse, who was a point of you would have a pook at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have a leady written down yourself. Do you see at the top: A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the vourse, as a price of your words, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of England, darn't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. Yes. I am right in saying arcn't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of England, darn't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. Well, I come to that. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the synthery point has a fairector of a leading independent estate agent in the North				
15 a new competitor into the market which more closely delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. 18 Q. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word "collaborative" but that is another one of your words indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a sort of fourth line down of your executive summary, that is indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a committee or was a steering committee. 20 isn't if I' I'you go back to page 174 and look in the fourth line down of your executive summary, that is indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a committee or was a steering committee. 21 indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a good pour own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a committee or was a steering committee. 22 indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a good your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a good your own phrase, isn't it? 22 indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose of the genome they? 23 a collaborative verture with a broad range of other agents, I don't know why you are not agreeing with a gene of the genome the serving of the gene of gene in the port that is another they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? 24 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? 25 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wan't formed until January 2013. 26 A. Join together with others? 27 Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written for you to accept words that				
delivered the services and the manner of those services that they say suited their businesses. Q. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word "collaborative" but that is another one of your words isn't if! If you go back to page 174 and look in the form the first person that I is defined at 174, sir. MR HARRIS: Yes, which became known as the steering committee. MR MACLEAN: It is defined at 174, sir. MR FREEMAN: Thave found it, thank you. That is what I thought, not just any rold agent. MR HARRIS: No, not at this stage. This was, as Mr Springett wow why our are not agreeing with early stage of gestation for thrutal company. Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, as isn'? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. Yes. Those are your words, mind they were collaborating with each objectives, yes? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of the Marker of a leading independent east agent in the North East of the Was. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of the was. A. He was. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North East of England, that includes the proper in the North				
that they say suited their businesses. Q. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word "collaborative" but that is another one of your words isn't it? If you go back to page 174 and look in the fourth line down of your executive summary, that is indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a collaborative venture with a broad range of other agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 1 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join objectives, yes? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. You know about a grouping of agents in the North East of C. Yes. I am right in saying arent I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East, isn't be? A. He was. You know about a grouping of agents in the North East of C. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013		•	16	
18 Q. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word 19 "collaborative" but that is another one of your words 19 MR MACLEAN). It is defined at 174, sir.				
19 "collaborative" but that is another one of your words 19 MR MACLEAN: It is defined at 174, sir.	18	• •	18	committee or was a steering committee.
20 isn't it? If you go back to page 174 and look in the 21 fourth line down of your executive summary, that is 22 indeed your own phrase, isn't it in they propose 23 a collaborative venture with a broad range of other 24 agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with 25 this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? 26 to kan independent decision to participate just like 27 any other business would form. You can't get something 28 fresh off the ground unless people support it. 29 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they 20 took an independent decision to participate just like 31 any other business would form. You can't get something 42 fresh off the ground unless people support it. 43 ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, 44 is nisn'? 45 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The 46 company wasn't formed until January 2013. 47 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The 48 company wasn't formed until January 2013. 49 A. Join together with others? 40 A. Join together with others? 41 A. Join together with others? 42 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 49 common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of 50 the agents is to join with others." 51 That is other agents, isn't it? 52 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 53 venture, yes. 52 you do know about the grouping in the North East of 53 you do know about the grouping in the North East of 54 England, don't you, Mr Springett? 55 So you do know about the grouping in the North East of 56 England, don't you, Mr Springett? 57 A. Yes. 58 you do know about the grouping in the North East of 59 Q. Well care to get it up and off the 59 qround, weren't bey? Very simple. That is right, 60 the sa agents in order to get it up and off the 60 other as agents in order to get it up and off the 61 you were instrumental in developing or 62 you? 63 Q. Well come to that. 64 It has a grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? 65 A. Yes. 65 Q. Well come to that. 65 Q. Well come to th	19		19	_
fourth line down of your executive summary, that is indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose a collaborative venture with a broad range of other agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. O. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. O. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join to objectives, yes? A. Join together with others. Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. 21 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. 22 A. He was. 23 Mr RHARRIS: No, not at this stage. This was, as a day stage of gestation for this mutual company. 24 A. Well ket structure of this is that they invested, they that any stage of gestation for this mutual company. 25 So you do know about the grouping in the North East Page 99 1	20		20	
agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something for the sagents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't ii, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't if? Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of England, don't you, Mr Springett? A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. 12 A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to that. 12 A. Well mid there isn't an 'it' at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. 13 G. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't if? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I. Mr Springett, that	21		21	•
24 agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? 25 Page 97 26 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. 26 Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? 27 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. 28 Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? 29 A. Join together with others? 20 Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: 20 That is other agents is to join with others." 21 That is other agents, isn't it? 22 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pagendent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? 24 A. He was. 25 Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	22	indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose	22	MR HARRIS: No, not at this stage. This was, as
25 this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? Page 97 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of England, don't you, Mr Springett? A. Do you mean at this stage? A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of C. A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. Well come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first pers	23	a collaborative venture with a broad range of other	23	Mr Springett pointed out, 9 November 2011 so at an
A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of the North	24	agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with	24	early stage of gestation for this mutual company.
1 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they 2 took an independent decision to participate just like 3 any other business would form. You can't get something 4 fresh off the ground unless people support it. 5 Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each 6 other as agents in order to get it up and off the 7 ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, 8 isn't? 9 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The 10 company wasn't formed until January 2013. 11 Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join 12 together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the 13 objectives, yes? 14 A. Join together with others? 15 Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have 16 a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time 17 for you to accept words that you have already written 18 down yourself. Do you see at the top: 19 "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of 19 the agents is to join with others." 20 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 21 venture, yes. 22 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 23 venture, yes. 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that 25 you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or 28 progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't 29 A. No, I wasn't. 20 A. No, I wasn't. 21 Q. We'll come to that. 22 A. U we'll come to that. 23 La presented to groups of agents on successive occasions 24 during I think mid to late 2013. 25 during I think mid to late 2013. 26 We'll come to the details of your involvement in due 27 course. I am just starting with some opening remarks 28 about this group and questions. 29 The grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to 29 A. No, I wasn't. 20 A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions 29 during I think mid to late 2013. 20 We'll come to the. 21 The grouping that you have in mind about it 22 operating in the northeast of England, t	25	this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it?	25	So you do know about the grouping in the North East
1 A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they 2 took an independent decision to participate just like 3 any other business would form. You can't get something 4 fresh off the ground unless people support it. 5 Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each 6 other as agents in order to get it up and off the 7 ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, 8 isn't? 9 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The 10 company wasn't formed until January 2013. 11 Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join 12 together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the 13 objectives, yes? 14 A. Join together with others? 15 Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have 16 a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time 17 for you to accept words that you have already written 18 down yourself. Do you see at the top: 19 "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of 19 the agents is to join with others." 20 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 21 venture, yes. 22 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 23 venture, yes. 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that 25 you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or 28 progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't 29 A. No, I wasn't. 20 A. No, I wasn't. 21 Q. We'll come to that. 22 A. U we'll come to that. 23 La presented to groups of agents on successive occasions 24 during I think mid to late 2013. 25 during I think mid to late 2013. 26 We'll come to the details of your involvement in due 27 course. I am just starting with some opening remarks 28 about this group and questions. 29 The grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to 29 A. No, I wasn't. 20 A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions 29 during I think mid to late 2013. 20 We'll come to the. 21 The grouping that you have in mind about it 22 operating in the northeast of England, t		D 07		D 00
took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Pigland, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013		Page 97		Page 99
any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isint? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013				
a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to A Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to A. Yes. A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East. A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	1	A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they	1	of England, don't you, Mr Springett?
A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the von the von the sinht? Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North East. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013		•		A. Do you mean at this stage?
other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, sisn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, and heis a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. Join together with others? Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2	took an independent decision to participate just like	2	A. Do you mean at this stage?Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of
ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't if? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of	2 3	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something	2 3 4	A. Do you mean at this stage?Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to
8 progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't 9 A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The 10 company wasn't formed until January 2013. 11 Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join 12 together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the 13 objectives, yes? 14 A. Join together with others? 15 Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have 16 a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time 17 for you to accept words that you have already written 18 down yourself. Do you see at the top: 19 "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of 20 the agents is to join with others." 21 That is other agents, isn't it? 22 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 23 venture, yes. 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that 25 you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 26 Progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't 27 you? 28 A. No, I wasn't. 29 We'll come to that. 20 We'll come to the details of your involvement in due 20 course. I am just starting with some opening remarks 21 about this group and questions. 22 The grouping that you have in mind about it 23 operating in the northeast of England, that includes 24 A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the 25 first person that I communicated with in the North East. 26 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that 27 you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 28 Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each	2 3 4 5	A. Do you mean at this stage?Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to
A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. A. Well that would a grouping of agents in the North East of A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East, Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes.
company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right,	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or
Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 11 Q. We'll come to that. 12 A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. 4. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't
together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you?
objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't.
A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that.
Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 15 course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions
a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 16 about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013.
for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due
down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 18 operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. 24 A. He was. 25 Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks
19 "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of 20 the agents is to join with others." 21 That is other agents, isn't it? 22 A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the 23 venture, yes. 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that 25 you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 26 Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? 27 A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the 28 first person that I communicated with in the North East. 29 Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? 20 A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North East. 21 Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? 20 A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North East. 21 Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? 22 A. He was. 23 Use That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? 24 A. He was. 25 Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions.
the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it
That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of That is other agents, isn't it? Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes
A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 22 Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it?
venture, yes. 23 independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? 24 Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that 25 you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of 26 Q. He was. 27 A. He was. 28 Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the
Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. Q. He was. Q. He was. Q. He was. Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East.
you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he?
Page 98 Page 100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	took an independent decision to participate just like any other business would form. You can't get something fresh off the ground unless people support it. Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each other as agents in order to get it up and off the ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, isn't? A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The company wasn't formed until January 2013. Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the objectives, yes? A. Join together with others? Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time for you to accept words that you have already written down yourself. Do you see at the top: "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of the agents is to join with others." That is other agents, isn't it? A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the venture, yes. Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Do you mean at this stage? Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't you? A. No, I wasn't. Q. We'll come to that. A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions during I think mid to late 2013. Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due course. I am just starting with some opening remarks about this group and questions. The grouping that you have in mind about it operating in the northeast of England, that includes Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the first person that I communicated with in the North East. Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? A. He was.

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

		1	
1	and 2014 and 2015 he was a director of a leading estate	1	Q. Yes, let's have a look at it. It is at bundle
2	agency in the North East?	2	number 5/2645A?
3	A. I would agree with that.	3	A. Yes, this is 2014.
4	Q. And he was also a board director of Agents' Mutual,	4	Q. That is right. Well before the launch of
5	wasn't he?	5	Agents' Mutual, isn't it?
6	A. He became a director in March 2014.	6	A. Yes, but I wanted to make clear that
7	Q. That is right. And he lasted until can you say	7	Q. I haven't asked you a question yet, Mr Springett.
8	exactly when? It was well into 2015, wasn't it?	8	A. I'm finishing off the answer to the previous one if you
9	A. Certainly well into 2015. I couldn't say certainly	9	don't mind.
10	after the launch of OnTheMarket.	10	Q. What question?
11	Q. I suggest to you that you knew that you could build upon	11	A. You were asking me
12	groupings that had already been formed to negotiate with	12	Q. I asked you, did you send it?
13	portals so as to encourage or facilitate them in making	13	A. Yes, and I said there are two different lists so it
14	joint decisions to join Agents' Mutual?	14	would be helpful to clarify which they are.
15	A. Let me just get that clear. I could build upon groups	15	Q. So did you send this list?
16	that had already been formed to negotiate with portals?	16	A. No, that is not the point of clarification I want to
17	Q. Yes.	17	make. The first list that I received from Mr Rook was
18	A. That is the question?	18	not this list. It was just a list of emails of local
19	Q. Yes.	19	estate agents in the North East area and it gave me the
20	A. No.	20	opportunity to invite them all to an Agents' Mutual
21	Q. You could take those groupings and facilitate and	21	meeting. This list as far as I can see is all the
22	encourage them to make joint collective decisions about	22	agents in the relevant postcodes who have registered
23	joining Agents' Mutual, didn't you?	23	their interest in joining Agents' Mutual via our website
24	A. No.	24	and that was sent in 2014 and it was emailed to a number
25	Q. Equally, you knew you could facilitate and encourage	25	of agents who had already become members of
23	Q. Equally, you knew you could facilitate and encourage	23	of agents who had affeady become members of
	Page 101		Page 103
1	those groupings to make collective decisions as to which	1	Agents' Mutual and the purpose of it was to assist them
2	portal to choose, didn't you?	2	in helping us recruit more agents to Agents' Mutual.
3	A. It is not true.	3	Q. The purpose was to assist them in growing and developing
4	Q. But you knew about	4	the group of agents in the North East as a group, wasn't
5	A. If you want to take Mr Rook as an example, he contacted	5	it?
6	Agents' Mutual via its website. He made an enquiry. He	6	A. It wasn't.
7	registered. I contacted him. We had I think	7	Q. Of course it was, Mr Springett. What you say to them in
8	a conversation and he provided me with a list of agents	8	the second paragraph is:
9	operating in the North East, their email addresses. He	9	"I know that Steve Henning plans to invite existing
10	identified Mr Pattinson as another leading agent in the	10	members to meet shortly to consider how you might
11	area. I also had discussions with Keith Pattinson and	11	support further member"
12	I, independently of Mr Rook, emailed all of the estate	12	A. "Member recruitment".
13	agents on that list and invited them to attend an	13	Q. Excuse me, I haven't finished. I haven't even put the
14	Agents' Mutual meeting.	14	question yet.
15	Q. That is right, but you did more than that, didn't you?	15	" how you might support further member
16	I am very glad you raised that list, Mr Springett	16	recruitment and also the potential for portal
17	because in fact you are the one who sent round that list	17	negotiations."
	-	18	-
18 19	to a large grouping of agents in the North East, aren't you? It wasn't Mr Rook who sent it. It was you, wasn't	19	And it is in that regard that you say in the first line that, "I thought you might find it helpful to
20	it?	20	receive the attached schedule."
21	A. Sent round the list?	20 21	That is right, is it not? So what you are doing is
21		21 22	-
23	Q. Yes. Shall we see it. It is in bundle number 5 at	23	sending to an existing grouping that consists of the recipients of the email in the North East a large
23 24	page A. Let's make sure we are clear about what lists we are	23	further list to assist them in having additional groups
25		25	at further meetings, aren't you?
23	talking about.	23	at further meetings, aren't you?
	Page 102		Page 104

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

1	A. What you said to me earlier was that I was using	1	there was only one reason, further member recruitment.
2	existing groupings that had been formed for portal	2	That is not what it says here. It says "and also the
3	negotiations and to use those groupings as the basis for	3	potential for portal negotiations." You were expressly
4	moving them en bloc to Agents' Mutual.	4	sending it round for two purposes, weren't you?
5	Q. Yes, this is the first step in that process, isn't it?	5	A. Forgive me, you are right because by that time, I was
6	A. This is not.	6	aware that this group was planning to enter into
7	Q. This is the first step. We are going to look at the	7	negotiations with both Zoopla and Rightmove.
8	remaining steps in a minute.	8	Q. That is right. So you are facilitating, aren't you,
9	THE CHAIRMAN: No, Mr Harris, I thought there was an	9	groupings both on the issue of member recruitment and on
10	anterior list which pre-dated this one, so it wouldn't	10	the issue of portal negotiations, aren't you, by sending
11	be the first step, unless I am misunderstanding.	11	around this list?
12	MR HARRIS: Never been disclosed to us, sir. This is the	12	A. It certainly assists that, yes.
13	only list I am aware of. I am willing to stand	13	Q. Thank you.
14	corrected if Mr Maclean and his team can find it but	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Springett, I see under the second column
15	I have certainly not seen any earlier list.	15	in sheet 1 on the table "Member", question mark, and we
16	A. I don't know whether it is in the bundle, sir, maybe.	16	see gold members under the rubric G and then silver
17	Q. That would be a most surprising omission if that's the	17	members under the rubric S. The ones who don't have
18	case. Perhaps that can be looked into by my learned	18	a designation, what were they?
19	friend's team.	19	A. They would be people who would generally who had
20	THE CHAIRMAN: It might or night not be a surprising	20	by 2014, I think these would be people who had
21	omission. Documents go missing and the obligation is,	21	registered their interest and there was a means of doing
22	as you well know, to make proportionate searches for	22	that at an Agents' Mutual website, where the agent could
23	documents. The fact is, I think though, that the	23	enter rudimentary contact details and then having done
24	witness is referring to an anterior list and I just want	24	that, we would assess whether they were eligible for
25	to be clear that I am understanding your answers.	25	membership of Agents' Mutual. And having done that, we
	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. There was a list of emails sent to me by Mr Rook.	1	would send them a means, log in details, so that on
2	I believe it was late May 2013 and he gave it to me to	2	future occasions they could enter parts of the website
3	assist me in communicating with agents in the North	3	that the public couldn't reach and it allowed them to
4	East, with a view to assembling an Agents' Mutual	4	access things like the articles of association, the
5	meeting at which the proposal would be put.	5	membership rules, the current information memorandum,
6	THE CHAIRMAN: And you did not send that list round?	6	the letter of intent and so forth. So those people will
7	A. I didn't send that list round.	7	have I think will have done that but not taken the
8	THE CHAIRMAN: You used it for individual	8	extra step of having joined.
9	A. I sent emails to each, inviting them, yes.	9	MR HARRIS: But I am right in saying, am I not,
10	MR HARRIS: So I put it to you, Mr Springett, that you are	10	Mr Springett, that by the time you send round this list
11	sending this list round, knowing and intending that the	11	of further members, including to Mr Pattinson and
12	existing group of email recipients will use it to	12	Mr Rook and Mr Henning, you knew that those people and
13	contact other members in the North East because, as you	13	others in the North East
14	yourself put it, it might support further member	14	A. I don't think Mr Pattinson is on the list.
15	recruitment. That is one of the reasons you sent it	15	Q. For these purposes it doesn't really matter to agents
16	round, isn't it?	16	in the North East?
17	A. The only purpose is for them to be able to assist	17	A. I think it does matter because these are existing
18	further agent recruitment, yes, and what you have here	18	members.
19	is a list of people who are already members and they	19	THE CHAIRMAN: He is on the list.
20	would be entitled to have the membership register if	20	MR WOOLFE: Four up from the bottom.
21	they wanted to, to see who else was a member of their	21	A. Sorry, the list of addressees.
22	company.	22	Q. You knew at the time you sent this list to the agents in
23	Q. And you are sending it round and a minute ago you said	23	the North East, that there was a group of agents in the
24	that was the only reason, but that is not what you say	24	North East, including some or all of them, who were
25	in the document, is it? You just very carefully said	25	working together collectively to make decisions both
	Page 106		Page 108

1	about joining Agents' Mutual and about which portal they	1	many in agreement to review this opportunity further."
2	would choose. That is right, isn't it?	2	Yes?
3	A. The email was sent to members and it had a list on it of	3	A. Yes.
4	members and non-members. I was aware at that stage that	4	Q. And then if you go back, doing as one does with these
5	they had begun to consider collective negotiations with	5	emails, backwards in the bundle, do you see that the
6	the other portals. I was aware of that.	6	email above it so you have to go now to part way down
7	Q. That is right. Collective negotiations, collective	7	1292 to see where that starts. Do you see there is an
8	decisions they were considering at the time you sent the	8	email, Mr Jonathan Parker wrote "Good afternoon". Now,
9	list, about whether to join Agents' Mutual?	9	on this version of the email we can't see to whom that
10	A. No, sir.	10	is sent and he even begins by saying "Apologies if
11	Q. We'll see that in a minute.	11	I have missed anybody on the distribution list."
12	A. These are already members.	12	Just pausing there for a moment. We can see that
13	Q. And secondly, collective decisions you knew that they	13	you were in fact forwarded that very email, a matter to
14	were considering at that time that you sent the list,	14	whom that was sent, above it on the page. You see at
15	collective decisions as to which portal to drop, didn't	15	142332, 19 July, you are copied in, aren't you?
16	you?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. I was aware that they wanted to investigate collective	17	Q. By now the email distribution list has expanded to more
18	negotiation with not just for portals, actually, but	18	agents in the North East, hasn't it?
19	media negotiations.	19	A. It has.
20	Q. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? You knew more	20	Q. So back in 2013, you are obtaining direct information of
21	than that. You knew that they had put forward specific	21	what this email says and it goes on, doesn't it?
22	proposals to collectively drop one of the portals, at	22	A. I think this might be around the time I emailed
23	the time you sent the list, didn't you?	23	Mr Henning to reiterate that there couldn't be joint
24	A. No, I didn't.	24	decisions on this.
25	Q. Let's have a look then, shall we? If you have a look in	25	Q. To be absolutely clear, Mr Springett, I am going to take
	P 400		B
	Page 109		Page 111
1	bundle number 3 and can you start it at page 1293.	1	you to the email that you have got in mind.
2	Page 1293. Sorry, you don't have it yet. Bundle	2	A. Okay.
3	number 3, Mr Springett. I am sorry, it is very trying.	3	Q. It comes a day later.
4	A. No, I have what was the page again, if I may?	4	A. Right.
5	Q. So at 12.93, you say at the bottom hole punch, do you	5	Q. So you need not worry that you won't have an opportunity
6	see that	6	to say what you want to say about that email but before
7	A. Nearly there.	7	we get there, we need to deal with this email. It says:
8	Q. That is an email from Mr Henning to a series of the	8	"I think following on from discussions within our
9	North East agents, including Mr Rook and Mr Pattinson	9	company and to other agents, we are in broad agreement."
10	and you are the one who is copied in the CC column,	10	So you are obtaining some information there, aren't
11	aren't you?	11	you, about this grouping in the North East making,
12	A. Eighteen July 14.55?	12	apparently, broad agreements as between themselves, as
13	Q. Correct.	13	to the way forward; yes?
14	A. I am in the CC column, yes.	14	A. Well, I think I am copied on the reply, aren't I?
15	Q. So it is Mr Henning to various North East agents,	15	Q. Yes, so you did know that that's what they were doing?
16	including Mr Rook, Mr Pattinson and you are copied in:	16	A. Yes.
17	"Good afternoon all."	17	Q. And then he goes on to say, doesn't he, in this, Mr
18	Now they are talking about getting together	18	Parker:
19	a meeting in the North East and Mr Henning says:	19	"The question for a lot of agents would be which
20	"I have now received positive feedback from the	20	portal to drop, Rightmove or Zoopla?"
21	following firms."	21	So you knew that this grouping of agents was
22	He lists all kinds of firms and two paragraphs below	22	thinking about the choice of which portal as well,
23	that on the next page. This agent says, to your	23	didn't you?
24	knowledge, because you are copied in:	24	A. Well, they were all the agents would be thinking
25	"We may be in competition but it is good to see so	25	about that.
23	The may be in compension but it is good to see so	23	assut that
	Page 110		Page 112

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1	Q. That is right, as a group though, Mr Springett. That's	1	email, again at the top of 1292, is Mr Rook and yes,
2	how they are thinking of it, isn't it? Because it is on	2	can you see that? The top of 1292.
3	a group email?	3	A. I haven't got there yet, sorry.
4	A. Well, Mr Parker is, I guess, giving his view of what the	4	Q. You see he is in the CC line, second entry.
5	issues for agents will be.	5	A. I may be yes, I am sorry.
6	Q. Yes, that's right and he goes on to say:	6	Q. And Mr Pattinson?
7	"I think the obvious choice would be to drop	7	A. Yes.
8	Zoopla."	8	Q. And a whole series of other people?
9	That's what he tells the group, isn't it?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. He does.	10	MR HARRIS: Sir, I know you suggested having a break. There
11	Q. He goes on to say:	11	are plenty more in this theme but now is as good a time
12	"In fact that's probably or not such a good idea	12	as any.
13	because Zoopla would disappear as an effective force in	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Then let's rise for five minutes.
14	the market. It would only go to strengthen Rightmove's	14	(12.10 pm)
15	hold."	15	(A short break)
16	Right?	16	(12.15 pm)
17	A. That's what he says.	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, before you resume, it might be
18	Q. And indeed he goes even further therefore. He puts	18	probative to say a couple of words about timing because
19	forward a written proposal for consideration, doesn't	19	I notice you lost an hour this morning and that is no
20	he? Every agent in the North East drops Rightmove. So	20	criticism. What we were minded to do, though we will
21	you knew that there was in this grouping in the North	21	keep an eye on just how tired Mr Springett feels, would
22	East, proposals being put forward as to collective	22	be to start at 10 tomorrow rather than 10.30 but on the
23	action as to which portal to choose, didn't you?	23	understanding that we go absolutely no later than 4.30
24	A. Bear in mind this is 19 July 2013. So none of these	24	and finish some time between 4.15 and 4.30. But that we
25	people have joined Agents' Mutual at this stage.	25	allocate until 1 pm on Monday for you to finish your
	Page 113		Page 115
1	Q. How is that relevant to the question? You knew that	1	cross-examination of Mr Springett and that is being
2	there was a grouping in the North East that were putting	2	a little bit more generous to you than the time you have
3	forward formal proposals for consideration about	3	lost and so I want to make it clear now, for your
4	collective choices on portal. You knew that, didn't	4	planning purposes, that we would regard 1 o'clock as
5	you?	5	a hard deadline. We sit down then and cut your cloth,
6	A. I think you are stretching formal proposals.	6	just as Mr Maclean cut his when he was cross-examining
7	Q. Right. You can see what it says. It underlined the	7	his witnesses and then we'll commence the hot tub, get
8	proposal for consideration. That is a collective	8	the waters running at 2 o'clock.
9	proposal to drop a particular portal, isn't it? And you	9	MR HARRIS: Waters running at 2 o'clock no less.
10	knew about it; right?	10	MR FREEMAN: Just to add to that, and the hot tub may run
11	A. Did you see who Mr Parker is?	11	over into Tuesday.
12	Q. Mr Springett, I know you know what's going on here but	12	THE CHAIRMAN: I forget you have re-examination Mr Maclean,
13	I ask the questions and you answer them.	13	as you are about to remind me.
14	A. Okay, I apologise.	14	MR MACLEAN: Yes.
15	Q. Yes.	15	THE CHAIRMAN: You will have to sit down a little before
16	A. Mr Parker is though, the sales and letting agent for	16	1 o'clock, so 12.30, Mr Harris.
17	Whitley Bay.	17	MR HARRIS: Could we perhaps play a little bit by ear
18	Q. With respect, you knew this proposal was being put	18	whether or not we should have a slightly shortened short
19	forward amongst the agents?	19	adjournment today and/or is there any flexibility for
20	A. I didn't regard that as a proposal.	20	the end of today. The only reason is that I am
21	Q. Notwithstanding that he says	21	conscious that we are making quite slow progress and it
22	A. No, not at all. I was concerned about it when I saw it.	22	is in the nature of those things. One does one's best
23	Q. We see what it says, Mr Springett. Even if for some	23	in advance but there are a lot of documents and you can
24	reason, you won't accept it.	24	see how forensic the examination of each one in many
25	And do you see that one of the recipients of this	25	cases has to be.
	Page 114		Page 116
			20 /Dagga 112 to 116)

		1	
1	THE CHAIRMAN: I quite appreciate that. I think we can sit	1	he? He says "the effect of this would be", bullet 1,
2	at 1.45 and that this is very much subject to how	2	and then I am reading bullet point 2?
3	Mr Springett is feeling, go beyond, a little bit beyond	3	A. I see what Mr Parker said, yes.
4	4.30, though I am very conscious that we have people who	4	Q. And can you see that over to the next page, he even goes
5	have been working quite hard since 9 o'clock, including	5	so far as to say in his penultimate paragraph, after the
6	the transcription service. So we'll see how we go, but	6	bullet points have finished:
7	certainly not beyond 4.45.	7	"This approach"
8	MR MACLEAN: Can I just ask if I may about the picking up	8	
	on Mr Freeman's point if the hot tub might run into	9	So pausing there. One infers that that is the
9	•	1	approach of this proposal for consideration about
10	Tuesday. I think as matters stand, we have been	10	a collective boycott of Rightmove:
11	allocated a half day each for cross-examination of the	11	"This approach would have to be unanimous."
12	experts. But I take it that the Tribunal doesn't	12	Do you see that?
13	anticipate that the hot tub would last beyond lunchtime	13	A. I see.
14	on Tuesday. I am simply asking what the knock-on effect	14	Q. "It simply won't work if one agent says yes and one
15	might be for the rest	15	agent says no."
16	THE CHAIRMAN: No, to be clear, we do not anticipate the hot	16	Do you see that?
17	tub part of the examination extending more than a day.	17	A. Yes.
18	We would like to think it would be concluded between	18	Q. And that reflects the interchange we had yesterday about
19	half a day and a day. Nor do we want to abrogate your	19	the fact that agents see themselves as in competition
20	ability to cross examine, so I am afraid what we are	20	with other agents in their locality, doesn't it?
21	talking about is moving into the time that was allocated	21	A. I don't know what he means by that.
22	for closing submissions and we can consider how to deal	22	Q. I see. And then he even goes so far as to say his
23	with that.	23	proposal for the collective boycott, he doesn't use
24	MR MACLEAN: I understand. On that basis then, assuming	24	those words of course, but he ends by saying:
25	I think I was cross-examining Mr Parker before Mr Harris	25	"I think we would also need to sign a legal document
	D 447		P 440
	Page 117	-	Page 119
1	cross-examines Mr Bishop, then the anticipation would be	1	so there is no back tracking within a set period of
2	that my cross-examination of Mr Parker would start no	2	time."
3	later than lunchtime on Tuesday. If that's the case,	3	Do you see that?
4	I am content with that.	4	A. I see that.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: That is the understanding.	5	Q. And then this is all copied to you, isn't it, the top of
6	MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful.	6	1292?
7	MR HARRIS: Thank you.	7	A. Yes.
8	Unless there are any further matters, I propose,	8	Q. And this person is responding, is Mr Henning:
9	sir, to	9	"Good afternoon, Jonathan. You are not alone."
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Please do.	10	He copies that to a whole series of other agents in
11	MR HARRIS: So that slight diversion for housekeeping	11	the North East, doesn't he?
12	matters which lawyers want to do. We were on page 1292	12	A. Actually, the one thing I can't say was whether I was
13	in bundle number 3. Just a couple more matters on these	13	initially on copy for Mr Parker's email.
14	two pages. Do you see Mr Parker's email, after he put	14	Q. No, but you certainly received it because you are copied
15	forward the proposal for consideration, you see that he	15	in to the one above?
16	goes on to make some further remarks, next to some	16	A. Indeed, in Mr Henning's reply.
17	bullet points at the bottom of the page.	17	Q. So you did receive it then?
18	A. Yes, I see those.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And because you are copied in, you knew that he had said	19	Q. So you can see then, well before you circulated that
20	that at least in his view, it instantly changes the	20	list of further agents, that they are advocating having
		20 21	a further meeting with this a key point on the
21	playing field, puts Agents' Mutual in a strong position;	21 22	
22	yes?	22 23	agenda, this proposal to have a collective boycott of
23	A. I can see what it says.		Rightmove. A key point on the agenda; right?
24	Q. And he is referring, one sees from the email, to the	24	A. I can see what they are contending, yes.
25	proposal for the collective boycott of Rightmove, isn't	25	Q. And then if we turn over one or two pages in the bundle
l			
	Page 118		Page 120

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

1	to 1297 and move to the next day. I am going to take	1	in, primarily, Mr Parker's email, that they would
2	you to your own email in just a moment, so we won't	2	collectively determine which portal to retain or drop.
3	leave that out. But at 8.23 in the morning there is	3	Q. But yesterday in the transcript if anyone wants the
4	a response. In fact, one can see at the bottom of the	4	reference, it is page 183, line 21, you said as follows:
5	page, the previous afternoon is a "totally agree" from	5	"So the process was built to make sure that we
6	a Mr Small and then the next morning at 8.23, again	6	complied with the original legal advice that we had
7	copied to you. Mr Henning responds and you can see what	7	taken and been given about firms needing to make their
8	he says but he says it needs to be a key agenda point	8	own independent decisions."
9	and this agent is expressing the view at the bottom:	9	And you said that in response to some points I was
10	"As I said the other day, we are all in competition	10	making about collective decisions to join
11	but this is one area we all need to agree on."	11	Agents' Mutual, didn't you?
12	Right?	12	A. I did.
13	A. I can see that.	13	Q. So the clear inference you were suggesting was that you
14	Q. So you knew the sort of mindset that this group of	14	had to have some legal advice about it not being proper
15	agents was having in the North East, didn't you?	15	to make a collective decision, even to join, as well as
16	A. I can only go from these two emails, can't I?	16	a collective decision to drop a particular portal; yes?
17	Q. That's right but you knew from those two emails, didn't	17	A. Yes, and nothing in this email to Steve Henning
18	you?	18	contradicts that.
19	A. I knew what Mr Parker was thinking. He was the letting	19	Q. But I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that is not correct,
20	manager at Whitley Bay and Mr Henning.	20	is it, because at the time, you didn't have any
21	Q. And also you knew what Mr Small was thinking?	21	appreciation of whether or not a collective decision to
22	A. And Mr Henning.	22	join Agents' Mutual was even legal or illegal, did you?
23	Q. And Mr Henning. So you did know then?	23	A. That's nonsense.
24	A. Well, you are implying that I knew what each member of	24	Q. Perhaps I can take you then you will need to keep
25	this quite large group on circulation was intending	25	open, bundle 3 somewhere. You might want to put away
	Page 121		Page 123
1	which is a different thing.	1	some of the others.
2	Q. I see. And then the email that you have been wanting to	2	A. I have H5 and H1.
3	get to, and fair enough, Mr Springett, you respond,	3	Q. They can go, yes. Keep number 3 to hand. Now we are
4	don't you, if you look over several pages at 1307 in the	4	going to look at 16, please. And if you could please
5	bundle, the various other emails about getting people	5	open that to the front page of an email that you wrote
6	into the grouping but the one that I think you were	6	on 2 May 2016. It begins at 8719, right near the front
7	looking for, do you see that, starts towards the top of	7	of the bundle. The background to this email,
8	13.07, "Ian Springett 20 July, 10.05." To whom did you	8	Mr Springett, is you had received a letter from the CMA
9	send that?	9	and you said at the top, this is to your brand director,
10	A. Mr Henning.	10	Mr Milsom, I believe, in any event to one of your
11	Q. So you didn't send it to any of the other people listed	11	colleagues:
12	on these multiple recipient emails, did you?	12	"I agree that having some more demonstrable
13	A. I didn't.	13	compliance is desirable."
14	Q. So what you say to one of the group is:	14	Does that put that into context for you, that's what
15	"Steve, many thanks for your hard work on this."	15	was going on?
16	Well you can see for yourself, perhaps if you read	16	A. I agree about having some more demonstrable compliance,
17	it to yourself?	17	yes.
18	A. No, I'm familiar with what I said.	18	Q. But the point, Mr Springett, in relation to my last
19	Q. What is notable, amongst other things, about this email,	19	question is over the page at 8721 and what you say there
20	Mr Springett, is that in addition to not sending it to	20	is:
21	anybody but Mr Henning, what you don't say anywhere in	21	"The decision 2 [I am reading the second paragraph]
22	the email is that they mustn't reach a collective	22	is the decision on the one other portal which may
23	agreement on the decision to join Agents' Mutual, do	23	require other portals to(Reading to the words) To
24	you?	24	remain within the law, this decision must clearly be
	A. Not in this email, but I'm responding to the suggestion	25	taken individually by each firm with no collusion
25	11. That in this chian, but I in responding to the suggestion		
25	Page 122		Page 124

1	between other agents."	1	and, indeed, beyond the North East, received, made very
2	But in your own words you say, here you say in 2016,	2	clear that agents had to take their own individual
3	so some two years later and you say:	3	decisions. The process I explained yesterday was set up
4	"The question which needs clarifying is whether	4	to make sure, as far as possible, from our perspective,
5	a collective decision to join AM would be illegal, even	5	that that's what they did. So there are particular
6	if each agent involved made an individual decision	6	circumstances which surround the remark I made there in
7	regarding their one other portal."	7	terms of the legal position regarding collective
8	That is completely inconsistent with the evidence	8	purchase, for example, or entering into a joint venture
9	that you have just given, that you already knew about it	9	which were confused by differing messages that we saw
10	and you had already had advice to say that wasn't	10	within the two letters from the CMA that I have referred
11	proper, isn't it?	11	to.
12	A. To answer this question, I would like to refer to some	12	MR HARRIS: Thank you. But it is the fact, isn't it, if we
13	documents. Firstly, being the letter that we received	13	go back to bundle 3/1307, that you only write this email
14	from the CMA on 27 March 2015. The second, my response	14	back to one member of the group, notwithstanding the
15	to the CMA to that letter and then the letter that's	15	large number of recipients of the earlier emails
16	referred to in these emails which I believe	16	proposing for consideration, a collective boycott; that
17	is April 2016. So I haven't got, I am afraid,	17	is correct, isn't it?
18	references for those documents but if somebody could	18	A. I wrote back to Steve Henning because he had forwarded
19	help us with that.	19	me the email from Mr Parker.
20	MR HARRIS: Sir, I am not proposing to go to those.	20	Q. Even though the proposal for consideration for
21	Mr Springett's learned leading counsel can deal with	21	a collective boycott is amongst a group of agents, you
22	those in re-examination, if that's what he wants. He's	22	only write back to one, don't you?
23	got a good note on the transcript.	23	A. I don't accept it is a proposal for consideration. It
24	A. It would assist me to answer the point, so if I could	24	is one man's email copied.
25	refer to those documents.	25	Q. Those are the words that it says, Mr Springett. In any
	Page 125		Page 127
1			
	O I don't have the time, sir, to do what Mr Springell	1 1	event, you can see that you only wrote back to one?
1 2	Q. I don't have the time, sir, to do what Mr Springett wants.	1 2	event, you can see that you only wrote back to one? A. Lean
2	wants.	2	A. I can.
	• •	2 3	A. I can.Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent,
2 3	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that	2	A. I can.Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you?
2 3 4	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because	2 3 4	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the
2 3 4 5	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case.	2 3 4 5	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation.
2 3 4 5 6	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the
2 3 4 5 6 7	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. MR MACLEAN: Yes. A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets circulated. We don't want anything out there which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. MR MACLEAN: Yes. A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point. That is complete nonsense. We have had legal advice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets circulated. We don't want anything out there which could be used against you all."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. MR MACLEAN: Yes. A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point. That is complete nonsense. We have had legal advice from the outset and it has been taken by our board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets circulated. We don't want anything out there which could be used against you all." So you are concerned about there not being evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. MR MACLEAN: Yes. A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point. That is complete nonsense. We have had legal advice from the outset and it has been taken by our board several times over the last three years. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets circulated. We don't want anything out there which could be used against you all." So you are concerned about there not being evidence that can be traced back about these decisions, aren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. MR MACLEAN: Yes. A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point. That is complete nonsense. We have had legal advice from the outset and it has been taken by our board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets circulated. We don't want anything out there which could be used against you all." So you are concerned about there not being evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wants. A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that case. Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I suggest comes from the documents. THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that there are other documents which he knows about but doesn't have the references to which he would like to refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at some point. MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those references are in any event. I don't know what documents. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of your re-examination earmarked. MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. MR MACLEAN: Yes. A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point. That is complete nonsense. We have had legal advice from the outset and it has been taken by our board several times over the last three years. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I can. Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, about collective decision to join, did you? A. But, of course, that agent had already received the warning because he had been in the presentation. Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in this email; correct? A. I accept that, yes. Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning responds to you one-to-one: "I understand the legal position. I'm married to a solicitor." And then you respond in the middle of that page: "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on tap." But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, Mr Springett: "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets circulated. We don't want anything out there which could be used against you all." So you are concerned about there not being evidence that can be traced back about these decisions, aren't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Day 5	Agent's Mutual Limited v Gascoign
1	A. No.
2	Q. This is a theme, Mr Springett. We'll see plenty more of
3	this as we go through the documents. I suggest to you
4	that one of the things you are doing is you are saying
5	to the individual who, on your view of the world, seems
6	to be most implicated, that he should be careful and, in
7	particular, he should be careful about what he
8	circulates because you don't want any evidence out there
9	which can be used against you. That is right, isn't it?
10	A. So it is a long time ago this, but my sense of it is
11	that everyone was very clear or I had made it very clea
12	what the position was. There are a variety of people
13	involved in this correspondence, of varying degrees of
14	seniority, and what I was concerned with is that emails
15	of this type which had no bearing on events in relation
16	to this group at all because these are relatively
17	insignificant individuals in the scheme of things, could
18	be used in some way to harm the agents involved.
19	Q. Exactly, Mr Springett. You were very concerned that
20	this grouping of agents could demonstrate involvement
21	with your knowledge of a collective boycott and you
22	didn't want there to be anything out there which could
23	be used against you all, did you?
24	A. That's not true.
25	Q. Those are the words in your email, Mr Springett. Then
	Page 129
	-
1	I am still going to stay with the North East but now
2	I am going to move bundles, Mr Springett. I am sorry

A. Who hasn't done? Did you say Mr Rook? -- I haven't seen anything from Mr Rook responding to any of these emails so far. Q. No. I perhaps didn't phrase it very well. What I am

9 February 2017

- suggesting to you is what you said a moment ago about the message of not taking what should be individual competitive decisions individually, doesn't seem to have
- percolated through to this Mr Hutchinson, when he sends his email to Mr Rook and you can see that from the 10 second paragraph, amongst others?
- 11 A. By this time, to the best of my knowledge, Mr Hutchinson 12 or his firm, is a member of Agents' Mutual. Mr Foster 13 is, Mr Rook is, Mr McCowan is. So all those and Mr Coulson, yes, I believe all of those are already 14 15 contracted and members of Agents' Mutual.
 - Q. Yes, thank you. That is helpful. And so one of the members -- this is all prelaunch though; right?
 - A. Yes. Q. He says in the second sentence of the second paragraph: "Of course, we are all in competition with each other but that does not mean that we cannot join together, where by doing so, we can reduce our costs." So they are clearly carrying on thinking about collective decision making, even though they are in

competition with each other; correct?

1	I am still going to stay with the North East but now
2	I am going to move bundles, Mr Springett. I am sorry
3	about this, it is just a feature of you can put
4	bundle 3 away for a while and I am now moving into
5	bundle 6. I am very sorry but we will be going back to
6	3 and 5 and 6 and we will even be looking, I am afraid
7	to say, at some emails for a different purpose again.
8	Thank you for bearing with me.
9	I am now in 6/3443B. Do you see, Mr Springett, that
10	this is an email from an agent in the North East,
11	Mr Hutchinson and I appreciate it is not sent to you but
12	can you see that it is sent to one of your, at the time,
13	board members, Mr Rook?

14 A. Yes.

15

16

- Q. August 2014, so he was on the board of Agents' Mutual at that point in time, wasn't he?
- 17 A. He was. 18 Q. And the message you say, a moment ago, was very clearly 19 disseminated. That is effectively what you said
- 20 a minute ago. It doesn't appear to have got through to Mr Rook at all, does it? Look at what he says in the
- 21 22 second paragraph -- I am not suggesting that Mr Rook
- 23 sent the email but he received it, didn't he, and it
- 24 doesn't appear to have got through, this message about
- 25 not taking group decisions, to the people on this email?

Page 130

1 A. Well, I think I've already said that by this point I was 2 aware that this group, as well as one in south Wales, 3 were contemplating collective purchasing arrangements 4 and if you look further down in the same email, you can 5 see that there are arrangements for Zoopla to go and 6 present to them all on 10 September. 7

Page 131

- Q. That is right. Let us look further down in the same email because there is a clear suggestion that they should all agree to boycott Rightmove, isn't there? If you look just above the second hole punch, the paragraph beginning "On the assumption that"?
- A. It doesn't say boycott Rightmove.
- 13 Q. It says:

"I think agree between us how we can stage a gradual withdrawal from Rightmove by growing confidence between us, in taking a group position in at least the time valid"

So he is clearly saying to this group, including a director of your company, putting forward a suggestion about agreeing, collectively, to boycott Rightmove, isn't he?

- A. It seems to be, yes.
- Q. In fact, you were pleased that the North East carried on 23 24 as a tight local collective, weren't you?
 - A. I was pleased because it didn't matter to me who did

Page 132

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

1	what in terms of other portal. I just wanted the	1	the ones I was aware of in relation to portal
2	maximum number of members of Agents' Mutual.	2	negotiations with, in particular, Zoopla. I don't agree
3	Q. I think yesterday what we discussed was that you were	3	that there were lots of groups making collective
4	doing my best to paraphrase an email which was at	4	decisions to join Agents' Mutual because the process
5	bundle 5/5527 but the gist of your email was that you	5	really wasn't set up in a manner that would have allowed
6	wanted to create a critical mass everywhere; right? Do	6	that.
7	you remember that from yesterday?	7	Q. You accept in some of your evidence, your written
8	A. I can't remember.	8	evidence, that you knew of groupings in the North East?
9	Q. I can show you again if you like.	9	A. Yes.
10	A. I can't remember whether the words were "critical mass"	10	Q. Correct? That is the one that we have just seen. So
11	but my point is that I wanted to encourage the growth of	11	you can't deny that one.
12	the Agents' Mutual network and I wanted members to get	12	THE CHAIRMAN: A little tendentious, Mr Harris.
13	more members and I wanted them to prepare for the launch	13	MR HARRIS: Sorry, I didn't mean it in that way. I had my
14	by this time as well, premarket, get ready.	14	mind looking for a bundle so apologies if it came across
15	Q. Yes, but the important word, actually, is not so much	15	that way.
16	"critical mass" but that you were encouraging and	16	I am now back in bundle X at tab 33. So this is
17	expressing your view that you wanted this sort of	17	a good example of going back to something that we have
18	grouping to take place everywhere and that was a quote	18	already looked at but for a different reason. Do you
19	from the email. Do you remember that? I will show you	19	see on the second page of that tab that on the night
20	again if you like.	20	before trial, you say at paragraph 2 under a statement
21	A. No, I'm happy with that.	21	of truth that, actually, you do recall being aware of
22	Q. So you did want these groupings everywhere around the	22	several groups of agents mentioned which includes the
23	country, didn't you?	23	North East, west Wales, northwest London and some other
24	A. For the specific purpose I am talking about. It might	24	informal groups such as in Cambridge, the one in
25	be relevant for me to say here that this matter has come	25	Cambridge; yes?
	D 444		D 445
	Page 133		Page 135
1	up at our board and I was clear with the board that	1	A. Yes, I have less awareness of that than the first three
1 2	up at our board and I was clear with the board that where I had become aware that agents were considering	1 2	A. Yes, I have less awareness of that than the first three you mentioned.
	•		
2 3 4	where I had become aware that agents were considering	2 3 4	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new
2 3	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted.	2 3 4 5	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why
2 3 4	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own	2 3 4 5 6	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new
2 3 4 5 6 7	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you	2 3 4 5 6 7	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less — progressively less so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less — progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective decisions about which portal to drop all around the UK,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less — progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got together. That's what I know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective decisions about which portal to drop all around the UK, weren't there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got together. That's what I know. Q. Let's run through a couple more and then we'll go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective decisions about which portal to drop all around the UK, weren't there? A. Well, we discussed with Mr Notley, in his evidence, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less — progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got together. That's what I know. Q. Let's run through a couple more and then we'll go back to what you have done in your statement of truth the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective decisions about which portal to drop all around the UK, weren't there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got together. That's what I know. Q. Let's run through a couple more and then we'll go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	where I had become aware that agents were considering these kind of arrangements, I had told the people concerned that they would need to take their own independent legal advice and that's minuted. Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where instead of writing back to the group, even though you know the group is prepared to make that decision, you only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? A. Those people who told me that they were intending and Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was intending to form the grouping into a collective purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west Wales, for example. Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to everybody? A. Yes. Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective decisions about which portal to drop all around the UK, weren't there? A. Well, we discussed with Mr Notley, in his evidence, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you mentioned. Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? A. I'm not sure I was asked. Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of the country that we have now learnt about in these papers, weren't there, that you knew of? A. In which papers, sorry? Q. In these trial papers? A. You need to show me them. Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about that one? A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales grouping. The others, less — progressively less so. So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got together. That's what I know. Q. Let's run through a couple more and then we'll go back to what you have done in your statement of truth the

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

1	Bristol. You knew of a group of agents acting	1	marketing decision. But you will be pleased to hear,
2	collectively together, didn't you?	2	sir, in each one of the examples that I have now
3	A. Not that I recall.	3	additionally drawn to Mr Springett's attention, I will
4	Q. Norfolk in East Anglia, you knew of a group there,	4	be going to documents for each of them, so we can see
5	didn't you?	5	what happened in each case.
6	A. It depends, really, whether you are trying to position	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I am grateful for that because
7	any meeting of a group of member agents as a group or	7	I wasn't absent that, sure what we would make of the
8	whether it is just a members meeting to pursue the	8	evidence that we've just had.
9	interests of growing Agents' Mutual and helping it	9	MR HARRIS: No, these are, if you like, introducing the
10	launch successfully.	10	global and in our submission, vast array of groupings
11	Q. And you also knew of the group of which Gascoigne Halman	11	and then we're going to be one of the reasons it is
12	forms a part, don't you, the Independent Estate Agent	12	going to take a long time is because I have to deal with
13	Group; yes?	13	each of those groupings.
14	A. But that is a pre-existing group. That has been around	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I understand.
15	for years.	15	MR HARRIS: But I will be doing that and hopefully
16	Q. That's right and you don't mention any of those in your	16	Mr Springett has already said he is aware of an email
17	paragraph 2, do you, under the statement of truth?	17	about this group and he remembers something about that
18	A. Well it I need to check what paragraph 12 is asking	18	group, so we will get to that.
19	me, if I am going to give a proper answer to this. What	19	I am going to, Mr Springett I am conscious of
20	does paragraph 12 ask me?	20	time. It may be that if we stop now I am about to
21	Q. I promise to look that up for you, Mr Springett, over	21	talk about one of those particular groupings in
22	the short adjournment and I can take you back to that	22	considerably more detail. Maybe if we started again
23	after lunch.	23	at instead of quarter to, 20 to, if that is
24	A. Thank you.	24	acceptable.
25	Q. But you also knew of groups of agents getting together	25	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll reconvene at quarter to. Mr Springett,
	Page 137		Page 139
1	to make decisions about whether or not to join	1	you will remember the warning of yesterday.
2			
2	Agents' Mutual in Scotland, didn't you?	2	A. Yes, indeed.
3	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in	3	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as
3 4	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing.	3 4	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah?
3 4 5	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing.Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of	3 4 5	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any
3 4 5 6	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions 	3 4 5 6	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions,
3 4 5 6 7	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern 	3 4 5 6 7	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right.
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing.Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you?	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up. MR HARRIS: Sir, there is a distinction which I hope I have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still have open 3443B in volume 6, I just want to make two
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up. MR HARRIS: Sir, there is a distinction which I hope I have been adhering to in my questions which is about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still have open 3443B in volume 6, I just want to make two more points whilst we are on those pages two more
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up. MR HARRIS: Sir, there is a distinction which I hope I have been adhering to in my questions which is about collective groups making collective decisions about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still have open 3443B in volume 6, I just want to make two more points whilst we are on those pages two more questions, I beg your pardon and then I'll take you back
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up. MR HARRIS: Sir, there is a distinction which I hope I have been adhering to in my questions which is about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still have open 3443B in volume 6, I just want to make two more points whilst we are on those pages two more
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in Scotland. It isn't the same thing. Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of agents getting together to make collective decisions about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern Ireland as well, don't you? A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group presentations there. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear differentiation between a meeting at which the Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up. MR HARRIS: Sir, there is a distinction which I hope I have been adhering to in my questions which is about collective groups making collective decisions about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as regards there being no carve out of the purdah? THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any particular reason for wanting to take instructions, I think that must be right. MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will communicate it to Mr Springett. THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. A. Yes, sir. (1.00 pm) (Luncheon Adjournment) (1.45 pm) MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would take you back to the questions that you were answering and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still have open 3443B in volume 6, I just want to make two more points whilst we are on those pages two more questions, I beg your pardon and then I'll take you back

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1

7

19

24

3

5

1 So bundle 6/3443B, the last question I was going to 2 put to you related to the end of Mr Hutchinson's email 3 of 7 August 2014 which was sent, amongst others, to 4 Mr Rook. We already looked at several parts but over 5 the page it finishes. Can you see that Mr Hutchinson 6 rounds off by saying that: 7 "I can see the main point is that as agents in 8 competition with each other, we need to develop 9 a confidence that we can actually agree something and 10 that all will implement that agreement." Yes? 11 12 A. I see that. 13 Q. And those are sentiments with which you were familiar, 14 weren't you, at or about this time, that the agents, 15 although they all saw themselves in competition with 16 each other, wanted to reach agreement between 17 themselves, notwithstanding that they are in competition 18 with each other; is that right? 19 20 Q. Just finishing off then, if you look at the previous 21 page -- we are going up the chain of emails at 3443A. 22 There is no response from your board member, Mr Rook, in 23 this chain, at least none that has been provided that we 24 know of, but there is a response from a Mr Foster to, 25 amongst others, Mr Rook and he chimes in on the same

Page 141

- 1 theme, doesn't he? Once he has "got out of the 2 dentist's chair", he says, "I'm all for a collective 3 approach"; do you see that? 4
- A. I do.

5

6

7

8

- Q. And he goes on to say at the bottom of that page, just before the end, "Personally, I would ditch Rightmove." So he is putting his view to this collective grouping about which other portal he would choose; correct?
- 9 A. He is an individual doing that, yes.
- 10 Q. As I said, I would go back -- you asked me if -- if you 11 want it open -- if, you may not want it open -- it is in 12 X, tab 33. I took you to your answer, where you named 13 certain groupings and you said, "What is paragraph 12 14 that I'm answering?" And just to tell you, we had written you -- this document isn't in the bundle so I'll 15 16 just tell you what we had asked you. We had asked you 17 about paragraph 15.10 of your fifth witness statement, 18 in which you said that you "were aware, however, of 19 a number of discrete groups of member agents or 20 prospective agents who formed groups to discuss issues 21 relating to their use of online property portals". 22

23

24

25

Q. So that's in your witness statement. And we wrote a question saying, effectively: can you please provide details of all other groups?

Page 142

A. Yes.

2 Q. And if you look in bundle X at tab 25 which you have not

9 February 2017

- 3 seen before recently, if you look at page 173 within
- 4 tab 25 at the bottom, you will see you answer that
- 5 question 12 for the first time, to the best of your
- 6 recollection -- I beg your pardon, Eversheds' answer
 - about your recollection. It is a fair point,
- 8 Mr Maclean.
- 9
- 10 Q. And then under a statement of truth, you give some additional information and that is what we looked at at 11 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So that's just putting it into ...
- 15 A. Yes, and that's right, those are the groups where I was 16 aware they were contemplating collective portal 17 negotiations.
- 18 Q. I am sorry, collective?
 - A. Negotiations.
- 20 Q. Can I now take you away from bundle 6 and into bundle 5.
- 21 Do you recall that there was a time in June 2014 when
- 22 a particular marketing forum, so-called meeting was put
- 23 together in the North East and I suggest to you that you
 - knew that collective decision making would happen at
- 25 that marketing meeting by groups of agents, didn't you?

Page 143

- 1 A. I'm not -- I haven't got to the place.
- 2 Q. Before we look at the -- we will definitely look at this
 - document, but I am just asking you, you knew of the
- 4 meeting, you just said that?
 - A. I knew of the meeting? In June?
- 6 Q. Yes, so-called marketing forum meeting in June 2014 in 7 the North East?
- 8 A. I know that it took place, yes.
- 9 Q. And I am suggesting to you before we look at the 10 document that you knew that collective decision making 11 by the groups of agents at that meeting would happen at 12 that meeting; right?
- 13 A. I knew the purpose of it was to take forward collective 14 negotiations with other portals and, potentially, other 15
- 16 Q. And am I right in saying that you didn't issue any 17 warning to the participants in that meeting about not 18 taking group decisions to join Agents' Mutual, did you?
- 19 A. Well, I'm not sure I was aware of who was going to be at 20 the meeting.
- 21 Q. Right. And you didn't give any warning, did you, as to 22 them not taking a group decision as to which portal to 23 choose, did vou?
- 24 A. I didn't, no.
- 25 Q. And in fact, all you did was you told your sales

Page 144

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

1	representative for the region to re-organise the agenda	1	with that group. I'd also put Mr Rook in touch with
2	for the meeting, didn't you?	2	Mr Jones, who was within the west Wales group, so they
3	A. Well, it wasn't her place to organise the agenda.	3	could inform each other about the potential issues
4	I simply told her, to the best of my recollection, that	4	surrounding it and if you recall, I had also told them
5	we, Agents' Mutual, couldn't be present for that part of	5	that they needed to take their own independent legal
6	the agenda.	6	advice. So I think Ms Whiteley's question arose from
7	Q. That is right. So let's have a look at the email	7	that situation having arisen, in her knowledge, for the
8	itself. It is at bundle 5/2724. On that page, at 2754,	8	first time.
9	you see about a third of the way down there is an email	9	Q. That doesn't make sense, does it, Mr Springett, because
10	starting off the relevant part of this chain on	10	if that were right, then she wouldn't be asking you the
11	2 June at 09.21 from Ms Whiteley. She is effectively	11	question about: does that create any legal issues? She
12	your number 2 at the company, isn't he?	12	plainly doesn't know that collective decisions of the
13	A. She is.	13	type she is referring to in this email in June 2014,
14	Q. "Hi Ian, I just wanted to check the legal issues	14	even create any legal issues and that's why she's asking
15	surrounding the NE meeting."	15	you, isn't it?
16	That is a regional grouping of the North East	16	A. She is asking me in the specific context of the move by
17	agents, isn't it?	17	this newly formed North East marketing group to conduct
18	A. The North East meeting, yes.	18	collective negotiations with Rightmove and Zoopla.
19	Q. "The meeting is officially a Marketing Forum [capitals]	19	Q. I suggest to you that it is little wonder that
20	for the Agents' Mutual members in the North East. As	20	Agents' Mutual personnel, and as we shall see, Julie
21	part of that agenda, they will be negotiating with	21	Emmerson in a moment, becoming involved in these
22	Zoopla and Rightmove for a collective rate to list with	22	decisions, participating and/or being present at these
23	them."	23	sorts of decisions, when even your number 2 didn't know
24	Do you see that?	24	in June 2014 whether there were "any legal issues about
25	A. Yes.	25	collective decisions to not list on the one other
	Page 145		Page 147
1	O "That abviously sould link to a collective decision for	1	mouto1119
1	Q. "That obviously could link to a collective decision for	1	portal"?
2	them to choose to list on one particular portal and	2	A. I think you overlooked what I just answered.
2	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other	2 3	A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect
2 3 4	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals."	2 3 4	A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know?
2 3 4 5	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave?
2 3 4 5 6	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley?
2 3 4 5 6 7	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions — that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions—that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions — that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions—that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective — the idea of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions—that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective — the idea of the agents being approached or making an approach in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they had to get their own independent legal advice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions — that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective — the idea of the agents being approached or making an approach in relation to the other portals was something that hadn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they had to get their own independent legal advice. Q. We will see, in fact, that you don't say anything of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective the idea of the agents being approached or making an approach in relation to the other portals was something that hadn't come up very much before. It originated in the west	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they had to get their own independent legal advice. Q. We will see, in fact, that you don't say anything of the sort, as we go through this email. What you say in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions—that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective — the idea of the agents being approached or making an approach in relation to the other portals was something that hadn't come up very much before. It originated in the west Wales group, who I think had begun talking to Zoopla at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they had to get their own independent legal advice. Q. We will see, in fact, that you don't say anything of the sort, as we go through this email. What you say in the first instance, just up the page, is in response to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective the idea of the agents being approached or making an approach in relation to the other portals was something that hadn't come up very much before. It originated in the west	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they had to get their own independent legal advice. Q. We will see, in fact, that you don't say anything of the sort, as we go through this email. What you say in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	them to choose to list on one particular portal and hence, a collective decision to not list on the other portals." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And she says to you: "Does that create any legal issues and is there an issue with Julie being present when those discussions happen?" She asked you that question; right? A. I see that. Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, quite a long time after you were saying earlier today that you had been disseminating the message to all people that they should take individual decisions—that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know whether that creates any legal issues. It is extraordinary, isn't it? A. I am not sure it is because collective — the idea of the agents being approached or making an approach in relation to the other portals was something that hadn't come up very much before. It originated in the west Wales group, who I think had begun talking to Zoopla at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect Miss Julie Emmerson to know? A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know the answer. She referred it to me. Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that doesn't seem to have percolated through even to Ms Whiteley, does it? A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't know the law on and we weren't going to become involved in any of that, which is why I told the kind of — the people that were involved with these groups that they had to get their own independent legal advice. Q. We will see, in fact, that you don't say anything of the sort, as we go through this email. What you say in the first instance, just up the page, is in response to

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

		_	
1	That is Julie Emmerson, isn't she?	1	A. I refer I suggest that if she's questioned about the
2	A. Mmm.	2	stance, she should refer people to Clive Rook,
3	Q. She is the local sales rep for Agents' Mutual in the	3	Agents' Mutual director, someone who I have previously
4	North East; correct?	4	advised that if he was going to enter into this kind of
5	A. Mmm.	5	thing, he would need to take his own independent legal
6	Q. Sorry, is that a yes or no?	6	advice. It's minuted.
7	A. Julie is the what?	7	Q. With respect, Mr Springett, what you do is you
8	Q. She is the local Agents' Mutual sales rep for the North	8	deliberately refer people on to a board director of
9	East?	9	Agents' Mutual, if they want further information, don't
10		10	you?
	A. Yes, she is.	11	•
11	Q. And you say:		A. What I say, I think, is that she shouldn't be party to
12	"Julie needs to ask whoever is leading the meeting	12	any element of the meeting which relates to media
13	to put matters like further agent recruitment,	13	negotiation and if anyone questions her about that, they
14	communication, et cetera, which she should be involved	14	need to be referred to Clive Rook.
15	in, at the top of the agenda and then move on to agent	15	Q. That is right and that is because Clive Rook is a board
16	only matters - joint negotiation with other portals and	16	director of Agents' Mutual, is it not?
17	choice of other portal are completely off limits for	17	A. Absolutely.
18	us."	18	Q. Interestingly so you don't say: oh you must make
19	A. Yes.	19	sure, either you, Helen or you, Julie, must tell them
20	Q. So what you are effectively saying there is, isn't it,	20	not to take these collective decisions but you do say
21	that you know that there will be these other joint	21	she shouldn't be a party and she should avoid
22	negotiations, including about choice of other portal by	22	receiving/sending any documents, messages about it?
23	the agents in the group but that just you don't want to	23	A. Yes.
24	be participating in them; right?	24	Q. So again your concern is about not creating in the hands
25	A. That's correct.	25	of Agents' Mutual, any incriminating evidence, isn't it?
	Page 149		Page 151
1	O. I see. But you don't say, even here to Ms Whiteley, you	1	A. Our messages have already been very clear to the agents
1 2	Q. I see. But you don't say, even here to Ms Whiteley, you don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint		A. Our messages have already been very clear to the agents about what our perspective was on how they should act.
2	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint	2	about what our perspective was on how they should act.
2 3	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join	2 3	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement
2 3 4	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you?	2 3 4	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to
2 3 4 5	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual?	2 3 4 5	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to
2 3 4 5 6	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right?	2 3 4 5 6	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was
2 3 4 5 6 7	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the bundle, 2753 at 10.39, you simply say that:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does it? A. I am sorry, what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the bundle, 2753 at 10.39, you simply say that: "She [that is Julie Emmerson] must leave before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does it? A. I am sorry, what? Q. What you have now said four times, that you were going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the bundle, 2753 at 10.39, you simply say that: "She [that is Julie Emmerson] must leave before either media negotiation or other portal is discussed."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does it? A. I am sorry, what? Q. What you have now said four times, that you were going around giving advice to agents, including Mr Rook: make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the bundle, 2753 at 10.39, you simply say that: "She [that is Julie Emmerson] must leave before either media negotiation or other portal is discussed." You don't say: she should take steps, either you or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does it? A. I am sorry, what? Q. What you have now said four times, that you were going around giving advice to agents, including Mr Rook: make sure you take an independent decision and you get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? A. To join Agents' Mutual? Q. That's right? A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is a members group. They've already joined. Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of flick to the bottom of the previous page, and Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite answered it in your first answer: "But is it okay for them to make decision to come off a specific portal through a meeting like this and can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she leave at that point?" Do you remember getting that email? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the bundle, 2753 at 10.39, you simply say that: "She [that is Julie Emmerson] must leave before either media negotiation or other portal is discussed." You don't say: she should take steps, either you or she should take steps to make sure those decisions don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about what our perspective was on how they should act. So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to look into, they had been clearly told they would need to take their own legal advice about whether that was acceptable or not. Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what you have now said three times, about you making sure that agents were told to take their own independent legal advice, does it? A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading light in the west Wales group and at the point that this took place, I believe those were the only two that I was aware of. Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does it? A. I am sorry, what? Q. What you have now said four times, that you were going around giving advice to agents, including Mr Rook: make sure you take an independent decision and you get independent legal advice about that?

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

1	people who discussed it with me.	1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. And it is not any part of this chain, is it?	2	Q. And Mr Rook, the board director of Agents' Mutual, he
3	A. It is not part of this chain, no, but my evidence is	3	says:
4	that I had those discussions and that was the advice	4	"Hi all, the need to keep the [and then he puts in
5	I gave and, actually, it was considered at our July	5	quotation markets] media negotiation item to the end of
6	board meeting, where the matter is minuted.	6	the meeting, is clearly understood."
7	Q. I suggest to you further, Mr Springett, that in the	7	A. Yes.
8	final sentence, having said "avoid receiving/sending	8	Q. And that email is copied to you, isn't it?
9	messages/documents about it", I have suggested to you	9	A. Yes.
10	already that that means you were concerned about the	10	Q. So at this stage, it is you and Helen and Julie and you
11	creation of incriminating evidence and that's why you	11	can quite clearly see, can't you, that Mr Rook, board
12	also go on to say: "refer people to Clive Rook, so he	12	director of Agents' Mutual, is saying: yes, I understand
13	can deal with it orally, can't he?"	13	the need to restructure the meeting and put the "media
14	A. I was, effectively, simply saying to both Ms Whiteley	14	negotiation" to the end, but he's not saying: not to
15	and Miss Emmerson: this is territory which is not for	15	worry, Mr Springett, I know that a collective decision
16	Agents' Mutual.	16	about these matters is not allowed at all, is he?
17	Q. That is right. Well, in fact, you don't say that, what	17	A. No.
18	you say is: she must leave before these decisions. So	18	Q. And that is notwithstanding that you apparently have
19	what you are contemplating is a situation in which these	19	told him, I think you said it but correct me if I am
20	selective decisions do go ahead with the agents but	20	wrong, a moment ago, that you told him on multiple
21	there is nobody present in the room from Agents' Mutual	21	occasions that this sort of collective decision making
22	at the moment of those discussions?	22	shouldn't happen?
23	A. Can't be party to it, precisely.	23	A. I also told him if he was going to consider collective
24	Q. What you say is "she must leave before the media	24	purchasing arrangements with Zoopla, as we know on
25	negotiation or other portal is discussed", and you can	25	Mr Notley's evidence took place, then he would have to
	Page 153		Page 155
1	see how Ms Whiteley interprets that, understandably, up	1	get his own independent legal advice and we could have
2	the page between the hole punches. So this is "Hi	2	nothing to do with it.
3	Julie", this is from Helen Whiteley:	3	Q. With respect, Mr Springett, I know why you do this and
4	"If you read through the notes below, you will see	4	that is fair enough. You constantly come back to,
5	that the meeting agenda needs to be structured in	5	collective purchasing negotiations and that, but the
6	a certain way and, indeed, you can't be present when it	6	email starts off with Helen Whiteley saying to you:
7	gets to the discussion on media negotiation or other	7	"That could obviously link to a collective decision
8	portal choice."	8	for them to choose to list on one particular portal and
9	You are not saying to her: make sure you tell the	9	hence, a collective decision to not list on the other
10	agents that it can't happen, and then she doesn't say	10	portal."
11	that to Julie, does she?	11	That is not the same as a collective purchasing
12	A. No, and I confirmed I didn't say that to them.	12	decision, is it?
13	Q. So the message then gets passed on up the chain	13	A. Well, I don't know what the law is on that.
14	I guess down the chain whatever, from Helen to Julie:	14	Q. I see. That's your answer, is it?
15	"I'm really sorry, this probably affects how the	15	A. That's correct.
16	meeting is ordered."	16	Q. I see. I put it to you that the only sensible
17	So she is not telling to Julie: make sure you tell	17	understanding that you personally could have received
18	the agents it can't happen, these collective decisions,	18	from this when you received it at 11.24 on 2 June, is
19	is she?	19	that you knew that the group of agents in the North
20	A. No.	20	East, including a board director of Agents' Mutual, were
21	Q. And then it is difficult to discern this but just above	21	going to have, at the end of the restructured meeting,
22	the "Hi Julie", do you see there's another email	22	a collective discussion and decision about, amongst
23	Julie Emmerson wrote and she just writes "FYI" and she	23	other things, which portal to list on and which to not
24	passes that on to none other than a board director of	24	list on. That is right, isn't it?
25	Agents' Mutual, doesn't she?	25	A. Well, I think we know there wasn't a decision taken at
	Page 154		Page 156

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

1	that meeting. I think we know that there was	1	Q. Don't worry about the relevance, Mr Springett?
2	a presentation from Zoopla on 10 September 2014.	2	A. What this is really reflecting is that that group we are
3	Q. Am I right in saying, by reference to the emails we	3	now talking about, 10 June, had a purpose, which was
4	already looked at before involving Mr Rook, you knew as	4	collective purchasing negotiations.
5	of this date that he had already been involved in	5	Q. So you say.
6	discussions in the North East about, potentially, which	6	A. Well, okay. And also the purpose of furthering the
7	portal the agents in the North East were going to	7	membership growth of Agents' Mutual and preparing for
8	choose; that is right, isn't it?	8	the law. So the bit of that that Julie Emmerson is
9	A. You are saying from the emails we considered earlier?	9	interested in is the second part.
10	Q. Yes?	10	Q. Right. Okay
11	A. Well, a bit like Mr Symons yesterday, being copied on an	11	A. I should also say that the way that we incentivise our
12	email is not necessarily the same as being involved.	12	sales staff is purely signing up new members.
13	Q. If that is your answer, so be it.	13	Julie Emmerson had nothing in her objectives or her
14	What happens as regards Miss Emmerson, one infers	14	commission arrangements which would have given her any
15	from the emails, I am about to take you to some of them,	15	reason to sway that group in one direction or another.
16	that the meeting agenda did get re-ordered and	16	She just wanted it to be bigger.
17	Miss Emmerson perhaps did step out of the room for that	17	Q. Thank you, Mr Springett. Just bear with me a minute
18	moment and I think I have the right reference. If we go	18	because I want to find another page reference. So you
19	now, please, to 2891 in the same bundle, the top email	19	say this is just an unhappy coincidence, do you, that
20	is to Mark Small, copied to Mr Rook, the board member,	20	Miss Emmerson is reported back on that very part of the
21	and none other than Julie Emmerson and it is from a man	21	meeting where she was invited to step out, in the
22	we have now seen his name plenty of times, Steve Henning	22	context of an email where she is in fact really being
23	in the North East. This is some days after the email,	23	addressed about some completely separate matter. Is
24	so on the 2 June they are talking about the meeting yet	24	that your case?
25	to happen. Then the meeting happens on a date that	25	A. I think that the group has two aspects to it. There is
23	to happen. Then the meeting happens on a date that		12 1 timik that the group has two aspects to it. There is
	Page 157		Page 159
1	I don't know. And here on 10 June they are reporting	1	no reason for her not to receive the first part of this
2	back on the meeting and it is from Mr Henning to	2	email, as far as I can see. But the relevance of
3	Mr Small, copied to Julie Emmerson and the board member:	3	including her on copy is the second part.
4	"Hi Mark, good holiday?"	4	Q. I see. But the fact is that it is all a bit of
5	And then they report back on the meeting:	5	a charade, is it not? She is invited to restructure the
6	"A mixed view in the room as to who people would go	6	meeting or to give suggestions about restructuring the
7	with. Probably more favouring Rightmove and some Zoopla	7	meeting. The bit that you personally suggest you are
8	and some undecided."	8	anxious for her not to create messages and documents
9	And then they go on to talk about a plan.	9	about. She then steps out and then she simply finds out
10	So the part of the meeting that one infers	10	a few days later what happens in that very part of the
11	Julie Emmerson may have left physically, she is then	11	meeting; right?
12	simply told what happens in that part, is she not, in	12	A. I don't know if I can do more than repeat what I said
13	this email?	13	previously, that I was aware that that group was looking
14	A. If you read the last paragraph, it talks about	14	at collective purchasing arrangements. There was
15	supporting Julie Emmerson in getting the rest of the	15	a board director who had been fully briefed by
16	coastal agents signed up to Agents' Mutual.	16	Agents' Mutual with the legal advice that we had
17	Q. I very much do want to read the last one but in relation	17	received during the process of setting up and that
18	to my question can you please direct your mind to the	18	director had also been told that group would need to
19		19	
20	question. She steps, one infers, out of the room, we	20	take their own independent legal advice relating to
21	don't know because we haven't been provided with any	20 21	collective purchasing. Of I see, but you can't point me to any communication in
22	information about that meeting, but even if she did, she	21 22	Q. I see, but you can't point me to any communication in
23	is simply told a few days later, what happened in the	22 23	which you say that that group was told to take
	bit where she stepped out, isn't she?	23	independent legal advice about the questions, can you?
24 25	A. She can read the email but I am not sure what the	25	A. Well that would be well, I can tell you that
23	relevance of that is.	23	Clive Rook received that advice from me. Whether he
	Page 158		Page 160

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

		_	
1	communicated it beyond that, I don't know.	1	that an Agents' Mutual board member should be
2	Q. Thank you, Mr Springett, if you just bear with me for	2	participating in an email with that subject? Do you
3	one moment, please. I'm sorry about this.	3	agree?
4	So you point out a second part of the email, 2891.	4	A. I agree.
5	I think your evidence a moment ago was that was	5	Q. That is right. That is notwithstanding all the apparent
6	Julie Emmerson's business, that part?	6	warnings you gave him, none of which you can point to on
7	A. Yes.	7	a piece of paper; that is right, is it not?
8	Q. So we are agreed that Julie Emmerson is involved in the	8	A. I don't know what he replied to this or what his
9	suggestion at the bottom that Mr Cooke and Mr Booth had	9	subsequent involvement was. I don't know what he did
10	agreed to support Julie Emmerson in getting the rest of	10	next.
11	the coastal agents signed up to AM. That is a group of	11	Q. Let us have a look at the email then.
12	agents, isn't it?	12	A. I should say at this point, we are still a month ahead
13	A. This is one agent meeting another, getting on the phone,	13	of a meeting at which Zoopla attended this group to make
14	saying "Do you know about Agents' Mutual? Do you mind	14	an offer for a group purchasing arrangement.
15	if Julie Emmerson comes to see you to talk you through?"	15	Q. I see. Well, let's have a look at the first sentence
16	Q. Yes, the coastal agents is a group of agents, isn't it?	16	then. So a moment ago you said the idea was to go and
17	A. It's like saying Devon agents or agents in such	17	get them one by one, "the coastal agents" and you
18	a street.	18	disputed my characterisation of the coastal agents as
19	Q. Yes, that's exactly, isn't it, exactly the same,	19	a group. But in fact it seems that Mr Small had exactly
20	Mr Springett?	20	the same understanding as me because he says:
21	A. But they are being recruited individually by one of our	21	"I was asked to approach all the coastal agents to
22	salespeople and all of our salespeople.	22	arrange. A second meeting and discuss and hopefully all
23	Q. I see, so when it says "support in getting the rest of	23	agree to which portals we will all come off [oh, and low
24	the coastal agents", you are saying that is going to be	24	and behold] as a group."
25	done one by one, is it?	25	Do you see that?
	• ,		•
	Page 161		Page 163
1	A. Yes, it wouldn't matter	1	A. I see it.
1 2	A. Yes, it wouldn't matter Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can	1 2	
			Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were
2	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can	2	Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it?
2 3	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did	2 3	Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were
2 3 4	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle	2 3 4	Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it?A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time.
2 3 4 5	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was	2 3 4 5	Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it?A. I would need to check whether these agents were already
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So—	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So — THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So — THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So — THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off, here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast." That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So — THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off, here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the subject matter is what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast." That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it? A. On the face of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off, here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the subject matter is what? A. OnTheMarket, making a decision on which portals to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast." That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it? A. On the face of it. Q. Can we then put that bundle away, number 6 and move this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off, here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the subject matter is what? A. OnTheMarket, making a decision on which portals to come off. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast." That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it? A. On the face of it. Q. Can we then put that bundle away, number 6 and move this time into bundle number 8. Would you mind turning up,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off, here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the subject matter is what? A. OnTheMarket, making a decision on which portals to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast." That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it? A. On the face of it. Q. Can we then put that bundle away, number 6 and move this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can take you to a document that shows how this in fact did happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle 6/3453B. The email we looked at a moment ago was 10 June and the email we are now looking at is 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, Mr Rook? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. So THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, was it? MR HARRIS: 3453B. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking collective decisions about which portals to come off, here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the subject matter is what? A. OnTheMarket, making a decision on which portals to come off. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? A. I would need to check whether these agents were already members of ours at the time. Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in that. You said that just about three or four minutes ago; correct? A. Forgive me, that — it would make a difference whether this grouping was existing members, in which case the discussions might well be linked to the collective purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is — Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. Q. I see, so — reading on in this email. Do you see the second sentence: "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting at which we all need to have a decision made for the coast." That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it? A. On the face of it. Q. Can we then put that bundle away, number 6 and move this time into bundle number 8. Would you mind turning up,

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

1 Mr Rook. 2 A. Ves. 3 Q. Aud I am not suggesting that you were party to this email but it is sent from a board member of A gents dynamic, mit it, internally, within his catace agency in the North East? 4 A. Ves. 5 Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these emails in which we have afready seen his email participation, correct? The emails we were just looking at. They were in Jame 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 3 A. I think participation again – he was one of the recipients. 4 P. A. I think participation again – he was one of the recipients. 5 Q. Yes, participation by email, yes? 6 A. I didn't see any response from him. 6 Q. I case see what he has to think about this. You can see the his is till prelumeh, isri it? 6 A. I didn't see any response from him. 7 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see the his is till prelumeh, isri it? 8 A. Ves. 9 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People, should turn to this board member in the North eart spin, that was your view? 2 Page 165 2 Page 165 2 A. Yes. 3 Mr Roak, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further querres about these should the referred to Cive Rook"; right, that was your view? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the readers in this craul. Proking if up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it agos numbered items. Can you just jete for of the comments that he makes in this craul. Proking if up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it agos numbered lens. Can you just jete for the hard when the comments and he members in his rare, deceived the cere in the case of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't leave the comment of the made in the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't leave the comment of the case of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't leave the comment of the date of his, 24 November, to be a leave the case of the case of the proposed decisions yet to be made because				
3 Q. And I am not suggesting that you were party to this crust live it is sent from a board member of a Agents' Mutual, isn't ii, internally, within his estate agency in the North East? A Yes. 5 Q. Twenty-Four November, so a couple of months after these emails in which we have already seen his small participation; correct? The cmails we were just looking at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? A Yes, I can't comment on that. 10 participation correct? The cmails we were just looking at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 11 at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 12 hey? 13 A. I think participation again — he was one of the recipients. 14 recipients. 15 Q. Yes, participation by email; yes? 16 A. I didn't see any response from him. 17 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelument, sort it? 18 A. Limbar of the see any response from him. 19 A. Lean. 10 Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z. poll highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, int't it. 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, int't it. 23 my has thoughts. This is the same man, int't it. 24 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North Least; correct? 25 page: 165 MR MACLEAN: I am sorny, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member. In the North Least; correct? 26 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North Least; correct? 27 A. Yes, Lean. 28 Misspelt. Do you see that? 30 A. Yes, Can. 41 A. Yes, Can. 42 M. Feer considering that offer and it is its not accommend to many fails and the work of the comments that he makes in this estate and it is a many of a worth of the sound of the comments that he makes in this sent man of a worth of the worth of the proposed of the comments that he makes in this estate least the proposed of the comments that he makes in this estate least the proposed of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it on t	1	Mr Rook.	1	I think, John Notley of Zoopla Property Group to this
4 clear whether they have determined to take it or not. 5 Agents' Mutual, iart it, immembly, within his estate 6 agency in the North East? 7 A. Yes. 9 Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these 9 emails in which we have already seen his email 1 participation, corner? The emails we were just looking 1 at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't 1 they? 1 The third point I wish to draw out is from item 1 ar. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't 1 they? 1 The third point I wish to draw out is from item 1 recipients. 1 A. I think participation again – he was one of the 1 recipients. 1 A. I think participation py email, yes? 1 D. Q. Fes, participation by email, yes? 1 A. I can. 1 D. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see 1 this is all prelauch, isn't it? 2 A. I can. 2 D. A. I can. 3 Mit Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further 2 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 2 right, that was your view? Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 3 was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sper email and the 2 winess has just been auden to comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 2 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 3 mitspell. Doy us see that? 4 A. Thar's right. 5 Q. And we can see what it see same ran, isn't it, 4 The discovered that he make and the view of the comments that was meant by 3 propers to have all except M Cooke" 4 Think Mark Small and coastal is correct. 5 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 4 D. People should turn to this board member in the North 5 D. Wes, prophes should the referred to Clive Rook'; 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 6 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 7 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 8 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes. 1 (A. Nes. 2 (D. And then second line of that one, be says: 3 (D. A. Yes. 4 (D. Hank Small amount as to what was mean	2	A. Yes.	2	North East agents marketing group. So inevitably they
4 clear whether they have determined to take it or not. 5 Agents' Mutual, iart it, immembly, within his estate 6 agency in the North East? 7 A. Yes. 9 Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these 9 emails in which we have already seen his email 1 participation, corner? The emails we were just looking 1 at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't 1 they? 1 The third point I wish to draw out is from item 1 ar. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't 1 they? 1 The third point I wish to draw out is from item 1 recipients. 1 A. I think participation again – he was one of the 1 recipients. 1 A. I think participation py email, yes? 1 D. Q. Fes, participation by email, yes? 1 A. I can. 1 D. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see 1 this is all prelauch, isn't it? 2 A. I can. 2 D. A. I can. 3 Mit Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further 2 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 2 right, that was your view? Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 3 was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sper email and the 2 winess has just been auden to comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 2 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 3 mitspell. Doy us see that? 4 A. Thar's right. 5 Q. And we can see what it see same ran, isn't it, 4 The discovered that he make and the view of the comments that was meant by 3 propers to have all except M Cooke" 4 Think Mark Small and coastal is correct. 5 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 4 D. People should turn to this board member in the North 5 D. Wes, prophes should the referred to Clive Rook'; 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 6 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 7 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 8 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes. 1 (A. Nes. 2 (D. And then second line of that one, be says: 3 (D. A. Yes. 4 (D. Hank Small amount as to what was mean	3	Q. And I am not suggesting that you were party to this	3	will have been considering that offer and it is not
6 agency in the North East? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these emails in which we have already seen his email participation, correct? The emails we were yestal tooking at . They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 8 A. Well, this is an internal email. 9 Q. Yes, and he is reporting about what he knows internally? 10 Q. Is participation again — he was one of the recipients. 11 The third point I wish to draw out is from item numbers? 12 The third point I wish to draw out is from item same group of coastal agents that we looked at in mount of the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the same group of coastal agents that we looked. 10 Q. So be says: 11 A. Yes. 12 Page 165 1	4		4	clear whether they have determined to take it or not.
6 agency in the North East? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these emails in which we have already seen his email participation, correct? The emails we were just looking at . They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 8 A. Well, this is an internal email. 9 A. Well, tis is an internal email. 10 Q. Isee. Olay. We can see what it says. Fair enough if you didn't receive it. 11 The third point I wish to draw out is from item numbers? Do you see har? 12 The third point I wish to draw out is from item numbers. The first point wish to draw out is from item same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the point with a pour this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 10 Q. Is see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 11 A. I can. 12 In third point I wish to draw out is from item numbers? Do you see that it is the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the point with a pour think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 12 Q. And he highlights – the subject is "OITM/RM and Z, in this will be a pour see that he is 21 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man; isn't it. 12 Tall of the poor think about this. You can see that he is 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man; isn't it. 13 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 24 gueries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view. 12 Tall offers yo Z, Lestimate NC likely to follow. 13 Tall offers yo Z, Lestimate NC likely to follow. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North 22 was Burthis is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the was pur	5		5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 A. Yes. 9 C. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these emails in which we have already seen his email participation; correct? The emails we were just looking at at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 12 they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? 13 A. I think participation again – he was one of the recipients. 14 recipients. 15 Q. Yes, participation by email, yes? 16 A. I didn't see any response from him. 17 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelameh, isn't it? 18 A. I himk Mark Small and coastal is correct. 19 A. I can do a big job big membership and appropriate that you for the same man, isn't it. 20 Q. And he highlights – the subject is "OHMRM and Z. ping this thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it. 21 In highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it. 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it. 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; right, that was your view? 24 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North East, correct? 25 Page 165 11 A. Yes. 12 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he winess has just been asked to comment as to what twas meant by your board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he winess has just been asked to comment? 26 A. Yes, I can. 27 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North East, correct? 28 A. Yes, I can. 29 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North East of the comment of the mantra of the board member. Mr Rook with the comment? 29 A. Yes, I can. 20 Q. And the mantra of the comment of the mantra of the board member in the North East of the comment of the mantra of the board member. Mr Rook with the same and the witness has just been asked to comment? 20 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North East of			1	
8 Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these creals in which we have already seen his email 10 participation, correct? The emails we were just looking at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they are in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they are in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014, weren't they work and they were in June 2014, weren't they work and they were in June 2014, weren't they were			1	
greaths in which we have already seen his email participation, correct? The emails we were just looking they? A. I think participation or, correct? The mail size were just looking they? A. I think participation again – he was one of the recipients. A. I think participation by email; yes? A. I think fare see may response from him. Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? A. I can. Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; Page 165 A. Yes. Q. People should turn to this board member in the North Fast; correct? A. Yes. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 — it is a little bit hard to discern, it gos mumbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes. Q. O. And he needed line of that one, he says: "MR ACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook? "A. Yes. I can. Q. I he refers to "any our prevey" A. Yes. I can. "All members to be vail the see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 — it is a little bit hard to discern, it gos mumbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes. Q. Popels should turn to this board member in members? A. Yes. I can. Q. Popel should turn to this board member in the North and the maching at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 — it is a little bit hard to discern, it gos mumbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes. I can. Q. I certificate to this to this board member in the North and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had the witness while say what it says and the witness while say what it sa			1	
at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't you dight receive it. The third point I wish to draw out is from item number 5. Do you see "coast"? One infers that is the same group of coastal agents that we looked at in the August email. Is that a fair inference? A. I didn't see any response from him. 16 A. I didn't see any response from him. 17 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 18 this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 19 A. I can. 19 A. I can. 20 Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z. In july confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, pair is the same and a see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, guerts about these should be referred to Clive Rook": 21 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, guerts about these should be referred to Clive Rook": 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, guerts about these should be referred to Clive Rook": 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook": 24 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North and Fast; correct? 25 right, that was your view? 26 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North and Fast; correct? 27 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North and Fast; correct? 28 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North and Fast; correct? 29 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North and Fast; correct? 30 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it was not prove the potential many to the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he wishes			1	
at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't they? A I think participation again – he was one of the recipients. A I think participation by email; yes? A I didn't see any response from him. C Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this still prelaunch; is sti		-	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
they? The third point I wish to draw out is from item number 5. Do you see "coast" One infers that is the same group of coastal agents that olocked at in the August email. Is that a fair inference? A. I didn't see any response from him. Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? A. I can. Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, linghly confidential", and you can see that he is linghly confidential", and you can see that he says. Page 165 Page 167 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sit, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. "All members to heavily promote to make number? A. Yes, Can "All members to heavily promote to make number l and marginalise non-members."				
13				-
recipients. Q. Yes, participation by email; yes? A. I didn't see any response from him. Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? A. I can. Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, highly confidential", and you can see that he is 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view? Page 165 A. Yes. Q. People should turn to this board member in the North East; correct? A. That's right. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 — it is all the bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he says: "Mark Small done a big job big membership and appears to have all except M Cooke" I am not sure if that is a man or a woman or the nature of an estate agent: "I'all others go Z, I estimate NC likely to follow. If he does not, he can be marginalised." A. Yes. Page 165 Page 167 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness hall yail think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment of what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment and the witness hall say hat he says." MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment as to what he marginalised." Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet t		-		
15 Q. Yes, participation by email; yes? 16 A. I didn't see any response from him. 17 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 18 A. I can. 19 A. I can. 20 Q. And he highlights the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, agneries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 21 might, that was your view? 22 replaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, right, that was your view? 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view? 26 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North a East; correct? 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 4 A. Yes, I can. 6 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 7 Misspelt, Do you see that? 8 A. Yes. 18 Misspelt, Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 10 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 10 A. Yes. 21 Q. Ferfers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 22 D. Performance of a state again may be a support of the comment about what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and 1 says "strengths", misspelt and then it says and the misspell pon-members." 18 C. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 21 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 22 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been receive				
16 A. I think Mark Small and coastal is correct. 17 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see 18 this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 18 A. I can. 20 Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, 19 highly confidential", and you can see that he is 21 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further 24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view? 26 Page 165 27 Page 165 28 Page 167 29 Page 165 30 A. Yes. 20 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 20 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North 21 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 22 Q. Poople should turn to this board member in the North 23 East; correct? 29 And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 29 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 29 up in number 2 — it is a little bit hard to discern, it 29 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 29 A. Yes. I can. 20 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 21 Mr BACKLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 30 MR HARRIS. That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 31 A. Yes. 32 MR HARRIS. So it is an entirely fair question, 33 Mr HARRIS. So it is an entirely fair question, 34 Mr HARRIS. That is why I said "Can you offer any comment about what he marginalise non-members." 35 MR HARRIS. So it is an entirely fair question, 36 MR HARRIS. So it is an entirely fair question, 37 Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he marginalise on-members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 38 A. Yes. 39 A. Yes. 40 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 42 A. Yes. 43 A. Yes. 44 A. Yes. 45 Page 165 46 Page 165 47 A. Yes. 48 A. Yes. 49 Page 167 4		•	1	
17 Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see this is still prelaunch, isn't it? 18 A. I can. 19 Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, are queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; right, that was your view? 10 Page 165 11 A. Yes. 22 People should turn to this board member in the North East; correct? 23 East; correct? 24 A. That's right. 25 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2—it it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 26 A. Yes, I can. 27 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 28 La Yes. 29 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 30 A. Yes. 31 A. Yes. 42 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 43 A. Yes. 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 46 A. Yes. 47 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 48 A. Yes. 49 A. Yes. 40 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't alaunched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't be? 40 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 41 A. Yes. 42 Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook. 42 Can you offer any to member, Mr Rook. 43 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. 44 A. That's right. 45 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 2 the was. But this is a Rook Matthews Saye email and the writess has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 46 MR HARRIS: That is wiy I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he cant,				
this is still prelaunch, isn't it? A. A. I can. Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man a woman or the name of an estate agent: "If all others go Z, I estimate NC likely to follow. If he does not, he can be marginalised." Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook. Page 167 A. Yes. 1 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sit, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he wainess has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook and in mind, I think. A. That's right. 4 A. Yes, I can. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Pricking it up in number 2 = it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise ono-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't end of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't e				
19 A. I can. 20 Q. And he highlights – the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, 21 highly confidential", and you can see that he is 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further 24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view? Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 1 up in number 2 – it is a little bit hard to discern, it 2 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 4 A. Yes, 1 Can. 1 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 1 "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." 1 Misspelt. Do you see that? 2 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 2 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch, isn't it? 3 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes, 1 I look at the date of this, 24 November, 2 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 2 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 2 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 2 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 2 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 2 I amn osture if that is a man or a woman or the name of an estate agent: 2 I amn not sure if that is a man or a woman or the name of an estate agent: 2 I amn not sure if that is a man or a woman or the name of an estate agent: 2 If he does not, he can be marginalised." 2 Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook. 2 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the hoard member, Mr Rook. 3 Was Matthawa Saper enal and the witness will as but this is a Rook Matthewa Saper enal and the witness will say but this is a Rook Matthewa Saper enal and the witness will say but this is a Rook Matthewa Saper enal and the witness will say but this is a book of the can't. 3 MR			1	
20 Q. And he highlights — the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, highly confidential", and you can see that he is relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view? 26 Page 165 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 3 the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was But this is a Rook additives Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 3 RARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: The document about what he marginalise non-members." 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Be refers to "nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 18 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 25 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 18 A. Yes. 16 highly confidential", and you can see that he is a mam on an a woman or the name of an estate agent. "If the does not, he can be marginalised." 24 Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook? 1 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 3 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. 19 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he marginalise non-members." 19 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think! went to the North East between December 13 and cartly 2015. 20 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he marginalise o			1	
21 highly confidential", and you can see that he is 22 relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, 23 Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further 24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; 25 right, that was your view? Page 165 Page 165 Page 167 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 9 up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it 9 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 9 A. Yes, I can. 10 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 11 "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." 13 Misspelt. Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Be refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 18 Launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 29 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 20 This will be after a proposal had been received by, 21 In aname of an estate agent: 22 "If all others go Z, I estimate NC likely to follow. 23 If he does not, he can be marginalised." 24 Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook? 24 Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook? 25 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment asout what he m				
relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, Mr Rook, to whom you said to Ifelen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; right, that was your view? Page 165 A. Yes. Q. People should turn to this board member in the North East; correct? A. That's right. A. That's right. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2—it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "If all others go Z, I estimate NC likely to follow. If he does not, he can be marginalised." Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook? Page 167 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook white of course is true, he witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springert, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. Yes. D. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. O. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't all anunched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, A. Yes. A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by,				
Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; right, that was your view? Page 165 A. Yes. Q. People should turn to this board member in the North East; correct? A. That's right. A. That's right. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So be clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't land, and the wincess fall to be sub-bulled 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and 1 says "sneptl and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members." A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. This is a be an arginalised." Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by your board member, Mr Rook? A. MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the beard member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" A. Yes, is the CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised." A. No. Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item			1	<u>e</u>
24 queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; right, that was your view? Page 165 Page 167 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 7 up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it 8 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 9 A. Yes, I can. 10 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 11 "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." 12 A. Yes. 13 Misspelt. Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 18 A. Yes. 20 Q. So be clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't he? 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 25 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook? MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 2 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 3 A. Yes. 3 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 4 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 4 A. Yes. 4 MR HARRIS: So it is a south the says. 5 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 6 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 7 Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 1 A. No. 1 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Penaps we'll go down to item number 8. 6 A. I don				-
Page 165 Page 165 Page 167 A. Yes. Q. People should turn to this board member in the North East; correct? A. That's right. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 — it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook? MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Mattheember, this volve and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he marginalised"? A. No. Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and earrly 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means why the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.				
Page 165 A. Yes. Q. People should turn to this board member in the North East; correct? A. That's right. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 - it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. The CHARMAN: The document says what it says and the witness what pass. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he marginalised"? A. No. 10 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. Yes. 13 A. Jeb down to item number 8. A. Idon't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.			1	
1 A. Yes. 2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 7 up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it 8 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 9 A. Yes, I can. 10 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 11 "All members to heavily promote to make number I and marginalise non-members." 12 Misspelt. Do you see that? 13 Misspelt. Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't his will be after a proposal had been received by, 10 MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he withes bad the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he withes shall the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he withes bad the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he withes bad the book Multhews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 6 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 7 And if he can't, he can't. 8 HE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. 9 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 4 A. No. 9 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 4 A. No. 10 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes, but if I loo	25	right, that was your view?	25	your board member, Mr Rook?
2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 9 up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it 9 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 9 A. Yes, I can. 10 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 11 "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." 12 main marginalise non-members." 13 Misspelt. Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 12 the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 4 And if he can't, he can't. 5 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 6 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 8 Mr HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 9 Mr HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 11 Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 12 A. No. 13 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 14 A. No. 15 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. 18 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 19 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2,		Page 165		Page 167
2 Q. People should turn to this board member in the North 3 East; correct? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some 6 of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it 9 up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it 9 goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 9 A. Yes, I can. 10 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 11 "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." 12 main marginalise non-members." 13 Misspelt. Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 12 the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 4 And if he can't, he can't. 5 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 6 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 8 Mr HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 9 Mr HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 11 Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 12 A. No. 13 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 14 A. No. 15 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. 18 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 19 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2,	1	A Ves	1	MR MACLEAN: Lam sorry sir we keen having the mantra of
3 was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook had in mind, I think. 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? 5 A. Yes, I can. 6 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" 7 And if he can't, he can't. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. 9 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 11 Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 12 means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 13 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Ber refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 21 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by,			1	
A. That's right. Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment and the with says and the with says and the with says and the winess will say what he says. 10 MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment and the means when he says." 11 Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? 4 A. No. 12 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 4 A. No. 13 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 4 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 4 A. Yes. A. Yes, but if I loo			1	
O. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. O. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. O. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. O. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. O. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, bad in mind, I think. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be 11 marginalised"? 4. No. 12 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. No. 13 A. No. 14 A. No. 15 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. Yes. 16 A. No. 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, A. Yes.				
of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it up in number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" And if he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. A. No. 10 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q.		_		
pin number 2 it is a little bit hard to discern, it goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, A. Yes. A. Yes, and if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. And if he can't, he can't. And if he can't, he can't. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. No. 15 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. No. 16 A. No. 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.				
goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? A. Yes, I can. Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. No. 15 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. No. 16 A. No. 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.		_	1	
9 witness will say what he says. 10 Q. And then second line of that one, he says: 11 "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and 12 marginalise non-members." 13 Misspelt. Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have 18 committed verbally to Z." 19 A. Yes. 10 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, 11 means when he says "if he does not, he can be 12 marginalised"? 13 marginalised"? 14 A. No. 15 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? 16 A. No. 17 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have 18 committed verbally to Z." 19 A. Yes. 19 A. I don't think I went to the North East 19 between December 13 and early 2015. 20 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed 21 decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't 22 launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't 23 he? 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 25 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 26 witness will say what he says. MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. No. 16 A. No. 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.		-	1	*
Q. And then second line of that one, he says: "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 10 MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. No. 12 Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. No. 13 A. No. 14 A. No. 15 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes.			1	
"All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. O. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. O. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. O. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, marginalised, anyou offer any comment about what he means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. No. 12				
marginalise non-members." Misspelt. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, means when he says "if he does not, he can be marginalised"? A. No. 12				
Misspelt. Do you see that? 14				
A. Yes. 14 A. No. 15 Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 20 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes. 26 A. No. 27 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. 28 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 29 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes.		•		
Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. No. Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, D. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? A. No. Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.				~
16 A. Yes. 17 Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 21 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 26 A. No. 27 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. 28 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 29 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes.				
Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have committed verbally to Z." A. Yes. Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 17 Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.				
18 committed verbally to Z." 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? 21 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 26 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? 27 A. Yes. 28 A. I don't think I went to the North East between December 13 and early 2015. 29 Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? 29 A. Yes.			1	
19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed 21 decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't 22 launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't 23 he? 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 25 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 20 Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he 21 means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 22 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says 23 second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again 24 misspelt] non-members"? 25 A. Yes.		•		
Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8. Do you see 8 and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.		-	1	
decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't launch				·
launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't he? A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, this will be after a proposal had been received by, launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again misspelt] non-members"? A. Yes.				
23 he? 24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 25 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 23 second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again 24 misspelt] non-members"? 25 A. Yes.		-		
24 A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, 25 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 26 Example 22 September 25 A. Yes. 27 Example 24 September 26 September 27 September 28 September 29 September 2				
25 this will be after a proposal had been received by, 25 A. Yes.				
				* *
Page 166 Page 168	25	this will be after a proposal had been received by,	25	A. Yes.
rage 100		Page 166		Dago 169
		rage 100		rage 100

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

1

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

- 1 Q. Can you offer any comment as to what Mr Rook meant by that?
 3 A. I think it goes back to what you were saying before, that use of portals being an element of competition between agents.
 6 Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, I don't understand that.
 7 Would you mind explaining that further?
- 8 A. I think what you were saying was that you were asserting
 9 that competition amongst that membership of different
 10 portals was a factor in competition between estate
 11 agents.
- 12 Q. I was saying that.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And at first you weren't accepting that?
- A. Not pre the launch of OnTheMarket. I am not saying the
 principle is wrong. I am just saying what operated in
 practice before the launch.
- Q. What I am just a bit confused about, Mr Springett, is
 how does that relate to you offering a view, if you have
 one, about what Mr Rook says, when he says "can
 successfully attack non-members"?
- A. He is talking as a Rook Matthews Sayer person. This is
 a Rook Matthews Sayer internal email.
- Q. Again, so -- I am lost. How does that relate to the
 question of how he can successfully attack non-members?

Page 169

- A. Because if he believes he has a competitive advantage
 over them by being with OnTheMarket, depending what
 other agents are doing, it will affect the competitive
 landscape between them.
 Q. I see. So you are now with me, are you, about how
- number and choice and identity of portals is either
 a parameter or perhaps if Mr Freeman prefers, a way of
 competing between estate agents?
- A. I was never not with you in principle. I am just saying to you it wasn't occurring prior to our launch.
- Q. Do you see in the third sub-point of item 8 at the end of the line, he is talking about -- well, at item 3:
- "Leaving Rightmove, saving some money and slow stopentry of online agents?
- 15 Can you offer a view as to what he meant by that?
- 16 A. Not particularly.
- Q. And then do you see down at item 1, towards the bottom of the page, it goes 9, 10, 11, 2, 12, 10, but I am not
 - quite sure why but I'm on item 11, can you see that?
- 20 A. "Other considerations"?
- Q. And do you see that Mr Rook is, amongst other things,
 reporting back at item 4 that a collective boycott is
- 23 illegal?
- 24 A. Yes.

19

Q. It doesn't say "is". "Collective boycott illegal"?

Page 170

- A. Mmm.
- Q. Thank you. So do you accept from me that this does
 show, at least to some extent, the way in which a man
- 4 who was at the time of this email, a board member of
- 5 Agents' Mutual, is approaching the question of choice of
- 6 portals in the North East?
 - A. I wasn't party to the email. I hadn't had conversations with Clive Rook since, probably, early October, I think, on this sort of topic, so I don't know.
- Q. So that's the answer, you don't know whether it showsany of his internal thinking or not?
- 12 A. I don't know what he was thinking.
- 13 Q. Well, you can see what he wrote in the email?
- 14 A. But I don't know what he was thinking.
- Q. But you would accept the board directors of
 Agents' Mutual, they are instrumental, aren't they, in
 how Agents' Mutual went about recruiting members and
 getting people to sign up; yes?
- A. "Instrumental" is probably a bit strong. The other board members or the board members at this point in time were all non-executive.
 - Q. Perhaps I will change the word then. Instrumental. You prefer they can provide guidance and reassurance?
 - A. Well, their role is strategy, policy, financial control, that's how we set up.

Page 171

- Q. But they can provide guidance and reassurance and they
 can influence the decision of either actual or
- 3 prospective members, can't they?
- A. Well, I think their reputations being on the line from
 the outset was what gave this traction in the first
 place.
- Q. Precisely, Mr Springett, because they did have theinfluence with the wider estate agent group; right?
- A. No, I think it's because they would have been regarded
 as being responsible custodians of the company behind
 Agents' Mutual and OnTheMarket, so people would have
 expected it to be properly run, appropriately
 structured, all these things.
- 14 Q. I am not sure, actually -- on this point, Mr Springett,
- 15 I'm not actually I am actually disagreeing.
- 16 A. No.
- Q. All I am saying is including for the reasons that you
 have just given, they do have meaningful influence over
 other estate agents, don't they, the board members?
- 20 A. Yes, well let's see where you go with that.
- 21 Q. Why don't we just answer the question, Mr Springett?
- 22 A. Because you are not defining what you mean by influence.
- 23 I have just given you an answer as to what I think their 24 influence is.
- Q. And what, are you now waiting for me to put a document

1	to you?	1	Q. Yes.
2	A. I don't know what you are going to say but what kind of	2	A. Yes.
3	influence do you mean that they have?	3	Q. That is right, isn't it?
4	Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that they are put	4	A. It is from me.
5	forward by you from time to time as providing	5	Q. To the grouping of the west Wales agents: yes?:
6	reassurance to other prospective members about the aims	6	"Dear Nigel, thank you for your message."
7	and direction of Agents' Mutual. Is that right?	7	And we'll be seeing this email at a later stage as
8	A. It didn't happen often but it has happened.	8	well. But for right now there's some discussion in the
9	Q. Yes, it has happened. Let me now give you an example.	9	paragraph beginning "I am not able", about leading
10	In bundle 5	10	a collective boycott, et cetera. I am going to be
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Just pausing there. Looking at point 3 on	11	coming back to that, Mr Springett, but for now, on the
12	4463A, "Nearly all members(Reading to the words)	12	point about directors, what you say to this agent is:
13	committed verbally to Z." I infer that's Zoopla. Is	13	"I thought you might well make conversation with one
14	that right?	14	of our directors about this. Not least to give further
15	MR HARRIS: That is our inference. It is certainly	15	reassurance about the board's commitment to the stated
16	consistent with all the other places where Z has been	16	strategy."
17	used.	17	So there you are, offering either a director to
18	THE CHAIRMAN: And then the brackets, this is H8/4463A. The	18	provide further information about how the company
19	document you have just been cross-examining on,	19	operates and provide commitments about what the board
20	Mr Harris.	20	strategy is; right?
21	MR HARRIS: Yes, thank you.	21	A. Well the particular context here is that at this point
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Then in brackets we have the well, these	22	in time, in the run-up to our launch I think we are
23	are the estate agents that are in discussions with	23	in to 2014 some of our competitors are spreading
24	Zoopla; is that right?	24	rumours around that they have signed up our board member
25	MR HARRIS: Are you in item 3, sir?	25	firms into longer term contracts which would make it
	Page 173		Page 175
1	THE CHAIDMAN, I M. H	,	Consecution of all Confirm to the confirmation
1	THE CHAIRMAN: I am, Mr Harris, yes.	1	impossible, actually, for them to observe the one other
2	MR HARRIS: Yes, that is what I understand to be the case.	2	portal at the projected launch date. So we were keen
3	They are acronyms, as I understand it, for estate agents in that region and we know, for example, Mark Small	3 4	and we did actually, at some point in 2014, and it is in
4	in that region and we know, for example, wark Small		the beautic community and their recommendation to items
_			the bundle somewhere, get their permission to issue
5	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate	5	a statement saying that they were all committed and all
6	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the	5 6	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And
6 7	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are	5 6 7	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578,
6 7 8	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it	5 6 7 8	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that.
6 7 8 9	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there	5 6 7 8 9	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going
6 7 8 9 10	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are	5 6 7 8 9	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email — I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for
6 7 8 9 10 11	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the	5 6 7 8 9 10	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. You see at the bottom of the previous page?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. You see at the bottom of the previous page? A. I am sorry?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email — I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson? A. Yes. Q. He is a director, indeed a founder member director,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. You see at the bottom of the previous page? A. I am sorry? Q. It is from you to some members of the west Wales	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email — I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson? A. Yes. Q. He is a director, indeed a founder member director, isn't he?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. You see at the bottom of the previous page? A. I am sorry? Q. It is from you to some members of the west Wales grouping of agents, isn't it?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson? A. Yes. Q. He is a director, indeed a founder member director, isn't he? A. He is.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. You see at the bottom of the previous page? A. I am sorry? Q. It is from you to some members of the west Wales	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email — I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson? A. Yes. Q. He is a director, indeed a founder member director, isn't he?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate agent in that region and because it begins with the words "Nearly all are members", and then there are brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there are various names. My understanding is that they are all agents in the THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. MR HARRIS: Thank you. So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on this point about directors of the board of directors of Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. You see at the bottom of the previous page? A. I am sorry? Q. It is from you to some members of the west Wales grouping of agents, isn't it?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a statement saying that they were all committed and all in a position to comply with the one other portal. And if you look at the email I think the email on 2578, the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going to come back to this email. I am only raising it for the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to speak to, to give reassurance about the board's direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation with one of our directors to give reassurance about the board's commitment to the strategy. Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, Mr Michael Hodgeson? A. Yes. Q. He is a director, indeed a founder member director, isn't he? A. He is.

1				
3 A. Yes. 4 Q. In other words, the company acting vis â vis this 5 grouping of agents, not just through you but via a board 6 director, yes? 5 A. That's right, well they are members of the company. 5 They own it. 6 Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with mplementing the OOP rule, sart it? 7 Q. That's right. You would like to members of the Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the mplementhy portnaces. 10 Q. That's right. If you would like to members of the 13 Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: 11 A. It is, it is in the contract. 12 Q. That's right. If you would like to members of the 13 Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: 13 "The company will, through its directors, seek to 14 membership contracts: 14 membership contracts: 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to 15 mplement the OOP rule." 16 mylement the OOP rule. 17 "The company will, through its directors, seek to 16 mylement the OOP rule. 18 So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in 18 the North East and he's a director and we have seen that 20 you put forward a Mr Hodgeon towards another grouping of 18 ugents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 22 and suggests to you through you, personally, were keen to 23 ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 24 North East onlive are giving to go back there, 25 now, Mr Springert — including expressly as to the 24 lord think! I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. 10 Q. You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you. 11 A. A tis, it is the contract. 12 Mr Again and the point to Clive 13 "Imade the point to Clive" 14 choice of portal, weren't you? 15 A. Yes. because he had emailed me that morning — tisk option. The state of the tisk is the you were seen that so will know that the point to the through you have seen to a strain of the through you are sident on the first point. That is you know that the ware making a decision by this time—actually,	1	"In any event, for you and your colleagues to have	1	chose to retain would give them adequate air cover
4 (2) In other words, the company acting was a vis this grouping of agents, not just through you but via a board of director; yes? 7 A. That's right, well they are members of the company. They wan it. 9 Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? 11 A. It is, it is in the contract. 12 Q. That is right. If you would like to—members of the membership contracts: 13 Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: 14 membership contracts: 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." 16 membership contracts: 17 "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." 18 So we have seen os far. Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you put forward a Nr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction advoit strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to see a light of the word of the company was a contract of the North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springest — including expressly as to the Page 177 1	2	a direct line to the board, it seems appropriate"?	2	whilst we built up the business.
4 (2) In other words, the company acting was a vis this grouping of agents, not just through you but via a board of director; yes? 7 A. That's right, well they are members of the company. They wan it. 9 Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? 11 A. It is, it is in the contract. 12 Q. That is right. If you would like to—members of the membership contracts: 13 Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: 14 membership contracts: 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." 16 membership contracts: 17 "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." 18 So we have seen os far. Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you put forward a Nr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction advoit strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to see a light of the word of the company was a contract of the North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springest — including expressly as to the Page 177 1	3	A. Yes.	3	
6 director, yes? 7 A. That's right, well they are members of the company. 8 They own it. 9 Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with implementing the OOP rule, int it? 11 A. It is, it is in the contract. 12 Q. That is right. If you would like to – members of the membership contracts: 13 Thomal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: 14 membership contracts: 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." 16 Mr Springert accepts that. 17 Mr Springert accepts that. 18 Sow have seens of any Mr Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you put forward a Mr Hodgosn towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment as to the choice of portal; No. 2 A. As to the choice of portal in the North East and ignored as to the choice of portal in the North East and alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and ignored as to the choice of portal in the North East and ignored as to the choice of portal in the North East and ignored as to the choice of portal in the North East and ignored as to the choice of portal in the North East and ignored as to the choice of portal in the North East of Mr Whitely: 1	4	Q. In other words, the company acting vis à vis this	4	"they", that is the grouping of North East agents, isn't
7 A. That's right, well they are members of the company. 8 They own it. 9 Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? 10 In A. It is, it is in the contract. 11 Q. That is right. If you would like to – members of the 13 Thomal, that is in the very first line of the 14 membership contracts. 12 Q. That is right. If you would like to – members of the 13 Individually intrough its directors, seek to 14 membership contracts. 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to 15 miplement the OOP rule." 16 miplement the OOP rule." 17 Mr Springest accepts that. 18 So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in 18 the North East and host and a director and we have seen that 20 you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 21 and laugest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 22 and laugest to you that you personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 22 and laugest to you that you personally were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 22 and laugest to you that you personally were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 22 and laugest to you that you personally were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 23 about the specifies of an offer having been made and 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer hand been in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, from spart, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal to portal to thoose, You know that they were receiving collective offers — Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7, Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Mshill and the point to Clive" 11 That is Clive Rook, isn't if? 11 The 'hey'' is the grouping of agents in	5	grouping of agents, not just through you but via a board	5	it?
They wan it. A. Yes, because he had emailed me that morning — Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? A. It is, it is in the contract. Q. That is right. If you would like to — members of the Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." Mr Springent accepts that So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you you proward an Wr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to age or surre that there was a collective alignment in the North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springent—as to the choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East on Mr Springent as to the choice of portal in the North East in in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, Isri it, 19.19, yee? A. A was keen to see—on. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, Isri it, 19.19, yee? Mr Springent can be had emailed me that morning — A. Yees, because he had emailed me that morning — A. A. As to the contract. A. A is this the contract. A. A. I was keen to see what you know that that is marketing group, on the street in proposed collective decision making by the strain if it is a contract of the marketing group and the proposed collective decision of the thin it? A. The seem and he and iden on the first point. That is a fire company with the second in the North East of the was a difference in the was seen to see that you was a difference in this North East group in the North East of the was a difference in the was a collective decision making by this time — A to the choice of portal in the North East A wi	6	director; yes?	6	A. Erm.
They wan it. A. Yes, because he had emailed me that morning — Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? A. It is, it is in the contract. Q. That is right. If you would like to — members of the Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." Mr Springent accepts that So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you you proward an Wr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to age or surre that there was a collective alignment in the North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springent—as to the choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East on Mr Springent as to the choice of portal in the North East in in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, Isri it, 19.19, yee? A. A was keen to see—on. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, Isri it, 19.19, yee? Mr Springent can be had emailed me that morning — A. Yees, because he had emailed me that morning — A. A. As to the contract. A. A is this the contract. A. A. I was keen to see what you know that that is marketing group, on the street in proposed collective decision making by the strain if it is a contract of the marketing group and the proposed collective decision of the thin it? A. The seem and he and iden on the first point. That is a fire company with the second in the North East of the was a difference in the was seen to see that you was a difference in this North East group in the North East of the was a difference in the was a collective decision making by this time — A to the choice of portal in the North East A wi	7	A. That's right, well they are members of the company.	7	Q. "I made the point to Clive that they should take the low
implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? 1. A. It is, it is in the contract. 2. Q. That is right. If you would like to members of the Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: 1. The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule. 1. The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule. 1. Was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was aware of their marketing group, yes. 1. It was that they were making a decision by this time—actually. In not sure by this time, but I knew that there had been a presentation on 6 or 10 September. 1. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the marketing of the had been made. 1. Page 179 1. Choice of portal, weren't you? 2. A. As to the choice of portal? No. 2. Q. So I man suggesting to you, were keen to each of the marketing group, were keen to each of the was a collective algorithm. It is a suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to each of the was a collective algorithm. It is a substitute the portal of the was a collective algorithm. It is a substitute the portal of the was a collective algorithm. It is a substitute the was a soing on in this North East group, didn't you? 2. It knew that they were making a decision by this time—actually. In not sure by this time, but I knew that there had been a presentation on 6 or 10 September. 2. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the marketing group, and I knew that they were making a decision by this time—actually. In not sure by this time, but I knew that they were an was a collective algorithm. It is a substitute there had be	8		8	risk option."
11 A. It is, it is in the contract. 12 Q. That is right. If you would like to — members of the 13 Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the 14 membership contracts: 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to 16 implement the ODP rule." 16 implement the ODP rule." 17 Mr Springert accepts that. 18 So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in 19 the North East and he's a director and we have seen that 20 you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of 21 agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 22 and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to 23 ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 24 North East grouping — we are going to go back there, 25 now, Mr Springert — including expressly as to the 26 Page 177 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 3 Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective 4 alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East 5 and your answer to that is? 4 A. I was keen to see — no. 9 Q. In that case, can Take you to another document. This 5 time in bundle? Page 3992. That is an ernail from you 10 Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 11 Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to 14 Ms Whiteley 15 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 20 proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 21 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 22 souther than they should take the lowest option." 23 cyting the proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 24 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 25 southing that would instantly be as strong as the 26 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 27 and the point to Clive county and the contract of the point of the county of	9	Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with	9	A. Yes, because he had emailed me that morning
12 Q. That is right. If you would like to — members of the 13 Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the 14 membership contracts: 15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to 16 implement the OOP rule." 16 Mr Springett accepts that. 17 Mr Springett accepts that. 18 So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in 18 the North East and he's a director and we have seen that 20 you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of 21 agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 22 and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to 23 ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 24 North East grouping — we are going to go back there, 25 now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the 26 A. A so to the choice of portal, weren't you? 2 A. As to the choice of portal in the North East 3 Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective 4 alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East 5 and your answer to that is? 5 A. I was keen to see — no. 6 Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This 8 time in bundle? Page 3992. That is an email from you 10 Ms Whiteley: 10 Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to 11 Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to 12 Ms Whiteley: 13 "I made the point to Clive" 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The 'the'' it's the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 29 proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 20 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 20 Someting that would instantly be as strong as the 21 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 22 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 23 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 24 Sowellayou like to change your evidence of a moment 25 and the first point. That is 26 No, collective decision making as to what you call a low 27 making the marketing group, yes. 28 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 29 O. You know perfectly well	10	implementing the OOP rule, isn't it?	10	Q. Yes?
Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the membership contracts: The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." The company will, through its directors, seek to inspect the the order and the valve as content to the seem to in this North East group, divide the there has been a presentation on 6 or 10 September. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I	11	A. It is, it is in the contract.	11	A 6 October, to ask my advice.
membership contracts: "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its director and we have seen that you up that was a collective addirection about the marketing group, in the North East of the North East of this North East of this North East of this North East of the through the scenario on 6 or 10 September. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. "Page 179 "The Choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. Ive told you, I'm aware of that marketing group, and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how	12	Q. That is right. If you would like to members of the	12	
membership contracts: "The company will, through its directors, seek to infinement the OOP rule." "The company will, through its directors, seek to infinement the OOP rule." "The Company will, through its directors, seek to infinement the OOP rule." "Mr Springett accepts that. So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and Face a director and we have seen that you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to 22 ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 23 about the specifies of an offer having been made and 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 177 choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was that they were marketing group, yes. A. I knew that that's what was going on in this North East group, didn't you? A. I knew that they were making a decision by this time — actually, I'm not sure by this time, but I knew that there had been a presentation on 6 or 10 September. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the specifies of an offer having been made and I don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective adjustment as to the choice of portal in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I we thought the secansor of that marketing group. Q. What you say in the second line is —this is to 11 may be a supplied to the portal in the North East of the portal in the North East of North East of You know that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth	13	Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the	13	you knowing of actual or proposed collective decision
15 "The company will, through its directors, seek to implement the OOP rule." 16 implement the OOP rule." 17 Mr Springett accepts that. 18 So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that 2 you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to east the three was a collective alignment in the North East grouping – we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springett – including expressly as to the Page 177 1 choice of portal, weren't you? 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 2 So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? 3 Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? 4 A. I was keen to see – no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to Ms Whiteley: 12 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. 13 I'm ade the point to Clive" 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. 15 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 25 So would you like to change your evidence of a moment as qo, where very ou three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing at the time that there were collective groups discussing at the time that there were collective group discussing at the time that there were collective group discussing at the time that the rewer collective group discussing at the time that t	14	membership contracts:	14	
implement the OOP rule." Mr Springett accepts that. So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the North East grouping - we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springett - including expressly as to the Page 177 choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see - no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. I vas concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 16	15	"The company will, through its directors, seek to	15	
17 Mr Springett accepts that. 18 So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in 19 the North East aft not be a director and we have seen that 20 you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of 21 agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 22 and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to 23 ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 24 North East grouping – we are going to go back there, 25 now, Mr Springett – including expressly as to the 26 Page 177 1 choice of portal, weren't you? 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 3 Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective 4 alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East 5 and your answer to that is? 6 A. I was keen to see – no. 7 Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This 8 time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you 9 to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to 12 Ms Whiteley: 13 "I made the point to Clive" 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 26 Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 27 Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 28 Is and I kath the were were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 29 Some would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew that that the were collective groups discussing	16		16	Q. No, collective decision making as to what you call a low
the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 21 and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 23 about the specifics of an offer having been made and 24 North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springert — including expressly as to the 25 now, Mr Springert — including expressly as to the 26 Page 177 Page 179 1 choice of portal, weren't you? 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 2 Mr Springert, that the grouping in the North East of 4 alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? 4 discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? 5 No. 4. I was keen to see — no. 6 A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. 7 Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 9 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 10 Ws Winteley: 11 Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to 12 Ms Whiteley: 12 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? 10 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 20 Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 2 at the time that there were collective groups discussing a go, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew 23 at the time that there were collective groups discussing a go, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew 24 at the importance of the morne. 20 Page 179	17	Mr Springett accepts that.	17	risk option. You knew that that's what was going on in
the North East and he's a director and we have seen that you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the Page 177 choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. D. Wou know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I was keen to see — no. A. I was keen to see — no. A. I was keen to see — no. A. I was keen to see — this is to Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. D. Was whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. D. Was whiteley in the exerciving collective offers — Why whiteley: D. Was were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be a strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just at the time that there were collective groups discussing 20. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	18	So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in	18	
20 you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy 22 and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to 23 ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 24 North East grouping — we are going to go back there, 25 now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the 26 now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the 27 now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the 28 now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the 29 now, Mr	19	the North East and he's a director and we have seen that	19	
agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the 23 about the specifics of an offer having been made and 24 North East grouping – we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springett – including expressly as to the 25 had been made. Page 177 1 choice of portal, weren't you? 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 3 Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? 4 A I was keen to see – no. 5 A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 2 Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 2 I there had been a presentation on 6 or 10 September. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the specifics of an offer having been made and I don't think I thene until some time in benedie. Beyond that, I don't think I received any information about the specifics of an offer having been made and I don't think I thene until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 don't think I knew until some time later that an offer had been made. Page 179 1 d	20	you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of	20	
and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to ensure that there was a collective alignment in the North East grouping – we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springett – including expressly as to the Page 177 Choice of portal, weren't you? 1 Q. You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, A. As to the choice of portal? No. 2 Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I was keen to see – no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to Ms Whiteley: Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence:	21	agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy	21	
North East grouping — we are going to go back there, now, Mr Springett — including expressly as to the Page 177 Choice of portal, weren't you? 1 Q. You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 2 Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I was keen to see — no. 6 A. I was keen to see — no. 6 A. I was keen to see — no. 6 A. I was keen to see — no. 7 Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 9 A. Yes. 10 and I knew that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. Yes. 10 A. Wes. 11 Q. You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I was concess, don't you? A. I was the portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: 12 you explain the next sentence: 13 "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 17 Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing and the time that there were collective groups discussing 11 don'	22	and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to	22	
Page 177 choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they are naving those wor agent hat would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just Day You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I'we told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just Day So how ould you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	23	ensure that there was a collective alignment in the	23	
Page 177 Choice of portal, weren't you? 1 Q. You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, 2 A. As to the choice of portal? No. 2 Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was keen to see one. 6 A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was keen to see one. 6 A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was keen to see one. 6 A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was keen to see – no. 6 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 20 So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	24	North East grouping we are going to go back there,	24	I don't think I knew until some time later that an offer
choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see – no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to Ms Whiteley: That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. O. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers – O. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." A. Yes. The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just	25	now, Mr Springett including expressly as to the	25	had been made.
choice of portal, weren't you? A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see – no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is – this is to Ms Whiteley: That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. O. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers – O. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." A. Yes. The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just				
A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals and so when I advocate just Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals about vhich portal to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were execting a por you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." A. The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would		Page 177		Page 179
A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals and so when I advocate just Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals about which portal to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were execting a por you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would yo				
Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I'we told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they about the marketing group and I knew that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "they" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	1	ahaiga af nautal wayanit way?	1	O. Vou know perfectly well at this stage, don't you
discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I'we told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campain for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing				
5 and your answer to that is? 6 A. I was keen to see no. 7 Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This 8 time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you 9 to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What you say in the second line is this is to 12 Ms Whiteley: 13 "I made the point to Clive " 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 19 proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 20 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 21 Something that would instantly be as strong as the 23 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 2	2	A. As to the choice of portal? No.	2	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of
A. I was keen to see – no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just A. I was concerned, it is true, that agonts in the North at the content in the limit of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers 10 Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3	A. As to the choice of portal? No.Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective	2 3	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is
Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 7. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? 8. L'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers 10. Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: 11. Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: 12. The reline you captain the next sentence: 13. "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." 14. The "he" is Clive, is it not? 15. A. That's right. 17. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? 20. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 21. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 22. So well will be as strong as the 23. existing major portals and so when I advocate just 24. He is. 25. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 26. So well diverted the marketing group 27. A. He is. 28. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 29. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 29. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 29. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 29. So would you like to c	2 3 4	A. As to the choice of portal? No.Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East	2 3 4	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or
time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. O. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. O. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were evicing collective offers 10 So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5	A. As to the choice of portal? No.Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is?	2 3 4 5	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you?
to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. 15 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 12 13 24. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers 11 Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: 13 "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where 14 there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. 	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group.
10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What you say in the second line is this is to 12 Ms Whiteley: 13 "I made the point to Clive" 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 20 proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 21 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 22 Something that would instantly be as strong as the 23 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 20 In Ms Whiteley: 20 Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: 21	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know
11 Q. What you say in the second line is this is to 12 Ms Whiteley: 13 "I made the point to Clive" 14 That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 19 proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 20 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 21 Something that would instantly be as strong as the 23 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 11 Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do 12 you explain the next sentence: 13 "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where 14 there is a strong campaign for off both." 15 A. The "he" is Clive, is it not? 16 A. That's right. 17 Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by 19 the North East grouping? 20 A. He is. 21 Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 22 ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew 23 at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you?
Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive " That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 12 you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." A. The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group
"I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 13 "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." 14 there is a strong campaign for off both." A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by 19 the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers —
That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 20 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 21 Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 14 there is a strong campaign for off both." 15 The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by 19 the North East grouping? A. He is. 20 So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do
A. Yes. 15 The "he" is Clive, is it not? 16 Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 17 The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 18 East, isn't it? 19 A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 19 proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 20 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 21 Something that would instantly be as strong as the 22 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 15 The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. 17 Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by 19 the North East grouping? A. He is. 20 So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence:
Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 16 A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where
The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 17 Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both."
East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 18 group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. 20 So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not?
A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 19 the North East grouping? A. He is. 20 So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right.
proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 20 A. He is. 21 Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective
21 up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 22 Something that would instantly be as strong as the 23 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 21 Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment 22 ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew 23 at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by
Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 23 ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive " That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers— Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping?
23 existing major portals and so when I advocate just 23 at the time that there were collective groups discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive " That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment
27 considering whatever their decision for head out to be, 24 decisions about which portais to come on? Would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing
25 they should make sure that whichever other portal they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just considering whatever their decision turned out to be, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing decisions about which portals to come off? Would you
Page 178 Page 180	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. As to the choice of portal? No. Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East and your answer to that is? A. I was keen to see — no. Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? A. Yes. Q. What you say in the second line is — this is to Ms Whiteley: "I made the point to Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. " that they should take the lowest option." The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North East, isn't it? A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. Something that would instantly be as strong as the existing major portals and so when I advocate just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or portals to choose, don't you? A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know that they are having those discussions, don't you? A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group and I knew that they were receiving collective offers — Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do you explain the next sentence: "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where there is a strong campaign for off both." The "he" is Clive, is it not? A. That's right. Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by the North East grouping? A. He is. Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew at the time that there were collective groups discussing

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

A. Well, he's clearly said to me, and the reason for the 1 in the penultimate paragraph of the same email, where 1 2 2 you say to Ms Whiteley, in essence, "They" -- that is intervention that I made, in addition to answering his 3 3 the North East group isn't it? question from earlier that morning, was that there was 4 a move in some parts to consider coming off both of the 4 5 5 Q. "They would be mad to come off Rightmove and leave other portals. Q. Is that now a yes? Are you changing your answer? 6 Pattinson on there." 6 7 7 Pattinson, that's from another estate agent who A. What was the question? 8 wasn't part of the group at that point, isn't it? 8 O. You did know at this time, didn't you, about the 9 9 grouping of the North East having collective discussions A. That's right. 10 about which portals to come off, didn't you? 10 Q. Is that Caroline Pattinson and Keith Pattinson? A. Yes. 11 A. In the sense that it was reported to me in that 11 12 12 Q. What are they, a family estate agent? conversation. 13 13 Q. So the answer is yes then? A. It is a family business. 14 A. In that context, yes. 14 Q. And you say: 15 15 Q. Yes. Fine, thank you. Then it goes on and it says: "Better to come off Zoopla", don't you? That is 16 16 your view about what they should do as a collective? "I said [that is you, is it not, Mr Springett] that 17 that risks either portal breaching ...(Reading to the 17 A. Mmm, as expressed to Ms Whiteley. 18 Q. But that is your view, isn't it? 18 words)... in the short term and then agents flooding 19 back in an uncoordinated way. Easier to hold the line 19 A. Yes, as expressed to Ms Whiteley. 20 20 on one other portal (especially if Rightmove)." Q. I accept that. I accept that. But that is your view, 21 That is what your response is, is it not? 21 isn't it, and why is that, Mr Springett? 22 22 A. That is to Mrs Whiteley. Not to Mr Rook. A. Because my interest is to make sure that the One Other 23 23 Q. That is right. So the point is that you knew of this Portal rule is effective and sticks. What I didn't want 24 collective decision making by the group in the North 24 and what I was counselling Mr Rook and I did it 25 25 East and you are suggesting, albeit at this moment to elsewhere with other people who were involved in this Page 181 Page 183 1 kind of group negotiation, was that they were doing so 1 Ms Whiteley, that you have a preference about what that 2 group decision should be, aren't you? 2 with expectations of OnTheMarket's initial performance 3 3 which were, let's say, too inflated. A. "It would be easier to hold the line on one other portal 4 (especially if Rightmove)." That was my view and 4 Q. With respect, Mr Springett, you say "better to come off 5 5 I expressed it to Ms Whiteley. Zoopla", and you give the reason? 6 6 O. Absolutely. A. I'm sorry? 7 A. But I didn't express it to Mr Rook, as my later email, 7 Q. Straightaway? 8 1944, referred to I think, on the Friday submissions, 8 A. I'm talking to Ms Whiteley. 9 Q. I know. And you say --9 makes clear. Q. Let us finish off with this email. I am sure we are 10 10 A. An internal conversation. 11 going to get to the email you want, Mr Springett. So 11 Q. What's the reason that you say it is better to come off 12 12 the view that you are holding at this time, knowing of Zoopla and you go on to give the reason, don't you? 13 this collective decision making about which other portal 13 Because you want to render it useless, don't you? 14 14 to choose, is that it would be better to just come off A. Where does it say that? 15 one rather than two; yes? 15 Q. "Better to come off Zoopla", do you see the penultimate 16 paragraph, "Better to come off Zoopla and render it 16 A. That's correct. 17 17 O. And it would be better if that one to come off was useless"? 18 18 Rightmove; yes? 19 A. Do you mean Zoopla or Rightmove? 19 Q. So that is your mind set, isn't it, at this time? 20 Q. I beg your pardon, yes. I did mean Zoopla. When you 20 A. I'm thinking about what would be better for the agents. 21 say "especially if Rightmove", you are saying it would 21 22 be better if they stayed on Rightmove and came off 22 A. You can see that because it refers specifically to the 23 23 Zoopla, isn't it? local situation in relation to Pattinson. 24 A. Yes. 24 MR HARRIS: Sir, I am entirely in your hands. I can carry 25 25 Q. Thank you for correcting me. Indeed, you can see that on going. I am happy to take a break and -- we are not Page 182 Page 184

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

1	finished with the North East topic but we won't be for	1	A. Yes.
2	a while.	2	Q. He's a board director and a founder member director,
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you carry on until about 3 o'clock	3	isn't he?
4	and then we'll have our break.	4	A. He is.
5	MR HARRIS: Sir, thank you.	5	Q. And this is dated February 2015, so it is a matter of
6	Another thing's going on in this email, isn't it,	6	a few days after launch isn't it?
7	Mr Springett, going back up to the top of it. You say,	7	A. Yes.
8	as I read out earlier:	8	Q. "Dear Trevor, I won't be able to look comments until
9	"That risks either portal breaching the damn in the	9	this evening but will email you then."
10	short term and then agents flooding back."	10	We are going to look at your email response in
11	What you didn't want was a situation in which either	11	a minute:
12	people would come off both but then they would be	12	"But in the meantime you might be interested in
13	chipped away at by one of the portals and the damn would	13	a report. Outside London most members have chosen to
14	breach that way and, equally, what you didn't want in	14	stay with Rightmove. That puts us in second position in
15	any given region was to split the vote amongst the	15	all price categories."
16	agents in that region as to the portal they would	16	So you are concerned about your relative positioning
17	choose, did you?	17	as a portal in the country, aren't you?
18	A. No, I didn't care.	18	A. Sorry, I've lost the place, I beg your pardon.
19	Q. Really? The reason I suggest to you that you didn't	19	Q. It is probably my fault, Mr Springett. So I started at
20	want a split vote is because you knew very well that in	20	the bottom of 4976.
21	situations where there was a split vote, it would be	21	THE CHAIRMAN: It is 4977, I think you are looking at.
22	much harder for OTM to make progress as against	22	MR HARRIS: I have a feeling mine might be in a slightly
23	Rightmove and Zoopla, didn't you?	23	different order. The email in your version of the
24	A. I didn't care. I just wanted the maximum membership for	24	bundle that I am looking at begins a third of the way
25	Agents' Mutual because that would mean that it would	25	down 4977; do you have that, "Dear Trevor"?
		25	down 1577, do you have that, Bear 110vor.
	Page 185		Page 187
1	create the maximum disruption of the market as it was.	1	A. I do, thank you.
2	Q. I see, so your evidence is that you didn't care about	2	Q. And then do you see it says:
3	a split vote or a diluted vote; is that right?	3	"I have attached a draft report."
4	A. What do you mean by	4	And a bit lower it says:
5	Q. By that I mean that agents in any given locality, some	5	"Outside London most members have chosen to stay
6	might choose Rightmove as their other portal and some	6	with Rightmove which has put us in second position in
7	might choose Zoopla?	7	all price categories."
8	A. There is elsewhere in this bundle an email referring to	8	Yes?
9	a conversation about Andrew Craig, who is mentioned	9	A. Yes, I should clarify that it's £1 million plus,
10	here, who expresses the same view which I agree with.	10	£3 million plus, so properties below a million are not
11	Q. But what you are saying to me is that you	11	included in that report.
12	A. My objective was to have the largest possible membership	12	Q. No, that is right. But there is a focus, isn't there,
13	of Agents' Mutual and to make sure that any decisions	13	on your relative positioning, amongst the numbers of
14	that agents might make were not made on an assumption	14	portals in operation, in this case in England; yes?
15	that we would be an instant replacement for either one	15	A. Yes.
16	of the major portals, because we weren't going to be and	16	Q. I know it is a long day, Mr Springett. Thank you for
17	it is evident that it takes time to build up.	17	bearing with me.
18	Q. So you are not accepting from me that you were opposed	18	But then you go on to say in the next line:
19	to split votes because that would make it harder for you	19	"However, in London the RMZ vote is split which,
20	to gain market position; right? You are not accepting	20	sadly, leaves us in third place."
		1 1	
21	that?	21	Suii in uniu piace.
	that? A. I have told you my answer.	21 22	Still in third place. A. Yes.
22		22	A. Yes.
	A. I have told you my answer.	22 23	A. Yes.Q. So in fact you weren't in favour of splitting the vote,
22 23	A. I have told you my answer. Q. Right. Okay, can we have a look now in bundle 9 then,	22 23 24	A. Yes.Q. So in fact you weren't in favour of splitting the vote, were you, because it would lead you in third place as
22 23 24	A. I have told you my answer. Q. Right. Okay, can we have a look now in bundle 9 then, at page 4976. Do you see this is an email you wrote to another board director, Mr Trevor Abrahmsohn?	22 23	A. Yes.Q. So in fact you weren't in favour of splitting the vote,
22 23 24	A. I have told you my answer.Q. Right. Okay, can we have a look now in bundle 9 then, at page 4976. Do you see this is an email you wrote to	22 23 24	A. Yes.Q. So in fact you weren't in favour of splitting the vote, were you, because it would lead you in third place as

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

A. That would depend on how many joined us. 2 Q. Yes, exactly. 3 A. Just to be clear, if depends how hig Agents' Mutual's membership would become. 4 Dependent of this point. 5 Q. It is a matter — 6 A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. 7 place and into second. 8 Q. As a matter of principle though, if you split in any given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups of agents joinine, laif of them go off to Zoopha or some off them go off to Zoopha or some off the goop. It is good and the conversation of the Conc Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So platfing it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this good and what you so was to go off genes and they are sometimes called the rest of properties. So platfing it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this good and what you so you go and the same thing? A Ves, the is revealing singular that all, so you was to go off to good office and the properties. So platfing it is revealing singular that it is the most efficient way to get there. Page 189 Page 189 Page 191 Who? A It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way for get there. Q That is right. And the anumber 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. You and				
2 Q. Yes, exactly. 3 A. Just to be clear, it depends how big Agents' Mutual's 4 membership would become. 5 Q. It is a matter — 5 a cuple of pages earlier on at 4974, a continuation of 6 the coversation? 6 A. There are various ways in which you can move past third 7 place and into second. 9 Q. As a meter of principle though, if you split in any 9 given locality, one of the protal choices by the groups of agents joining, har of them go of It o Rightmore and 11 half of them go off to Zoopla or some of them go off it o 12 somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new 12 works the Artor, isn't i? 14 A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other 15 reason for the Or Other Portal rule was to recate 16 a differentiated set of properties. So plitting it 17 styll would have given us that more strongly than the 18 hand ne were dealt. 19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, sin't it, the rest of this 21 do you? What you say: 22 "These mothers bear out the discussions we had 23 during last year, to the effect that the nost efficient 24 way to get swilly to the number 2 position would be if 25 members dropped" 26 A. Zoopla. 27 to get there. 28 A. Zoopla. 29 A. A. Yes, the is. 29 Q. Fad short in the sumber 2 position would be if 29 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 29 to get there. 20 Q. That is right, so that is your view, isn't if, 20 Q. That is right, so that is your view, isn't if, 21 what you then go not so say in this email at the bottom 25 is: 26 You and your Fabric colleagues." 27 A. Yes, 28 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 29 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient as with the point way to get there is that 24 would profer from all what you see as being the way to get there is that 25 it would be quicker, most efficient as wife if they 26 A.	1	A. That would depend on how many joined us.	1	is after the launch, so it is clear what's already
a membership would become. A there are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. A. Ves. A. Ves. A. Ves. A. Wes. A. Yes, and it is clear that they are in negotiation with Zoopha about a group deal. A. Wes. A. Yes, and it is clear that they are in negotiation with Zoopha about a group deal. A. Wes. A. Yes, that is the work of the Fabric fromp. It is clear that they are in negotiation with Zoopha about agroup deal. A. Wes. A. Yes, that is the work of the Fabric fromp. It is clear that they are in negotiation with Zoopha about agroup deal. A. Ves. A. Yes, that is the work of the Fabric fromp. It is clear that they are in negotiation with Zoopha about agroup deal. A. Ves. A. Yes, that is the work of the Fabric fromp. It is clear that they are in negotiation with Zoopha about agroup deal. A. Ves. A. Yes, the is. A. Yes, the is	2	Q. Yes, exactly.	2	•
5 Q. It is a matter— 6 A. There are various ways in which you can move past third place and into second. 8 Q. As a matter of principle though, if you split in any given locality, one of the pool to kightmove and 10 of agents joining, half of them go off 10 oblightmove and 10 half of them go off 10 oblightmove and 10 half of them go off 10 oblightmove and 10 as omebody else, that is going to make your job as a new website harder, isn't if? 10 A. Well, to me sense not because don't forget, the other reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 17 oblightmove given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. 10 Q. In the, it is revealing, isn't if, the rest of this 20 omail base given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. 11 Q. In the, it is revealing, isn't if, the rest of this 20 omail base given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. 12 do you? What you say: 13 way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if 21 members dropped" 14 Who? 15 Page 189 16 Who? 17 A. I do. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what follows is Page 191 17 Who? 18 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 19 Q. That is right. 20 Q. That is right. 21 Who? 22 A. Zoopla. 23 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 20 Q. That is right. 24 Who? 25 D. That is right. 26 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 27 So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. And what you see a being the way to get there is that it is would be quicker, most efficient way to the go not to say in this small at the bottom is: 29 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you with go go not say in this small at the bottom is: 20 Q. And your afterpist to get to the number 2 position, yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see a being the way to get there is that it is wo	3	A. Just to be clear, it depends how big Agents' Mutual's	3	
5 A. There are various ways in which you can move past third 7 place and into second. 8 Q. As a matter of principle though, if you split in any 9 given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups 10 of agents joining, half of them go off 10 kightmove and 11 half of them go off 10 Zopid a some of them go off 10 or located split in any 12 somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new 13 website harder, isn't if? 14 A. Well, to moe sense not because don't forget, the other 15 reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create 16 a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 17 \$50.60 would have given us that more strongly than the 18 hands we were draft. 19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't if, the rest of this 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 21 do you? What you say: 22 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had 23 during last year, to be effect that the most efficient 24 way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if 25 members deepped" 26 "Day 189 1 Who? 27 Use there. 28 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 29 O. That is right. 29 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 20 to get there. 30 Q. That is right. 4 Mr Springest, this time expressed to one of your other 4 board directors? 4 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 4 to get there. 5 Q. That is right. 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 4 what you then go not to say in this email at the bottom 5 is: 6 Q. And also a founder director and what you say is: 7 Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? 4 A. Yes. 5 O please accept from me, Mr Springest, that is the owner of the proup, isn't he? 4 A. Yes. 6 Q. That is right. 6 Who? 9 A. If servybody moves from the number 2 position, yes? 7 So please accept from me, Mr Springest, that is the owner of the fabric magazine. 9 Q. They there are a bit faster. 10 Q. The is right. 11 Q. Still on the same topic, isn't it, to the control with a control that it has the other	4	membership would become.	4	
place and into second. Q. As a mutter of principle though, if you split in any given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups of agents joining, half of them go off 10 to Rightmove and 10 half of them go off 10 to Rightmove and 11 to somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new website harder, isn't it? A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting if 17 50/50 would have given us that more strongly than the 18 hand we were dealt. Q. In fact, its revealing, isn't it, the rest of this email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 during last year, to the elfect that the most efficient 23 during last year, to the elfect that the most efficient 24 way to get swiffty to the number 2 position would be if 25 members dropped" Page 189 Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. A. It is inglit. A. Well, stain right. A. It is right. A. It is right. A. Yes, that's right, so that is you was you fail semal at the bottom thinking of a group for agents. aren't interpretation with 22 post and 24 post and 24 post and 25 pos	5	Q. It is a matter	5	
8 Q. As a matter of principle though, if you split in any 9 given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups of agents, given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups of green locality, one of the portal choices by the group of the portal choices by the group of the portal choices by the group of agents, is first it, about this grouping of sembly over job as a new werbsite harder, isn't it? 1. A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 50,000 and the group than the hand we were dealt. 1. Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this omit because you don't say anything about that at all, and you? What you says: 2. These numbers bear out the discussions we had during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get awaitly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped 2. A. Zoopla. 1. Who? 2. A. Zoopla. 2. Who? 3. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, to Mr Abrahmsohn. 3. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, to A. Yes. 4. A. Yes, the is, the mean of the care of the group, isn't he? 4. A. Yes, the is, the manuser of the properties of the group, isn't he? 4. A. Yes, the is, the manuser of the number 2 portal chiefcient way to get awaity to the number 2 portal chiefcient way to get there. 4. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 4. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal chiefcient way what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: 3. Q. Yes, that's right. As you mumber 2 portal, then you what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: 4. Yes, they do. 4. Q. And so group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 5. Q. And your Fabric colleagues". 5. Q. And your of agents, sin't i? 6. Q. And your of agents, sin't i? 7. A. Yes. 8. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and	6	A. There are various ways in which you can move past third	6	the conversation?
given locality, one of the potral choices by the groups half of them go off to Zopola or some of then go off to Zopola or some of them go off to Zopola or some of the Zopola about a group deal. A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it sold a differentiated set of properties. So Sopola or group deal. Bandwa were dealt. In the sold was given us that more strongly than the band secures you don't say anything about that at all, 20 do your What you asy 2 do you what you get swiftly to the effect that the most efficient 22 do your What you asy 2 dealt way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped" Page 189 Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Yes, he is. Q. And Mr Abrahmsohn is a member of the group, isn't he? A. Yes, he is. Q. Ond also a founder director and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is bear directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get winty to the start is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. You and your Fabric colleagues." A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom this is: You and your Fabric colleagues." A. Yes. Q. On that is right. And the number 2 portal, then you to get there. You and your Fabric colleagues." A. Yes. Q. And say for the point, yes. You are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are regoing to accelerate a bit faster. You and	7	place and into second.	7	A. I do.
of agents joining, half of them go off to Zoopla or some of them go off to Soopla or some of them go off to Zoopla or some or the Zoopla and we should have given us that more strongly than the land we were dealt. 15	8	Q. As a matter of principle though, if you split in any	8	Q. So this is another email from you, isn't it, to
half of them go off to Zoopla or some of them go off to somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new whether were dealt. A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other resolved in the properties. So splitting it reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 50/50 would have given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. In the hand we were dealt. In the waste don't be considered the special properties. So splitting it 50/50 would have given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. In the hand we were dealt. In the summers bear out the discussions we had during als year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped" Page 189 Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Yes, haft's the worner of the Fabric magazine. Q. And Mr Abrahmsohn is a member of the group, isn't he? A. It is the same thing? Q. And And Abrahmsohn is an emember of the group, isn't he? A. Yes, hat is the owner of the group, isn't he? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get fice. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get fice. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get make. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. The springert, this time expressed to one of your other to get there. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get make. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get make. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get make. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get make. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get make. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient	9	given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups	9	Mr Abrahmsohn?
somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new website barder, isn't it? A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 50.50 would have given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. 9. Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this email because you don't say anything about that at all, do you? What you say: 21 do you? What you say: 22 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if 24 way to get awiftly to the number 2 position would be if 25 members dropped* 26 A. Zoopla. 1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 2 Page 189 1 Page 191 1 Who? 2 A. Yes, that is itine expressed to one of your other board directors? 4 A. Yes. 9 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 8 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 10 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is is: 8 Q. A and your Fabric colleagues." 10 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and thinking of a group of agents, aren't they? 2 A. Yes, they do. Q. A and your advour Fabric colleagues." 10 Q. A sa group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 11 A. Yes. 12 (Q. And your advour Fabric colleagues." 12 (Q. And your advour Fabric colleagues." 13 (Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 2 A. Yes, they do. Q. And your add byour attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 2 (Q. And what you say is: or in this cand what you get there is that terms and objectives, as in this cand what you would prefer them all as a group to dicth Zoopla; correct? 2 (Q. And what you say in this cend what is a did the town of the email to Mr Abrahmsohn. 2 (Q. And your attempts to get to the nu	10	of agents joining, half of them go off to Rightmove and	10	A. Yes.
website barder, isn't it? 14 A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other 15 reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 16 and we were dealt. 17 50:50 would have given us that more strongly than the 18 hand we were dealt. 18 hand we were dealt. 19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 21 do you? What you say: 22 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had 23 during last year, to the effect that the most efficient 24 way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if 25 members dropped" 26 Page 189 27 Page 191 1 Who? 28 A. Zoopla. 29 Ves., that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 40 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other 50 board directors? 50 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 51 to get there. 52 O. That is right. 53 A. Yes. 54 A. Yes. 55 O. That is right. 56 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 57 to get there. 58 Q. That is right. 59 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 50 C. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and whinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 50 Sop lease accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 50 A. Yes. 51 A. Yes. 52 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quick remove flower of the pour out that the time to make sure we deliver the endigume for our members as soon as possible and replaced to the number 2 position; yes? 51 A. Yes. 52 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it in the creation of the pour would perfer that the mass efficient way to make you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn. 51 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 51 A. Yes. 52 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it to make sure we deliver the endigame for our membe	11	half of them go off to Zoopla or some of them go off to	11	Q. Still on the same topic, isn't it, about this grouping
A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it and the reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it and the reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it and the reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it and the reason game and they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing? A. It is the same thing? A. It is the same thing? A. It is the same thing. A. Yes, haid it is clear that they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing? A. It is the same thing? A. It is the same thing. A. Yes, haid it is clear that they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing? A. It is the same thing. A. Yes, haid it is clear that they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing. A. A. Yes, the same thing? A. It is the same thing. A. A. Yes, his. Q. And Also a founder director and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is Page 191 1 Who? 1 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. Then you go no to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes, A. Yes, A. Yes, Yes, A. Yes, not it they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing. A. A. Yes, his is dear that they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing. A. A. Yes, had it is clear that they are inclical the Fabric Group. It is effectively the same thing? A. It is the same thing. A. Yes, and it is clear that they are inclical they are called the Fabric Group. It is effectively the same thing? A. It is the same thing. A. Yes, and it is clear that they are sometimes called the re-app agents, aren't they? A. It is the same thing. A. It is	12	somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new	12	of agents. These are in north or north west London,
15 reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 1 50.05 would have given us that more strongly than the 1 hand we were dealt. 18 hand we were dealt. 19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 effectively the same thing? 20 A. It is the same thing. 20 Q. And Mr Abrahmsohn is a member of the group, isn't he? A. Yes, he is the same thing. 20 Q. And Mr Abrahmsohn is a member of the group, isn't he? A. Yes, he is members dropped" 24 Q. And also a founder director and what you say is: 25 "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is Page 191 1 Who? 1 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. 24 A. Yes. 25 Then you go not offer a view, don't you? 24 A. Yes. 26 Q. That is right. 30 A. Yes, his is time expressed to one of your other 25 board directors? 27 Then you go not offer a view, don't you? 28 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 28 Q. That is right. 30 A. That is right. 30 A. That we have then go on to say in this email at the bottom 20 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 20 What you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 21 A. Yes. 29 Q. That is right. 30 A. Yes they do. 20 A. A your artempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 20 Q. And your artempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 31 A. Yes. 32 Q. A. Yes, bey do. 32 A. Yes. 33 G. Yes, they do. 34 A. Yes. 35 G. What you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would perfer the mall	13	website harder, isn't it?	13	aren't they?
16 a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it 17	14	A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other	14	A. Yes, and it is clear that they are in negotiation with
17 50/50 would have given us that more strongly than the hand we were dealt. 18 hand we were dealt. 19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this email because you don't say anything about that at all, 20 camil because you don't say anything about that at all, 21 do you? What you say: 21 do you? What you say: 22 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had 22 during last year, to the effect that the most efficient 23 during last year, to the effect that the most efficient 24 way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if 25 members dropped" 22 A. Yes, he is. 23 A. Yes, he is. 24 Who? 25 members dropped" 26 Page 189 27 Page 191 28 Page 191 29 Q. And also a founder director and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is Page 191 30 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 3 Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? 41 A. Zoopla. 42 A. Zoopla. 43 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. That is right. 46 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 47 To get there. 48 Q. That is right. 49 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? 40 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 41 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom what you drop on the you in this email at the bottom what you drop on the young on young the young on young yo	15	reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create	15	Zoopla about a group deal.
hand we were dealt. 19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this emissed because you don't say anything about that at all, do you? What you say: 21 do you? What you say: 22 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had do you? What you say: 23 during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if way to get there. 24 way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if way to get there. 25 Page 189 Page 191 1 Who?	16	a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it	16	Q. So it is a grouping of agents and they are sometimes
19 Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this email because you don't say anything about that at all, do you? What you say: 22 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if Page 189 1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view; isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? 4 A. If sunquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 5 Doard directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 9 Q. That is right. 1 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 10 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And also a founder director and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is Page 191 1 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. 2 terms. 3 D. Yes, that's right, so that is you rice of the group, isn't he? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." 5 So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? 4 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 9 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom that this email at the bottom that it what you would prefer them all as a group to ditch 2 population of the group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. 9 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don'	17	50/50 would have given us that more strongly than the	17	called the re-app agents, aren't they?
email because you don't say anything about that at all, do you? What you say: 2 "These numbers bear out the discussions we had 2	18	hand we were dealt.	18	A. Yes, that is the owner of the Fabric magazine.
do you? What you say: "These numbers bear out the discussions we had during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped" Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, board directors? A. Yes, he is. Page 191 Who? A. Zoopla. I geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. It everybody moves from the humber 2 position, yes? A. Yes. Q. And you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. Yes. Q. And you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn. A. Yes. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla, correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	19	Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this	19	Q. Sometimes they are called the Fabric Group. It is
These numbers bear out the discussions we had during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped" Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla, correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. That's correct and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is A. Yes, be is. Q. And Mr Abrahmsofn is a member of the what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is Page 191 Page 191 1 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. It me expressing it to Mr Abrahmsofn. Q. In a sorry, Itake that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr	20	email because you don't say anything about that at all,	20	effectively the same thing?
during last year, to the effect that the most efficient way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped " Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, that is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And also a founder director and what you say is: "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is Page 191 1 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of a agents as a group? 4 A. Im expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. 10 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? 4 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal, then you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? 4 A. Yes. 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 4 A. Yes. 16 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? 24 A. That's correct. 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 26 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	21	do you? What you say:	21	A. It is the same thing.
24 way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if members dropped" 25 Page 189 Page 191 1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? 5 board directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. 9 Q. That is right. 9 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 16 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? 21 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 22 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	22	"These numbers bear out the discussions we had	22	Q. And Mr Abrahmsohn is a member of the group, isn't he?
Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. Q. That is right. Whot po no to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". "You and your Fabric colleagues". A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this Page 191 Page 191 Page 191 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. Page 191 geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing vie to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. Pen expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	23	during last year, to the effect that the most efficient	23	A. Yes, he is.
Page 189 Page 191 Who? A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	24	way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if	24	Q. And also a founder director and what you say is:
1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other 5 board directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 6 to get there. 7 Coy ou all to ditch them." 8 Q. That is right. 9 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you 10 are going to accelerate a bit faster. 11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 12 what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 20 G. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	25	members dropped "	25	"Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is
1 Who? 2 A. Zoopla. 3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other 5 board directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 7 to get there. 7 So you are expressing your view to this grouping of 8 Q. That is right. 9 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you 10 are going to accelerate a bit faster. 11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 12 what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 20 G. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as				
2 A. Zoopla. 3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other 5 board directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 7 to get there. 8 Q. That is right. 9 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you 10 are going to accelerate a bit faster. 11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 12 what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 10 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 20 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 21 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 22 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 24 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 25 Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? 4 A. Yes. 26 Q. O'f course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." 27 So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? 3 A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. 4 A. I'm expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. 4 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 5 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Dand what you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 5 Correct? 5 Q. Mnd what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 4 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as		Page 189		Page 191
2 A. Zoopla. 3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other 5 board directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 7 to get there. 8 Q. That is right. 9 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you 10 are going to accelerate a bit faster. 11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 12 what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 10 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 20 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 21 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 22 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 24 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 25 terms. 26 Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. No, you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? 30 A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. 31 is: 31 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? 32 A. Yes. 33 Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? 34 A. Yes. 35 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them." 35 you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn. 36 Q. Im expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 39 Q. Im expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 30 Q. Im expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 30 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 30 Q. They you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 31 A. That's correct. 32 Q. So for at least a year, the ai	1	Who?	1	geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial
3 Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, 4 Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other 5 board directors? 6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 7 to get there. 7 So you are expressing your view to this grouping of 8 Q. That is right. 8 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you 10 are going to accelerate a bit faster. 11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 12 what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	•			
Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. Yes, they do. Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	2			
board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this D. Q. No you are expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. 10 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them." A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 11 them," aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 12 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 13 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn. 14 in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 Long the manufacture is the to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as		A. Zoopla.	2	terms.
6 A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way 7 to get there. 8 Q. That is right. 9 A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 10 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: 11 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 12 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 13 A. Yes. 14 If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 10 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? 4 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 11 them", aren't you? 12 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 13 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing 14 it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that 17 you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 18 correct? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. and your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	3	A. Zoopla.Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it,	2 3	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you?
to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. If any sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn. A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. and your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	3 4	A. Zoopla.Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it,Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other	2 3 4	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes.
Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. Im expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. 10 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 11 them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 12 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 13 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. Thop you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5	A. Zoopla.Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it,Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors?	2 3 4 5	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer
A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 10 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? 18 A. Yes. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 20 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct. 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	3 4 5 6	A. Zoopla.Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors?A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way	2 3 4 5 6	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them."
are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 12 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 13 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 10 Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 11 A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? 11 A. Yes. 22 Q. "I'l hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 23 been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it,	2 3 4 5 6 7	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of
11 Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 12 what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 26 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 27 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 28 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 29 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn? 20 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that 21 you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 29 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want 20 D. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group?
what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 12 A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. 13 Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? 14 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? 18 correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn.
13 is: 14 "You and your Fabric colleagues". 15 So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 16 thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 26 I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing 27 it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that 29 you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 20 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want 21 to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as 22 soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has 23 been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch
So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Will hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct. Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you?
thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this D. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? D. Wres. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct. D. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn.
17 you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 18 Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 19 A. Yes, they do. 20 Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 27 you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; 28 correct? 29 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want 20 to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as 22 soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has 23 been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing
Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 18 correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn?
Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 18 correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes.
Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 20 Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that
21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 23 it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 20 to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as 22 soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has 23 been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla;
Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 22 soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 23 been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct?
Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 22 soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." 23 been board strategy since last February." 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes.
it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct. Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want
24 all dropped Zoopla; correct? 25 A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 26 Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has
Page 190 Page 192	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February."
Page 190 Page 192	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Zoopla. Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other board directors? A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way to get there. Q. That is right. A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you are going to accelerate a bit faster. Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom is: "You and your Fabric colleagues". So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? A. Yes, they do. Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? A. Yes. Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they all dropped Zoopla; correct? A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	terms. Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? A. Yes. Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch them." So you are expressing your view to this grouping of agents as a group? A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch them", aren't you? A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? A. Yes. Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; correct? A. Yes. Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has been board strategy since last February." A. That's correct. Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

1	expressed through the board strategy of the company,	1	know and wasn't for his ears, aren't you?
2	have been to replace Zoopla, haven't they?	2	A. I am telling him in confidence.
3	A. Replace Zoopla as number 2 is the objective.	3	Q. Precisely because it is confidential information; that
4	Q. Yes. Thank you. And that, you say, is becoming ever	4	is right, isn't it?
5	more achievable. You go on to say:	5	A. No, I'm telling him in confidence. That's all it says.
6	"I do recognise though, that AM/OTM's existence has	6	Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that this email is clear
7	helped create useful and valuable negotiating	7	evidence of you attempting to influence a grouping of
8	opportunities and most importantly, that these decisions	8	agents to make a decision as a group to ditch Zoopla
9	are for members to take and not for me."	9	because that's the company's strategy, to replace Zoopla
10	So what you are saying is two things. "There are	10	as number 2. That is right, isn't it?
11	decisions for members to take and not for me", but	11	A. It is not addressed to a group of agents.
12	nevertheless, you and you are chief executive of the	12	MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment.
13	company, aren't you explaining and reiterating that	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we'll rise for five minutes.
14	the strategy of the company is for the grouping all to	14	(3.00 pm)
15	ditch Zoopla, aren't you?	15	(A short break)
16	A. It is clear from the first line that from a pure	16	(3.05 pm)
17	Agents' Mutual/OTM viewpoint, in the light of what	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, before you resume, the questioning
18	actually happened when the portal launched, it would be	18	of the witness, I have one point on the pleadings which
19	preferable for us, in order to get to the number 2	19	I would like to raise with you. I think it is probably
20	position and overtake Zoopla, that that switch should	20	best if I raise it in the absence of you, Mr Springett.
21	happen. But if I if you look at what the bulk of	21	I wonder if you would mind waiting outside court for
22	this email is then about, it is about some thoughts that	22	five minutes.
23	I had on how he could look at the negotiation with	23	(The witness withdrew)
24	Zoopla.	24	THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone will recall the debate we had in
25	Q. What you say in the first bullet point below the first	25	opening about horizontal and vertical agreements and
	Page 193		Page 195
1	hole punch is that:		
	•	1	Mr Harris, you in particular, referred both Mr Maclean
2	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in	2	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which
2 3	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London."	2 3	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the
2 3 4	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it?	2 3 4	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly
2 3 4 5	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true.	2 3 4 5	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said
2 3 4 5 6	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was;	2 3 4 5 6	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the
2 3 4 5 6 7	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, should you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, should you? A. I had no reason not to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: What concerned me, and the reason I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, should you? A. I had no reason not to. Q. Well, you are telling him confidential information that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: What concerned me, and the reason I am raising it now is because it may affect how you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, should you? A. I had no reason not to. Q. Well, you are telling him confidential information that you were privy to in your capacity as chief executive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: What concerned me, and the reason I am raising it now is because it may affect how you want to put things to the witness, is that a lot of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, should you? A. I had no reason not to. Q. Well, you are telling him confidential information that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: What concerned me, and the reason I am raising it now is because it may affect how you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in Prime London." That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? A. That would be true. Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London because what you are saying is "from the company's viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." That is right, isn't it? A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying how you might think about the negotiation. Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential information that wasn't otherwise known by Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? A. I mentioned to him, yes. Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, should you? A. I had no reason not to. Q. Well, you are telling him confidential information that you were privy to in your capacity as chief executive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which we find at A3/tab 3, page 48. And you remember the debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said that there was much more to the pleading than just the title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, you have addressed that in your written submissions, perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. What is clear though, is that although, as you very fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the essential allegations you are making here is a concerted practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: What concerned me, and the reason I am raising it now is because it may affect how you want to put things to the witness, is that a lot of the

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

1	cross-examination regarding the northeast England	1	And we have been very clear about this in the skeleton
2	practice, related, you would say, to a collective	2	and in openings and in this pleading. What I do accept,
3	position being taken but in respect of leaving	3	and I said this very clearly, is that the short form
4	Rightmove, not Zoopla.	4	heading to the particulars, only identifies that one
5	MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, that's correct.	5	against Zoopla but the characterisation of the breach is
6	THE CHAIRMAN: And we see that H5/2578. I think H7/3992.	6	set out in paragraph 26 of the pleading. We
7	And you took the witness to 3992 which was	7	characterised these going on as either concerted
8	Mr Springett's thinking about this and to put it	8	practices, agreements or decisions of associated
9	colloquially, you were putting to Mr Springett that his	9	undertakings as particularised.
10	private view was that they would be mad to come off	10	THE CHAIRMAN: So you have to go to 38 and following?
11	Rightmove but, nevertheless, the view of the estate	11	MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right.
12	agents was that their collective view, if you want to	12	THE CHAIRMAN: And when one looks at 38 and following, you
13	put it that way, and obviously, that is something we'll	13	will correct me if I am wrong, I am sure, but when one
14	have to reach a view on, was to stay with Zoopla and	14	gets to paragraph 40, the collective decision regarding
15	come off Rightmove.	15	property portals to leave, as I see it, but do correct
16	Now, is it then part of your case that there was	16	me if I'm wrong, refers only to ceasing to list with
17	some form of communication by Mr Springett of	17	Zoopla.
18	Agents' Mutual to the collectivity of these agents,	18	MR HARRIS: Well, sir, there are a number
19	saying "change your mind"?	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Is that right or am I missing something?
20	MR HARRIS: Sir, let me take this in stages.	20	MR HARRIS: Well, what one has to understand, sir, is the
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	21	date upon which this document was written.
22	MR HARRIS: So part of our pleaded case involved impugning	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
23	three types of horizontal agreements or, if you like,	23	MR HARRIS: So at the time of putting together the
24	three variants, so there is, as I said in opening, and	24	particulars in this pleading, in this expedited action,
25	it has never been dealt with either in the skeleton or	25	we of course hadn't had all of this disclosure, so
	Page 197		Page 199
1	in opening by my learned friend, there are concerted	1	I wasn't in a position to particularise, at that stage,
2	practices/decisions of an association of	2	by specific examples or dates of collective decisions
3	undertaking/agreements, collectively to join	3	that fall within the general wording that were leaving
4	Agents' Mutual. So that's category number 1.	4	Rightmove. What I was able to do and put my name to, as
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I understand that.	5	was Mr Livesey, the particulars that have been given and
6	MR HARRIS: So far so good.	6	all I could say at that stage was included at
7	THE CHAIRMAN: So far so good.	7	paragraph 40F, included deliberately:
8	MR HARRIS: What we also say is that the undertaking	8	" by reason of the facts and matters set out
9	substituted practical cooperation as to the property	9	above and the best particulars I had available at the
10	portals which the estate agent undertakings in question	10	date of the pleading, the defendant avers that such
11	would use. That's paragraph 38, part of which you read	11	meetings are likely to have been used to invite
12	out before.	12	potential members to state their intentions as to
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Show me exactly what you are referring to.	13	whether they intended to join OTM and/or which other
14	MR HARRIS: Yes, it begins at the very final word, (ii),	14	portal they would use."
15	paragraph 38, top of page 49.	15	It is very deliberately not confined to Zoopla. And
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Right.	16	what's emerged since then and, of course, this is why we
17	MR HARRIS: "Its members or some of them, by which those	17	what's energed since then and, of course, this is why we were so clear about it in our skeleton and in our
18	undertakings could substitute a practical cooperation as	18	opening, is that now that we have seen, and as I have
19	to the property portals which the estate agent	19	been trying to explain in opening and to some extent in
20	undertakings in question would use."	20	the questioning, collective groupings making collective
21	And then that splits into two types. So they can	21	decisions and in different parts of the country they
22	substitute practical cooperation as to the property	22	have turned in different ways. In fact, as I am about
23	portals they would use, being Rightmove, the one they	23	to develop, they haven't turned in different ways very
24	would use, and in other cases, practical cooperation as	24	often at all. But more disclosure had been generated
25	to using Zoopla, Zoopla being the one that they use.	25	because of the search terms for certain examples of
23	to doing Zoopia, Zoopia being the one that they use.	23	occause of the scarch terms for certain examples of
	Page 198		Page 200

1	collective decisions and, therefore, we have more	1	that's why you have come 90/10. I take your point,
2	documents about certain of them. And certain of them	2	Mr Freeman, sir, it is completely right that evidence on
3	are ones that either ended up being collective decisions	3	the effects case in the property portal market would be
4	to leave Rightmove, certain of them, and certain of	4	different if it hadn't been 90/10 but it has been 90/10.
5	them, like the northeast, had some initial disclosure of	5	They are entirely consistent. It is entirely
6	the agents suggesting that they might, as a group, leave	6	consistent for me to run a case that there were illegal
7	Rightmove but in actual fact, even in the northeast, the	7	collective boycotts of Rightmove, for what turned out to
8	one we are currently dealing with, they all left Zoopla.	8	be 10 per cent of cases. In fact, only two areas, west
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, all litigation is a journey, not	9	Wales and north London and an illegal collective boycott
10	always to a known destination but the whole thrust of	10	of Zoopla everywhere else, including as it happens, we
11	your case will be different if you were in fact alleging	11	haven't quite got there yet, in the northeast. They
12	a collective boycott of Rightmove. All the economic	12	collectively left Zoopla.
13	effects would be different. You would no longer be	13	THE CHAIRMAN: You see you don't just have to make the
14	seeing a strategy to replace the number 2 by another	14	allegation of collective leaving, you need to tie
15	number 2. It would be an entirely different analysis.	15	Agents' Mutual into that.
16	I think you would accept that.	16	MR HARRIS: Absolutely.
17	MR HARRIS: That is quite right, sir, and that takes me on	17	THE CHAIRMAN: What we have at the moment and maybe the
18	to the point that I just put on the back burner. That	18	story is only part told which is why I am raising it now
19	is because the 90 per cent is the key figure.	19	because I am a little concerned that the point hasn't
20	Ninety per cent ended up ditching Zoopla. It happens to	20	been closed down in your cross-examination, is what we
21	be the case that 10 per cent didn't and some of these	21	have got is a fairly clear indication that the estate
22	materials in this file take in fact it is only two	22	agents in this part of the country are inclined to ditch
23	groups. You might want to note this down mentally or	23	Rightmove and stay with Zoopla. We have an internal
24	wherever. It is only two groupings in the country that	24	communication that you have taken Mr Springett to, where
25	ended up ditching Rightmove as a group. One was the	25	he suggests that seems like a rather odd thing to do in
	Page 201		Page 203
1	north London grouping, that was the REAP, Trevor	1	the circumstances, but one has an altogether more
2	Abrahamson group, and one which we haven't yet reached	2	neutral communication from Mr Springett to Clive Rook,
3	was in west Wales. Every single one of the other groups	3	one of those estate agents, where he's not saying this
4	ended up coming to an, in our contention, illegal group	4	is mad, it's a much more measured document which you
5	decision to ditch Zoopla. And that is why you get the	5	didn't take Mr Springett to, at page 3994.
6	90 per cent versus the 10 per cent which is a common	6	MR HARRIS: Sir, that is because
7	ground figure.	7	THE CHAIRMAN: You may be coming to it.
8	MR FREEMAN: When you wrote your pleadings, you had in mind	8	MR HARRIS: Yes.
9	the existence of a possible collective decision that	9	THE CHAIRMAN: But the reason I am raising it now and you
10	would impact mainly on Zoopla. That was your thinking	10	can understand why I am doing so because I am afraid
11	and that was all the evidence supplied on the economic	11	I am not sure I, for one, entirely share your view that
12	side. Nothing has really changed on that.	12	the position regarding the various different forms of
13	MR HARRIS: No, sir, that is not fair. That is not fair.	13	collective agreement is quite as clear as you would
14	We have learnt a great deal more from the evidence, from	14	suggest from the pleading. You are, when you are
15	the disclosure, about how the agents have been going	15	putting your case to Mr Springett, going to have to be
16	about we say, as you know, facilitated, encouraged,	16	much more precise in terms of what it is you are putting
17	et cetera, by the company, making group decisions. And	17	to him, what he did in respect of each region. So that
18	what we now know and what we have been very clear about	18	we can tie in, if the evidence goes that way,
19	is that that gives rise to, potentially, three variants	19	Agents' Mutual into this, what you say is an illegal
20	of the legal decisions. Group decisions to join and	20	collective.
21	should have been individual, and group decisions, as it	21	MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, I think
22	turns out in 90 per cent of the cases, to ditch Zoopla,	22	THE CHAIRMAN: You may say I am raising this unnecessarily
23	as was indeed, we see, the strategy of Agents' Mutual,	23	and if that's so, absolutely fantastic, but I felt it
24	but I accept 10 per cent of the decisions that were	24	was important to raise it now because when I saw the
25	group decisions on our case, to ditch Rightmove. And	25	documents that you have so far taken the witness to in
	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
	Page 202		Page 204

		_	
1	the northeast, I was a little bit confused. Now, no	1	you are saying there was a collective agreement, you
2	doubt it is entirely my fault but it does seem to me	2	will need to put your case to the witness.
3	when there is that sort of confusion, one ought to lay	3	MR HARRIS: Yes, I accept that.
4	it out on the table so you know where we are coming	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Say, yes, you were part of it. I'm probably
5	from.	5	teaching my grandmother to suck eggs.
6	MR HARRIS: I am grateful.	6	MR HARRIS: No, not at all. It is a very useful discussion
7	THE CHAIRMAN: And it may affect how you are going to put	7	as everything sort of filters through in collective
8	your questions to Mr Springett.	8	understandings. One of the reasons that, as you know,
9	MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir, yes. I suspect some of the	9	I have been keen to just express how much there is to
10	questioning arises from the fact that we are midway	10	get through, includes because I have to deal with the
11	through a particular issue in one particular area.	11	northeast I have dealt, in part, with London but
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but you had moved on to London, you see.	12	I have to deal with west Wales, I have to deal with
13	That's the thing.	13	north Devon and then there are a whole series of other
14	MR HARRIS: Yes, I had but we haven't finished the northeast	14	
15	and that one, I confess, that one came a little bit out	15	ones I have to put, like the ones we mentioned to
16	of order in some ways because it followed on from	16	Mr Springett earlier on. There is Maidstone, East
17	another email but I haven't finished with the northeast	17	Anglia and I am afraid some of it is forensic. It is not quite as developed because we don't have as much
18	and I certainly haven't finished with the email you have	18	•
19	in mind and indeed there are others as well.	18	disclosure on all of the points.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: I am sure there are. There are 18-files and	20	But yes, and I entirely accept your point that
20		20 21	indeed it is part of our case that there are collective
22	I can't pretend to be as familiar with them as you are. MR HARRIS: I mean about the northeast and Mr Springett's	21 22	arrangements by localities, if you like, regional
	1 0	1	groupings making decisions and overall, it ended up
23	role in the northeast, including as regards ditching	23	being 90 per cent in one direction and 10 per cent in
24	Zoopla. But I stand entirely by no suggestion has	24	the other but the mechanics and the details were
25	ever been made by the other side that this has been an	25	different in each case because they were different
	Page 205		Page 207
1	unclear case, whether in the pleadings or whether in the	1	groupings. So I take that point.
2	skeleton argument or in the openings. They have just	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Good, in that case, thank you very much,
3	chosen not to address it. That is a matter for them and	3	Mr Harris, I am sorry to have taken you out of your way.
4	no doubt we'll now have argument about it in closing,	4	MR HARRIS: Not at all. I am anxious that the Tribunal
5	but we have been quite clear about what the nature of	5	should at least understand my case, even if I don't get
6	the variants are of the collective decisions. And	6	all the way there, at the end of the day.
7	I entirely take your point, sir, that part of my job is	7	MR FREEMAN: We have a common purpose in that, Mr Harris.
8	to seek to persuade you that Agents' Mutual, through its	8	THE CHAIRMAN: You can have a collective agreement as well.
9	various board members, employees, senior employees or	9	MR HARRIS: Is there anything else?
10	otherwise, is sufficiently, if I can use this word,	10	THE CHAIRMAN: No, thank you.
11	"implicated".	11	(The witness returned to the witness box).
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think the point which as I say, it	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Welcome back, Mr Springett.
13	is no doubt entirely my fault, but the point that I am	13	MR HARRIS: Thank you, Mr Springett. Me again, I am afraid.
14	only really beginning to see now and I think it does	14	A. I wonder if I might be allowed to add a point of
15	affect the way you question Mr Springett, is that you	15	clarification which I was reminded about at the bottom
16	have got quite clear geographical variants. In other	16	of 4974 that we were looking at before. And no reason
17	words, it is not simply saying: across the United	17	to look at that unnecessarily, but I just wanted to make
18	Kingdom or across England, there was this single	18	clear that the choices made by OnTheMarket members as to
19	collective approach. Instead we have a variety of	19	their other portal, are not fixed in stone. You may
20	collective approaches which it may very well be, ended	20	have apprehended this from the documents but I just
21	up in the same place, with everyone leaving Zoopla and	21	wanted to make that clear, that they can be changed at
22	staying on Rightmove, which is where they ended up but	22	any time.
23	it may be the routes are different.	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Providing it is only one other.
24	MR HARRIS: Yes, sir.	24	A. Yes.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: But it does mean as regards each route that	25	MR HARRIS: Thank you. I have one more last thing to say
	Page 206		Page 208

1	about 4974, Mr Springett, so we are back in the final	1	a board director; yes?
2	bullet point, "In confidence", and I think you were	2	A. That's correct.
3	saying: no, no, what you meant was it was just keep it	3	Q. "He was saying that lots of the agents locally are
4	confidential.	4	thinking of pulling off both Rightmove/Zoopla
5	A. Yes.	5	Understands he doesn't think that is a good idea. He
6	Q. That is not right, is it?	6	did say that his view was that they should stick with
7	MR FREEMAN: Which bundle is it, sorry?	7	Zoopla."
8	MR HARRIS: It is number 9, sir. 4974. The final bullet	8	So that is they as a group, isn't it?
9	point above the second hole punch, the one beginning	9	A. Yes.
10	"(In confidence)." And you said: no, no, that just	10	Q. "So I believe that is his vote".
11	means keep it confidential. But I'm suggesting to you	11	What you say at the top of the page in response is:
12	that in fact you are revealing confidential information,	12	"I think they are all trying to eat their cake
13	aren't you, improperly?	13	before it is cooked. Pattinson want off Rightmove so
14	A. Well, if I am, I am not aware that it is confidential.	14	maybe this is influencing Clive. Much better for us if
15	Q. Well, you surely know that Chestertons are a direct	15	they leave Zoopla. Much less likely to go back. Should
16	competitor of Glentree in the London market, don't you?	16	I have a go?"
17	A. It is evident by this time that Chestertons chose to	17	Do you see that?
18	list on Zoopla.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. That is not the point, is it? You are talking here,	19	Q. What you then do is you have a go, don't you, both as
20	about confidential information that you have about the	20	regards Mr Rook and after that, we shall see with
21	nature of the deal that Chestertons got some time	21	somebody else as well. That is right, isn't it, you had
22	earlier with a portal and you are quite wrongly	22	a go, "As you suggested, Ms Whiteley, maybe you should
23	revealing that to a competitor of Chestertons, aren't	23	do"?
24	you?	24	A. With Mr Rook?
25	A. I am sure Chestertons got a very compelling deal, is	25	Q. Yes.
	Page 209		Page 211
1	what it says.	1	A. Yes, and I think we covered that conversation earlier
2			,
2	Q. And you are quite wrongly revealing that to a competitor	2	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked"
3	of Chestertons, aren't you?		because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being
	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that	2	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two
3	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the	2 3	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance.
3 4	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms.	2 3 4 5 6	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which
3 4 5 6 7	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are	2 3 4 5 6 7	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible
3 4 5 6 7 8	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says: "Just to let you know I had an interesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as you know, a board director, yes?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says: "Just to let you know I had an interesting conversation with Clive"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as you know, a board director, yes? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says: "Just to let you know I had an interesting conversation with Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as you know, a board director, yes? A. Yes. Q. You also copy in two other members of the group,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says: "Just to let you know I had an interesting conversation with Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Mmm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as you know, a board director, yes? A. Yes. Q. You also copy in two other members of the group, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says: "Just to let you know I had an interesting conversation with Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as you know, a board director, yes? A. Yes. Q. You also copy in two other members of the group,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of Chestertons, aren't you? A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the largest board member firms. Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are competitors in the London market, don't you? A. I accept that. Yes, I do. Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there was a bit of a detour there to the north London market but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North East market. So I would like to invite your attention back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an email to you on 5 October A. Yes. Q from Ms Whiteley; yes? A. I do. Q. And she says: "Just to let you know I had an interesting conversation with Clive" That is Clive Rook, isn't it? A. Mmm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" refers to not making decisions based on the market being an immediate replacement for either of the other two portals, in terms of performance. Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just a few pages further over. A. Yes. Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had a conversation with him on the telephone around the early afternoon I think. Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? A. It is prelaunch. Q. That is right, isn't it? A. That's correct. Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as you know, a board director, yes? A. Yes. Q. You also copy in two other members of the group, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson?

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

1	Q. "Your group is very strong so you have more options than	1	Q. Maybe, but this is addressed to a group of agents, isn't
2	most. The attention you are getting from Zoopla is	2	it?
3	testimony to that"	3	A. It is a group of agents who I was aware were looking at
4	I am happy for you to read. I am not going to read	4	a collective purchasing arrangement.
5	the whole email but I want to make sure that you are	5	Q. And what you are doing is trying to influence the
6	able to refer to whatever part you wish to do. (Pause).	6	decision of this group as a group which is why you
7	Do you see in the next paragraph I am going to go	7	address it to more than one person, don't you?
8	through bits of it so please feel free to refer to any	8	A. Well, okay
9	other bits.	9	Q. Yes, you accept that?
10	A. Thank you.	10	A. I accept that.
11	Q. You say:	11	Q. Then what you go on to say in this email is that one
12	"Taking first the idea that agents come off both	12	other portal situation is much easier to sustain whether
13	Rightmove and Zoopla."	13	this is Rightmove or Zoopla. We are back in that
14	Just pausing there. We are back to that territory	14	territory, aren't we, of you would rather everyone
15	aren't we, whereas at this date you knew there was	15	chooses one or chooses the other as opposed to splitting
16	a group and you knew that the group was proposing to	16	or diluting the vote, aren't you?
17	make collective decisions as to the choices of portal,	17	A. No, what that says is that a one other portal situation
18	didn't you?	18	is much easier to sustain than a situation where they
19	A. Well I knew what I had been told by Mr Rook.	19	choose no other portal.
20	Q. That is right, that there was a proposed collective	20	Q. But also as compared to a situation where some choose
21	decision to come off both Rightmove and Zoopla, correct?	21	Rightmove and some choose Zoopla, right?
22	A. I think he says some agents.	22	A. No, it doesn't say that. What it says is a one other
23	Q. Well, you say here:	23	portal situation is easier to sustain than a no other
24	"Taking first the idea that agents come off both	24	portal situation which is goes back to the origins
25	Rightmove and Zoopla."	25	where we said in an ideal world it would have been an
23	Rightmove and Zoopia.	23	where we said in an ideal world it would have been an
	Page 213		Page 215
1	A. Yes, and my perspective on that would be the same	1	exclusive proposition but that wasn't sustainable.
1 2	A. Yes, and my perspective on that would be the same irrespective.	1 2	exclusive proposition but that wasn't sustainable. Q. And you go on to say:
	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what		
2	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective	2	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider
2 3	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal	2 3	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM
2 3 4	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective	2 3 4	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider
2 3 4 5	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal	2 3 4 5	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business."
2 3 4 5 6	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say:
2 3 4 5 6 7	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key agents are signed for five-year terms with us."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence them to make a collective decision as a group about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key agents are signed for five-year terms with us." So what I suggest to you is that you were advocating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence them to make a collective decision as a group about which portals to join but just not that decision?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key agents are signed for five-year terms with us." So what I suggest to you is that you were advocating towards Mr Rook, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence them to make a collective decision as a group about which portals to join but just not that decision? A. Collective or not would have been the same answer. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key agents are signed for five-year terms with us." So what I suggest to you is that you were advocating towards Mr Rook, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson that dynamics move in a particular way if they act together
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence them to make a collective decision as a group about which portals to join but just not that decision?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key agents are signed for five-year terms with us." So what I suggest to you is that you were advocating towards Mr Rook, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	irrespective. Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what you then tried to do is influence the collective decision making of this group away from the proposal that they are considering as a group, don't you, because what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of them? A. I am certainly saying that. Q. You say: "This is the route most likely to crumble as both Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? A. Yes. Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying to influence the collective decision making of this group up in the North East, yes? A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence them to make a collective decision as a group about which portals to join but just not that decision? A. Collective or not would have been the same answer. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you go on to say: "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM agents choose to retain the portal they each consider the strongest for their business." And then you go on to say: "There is at least one major competitor in the region outside the OTM tent." Is that Pattinson? A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant local agent in the North East? A. They are. Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM tent well, you can see the rest of what you say there. A. Mmm. Q. What you then go on to say is: "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key agents are signed for five-year terms with us." So what I suggest to you is that you were advocating towards Mr Rook, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson that dynamics move in a particular way if they act together

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

1	A NUMBER OF STREET	,	
1	A. No, I'm just saying if all the key it is the same as	1	individual firms more easily. You certainly didn't want
2	the outcome that occurred when we launched. If agents	2	that, did you, Mr Springett, you didn't want them acting
3	act in a particular way there will be a particular	3	individually, you wanted them to be approaching Zoopla
4	outcome.	5	as a group? A. No.
5	Q. Then you go on:	6	
6 7	"But my advice would on balance still be that you	7	Q. That's why you say don't give the list?A. That is not what that means and it is the same reason we
	should each choose the lowest risk option for your	8	have not given access to anybody on the whole. We
8 9	businesses and take the benefits we can deliver	9	haven't given access to lists or didn't give access to
10	progressively." Do you see that?	10	lists during that period because our competitors knew
11	A. Yes.	11	what was coming and we didn't want to make it easier for
12	Q. And you don't say there that choosing the one other	12	them to counteroffer and to derail the process.
13	portal collectively would be illegal, do you?	13	Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, you didn't give access of
14	A. Well, I've already made my position clear on that, that	14	lists to whom?
15	Clive Rook is involved in this group and he is a senior	15	A. Externally, we didn't publish them. We didn't want
16	agent in the area and he's fully au fait with the issues	16	lists of our members getting into the hands of our
17	around collective negotiation.	17	competitors, a perfectly reasonable commercial position.
18	Q. But the confusion, you see, is Mr Springett, you said	18	Q. I may be at slightly cross-purposes with you. Where
19	a number of times that you I don't know if you used	19	does the publishing come into it? Nobody is suggesting
20	the word "advised" or "told" Mr Rook that he has to do	20	that somebody publishes a list?
21	these things including on the basis of legal advice but	21	A. If Zoopla ask for a list, I have said to I think the
22	what I don't see is where you are telling Mr Rook: you	22	person asking the question is Clive Rook, he's emailed
23	can't do that, that's not legal?	23	me on the facing page to say, what do I think about this
24	A. Because by this time my advice to all of these agents	24	idea of providing a list to Zoopla? And I simply
25	who signed up in the first batch of gold membership, in	25	respond saying, I don't think it is a very good idea.
	Page 217		Page 219
1	other words, when I was personally making the	1	Q. That is right, and the reason you didn't want that is
1 2	other words, when I was personally making the presentations to them, that they needed to make	1 2	Q. That is right, and the reason you didn't want that is because you would let them pick off with individual
2	presentations to them, that they needed to make	2	because you would let them pick off with individual
2	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if	2 3	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it?
2 3 4	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be	2 3 4	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No.
2 3 4 5	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing.	2 3 4 5	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick
2 3 4 5 6	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is	2 3 4 5 6	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily?
2 3 4 5 6 7	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning	2 3 4 5 6 7	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is telling:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes. Q. And then it looks as though a few well, that same day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is telling: "A list just lets them" that means Zoopla,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes. Q. And then it looks as though a few well, that same day Mr Henning responds and he responds to you, doesn't he?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is telling: "A list just lets them" that means Zoopla, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes. Q. And then it looks as though a few well, that same day Mr Henning responds and he responds to you, doesn't he? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is telling: "A list just lets them" that means Zoopla, doesn't it? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes. Q. And then it looks as though a few well, that same day Mr Henning responds and he responds to you, doesn't he? A. Yes. Q. I suggest to you that the message hasn't really got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is telling: "A list just lets them" that means Zoopla, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes. Q. And then it looks as though a few well, that same day Mr Henning responds and he responds to you, doesn't he? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	presentations to them, that they needed to make independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be very clear what they were doing. Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning against collective decision making such as the one you had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's enough? A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of every presentation I gave. Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? A. It is not in this email. Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of all the member firms in the region and you saying they don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is telling: "A list just lets them" that means Zoopla, doesn't it? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	because you would let them pick off with individual deals to members of the group, isn't it? A. No. Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick off individual firms more easily? A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they can be picked off. Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want the group to act together, don't you? A. No, well that's not that wasn't the intent of that paragraph. Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, do you see at the top of the page? A. Yes. Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same email we just looked at? A. Yes. Q. And then it looks as though a few well, that same day Mr Henning responds and he responds to you, doesn't he? A. Yes. Q. I suggest to you that the message hasn't really got

1	says, picking it up in the you can read to yourself	1	So far so good?
2	the first couple of sentences. I don't want to exclude	2	A. Yes.
3	you from refreshing your memory. (Pause)	3	Q. And then she responds?
4	He says:	4	A. No, that's what I had agreed with Clive Rook that
5	"In terms of what's right for individual	5	I would do.
6	businesses However, I think by continuing to drive	6	Q. Thank you. The previous page in the bundle then you can
7	our current strategy as a region we can all gain whether	7	see her response:
8	we individually subsequently choose to come off both	8	"Hi Ian, I have been clear on our position. I am
9	stay with Rightmove or Zoopla."	9	not prepared to commit to a five year agreement which
10	So what he is saying there is he wants to carry on,	10	could amount to 400,000 on a product which I have not
11	isn't he, acting as a region or as a grouping?	11	seen which relies on most of my competitors doing
12	A. Well, he's in a grouping which is conducting collective	12	something which they currently lack the courage to do."
13	negotiations with portals, one portal in particular at	13	A. Mmm.
14	this point which is Zoopla.	14	Q. And what you then say is in my suggestion to you that
15	Q. Yes, that is right. He wants to carry on doing it as	15	what you want is a collective decision on behalf of all
16	a grouping, doesn't he, notwithstanding what you said?	16	the agents in the North East. You say:
17	A. Apparently so.	17	"Hi Caroline, thanks for this. I appreciate your
18	Q. You don't write back to him, do you, and say, "Look,	18	position of course. I am simply thinking that if all of
19	haven't you really got the hang of this, Mr Henning, you	19	the main agents in the North East were aligned it would
20	can't do this?"	20	be easier for them to make courageous decisions about
21	A. By this time I have given him very clear advice on what	21	individual and, indeed, potentially all other portals."
22	they should do and if they want to depart from that, it	22	So you are expressly saying to her, aren't you, and
23	is theirs to take their own legal advice.	23	advocating that she should be part of a collective
24	Q. You don't say that it's illegal?	24	decision about which portal to choose rather than take
25	A. No, because I'd said that many times.	25	an individual one, aren't you?
			·
	Page 221		Page 223
1	O. Then in terms of hearing a go to use your lenguage with	,	A. N. Harris and a straight. Here were about A months Market I
1	Q. Then in terms of having a go, to use your language, with	1	A. No, I'm not actually. I'm saying that Agents' Mutual
2	Mr Rook, it is fair to say that you, if you like, also	2	becoming stronger gives all agents more negotiating
3	have a go at Miss Pattinson, don't you, in the North	3	strength with the other two portals.
4	East?	4	Q. You are specifically saying, Mr Springett, come on, that
5	A. I wouldn't characterise it as "having a go". Q. I don't mean in the sort of vernacular sense?	5	you would you were suggesting to her quite clearly
6		6	that she could be part of an alignment in the North
7	A. In any sense really because I was asked by Clive Rook,	7	East, aren't you?
8	I was told by him, I think during the course of the call	8	A. No.
9	or I became aware whether it was the course of the	9	Q. What
10	call, it may be in one of the emails, that he and some	10	A. However, it is clear that there is already a substantial
11	other Agents' Mutual members were meeting Miss Pattinson	11	membership agency in the North East and I am saying to
12	I think the following day and he said to me, "Could you	12	her if you come on board with Agents' Mutual, Agents'
13	contact her and just offer any assistance and	13	Mutual will be stronger, it will strengthen your hand
14	a willingness to discuss terms on which she, her firm	14	vis à vis other portals.
15	could join Agents' Mutual."	15	Q. That is not what you are saying, is it, Mr Springett?
16	Q. Perhaps we can have a look at what happens with	16	A. That is exactly what I'm saying.
17	Miss Pattinson. If you are still in bundle number 7,	17	Q. You are suggesting to her that she should become part of
18	please, and turn, please, to 3990. Do you see that is	18	an alignment, that is a grouping of agents, so that she
19	an email from you to Miss Pattinson on 6 October?	19	can make courageous decisions about portals. That is
20	A. Yes.	20	right, isn't it?
21	Q. "Dear Caroline, I hope you are well. Clive Rook	21	A. No.
22	mentioned to me that you are meeting with him and others	22	Q. And it is certainly right, isn't it, that this group of
	tomorrow to discuss progress in the North East. It is	23	agents ultimately they came to a decision all to leave
23			
24	just to say that following a meeting of member options I	24	Zoopla, didn't they?
		24 25	Zoopla, didn't they? A. I don't have the details of what they all did.
24	just to say that following a meeting of member options I		

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

1	Q. Surely you know the answer to that question,	1	MR HARRIS: Is this in bundle 7?
2	Mr Springett?	2	MR FREEMAN: Yes.
3	A. We didn't keep any records of where people went.	3	MR HARRIS: 3987, yes, sir.
4	Q. Mr Springett as chief executive of the company you must	4	MR FREEMAN: Yes. And there was first of all a discussion
5	surely know which areas of the country the regions have	5	about Property Penguin which I just had explained to me,
6	collectively gone with Zoopla as opposed to the	6	thank you very much. Then I just note that the final
7	90 per cent of the rest of the country where they have	7	thing in this correspondence was Miss Pattinson saying
8	gone to Rightmove?	8	to Mr Springett: why didn't you buy Zoopla? I am just
9	A. We didn't keep any record of where people had come from,	9	observing to you that was one of the hypothetical
10	what portals they had dropped. The only information we	10	remarks I put to you which is would your analysis of
11	collected immediately in the run-up to the launch was	11	this issue from the competition point of view be
12	which portal they were choosing as their one other	12	different if it was a merger situation rather than
13	portal.	13	a vertical agreement and in a horizontal context?
14	Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett that is not right at all.	14	I am just observing that it is interesting that the
15	You know perfectly well that this grouping of agents up	15	idea of a merger is not entirely fanciful but
16	there in the North East came to a group decision to	16	Mr Springett has demonstrated that they didn't have the
17	leave Zoopla which was what you had always wanted them	17	money.
18	to do, and that is right, isn't it? I am sorry, was	18	MR HARRIS: Yes, that is how I have marked up that email,
19	that a yes or a no?	19	yes, 3987, although I have also marked up the attitude
20	A. Forgive me, I have forgotten the question now.	20	towards one of the large portals at the bottom which is
21	Q. I have suggested that you do know perfectly well?	21	after dealing with the numbers:
22	A. No, is the answer.	22	"Our plan is to put them right back in their box as
23	THE CHAIRMAN: That was the answer, yes.	23	a supplier to agents rather than their master."
24	MR FREEMAN: Could I just ask Mr Springett what Property	24	MR FREEMAN: I read that too.
25	Penguin is or was?	25	MR HARRIS: Which is a theme we'll be coming to later on.
20	1 ongain 19 of was.	23	Which is a theme we it be coming to face on.
	Page 225		Page 227
		,	MD EDEEMAN W 41 11 117
1	A. Yes, I don't have precise details. I can tell you what	1	MR FREEMAN: You can put bundle H7 away now.
2	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't	2	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that,
2 3	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an	2 3	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found
2 3 4	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local	2 3 4	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are
2 3 4 5	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between	2 3 4 5	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so
2 3 4 5 6	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar	2 3 4 5 6	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely
2 3 4 5 6 7	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first part of it and now I am not on the same document so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first part of it and now I am not on the same document so would you mind repeating. I am afraid I am not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it? A. No, it isn't. Q. Is it right that one of the keys to getting these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first part of it and now I am not on the same document so would you mind repeating. I am afraid I am not following.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it? A. No, it isn't. Q. Is it right that one of the keys to getting these regional groups of agents to operate was finding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first part of it and now I am not on the same document so would you mind repeating. I am afraid I am not following. MR FREEMAN: I was just finishing the line of correspondence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it? A. No, it isn't. Q. Is it right that one of the keys to getting these regional groups of agents to operate was finding a motivated individual to lead the grouping?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first part of it and now I am not on the same document so would you mind repeating. I am afraid I am not following.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it? A. No, it isn't. Q. Is it right that one of the keys to getting these regional groups of agents to operate was finding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't know precisely how far they got with it but it was an attempt by agents in the North East to create a local property portal which they would run just between themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that had been created, but it never got traction. I think one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust between local competitors. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly comprehensive answer and I only mention that because that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you which is what your analysis would be if this was a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also explained that it was never possible financially. MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first part of it and now I am not on the same document so would you mind repeating. I am afraid I am not following. MR FREEMAN: I was just finishing the line of correspondence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, certainly on this side of the court we found occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are saying when you direct a question to a witness and so I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely didn't hear the first: My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, line 6 to 12 and I quote: "My advice to all these agents is that they needed to take an independent decision." But that is exactly the opposite of what you are doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about joining Agents' Mutual. Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion and then you do something on another occasion and that's right, isn't it? A. No, it isn't. Q. Is it right that one of the keys to getting these regional groups of agents to operate was finding a motivated individual to lead the grouping?

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

1	of leadership role and in fact my experience was fairly	1	a strong group functioning there was somebody separate
2	random in that as I went round the country.	2	from Agents' Mutual as a company who'd volunteered to
3	Q. So not key to finding a motivated individual then, is	3	take on whatever role they took on.
4	that your evidence?	4	Q. Mr Rook is not separate from the company, is he? He is
5	A. Well, I am saying that it arose in different parts of	5	a board director of the company?
6	the country and not in others.	6	A. Yes, but that group had formed itself considerably
7	Q. The reason I am just querying that with you,	7	before he became a board member of Agents' Mutual.
8	Mr Springett, is because in fact those are your exact	8	Q. At the time of all the emails that I have been showing
9	words, if you look at bundle 15 at page 8310. So do you	9	you he was a central figure in the group and he was
10	see that this is starting at the first hole punch an	10	a board director, wasn't he?
11	email that you, Ian Springett, wrote?	11	A. Yes, I think
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Sorry, I cut you off.
13	Q. This is in response to an email from Ms Whiteley to you,	13	A. If we look back to the 2013 period, it was Mr Henning
14	isn't?	14	who was actually making a lot of the running trying to
15	A. Yes.	15	arrange meetings and help develop the membership there.
16	Q. She had suggested possible more formalised structures	16	So I am just trying to say to you that we didn't go out
17	for Agents' Mutual in local areas, hadn't she?	17	looking to appoint people from outside the company
18	A. In Northern Ireland specifically I think.	18	including members to take on any kind of liaison or
19	Q. Just on this point, you say that:	19	leadership.
20	"The member groups should be self managing and	20	Q. But as regards where we were probably about half an hour
21	whilst the local"	21	ago now, the REAP Fabric group in north London that also
22	And there we have our acronym from yesterday, don't	22	had as a key member another board member of
23	we, business development consultant and regional sales	23	Agents' Mutual, didn't it, Mr Trevor Abrahmsohn, from
24	manager.	24	Glentree?
25	" can be invited to attend there is no	25	A. That's correct.
	Page 229		Page 231
1	representative from AM on the committee "	1	O And I think perhans I misheard you this time
1 2	representative from AM on the committee." That is how the North Fast group operated, albeit	1 2	Q. And I think perhaps I misheard you this time, Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said
2	That is how the North East group operated, albeit	2	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said
2 3	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of	2 3	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did
2 3 4	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members	2 3 4	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with
2 3 4 5	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been	2 3 4 5	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises?
2 3 4 5 6	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook?	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are
2 3 4 5 6 7	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate." So that is right, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate." So that is right, isn't it? A. Well, look, I took from your question that we had looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails? A. Yes. Q. "But west Wales used me really and also interact with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate." So that is right, isn't it? A. Well, look, I took from your question that we had looked for a key individual and perhaps I misheard you or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails? A. Yes. Q. "But west Wales used me really and also interact with Patsy."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate." So that is right, isn't it? A. Well, look, I took from your question that we had looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails? A. Yes. Q. "But west Wales used me really and also interact with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	That is how the North East group operated, albeit all members were able and most did attend. But of course in the North East group one of the key members that we have seen from all the emails we have been looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? A. He — yes, he became a board member but after he joined Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 but had signed his contract in January 2014. Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today from June 2014 and then August and then September and October, every one of them was at a time when Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, and then you say in your words: "In each case the key is a motivated individual or group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate." So that is right, isn't it? A. Well, look, I took from your question that we had looked for a key individual and perhaps I misheard you or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said that it was — or perhaps you can just remind me. Did you say it was people inside or outside the company with whom the group motivating individual liaises? A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are formed independently of the company. Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue of the development of that group. There are other groups such as the west Wales group where my understanding is our local representative wasn't terribly closely involved. And that's partly because she came on the scene rather later. Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What you say is the North East group have had Julie, and actually we have seen your personal involvement up there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails? A. Yes. Q. "But west Wales used me really and also interact with Patsy."

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

1	A. Head of marketing. So this is in relation to we are	1	A. It aligns with the rest of the UK, yes, sir.
2	in 2016 now of course so we are 15 months or so post	2	MR HARRIS: You can put number 15 away, thank you. I do
3	launch and the focus of these groups has really been	3	apologise partly to Mr Springett in the sense that
4	involving themselves in additional marketing activity	4	I took you to the north London grouping in one of the
5	OnTheMarket's behalf, so they group together and they'll	5	emails a little bit out of order because it followed an
6	buy space in local newspapers. Some of them have taken	6	email.
7	radio advertising. They pay for it themselves but we	7	A. I understood.
8	supply the relevant copy or the radio adverts.	8	Q. And I just want to revisit for a moment back into the
9	Q. So you are the, if you like, the point man for west	9	territory of north London. So we had looked at the
10	Wales, as you say here, "West Wales use me really". And	10	email we don't need to turn it up again. I am just
11	then you go on, don't you, in the next paragraph to	11	reminding you at bundle 9 at 4749 78. That is the
12	say the heading of the email is "Northern Ireland".	12	you to Trevor Abrahmsohn one. Do you remember that one?
13	"I agree with you" That is Helen, isn't it?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. I put to you how you were trying to influence future
15	Q. That the focus should be on how the agents can	15	portal choices of the group and you gave your evidence
16	individually and collectively work to advance their	16	about that. I suggest to you that you had known for
17	portal in Northern Ireland?	17	some time that there was a that was an email by the
18	A. Yes.	18	way of February 2015, the Trevor Abrahmsohn one?
19	Q. And then you say "and resolve any local issues". And	19	A. Yes.
20	then you say this sentence:	20	Q. I suggest to you that you had known for quite some time
21	"For example, the operation of the OOP rule where we	21	that there was a group of decision makers in London and
22	are slightly out on a limb but hopefully can contain	22	that they were likely to collectively make decisions
23	it."	23	about their portal choice, right? You had known that
24	A. Yes.	24	for some time?
25	Q. You are out in on a limb there, aren't you, Mr Springett	25	A. Do you mean REAP? Do you mean Fabric REAP?
	Page 233		Page 235
	I I did oor I N di I I I	1	O. I. C.
1	because you know that the OOP rule in Northern Ireland	1	Q. I mean some of the members of REAP. Some influential
2	which is where we began today is significantly less	2	estate agents in central and north London?
3	restrictive for the first 12 month period than it is for	3	A. Well, again, it is worth understanding what the role of
4	the rest of the country; that is right, isn't it?	4	REAP or at least the way that it sees itself. I mean,
5	A. That is right.	5	it came into being to create a magazine, an agent owned
6	Q. So you regard that as a vulnerability out on a limb,	6	magazine in an environment where print media was
7	don't you?	7	becoming very expensive and where the local publisher
8	A. Absolutely.	8	had the whip hand in negotiations. And the role
9	Q. Yes. And that's because what it demonstrates, amongst	9	developed beyond that into again, group negotiation with
10	other things, Mr Springett, is that there is a perfectly	10	other particularly print media, in that part of the
11	acceptable less restrictive manner in which to launch	11	world. So it had already been established as an entity
12	your venture even within one part of the same market,	12	which did that on behalf of its members.
13	doesn't it?	13	Now, I think it is not constituted as a company
14	A. No, it doesn't do that. It allowed us to enter	14	limited by guarantee. I think it is a share based
15	a national market that had different characteristics	15	company, all the shares are held by agents.
16	from the mainland UK and it was temporary in fact. It	16	Q. So that's right, isn't it? If you look in bundle
17	expires in March 2017.	17	number 1 this time, at page 390, you can see at the
18	Q. Exactly, Mr Springett. So a less restrictive rule to	18	bottom of the page, it is going earlier in time to 2012?
19	get you into the market and only lasting 12 months	19	A. Yes.
20	before changing. That is right, isn't it?	20	Q. Mr Bartlett, he's a founder member director of
21	A. Well becoming more restrictive than it originally was	21	Agents' Mutual, isn't he?
22	positioned.	22	A. He is.
23	Q. Very much so?	23	Q. I don't know who all of these people are but Mr Flint is
24	THE CHAIRMAN: So it ratchets up in other words the latitude	24	in the 'to' line?
25	of	25	A. Yes.
	Page 234		Page 236

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

1	Q. He is a founder member director of Agents' Mutual, isn't	1	Because if he did pass it on and Mr Springett is
2	he?	2	replying to that email, there would be an intervening
3	A. He is.	3	email showing the forwarding minutes.
4	Q. Mr Masters, he is a director at Kinleigh Folkard &	4	MR HARRIS: That is right. These are documents that have
5	Hayward, isn't he?	5	been disclosed to us so we are just doing the best we
6	A. He is.	6	can.
7	Q. Somebody who you tried to woo early on in the picture?	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
8	A. But not a founder member.	8	MR HARRIS: So what I am suggesting to you is, Mr Springett,
9	Q. No, I accept that but somebody you were keen to bring on	9	that back in late 2012, you knew of a grouping of some
10	board early?	10	influential and important central London and north
11	A. I was certainly.	11	London estate agents, including at least three of whom
12	Q. Mr Jarman; he is a director at Savills, isn't he?	12	were founder member directors of the company
13	A. Yes.	13	A. Mmm.
14	Q. And you can see they are responding to the man who has	14	Q that they were contemplating collective decisions to
15	been doing some group negotiation on their behalf?	15	pull off a particular portal, if nothing can be agreed;
16	A. Yes.	16	right?
17	Q. Haven't they?	17	A. Well, as I have said, I don't know the detail. Clearly
18	A. He worked his organisation is a media buying agency,	18	I have been made aware that they, through a media agent,
19	amongst other things.	19	were engaged in a joint negotiation with ZPG and,
20	Q. What you say is that they go back with a maximum of	20	presumably, ZPG was happy to be involved on that basis.
21	increase of details that don't really matter. Over	21	That's the extent of it.
22	the page:	22	Q. Again, Mr Springett, we can see what the email says.
23	"I accept what you say, that they may then ask us to	23	You receive it?
24	pull off a portal. Nothing can be agreed. This is	24	A. Yes.
25	something that we can consider as a group, once we know	25	Q. And it says "We consider, as a group, pulling off the
	Page 237		Page 239
1	their final stance"?	1	portal." As a group. So you did know that?
2	A. I'm not involved in this correspondence.	2	A. Where does it say they are going to pull off the group?
3	Q. You are not involved on that email on 17 December, but	3	Q. The top of 391.
4	this email chain gets passed to you, doesn't it, on the	4	A. I accept what you say, that they may then ask us to pull
5	previous page, where you say at the top of 389:	5	off the portal. Well that's Zoopla talking.
6	"Thanks for sending this through"?	6	Q. Yes, that's right.
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Zoopla might ask the agents to come off the portal.
8	Q. So what I am suggesting to you is you did know about	8	Q. It is a group decision about a pulling off the portal
9	this group, including several of your founder member	9	that you know about from these people, isn't it?
10	directors, who were contemplating group decisions about	10	A. No, that's not what this says actually. It says:
11	pulling off a particular portal as long ago as 2012;	11	"The consensus [which I assume is from among the
12	right?	12	agents is to seek a maximum increase of 10 per cent."
13	A. Well, I wasn't party to their negotiations at all.	13	And he goes on to say:
14	I think I am trying to remind myself what the context	14	"I accept what you say that they, Zoopla, may then
15	for it being sent to me was at all. It looks like it	15	ask us to pull off the portal if nothing can be agreed."
16	was sent to me I can't see where it was I can't	16	Q. That is right. What does it then go on to say?
17	see the email sending it to me, unfortunately. It seems	17	A. "This is something we can consider as a group, once we
18	strange that it's not there.	18	know their"
19	Q. One infers that you have at the bottom of 389,	19	Q. Exactly, "as a group"?
20	18 December 2012, 12.49. Not on it. And then you	20	A. They are negotiating in a group context, aren't they?
21	respond to Noel, who is one of them, at 18 December,	21	You can't really negotiate in a group context and not be
22	14.39. So one infers that Noel, at least, passed it on	22	able to walk away.
23	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Before you move on, Mr Harris, Mr Springett,
24	to you in the interim. Though one can't see the	24	I wonder if you would look at page 389, the last email
25	A. No, it would be interesting to know what he said. THE CHAIRMAN: It doesn't really make sense, does it?	25	in the chain. You refer in your email to Mr Flint to
43	THE CHARGITAIN. It doesn't leany make sense, does it!	23	die omin. Tou fotof in your chain to ivit I lint to
	Page 238		Page 240

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

1	a three-year deal. Now, I can't see any reference in	1	Q. And then the actual email moves over.
2	this chain to a three-year deal, but obviously, someone	2	A. Agreed.
3	has mentioned that to you.	3	Q. So it is to a group of west Wales agents, isn't it?
4	A. Yes. I think we were in the latter stages of finalising	4	A. Yes.
5	the business plan and preparing to, early in 2013,	5	Q. And also to one of the founder member partner
6	expose the Agents' Mutual proposition to the market and	6	directors, Michael Hodgson; is that right?
7	we were aware, I think from this negotiation, but to be	7	A. I am just checking the date because yes, it is that
8	honest, I can't remember, that Zoopla in particular,	8	Michael Hodgson.
9	were pushing agents to consider signing three year	9	Q. Sorry, there might have been someone else?
10	deals.	10	A. There are other Michael Hodgsons I found in our
11	MR HARRIS: If it assists the tribunal, or you Mr Springett,	11	firmament but this is Michael Hodgson, our director,
12	the reference is on 392 in the middle of the page.	12	yes.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful, Mr Harris, thank you.	13	Q. We have already seen this email because I took you to
14	A. Is there a reference there to three years?	14	the bit about how the company makes use of its directors
15	THE CHAIRMAN: I think the second paragraph.	15	to promote its strategy; do you remember the final
16	MR HARRIS: Do you have a blanked out version of that page?	16	paragraph? That is why we were here earlier. Never
17	A. I can see it in yellow. Yes, a three-year deal.	17	mind.
18	MR HARRIS: I'm pretty sure none of that is confidential but	18	A. Fine.
19	there we go.	19	Q. Now I am coming back to it for the remainder which is
20	A. Yes, I am not necessarily sure that that's what I was	20	for a different reason.
21	responding to. It may have been something that was	21	A. Yes.
22	discussed in one of the steering committee meetings,	22	Q. "Dear Nigel, thanks for your message. Starting with
23	where three year deals were becoming more prevalent and	23	Rightmove's divide and rule "
24	I think what we were keen to do was get our proposition	24	I don't need to detain you with that.
25	out into the market as soon as possible, before too many	25	The next paragraph:
	•		
	Page 241		Page 243
1	other agents entered into those sorts of deals.	1	"Specifically on the point about founder board
2	other agents entered into those sorts or deals.	1	Specifically off the point about founder board
	THE CHAIRMAN: Because either they will not sign up to Newco)	
	THE CHAIRMAN: Because either they will not sign up to Newco which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving	2 3	members"
3	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving	3	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just
3 4	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter?	3 4	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph.
3 4 5	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean	3 4 5	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is
3 4 5 6	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and	3 4 5 6	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that:
3 4 5 6 7	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals?	3 4 5 6 7	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the
3 4 5 6 7 8	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely.	3 4 5 6 7 8	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents in west Wales; correct? A. 2577 I have.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be seen to be leading a collective boycott of an individual
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents in west Wales; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be seen to be leading a collective boycott of an individual media the matter is not discussed between them at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents in west Wales; correct? A. 2577 I have. Q. Yes, the date is on the bottom of 2576, the very bottom	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be seen to be leading a collective boycott of an individual media the matter is not discussed between them at board meetings or elsewhere."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents in west Wales; correct? A. 2577 I have. Q. Yes, the date is on the bottom of 2576, the very bottom line; do you see that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be seen to be leading a collective boycott of an individual media the matter is not discussed between them at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter? A. Either way. I mean THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals? A. Yes, absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576. You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents in west Wales; correct? A. 2577 I have. Q. Yes, the date is on the bottom of 2576, the very bottom line; do you see that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	members" I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal." Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes? A. Yes. Q. And you say: "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be seen to be leading a collective boycott of an individual media the matter is not discussed between them at board meetings or elsewhere."

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

1 2 3

5

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19 20

Oay 5	Agent's Mutual Limited v Gascoign
1	Mr Springett, is you go on to give a very clear steer as
2	to what they should do, don't you?:
3	"I think I can, however, let you know the mood I'm
4	encountering in conversations with other agents up and
5	down the country, which is that whilst they would love
6	to drop Rightmove, the likelihood is that they will not
7	do so, as it is perceived as the must have portal."
8	That is you giving a very clear steer, isn't it?
9	You are not giving individual details or specifics but
10	you are clearly indicating to this group what's
11	happening elsewhere in the country and that people won't
12	be dropping Rightmove, aren't you?
13	A. I am telling him/them what I've understood the mood to
14	be, which is it was in the public domain as well by then
15	because there were various reports by analysts towards
16	the end of 2013 which were flagging that up, so I don't
17	think it would have been news to anybody in that group.
18	Q. No, with respect, Mr Springett, that's an after the
19	event explanation, isn't it? You don't say: please find
20	attached a public report?
21	A. No, but I'm telling him what I've found as I have been
22	moving round the country.
23	Q. Exactly. This is at a stage when there is a group of
24	agents in west Wales considering as a group, which
25	portal to keep and which portal to drop, isn't it? Is
	Page 245
1	that a yes?
2	A. I am just looking for the timing as to whether they had
3	already begun and I think they had joint negotiations
4	with Zoopla.
5	Q. That is right, and what you are saying to them is that:
6	I can't give you and I am not able to give you any
7	specific information about a specific firm, but what
8	I can tell you is what's going on everywhere else, up

they had iations that: 9 and down the country and it's that the other people are 10 not going to drop Rightmove. It is a clear attempt to 11 influence the decision of that collective grouping, 12 isn't it? 13 A. Except I do finish off the paragraph by saying there is 14 a strong critical mass of firms in the west Wales area 15 and it resulted, in practice, in them accepting the 16 Zoopla Group deal. 17 Q. Yes, with respect, Mr Springett, that only fortifies my 18 point. It is even worse where there is a strong 19 critical mass of this grouping and you are trying to 20 influence a strong critical mass in a decision not to 21 drop Rightmove, because you are telling them that it is 22 not happening elsewhere in the country. That is right, 23 is it not? 24 A. That is not particularly relevant to them because 25 I pointed out that their situation is different from the Page 246

an Limited ta Gascoigne Halman 9 February 201	7
rest of the country.	_
Q. Well, I put my point to you, what I suggest and then	
just finishing off on this email. Apologies if I put	
this to you before. I genuinely can't remember.	
A. That's fine.	
Q. So in the final paragraph you say:	
"I thought you might welcome a conversation "	
And I suggest to you that what's going on there is	
you thought that a conversation with one of your	
directors about the question of what other portal to	
choose, would be better than putting it in writing	
because then it would give rise to less documents and	
materials in your possession. That is right, is it not?	
A. No, it responds directly to the email I had been sent	
and the concern that Nigel Jones raised was that	
Rightmove, in particular, in this case, was promoting	
the myth that Agents' Mutual board members are	
committing to two other portals into 2015. So in other	
words, implying that they would not be in a position to	
observe the One Other Portal rule when we launched and	
that is the reason that that introduction was made. So	
that one of our directors could reassure that group that	
they were committed to doing that and we later put out	
an announcement to counter this propaganda, simply confirming that all the board member firms at the time	
Page 247	_
were going to be in a position to observe the One Other	
Portal rule and fully intended to do so.	
MR HARRIS: I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that it is part	
of a theme about not wanting incriminating evidence to	
be created. What you say in the second line of the	
penultimate paragraph is "you must take care not to be	
seen to be leading the boycott", and then you suggest	
a conversation, as opposed to further writing and	
I suggest to you that is consistent with that email we	
saw you write to Helen Whiteley on, I think, 6 June, the	
one which is saying "Don't create", I am paraphrasing,	
"Don't create messages and documents", and it is	
consistent with the email that we saw with I believe	
it was Mr Henning, the gentleman whose wife was a lawyer	

1 3 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 and you said "We don't", and I paraphrase again, "We 16 don't want materials in circulation that could be held 17 against us." That is all correct, isn't it? 18 A. No, that is not remotely the case. 19 Q. Can we then go to the -- I think this is the response to 20 your email. So from Nigel, so I am going back earlier 21 in the bundle and you can see the date of his response 22 which is on 2575 at the bottom. So you can see 23 28 March, later in the day? 24 A. 2575? 25 Q. Yes, and then to get to the text of the email, you have

Page 248

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

1	to see it	1	yours, then they must all, as a group, be coming off
2	A. The bottom, I am sorry, yes.	2	Rightmove?
3	Q over the page.	3	A. If they were on Rightmove.
4	A. Nigel to me.	4	Q. Exactly, if they were on it?
5	O. Yes.	5	A. But they may not have been on it.
6	A. "Ian, thanks for your email."	6	Q. But it follows, doesn't it, that if they were on it,
7	Q. Yes, that's the one.	7	they were coming off it as a group?
8	A. "I have now met and listened to Rightmove."	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And you can see that in the second paragraph, what he	9	
			Q. That is presumably why Mr Hodgson then says "I am not
10	talks about is going off and getting a group view from	10	sure where that stands re competition law"?
11	the agents, doesn't he?	11	A. Yes, that's right.
12	A. Mmm.	12	Q. And that is how you understood it, didn't you?
13	Q. And then the final sentence "whether there is a strong	13	A. Well, again, it was a situation that arose because that
14	body of support within his grouping to go with Zoopla."	14	group of agents wanted to negotiate collectively with
15	And then going up the chain again, Mr Hodgson, so he's	15	Zoopla and Zoopla were very keen to have that
16	the man who we saw you directed Nigel Jones to as being	16	opportunity and this is one of the circumstances where
17	the board contact; correct? Mr Hodgson is?	17	I told them they needed to get their own legal advice on
18	A. Yes, so we are now on 2575 in the middle.	18	whether or not to do so.
19	Q. That is right, yes, thank you. And he's reporting to	19	Q. Really, where do you say that?
20	you, Mr Hodgson, isn't he, about his conversation with	20	A. What do
21	Mr Jones?	21	Q. I have never seen anywhere in these 10,000 pages, you
22	A. Yes.	22	writing to the west Wales group and saying
23	Q. He puts a direct line to the board in the form of	23	A. No, I wouldn't have written to the west Wales group,
24	Mr Hodgson?	24	I would have give that advice to Mr Nigel Jones.
25	A. That's correct.	25	Q. When and where?
	Page 249		Page 251
			-
1	Q. And so what Mr Hodgson says is:	1	A. In one of numerous telephone calls I had with him or
1 2	Q. And so what Mr Hodgson says is: "Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary		A. In one of numerous telephone calls I had with him or potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more
2	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary	2	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more
2 3	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap	2 3	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently.
2 3 4	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to	2 3 4	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you
2 3 4 5	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating	2 3 4 5	 potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages;
2 3 4 5 6	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision."	2 3 4 5 6	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and	2 3 4 5 6 7	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. Some of them would have been with both. Some of them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on to say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. Some of them would have been with both. Some of them will only have been with Zoopla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on to say: "Given that his group [that is Mr Jones's group]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. Some of them would have been with both. Some of them will only have been with Zoopla. Q. It is the function of your One Other Portal rule, isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on to say: "Given that his group [that is Mr Jones's group] apparently has such a dominant position in their market
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. Some of them would have been with both. Some of them will only have been with Zoopla. Q. It is the function of your One Other Portal rule, isn't it, Mr Springett, that if they are negotiating a group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on to say: "Given that his group [that is Mr Jones's group] apparently has such a dominant position in their market [I am sure he doesn't mean that in a competition law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. Some of them would have been with both. Some of them will only have been with Zoopla. Q. It is the function of your One Other Portal rule, isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on to say: "Given that his group [that is Mr Jones's group] apparently has such a dominant position in their market
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to both post AM launch he talked about negotiating en bloc and making a group decision." So that is further knowledge on the part of you and Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group offer. Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? A. That's correct. Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, doesn't it? A. I think it is a positive decision as to it depends whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. Some of them would have been with both. Some of them will only have been with Zoopla. Q. It is the function of your One Other Portal rule, isn't it, Mr Springett, that if they are negotiating a group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more frequently. Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; right? A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around this time, because it's when he became a director. Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming that? A. Well, what witness statement? Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness statement? A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask me. Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on to say: "Given that his group [that is Mr Jones's group] apparently has such a dominant position in their market [I am sure he doesn't mean that in a competition law

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

1	to see both sides lose stock to enhance Agents' Mutual's	1	Mr Springett.
2	profile and hasten the point at which it becomes the	2	MR MACLEAN: We can do it right now. I will give you the
3	only/main portal."	3	reference, if that would help, Mr Harris. It is bundle
4	So he is trying to influence the group collective	4	7/3627 and I suspect the passage Mr Springett has in
5	decision of the group in west Wales, is he not, in	5	mind is paragraph 10 of 3630.
6	a particular direction?	6	MR HARRIS: Sir, may I have a look at that overnight. I'm
7	A. I think he's having a discussion with them and being	7	not in a position to do it now.
8	even handed, actually, is what he's being. It's the	8	MR MACLEAN: It won't take, Mr Harris
9	complete opposite of what you have been saying earlier,	9	MR HARRIS: With respect, please
10	we were agitating to try to achieve.	10	MR MACLEAN: a matter of a moment. It is three lines.
11	Q. On the contrary, you had tried to engineer the same	11	MR HARRIS: With respect, Mr Maclean, can I have a look at
12	direction for a group decision in the North East, at	12	that overnight? It is not a document I have seen
13	a point where there was a view that they should leave	13	before. It is unfair for me to have to deal with it in
14	both Rightmove and Zoopla in the North East. So it is	14	the midst of cross-examination. I said to Mr Springett
15	exactly the same, isn't it?	15	I will look at it. I will do so.
16	A. No, if anything comes out of that middle paragraph, it's	16	THE CHAIRMAN: I don't see any harm in the witness seeing
17	Mr Hodgson saying it is better to have both sides lose	17	it. You don't have to ask any questions if you don't
18	some stock which was our original strategy when it was	18	want to, until tomorrow.
19	developed and published early in 2013.	19	MR HARRIS: That is fine. But Mr Springett already knows
20	Q. So he's talking about this group decision making as to	20	what it says.
21	portals. He raises points about competition law. He	21	THE CHAIRMAN: I don't. I would like to see it.
22	tries to influence it in a certain direction and then	22	MR MACLEAN: 3630 of bundle 7.
23	you respond to him, "That's great, thank you very much,"	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Which paragraph?
24	don't you, at the top?	24	MR MACLEAN: Sir, Mr Springett knows better than I. 10,
25	A. Yes.	25	I suspect.
	Page 253		Page 255
1	O And you don't say to him let alone to Mr Iones "Whoah	1	A Ves 10
1 2	Q. And you don't say to him, let alone to Mr Jones, "Whoah, hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group.	1 2	A. Yes, 10. THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for
2	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for
2 3	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you?		
2	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris?
2 3 4	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it,
2 3 4 5	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris?
2 3 4 5 6	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the
2 3 4 5 6 7	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting, where it was raised by Mr Abrahmsohn and there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go first. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Springett, by all means remove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting, where it was raised by Mr Abrahmsohn and there is a reference there to my having told those people about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go first. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Springett, by all means remove yourself from the stand if you wish. You can stay in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting, where it was raised by Mr Abrahmsohn and there is a reference there to my having told those people about the advice they would need to take.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go first. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Springett, by all means remove yourself from the stand if you wish. You can stay in the courtroom or on the stand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting, where it was raised by Mr Abrahmsohn and there is a reference there to my having told those people about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go first. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Springett, by all means remove yourself from the stand if you wish. You can stay in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our continuous position about this, that it is up to agents to make their own individual decisions. If they chose to depart from that, then that is up to them and in those circumstances where I became aware of them, particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, "Take your own legal advice." Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have been saying this to them orally on many occasions but you don't mention it in your witness statement, you don't have evidence from these other people and it is not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting, where it was raised by Mr Abrahmsohn and there is a reference there to my having told those people about the advice they would need to take.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the agents, is it? A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you mentioning something to board members within a board meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, isn't it? A. It is not well my evidence is that they were advised on that point. MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very long day and we are very grateful on this side of the room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go first. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Springett, by all means remove yourself from the stand if you wish. You can stay in the courtroom or on the stand.

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

1	THE CHAIRMAN: A glutton for punishment. Mr Woolfe.	1	be very welcome. As far as Mr Woolfe's point is
2	MR WOOLFE: Sir, I am simply rising to update your Honour	2	concerned, what he says, it doesn't affect my position
3	that something has changed since the application	3	at all.
4	finished this morning. As you will recall, when the	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you both very much.
5	application concluded, you asked us whether X would	5	MR HARRIS: May I just enquire again, I've lost track, did
6	consent to having his identity revealed and as you will	6	we say 10 o'clock start tomorrow?
7	recall, we went away and he said no. Now that remains	7	THE CHAIRMAN: I said 10 o'clock tomorrow.
8	the same. Now, at the same time, he also confirmed	8	MR HARRIS: And there is also a ruling. Is that going to be
9	again that Y, the person who made the recordings,	9	the 10 o'clock or
10	wouldn't be willing to have their identity revealed	10	THE CHAIRMAN: The ruling will be simply the outcome and
11	either. However, in the course of the day, that has	11	I will hand something down in writing, so it will be 30
12	changed. So the person who was responsible for the	12	seconds but we will finish the evidence pro tem tomorrow
13	making of the recordings is willing to have their	13	between 4.15 and 4.30. We won't have a long day, apart
14	identity revealed within the confidentiality ring and	14	from the 10 o'clock start and then finish Mr Springett
15	also to Agents' Mutual. They are quite content for	15	on Monday.
		16	•
16	that. They don't want to reveal to the wider world		MR MACLEAN: I have a personal difficulty tomorrow morning.
17	because they are concerned about the effect it would	17	I am 95 per cent confident I can be here by 10 o'clock.
18	have on them, I think in a personal and social sense as	18	I may be five minutes late. I hope that won't cause
19	well as a business sense.	19	anybody too much inconvenience but I will do my very
20	One other point is that it is also now become	20	best to be here by 10 o'clock.
21	apparent to us, well we found out since the close of the	21	THE CHAIRMAN: What we will do is endeavour to make up any
22	application, that Y is in fact two people. One person	22	time, if it is five or so minutes, in the short
23	who made two of the recordings and one person who is	23	adjournment.
24	closely associated with that person, who made the third	24	MR MACLEAN: I will do my very best.
25	recording and both are willing to have their names	25	MR HARRIS: Thank you ever so much. Nothing further.
	Page 257		Page 259
1	provided in that way.	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you all.
2	Now, that is all I know, additional at this stage.	2	(4.45 pm)
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Woolfe, that is very helpful	3	(The court adjourned until the following day at 10.00 am)
4	thank you. Mr Harris.	4	(The Court adjourned and all Tono wing any at 10:00 and)
			Application re Recorded Evidence2
5	Housekeeping	5	
6	MR HARRIS: Sir, a short housekeeping postscript. You will		MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued)82
7	recall that in Mr Livesey's evidence it emerged,	6	
8	including as news to us, about a funding arrangement of		Cross-examination by MR HARRIS82
9	some description and you asked some questions and my	7	(continued).
10	learned friend asked some questions and wrote a letter.	8 9	Housekeeping258
11	My instructions are that we have written back a letter	10	
12	dealing with that matter but that since the time of	11	
13	writing that letter, we have now learnt some more	12	
14	information and so we will be writing another letter,	13	
15	obviously as soon as we can get that out, so it is still	14	
16	a little bit of an ongoing process. And I just wanted	15	
17	to make sure that I was upfront about it.	16	
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for keeping us posted.	17	
19	MR MACLEAN: Sir, you won't have seen this letter but the	18	
20	letter dated 9 February I don't know which time in	19 20	
21	the middle of the night this one was written	20	
22	essentially told us, more or less politely, to sod off	22	
23	with the questions we asked about the details of the	23	
24	funding arrangements, so if we are now going to be	24	
25	furnished with some more helpful information, that would	25	
	D 450		D 0/0
	Page 258		Page 260

		 	 	l
A	214:15 215:9,10	ad 179:12	244:10	145:21 149:15
à 177:4 224:14	237:9,23 240:4,14	add 116:10 208:14	advanced 37:20	154:5 157:16
A3/tab 196:3	acceptable 139:24	added 29:18	advantage 89:9	agent 9:18 13:15
ability 66:7 117:20	152:7 234:11	adding 30:13	91:25 92:1 170:1	21:19 32:20 39:23
able 3:1 18:15	accepted 134:17	addition 8:5 84:4	adversely 16:16	41:14,17 44:23
20:23 23:25 30:6	accepting 65:8	122:20 181:2	advertising 233:7	88:16,17 89:18,25
42:16,20,23 53:3	169:14 186:18,20	additional 26:17	adverts 233:8	90:8,13 99:12,21
55:25 61:15,17	246:15	30:4 43:18,20,24	advice 123:6,14	100:23 102:10
89:17 92:24	accepts 57:18	44:1 47:4 83:11	125:10 126:22	106:18 107:22
106:17 126:3	177:17	104:24 143:11	128:16 134:5,15	110:23 113:20
175:9 187:8 200:4	access 10:13,17	233:4 258:2	147:6 148:21	114:16 119:14,15
213:6 230:3	13:16,19 37:12	additionally 16:14	150:2 151:6 152:6	121:9 125:6 128:3
240:22 244:7,19	108:4 219:8,9,9	139:3	152:11,22,24	128:5 130:10
246:6	219:13	address 5:13,20 6:9	153:4 156:1	137:12 149:13,15
Abrahamson 202:2	accommodated	21:4 72:19 206:3	160:16,19,23,25	161:13 167:21
Abrahmsohn	5:18 6:11 45:12	215:7	179:11 217:6,21	172:8 174:6
186:25 191:9,22	account 11:21	addressed 37:16	217:24 221:21,23	175:12 183:7,12
192:9,12,14,16	16:21 71:5,11,19	75:1 159:23	228:11 251:17,24	198:10,19 216:12
194:17,20 231:23	80:12	195:11 196:12	252:7 254:12,24	217:16 236:5
235:12,18 254:22	accuracy 38:11	215:1	advised 151:4	239:18
abridged 65:1	73:8	addressees 108:21	217:20 256:13	agent's 21:21 43:7
abrogate 117:19	accurate 25:9	addresses 102:9	advisers 54:25	44:5 136:21
absence 51:12	29:20	addressing 74:21	advocate 178:23	agents 19:12,14,16
65:23 195:20	accurately 28:24	adduce 46:19	advocating 120:20	21:17 22:8,10
absent 81:21 139:7	achievable 193:5	adduced 64:6	216:22 223:23	23:5 31:3,4,6,6,9
absolutely 2:10	achieve 16:6 17:14	adequate 179:1	affect 33:13 170:3	31:12,12,17,21,24
44:12 70:7 72:5	89:23 96:17 97:6	adhering 138:23	196:23 205:7	32:6 34:9 40:6
79:14 96:3 111:25	98:12 253:10	adjourned 260:3	206:15 259:2	41:11 42:12,13,21
115:23 148:15	achieving 13:3 16:2	adjournment 49:18	afraid 36:19 85:12	42:25 43:4,12,17
151:17 182:6	acronym 174:5	82:15 116:19	117:20 125:17	43:22,23,25 45:5
203:16 204:23	229:22	137:22 140:16	126:5 130:6	51:11 53:6 60:22
234:8 242:8	acronyms 174:3	259:23	204:10 207:16	63:22 74:6 86:1,7
accelerate 190:10	act 35:4 56:20	adjusted 25:14	208:13 210:12	86:17 87:13 88:14
accept 31:6 45:11	57:25 64:18 65:10	administrative	226:22 242:16	88:24 89:7,12
61:21,24 63:10	152:2 216:24	72:1	afternoon 49:13,16	90:19 91:2,7,12
67:17 70:9 78:10	217:3 220:10	admission 12:9	49:19 64:4 71:2	91:23 94:12 95:19
79:13 86:14 89:1	acting 13:15 39:24	16:15 48:8	71:17 110:17	95:21 96:1,3,9,15
91:2,10 98:17	100:4 137:1 177:4	admit 5:16 18:15	111:8 120:9 121:5	97:24 98:6,20,21
114:24 126:13	219:2 221:11	54:6 67:2,11,15	212:14	98:25 99:4,5,7,14
127:23 128:7,9	action 113:23	admitted 18:21	agencies 32:1	99:15 100:4,8,12
135:7 171:2,15	199:24	34:17 72:25	agency 32:2 101:2	102:8,13,18
180:22 183:20,20	activity 233:4	admitting 20:10	165:6 224:11	103:19,22,25
190:15 199:2	acts 33:12	ads 43:19	237:18	104:2,4 106:3
201:16 202:24	actual 172:2 179:13	advance 116:23	agenda 120:22,23	108:15,22,23
207:3,19 210:7,9	201:7 243:1	166:15,20 233:16	121:8 145:1,3,6	110:9,15 111:18

Г				1 456 202
112:9,19,21,24	250:4 251:14	204:19 206:8	192:25	147:22 200:13
113:5 114:19	254:5 256:7	222:11,15 224:1	air 179:1	Andrew 186:9
119:19,20 120:10	agents' 1:12 9:17	224:12,12 226:17	airing 70:1	Anglia 137:4
120:20 121:15	19:11 21:15,16,20	228:17 229:17	ALAN 1:17	207:16
125:1 127:2,21	21:23,25 23:8,21	230:8,13 231:2,7	albeit 9:23 85:16	announcement
129:18,20 134:2	24:10 27:13,14	231:23 236:21	181:25 230:2	247:24
135:22 137:1,7,25	31:15 33:5,6 36:7	237:1 241:6	aligned 223:19	anonymous 31:6
138:6,21 141:7,14	36:8 39:21 40:7,8	247:17 253:1	228:15	answer 27:23 29:10
142:19,20 143:25	40:19,20 41:14	257:15	alignment 177:23	48:13,13 63:11
142:17,20 143:23	42:7,25 43:11,12	agitating 253:10	178:4 224:6,18	103:8 114:13
146:21 149:23	45:13,21 46:16	ago 39:11 71:21	aligns 235:1	125:12,24 126:11
152:1,10,22	47:18 51:11 59:24	106:23 129:10	allegation 203:14	126:20 137:19
152:1,10,22	60:4 61:6,7 74:6	130:18,20 131:5	allegations 196:19	142:12 143:4,6
156:19 157:7	85:25 88:8 89:7	155:20 161:5	alleging 201:11	148:5,9 150:12
158:16 161:11,12	90:20 91:4,8,13	162:5 163:16	allocate 115:25	156:14 157:13
161:16,16,17,17	91:23 92:2,18	164:7,10 180:22	allocated 30:21	171:10 172:21,23
161:24 163:17,18	93:2,10 96:10,20	231:21 232:2	117:11,21	178:5 180:25
163:21 164:4,15	100:5 101:4,14,23	231.21 232.2	allow 42:17 45:24	181:6,13 186:22
167:2,14 169:5,11	100.5 101.4,14,25	agree 35:24 48:23	allowed 5:21 14:1	214:24 225:1,22
170:3,8,14 172:19	102.0,14 103.3,20	86:19 101:3 121:5	81:11 108:3 135:5	225:23 226:14,18
170.3,8,14 172.19	105:23 104.1,2	121:11 124:12,16	155:16 208:14	228:9
173.23 174.3,11		132:9,14 135:2	234:14	answered 49:23
	107:22,25 109:1,9 113:25 118:21	141:9 163:3,4,23		148:2 150:12
176:13,25 177:5			allowing 42:24	
177:21 178:17,19	122:23 123:11,22	186:10 233:13	68:24 69:10 74:23	answering 140:19
179:4 181:18	130:15 131:12,15	agreed 161:8,10	alongside 49:7	142:14 181:2
184:20 185:10,16	133:2,12 134:21	164:6 223:4	altering 94:7	answers 105:25
186:5,14 189:10	135:4 137:9 138:2	237:24 239:15	alternative 77:4	anterior 105:10,24
190:16 191:12,16	138:7,15,18,20,21	240:15 243:2	altogether 204:1	anticipate 27:23
191:17 192:8	144:18 145:5,20	agreeing 92:12,13	AM/OTM 192:5	30:4 38:3 47:6
195:8,11 197:12	147:20 149:3,8	97:24 132:20	AM/OTM's 193:6	69:16 117:13,16
197:18 201:6	150:4,5 151:3,9	agreement 111:1	ambiguities 29:25	252:16
202:15 203:22	151:16,25 152:13	112:9 122:23	ambush 16:19	anticipated 47:24
204:3 211:3	153:16,21 154:25	141:10,16 196:5	ambushes 16:20	anticipating 37:1
213:12,22,24	155:2,12 156:20	204:13 207:1	amended 22:3	anticipation 118:1
215:1,3 216:4,21	158:16 159:7	208:8 223:9	amount 24:9	anxious 160:8
216:25 217:2,24	160:16 161:14	227:13	223:10	208:4
223:16,19 224:2	162:10 163:1	agreements 112:12	amply 31:18	anybody 10:16
224:18,23 225:15	164:15 165:5	195:25 196:11	analogy 12:17	11:14 20:14 34:8
226:4 227:23	171:5,16,17	197:23 199:8	18:20	111:11 122:21
228:11,15,23	172:11 173:7	Ah 128:16	analysis 28:15	219:8 245:17
233:15 236:2,15	174:19 185:25	ahead 153:20	31:12 201:15	259:19
239:11 240:7,12	186:13 189:3	163:12	226:16 227:10	apart 259:13
241:9 242:1,20	193:17 196:13	aiming 95:18	analysts 245:15	apologies 93:17
243:3 244:5 245:4	197:18 198:4	aims 89:15 96:17	ancillary 10:6	111:10 135:14
245:24 249:11	202:23 203:15	96:22 173:6	and/or 116:19	247:3
				l

apologise 114:14	approaches 206:20	ascertain 20:23	assurances 250:3	61:24 88:20
228:6 235:3	approaching 171:5	asked 11:7 38:10	attach 83:13	avail 4:25
apparent 31:18	219:3	38:14 103:7,12	attached 19:16	available 12:2
39:3 163:5 257:21	appropriate 4:6	126:20 136:7	83:17,17 104:20	20:17 24:19 200:9
apparently 112:12	62:2 177:2	142:10,16,16	188:3 245:20	avenue 52:18
155:18 221:17	appropriately	146:11 163:21	attaches 6:18	avenue 32.16 avers 200:10
252:23	17:12 172:12	168:4 218:18	attack 168:23	avoid 81:10 151:21
appeal 1:1,3 14:1,1	April 6:25 7:4 8:4,8	222:7 226:12	169:21,25	153:8
14:2 15:22	31:20 34:22 40:23		·	aware 5:16 77:11
		257:5 258:9,10,23	attempt 57:16 226:4 246:10	
appear 9:18 13:9	75:2 125:17	asking 31:5 72:25		77:14 85:10 100:3
14:11 27:1,15	architect 91:22	80:21 95:25,25	attempting 195:7	105:13 107:6
28:10,23 30:1	area 102:11 103:19	103:11 117:14	attempts 190:20	109:4,6,17 132:2
39:16,21,23 57:16	121:11 136:21	137:18 144:3	attend 9:5 18:6	134:2,25 135:1,21
130:20,24	166:17,22 205:11	147:10,14,16	102:13 229:25	136:17,17,18
appeared 1:17,19	217:16 246:14	150:7 164:3	230:3	139:16 142:18
appears 21:20	areas 136:8 203:8	219:22	attended 23:23	143:16 144:19
30:16 34:20 39:13	225:5 229:17	asks 35:20,23	163:13	152:17 160:13
52:16 75:5,21	argument 37:17	aspects 19:12	attention 23:1 58:1	179:15 180:6
167:19	206:2,4	159:25 196:16	68:10 139:3	209:14 215:3
application 2:3	arguments 37:20	assembling 106:4	210:13 213:2	222:9 239:18
5:12,13,15 66:13	arisen 75:16 147:7	asserting 169:8	228:8	241:7 254:4,8
68:3 257:3,5,22	arises 11:13 56:2	assess 65:11 107:24	attitude 227:19	awareness 136:1
260:4	205:10	assessing 91:9	attracting 250:4	
applied 54:15	arms 66:6 90:25	assimilate 51:24	attributed 8:7 9:11	B
76:10 94:13	91:15	assist 10:10 70:10	39:17 40:24	b 12:6 74:16 88:2
applies 83:24 84:9	arose 147:6 229:5	79:22 84:22 104:1	attribution 7:23	back 3:18 14:17
apply 65:23 66:12	251:13	104:3,24 106:3,17	8:15,17 9:7,9,19	15:11 16:20 18:19
	_01110			
1110		125:24	9:23 25:15 30:19	27:20 28:5 37:3
appoint 231:17	arrange 163:22 231:15	125:24 assistance 222:13	9:23 25:15 30:19 attributions 8:2	27:20 28:5 37:3 40:3,7 42:25 43:4
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25	arrange 163:22 231:15	assistance 222:13	attributions 8:2	
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12	attributions 8:2 au 217:16	40:3,7 42:25 43:4
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22 93:5 94:12,23	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21 arriving 2:6	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24 assuming 32:5	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3 authenticity 23:14	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25 169:3 170:22
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22 93:5 94:12,23 95:9 119:7,9,11	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21 arriving 2:6 art 96:14	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24 assuming 32:5 117:24	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3 authenticity 23:14 25:1	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25 169:3 170:22 175:11 176:10
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22 93:5 94:12,23 95:9 119:7,9,11 142:3 146:21	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21 arriving 2:6 art 96:14 article 17:2,20 18:3	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24 assuming 32:5 117:24 assumption 132:11	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3 authenticity 23:14 25:1 authorities 16:1,1	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25 169:3 170:22 175:11 176:10 177:24 181:19
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22 93:5 94:12,23 95:9 119:7,9,11 142:3 146:21 163:21 206:19	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21 arriving 2:6 art 96:14 article 17:2,20 18:3 18:12 84:8,13	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24 assuming 32:5 117:24 assumption 132:11 186:14 214:19	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3 authenticity 23:14 25:1 authorities 16:1,1 authority 21:5	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25 169:3 170:22 175:11 176:10 177:24 181:19 185:7,10 201:18
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22 93:5 94:12,23 95:9 119:7,9,11 142:3 146:21	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21 arriving 2:6 art 96:14 article 17:2,20 18:3	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24 assuming 32:5 117:24 assumption 132:11	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3 authenticity 23:14 25:1 authorities 16:1,1	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25 169:3 170:22 175:11 176:10 177:24 181:19
appoint 231:17 appointed 39:25 appreciate 24:11 84:1 117:1 128:16 130:11 223:17 appreciation 3:5 123:21 apprehend 3:14 18:9 80:14 apprehended 208:20 approach 16:6 76:1 92:14,19,21,22 93:5 94:12,23 95:9 119:7,9,11 142:3 146:21 163:21 206:19	arrange 163:22 231:15 arranged 28:19 arrangement 152:3 163:14 215:4 258:8 arrangements 132:3,5 134:3 155:24 159:14 160:14 164:14 207:21 258:24 array 139:10 arrived 2:13,21 arriving 2:6 art 96:14 article 17:2,20 18:3 18:12 84:8,13	assistance 222:13 assists 107:12 241:11 associate 51:8 53:6 53:12 associated 20:14 199:8 257:24 association 88:22 108:4 198:2 assume 27:4 79:6 240:11 assumes 28:24 assuming 32:5 117:24 assumption 132:11 186:14 214:19	attributions 8:2 au 217:16 audio 28:14 50:13 51:1 53:11 54:6,9 54:23 55:19 56:11 56:12 57:5 August 130:15 141:3 162:7 165:11 167:15 230:11 authentic 23:19 25:3 authenticity 23:14 25:1 authorities 16:1,1 authority 21:5	40:3,7 42:25 43:4 43:8 66:24 71:1 73:2 76:10 82:22 93:21 97:20 99:5 111:4,20 120:1 127:13,14,18,22 128:1,24 130:5 134:7 135:16,17 136:23 137:22 140:19,24 142:10 156:4 158:2,5 159:20 164:25 169:3 170:22 175:11 176:10 177:24 181:19 185:7,10 201:18

				Page 204
210.14.211.15	102.20 107.10	252.25 252.17	171.20 172.10	106.7.19.20
210:14 211:15	182:20 187:18	252:25 253:17	171:20 172:19	196:7,18,20
213:14 214:13	began 234:2	255:24	174:18 175:19,24	201:12 203:9
215:13,24 221:18	beginning 43:15	beyond 72:4 117:3	177:2,5 180:3	244:22 248:7
227:22 231:13	55:14,15 57:9	117:3,7,13 127:1	186:25 187:2	boycotts 203:7
235:8 237:20	83:19 132:11	161:1 179:22	190:5 192:23	brackets 78:18
239:9 243:19	175:9 206:14	236:9	193:1 206:9 210:6	173:18,22 174:8
248:20 254:13	209:9 218:17	big 89:8 167:18,18	211:1 212:21	brand 88:19 124:9
258:11	242:12	189:3	224:12 230:6,7,8	breach 17:2 61:18
background 63:4,8	begins 84:23 90:7	bigger 159:16	230:13 231:5,7,10	185:14 199:5
66:19,20 124:7	94:1 111:10 124:6	Bingham 52:9	231:22 237:10	214:12
backwards 111:5	174:6 187:24	68:11	244:1,8,14,15,20	breaching 181:17
balance 19:2 217:6	198:14 242:18	Bishop 118:1	244:24 247:17,25	185:9
balancing 17:10	begun 109:5 146:24	bit 16:5 27:12	249:17,23 254:21	break 5:10 48:2,19
banners 43:19	246:3	42:11 58:3 61:10	254:21 256:10,10	78:20 82:14
bar 20:8	behalf 1:17,19	61:11 62:13,16	board's 175:15	115:10,15 184:25
Bartlett 236:20	223:15 233:5	79:1,6 81:12	176:14,18	185:4 195:15
based 28:20 212:3	236:12 237:15	86:14 116:2,17	boards 31:23	Brian 1:9 14:22
236:14	behaviour 21:19	117:3 157:11	body 249:14	Bricks 21:16
basically 24:3	behold 163:24	158:23 159:8	Booth 161:9	brief 70:13
basics 73:2	belief 50:21	160:4,7 166:7	bother 47:10	briefed 160:15
basis 38:9 63:13	believe 4:2 79:16	169:18 171:19	bottom 15:12 33:24	briefly 5:22 25:17
64:5 66:18 67:3	94:12 106:2	188:4 190:10	35:1 39:22 40:16	49:21
69:13 75:20 105:3	124:10 125:16	205:1,15 210:11	40:17 41:18 42:6	bring 237:9
117:24 140:9	131:14 136:3	235:5 243:14	44:3,4 57:22 76:7	Bristol 137:1
178:20 217:21	152:16 211:10	258:16	84:8 88:12 93:25	Britain 61:6 75:18
239:20	248:13	bite 20:13,21	96:4 108:20 110:5	broad 97:23 112:9
batch 217:25	believed 73:21	bits 18:18 213:8,9	118:17 121:4,9	112:12
Bay 114:17 121:20	believes 8:11 170:1	blanked 241:16	142:5 143:4	broadly 21:14 24:4
180:13	Bench 13:25	blanket 218:7	150:10 161:9	45:14 134:25
bear 24:19 71:22	benefits 217:8	blasé 3:7	170:17 174:21	196:17
81:8 98:9 113:24	Bernal 64:2	bloc 105:4 250:6,9	187:20 190:12	broken 41:4
159:17 161:2	best 8:21,23 37:11	Bloomsbury 1:4	208:15 220:18	Bronfentrinker
189:22	37:16 50:20 60:15	blow 71:5,5	227:20 236:18	2:13 5:2 6:13
bearing 26:3 37:18	63:4 71:17 75:8	board 88:19 89:5	238:19 242:19,23	7:16 10:5 51:16
45:12 70:7 74:22	79:17,21 97:13	101:4 126:23	242:23 248:22	52:14,15 55:23
129:15 130:8	116:22 131:11	130:13,15 134:1,1	249:2	67:5 70:15,22
188:17	133:4 143:5 145:4	141:22 151:8,15	bought 226:13	71:13 79:19
becoming 147:21	195:20 200:9	152:12 153:6	box 3:18 51:22 68:9	Bronfentrinker's
193:4 224:2	239:5 259:20,24	154:24 155:2,11	208:11 227:22	3:25 8:19 49:5,9
234:21 236:7	better 59:15 66:11	156:20 157:20	boxes 14:22	49:20 50:7 52:1,5
241:23	82:13 92:9,10	158:3 160:15	boycott 118:25	53:15,24 54:18,21
bed 89:25 91:1,15	182:14,17,22	162:10 163:1	119:10,23 120:22	75:15
beeps 15:5	183:15 184:4,11	164:25 165:4	127:16,21 129:21	build 92:2 101:11
beg 91:18 93:23	184:15,16,20	166:2 167:25	132:9,12,20	101:15 186:17
140:24 143:6	211:14 247:11	168:2 171:4,15,20	170:22,25 175:10	built 123:5 179:2

business 14:15 17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2 183:13 216:5 229:23 241:5 254:11 257:19 businesses 97:17 217:8 221:6 button 15:4	129:7 carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15 carrying 131:23 carve 140:4 case 1:1 10:15 12:20,24 13:3,5,9 13:10 14:7,9,11 15:24 16:2,7,11	115:4 ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2 239:10 centrally 12:22 Century 32:1 certain 31:24 55:25 66:14 142:13 154:6 200:25	30:10 32:8,19,25 33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22 59:9,19,22 60:2,4 60:7,10 64:17,25 65:5,8 66:5,10 68:18,24 69:2,16 69:21,24 70:4,12 71:22 72:4,6,11	256:22 257:1 258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4 171:22 180:21,25 197:19 216:20 changed 202:12 208:21 257:3,12 changing 181:6
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2 183:13 216:5 229:23 241:5 254:11 257:19 businesses 97:17	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15 carrying 131:23 carve 140:4 case 1:1 10:15 12:20,24 13:3,5,9 13:10 14:7,9,11	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2 239:10 centrally 12:22 Century 32:1 certain 31:24 55:25	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22 59:9,19,22 60:2,4 60:7,10 64:17,25 65:5,8 66:5,10 68:18,24 69:2,16 69:21,24 70:4,12	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4 171:22 180:21,25 197:19 216:20 changed 202:12 208:21 257:3,12
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2 183:13 216:5 229:23 241:5 254:11 257:19	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15 carrying 131:23 carve 140:4 case 1:1 10:15 12:20,24 13:3,5,9	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2 239:10 centrally 12:22 Century 32:1	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22 59:9,19,22 60:2,4 60:7,10 64:17,25 65:5,8 66:5,10 68:18,24 69:2,16	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4 171:22 180:21,25 197:19 216:20 changed 202:12
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2 183:13 216:5 229:23 241:5 254:11 257:19	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15 carrying 131:23 carve 140:4 case 1:1 10:15	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2 239:10 centrally 12:22 Century 32:1	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22 59:9,19,22 60:2,4 60:7,10 64:17,25 65:5,8 66:5,10	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4 171:22 180:21,25 197:19 216:20 changed 202:12
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2 183:13 216:5 229:23 241:5	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15 carrying 131:23 carve 140:4	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2 239:10 centrally 12:22	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22 59:9,19,22 60:2,4 60:7,10 64:17,25	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4 171:22 180:21,25 197:19 216:20
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2 183:13 216:5	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15 carrying 131:23	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2 239:10	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22 59:9,19,22 60:2,4	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4 171:22 180:21,25
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1 98:3 161:6 179:2	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24 185:3 221:10,15	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21 30:20 231:9 236:2	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3 55:10 58:17,22	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24 change 41:6,8 87:4
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24 34:9 60:24 61:1	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23 carry 24:2 184:24	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17 central 19:25 20:21	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25 48:5,12,16 49:3	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15 65:24
17:18,19,20,25 18:8 19:23,24	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17 carried 132:23	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7 240:12 259:17	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9 46:15 47:15,22,25	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9 Chancery 62:13,15
17:18,19,20,25	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10 222:21 223:17	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8 207:23,23 225:7	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22 38:2,7 42:4 46:2,9	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6 chance 51:23 77:9
	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25 Caroline 183:10	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22 202:24 203:8	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18 36:24 37:6,22	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11 chambers 2:5,6
	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20 106:25	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20 201:21 202:6,6,22	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23 36:5,10,15,17,18	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1 chairman's 29:11
burner 201:18	carefully 50:1 51:20 52:6 70:20	ceasing 199:16 cent 35:6 201:19,20	33:3,15,21 34:25 35:8,13,18,23	258:3,18 259:4,7 259:10,21 260:1
bundles 61:4 130:2	carefully 50:1	ceasing 199:16	33:3,15,21 34:25	258:3,18 259:4,7
255:3,22				
242:18 248:21				05600055
235:11 236:16	careful 58:17 129:6	CC 110:10,14	27:22 28:9 29:18	255:21,23 256:2
228:6 229:9	248:6	CBE,QC 1:9	21:9 24:9 26:1,5,9	242:2,6,9 255:16
223:6 227:1 228:1		caused 59:17	14:18 15:6 18:13	240:23 241:13,15
212:6,8 222:17	care 185:18,24 186:2 244:21	cause 259:18	11:18,23 12:3	238:25 239:7
209:7 210:14		category 198:4	8:21,25 9:2 11:13	225:23 234:24
186:23 187:24	capitals 143.19 cards 26:11 62:23	188:7	7:13,20,22 8:14	208:2,8,10,12,23
176:4 178:8 186:8	capitals 145:19	categories 187:15	4:19,25 5:6 6:22	206:25 207:4
173:10 174:17	capital 99:4,14,15	cast 90:17	3:2,7,22 4:3,8,16	205:12,20 206:12
162:4 164:23,24	194:24	203:8 230:25	chairman 2:16,23	204:7,9,22 205:7
150:20 157:19	campaign 180.14 capacity 39:24	198:24 202:22	chair 142:2	201:9 203:13,17
143:2,20,20 145:8	campaign 180:14	16:15 116:25	249:15	199:12,19,22
141:1 142:15	59:14	cases 8:2 14:3	240:25 241:2	198:13,16 199:10
133:5 135:14,16	camera 13:18	247:16 248:18	154:13,14 238:4	197:6,21 198:5,7
128:11 130:4,5	135:25	208:2,5 230:20	152:8,18 153:2,3	195:24 196:9,22
124:7 127:13	Cambridge 135:24	206:1 207:2,20,25	141:21,23 145:10	187:21 195:13,17
122:5 123:25	calls 38:14 252:1	203:3,6 204:15		174:1,12,14 185:3
118:13 120:25	191:19	201:11,21 202:25	175:10 202:17 chain 73:8 128:10	173:11,18,22
110:1,2 111:5	called 90:13 191:17	188:14 197:16,22		162:14,17 168:8
	179:16 222:8,10		cetera 76:5 149:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
103:1 105:16	64:14 68:20	174:2 178:7	237:11	138:12 139:0,14
93:15 102:22	22:25 56:25 64:9	159:24 164:12	214:9 219:1 224:22 228:3	126:8,16 135:12 138:12 139:6,14
86:22 87:23 90:6	call 8:25 21:24	126:4 139:5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
83:19 84:5 85:20	cake 211:12 212:2	87:4 105:18 118:3	173:15 205:18	117:16 118:5,10
56:17 75:10 83:4	cahoots 57:19	74:10 76:25 81:25	120:14 162:4	116:12,15 117:1
bundle 22:2,3,5	22:7 62:7	69:5 72:19 74:9	107:12 117:7	108:19 115:13,17
bum 22:23 41:9	c 12:6,11 13:14	62:12,16,17 63:5	101:9,9 105:15	106:6,8 107:14
209:2,8	C	38:22 53:19 62:11	90:4 94:9 95:9	97:7 105:9,20
193:25 194:19		22:1 33:12 38:19	30:7 89:14,22	81:14,25 82:10,16
118:17 119:1,2,6	237:18	20:3,7,13,13 21:2	certainly 15:7 26:2	79:19,24 80:17,23
bullet 55:12,13	buy 227.8 233.0 buying 43:25	17:16,21 19:3	253:22	78:15 79:2,8,12
bulk 193:21	buy 227:8 233:6	16:18 17:3,6,7,9	201:2,2,4,4	72:13,19 74:9,13

				1 486 200
234:20	113:23 142:8	30:18 34:4 36:9	217:15 219:22	123:16,21 125:5
chanting 50:15	144:23 146:2	42:6 43:6 46:10	222:7,21 223:4	127:7,16,21 128:4
chapter 61:11	156:8 157:8 180:5	46:17 49:24 52:12	252:7	129:21 131:24
characterisation	180:7 182:14	67:13,13 71:18	clock 53:16	132:3,24 134:13
163:18 199:5	185:17 186:6,7	79:14 97:7 101:15	close 14:6 256:2	134:20,21 135:3
characterise 222:5	215:19,20,21	102:24 103:6	257:21	138:6,12,24,24,25
characterised	216:4 217:7 221:8	105:25 111:25	closed 203:20	142:2,7 143:16,18
199:7	223:24 247:11	116:3 117:16	closely 97:15	143:24 144:10,13
characterising	chooses 63:12	123:13 127:2	138:10 232:15	145:22 146:1,3,20
91:12	68:21 215:15,15	129:11,11 132:8	257:24	147:12,18,25
characteristics	choosing 217:12	134:1 138:13	closes 24:20	148:17 151:20
234:15	225:12	152:1 167:4 182:9	closes 24.20 closing 45:19 47:17	152:3 154:18
charade 160:5	chose 51:25 179:1	189:3 191:1,14	69:13 117:22	155:15,21,23
charged 177:9	209:17 218:4	193:16 195:6	196:14 206:4	156:5,7,9,11,22
check 5:23 23:22	254:6	196:15 199:1	closings 196:10	159:4 160:14,20
48:10 79:17	chosen 187:13	200:17 202:18	cloth 116:5	162:20 164:13,21
137:18 145:14	188:5 206:3	203:21 204:13	CMA 86:21 87:7	167:5 170:22,25
164:4	chronology 51:7	206:5,16 208:18	87:11 124:8	175:10 177:23
checked 28:11	circulated 120:19	208:21 217:14	125:14,15 127:10	178:3 179:13,16
30:24 32:2	128:21	218:5 221:21	coast 40:14 42:10	180:10,23 181:9
checking 23:5	circulates 129:8	223:8 224:10	164:20 167:13	181:24 182:13
243:7	circulating 10:21	245:1,8 246:10	coastal 158:16	183:16 196:7,18
cherry-picking	circulation 121:25	clearly 19:18 24:14	161:11,16,24	197:2,12 199:14
18:20	248:16	40:7 47:12,13	163:17,18,21	200:2,20,20 201:1
Chestertons 209:15	circumstances 17:4	54:10 55:15 66:22	167:14,16	201:3,12 202:9
209:17,21,23,25	20:4 66:24 68:6	67:10 68:8 85:6	coincide 134:25	203:7,9,14 204:13
210:3,5,7	127:6 148:16	94:25 124:24	coincidence 75:13	204:20 206:6,19
chief 80:19 88:3	204:1 251:16	130:18 131:23	75:16 159:19	206:20 207:1,7,20
193:12 194:24	254:8	132:18 152:5	collaborate 96:21	208:8 213:17,20
225:4	civil 14:3 56:19	155:6,11 164:21	96:24	214:4,16,22,24
chimes 141:25	64:18 65:9	166:20 167:5	collaborating 98:5	215:4 217:17
chipped 185:13	claim 13:12 254:14	181:1 199:3	collaborative 95:17	218:8 221:12
chitchat 19:22	claim 15:12 254:14 claimant 1:12,17	216:20 224:5	96:8,13,16 97:19	223:15,23 239:14
choice 19:13 22:24	13:18 17:24,24	239:17 245:10	97:23	244:22 246:11
68:18 89:11	18:12	clicks 29:7	colleagues 124:11	252:19 253:4
112:22 113:7	claimant's 13:16	client 77:15	177:1 190:14	collectively 100:4
149:17,22 154:8	14:8 18:15	clients 18:18 41:3	collected 225:11	108:25 109:22
170:6 171:5 178:1	clarification	77:11 89:8	collection 6:3	123:2 132:20
178:2,4 235:23	103:16 208:15	Clive 100:19 151:2	collective 82:5,6	137:2 180:4
244:8,20	clarify 103:14	151:14,15 152:12	101:22 102:1	196:20 198:3
choices 114:4 189:9	188:9	153:12 160:25	109:5,7,7,13,15	203:12 217:13
208:18 213:17	clarifying 125:4	165:24 171:8	109:3,7,7,13,13	225:6 233:16
235:15	clarities 29:25	178:13,14 179:7	114:4,8 118:25	235:22 251:14
choose 86:9 89:18	clause 85:1,7,8	180:3,15 204:2	119:10,23 120:22	collectivity 197:18
102:2 109:2	clear 6:20 9:17	210:22,23 211:14	122:22 123:10,15	colloquially 197:9
102.2 107.2	0.20 7.17	210.22,23 211.14	122.22 123.10,13	Joney and John John John John John John John John
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

				1 age 207
collusion 124:25	175:15 176:18	compelling 209:25	90:24 151:24	195:3 209:4,11,12
column 107:14	commitments	compete 42:16	247:15	209:14,20 241:18
110:10,14	175:19	43:25 86:8 87:1	concerned 41:3,6	confidentiality
come 7:19 8:16	committed 166:18	90:25 91:14	59:11 114:22	257:14
43:8 47:16 54:12	173:13 176:5	competing 44:24	128:23 129:14,19	confined 200:15
61:16 62:14 66:24	247:23	89:6,12,16 170:8	134:4 153:10	confirm 29:3 50:20
71:2 93:21 100:11	committee 95:7	competition 1:1,3	178:19 187:16	confirmation 53:10
100:14 133:25	99:18,18 230:1	22:12 35:4 57:25	196:22 203:19	confirmed 30:25
146:23 150:13	241:22	62:16 86:17,24	257:17 259:2	154:12 257:8
156:4 162:20,23	committing 247:18	87:13 88:13 89:1	concerning 43:16	confirming 247:25
163:23 176:10	common 13:22	110:25 119:19	concerns 19:16	252:11
180:24 181:10	14:5 39:14 98:19	121:10 131:20,25		confirms 78:21
182:14,17 183:5	202:6 208:7	141:8,15,17 169:4	26:11,15 45:6 46:11	conflicting 17:1
183:15 184:4,11	communicate	169:9,10 227:11	concerted 19:13	confused 127:9
184:15,16 185:12	140:12	251:10 252:24	22:24 23:2,6 31:2	169:18 205:1
	communicated	251.10 252.24	196:19 198:1	confusion 93:23
197:10,15 203:1	100:21 161:1		190.19 198.1	205:3 217:18
213:12,21,24		competitive 19:18 22:21 76:14 77:1		
214:7 219:19	communicating		concluded 54:25	connected 22:17
221:8 222:25	106:3	77:8 89:9 131:7	95:13 117:18	Connells 10:13,17
224:4,12 225:9	communication	170:1,3	257:5	61:1,1 77:15
240:7	149:14 160:21	competitor 40:20	conclusion 15:19	conscious 48:1
comes 8:18 56:18	197:17 203:24	97:15 209:16,23	32:16 51:19 61:16	52:17 67:3 116:21
63:15 78:21 112:3	204:2	210:2 216:7	67:25 70:19	117:4 139:19
126:7 161:15	communications	competitors 41:22	conclusions 95:6	consensus 240:11
253:16	54:24	89:25 91:5 175:23	concocted 24:7	consent 257:6
coming 4:8 31:22	companies 18:2	210:8 219:10,17	concretely 89:3	consider 28:18
40:12 53:22 64:15	88:18	223:11 226:10	conditional 48:25	68:13 104:10
75:5 91:4 175:11	company 17:25	competitors' 73:24	conditions 76:2,12	109:5 117:22
180:18 181:4	18:5,6 80:20	compilation 78:8	76:14 77:1,9,12	155:23 181:4
202:4 204:7 205:4	89:19 95:7 96:22	complete 75:6	conduct 16:11	216:4 237:25
219:11 227:25	97:2,3,4 98:10	126:22 253:9	20:12 22:21 23:3	239:25 240:17
243:19 251:1,7	99:24 106:22	completely 64:16	23:5 147:17	241:9
commence 116:7	112:9 132:19	125:8 149:17	conducting 21:1	considerable 3:8
comment 128:18	145:12 172:10	159:23 203:2	221:12	37:3 78:13
167:9,24 168:4,6	175:18 177:4,7,15	256:11	conductor 242:11	considerably
168:11,20 169:1	193:1,13,14 194:6	compliance 124:13	conference 62:17	139:22 231:6
commented 14:10	202:17 225:4	124:16	confess 205:15	consideration 16:3
comments 35:1	231:2,4,5,17	complied 123:6	confidence 57:3	69:25 81:6 113:19
166:6 187:8	232:4,7,9 236:13	comply 75:20 176:6	132:15 141:9	114:3,8 118:15
commercial 65:24	236:15 239:12	comprehensive	194:19 195:2,5	119:9 127:16,20
192:1 219:17	243:14	226:14	209:2,10	127:23
commission 159:14	company's 194:8	conceivable 4:21	confident 259:17	considerations
commit 20:25	195:9	concept 226:2	confidential 3:24	170:20
223:9	comparator 77:22	concern 13:1 16:9	5:4 6:14,16 8:23	considered 52:3
commitment	compared 215:20	19:20 35:6,8 40:6	165:21 194:15,23	153:5 157:9

				1 450 200
considering 77:23	83:23	248:8 249:20	corrected 60:25	90:16 97:10
91:8 109:8,14	context 77:24 91:3	conversations 15:8	105:14	100:15 104:7
134:2 167:3	124:14 147:16	17:18 18:17 33:3	correcting 182:25	119:24 128:5
178:24 214:6	159:22 175:21	171:7 245:4	correctly 49:19	131:20 168:2
245:24	181:14 227:13	converse 46:21	85:22	192:5 199:25
considers 87:11	238:14 240:20,21	Cooke 161:9 162:8	correspondence	200:16 218:12
consistent 164:2	continuation 191:5	167:19	75:17 129:13	222:8,9 223:18
173:16 203:5,6	continued 82:19,20	cooked 211:13	226:12,24 227:7	230:4 233:2 239:7
248:9,13	260:5,7	212:2	238:2	257:11
consisting 23:2	continuing 13:11	cooperation 198:9	corroborate 39:6	court 3:6 14:2,9
consists 38:1	54:14 221:6	198:18,22,24	cost 12:21,21	15:13,14,22,23
104:22	continuous 29:16	copied 90:12	costs 131:22	16:3,25 17:4 19:6
conspicuous 51:12	254:5	110:10,16,24	couched 53:25	20:2,22 49:11
constantly 156:4	contract 83:21,24	111:15 112:14	Coulson 131:14	61:22,23 62:4,8
constituted 95:13	84:7,10,13,15,23	118:19 120:5,14	counsel 21:3 66:6	74:20 195:21
236:13	85:1,13 177:11	121:7 127:24	97:1 125:21	228:3 260:3
consultant 229:23	230:9	155:8 157:11,20	counselling 183:24	court's 16:22
consultants 18:8	contracted 131:15	158:3	count 27:19	court s 10.22 courtroom 256:24
consumer 22:21	contracted 151.15	copies 12:3 13:8	counter 247:24	courts 65:24
consumers 22:23	177:14	120:10	counteroffer	cover 6:1 179:1
41:12	contractual 22:11	copy 10:8,23 11:11	219:12	250:3
contact 50:25	76:20	12:2 83:19,20	country 86:2	covered 212:1
106:13 107:23	contradicts 123:18	120:13 160:3	133:23 136:9	covert 20:22,24
222:13 249:17	contractes 125.18	191:4 212:23	187:17 200:21	covertly 14:12
contacted 51:9	253:11	233:8	201:24 203:22	CPR 26:7 54:15
53:13 102:5,7	contrived 45:11	core 6:10	225:5,7 229:2,6	62:2
contain 19:21	control 34:10 43:1	correct 26:23 37:23	234:4 242:13	CPR32.1 12:17
21:14 55:1 233:22	43:2 44:9 62:4	62:1 65:5 67:25	245:5,11,22 246:9	crafted 51:6,20
contained 31:19	64:1 171:24	84:20 88:11 91:5	246:22 247:1	52:6 70:20
contemplated	convenience 7:15	110:13 123:19	couple 33:24	Craig 186:9
254:10	7:18 8:15,18	127:17 128:8	115:18 118:13	create 80:4 133:6
contemplating	25:20 26:21 50:13		136:23 165:8	146:8 147:11,14
132:3 143:16	54:9 55:19 62:25	142:8 149:4,25	191:5 221:2	160:8 186:1
153:19 238:10	78:6 79:15	155:1,19 156:15	courage 223:12	189:15 193:7
239:14	convenient 48:3	164:10 165:10	courageous 223:20	226:4 236:5
contending 120:24	195:12 256:3	166:3 167:16	224:19	248:11,12
content 9:14 26:12	conversation 6:23	182:16 190:24,25	course 4:10,11,22	created 55:21 56:3
52:23 54:23 69:5	15:1 32:21,22	192:18,24 194:7	12:25 17:19 21:1	226:8 248:5
71:24 118:4	33:19 51:16 52:14	196:8 197:5	26:10 30:3 37:4	creates 146:18
140:10 257:15	53:8 102:8 152:12	199:13,15 211:2	41:24 45:20,24	creating 151:24
contention 76:15	152:13 175:13	212:18 213:21	49:10,11 51:23	creation 153:11
202:4	176:16 181:12	230:13,14 231:25	52:2 54:13 59:15	credibility 6:6
contents 56:9,25	184:10 186:9	242:21 248:17	61:25 64:25 68:20	23:14 31:15
57:2 63:16 64:7	191:6 210:22	249:17,25 250:9	69:4 70:2 84:23	Crikey 162:25
66:2 73:3,4,4	212:1,13 247:7,9	250:14	87:18 88:1 89:15	criminal 16:15
00.2 / J.J, T, T		200.11	07.10 00.1 07.13	211111111111111111111111111111111111111
L	I	ı	I	<u> </u>

critical 133:6,10,16	Dan 10:2	189:18 197:25	253:5,12,20	delivers 92:1
246:14,19,20	date 10:14 39:12,16	207:11	decisions 101:14,22	demonstrable
criticism 70:14	91:6 157:5,25	Dear 84:25 175:6	102:1 108:25	124:12,16
72:15 78:2 115:20	166:24 176:2	187:8,25 191:25	109:8,13,15	demonstrate
cross 117:20	199:21 200:10	222:21 243:22	111:24 123:8,10	129:20
cross-examination	213:15 242:23	debate 18:2 47:17	127:3 128:24	demonstrated
38:21 45:15 74:24	243:7 248:21	74:10 138:17	130:25 131:7	227:16
80:4,10,24 81:18	dated 39:5 187:5	195:24	134:21,22 135:4	demonstrates
82:20 116:1	258:20	debates 196:4	138:1,6,13,24	234:9
117:11 118:2	dates 200:2	debating 26:25	144:18 146:16	denied 31:1
197:1 203:20	day 24:4 34:2,3	38:21 138:19	147:12,22,23,25	dentist's 142:2
242:12 255:14	46:25 47:18 49:11	December 51:17	148:12 150:3,24	deny 135:11
260:6	75:14 82:23 85:15	52:15 67:4 168:19	151:20 153:18,20	depart 218:4
cross-examine	112:3 117:11,17	238:3,20,21	154:18 162:20	221:22 254:7
64:15	117:19,19 121:1	deception 13:20	166:21 180:4,24	depend 17:4 41:15
cross-examines	121:10 188:16	14:5,13 20:8 21:3	186:13 193:8,11	189:1
118:1	208:6 220:21	decide 12:12 62:7	199:8 200:2,21	depending 3:20
cross-examining	222:12 248:23	decided 52:21 58:4	201:1,3 202:17,20	86:19 170:2
116:6 117:25	256:17 257:11	decision 4:16 13:25	202:20,21,24,25	depends 137:6
173:19	259:13 260:3	17:3 52:17 67:3	206:6 207:22	189:3 250:18
Cross-fertilisation	day-to-day 38:8	70:1 90:1 91:7	212:3 213:17	deploy 32:11
7:22	days 23:24,25	98:2 122:23	218:3 223:20	deployed 68:4
cross-purposes	157:23 158:22	123:15,16,21	224:19 230:15	derail 45:23 219:12
219:18	160:10 187:6	124:21,22,24	235:22 238:10	derived 7:17
crossed 94:1,16,17	deadline 116:5	125:5,6 128:4	239:14 254:3,6	describe 52:7,9
94:25 95:12,15	deal 5:25 6:4,7	131:24 134:8	decline 67:14,15	68:12 97:1
crumble 214:11	11:24 21:11 22:23	139:1 143:24	defence 13:16 22:4	described 35:21
curious 68:3	23:15 24:23 41:9	144:10,22 146:1,3	defend 92:15,25	36:3
current 108:5	66:23 112:7	150:13 152:23	93:7 94:13	describing 29:21
221:7	117:22 125:21	155:15,21 156:7,9	defendant 1:14,19	description 70:17
currently 5:19	139:12 153:13	156:12,22,25	61:2 200:10	78:9 258:9
201:8 223:12	191:15 202:14	162:23 164:19,21	defined 99:19	designation 107:18
custodians 172:10	207:10,12,12	172:2 178:24	defining 172:22	desirable 124:13
custody 57:11 64:1	209:21,25 241:1,2	179:13,16,19	definitely 50:4	desired 53:22
customer 92:1	241:17 242:4	180:18 181:24	144:2	destination 201:10
customers 18:10,10	246:16 250:25	182:2,13 195:8	definition 77:2	detail 36:11 45:9
cut 71:23 116:5,6	255:13	199:14 202:5,9	degree 24:10	139:22 239:17
231:12	dealing 15:24 23:14	213:21 214:5,16	136:16 226:9	detailed 37:14
	54:2 59:20 62:19	214:19,22,23	degrees 129:13	details 30:4 36:11
D	78:4 201:8 227:21	215:6 218:8	deliberate 45:10	59:15 64:23
d 12:24 13:14 16:23	258:12	223:15,24 224:23	deliberately 16:20	100:14 107:23
Dalzell 31:24	dealings 216:14	225:16 228:12	151:8 200:7,15	108:1 142:25
dam 214:12,13	deals 61:11 220:3	235:21 240:8	deliver 91:25 140:9	207:24 224:25
damages 13:12	241:10,23 242:1,7	246:11,20 250:6	192:21 217:8	226:1 237:21
damn 185:9,13	dealt 12:20,24	250:16,18 252:19	delivered 97:16	245:9 258:23
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	1		1	

				1 age 210
detain 243:24	digest 3:1 51:24	disappear 67:18	146:9 153:4,22	173:19 178:7
244:3	digested 2:19	113:13	157:6 164:13	199:21 204:4
determine 123:2	digestible 29:24	disapproval 16:19	167:6 173:23	226:21 242:17
determined 167:4	diluted 186:3	discern 154:21	180:7,8 181:9	255:12
detour 210:11	diluting 215:16	166:7	189:22	documents 5:23
develop 4:6 141:8	direct 37:12 53:5	disclosable 63:2	disingenuous 35:9	11:3 20:4 38:23
200:23 231:15	73:25 74:7,7	disclose 26:8 58:21	35:10	54:10,10,11 55:6
developed 207:17	111:20 158:18	60:1 67:20	dispose 74:17	55:20,21 56:3,4
236:9 253:19	177:2 209:15	disclosed 24:15	dispute 55:2 77:18	56:14,17 63:4,6,7
developing 100:7	228:5 249:23	25:17 54:16 55:5	77:19	63:9,13,19 66:7
104:3	directed 54:18	55:16,20 56:15	disputed 163:18	67:11,18 71:16
	249:16	63:3 66:1 67:19	disruption 186:1	73:1,5 83:18
development 18:8 229:23 232:12		72:14 105:12	disseminated	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	direction 59:11,22			105:21,23 116:23
Devon 136:4,6	59:23 159:15	239:5 252:4	130:19	125:13,18,25
161:17 207:13	173:7 176:15	disclosing 33:8	disseminating	126:7,9,15 129:3
230:17	177:21 207:23	disclosure 19:8	146:15	139:4 151:22
difference 35:15	253:6,12,22	26:7 48:24 50:12	distinct 26:7	160:8 196:25
75:17 87:16	directions 12:2,7	53:25 54:12,14,15	138:16	201:2 204:25
164:11	62:5 140:11	55:4,17 56:4 63:1	distinction 14:16	208:20 239:4
different 26:4	directly 23:12	199:25 200:24	65:25 66:18 69:8	247:12 248:12
29:12,13 56:7,19	58:19 89:6 247:14	201:5 202:15	138:22	254:18
60:17,19,20,22,23	director 100:22	207:18	distribution 111:11	doing 5:1 6:1 19:3
67:6 73:22 74:2	101:1,4,6 124:9	discover 51:14	111:17	20:14 31:5 32:25
75:9,10,23 76:1	132:19 151:3,8,16	discrete 142:19	district 13:23	34:8 41:25 45:10
76:12,15 77:1,8	152:13 154:24	discretion 66:23	distrust 226:9	81:10 88:24
77:11,12,14 86:1	155:2,12 156:20	67:2,10 74:19	ditch 142:6 192:6	104:21 107:21
103:13 122:1	160:15,18 175:17	discretionary 80:1	192:10,17 193:15	111:4 112:15
130:7 135:18	176:13,19,22,22	discuss 4:4 142:20	194:9 195:8 202:5	129:4 131:22
140:3 148:15	177:6,19 186:25	150:15 163:22	202:22,25 203:22	133:4 139:15
169:9 187:23	187:2,2 191:24	168:15 222:14,23	ditching 201:20,25	142:9 170:3 184:1
200:21,22,23	211:1 212:21	discussed 56:1	205:23	204:10 215:5
201:11,13,15	231:5,10 236:20	133:3 134:24	diversion 118:11	218:5 221:15
203:4 204:12	237:1,4,12 243:11	150:22 153:1,25	divert 52:21	223:11 228:14
206:23 207:25,25	252:10	241:22 244:23	divide 243:23	237:15 239:5
218:18 227:12	directors 171:15	discussing 180:4,23	division 13:25	247:23
229:5 234:15	174:18,18 175:12	discussion 31:18,21	62:13,15 65:24	domain 245:14
243:20 246:25	175:14 176:17	32:7 33:15 34:6	document 26:4,5,6	dominant 252:23
256:11	177:9,15 190:5	34:20,24 39:7	26:7 29:1 55:12	door 42:19
differentiated	238:10 239:12	42:11 69:4 76:18	55:15 58:19 65:14	double 79:16
189:16	243:6,14 247:10	93:19 95:5 96:11	65:25 66:18 68:21	doubt 196:14 205:2
differentiation	247:22	154:7 156:22	90:6,7 93:18 94:7	206:4,13
138:14	disability 13:11	175:8 207:6 227:4	94:21 97:9 106:25	draft 95:2,5 188:3
differing 127:9	disagreeing 92:4	253:7	119:25 142:15	draw 32:15 69:8
difficult 154:21	172:15	discussions 53:7	144:3,10 162:3	167:12
difficulty 259:16	disallow 63:18	102:11 112:8	168:8 172:25	drawing 22:9
207.10	4154110 (1 U.J. 1 U	102.11 112.0	100.0 1/2.23	with 1111 5 22.7
L	1	1	·	1

drawn 44:21 46:1	181:19 182:3	effect 16:25 61:9	128:8 129:25	129:14 130:7
60:11 139:3 228:8	215:12,18,23	65:22 72:6 117:14	130:10,23,25	131:2 134:17
drive 221:6	219:11 223:20	119:1 189:23	131:9 132:4,8	141:21 157:3,9,15
drives 62:18	easiest 216:3	257:17	133:4,5,19 136:20	165:9,10 222:10
drop 109:15,22	easily 29:23 30:25	effective 113:13	139:16 141:2	230:5,10 231:8
112:20 113:7	34:15 219:1 220:6	183:23 214:20	145:7,9 147:13	232:21 235:5
114:9 123:2,16	east 90:9,14,19	effectively 14:6	148:23 150:17	Emanuel 2:7 10:9
134:22 245:6,25	98:25 99:25 100:5	15:20 23:5 130:19	154:22 155:8	11:9 49:8 50:9
246:10,21 254:3	100:21,23 101:2	142:24 145:11	156:6 157:12,19	51:1,8,25 52:12
dropped 67:9	100:21,23 101:2	149:20 153:14	157:23 158:13,24	52:13,25 53:18,19
189:25 190:24	104:4,23 106:4,13	191:20 214:7	159:22 160:2	54:1,8 66:25 68:5
225:10	104:4,23 100:4,13	218:7	161:4 162:5,6,21	Emanuel's 50:11
dropping 34:1	110:9,15,19	effects 201:13	163:2,11 164:16	51:15
245:12	111:18 112:11	203:3	165:4,9,15 166:6	embark 3:17
drops 113:20	113:20,22 114:2	efficient 189:23	167:7,15 168:3	emboldened 87:10
due 84:23 90:16	120:11 121:15	190:6,23	169:23 171:4,7,13	emerge 26:17
97:10 100:14	120:11 121:13	effort 3:8	174:17,20 175:7	emerged 200:16
duration 83:12	130:1,10 132:23	efforts 53:5	174.17,20 173.7	258:7
dynamics 88:13	135:8,23 136:18	eggs 207:5	178:8 182:7,10,11	Emmerson 147:21
216:20,24	137:4 143:23	eight 83:20	183:1 185:6 186:8	148:4 149:1
210.20,24	144:7 145:16,18	Eighteen 110:12	186:24 187:9,10	150:21 153:15
E	145:20 147:17	either 38:15 57:11	187:23 189:20	154:23 157:14,17
e 22:14	149:4,9 150:3	68:19 97:14	190:12 191:8	157:21 158:3,11
ear 116:17	156:20 157:6,7,23	140:11 150:22,23	190:12 191:8	158:15 159:8,13
earlier 3:13 8:3	165:6 166:3 167:2	151:19 170:6	192:14 193:22	159:20 161:8,10
10:14 27:15 64:18	168:18 171:6	172:2 175:17	205:17,18 210:16	161:15 232:11
72:14 84:5 105:1	177:19,24 178:4	181:17 185:9,11	212:6 213:5	Emmerson's 161:6
105:15 127:15	177:19,24 178:4	186:15 197:25	215:11 218:10,14	164:8
136:6 146:14	178.18 179.4,14	199:7 201:3 212:4	218:15 220:15,19	employee 13:10
148:10,11 157:9	181:9,25 183:3	242:2,5 257:11	222:19 227:18	employees 39:21
181:3 185:8 191:5	185:1 207:15	element 14:25 27:9	229:11,13 233:12	206:9,9
207:15 209:22	210:13 214:17	151:12 169:4	235:6,10,17 238:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
212:1,19 218:9	216:12 222:4,23	eligible 107:24	238:4,17 239:2,3	en 103.4 230.0,9 enable 48:5
230:10,18 236:18	223:16,19 224:7	elliptically 35:21	239:22 240:24,25	enclosed 50:12
243:16 248:20	224:11 225:16	email 2:8 88:1 90:8	243:1,13 247:3,14	encountering 245:4
253:9 254:20	226:4 230:2,4	90:23 91:6 102:9	248:9,13,20,25	encourage 20:11,18
earliest 93:18	232:11,19 253:12	104:23 106:12	249:6 252:18	101:13,22,25
early 99:24 146:25	252.11,19 253.12	104.23 100.12	emailed 102:12	133:11
168:19 171:8	easy 3:6	111:8,9,13,17,21	103:24 111:22	encouraged 16:13
212:14 237:7,10	eat 211:12 212:2	112:1,6,7 113:3	179:9 219:22	202:16
241:5 253:19	echo 62:9	115:1 118:14,24	emails 103:18	encouraging
earmarked 126:17	echoes 62:21	120:13 121:2	106:1,9 111:5	133:16
ears 195:1	economic 201:12	120:13 121:2	121:16,17 122:5	endeavour 259:21
earth 180:11	202:11	122.2,19,22,23	122:12 125:16	ended 201:3,20,25
easier 38:22 87:1	education 8:11	127:13,19,24	127:15 128:10	202:4 206:20,22
	caucation 0.11	121.13,17,27	127.13 120.10	202.7 200.20,22
	I	I	I	I

				1 480 272
207:22	170:14	68:24 85:13	134:24 135:7,8	27:2 42:3
endgame 192:21	environment 236:6	124:10 126:14	139:8 151:25	exchange 19:14
ends 14:21 75:4	epithets 52:7	128:1 177:1	153:3,11 155:25	21:21 31:3
119:24	equal 12:24	245:19	161:5 180:11,21	exchanges 19:18
engage 18:11 20:11	equally 101:25	events 51:21	186:2 195:7	exchanging 73:24
20:18	185:14	129:15	202:11,14 203:2	excise 59:16
engaged 16:8,8	equivalent 42:9	Eversheds 49:13	204:18 229:4	exclude 32:18
18:25 19:17	84:9	50:11 75:11	235:15 248:4	221:2
239:19	Erm 179:6	Eversheds' 143:6	254:17 256:6,8,9	excluded 4:18
engineer 253:11	eroded 66:3	everybody 59:24	254.17 250.0,8,9	13:24
S	errors 78:8	71:6 84:12 134:18	259:12 260:4	
England 20:24				excluding 68:19,25
90:9 99:1 100:1,5	escaped 68:10	190:9	evident 186:17	exclusion 12:9 14:4
100:18 188:14	escaping 226:7	evidence 2:3 4:12	209:17	80:8
197:1 206:18	ESPC 88:17	6:5 9:20 10:6	evidential 34:13	exclusive 216:1
enhance 253:1	especially 181:20	12:8,10,11,14	77:19	Excuse 104:13
enormously 232:10	182:4,21	13:24 14:4 15:15	evolving 95:2,5	executive 80:19
enquire 259:5	essence 65:21 183:2	16:16 17:11 18:16	exact 229:8	88:4 97:21 99:6
enquiry 13:15	essential 196:19	19:19 20:7,9,11	exactly 35:13 46:7	193:12 194:24
102:6 148:7	essentially 13:19	21:13,14,18 22:5	66:5 79:12 81:24	225:4
ensure 12:20 97:12	63:4 258:22	22:17 24:14 26:17	101:8 129:19	exercise 17:10
177:23	establish 31:4	28:18 29:19,21	161:19,19 163:19	67:14 68:15
ensuring 12:23,24	established 236:11	30:16,20,23 31:14	176:8,19 189:2	exercised 12:18
14:25	estate 22:8,9 41:11	32:4,16 34:17	196:4 198:13	exhaustive 71:5
enter 107:6,23	41:14 42:11,13,21	35:16 37:16 38:4	224:16 228:13	exhibited 6:12
108:2 151:4	43:17 86:7,17	38:16,17 39:18	234:18 240:19	72:24
234:14	87:13 88:14 89:25	45:17,18,19,21,24	245:23 251:4	exhibits 7:1
entered 242:1	90:8,13 95:18,21	46:18,20,21 47:4	252:14 253:15	exist 11:10 42:21
entering 34:24	96:1,3,9,15	49:14,17 53:2,3,6	examination	53:11 64:19 66:15
127:8	100:23 101:1	54:6 56:8,8,18,20	116:24 117:17	existed 52:23 136:4
entertain 70:25	102:12 103:19	56:20,20,22,22,25	examine 117:20	existence 51:1
entire 29:4	137:12 165:5	57:1 61:12,12,14	example 3:24 8:9,9	193:6 202:9
entirely 3:2 24:7	167:21 169:10	61:22 62:4,6,6,8		existing 76:2 104:9
26:9,12 33:10	170:8 172:8,19	62:19 63:11,11,15	47:12 57:5 73:18	104:22 105:2
47:21 52:18 58:1	173:23 174:3,5	64:7,10,14,18	77:5 78:11,15	106:12 108:17
65:9 71:14 72:1,6	183:7,12 197:11	65:3,6,10,23 66:8	87:22 88:23 102:5	164:12 178:23
74:1 79:13 168:10	198:10,19 203:21	66:12,22 67:2,8	127:8 134:6,16	expanded 111:17
184:24 201:15	204:3 236:2	67:11,16,23,24	135:17 152:4	expect 11:23 148:3
203:5,5 204:11	239:11	68:20 69:9,9,10	173:9 174:4	expectations 184:2
205:2,24 206:7,13	estimate 167:22	69:14,14,22,23	233:21	expected 172:12
207:19 227:15	et 76:5 149:14	70:18 71:7,9	examples 28:22	expecting 3:13
entirety 18:21	175:10 202:17	72:23,23 73:1,3,5	87:19 139:2 200:2	27:22 178:20
entitled 106:20	eve 136:5	73:6,10,22,23,25	200:25	expedited 199:24
entity 236:11	evening 187:9	74:7,7 78:1 80:4,9	exception 30:21	expeditiously 12:25
entry 230.11 entrapment 57:17	212:12 256:3	81:22 125:8	62:25	expensive 236:7
entry 27:14 115:4	event 20:16 48:12	128:23 129:8	excerpts 4:12 21:13	experience 229:1
CHU y 27.14 113.4	CVCHL 20.10 40.12	140.43 147.0	(ACC) pts 4.12 21.13	CAPELICITE 227.1
	I	I	I	I

				1 486 273
experts 17:8 117:12	extraordinary 2:11	177:9 188:23	family 18:5 183:12	201:22
expires 234:17	146:13,19	189:19 200:22	183:13	files 28:15 29:9
explain 5:22 25:17	extreme 14:4,9	201:7,11,22 203:8	fanciful 227:15	50:13 54:9,24
37:25 70:23	47:12 90:25 91:14	205:10 209:12	fantastic 204:23	55:19
180:12 200:19	extremely 65:10	229:1,8 234:16	far 10:16 20:23	fill 55:25
232:6	70:18	256:9 257:22	30:3 41:3 47:23	film 13:18
explained 60:21	eye 90:17 115:21	factor 17:15 20:5	63:14 70:25 77:18	Filming 13:20
127:3 226:19		46:12 69:25 80:11	85:10 103:21	filters 207:7
227:5	F	80:13 169:10	119:5,22 127:4	final 153:8 176:11
explaining 88:13	f 12:6,14	facts 13:8 14:4 17:3	131:3 152:25	194:19 198:14
169:7 193:13	F1 30:7 32:23,25	50:16 74:13 200:8	160:2 177:18	209:1,8 218:12
explains 52:16	33:4,22,25 34:19	factual 83:2	198:6,7 204:25	227:6 238:1
55:23 71:14	34:24 35:1 36:4	fade 194:4	223:1 226:3 259:1	243:15 247:6
explanation 2:12	36:12,14 37:9	fair 3:2 25:16 41:13	Farrell 2:8	249:13
24:2 245:19	48:23 57:19,20	43:10 47:10,21	fast 3:2	finalising 241:4
explore 52:4,17	58:4 64:10,15,16	60:7,9 71:20	faster 190:10	finally 6:9 7:11
83:1	Fabric 190:14	79:13 91:11,21	fault 187:19 205:2	20:6 42:1 44:10
explored 85:23	191:18,19 231:21	100:25 122:3	206:13	78:4
exploring 87:6	235:25	143:7 156:4	favour 17:11 69:7	Finance 96:6
expose 241:6	face 30:16 34:11	167:10,15 168:10	188:23	financial 171:24
express 182:7	63:19 68:4 75:5	202:13,13 222:2	favouring 158:7	financially 226:19
207:9	164:22	fairest 45:24	favourite 162:7	find 67:8 104:19
expressed 35:9	face-to-face 21:18	fairly 5:18 6:11	feature 55:15 130:3	105:14 159:18
182:5 183:17,19	252:2,8	12:25 14:9 22:2	February 2:1 6:25	196:3 245:19
190:4 193:1	facilitate 101:13,21	23:15 34:4 36:7	7:3 14:19 31:19	finding 228:23
expresses 67:6	101:25	38:10,24 40:9	39:2,5 75:12	229:3
186:10	facilitated 202:16	42:6 43:6 45:11	187:5 192:23	finds 62:9 160:9
expressing 121:9	facilitating 107:8	45:14 46:20 47:20	235:18 258:20	fine 181:15 243:18
133:17 192:7,9,12	facing 219:23	70:13,17 79:19	feedback 110:20	247:5 255:19
192:13,16	fact 16:21 18:23	80:17 85:14 126:6	feel 213:8 242:10	finger 84:7
expression 50:3	21:1 22:20 33:4,4	126:8 146:25	feeling 117:3	finish 2:18 36:25
expressly 107:3	33:6 34:4 36:6	196:16 203:21	187:22	48:1 115:24,25
177:25 223:22	41:15 51:14 53:11	216:11 226:13	feels 115:21	182:10 220:13
extending 117:17	63:19 71:20 73:16	229:1	fell 54:14 72:17,18	246:13 259:12,14
extending 117.17 extent 18:11,22	75:8,19 78:12	fairness 13:3 15:16	fellow 35:7	finished 82:23 93:3
19:6 37:25 38:1	84:6 85:7 93:13	16:16 24:22	felt 152:3 204:23	104:13 119:6
38:14 50:18 77:2	102:17 105:23	fait 217:16	female 9:12	185:1 205:14,17
77:20 171:3	111:13 113:12	fall 17:20,21 45:7	fiddled 28:15	205:18 210:10,12
200:19 239:21	119:19 121:4	63:1 200:3	field 34:2,2 118:21	257:4
external 55:22	127:12 132:23	false 214:19	fifth 55:13 142:17	finishes 3:17 64:3
Externally 219:15	134:20 136:8	familiar 122:18	162:9	141:5
extra 108:8	144:25 148:22	141:13 205:21	figure 88:3 201:19	finishing 103:8
extra 108.8 extract 27:16 62:3	153:17 159:22	familiarise 3:20	202:7 231:9	141:20 226:11,24
extract 27.10 02.3 extracts 2:11 3:13	160:4 162:3	familiarised 3:15	figured 77:17	247:3
3:15,21 4:17	163:19 176:19	familiarity 56:1	file 29:12,13,14	firm 88:4,24 90:13
3.13,414.1/	100.17 170.17	1 ammai ily 30.1	me 49.14,13,14	III III 00.4,24 90.13
	I	l	I	I

124:25 131:12	259:18,22	formal 65:2 66:2	10:18,20,25 24:25	71:16 72:20 73:5
222:14 244:8	five-year 216:21	85:13 114:3,6	25:6,12 31:2,11	73:12 76:8 79:23
246:7	fixed 208:19	formalised 229:16	33:10,14,20 48:14	104:11,15,24,25
firmament 243:11	flagging 245:16	formally 84:15	57:21,24 76:6,17	106:14,18 107:1
firmly 66:13	flexibility 116:19	formed 95:8 98:10	76:23 77:11,17	108:11 111:1
firms 84:15 92:15	flick 150:10	101:12,16 105:2	78:3 79:14 82:4,6	113:18 118:8,16
92:16,22 93:6	Flint 236:23 240:25	142:20 147:17	86:24 87:8 99:2,4	120:20,21 132:4,7
94:13,24 110:21	flooding 181:18	231:6 232:7	99:9,15,20 116:10	149:13 151:9
110:22 123:7	185:10 214:13	forms 137:12 180:3	126:18 170:7	153:7 164:6
175:25 210:6	flourish 42:16	204:12	202:8 203:2 208:7	165:23 169:7
218:19 219:1	focus 188:12 233:3	formulating 254:10	209:7 225:24	175:14,18 192:1
220:6 244:20	233:15	formulation 87:7	226:11,24 227:2,4	212:9 248:8 250:7
246:14 247:25	focussing 31:16	forth 77:24 108:6	227:24 228:1	259:25
first 5:22 6:1 9:12	Folkard 237:4	fortifies 246:17	Freeman's 117:9	furthering 159:6
9:16 14:3 17:17	follow 167:22	forum 143:22	frequently 252:3,9	future 21:22 108:2
25:6,8,12,16,18	followed 88:20	144:6 145:19	fresh 98:4	235:14
39:4 45:15 49:8	205:16 235:5	forward 109:21	Friday 182:8	FYI 154:23
51:9,17 52:15,19	following 49:22	112:13 113:19,22	210:25	
53:10,17,20 54:8	51:4 54:23,24	114:3,19 118:15	friend 13:6 23:16	G
60:17 61:11 63:9	84:25 88:24 92:21	132:19 144:13	33:5 47:23 57:14	G 107:16
70:13 76:13 77:5	96:4 110:21 112:8	150:19 173:5	57:18 198:1	gain 186:20 221:7
81:1,4 83:7 84:17	196:17 199:10,12	176:12 177:20	258:10	gained 88:21
87:10 88:16,17	222:12,24 226:23	191:3 194:14	friend's 105:19	gap 24:21 27:7
89:4 100:21	260:3	forwarded 2:8	fringe 15:8	45:18 78:13
103:17 104:18	follows 92:8 123:4	111:13 127:18	front 124:5,6	gaps 27:9 28:11,12
105:5,7,11 136:1	191:25 251:6	forwarding 239:3	128:11	28:23 46:11 55:25
143:5 147:8	footing 12:24	Foster 131:12	full 5:2 6:17 11:16	Gascoigne 1:14,14
148:24 150:12	footsteps 88:20	141:24	11:20,20 37:7	5:12 60:23 77:15
160:1 163:15	force 6:6 17:13	found 99:20 228:3	38:14 50:8 71:11	84:10 137:11
169:14 172:5	39:1 45:2 65:21	243:10 245:21	71:19 75:1	geared 192:1
177:13 179:12	66:3,16 113:13	257:21	fuller 52:11	general 21:7 87:14
193:16,25,25	forcefully 70:17	founder 176:22	fully 160:15 217:16	200:3
213:12,24 217:25	forensic 116:24	187:2 191:24	248:2 254:4	generally 74:5,19
221:2 226:20	207:16	236:20 237:1,8	function 250:23	100:3 107:19
227:4 228:7	forget 116:12	238:9 239:12	functioning 86:11	generated 200:24
229:10 234:3	189:14	243:5 244:1,15	231:1	generation 194:25
242:16 256:21	forgive 107:5	founding 99:7,15	funding 258:8,24	generous 116:2
Firstly 125:13	164:11 192:20	four 6:18,20 46:15	funnily 82:23	gentleman 248:14
fit 18:23 39:16	225:20	89:17 108:20	furnished 258:25	gentlemen 90:12
45:22	forgotten 140:21	152:21 164:9	further 12:21 19:8	254:4
five 2:18,21 3:1,3	225:20	fourth 50:3 92:11	30:15 33:7 37:6	genuinely 28:4
3:10 5:7 46:15	form 10:12 61:17	97:21	44:1,3,16 47:3	228:6 247:4
48:16 82:16 89:17	83:14 84:25 98:3	Fraser 89:5	48:8 50:11 53:9	geographical 76:23
115:13 126:16	134:13 197:17	free 213:8	54:5 58:3 59:22	206:16
195:13,22 223:9	199:3 249:23	Freeman 1:9 8:23	59:23 68:17 70:10	gestation 99:24

				1 480 273
getting 12:3 36:18	245:8,9	goal 44:6,8	185:6,7 186:16	132:18 134:7,8,14
72:11 85:25 91:3	glad 102:16 232:17	goes 14:4 16:4,11	187:10 189:12	136:14 137:1,4,7
91:13 110:18	Glasgow 42:10	16:14 18:21 19:22	190:10 194:4	137:7,11,13,14
122:5 137:25	Glentree 209:16	22:1,5,19 34:16	199:7 202:15	138:3,10 139:17
138:6 150:17	210:7 231:24	41:10 43:21 46:22	204:15 205:7	139:18 144:18,22
158:15 161:10,13	glitching 29:16	67:10 75:25 76:23	213:4,7 236:18	146:24 147:1,2,17
161:23 171:18	global 139:10	85:4 89:3 111:21	240:2 242:12	148:12 149:23
213:2 219:16	O			
	glutton 257:1	112:17 113:6,11	244:15 246:8,10	150:3,8 152:15
228:22 249:10	go 5:8 18:19 23:11	113:18 118:16	247:8 248:1,20	156:19 159:2,15
GHL 10:12,16,17	27:19 28:5 29:15	119:4,22 142:5	249:10,15 250:9	159:25 160:13,18
20:14,14	30:11 33:7 34:5	150:9 166:8 169:3	252:16 258:24	160:22 161:11,16
gist 64:22 133:5	38:25 39:10,12	170:18 174:9	259:8	163:13,14,19,24
give 12:1,7 13:11	40:4,18 46:19,21	181:15 204:18	gold 107:16 217:25	167:1,2,14 172:8
15:15 16:25 32:21	47:15 48:9 49:1	215:24 240:13	good 32:8 64:25	176:25 179:14,15
35:18 53:2,3 56:4	65:13 66:8 69:2	252:20	82:21 92:6,11,12	179:18 180:6,9,18
69:22 71:18 85:4	73:2 77:2,2 84:5	going 3:4 5:22 6:24	110:17,25 111:8	181:24 182:2
126:3 128:3,7	90:22 93:24 95:11	7:2,6,19 13:5,6	113:12 115:11	183:3,8 184:1
137:19 140:11	97:20 105:21	14:8,24 15:2	120:9 125:23	190:16,18 191:15
143:10 144:21	111:4,6 113:14	18:14,16 19:4,5	135:17 158:4	191:19,22 192:8
150:2 160:6 173:9	115:23 117:3,6	20:1,9 23:15	198:6,7 208:2	192:17 195:8,11
175:14 176:14,17	121:16 124:3	24:17 26:14,19	211:5 219:25	201:6,25 202:2,4
177:21 179:1	125:20 127:13	27:25 30:11,15	223:1	202:17,20,21,25
184:5,12 218:7	128:10 129:3	34:25 38:2,4 39:5	governing 62:20	211:8 212:20,23
219:6,9,13 244:7	132:5 136:23	39:6,7 44:1,15	gradual 132:14	213:1,16,16 214:5
244:19 245:1	142:10 148:23	49:2 56:14 57:17	grandmother 207:5	214:6,17,22 215:1
246:6,6 247:12	152:25 153:12,20	58:18 70:16 71:8	grateful 60:12	215:3,6,6 217:15
251:24 255:2	157:18 158:6,9	73:19 75:4 81:15	118:6 139:6 205:6	219:4 220:3,10
given 11:20 17:12	163:16 167:22	87:20 90:5,11	256:17	224:22 225:16
20:21 24:19 37:1	168:17 172:20	91:9 93:21 95:3	gravity 17:2	230:2,4,16,21
45:8 47:14 52:10	176:25 177:24	96:11 97:9,10	great 34:1 40:16	231:1,6,9,21
55:5 67:19,21	184:12 188:18	105:7 111:25	61:6 75:18 202:14	232:5,12,13,17,19
71:11 81:21 123:7	189:10,11,11	114:12 121:1	253:23	233:5 235:15,21
125:9 159:14	190:12 192:3	124:4,15 126:5	ground 13:22 14:5	236:9 237:15,25
172:18,23 185:15	193:5 196:17	130:1,2,5 135:17	39:14 98:4,7	238:9,10 239:25
186:5 189:9,17	199:10 211:15,16	137:19 139:4,11	202:7	240:1,2,8,17,19
200:5 218:9 219:8	211:19,22 212:7	139:12,19 141:1	group 21:19 82:5	240:20,21 242:20
219:9 221:21	213:7 215:11	141:21 144:19	89:23 91:12,24	243:3 244:5
244:25 252:22		148:18 150:19	,	
	216:2,6,19 217:5		92:3,8,12 93:7,9	245:10,17,23,24
256:18	218:16 222:1,3,5	151:4 152:21	99:7 100:8,16	246:16 247:22
gives 39:4 40:8	231:16 233:11	155:23 156:21	104:4,4 106:12	249:10 250:6,9,10
50:23 202:19	237:20 240:16	157:7 161:24	107:6 108:23	250:15,16,24
224:2	241:19 242:17	164:3 173:2	113:1,3,9 121:14	251:1,7,14,22,23
giving 4:12 43:4	245:1 248:19	175:10 176:9	121:25 122:14	252:22,22 253:4,5
49:14 56:21 62:4	249:14 252:2	177:24 179:17	127:14,21 129:16	253:12,20 254:2
113:4 152:22	256:20	182:11 184:25	130:25 132:2,16	grouping 88:4,4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 270
90:18 92:24 98:25	132:15 137:9	146:10 150:25	162:16,18 168:6	248:16
99:14,25 100:4,8	growth 133:11	154:10,18 155:22	168:10 173:15,20	Helen 151:19 154:3
100:17 102:18	159:7	157:25 162:4	173:21,25 174:1,2	154:14 155:10
104:22 112:11,21	guarantee 236:14	173:8 193:21	174:13,15 184:24	156:6 165:23
113:21 114:2	guess 8:21,24 113:4	happened 20:16,17	185:5 187:22	233:13 248:10
122:6 129:20	154:14	21:2 38:12 52:24	195:12,17 196:1,8	help 16:6 26:19
133:18 134:13	guidance 171:23	75:13 139:5	196:11,21 197:5	55:22 64:17 68:16
136:4,18,19 142:7	172:1	158:22 173:8,9	197:20,22 198:6,8	125:19 192:1
145:16 164:12	guided 33:19	191:2 193:18	198:14,17 199:11	231:15 255:3
174:24 175:5	guiden 33.19 guiding 85:25	happening 245:11	198.14,17 199.11	helped 193:7
174.24 173.3	guiding 85.25 guys 44:6	246:22	201:9,17 202:13	helpful 30:6 31:4
170.12 177.3,20	guys 44.0	happens 3:20 55:21	203:16 204:6,8,21	49:3 80:5 81:20
177:24 178.17	H	157:14,25 158:12	205:6,9,14,22	82:10 103:14
181:9 191:11,16	H1 124:2	160:10 201:20	206:24 207:3,6	104:19 131:16
192:7 193:14	H5 124:2	203:10 222:16	208:3,4,7,9,13,25	241:13 258:3,25
192.7 193.14	H5/2578 197:6	happy 87:3 133:21	208.3,4,7,9,13,23	helping 104:2
221:11,12,16	H7 228:1	184:25 213:4	227:1,3,18,25	137:9
224:18 225:15	H7/3992 197:6	214:21 222:25	228:2 235:2 239:4	Henning 90:11
228:24 235:4	H8/4463A 173:18	239:20	239:8 240:23	104:9 108:12
239:9 246:11,19	half 4:13 44:18,18	hard 17:23 44:20	241:11,13,16,18	110:8,15,19
249:14 250:8	51:17 52:15	44:23 56:13 116:5	242:10 248:3	111:23 120:8
252:20	117:11,19 189:10	117:5 122:15	255:3,6,8,9,11,19	121:7,20,22,23
groupings 86:7	189:11 231:20	166:7	256:4,5,15 258:4	122:10,21 123:17
101:12,21 102:1	halfway 42:22	harder 185:22	258:6 259:5,8,25	127:18 128:11
105:2,3 107:9	44:10	186:19 189:13	260:6	134:9 148:11
133:22 134:20	Halman 1:14,14	harm 22:21 129:18	Harris's 79:25	157:22 158:2
135:8 139:10,13	5:13 60:23 77:15	255:16	hasten 253:2	162:9 212:24
139:21 142:13	84:10 100:20	Harris 1:19 2:4	Hayward 237:5	216:23 218:9
200:20 201:24	137:11	4:10,17 5:4,12	Head 233:1	220:15,22,25
207:22 208:1	hand 13:7,10 52:8	63:7,12 66:19	heading 26:3 199:4	221:19 231:13
groups 86:1 90:4,5	66:21,22 80:7,25	67:22 68:21 69:12	233:12	248:14
91:23 95:18,21	124:3 189:18	69:19,22,24 70:3	headnote 13:9	Henning's 120:16
100:12 101:15	224:13 236:8	70:9 81:6 82:1,2,4	hear 65:14 71:21	Henry 10:2
104:24 134:25	259:11	82:5,13,18,20,21	83:3 139:1 226:20	herring 20:20
135:3,22,24 136:8	handed 13:8 83:5	86:24 87:2,9 97:8	228:7	Hi 145:14 154:2,22
136:16 137:25	253:8	97:9 99:3,8,13,17	heard 11:10 18:4	155:4 158:4 223:8
138:5,24 142:19	handling 57:11	99:22 105:9,12	24:5 43:21 59:25	223:17
142:20,25 143:15	hands 35:19,20	106:10 108:9	86:10	hidden 13:17 15:1
143:25 144:11	36:9 41:23 81:13	115:10,17 116:9	hearing 4:23 54:5	hiding 34:4
148:20 180:23	151:24 184:24	116:16,17 117:25	hearsay 56:20	highlight 91:6
189:9 201:23	219:16	118:7,11 125:20	72:23 73:14,18,22	highlighted 19:10
202:3 228:23	hang 221:19 254:2	126:13 127:12	74:3,3,11,18	25:25
229:20 232:6,13	happen 20:3 24:3	135:12,13 138:12	heavily 69:7 166:11	highlights 42:2
233:3	55:11 59:19	138:22 139:9,15	heavy 24:11	165:20
growing 104:3	143:24 144:11	140:3,18 162:14	held 17:18 236:15	highly 15:17

165:21	118:11 256:20	impacting 44:22	132:18 149:22	244:22 245:9
him/them 245:13	258:5,6 260:8	impacting 44.22 impairment 25:1	152:18 149:22	254:6
hit 44:15	hugely 46:12	implement 141:10	160:3 172:17	individual's 8:23
Hodgeson 176:20	Hume 16:18	177:16	177:25 203:10	individually 27:3
Hodgson 177:20	Hutchinson 130:11	implementing	205:23 217:21	124:25 131:7
243:6,8,11 249:15		177:10	231:18 238:9	161:21 219:3
2 2	131:8,11 141:5			
249:17,20,24	Hutchinson's 141:2	implicated 129:6	239:11 258:8	221:8 233:16
250:1,8 251:9	hypothetical	206:11	incomplete 11:18	individuals 8:21
252:19 253:17	226:15 227:9	implying 121:24	inconsistent 125:8	129:17 230:21
Hodgsons 243:10	T	247:19	inconvenience	indulgence 256:18
hold 45:3 113:15	Ian 82:19 122:8	important 10:3	259:19	inevitably 167:2
181:19 182:3		32:14 40:9 66:2	increase 237:21	infer 173:13
holding 182:12	145:14 148:25	70:2 79:25 87:12	240:12	inference 41:13
holds 16:20 45:4	223:8 229:11	133:15 204:24	incriminating	43:10 44:21 79:6
hole 39:7 43:15	249:6 250:2 260:5	239:10	151:25 153:11	79:9 123:13
44:11,16 89:4	idea 35:24 40:9	importantly 193:8	248:4	167:15 173:15
110:5 132:10	113:12 146:20	impossible 51:19	independence 7:15	inferences 46:1
154:2 194:1 209:9	163:16 211:5	70:19 176:1	independent 98:2	73:8
229:10	213:12,24 219:24	impression 29:17	99:5 100:23 123:8	inferring 26:23
holiday 158:4	219:25 227:15	improper 14:10	134:5 137:12	infers 119:8 157:14
Holmes 1:17 2:20	ideal 24:14 215:25	20:12	147:5 148:21	158:10,19 167:13
home 13:16,19 14:8	idem 179:12	improperly 61:11	151:5 152:10,23	238:19,22
14:14,18 17:19	identified 8:6 21:6	209:13	152:24 156:1	inflated 184:3
homeworkers	41:18 74:20	impugning 33:14	160:19,23 218:3	influence 172:2,8
42:13	102:10	197:22	228:12	172:18,22,24
Hon)and 1:9	identifies 199:4	inaccuracies 25:12	independently	173:3 195:7 214:4
honest 241:8	identifying 64:19	inaudible 12:16	102:12 232:7	214:16,18,21
Honour 257:2	identity 30:7,8	20:1 22:10 23:12	indicate 82:14	215:5 235:14
hope 40:25 138:22	36:12,12,20 45:5	incentives 88:18	indicated 18:19	246:11,20 252:19
192:20 222:21	48:22 57:14 69:5	incentivise 159:11	46:20 68:16	253:4,22
259:18	86:8,16 87:11	inches 33:25	indicating 245:10	influences 41:7
hoped 40:2	170:6 257:6,10,14		indication 39:4	influencing 211:14
hopefully 139:15	ignores 27:18	83:14	203:21	influential 174:19
163:22 233:22	ii 198:14	inclined 203:22	indicative 43:11	236:1 239:10
hoping 3:12	illegal 123:22 125:5	include 65:17	indispensability	inform 147:3
horizontal 195:25	170:23,25 202:4	included 15:9	77:23	informal 135:24
196:5,10 197:23	203:6,9 204:19	188:11 200:6,7	indisputable 73:2	information 19:13
227:13	217:13 221:24	includes 29:24	individual 8:18,22	19:17 21:22 31:3
hot 116:7,10 117:9	Illustrating 79:11	100:18 135:22	106:8 125:6 127:2	48:8 50:20 55:1
117:13,16	illustration 232:10	207:10	129:5 131:6 142:9	56:9 61:15 65:18
hour 4:13 115:19	immediate 212:4	including 2:9 3:23	146:16 202:21	68:6 72:13 76:8
231:20	immediately	36:20 46:10 60:4	219:1 220:2,6	83:11 108:5
hours 72:12	225:11	62:25 89:11	221:5 223:21,25	111:20 112:10
House 1:3	impact 44:14,23	108:11,24 110:9	228:24 229:3	136:5 143:11
housekeeping	45:12 202:10	110:16 117:5	230:20,24 232:5	151:9 158:21
nousekeeping	.5.12 202.10	110.10 11/.3	230.20,24 232.3	131.7 130.41
	I	<u> </u>	I	l

				1 age 270
175:18 179:22	92:24 200:13	introduced 7:25	75:9,18,19 76:15	James' 242:14
194:16,23 195:3	248:2	9:15 71:7	77:1,9,12,16,17	January 11:9 50:12
209:12,20 225:10	intending 80:14	introducing 139:9	83:1,3,13,25	51:7 53:9,12,16
244:7,14,19 246:7	106:11 121:25	introduction	84:16,19 88:9	95:8 98:10 230:9
258:14,25	134:11,13	247:21	138:8,10 229:18	Jarman 237:12
infringement 13:23	intensely 80:2	invasion 14:7,14	233:12,17 234:1	job 164:8,15
17:23	intent 108:6 220:11	invest 96:21	Irish 9:13 21:3,5	167:18 189:12
initial 46:18 81:2	intentions 19:18	invested 96:19 98:1	76:9 77:3,20 84:7	206:7
88:21 184:2 201:5	21:22 73:21,25	invested 90.19 98.1	85:16	John 167:1
initially 25:18	200:12 244:8,20	investigation 71:19	irrelevant 18:25	join 90:1 91:23
120:13	interact 232:23	investigation 71.19	19:1 20:3 63:9	93:1 97:5 98:11
initiative 50:25	interchange 35:15	51:4 71:5	irrespective 45:4	98:14,20 99:12
injury 13:10,10	119:18	invite 49:6 103:20	214:2	101:14 109:9
input 55:24	interest 41:11	104:9 200:11	issue 5:17,19 6:10	122:23 123:10,15
input 55:24 insertion 30:1	94:14 103:23	210:13 242:15	,	122:23 123:10,13
insertion 30:1 inside 232:4			6:21 12:16,22	
	107:21 183:22	invited 102:13	13:13,13 17:7	128:4 131:21
insignificant	interested 19:10	159:21 160:5	18:1 23:10 24:22	135:4 138:1,7,25
129:17	33:5 159:9 187:12	229:25	31:16 32:12,13	144:18 150:3,5
insofar 9:10 37:14	244:4	inviting 93:11	35:4 45:11 74:13	198:3 200:13
inspected 56:15	interesting 210:21	106:9	75:16 76:17,20	202:20 214:23
63:3	227:14 238:24	involved 14:14 38:8	77:25 78:1 107:9	222:15
inspection 50:13	Interestingly	68:8 80:2 125:6	107:10 144:16	joined 89:7 108:8
54:1,17 55:5 56:5	151:18	129:13,18 136:17	146:9 150:2 176:4	113:25 150:8
66:1	interests 17:10	138:9 147:21	205:11 227:11	189:1 230:7
instance 8:8 19:17	18:24 33:16 41:11	148:18,20 149:14	issues 3:22 6:6	joining 91:13 97:12
28:7 29:24 30:6	91:21 92:16 93:7	157:5,12 161:8	10:10,11 12:7,12	101:23 103:23
34:22 37:8 148:24	97:13 137:9	164:8 183:25	12:21 13:2 17:13	109:1 134:21
210:5	interim 238:23	197:22 217:15	20:21 22:1,4	189:10 228:17
instant 186:15	internal 40:10	232:15 238:2,3	23:13 26:13,20	joint 92:14,19,21
instantly 118:20	167:7 169:23	239:20	36:10 37:19 55:1	92:22,24 93:5
178:22	171:11 184:10	involvement 18:7	56:1 60:15 62:5,7	94:12,23 95:14,17
instruct 17:8	203:23	51:13,21 53:17	113:5 142:20	95:20 96:1,7,8,11
instruction 34:23	internally 39:6	70:21 72:8 100:14	145:14 146:8,18	96:13 101:14,22
instructions 4:22	165:5 167:8	129:20 163:9	147:3,11,14,24	111:23 127:8
36:22 48:6,12	interpret 37:15	177:18 232:20	148:17 217:16	149:16,21 150:2
140:6,10 258:11	interpretation	involving 13:10	233:19	239:19 246:3
instructs 2:8	34:16	51:2,11 92:14	item 155:5 167:12	jointly 96:17,21
instrumental 100:7	interprets 154:1	93:5 94:12,23	168:17 170:11,12	Jonathan 111:8
171:16,19,22	interrupt 27:17	157:4 233:4	170:17,19,22	120:9
insurers 13:16 14:6	48:3	ire 79:25 82:2,4,6	173:25	Jones 13:7 14:17
16:11	interruption 47:25	Ireland 21:8 32:3	items 5:4 166:8	15:11 20:7 21:2
intact 230:17	intervening 239:2	38:8 39:15 40:13	iterations 93:19	147:2 152:14
intelligent 49:24	intervention 181:2	48:15 51:2,10	т	247:15 249:16,21
intend 81:5	intrinsic 9:20 30:23	53:12 55:23 60:18	<u>J</u>	251:24 252:11
intended 82:9	32:4 39:18 44:12	60:20,21,24 61:8	James 84:11	254:1

Jones's 252:22	123:24 124:3	157:4 179:17,19	234:1 236:23	68:11 100:13
JOSH 1:17	155:4 168:1 209:3	179:20,24 180:9	237:25 238:8,24	106:2 239:9
journey 201:9	209:11 225:3,9	180:10,22 181:23	239:17 240:1,9,18	259:18
judge 13:24,24	245:25	185:20 213:15,16	244:21 245:3	lateness 74:22
15:18 24:18 46:5	keeping 34:9 57:4	213:19 219:10	258:2,20	latitude 234:24
46:7,9	258:18	239:9	knowing 41:15	launch 41:24 61:5
judge's 15:20	Keith 90:10 102:11	knock-on 117:14	79:10,11 106:11	61:7 101:10 103:4
Julie 146:9 147:20	183:10	know 4:8 5:6 11:11	179:13 182:12	133:13 137:10
148:4,25 149:1,7	Kent 136:14	15:2 22:22 26:25	knowledge 11:16	166:15,20 169:15
149:12 150:15,21	kept 15:1	31:5 32:25 33:20	11:18,20,21 13:18	169:17 170:10
151:19 154:3,11	Kerr 9:10 23:23	36:6,11,14,17	50:18,19,19 79:17	175:22 176:2
154:14,17,22,23	24:24 30:22 34:22	37:1 38:16 39:10	79:21 110:24	187:6 191:1
155:10 157:21	37:12 43:9 45:4	41:16 47:7 48:13	129:21 131:11	214:20 225:11
158:3,11,15 159:8	45:21 46:16,25	48:23 50:8 53:18	147:7 250:7	233:3 234:11
159:13 161:6,8,10	88:7	56:19 57:5,7,7,8	known 51:23,24	244:10 250:5
161:15 232:11,19	Kerr's 47:8	57:14,16,19 61:13	99:17 194:16	launched 39:15
Julie's 164:7,15	key 98:19 120:21	61:20 63:21,21,23	201:10 235:16,20	166:22 193:18
July 88:2 91:6	120:23 121:8	63:24,24,25 64:2	235:23	217:2 247:20
110:12 111:15	201:19 216:20,25	64:15 71:18 73:22	knows 10:16 38:22	launching 40:21
113:24 122:8	217:1 229:3 230:4	74:25 75:14 79:20	38:23,24 45:16	law 11:24 21:5
153:5 254:21	230:20,24 231:22	82:24 84:22 88:5	70:4 126:9 166:20	61:10 124:24
jump 56:13	keys 228:22	97:24 98:25 99:25	167:8 255:19,24	148:18 156:13
junction 62:13	kill 41:21	104:9 105:16,22	-L	159:8 251:10
June 6:25 7:11	kind 19:22,24	112:15 114:12,12	lack 29:25 223:12	252:24 253:21
31:20 42:1 143:21	37:19 45:1 71:1	115:10 119:21	lady 23:22 32:25	lawfulness 6:3
144:5,6 145:11	134:3 148:19	121:23 126:13,14	LANDERS 1:9	20:19,22 21:11
146:13 147:13,24	151:4 173:2 184:1	126:21 134:8	11:1,6 29:3	lawyer 248:14
156:18 157:24	228:25 231:18	136:22 138:5	landscape 170:4	lawyers 118:12
158:1 159:3 162:6	254:9	141:24 144:8	language 222:1	lay 90:25 91:15
165:11 230:11 248:10	kinds 110:22 Kingdom 206:18	146:17 147:12,23 148:3,4,8,18	lap 54:12	205:3 lead 188:24 228:24
junior 148:6,7	Kinleigh 237:4	149:21 155:15,24	lapsed 76:10	250:4
jurisdiction 61:21	knew 35:11 70:25	156:3,13,25 157:1	large 8:2 24:12	lead/coordinate
justice 1:8 13:1,2	75:23 89:22	158:1,20 160:12	27:7 88:4 92:14	230:21
15:16,24 16:2,6	101:11,25 102:4	161:1,14 163:8,9	92:22 93:5 94:13	leadership 229:1
17:11 19:3	108:12,22 109:13	171:9,10,12,14	94:23 102:18	231:19
justified 14:10	109:20,21 112:21	173:2 174:4,5	104:23 121:25	leading 99:5 100:22
justly 12:20	113:21 114:1,4,10	180:1,7 181:8	127:15 227:20	101:1 102:10
	114:18 118:19	184:9 188:16	largely 94:7	125:21 149:12
K	121:14,17,19,21	195:1 196:11	largest 186:12	152:14 175:9
keen 176:2 177:22	121:24 125:9	202:16,18 205:4	210:6	212:20 244:22
178:3,6 207:9	135:8 136:10,14	207:8 209:15	lasted 101:7	248:7
237:9 241:24	137:1,4,11,25	210:21 212:21	lasting 234:19	leap 81:15
251:15	143:24 144:3,5,10	217:19 225:1,5,15	late 6:7 10:16 45:8	learn 50:4 51:3
keep 96:24 115:21	144:13 156:19	225:21 226:2,3	46:24 47:18 52:9	learned 13:6 23:16

				1 age 200
47:23 57:14,18	179:3 184:3 256:2	115:4 123:4	35:15 58:3 61:10	32:21 34:18 36:1
105:18 125:21	letter 2:7,10,14,15	166:10 168:23	69:19 71:23 77:10	46:9 47:9 57:20
198:1 258:10	2:18,19,21 6:8	170:12 172:4	81:12 86:14 116:2	58:4 65:14 66:7
learnt 136:9 202:14	10:8,14,22 11:8	177:2,13 178:11	116:15,17 117:3	86:22 97:20 98:16
258:13	11:17 49:8 50:9	181:19 182:3	135:12 147:19	103:1 105:7
leave 21:9 37:4	50:11 51:15 52:1	188:18 193:16	166:7 203:19	109:25,25 122:4
46:5 121:3 150:16	52:8,12,13,25	226:12,24 228:10	205:1,15 220:13	124:4 126:11
150:21 153:18,24	53:19 54:1 66:25	236:24 242:24	235:5 258:16	130:21 132:4,7,10
183:5 194:2	68:5 71:25 72:8	248:5 249:23	live 39:10,11	137:21 141:20
199:15 201:4,6	72:11 75:11,25	lines 27:8 39:4 44:4	Livesey 200:5	143:2,3 144:2,2,9
211:15 224:23	76:6 83:8,24 84:4	94:16 255:10	Livesey's 258:7	145:7 152:5
225:17 230:16	84:23 108:6 124:8	link 146:1,25 156:7	LK 42:5	163:11,15 164:6
250:16 253:13	125:13,15,15	linked 164:13	local 86:1,7 90:4,5	166:24 176:7
leaves 53:21 188:20	258:10,11,13,14	list 86:9,16 87:12	91:2 103:18	186:23 187:8,10
leaving 2:6 26:12	258:19,20	88:17 89:18 102:8	132:24 149:3,8	193:21,23 208:17
31:15 170:13	letters 127:10	102:13,16,17,21	184:23 216:12	221:18 222:16
197:3 200:3	letting 17:11 37:16	103:15,17,18,18	226:4,10 229:17	229:9 230:23
203:14 206:21	43:2 69:2 74:21	103:21 104:24	229:21 232:14	231:13 236:16
242:3	114:16 121:19	105:10,13,15,24	233:6,19 236:7	240:24 254:25
led 15:23	level 79:9,10	106:1,6,7,11,19	localities 207:21	255:6,11,15
left 2:5 99:9 158:11	Liability 13:12	107:11 108:10,14	locality 88:25 89:12	looked 49:23
201:8 203:12	liable 31:9	108:19,21,22	119:20 186:5	105:18 135:18
legal 5:25 16:8	liaise 232:9	109:3,9,14,23	189:9	141:4 143:11
24:12 53:23 54:25	liaises 232:5	111:11,17 120:20	locally 211:3	157:4 162:5
85:1 89:5 96:14	liaison 39:24	145:22 146:2,3	log 108:1	167:14 220:19
119:25 123:6,14	231:18	147:25 156:8,9,23	London 1:4 135:23	230:10,23 235:9
123:22 126:22	liberty 36:19	156:24 174:8	187:13 188:5,19	looking 6:15 8:8
127:7 128:13,16	life 17:19,20 18:1,5	199:16 209:18	191:12 194:3,7	14:18 37:14 39:13
134:5,15 145:14	63:19	218:18,22 219:6	202:1 203:9	63:25 68:18 76:6
146:8,18 147:5,11	light 6:9 33:4 85:25	219:20,21,24	205:12 207:11	91:19 93:22 99:9
147:14,24 148:21	152:15 180:11	220:5,7	209:16 210:8,11	122:7 130:6
151:5 152:6,11,24	193:17 212:20	listed 122:11	231:21 235:4,9,21	135:14 160:13
156:1 160:16,19	likelihood 245:6	listen 23:25 25:22	236:2 239:10,11	162:6 165:10
160:23 202:20	limb 233:22,25	listened 8:5 28:19	long 14:20 28:12	173:11 187:21,24
217:21,23 221:23	234:6	29:15 56:11 249:8	29:8 41:18 68:2	208:16 215:3
251:17 254:12	limit 22:8	listening 8:11 28:11	98:16 129:10	230:6 231:17
lens 91:18	limited 1:12,14	78:22	139:12 146:14	246:2
let's 3:7 21:9 26:19	37:25 38:1,24	listing 40:6	188:16 238:11	looks 54:20 199:12
31:23 32:21 82:16	93:11 96:20	listings 88:25	256:17 259:13	220:21 238:15
84:6 86:21 98:15	236:14	lists 102:24 103:13	longer 47:23 82:8	Lord 15:24 52:9
100:3 102:24	limits 149:17	110:22 219:9,10	175:25 201:13	68:11
103:1 109:25	line 4:5 15:5 28:23	219:14,16	242:15	Lorna 9:10,15
115:13 136:23	44:7 50:4 58:2	litigants 20:18	longish 29:1	23:22 30:22 43:9
145:7 163:15	67:4 80:8 94:6	litigation 201:9	look 6:15 19:19	46:16
165:17 172:20	96:4 97:21 104:19	little 10:18 27:1	26:21 27:4,10	lose 253:1,17

lost 115:19 116:3	81:15,18,23,24	232:8 233:9	147:17 167:2	maximum 133:2
169:24 187:18	99:19 105:14	237:14 249:16	179:15 180:6,9	185:24 186:1
259:5	116:6,12,14 117:8	man's 127:24	233:1,4	237:20 240:12
lot 15:7 34:23	117:24 118:6	manage 24:22	marketplace 42:17	McCowan 131:13
47:25 71:8,10	126:16,19 140:5,8	41:21 81:13 82:18	markets 155:5	McEwan 88:3,13
112:19 116:23	143:8 168:1 196:1	management 62:17	married 128:13	88:24 89:5
196:24 231:14	196:4 255:2,8,10	manager 121:20	mass 133:6,10,16	mean 24:10 33:23
lots 87:19 135:3	255:11,22,24	229:24	246:14,19,20	43:4 61:23 67:17
211:3	258:19 259:16,24	managers 18:9	massive 42:14	72:13 80:2 96:16
love 245:5	Maclean's 24:13	managing 229:20	master 227:23	96:25 100:2
low 163:23 179:7	46:19 47:3 70:17	manner 97:16	Masters 237:4	131:21 135:13
179:16	mad 183:5 197:10	135:5 234:11	material 3:23 6:3	172:22 173:3
lower 188:4	204:4	250:15	11:14 18:21 33:8	182:19,20 185:25
lowest 178:16	magazine 191:18	mantra 50:15	36:18 37:24 46:22	186:4,5 205:22
217:7	236:5,6	168:1	47:4,16 51:25	206:25 222:6
lunch 137:23	Maidstone 136:14	March 39:10,14,15	57:4 63:16 64:6	235:25,25 236:1,4
140:18	136:20,21 207:15	85:3 101:6 125:14	65:11 67:24 68:11	242:5 252:24
Luncheon 140:16	main 141:7 223:19	174:20 230:8	68:25 69:24 72:7	256:16
lunchtime 117:13	mainland 234:16	234:17 242:20	81:20	meaningful 172:18
118:3	major 178:23	248:23	materials 37:2 69:9	means 80:19 97:2
lying 73:20	186:16 216:7	MARCUS 1:8	81:17 201:22	107:21 108:1
	makers 235:21	margin 19:23	247:13 248:16	119:21 153:10
M	making 3:9 4:13	marginalise 166:12	matter 16:9 32:19	168:12,21 194:4
M 8:12 32:22 36:3	20:15 28:25 33:17	marginalised	33:12 41:1,16	209:11 218:22
37:8 39:8 41:13	47:19 59:11 65:12	167:23 168:13	51:9 53:6,21	219:7 250:16
41:18 167:19	66:17 72:14 76:25	margins 17:22	56:19 59:10 61:21	256:22
M1 14:23	78:1 99:10 101:13	mark 107:15	72:1 108:15,17	meant 167:24
M2 8:9,10 14:21	112:11 116:21	157:20 158:4	111:13 132:25	169:1 170:15
34:6 35:2 39:23	123:10 131:24	167:16,18 174:4	133:25 153:6	209:3 228:16
40:9	134:20 135:3	marked 227:18,19	159:23 162:1,2,22	measured 204:4
Maclean 1:17 2:4	138:24 143:24	marker 70:3	187:5 189:5,8	mechanics 207:24
2:17,25 3:12 4:2,5	144:10 146:21	market 13:17 22:13	206:3 237:21	media 43:2 109:19
4:9,13,20,21 9:5	152:9 155:21	35:5 38:8 40:21	244:23 255:10	144:15 150:22
10:11,12 18:14	162:23 179:14,16	44:8 76:12,21,22	258:12	151:12 153:24
21:10 33:21 34:15	179:19 181:24	76:23 77:2,12	mattered 86:13	154:7 155:5,13
36:19 45:13 46:2	182:13 196:19	92:16 93:8 94:14	matters 4:3 12:23	236:6,10 237:18
46:24 47:22,23	200:20 202:17	97:15 113:14	19:15 31:17 48:23	239:18 244:23
48:1 49:4,5 55:12	207:22 212:3	186:1,20 203:3	50:17 52:3,20	medical 17:8
58:1,15,23 59:7,9	214:5,16,18 218:1	209:16 210:8,11	53:2 56:23 83:2	meet 104:10 222:25
59:17,19 60:7,9	218:8 231:14	210:13 212:3	117:10 118:8,12	meeting 7:10 8:4
60:12,14 64:17,24	250:6 253:20	234:12,15,19	118:13 149:13,16	19:24 21:21,24
65:4,7,21 66:9,16	257:13	241:6,25 252:23	155:16 200:8	25:10 29:4,14
68:23 69:1,3	male 36:3 64:10,10	marketing 76:1	Matthews 90:14	31:19,20,20 38:13
70:10,12 72:15	man 157:21 165:22	139:1 143:22,25	100:19 168:3	39:20 41:14 51:2
74:23,25 75:7	167:20 171:3	144:6 145:19	169:22,23	51:10 57:15 63:23

				1 age 202
73:13,14 74:8	222:24 229:20	men 43:2	26:4 37:19 45:12	36:15 230:25
78:24 102:14	230:6,7,8,13	mentally 201:23	58:22 74:15,22	mixed 158:6
103:21 106:5	231:7,22,22	mention 57:24	95:10 98:9 100:17	Mmm 149:2,5
110:19 120:21	236:20 237:1,8	71:20 72:22 136:6	103:9 112:1	171:1 183:17
137:7,8 138:14,16	238:9 239:12	137:16 226:14	113:24 135:14	210:24 216:18
143:22,25 144:4,5	243:5 244:8,20	254:16	158:18 161:14	223:13 239:13
144:6,11,12,17,20	247:25	mentioned 32:1	164:24 168:5	249:12
145:2,15,18,19	members 23:9 86:6	46:15 58:15 64:17	169:7 184:19	model 41:20
149:12 150:14	96:23 97:3,4	135:22 136:2	195:21 197:19	Moginie 84:10
151:12 153:6	99:16 103:25	186:9 194:18	202:8 205:19	moment 4:6 5:7
154:5,16 155:6,13	104:10 106:13,19	207:14 218:17	226:22 243:17	14:17 15:11 30:11
156:21 157:1,16	104.10 100.13,19	222:22 241:3	255:5	31:15 32:5 34:15
		254:20	minded 48:25 80:5	36:24 71:22 83:19
157:24,25 158:2,5	108:18 109:3,4,12			
158:10,21 159:21	130:13 131:15,17	mentioning 256:10	80:25 81:7 115:20	93:16,22 111:12
160:6,7,11 161:13	133:2,12,13	merely 69:11	mindful 128:20	121:2 130:18
163:13,22 164:18	136:20 137:8	merger 226:17	mindset 121:14	131:5 140:20
222:11,22,24	145:20 150:8	227:12,15	mine 187:22	147:21 153:22
254:21 256:11	159:12 164:5,12	merits 22:12 44:25	minimal 63:4,7	155:20 157:18
meetings 6:19,21	166:11,17,22	message 130:18,24	minority 8:1	161:3,5 162:5
9:5 14:15 15:8	171:17,20,20	131:6 146:15	minute 27:5,6	163:16 164:7
18:6 19:23 21:15	172:3,19 173:6,12	154:13 175:6	105:8 106:23	176:11 180:21
21:18,19 23:21,23	174:7,8,23 177:7	220:24 243:22	109:11 130:20	181:25 195:12
24:3 29:10 30:25	177:12 187:13	messages 127:9	159:17 187:11	203:17 216:14
37:12,13 53:11	188:5 189:25	151:22 152:1	254:2	232:2 235:8
57:7,15,19 61:3,4	192:21 193:9,11	160:8 248:12	minuted 134:5	255:10 256:3
63:20 73:7,10	198:17 200:12	messages/docum	151:6 153:6	momentum 88:21
80:3,6,20 89:24	206:9 208:18	153:9	minutes 2:22,23,25	Monday 24:20,21
92:3 104:25	212:23 219:16	met 249:8	3:1,3,11 5:7 27:20	115:25 259:15
200:11 231:15	220:3 222:11	MFL 89:5	48:17 82:17	money 170:13
241:22 244:24	230:3,4 231:18	Michael 176:20	115:13 126:16	227:17
member 89:19,20	236:1,12 244:2,14	243:6,8,10,11	164:9 195:13,22	monster 226:7
104:11,12,15	244:15,16 247:17	mid 100:13	239:3 254:21	month 163:12
106:14,21 107:1,9	250:25 256:10	midday 82:17	259:18,22	234:3
107:15 121:24	membership 84:10	middle 24:11,15	misheard 230:24	months 61:5 71:8
127:14 131:12	92:2 96:6 106:20	27:5,12 57:9 67:7	232:1	71:21 75:21 83:12
137:7 141:22	107:25 108:5	128:15 241:12	missed 111:11	84:17 85:2,17
142:19 157:20	159:7 167:18	249:18 253:16	missing 105:21	165:8 233:2
158:3 162:10	169:9 177:14	258:21	199:19	234:19
163:1 164:25	185:24 186:12	midst 255:14	misspelt 166:13	mood 245:3,13
165:4 166:2	189:4 217:25	midway 205:10	168:22,24	morning 2:6,9,12
167:25 168:2	224:11 231:15	mileage 80:11	mistake 28:25	2:20 6:8 10:9
171:4 175:24	memorandum	miles 47:9 48:14	85:10	24:20 49:8,22
176:22 180:3	108:5	million 188:9,10,10	misunderstanding	50:3 51:15 53:19
187:2 191:22	memory 62:18	Milsom 124:10	105:11	54:2 64:3 68:5
210:6 218:19	221:3	mind 5:20 24:19	misunderstood	72:9 81:1 82:8,21
				,
L	•	•	•	

				1 486 203
82:22 115:19	91:23 92:2,18	N	123:24 134:4	neutral 204:2
121:3,6 179:9	93:2,10 96:10,20	nail 59:9	136:13 137:18	neutrally 72:7
181:3 257:4	96:22 97:2 99:24	name 7:25 8:1,7	141:8 151:5,14	never 43:9 105:12
259:16	100:5 101:4,14,23	9:10,21 30:21,22	152:5 155:4,13	170:9 197:25
morning's 52:1	102:6,14 103:5,20	41:15,16 48:24	160:18 164:4,19	226:8,19 243:16
Mortar 21:17	103:23 104:1,2	49:1 58:15,19,21	203:14 207:2	251:21
motivated 228:24	105:4 106:4	157:22 167:21	218:20 235:10	nevertheless 91:11
229:3 230:20	107:22,25 109:1,9	176:19 200:4	243:24 244:3	193:12 197:11
motivating 232:5	113:25 118:21	named 8:19,22,23	254:24	new 15:25 17:8
motives 33:10,11	122:23 123:11,22	142:12	needed 92:14 95:14	35:21,23 40:22
33:14	130:15 131:12,15	names 7:17,23 8:3	147:5 218:2,4	75:24 78:18,21,23
mouth 24:13	133:2,12 134:21	8:3,16,17 9:8,10	228:11 251:17	83:17 96:19 97:15
126:18	135:4 136:21	9:23,25 25:15	needing 123:7	136:4 159:12
move 56:13 121:1	137:9 138:2,7,15	30:1,14,14,19	needs 33:16 36:19	164:15 189:12
126:5 130:2	138:18,20,21	31:5,7,16,24 32:1	55:10 85:7 94:13	Newco 242:2
147:16 149:15	144:18 145:5,20	32:12,14 40:24	121:8 125:4	newly 56:2 147:17
164:23 181:4	147:20 149:3,8	84:1 174:10	149:12 154:5	news 31:22 34:2
189:6 191:3	150:4,5 151:3,9	257:25	negotiate 101:12,16	40:16 41:4,9 76:4
216:24 220:14	151:16,25 152:13	national 234:15	240:21 251:14	245:17 258:8
240:23 242:13	153:16,21 154:25	natural 78:24	negotiating 145:21	newspapers 233:6
moved 16:4 194:12	155:2,12 156:20	naturally 65:1	193:7 224:2	nicest 212:7
205:12	158:16 159:7	nature 12:11 19:23	240:20 250:5,10	Nigel 175:6 243:22
movements 47:8	160:16 161:14	31:21 32:6 39:3	250:12,15,24	247:15 248:20
moves 190:9	162:10 163:1	44:24 62:6 116:22	negotiation 109:18	249:4,16 250:2
218:17 243:1	164:15 165:5	196:5 206:5	149:16 150:22	251:24
moving 3:3 105:4	171:5,16,17	209:21	151:13 153:25	night 75:12 83:9
117:21 130:4	172:11 173:7	NC 167:22	154:7 155:5,14	105:20 135:19
245:22	174:19 185:25	NE 145:15	184:1 191:14	136:25 258:21
multiple 122:12	186:13 196:13	near 124:6	192:1 193:23	nine 27:20
155:20 162:19	197:18 198:4	nearly 4:12 110:7	194:13 217:17	Ninety 201:20
mum 14:23	202:23 203:15	166:17,22 173:12	236:9 237:15	nodding 81:23,24
mustn't 122:22	204:19 206:8	174:7	239:19 241:7	Noel 238:21,22
mutual 1:12 9:17	222:11,15 224:1	necessarily 19:24	negotiations	non-confidential
19:11 21:15,16,20	224:12,13 226:17	95:6 157:12	104:17 105:3	6:14
21:21,23,25 23:8	228:17 229:17	241:20	107:3,7,10 109:5	non-executive
23:21 24:10 27:13	230:8,13 231:2,7	necessary 19:7,8	109:7,19 135:2	171:21
27:14 33:5,6 36:7	231:23 236:21	59:13 250:2	143:17,19 144:14	non-members
36:8 39:21 40:7,8	237:1 241:6	necessity 77:23	147:18 149:22	109:4 166:12
40:20 41:14 42:7	247:17 257:15	need 3:19 4:22	156:5 159:4	168:24 169:21,25
42:25 43:7,11	Mutual's 189:3	12:16 18:18 26:19	221:13 236:8	nonsense 123:23
45:13,21 46:16	253:1	31:3 33:3 36:22	238:13 246:3	126:22
47:18 51:11 59:24	Mutual/OTM	58:17 72:19 79:16	neither 10:16 19:15	Norfolk 137:4
60:5 61:6,7 74:6	193:17	82:11 84:22 90:18	60:18	norm 148:16
85:25 88:8 89:7	myth 247:17	97:7 112:5,7	nerdy 86:25	normally 64:8 66:5
90:20 91:4,8,13		119:25 121:11	network 133:12	71:4

51:2,10 53:12	118:13 124:3	observing 227:9,14	offers 180:10	opening 80:18
39:15 40:13 48:15	103:2,24 110:1,3	247:20 248:1	175:17	142:11,11 210:15
21:5,7 32:2 38:7	94:13,24 102:22	observe 75:2 176:1	offering 169:19	124:5 140:22
Northern 9:13 21:3	92:22 93:5,15	observations 66:17	offered 88:18	72:12 83:5 123:25
207:11	89:10 90:6 92:15	obligations 70:5	250:11	49:7 62:12 66:6
205:17,22,23	86:15,22 87:11,23	105:21	192:3 222:13	open 11:21 42:18
203:11 205:1,14	60:15 85:11 86:8	obligation 54:14	170:15 179:23,24	233:21 234:1
197:1 201:5,7	22:1 28:8 52:6	159:13 192:25	168:6,11,20 169:1	89:15 177:10,16
northeast 100:18	number 3:22 15:5	97:6,8,9 98:13,19	163:14 167:3,24	77:4 84:9,18 85:4
253:12,14	166:24	objectives 96:18	offer 43:23 89:7	75:17,20 76:10,11
236:2 239:10	99:23 165:8	226:7	offences 20:25	23:3 40:19 60:20
232:11,19 235:4,9	November 93:20	186:12 193:3	oddity 27:11	OOP 21:17 22:6,8
230:2,4,17 231:21	163:5 221:16	77:23 89:21	odd 162:25 203:25	184:2 233:5
225:16 226:4	155:18 162:18	J J	222:19 230:12	OnTheMarket's
223:19 224:6,11	127:14 141:17	objectionable 53:1 objective 62:22	210:16 212:11	242:3
222:3,23 223:16	91:4 114:21	objection 45:20	178:9 179:11	208:18 214:20
214:17 216:12	notwithstanding	object 22:6,9,12,14	October 171:8	170:2 172:11
207:13 210:11,12	155:25		occurs 87:3	164:18 169:15
202:1 203:9	Notley's 51:13	259:7,9,14,17,20 oath 82:25	occurring 170:10	101:10 162:23
185:1 191:12,12	167:1		occurred 217:2	34:5 43:23 61:7
181:9,24 183:3	72:8,16 134:24	117:5 185:3 259:6	254:15	OnTheMarket
179:18 180:2,19	53:13 68:8 70:21	116:4,8,9,16	155:21 162:19	only/main 253:3
178:17 179:4,14	51:16,22 52:4,14	o'clock 3:8 81:2,3	100:12 108:2	onlines 42:19
177:19,24 178:4	11:1,9 49:14	0	13:15 46:15	142:21 170:14
168:18 171:6	Notley 10:20,23	numerous 252:1	occasions 7:24 9:21	online 43:17,19
166:2 167:2	115:19 Notley 10:20 22	189:22 227:21	occasionally 228:4	258:16
157:6,7,23 165:6	notice 22:22 65:1	79:5 166:8 188:13	occasion 228:18,19	ongoing 53:5 76:18
150:3 156:19	notes 16:18 154:4	numbers 28:9,22	241:2 258:15	201:3 207:14,14
147:17 149:4,8	noted 16:1	numbering 22:5	156:7 197:13	107:17 135:1
145:16,18,20	201:23 227:6	76:7 166:8	138:19 146:1	ones 74:20 86:13
143:23 144:7	125:23 174:20	numbered 75:22	69:6 80:21 88:3	one-to-one 128:12
135:8,23 136:18	23:20 40:12	236:17	62:21 65:16 68:4	one's 116:22
130:1,10 132:23	note 9:9 16:4,14		30:11 42:2 46:12	237:25 240:17
126:25 127:1	notable 122:19	210:10,14 217:19 222:17 235:2	3:17 4:3,21 29:16	once 43:25 142:1
120:11 121:15	northwest 135:23	201:14,15 209:8	obviously 2:19 3:12	omission 105:17,21
113:20,21 114:2				old 16:1 99:21
111:18 112:11	229:18 233:12,17 234:1	193:3,19 195:10 198:4 199:18	obvious 4:10 12:17 113:7	215:8 256:8
110:9,15,19	88:9 138:7,10	190:20 192:22	111:20 112:10	167:10 186:23
108:16,23,24	84:16,19 85:16	189:24 190:9,11	obtaining 13:19	150:13 159:6,10
106:3,13 108:13	83:3,13,24 84:7	168:17,21 170:6	61:23 70:24	112:2 114:14
103:19 104:4,23	77:16,17,20 83:1	166:7,11 167:13	50:24 61:12,12,15	okay 36:15 82:16
101:2 102:9,18	76:25 77:3,9,12	147:23 164:23,24	15:16 20:7 28:1	
7 7				officially 145:19 oh 151:18 163:23
north 90:9,14,19 98:25 99:25 100:5 100:20,21,23	55:22 60:18,20,21 60:24 61:8 75:9 75:18,19 76:9,15	127:15 133:2 138:3 142:19 145:12 146:17	obtain 20:16 53:5 71:9 76:19 obtained 13:16	office 47:8 48:14 214:25 officially 145:19

				1 age 203
100:15 195:25	organisation	32:22 33:24 34:8	8:19 9:4,6 13:21	114:11,16 117:25
197:24 198:1	237:18	35:5,19 36:1 39:2	14:9,21 15:12,23	118:2 119:3
200:18,19	organise 145:3	39:6,22 40:10,10	15:25 16:4,10,18	121:19 127:19
openings 199:2	origin 65:18	40:11,15,16,17	16:19,23 22:14,19	162:8
206:2	original 57:4 123:6	41:1,17 42:6,20	23:1 41:19 49:23	Parker's 118:14
openly 30:10	253:18	43:14 44:3,10,11	50:3,5,6,10,15,22	120:13 123:1
operate 42:16 65:6	originally 13:24	55:12 57:20,22	51:5,15,18 52:13	part 4:23 10:1 23:3
74:15 77:16 97:13	94:10 234:21	59:10 63:1 69:18	52:16,24 53:1,4,9	26:24 51:6 53:5
228:23	originated 146:23	76:7 78:12,20	53:16 54:20 55:24	62:12 68:12 89:22
operated 169:16	origins 215:24	83:8,15 84:5,18	66:25 67:7 75:22	91:19 92:18 111:6
230:2	OTM 39:14 84:16	86:23 87:24 88:2	76:8 93:25 94:3	117:17 137:12
operates 175:19	90:1 185:22	93:15,17,22 94:3	104:8 119:5	145:5,10,21 152:8
operating 60:17	200:13 216:3,8,15	96:5 97:20 98:16	124:21 130:22	152:18 153:2,3
87:15 100:18	OTM/RM 165:20	99:8,9,11 102:23	131:10,19 132:10	158:10,12 159:9
102:9	ought 18:13,23	110:1,2,4,23	135:20 137:17,18	159:20 160:1,3,10
operation 87:21	46:21 52:20 68:15	111:14 118:12,17	137:20 142:13,17	161:4,6 180:3
188:14 233:21	80:12 83:7 205:3	119:4 121:5 123:4	158:14 175:9	183:8 196:13
opportunities	outcome 217:2,4	124:5,19 128:15	176:8,11 183:1	197:16,22 198:11
193:8	259:10	135:19 141:5,21	184:16 194:11	203:18,22 206:7
opportunity 2:18	outset 126:23 172:5	142:5 143:3 145:8	196:2 198:11,15	207:4,11,20 213:6
3:16,20 5:1 72:15	outside 17:18,20	148:24 150:9,10	199:6,14 200:7	223:23 224:6,17
103:20 111:1	187:13 188:5	150:19 154:2	213:7 218:16	226:21 234:12
112:5 251:16	195:21 216:8,15	159:18 164:25	220:12 232:18	236:10 242:13
opposed 29:4 52:1	231:17 232:4	170:18 174:17,21	233:11 241:15	248:3 250:7,20
138:25 186:18	overall 207:22	174:25 178:8	243:16,25 244:4	participants
188:25 215:15	overlap 250:3	186:24 196:3	246:13 247:6	144:17
225:6 248:8	overlooked 148:2	198:15 204:5	248:6 249:9	participate 34:19
opposite 228:13	overnight 21:6	211:11 219:23	253:16 255:5,23	98:2
253:9	26:15 254:25	220:16,18 223:6	paragraphs 22:25	participating 33:6
option 178:16	255:6,12	228:9 229:9	70:20 110:22	147:22 149:24
179:8,17 217:7	overriding 12:25	236:17,18 237:22	196:17	163:2
options 213:1	62:21	238:5 240:24	parameter 86:17	participation 30:25
222:24	overtake 193:20	241:12,16 249:3	86:24 87:4,12	165:10,13,15
oral 56:21	owned 236:5	pages 7:3,4,5,6	89:11,16 170:7	particular 10:12
orally 153:13	owner 77:15	42:5 66:20 79:5	paramount 16:3	16:2,7 17:3 19:4
254:15	191:18	83:20 118:14	paramount 10.5	22:22 23:1 30:20
order 37:7 48:25		120:25 122:4	248:15	37:15,17,20 52:18
58:20 60:10 65:11	P	140:23 191:5	paraphrasing	59:24,25 70:15
71:6 81:12 92:15	PACE 16:15	212:9 218:12	248:11	87:5,6 114:9
93:6 96:17 97:6	page 2:9,18,21 7:7	220:14 251:21	pardon 91:18 93:23	123:16 127:5
97:12 98:6,12	7:12 8:9 14:19,21	252:5	140:24 143:6	129:7 135:2
187:23 193:19	14:21 15:3,12,23	paper 163:7	182:20 187:18	139:21 140:6
205:16 235:5	22:6,19 23:1,4	papers 136:10,11	park 36:24	143:22 146:2
ordered 154:16	25:24,25 26:21	136:12	Parker 111:8	156:8 175:21
ordinarily 86:7	27:5,10,12,16	paragraph 2:19	112:18 113:4	196:1 205:11,11
orumarny 60.7	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	paragrapii 2,19	114.10 113.4	170.1 203.11,11
			l	ı

				1 486 200
210:5 216:24	183:6,7,10,10	perceived 245:7	phrase 40:5 87:2	206:1
217:3,3 221:13	184:23 211:13	perception 41:8	97:22 131:4	please 36:8 49:6
238:11 239:15	216:9,10 222:3,11	43:22	physically 158:11	55:8 86:23 87:23
241:8 247:16	222:17,19 226:12	percolated 131:8	pick 57:6 69:3	90:6 99:3 118:10
253:6	227:7 228:14	148:13	166:8 220:2 228:4	124:4,4 128:20
particularise 200:1	PAUL 1:19	perfectly 81:18	picked 220:8	142:24 157:19
particularised	pause 71:22 79:1	91:10 180:1	picking 117:8	158:18 161:3
199:9	176:16 213:6	219:17 225:15,21	166:6 221:1	164:25 174:16
particularly 4:19	221:3 256:3	234:10	picture 6:17 37:8	190:15 210:14,15
30:13 86:12	pausing 17:9	performance 184:2	50:8 52:11 76:19	213:8 222:18,18
170:16 236:10	111:12 119:8	212:5	237:7	242:16 245:19
246:24 254:9	173:11 213:14	period 48:11 75:21	piece 71:7 93:19	255:9
particulars 199:4	244:10	76:9 85:2,17	163:7	pleased 83:2
199:24 200:5,9	pay 58:1 233:7	120:1 219:10	pieces 71:9	132:23,25 139:1
parties 12:23 13:4	Penguin 225:25	231:13 234:3	pitch 19:11	plenty 90:11
1 -			1 -	1 2
14:7 17:16 18:14	226:2 227:5	permission 176:4	place 1:4 10:1 19:7	115:11 129:2
18:22,24 20:11 75:16 76:19 77:20	penultimate 119:5	person 8:5,11 9:16	46:23 53:9 61:4,7	157:22 256:16
	183:1 184:15 248:6	28:24 33:17 35:20	133:18 144:1,8	plural 9:16
partly 10:10 23:3		35:21,23,25 43:6	145:3 152:16	plus 188:9,10
232:15 235:3	people 7:17 19:22	56:21 60:6 64:9	155:25 172:6	pm 49:12 115:14
partner 243:5	19:25 34:20 37:10	64:21,21 78:18,23	187:18 188:20,21	115:16,25 140:15
parts 3:24 12:5	40:11 41:24 42:15	100:21 120:8	188:24,25 189:7	140:17 195:14,16
19:4 37:15,17	43:4 63:22 64:11	138:18 169:22	206:21	260:2
108:2 141:4 181:4	64:14 71:10 73:15	176:13 215:7	placed 12:14 32:17	point 2:13 3:12
200:21 229:5	73:20,23 77:8	219:22 257:9,12	62:8	4:10,13 9:9 11:25
party 16:20 60:2,3	86:12 90:24 91:14	257:22,23,24	places 173:16	14:18 21:10 22:22
60:4 151:11,21	93:1,11 98:4	personal 13:9 15:8	plainly 147:12	25:17 28:25 29:11
153:23 165:3	106:19 107:19,20	232:20 257:18	plan 158:9 227:22	29:18 31:25 33:9
171:7 238:13	108:6,12 113:25	259:16	241:5 254:11	33:21,23 34:15
pass 239:1	115:8 117:4 122:5	personally 142:6	planning 107:6	35:13 36:7 38:2
passage 26:22 41:2	122:11 126:25	156:17 160:7	116:4	41:10,12 43:21
42:5,22 44:16	129:12 130:25	177:22 218:1	plans 104:9	47:10,12,15 48:6
255:4	134:3,11 146:16	personnel 147:20	platform 43:24	49:8 50:5 51:17
passages 19:9 81:7	148:20 151:2,8	perspective 127:4	play 3:21 116:17	53:20 55:13 56:16
81:9	153:1,12 158:6	152:2 214:1	played 10:18	66:16 70:1 72:20
passed 154:13	166:2 171:18	persuade 47:2	playing 118:21	74:18,25 75:2
238:4,22	172:11 183:25	206:8	pleaded 22:4,25	77:6 78:4,11,16
passes 154:24	185:12 220:7	persuaded 62:24	23:13 76:21,21	79:24 80:17 85:13
Passing 53:4	225:3,9 228:25	PETER 1:9	197:22	91:7,11,21 92:20
patience 47:6 82:7	231:17 232:4	phase 3:16	pleading 22:2,6	99:10 100:25
Patsy 232:24,25	236:23 240:9	Philip 1:19 9:21	196:2,6,16 199:2	103:16 117:9
Pattinson 90:8,10	245:11 246:9	Phipsons 61:10	199:6,24 200:10	119:2 120:21,23
91:18 102:10,11	254:17,23 257:22	62:3	204:14	121:8 124:18
108:11,14 110:9	people's 17:19	phone 2:10 14:25	pleadings 76:21	125:24 126:6,12
110:16 115:6	18:17	29:6 161:13	195:18 202:8	126:21,21 130:16

				1 486 207
132:1 133:11	pop 178:20	88:20 89:8,11,18	71:3 247:13	prefer 81:9 171:23
134:14 141:7	portal 19:13 22:13	94:14 97:12	possibility 79:8	192:5,10,17 194:9
143:7 150:16	22:24 40:12 43:1	101:13,16 109:6	possible 3:9 37:8	256:15
152:15 160:21	43:3 44:5,20,23	109:18,22 124:23	93:1 127:4 186:12	preferable 193:19
161:4 163:6,12	91:25 92:1 102:2	142:21 144:14	192:22 202:9	preference 182:1
167:12 171:20	104:16 105:2	146:4,22 149:16	212:7 226:19	prefers 170:7
172:14 173:11	107:3,10 109:1,15	162:20,23 163:23	229:16 241:25	prejudice 24:10
174:18 175:12,21	112:20,22 113:23	169:4,10 170:6	possibly 63:9	prelaunch 131:17
176:3 178:13	114:4,9 123:2,16	171:6 178:23	post 233:2 250:5	165:18 212:15,16
179:7,12 181:23	124:22 125:7	180:5,18,24 181:5	postcodes 103:22	premarket 133:14
183:8 190:25	133:1 134:22	181:10 185:13	posted 258:18	premise 138:20
191:2 192:13	135:1 142:8	186:16 188:14	postscript 256:20	prepare 133:13
193:25 194:19	143:16 144:22	198:10,19,23	258:6	prepared 7:8,14,14
195:18 196:9	146:2 148:1,12	199:15 212:5	potential 18:10	25:10 28:5,20
201:18 203:1,19	149:17,22 150:14	214:23 221:13	104:16 107:3	134:8 194:15
206:7,12,13	150:22 153:25	223:21 224:3,14	147:3 200:12	223:9 230:21
207:19 208:1,14	154:8 156:8,10,23	224:19 225:10	250:3	preparing 25:11
209:2,9,19 210:25	157:7 166:21	227:20 247:18	potentially 22:15	68:10 159:7 241:5
221:14 227:11	176:2,6 178:1,2,4	253:21	144:14 157:6	present 21:20,21
229:19 230:18	178:25 180:4,7	Portstewart 40:13	202:19 223:21	21:25 23:22 24:4
232:8 233:9 244:1	181:17,20 182:3	posing 13:17	252:2	80:10 92:3 132:6
246:18 247:2	182:13 183:23	position 18:15	power 12:18 67:15	138:17,18 145:5
253:2,13 254:13	185:9,16 186:6	23:21 36:16,21	powers 68:16,19	146:9 147:22
256:11,14 257:20	187:17 189:9,15	37:11 46:13,14	practical 3:22	150:15 153:21
259:1	190:9,11 193:18	48:7 67:7 81:16	198:9,18,22,24	154:6
pointed 55:3 78:12	200:14 203:3	118:21 127:7	practice 22:24 23:2	presentation
99:23 246:25	208:19 209:22	128:13 129:12	23:6,12 31:2	126:25 128:6
points 6:1 9:12,22	213:17 215:12,17	132:16 137:6	85:24 86:12 87:21	157:2 179:21
15:7 30:13 34:13	215:19,23,24	176:6 186:20	169:17 196:20	218:13
37:14,18 45:1	216:4 217:13	187:14 188:6	197:2 246:15	presentations
46:8 47:7,19	221:13 223:24	189:24 190:20	practices 16:13	138:3,11 218:2
55:13 57:6 65:12	225:12,13 226:5	193:20 197:3	19:13 20:19 199:8	presented 21:16
65:17 71:1 74:2,2	233:17 235:15,23	200:1 204:12	practices/decisions	22:16 44:22 53:21
74:21 118:17	237:24 238:11	217:14 218:3	198:2	74:6 100:12
119:6 123:9	239:15 240:1,5,7	219:17 223:8,18	pre 169:15	138:15
140:23 207:18	240:8,15 244:9,21	247:19 248:1	pre-dated 105:10	press 18:15
253:21	245:7,25,25	252:23 254:5	pre-existing 137:14	presumably 7:16
policies 96:6	247:10,20 248:2	255:7 259:2	pre-judge 4:16	56:8 99:5 239:20
policy 20:10,12,18	250:13,23 253:3	positioned 234:22	preceding 27:16	251:9 252:4
171:24	254:3	positioning 187:16	precise 45:5 64:19	pretend 11:19
politely 258:22	portal's 92:16 93:8	188:13	204:16 226:1	205:21
poll 35:23 36:9	portals 22:11 42:17	positive 76:25	precisely 153:23	pretty 42:15 241:18
41:23 73:19	42:21,24 44:1,14	110:20 250:18	172:7 195:3 226:3	250:20
pollution 7:20	60:17,22 77:13,14	positively 68:19	predominantly 7:2	prevalent 241:23
poor 63:20	86:9,13,16 87:12	possession 10:15	17:18	prevent 19:8

				1 480 200
prevention 61:19	115:18	promoting 247:16	180:17	89:4 110:5 132:10
previous 11:12	problem 4:18,19	propaganda	prospects 91:3	194:1 209:9
15:25 57:22 84:18	26:24 27:24 35:12	247:24	protagonists 15:2	229:10
88:2 103:8 121:5	37:22 59:17 79:2	proper 71:14	162:7	punches 43:15
141:20 150:10	81:5,14,16 250:4	123:14 125:11	protect 18:3 92:15	44:17 154:2
174:21 223:6	problems 14:11	137:19	protection 18:5	punishment 257:1
238:5	17:13 63:18 79:11	properly 25:22	19:7 35:3	purchase 127:8
previously 34:14	proceed 80:25	26:8 55:16 63:2	provenance 10:6	purchasing 132:3
151:3 152:14	81:25 82:11 250:9	64:6 95:13 172:12	57:4 70:23 71:15	134:14 148:17
160:13	proceeding 178:20	properties 40:6	71:15	152:3 155:24
price 36:18 48:7	proceedings 12:9	188:10 189:16	provide 22:9 30:6,7	156:5,11 159:4
187:15 188:7	16:8,17 54:16	property 22:10	30:23 36:12,13,13	160:14,20 163:14
pricing 60:19 75:9	56:22	31:22 41:4,9	37:7 64:5 89:8	164:14 215:4
primarily 123:1	process 27:25 40:2	75:20,20 76:4	142:24 171:23	purdah 140:4
primary 15:14	46:11 65:2 66:2	142:21 167:1	172:1 175:18,19	pure 75:12,15
28:18 66:25 67:12	105:5 123:5 127:3	198:9,19,22	provided 10:9 30:5	192:5 193:16
67:25 146:25	135:4 160:17	199:15 203:3	30:9 35:16 54:17	purely 159:12
162:21	219:12 258:16	225:24 226:2,5	65:19 68:6 83:25	purpose 40:19,20
Prime 194:3,7	produce 30:15	227:5	86:22 102:8 136:5	70:22 73:11 92:18
principal 91:22	produced 47:4	PropertyPal 31:22	141:23 158:20	97:5 98:11,19,19
principle 4:23	52:10 63:24	34:1 40:18 41:3,8	258:1	99:4,11 104:1,3
86:15 87:15	produces 85:15	76:3	provides 12:8	106:17 130:7
169:16 170:9	product 223:10	proponent 91:22	providing 76:8	133:24 144:13
189:8	production 65:2	proportionate	83:11 173:5	159:3,6 208:7
principles 5:25	71:25	12:21 105:22	208:23 219:24	purposes 10:3 26:7
53:23 62:20	products 43:18,20	proposal 106:5	province 76:2	32:9 84:19 107:4
print 236:6,10	43:24 44:1	113:19 114:8,9,18	provision 6:7 45:8	108:15 116:4
prior 39:14 50:25	professionally 7:8	114:20 118:15,25	45:19	pursue 67:4 137:8
170:10	7:13,14 25:13	119:9,23 120:22	provoked 82:2	pursuit 52:22
privacy 13:23 14:8	28:5	127:20,23 166:25	provoking 79:25	push 37:3
17:15,24 19:16,20	profile 253:2	214:5	public 16:9 20:10	pushing 241:9
private 17:25	progress 116:21	proposals 109:22	41:8 59:12 108:3	242:7
197:10	185:22 222:23	113:22 114:3,6	245:14,20	put 3:23 15:18 19:7
privileged 54:24	progressing 100:8	propose 9:25 71:12	publish 219:15	24:13,23 26:10
71:13	progressively	97:22 118:8	published 253:19	29:11 37:24 50:1
privy 194:24	136:19 217:9	proposed 166:20	publisher 236:7	53:1 62:23 63:7
pro 259:12	prohibition 21:7	179:13 213:20	publishes 219:20	63:12 65:15,16
probably 43:16	project 21:16,24	proposing 125:20	publishing 219:19	66:19 68:21 70:3
46:21 48:2 113:12	40:22 93:19	127:16 213:16	puff 67:18	72:6 74:23 77:6
154:15 158:7	projected 176:2	proposition 90:20	pull 237:24 239:15	85:20 93:6,9 95:9
171:8,19 187:19	promise 137:21	91:8,12 92:3	240:2,4,15	95:18 97:14
195:19 207:4	140:21	93:10,11 138:15	pulling 211:4	104:13 106:5,10
231:20	promote 88:16 93:7	216:1 241:6,24	238:11 239:25	106:14 109:21
probative 6:6 17:13	94:14 166:11	prospective 142:20	240:8	113:22 114:18
39:1 45:2 65:11	243:15	172:3 173:6	punch 39:7 44:11	118:14 123:25
			-	
	-	-	-	-

			I	<u> </u>
124:14 126:6,21	74:3,14 80:1	72:11 86:25 93:3	reached 202:2	REAP 194:2 202:1
130:3 141:2	81:22 95:4 101:18	116:21 117:1,5	reaching 214:19	231:21 235:25,25
143:22 147:1	103:7,10 104:14	121:25 146:14	read 2:14,16,17,21	236:1,4
148:8 149:13	107:15 112:19	148:8 150:11	2:24 4:14,14,17	reason 25:19 32:17
155:13 156:16	114:1 124:19	155:11 170:19	10:8 26:15 33:4	60:17 80:24 87:2
164:23 172:25	125:4,12 126:20	201:17 203:11	40:10 42:4 53:17	87:5 98:22 106:24
173:4 177:20	140:25 141:1	204:13 206:5,16	55:14 57:23 58:19	107:1 114:24
178:21 188:6	142:24 143:5	207:17 209:22	90:18 122:16	116:20 135:18
196:24 197:8,13	146:11 147:6,11	210:2 224:5 228:4	154:4 158:14,17	140:6 159:15
200:4 201:18	150:7 158:18,19	235:20 257:15	158:24 185:8	160:1 181:1 184:5
205:7 207:2,14	168:10 169:25	quotation 155:5	198:11 213:4,4	184:11,12 185:19
226:15 227:10,22	171:5 172:21	quote 133:18	221:1 227:24	189:15 194:22
228:1,6 235:2,14	181:3,7 198:10,20	228:10	256:2	196:22 200:8
247:2,3,23 252:25	206:15 219:22		reader 49:25	204:9 208:16
puts 87:7 113:18	225:1,20 228:5	R	reading 12:8 15:15	219:7 220:1 229:7
118:21 126:8	230:23 247:10	radio 233:7,8	15:17 16:7 17:1,5	243:20 247:21
155:4 187:14	252:16	ragged 81:3	20:1 22:15 39:9	reasonable 219:17
249:23	questioned 151:1	raise 26:10 78:16	39:11 41:4 42:14	reasonably 252:9
putting 3:4 20:2	questioning 195:17	195:19,20 204:24	42:18,24 43:1,17	reasoning 15:13,21
35:13 45:21 63:10	200:20 205:10	raised 6:2 10:10,11	44:6,7,13,19 51:2	15:22
63:15 81:17 114:2	questions 26:10	29:18 45:7 46:11	54:5 58:23 74:14	reasons 17:16
126:18 132:19	27:23,25 38:11,20	49:21,22 71:16	76:3 119:2 124:21	20:20 60:16 78:7
142:7 143:14	46:3 49:22 63:12	77:25 78:5 79:8	124:23 164:16	106:15 139:11
176:12 194:14	65:15,16 67:23	102:16 232:17	173:12 181:17	172:17 207:8
197:9 199:23	69:12 72:16 74:17	247:15 254:22	ready 133:14	226:9
204:15,16 226:25	80:15 81:8 100:16	raises 35:4,6	real 16:9 22:20	reassurance 171:23
247:11	114:13 138:23	253:21	53:17	172:1 173:6
	140:19,24 151:13	raising 176:10	realise 82:24	175:15 176:14,17
Q	160:23 205:8	196:23 203:18	realised 91:24	177:21
QBD 15:20	255:17 258:9,10	204:9,22	really 5:17 12:22	reassure 247:22
QC 1:17,19	258:23	Rall 16:18	20:5,9,13,21 33:5	recall 49:17 62:10
qua 31:12	quibble 93:13	ran 180:13	33:12 34:17,20	135:21 137:3
quality 85:24	97:18	random 229:2	38:14,20 40:25	143:21 147:4
quantum 13:13	quicker 190:23	range 97:23	44:4 68:2,18 73:2	195:24 257:4,7
quarter 49:16	quickly 61:10	ratchets 234:24	74:3 81:20 86:11	258:7
139:23,25	Quinn 2:7 10:9	rate 145:22	87:18 96:25	receive 3:13 104:20
Queens 13:25	11:8 49:7 50:8,11	re-app 191:17	108:15 135:5	120:17 160:1
queries 71:16	51:1,8,15,25	re-examination	137:6 154:15	167:11 239:23
150:11 165:24	52:12,13,25 53:18	116:12 125:22	159:2,22 185:19	received 2:7,14,16
querying 229:7	53:19 54:1,8	126:17	202:12 206:14	6:8 29:13 37:2
question 11:13	66:25 68:5	re-ordered 157:16	220:24 221:19	50:23 53:10 75:11
15:14 20:10,19	quite 9:17 14:4,19	re-organise 145:1	222:7 232:23	103:17 110:20
28:4 32:10 33:11	14:23 15:2 27:6	reach 65:20 69:25	233:3,10 237:21	120:14 124:8
48:4,21 56:2	34:23 44:20,23	108:3 122:22	238:25 240:21	125:13 127:1
59:20 65:8,13	56:6,18 70:4	141:16 197:14	251:19	128:5 130:23
	Í			
	-	-	-	-

				Page 290
156:17,18 160:17	records 225:3	reflecting 159:2	169:24	77:3 78:7,8
160:25 166:25	recruit 104:2	reflects 21:23 76:1	related 141:2 197:2	relying 25:6,8
179:22	164:15	119:18	relates 151:12	30:19 41:13 56:25
	recruited 161:21			
receiving 75:13 180:10		refreshing 221:3	relating 142:21 160:19	63:15 66:1,21
	recruiting 171:17	refuse 67:2		remain 124:24
receiving/sending	recruitment 104:12	regaining 43:1	relation 124:18	216:15
151:22 153:8	104:16 106:15,18	regard 4:11 18:13	128:20 129:15	remainder 87:18
recipient 122:12	107:1,9 149:13	18:23 19:3 33:16	135:1 146:22	243:19
162:9,21	red 20:20 27:12	89:10 104:18	158:17 184:23	remaining 94:2
recipients 104:23	Redacted 55:7	114:20 116:4	233:1 254:9	105:8
106:12 114:25	58:14 59:6	174:19 234:6	relations 97:2	remains 257:7
127:15 165:14	reduce 89:15	256:5	relationship 34:11	remark 33:17
recognise 193:6	131:22	regarded 35:9,10	relative 77:22	54:19 86:25 127:6
242:19	refer 6:8,24 7:6	172:9	187:16 188:13	remarked 49:10
recognised 90:5	13:5,6 18:18	regarding 14:3	relatively 129:16	50:2
recollection 24:5	29:19 35:5 36:20	20:15 21:22 26:13	relaxed 81:18	remarks 100:15
143:6,7 145:4	125:12,25 126:11	26:20 30:5 46:11	relaying 165:22	118:16 226:15
reconvene 139:25	138:12 151:1,2,8	65:17,19 76:9	release 48:8	227:10
record 3:4 14:25	153:12 212:19,19	78:5 125:7 127:7	relevance 6:2 60:14	remember 49:18
27:9 29:6 38:12	213:6,8 240:25	197:1 199:14	63:5,8 75:7 77:7	50:4 133:7,8,10
225:9	reference 9:15	204:12	158:25 159:1	133:19 140:1
recorded 2:3 14:15	10:20 22:23 51:13	regards 20:6,22	160:2	150:17 196:3
17:17 18:9 34:21	51:14 53:8 59:12	25:15 33:13 88:25	relevant 5:25 6:5	235:12 241:8
80:3 260:4	59:16 74:21 76:11	90:1 96:22 100:4	11:24 12:5 13:2,8	243:15 247:4
recording 7:10 9:2	123:4 157:3,18	140:4 148:12	17:24 22:17 23:13	remembers 139:17
10:21 14:23 20:23	159:18 162:14	157:14 205:23	31:12 35:25 46:12	remind 116:13
20:24 21:1,7	241:1,12,14	206:25 211:20	55:1 73:15 76:23	232:3 238:14
26:14,18 28:1,6	254:20,23 255:3	231:20	78:1 80:11,13	reminded 208:15
28:12 29:16,22,23	references 125:18	region 145:1 174:4	95:4 103:22 114:1	reminding 235:11
29:25 33:1 39:3	126:10,14	174:6 185:15,16	133:25 145:10	remotely 62:24
51:1,10 52:22	referred 31:24	204:17 216:8	190:25 233:8	248:18
57:17 78:10,22	53:14 75:8 125:16	218:19 221:7,11	246:24	remove 256:22
90:19 257:25	127:10 148:9	regional 18:8	reliability 26:14	removed 55:8
recordings 6:19 9:7	151:14 165:24	145:16 207:21	28:3 65:18 74:18	59:12
14:12 20:15 23:18	182:8 196:1,25	228:23 229:23	reliable 7:9 25:23	render 184:13,16
23:24 24:20 25:2	referring 31:23	regionally 226:6	32:5 38:18 74:1	rep 149:3,8
25:19,22 26:13,16	50:5 105:24	regions 86:2 225:5	reliance 10:1 32:11	repeat 148:5
26:20 27:2 28:19	118:24 147:13	register 106:20	37:20 45:17 74:4	160:12
28:21 29:4,5	186:8 198:13	registered 102:7	relied 64:20	Repeated 93:9
38:12 50:23 53:11	216:10	103:22 107:21	relies 223:11	repeating 226:22
54:6,25 56:11,12	refers 7:16 8:10	registrar 54:3	rely 9:25 10:4	repeats 8:6
57:12,12 70:23	99:5 166:17	regular 76:11	28:20 30:14,22	replace 87:3,4
73:6,9 75:14	184:22 196:7	reiterate 111:23	32:9,15 34:16	193:2,3 195:9
79:22 80:3 257:9	199:16 212:3		35:19 41:2 56:7	201:14
	reflect 24:4	reiterating 193:13 relate 17:8 169:19		
257:13,23	1 611601 24.4	1 clate 1 / .8 109.19	56:24 73:10,12,17	replacement
	<u> </u>	l	l	I

				1 agc 271
186:15 212:4	respect 8:4 9:16	83:12 85:16 234:3	108:9 109:2,7,20	88:18 107:7
replacing 192:22	11:21 19:20 22:13	234:11,18,21	112:4 113:1,6,16	112:20 113:20
replied 163:8	23:20 37:13,19	restructure 155:13	114:7,10 120:23	118:25 119:10
replied 103.8 reply 21:11 112:14	59:23 114:18	160:5	121:12,17 124:6	120:23 132:9,12
120:16	151:7 156:3 184:4	restructured	129:9 131:17	132:15,20 142:6
replying 239:2	197:3 204:17	156:21	132:7 133:6 134:9	145:22 147:18
report 158:5	245:18 246:17		136:5 137:16	158:7 170:13
187:13 188:3,11	255:9,11	restructuring 160:6	138:5 139:6 140:7	174:12 178:21
245:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	result 13:3 17:14	141:18 143:15	181:20 182:4,18
	respectfully 52:25 respond 48:21	88:21		
reported 159:20 181:11	_		144:12,16,21	182:19,21,22
	70:14,16 122:3	resulted 246:15	145:7 146:11	183:5 185:23
reporting 158:1	128:15 219:25	resume 115:17	147:10 149:24	186:6 187:14
167:8 170:22	238:21 253:23	195:17	150:6 151:15	188:6 189:10
249:19	responded 47:19	retain 123:2 179:1	153:17 156:24	197:4,11,15
reports 245:15	responding 71:17	216:4	157:3,8,18 159:10	198:23 200:4
represent 95:6	120:8 122:25	retired 100:25	160:11 163:5,7	201:4,7,12,25
190:18	131:2 237:14	returned 208:11	165:25 166:4	202:25 203:7,23
representations	241:21 244:13	reveal 48:22 50:8	167:5 172:8 173:7	206:22 211:13
23:8	responds 121:7	51:21 70:21	173:14,24 174:13	213:13,21,25
representative 9:18	128:12 220:22,22	257:16	175:3,8,20 176:11	214:12 215:13,21
21:15 42:7 88:9	223:3 247:14	revealed 49:1 69:6	176:15 177:7,12	221:9 225:8 242:4
145:1 230:1	response 24:24	257:6,10,14	180:16 181:23	242:6 245:6,12
232:14	34:12,14 45:21	revealing 189:19	183:9 186:3,20,23	246:10,21 247:16
represented 18:14	47:3 121:4 123:9	209:12,23 210:2,4	188:12 190:3,8,11	249:8 250:16,19
80:20	125:14 141:22,24	reversed 13:25	191:3 194:10	251:2,3 253:14
representing 43:12	148:24 165:16	review 54:23 111:1	195:4,10 198:16	Rightmove's
reputation 18:3	181:21 187:10	revised 85:4	199:11,19,22	113:14 243:23
reputations 172:4	211:11 220:15	revisit 235:8	201:17 203:2	Rightmove/Zoopla
request 244:13	223:7 229:13	RFI 230:18	209:6 211:21	211:4
requested 43:23	248:19,21	rid 40:20	212:17 213:20	rights 13:23 18:3
require 124:23	responsible 94:7	right 4:24 6:24	215:21 218:6,14	18:12,13 19:20
required 12:12	172:10 257:12	13:3 17:14 18:5	220:1,9 221:5,15	61:19
15:17 32:11	rest 35:16 55:17	25:6 26:9 52:19	224:20,22 225:14	ring 257:14
requirement 12:19	117:15 158:15	59:7 69:3 72:11	225:18 227:22	rise 2:23 3:3,10 5:7
requirements 19:2	161:10,23 189:19	75:3 78:14,25	228:20,22 230:22	13:11 48:16 56:4
65:9,10 66:14,14	194:12 216:16	79:15,16 84:14,19	232:8 234:4,5,20	82:11,16 115:13
96:6	225:7 234:4 235:1	85:5,6,9 86:6	235:23 236:16	195:13 202:19
requires 62:5,7	247:1	87:14 88:10 90:2	238:12 239:4,16	247:12
research 21:6	rested 52:20	92:9,19 93:21	240:6,16 243:6	rises 46:3
researcher 13:17	restricted 47:13	94:20,22 95:19,21	246:5,22 247:13	rising 257:2
resist 51:19 70:19	89:19	97:6,11 98:5,7,11	249:19 251:11	risk 16:12 33:7
resolve 81:23	restriction 21:17	98:24 99:13	252:6 254:13,18	79:24 179:8,17
233:19	22:13,21	100:22 101:7	255:2	217:7
resourced 95:14	restrictions 22:11	102:15 103:4	rightly 148:8	risks 181:17 185:9
resources 52:22	restrictive 77:4,22	104:21 107:5,8	Rightmove 60:18	RM 230:16

				1 486 272
RMZ 188:19	229:2 245:22	sadly 188:20	207:1 209:3 211:3	88:17 138:2,4
road 47:9	rounds 141:6	safe 57:4,10	214:9 216:15	Scottish 9:12
Rogerson 212:24	route 37:1 206:25	safeguards 64:18	217:1 218:19	scrappy 31:8
216:23	214:11	sales 18:8 114:16	219:25 221:10	se 10:1 34:18
role 10:18 50:24	routes 206:23	144:25 149:3,8	223:22 224:1,4,11	search 200:25
82:22 171:24	rubric 54:15	159:12 229:23	224:15,16 227:7	searches 105:22
			228:5 229:5 244:5	
205:23 229:1	107:16,17	salespeople 161:22		seat 82:22
231:3 236:3,8	rudimentary	161:22	246:5,13 248:11	second 14:1 16:23
Rook 90:13,13	107:23	sat 47:8	251:22 253:9,17	29:18 39:7 50:6
91:11,17 100:19	rule 11:25 12:19,23	satisfaction 99:2	254:15	83:15 87:10 94:6
100:19 102:5,12	21:17 22:6,8 23:3	satisfied 64:6	says 2:4 12:1 13:22	94:16 104:8
102:19 103:17	40:19 60:20 62:10	save 33:13 50:16	16:5 34:12,22	107:14 115:4
106:1 108:12	62:14,17,18,19,21	Savills 237:12	35:2 40:1 42:8,20	124:21 125:14
110:9,16 115:1	66:23 67:14 68:19	saving 170:13	45:13 50:9,15,22	130:22 131:10,19
130:13,21,22	75:17,20,23 76:10	saw 91:3 114:22	54:4 57:21 62:9	131:19 132:10
131:1,2,9,13	76:11 77:4,21	127:9 141:15	70:8 71:18 88:15	135:19 159:9
134:12 141:4,22	83:13 84:9,18	148:11 204:24	89:2,4 90:22	160:3 161:4
141:25 147:1	85:5,16 89:15	218:10 248:10,13	95:20,25 107:2,2	163:22 164:17
151:2,14,15	140:8 177:10,16	249:16	110:19,23 111:21	166:10 168:23
152:12,22 153:12	183:23 189:15	Sayer 90:14 100:19	112:7 113:17	176:8 178:11
155:2,11 157:4,20	233:21 234:1,18	168:3 169:22,23	114:7,21,23	187:14 188:6,25
160:25 162:11,19	243:23 247:20	saying 33:15 40:11	118:23 119:1,14	189:7 209:9
165:1,23,24	248:2 250:23	41:2,24 43:10	119:15 121:8,8	241:15 248:5
167:25 168:2,3,4	rules 12:1,6 15:25	46:4 47:5 54:21	127:25 130:21	249:9
169:1,20,22,23	54:11 62:2,9,11	65:22 73:15,19	131:19 132:13	secondly 9:13
170:21 171:8	62:14 65:6,23	74:9,14 75:3,4	142:2 146:7 155:3	17:23 21:18
178:14 180:3	66:11 108:5	76:24 77:5,25	161:23 163:20	109:13
181:22 182:7	ruling 81:1,3,11	84:14,24 86:6	166:10 167:10,17	seconds 27:3,4
183:24 204:2	140:9,11 259:8,10	92:6,10 98:24	168:8,8,9,12,22	259:12
210:23 211:20,24	rumour 10:20	108:9 111:10	168:22 169:20,20	section 16:15
210:23 211:20,24 212:8,20 213:19	11:12 71:21	119:24 129:4	176:8 181:15	see 5:2,8 6:17 8:1
		132:18 136:20		9:14 10:14 13:14
216:23 217:15,20	rumoured 52:22		188:2,4 195:5	
217:22 219:22	rumours 175:24	141:6 142:24	210:1,20 213:22	13:21 14:13,20
222:2,7,21 223:4	run 41:10 116:10	144:16 146:14	215:17,22 220:5	17:23 18:23 20:6
230:6,13 231:4	117:9 136:23	149:20 153:14	221:1,4 239:22,25	25:24 26:22 27:3
252:7	172:12 203:6	154:9 155:12,14	240:10,10 250:1	27:10,11,12,17
Rook's 177:18	226:5	156:6 157:3,9	251:9 255:20	28:12,15,22 31:13
230:15	run-up 175:22	161:14,17,24	259:2	31:14 32:6,22
room 59:24 69:20	225:11	164:3 169:3,8,12	scales 69:7	34:11 35:3,20,21
73:15,23 153:21	running 116:8,9	169:15,16 170:9	scenario 180:13	39:3,19,22 40:5
157:17 158:6,19	231:14	172:17 176:5	scene 232:16	40:17 41:21 45:22
256:18	runs 7:3,4,5 33:7	182:21 186:11	schedule 104:20	47:5 48:12 49:15
round 102:17,21		192:10 193:10	scheme 43:3 129:17	50:24 51:17 55:24
106:6,7,11,16,23	<u>S</u>	194:8,12 197:19	Scotland 42:8 43:8	57:20 58:1 65:21
107:4 108:10	S 107:17	204:3 206:17	87:22 88:5,9,14	66:3,16 69:17

_				1 age 273
73:7 78:19,21	206:14 210:15	138:20	164:18 179:21	133:9,19 136:13
80:4 81:19,23	211:17,20 213:7	send 28:14 103:12	230:11	171:3 198:13
84:6,21 86:21	216:14,16 217:10	103:15 106:6,7	sequence 29:5,8,12	showing 231:8
87:9,19,21 88:12	217:18,22 218:6	108:1,10 122:9,11	sequentially 28:8	239:3
89:2,10 90:17,20	220:13,15,16,18	134:17	series 40:11 110:8	shown 11:14
91:20 93:25 94:19	222:18 223:7	sending 104:22	115:8 120:10	shows 162:3 171:10
95:9 96:8 98:18	226:11 229:10	106:11,23 107:4	207:13	shut 61:24
102:22 103:21	236:17 237:14	107:10 122:20	serious 80:9	side 26:12 28:17
106:21 107:14,16	238:16,17,23	238:6,17	serve 64:8	31:15 37:11 43:8
109:11 110:6,25	239:22 241:1,17	sends 131:8 162:8	served 49:10,12	47:1,11 56:24
111:5,7,7,9,12,14	242:9,24 248:21	senior 88:3,8 206:9	252:25	71:11 83:17
114:7,11,23,25	248:22 249:1,9	217:15	service 22:8 117:6	202:12 205:25
115:2,4 116:24	252:18,18 253:1	seniority 129:14	services 97:16,16	228:3 256:17
117:6 118:14,15	255:16,21 256:18	sense 26:24 28:6	serving 64:13	sides 24:12 253:1
118:18,23 119:3,4	seeing 37:17 90:11	29:17 32:10 34:21	set 10:22 11:8,17	253:17
119:12,13,16,19	90:16 94:15	34:24 45:23	16:23 50:17 52:11	sign 119:25 138:21
119:22 120:3,4,19	148:16 175:7	129:10 147:9	56:9 62:3 64:4	171:18 242:2
120:24 121:4,7,13	201:14 252:9	181:11 189:14	71:4 72:8 96:25	signalling 192:20
122:2,7,16 128:1	255:16	214:18 222:6,7	120:1 127:3 135:5	signature 83:15
128:7 129:2 130:9	seek 10:4 32:9,11	235:3 238:25	148:15 171:25	signed 84:16
130:12 131:9	45:1 46:19 48:6	252:25 257:18,19	184:19 189:16	158:16 161:11
132:5 135:19	177:15 206:8	sensible 65:10	199:6 200:8	175:24 216:21
136:8 139:4 141:5	228:25 240:12	156:16	sets 15:12	217:25 220:7
141:7,12 142:3	seeking 31:9 53:2	sent 2:12 25:19	setting 160:17	230:9
143:4 145:9,24	71:9 140:10	54:3 102:17,19,21	seven 44:4	significant 16:12
146:5,12 147:20	seemingly 88:25	103:24 106:1,9,15	share 35:5 51:25	18:11 19:6 42:15
148:22 150:1	seen 4:1 11:2,5,6	108:22 109:3,8,14	204:11 236:14	88:21 89:9 91:25
154:1,4,22 155:11	19:9 20:8 21:13	109:23 111:10,14	shares 236:15	216:11
156:14,16 160:2,4	41:23 42:3 49:21	130:11,12,23	sheet 107:15	significantly 44:15
160:21 161:15,23	105:15 131:1	141:3 148:10	short 5:10 23:17	234:2
162:9,12 163:15	134:6 135:10	165:4 238:15,16	48:10,19 49:17	signing 43:13
163:25 164:1,6,16	136:20 143:3	247:14	68:2 82:15 115:15	159:12 241:9
164:16 165:16,17	157:22 165:9	sentence 16:24 46:4	116:18 137:22	silver 107:16
165:17,21 166:5	177:18,19 200:18	87:10 131:19	181:18 185:10	similar 21:3 226:6
166:13 167:10,10	223:11 230:5,17	153:8 163:15	195:15 199:3	230:16
167:13 168:21	232:20 242:17	164:17 180:12	258:6 259:22	simple 17:10 27:25
170:5,11,17,19,21	243:13 244:22	218:20 233:20	shortened 116:18	98:7
171:13 172:20	248:7 251:21	249:13	shorter 54:3 71:24	simply 10:15 18:25
174:21 176:16	255:12 258:19	sentences 221:2	242:4	20:3,16 24:7
178:3,6 182:25	sees 3:24 118:24	sentiments 141:13	shorthand 48:2	27:18 32:13,20
184:15,21,22	236:4	separate 6:19 27:1	shortly 23:16 90:12	44:24 45:16 59:15
186:2,24 188:2	selection 27:9	138:16 159:23	104:10	65:13 66:7,14
190:22 197:6	selective 153:20	194:11 231:1,4	show 26:14 35:19	70:3 72:17,18
199:15 202:23	self 39:25 229:20	September 83:9	35:20 36:9 40:25	74:14,23 77:24
203:13 205:12	selling 22:23	132:6 157:2	41:23 61:9 77:8	79:5 89:6 117:14

119:14 145:4 150:20 153:14 216:20 163:17 153:12 2160:9 206:17 219:24 223:18 226:20 185:11 215:12,17 247:22 256:21 153:19 184:23 247:22 254:11 257:2 259:10 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 206:18 246:22 255:13 246:22 255:13 246:22 255:13 246:22 255:13 246:25 251:13 246:25 251:13 246:23 251:1					1 age 274
158:12,22 160:9 266:10 147:7 206:17 219:24 233:18 226:20 185:11 215:12,17 247:24 254:11 215:18,20,23,24 271:7 40:15 58:23 242:24 254:11 226:3 227:12 246:25 251:13 246	119.14 145.4	sit 116:5 15 117:1	somewhat 45:7	speaking 8·12 9·11	102:16 103:7
158:12,22 160:9		*			
206:17 219:24 223:18 226:20 223:18 226:20 185:11 215:12,17 247:24 254:11 257:2 259:10 216:3 227:12 216:3 227:12 216:3 227:12 206:18 206:19 206:22 206:22 206:22 206:22 206:22 206:23 206:28 206:28 206:28 206:28 206:28 206:28 206:28 206:29 206:29 206:7,24 209:8 206:29 206:7,24 209:8 206:29 206:7,24 209:8 206:29 206:7,24 209:8 206:28 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 357:1 255:6 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 357:1 255:6 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 357:1 255:6 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 357:1 255:6 228:2 357:1 255:6 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 357:1 255:6 228:2 355:1 255:6 228:2 357:1					
223:18 226:20 247:24 254:11 215:18,20,23,24 277:17 40:15 58:23 206:18 single 71:11 202:3 206:18 singuar 29:19 sinister 20:19 sir 2:4 4:10 5:15 62:12 10:22 13:5 19:1 22:22 27:21 28:2 30:18 33:18 skittai 97:25 199:1 19:1 22:22 27:21 28:2 30:18 33:18 skitting 86:15 19:1 22:22 27:21 28:2 30:18 33:18 skitting 86:15 19:1 22:22 27:21 28:2 30:18 33:18 skitting 86:15 116:18 140:3 187:18 192:13 48:21 55:8 58:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 206:18 187:16 78:4 78:6,11,14 79:4 187:16 199:10 187:25 198:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 187:22 181:1 188:21 158:20 166:15 169:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 small 99:6 121:6,21 162:8 163:19 165:12 160:10 109:10 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 139:2 140:3,14 168:1 173:25 184:24 185:5 195:12 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 188:9 125:20 126:1,5 173:25 184:24 173:25 184:24 173:25 184:24 173:25 184:24 173:25 184:24 173:25 184:24 173:25 182:21 173:25 184:24 173:25 182:21 173:25 184:24 173:25 182:21 173:25 184:24 173:25	*			,	
247:24 254:11 257:2 259:10 216:3 227:12 259:10 216:3 227:12 216:3 227:12 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:19 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:19 206:2 206:2 206:3 206:2 206:3 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:18 206:19 206:2 20				,	
257:2 259:10 singler 71:11 202:3 266:18 singular 29:19 sinister 20:19 specifics 11s4:20 specifics 1		-		7	
single 71:11 202:3 206:18 246:25 251:13 situations 185:21 singular 29:19 82:2 86:4 93:3,4 94:15 99:2 108:21 74:4 76:15 109:21 122:8,20 123:19 singular 29:19 sinister 20:19 sixth 3:25 6:12 10:5 70:15 34:15 99:2 108:21 133:24 147:16 122:8,20 123:19 sinister 20:19 sixth 3:25 6:12 10:5 70:15 skeleton 97:1 200:17 206:2 130:2,5 135:13 136:11 143:18 yeeiffcally 184:22 244:1 130:9 134:10 129:2,19,25 130:2 91 22:22 27:21 28:2 30:18 33:18 36:1 37:10,25 43:22 45:14 46:7 46:14 47:21 48:9 48:21 55:8 58:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 78:12,21 74:2 78:6,11,14 79:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 80:22 81:6 87:2 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 80:22 81:6 87:2 80:22 81:6 87:2 80:22 81:6 87:2 80:23 81:6 87:2 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 115:10 118:9 125:20 156:1,5 138:22 139:2 80:24 84:18 64:2 173:25 184:24 80:25 86:25 257:18 80:25 86:12 90:27 185:51 95:12 199:18,20 201:17 200:7,24 209:8 206:20 227:3 206:7,24 209:8 228:2 235:1 255:6 228:2 235:1 255:6 228:2 235:1 255:6 228:2 235:1 255:6 228:2 235:1 255:6 228:2 237:7,9 228:2 237:7,9 228:2 237:7,9 228:2 235:1 255:6 82:2 28:4 29:10 120:23 133:2 130:2,5 135:13 130:2,5 135:13 236:4 41:13 230:2,5 135:13 230:2,5 135:13 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 230:2,5 133:12 244:1 310:2,1 143:14 310:2,1 143:14 310:2,2 113:14 310:14,2 142:10 3130:2,5 135:13 310:14,2 100:2 244:1 310:14,2 100:2 244:1				- v	· ·
206:18 singular 29:19 sinjetre 20:19 sir 29:19 sinjetre 20:19 sir 2:4 4:10 5:15 skeleton 97:1 94:15 99:2 108:21 115:3,5 15:11 150:14 200:2 127:25 128:19 170:25 135:13 246:7,7 129:21 136:11 143:18 197:25 199:1 197			,	_	
singular 29:19 sixth 3:25 6:12 10:5 110:2,3 115:3,5 150:14 200:2 127:25 128:19 sinister 20:19 skeleton 97:1 130:2,5 135:13 150:14 200:2 127:25 128:19 6:2,12 10:22 13:5 skeleton 97:1 136:11 143:18 regifically 184:22 130:9 134:10 28:2 30:18 33:18 skirting 86:15 161:3 162:14 skerting 86:15 161:3 162:14 specifically 184:22 130:9 134:10 43:22 45:14 46:7 46:14 47:21 48:9 slight 118:11 168:1 169:6 244:9 153:7 155:15 43:22 45:14 46:7 slightly 82:8,22 174:22 184:6 specifically 184:22 139:16,19,25 48:21 55:8 58:15 187:22 218:17 209:3 209:7 spell 89:3 pecify 64:20 153:7 155:15 specify 64:20 153:7 155:15 sp	_		,		
sinister 20:19 70:15 130:2,5 135:13 246:7,7 129:2,19,25 130:2 sir 2:4 4:10 5:15 skeleton 97:1 136:11 143:18 246:7,7 129:2,19,25 130:2 19:1 22:22 27:21 200:17 206:2 155:20 154:15 244:1 130:9 134:10 28:2 30:18 33:18 skirting 86:15 skirting 86:15 16:3 162:14 specifics 179:23 140:12,18 147:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 slight 118:11 168:1 169:6 245:9 specific 64:20 155:7 155:15 46:14 47:21 48:9 slight 18:33:22 219:18 233:22 187:18 192:13 speculation 70:7 specific 64:20 155:7 155:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 219:18 233:22 219:13 225:18 speculation 70:7 speculation 70					-
sir 2:4 4:10 5:15 skeleton 97:1 136:11 143:18 specifically 184:22 130:9 134:10 6:2,12 10:22 13:5 197:25 199:1 149:6 150:9 224:4 229:18 137:21 138:17 19:1 22:22 27:21 200:17 206:2 152:20 154:15 244:1 139:16,19,25 28:2 30:18 33:18 36:1 37:10,25 skirting 86:15 16:18 169:6 244:1 139:16,19,25 43:22 45:14 46:7 46:14 47:21 48:9 116:18 140:3 187:18 192:13 149:16,214 153:71 55:15 48:21 55:8 58:15 116:18 140:3 187:22 218:17 208:3 209:7 245:9 148:25 15:17 59:21 60:13 62:10 16:11 16:21 170:13 slowl 16:21 170:13 208:3 209:7 speculation 70:7 156:3 159:1,17 72:12,21 74:2 76:18 77:16 78:4 78:6,11,14 79:4 226:20 228:2 smill 99:6 121:6,21 243:9 249:2 186:31 169:6,18 79:13,21,22 80:13 162:8 163:19 39:24 45:18 64:5 189:8 176:9 177:17,25 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 105:21,16 109:10 156:3 181:4 138:16 148:23 181:16 182:11 15:5:20 126:1,5 138:22 189:2 <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	0				
6:2,12 10:22 13:5 19:1 22:22 27:21 28:2 30:18 33:18 36:1 37:10,25 43:22 45:14 46:7 46:14 47:21 48:9 48:21 55:8 58:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 72:12,21 74:2 78:6,11,14 79:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 115:10 118:9 105:12,16 109:10 115:20 156:15 139:14 46:15 139:14 16:13 17:23 139:16,19,25 140:12,18 147:9 245:9 148:25 15:7 208:3 209:7 219:13 225:18 226:20 228:2 231:12 242:5 168:11 169:6 187:16 78:4 187:16 78:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 19:13 22 81:6 87:2 19:13 22 81:6 87:2 19:13 21:12 42:25 116:18 174:4 157:20 158:3 80:22 81:6 87:2 19:13 21:12 42:25 116:3 169:8 116:3 169:8 187:12 138:17 199:18,20 201:17 202:13 203:2 204:4 229:18 226:20 228:2 231:12 42:25 231:13 33:12 232:18 89:8 231:18 8:16 244:1 244:1 246:9 245:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 245:9 246:9 267:20 226:2 287:18 33:12 280:18 30:19 214:14 33:17 219:18 226:18 280:18 30:19 214:14 33:17 219:18 226:18 280:18 30:19 214:14 33:17 219:18 226:18 226:20 227:3 226:20 228:2 226:20 228:2 226:20 228:2 226:20 228:2 226:20 228:2 226:20 228:2 226:20			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19:1 22:22 27:21 28:27 20:17 206:2 28:17 206:2 28:17 206:15 20:17 206:2 28:17 20:18 23:18 33:18 36:1 37:10,25 38 36:15 36:11 16:18 170:18 16:18 170:18 16:18 170:18 16:18 170:13 16:18 170:18 16:18 170:18					
28:2 30:18 33:18 36:13 7:10,25 43:22 45:14 46:7 46:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 48:21 55:8 58:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 72:12,21 74:2 76:18 77:16 78:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 139:2 140:12,18 147:9 148:25 151:7 148:25 151:7 155:3 159:,17 168:1 169:6 187:18 192:13 208:3 209:7 219:13 225:18 226:20 228:2 231:12 242:25 301:12 242:25 301:12 242:25 301:13 24:25 301:12 242:25 301:13 21:2 49:2 301:14 133:17 105:12,16 109:10 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 144:6 168:1 169:6 174:22 184:6 153:7 155:15 168:11 169:6,18 3plicted 29:5 3plicted 2	,				
36:1 37:10,25 43:22 45:14 46:7 43:14 47:21 48:9 44:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:21 48:9 46:14 47:22 18:17 208:3 209:7 specify 64:20 155:37:155:15 155:31 59:1,17 155:31 59:1,17 155:31 59:1,17 155:31 59:1,17 155:31 59:1,17 155:31 59:1,17 161:2,20 162:25 specily 64:20 specily 64:20 specily 64:20 155:37 155:15 155:37 155:15 155:37 155:15 155:37 155:15 155:37 155:15 160:12,20 162:25 162:11 69:6,18 174:22 18:6 162:20 162:25 162:11 69:6,18 174:10 175:11 169:6,18 174:22 18:6 162:20 162:25 162:11 69:6,18 162:20 162:25 162:11 69:6,18 174:10 175:11 169:6,18 174:10 175:11 186:3,19 188:19 174:10 175:11 176:14 175:11 176:14 175:14 176:14 175:14 176:14 177:14 176:14 177:14 176:14 177:15 186:3,19 188:19 174:10 175:11 176:14 177:15 186:3,19 188:19 174:10 175:11 176:14 177:15 186:3,19 188:19 174:10 175:11 186:3,19 188:19 174:10 175:11 186:3,19 188:21 176:14 175:11 186:3,19 188:21 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
43:22 45:14 46:7 46:14 47:21 48:9 48:21 55:8 58:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 72:12,21 74:2 76:18 77:16 78:4 78:6,11,14 79:4 78:6,11,14 79:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 139:2 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 139:2 140:3,14 168:1 173:25 184:24 185:5 195:12 196:8,21 197:5,20 199:18,20 201:17 202:13 203:2 204:6,21 205:9 206:7,24 209:8 206:7,24 209:8 206:7,24 209:8 206:7,24 209:8 206:20 227:3 228:23 23:12 242:25 242:12 24:12 242:12 258:16:19 206:7,24 209:8 226:20 228:2 231:12 242:25 24:11 38:21 239:24 45:18 64:25 239:14 133:17 239:16 99:8,19 239:16 99:8,19 244:6 259:24 256:20 227:3 242:11 250:13 203:2 242:11 250:13 203:2 242:11 250:13 203:2 242:11 250:13 203:2 250:13 203:2 250:20 227:3 250:2				_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
46:14 47:21 48:9 48:21 55:8 58:15 59:21 60:13 62:10 65:22 70:3 72:3 72:12,21 74:2 76:18 77:16 78:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:68 87:2 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 139:2 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 139:2 125:20 126:1,5 138:22 138:4 48:21 55:8 58:15 187:22 218:17 208:3 209:7 spell 89:3 spiced 29:5 split 185:15,20,21 186:3,19 188:19 172:1,42 1 173:4 187:8 192:13 spliced 29:5 split 185:15,20,21 186:3,19 188:19 176:9 177:17,25 189:8 splits 198:21 189:8 split as 21 189:8 splits 198:21 189:6 23:12 46:3 23:12 46:3 23:12 46:3 23:12 46:3					
48:21 55:8 58:15 187:22 218:17 208:3 209:7 spell 89:3 161:2,20 162:25 59:21 60:13 62:10 219:18 233:22 219:13 225:18 spliced 29:5 168:11 169:6,18 65:22 70:3 72:3 slow 16:21 170:13 226:20 228:2 split 185:15,20,21 172:7,14,21 173:4 72:12,21 74:2 small 99:6 121:6,21 243:9 249:2 186:3,19 188:19 174:16 175:11 78:6,11,14 79:4 157:20 158:3 sort 3:7 24:8 33:12 splits 198:21 179:3 180:2,25 79:13,21,22 80:13 162:8 163:19 167:16,18 174:4 sort 3:7 24:8 33:12 splitting 188:23 181:16 182:11 80:22 81:6 87:2 smoke 67:18 smoke 67:18 spoke 250:2 185:7 187:19 93:16 99:8,19 smoke 67:18 158:16 148:23 150:9 155:21 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 93:16 18:20 12:16:10 smoke 67:18 50-called 143:22 168:15 171:9 189:16 215:15 188:16 190:4,15 157:20 156:15 144:6 168:15 171:9 168:15 171:9 199:18:20 199:18:20 199:18:20 206:15 207:15 206:15 207:15 206:15 207:15 206:15 207:15 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_ ~</td><td></td></t<>				_ ~	
59:21 60:13 62:10 219:18 233:22 219:13 225:18 spliced 29:5 168:11 169:6,18 65:22 70:3 72:3 slow 116:21 170:13 226:20 228:2 split 185:15,20,21 172:7,14,21 173:4 72:12,21 74:2 small 99:6 121:6,21 243:9 249:2 split 185:15,20,21 172:7,14,21 173:4 76:18 77:16 78:4 small 99:6 121:6,21 243:9 249:2 split 185:15,20,21 174:16 175:11 176:9 177:17,25 split 185:15,20,21 189:18 179:1 17:17,25 179:3 180:2,25 split 185:15,20,21 174:16 175:11 176:9 177:17,25 179:3 180:2,25 split 18:21 179:3 180:2,25 split 18:23 18:16 182:11 176:9 177:17,25 179:3 180:2,25 split 18:23 18:16 18:21 179:3 180:2,25 179:3 180:2,25 179:3 180:2,25 179:3 180:2,25 179:3 180:2,25 179:3 180:2,25 179:3 180:2,25 189:16 215:15 189:16 215:15 179:2 180:2,25 179:2 180:2,25 179:2 180:2,25				-	,
65:22 70:3 72:3 slow 116:21 170:13 226:20 228:2 split 185:15,20,21 172:7,14,21 173:4 72:12,21 74:2 76:18 77:16 78:4 rail 99:6 121:6,21 231:12 242:25 186:3,19 188:19 174:16 175:11 78:6,11,14 79:4 157:20 158:3 sort 3: 7 24:8 33:12 splits 198:21 179:3 180:2,25 79:13,21,22 80:13 162:8 163:19 39:24 45:18 64:5 splitting 188:23 181:16 182:11 80:22 81:6 87:2 93:16 99:8,19 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 93:16 99:8,19 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 15:10 118:9 144:6 168:15 171:9 205:3 207:7 222:6 spreading 175:23 197:9,17 203:24 173:25 184:24 sold 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 sought 24:17 60:16,21 63:8,10 206:12,24 5:16 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 sound 5:19 60:9 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 206:7,24 209:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:22 23	48:21 55:8 58:15	187:22 218:17	208:3 209:7	spell 89:3	161:2,20 162:25
72:12,21 74:2 slowly 29:2 231:12 242:25 186:3,19 188:19 174:16 175:11 76:18 77:16 78:4 78:6,11,14 79:4 157:20 158:3 sort 3:7 24:8 33:12 splitts 198:21 179:3 180:2,25 79:13,21,22 80:13 162:8 163:19 39:24 45:18 64:5 splitts 198:21 179:3 180:2,25 80:22 81:6 87:2 167:16,18 174:4 565:3 82:17 86:25 splitts 198:21 183:16 182:11 105:12,16 109:10 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 15:10 118:9 144:6 168:15 171:9 spoke 30:15 31:25 188:16 190:4,15 173:25 184:24 sod 258:22 sod 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 solicitor 128:14 sound 15:19 60:9 springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 199:18,20 201:17 202:13 203:2 28:7 29:11 33:12 sound 15:19 60:9 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 204:4,15 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 225:2,4,14,24 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 225:2,4,14,24 228:2 235:1 25:6 189:12 211:21	59:21 60:13 62:10	219:18 233:22	219:13 225:18	spliced 29:5	168:11 169:6,18
76:18 77:16 78:4 small 99:6 121:6,21 243:9 249:2 189:8 176:9 177:17,25 78:6,11,14 79:4 157:20 158:3 162:8 163:19 39:24 45:18 64:5 splitting 188:23 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 79:13,21,22 80:13 80:22 81:6 87:2 8mith 1:8 64:2 5mith 1:8 64:2 121:14 133:17 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 93:16 99:8,19 smoke 67:18 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 150:9 155:21 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 115:10 118:9 so-called 143:22 144:6 168:15 171:9 spoken 30:15 31:25 188:16 190:4,15 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 sod 258:22 sorts 64:20 147:23 springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 140:3,14 168:1 solicitor 128:14 solicitor 128:14 solicitors 54:13,13 71:10 83:8 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 28:7 29:11 33:12 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 82:19,21,24 83:7 225:2,4,14,24 226:20 227:3 79:7	65:22 70:3 72:3	slow 116:21 170:13	226:20 228:2	split 185:15,20,21	172:7,14,21 173:4
78:6,11,14 79:4 157:20 158:3 sort 3:7 24:8 33:12 splits 198:21 179:3 180:2,25 79:13,21,22 80:13 162:8 163:19 167:16,18 174:4 39:24 45:18 64:5 splitting 188:23 181:16 182:11 93:16 99:8,19 105:12,16 109:10 smoke 67:18 50-called 143:22 121:14 133:17 138:16 148:23 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 157:20 126:1,5 144:6 168:15 171:9 50cial 257:18 50d 258:22 50d 138:16 242:11 50d 258:22 50d 138:16 242:11 50d 258:22 50d 138:16 242:11 50d 258:22 50d 138:16 242:11 50d 15:19 60:29 50d 15:20 7:15 208:12,13 209:1 206:15 207:15 208:12,13 209:1 206:15 207:15 208:12,13 209:1 206:15 207:15 208:12,13 209:1 206:15 207:15 208:12,13 209:1 206:15 207:15 208:12,13 209:1 209:10 21:1 209:10 21:1 <td>72:12,21 74:2</td> <td>slowly 29:2</td> <td>231:12 242:25</td> <td>186:3,19 188:19</td> <td>174:16 175:11</td>	72:12,21 74:2	slowly 29:2	231:12 242:25	186:3,19 188:19	174:16 175:11
79:13,21,22 80:13 162:8 163:19 39:24 45:18 64:5 splitting 188:23 181:16 182:11 80:22 81:6 87:2 167:16,18 174:4 65:3 82:17 86:25 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 93:16 99:8,19 smoke 67:18 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 150:9 155:21 189:16 215:15 185:7 187:19 115:10 118:9 so-called 143:22 150:9 155:21 168:15 171:9 spoke 30:15 31:25 188:16 190:4,15 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 sod 258:22 solid 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 spreading 175:23 197:9,17 203:24 185:5 195:12 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 sound 15:19 60:9 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:12 218:6 204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 <td>76:18 77:16 78:4</td> <td>small 99:6 121:6,21</td> <td>243:9 249:2</td> <td>189:8</td> <td>176:9 177:17,25</td>	76:18 77:16 78:4	small 99:6 121:6,21	243:9 249:2	189:8	176:9 177:17,25
80:22 81:6 87:2 167:16,18 174:4 65:3 82:17 86:25 189:16 215:15 183:21 184:4 93:16 99:8,19 smith 1:8 64:2 138:16 148:23 189:16 215:15 185:7 187:19 105:12,16 109:10 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 150:9 155:21 150:9 155:21 194:15 195:6,20 125:20 126:1,5 144:6 168:15 171:9 spreading 175:23 197:9,17 203:24 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 socts 64:20 147:23 4:11,14 37:24 206:15 207:15 140:3,14 168:1 sold 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 71:10 83:8 sounds 29:15 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 202:13 203:2 204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spack 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 225:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17	78:6,11,14 79:4	157:20 158:3	sort 3:7 24:8 33:12	splits 198:21	179:3 180:2,25
93:16 99:8,19 Smith 1:8 64:2 121:14 133:17 spoke 250:2 185:7 187:19 105:12,16 109:10 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 150:9 155:21 71:10 194:15 195:6,20 125:20 126:1,5 144:6 168:15 171:9 spreading 175:23 197:9,17 203:24 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 sotial 257:18 sorts 64:20 147:23 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 140:3,14 168:1 sod 258:22 solicitor 128:14 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 71:10 83:8 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 199:18,20 201:17 202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 spea	79:13,21,22 80:13	162:8 163:19	39:24 45:18 64:5	splitting 188:23	181:16 182:11
105:12,16 109:10 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 spoken 30:15 31:25 188:16 190:4,15 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 144:6 150:9 155:21 150:9 155:21 194:15 195:6,20 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 social 257:18 social 257:18 sod 258:22 sorts 64:20 147:23 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 173:25 184:24 solicitor 128:14 solicitors 54:13,13 324:1 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 somebody 7:24 sound 15:19 60:9 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 206:7,24 209:8 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 229:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 spacker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 24:11	80:22 81:6 87:2	167:16,18 174:4	65:3 82:17 86:25	189:16 215:15	183:21 184:4
105:12,16 109:10 smoke 67:18 138:16 148:23 spoken 30:15 31:25 188:16 190:4,15 115:10 118:9 125:20 126:1,5 144:6 150:9 155:21 150:9 155:21 194:15 195:6,20 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 social 257:18 social 257:18 sod 258:22 sorts 64:20 147:23 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 173:25 184:24 solicitor 128:14 solicitors 54:13,13 324:1 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 somebody 7:24 sound 15:19 60:9 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 206:7,24 209:8 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 229:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 spacker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 24:11	93:16 99:8,19	Smith 1:8 64:2	121:14 133:17	spoke 250:2	185:7 187:19
115:10 118:9 so-called 143:22 150:9 155:21 71:10 194:15 195:6,20 125:20 126:1,5 144:6 168:15 171:9 spreading 175:23 197:9,17 203:24 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 205:3 207:7 222:6 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 140:3,14 168:1 sod 258:22 sorts 64:20 147:23 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 173:25 184:24 sold 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 60:16,21 63:8,10 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 sounds 29:15 68:21 69:12,16,17 217:18 218:6 206:7,24 209:8 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:22 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 24:11 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18	-	smoke 67:18	138:16 148:23	<u> </u>	188:16 190:4,15
125:20 126:1,5 144:6 168:15 171:9 spreading 175:23 197:9,17 203:24 138:22 139:2 social 257:18 205:3 207:7 222:6 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 140:3,14 168:1 sod 258:22 sorts 64:20 147:23 4:11,14 37:24 206:15 207:15 173:25 184:24 solicitor 128:14 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 225:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18	*			<u> </u>	
138:22 139:2 social 257:18 205:3 207:7 222:6 Springett 3:14,18 204:2,5,15 205:8 140:3,14 168:1 sod 258:22 sorts 64:20 147:23 4:11,14 37:24 206:15 207:15 173:25 184:24 sold 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 sounds 29:15 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 202:13 203:2 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 225:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18			168:15 171:9	spreading 175:23	
140:3,14 168:1 sod 258:22 solts 64:20 147:23 4:11,14 37:24 206:15 207:15 173:25 184:24 sold 138:16 242:11 solicitor 128:14 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 71:10 83:8 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 242:1 242:1 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 210:10 214:21 217:18 218:6 219:2,13 224:4,15 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 226:18,25 227:8 226:18,25 227:8 84:14 85:23 87:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 229:8,11 232:2,17 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 2	*				•
173:25 184:24 sold 138:16 242:11 242:1 38:3,10,22 45:16 208:12,13 209:1 185:5 195:12 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 60:16,21 63:8,10 210:10 214:21 196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 199:18,20 201:17 somebody 7:24 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 242:17 245:1,18 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18					
185:5 195:12 solicitor 128:14 sought 24:17 60:16,21 63:8,10 210:10 214:21 196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 71:10 83:8 sound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 199:18,20 201:17 somebody 7:24 sounds 29:15 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18	*			*	
196:8,21 197:5,20 solicitors 54:13,13 5ound 15:19 60:9 65:15 66:21 67:22 217:18 218:6 199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 5ounds 29:15 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 202:13 203:2 5omebody 7:24 5ource 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 5outh 132:2 5outh 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 5paghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 5peak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 5peaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 5omebody's 7:25 5peakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18			sought 24·17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
199:18,20 201:17 71:10 83:8 sounds 29:15 68:21 69:12,16,17 219:2,13 224:4,15 202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18			S		
202:13 203:2 somebody 7:24 source 50:19 69:19 70:4 80:2,9 225:2,4,14,24 204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 80urce 50:19 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 42:8 56:15 73:18 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 89eak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
204:6,21 205:9 28:7 29:11 33:12 south 132:2 80:15,25 81:8 226:18,25 227:8 206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7 7	
206:7,24 209:8 42:8 56:15 73:18 space 233:6 82:19,21,24 83:7 227:16 228:8 226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18				,	
226:20 227:3 79:7 125:18 spaghetti 62:13 84:14 85:23 87:6 229:8,11 232:2,17 228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18	*			5	· ·
228:2 235:1 255:6 189:12 211:21 speak 3:9 46:16 87:9 89:22 91:10 233:25 234:10,18 255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18			_	, ,	
255:24 256:5,15 219:20 231:1 176:14 92:4 93:3,13,17 235:3 239:1,8,22 256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 speaker 37:8 speaker 39:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18			1 0		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
256:25 257:2 237:7,9 speaker 37:8 95:1,4 97:11,18 240:23 241:11 258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18			-		
258:6,19 somebody's 7:25 speakers 29:2 97:25 98:15,24 242:17 245:1,18				· · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	7	
37.13 70.20 77.23 100.1 240.17 240.3	•	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
	S11 5 UT.23	17.17 01.17	37.13 70.20	77.23 100.1	2TU.11 2TU.J
		l	l	<u> </u>	

				1 486 273
250:24 254:14,19	starts 7:7,12 15:22	Steve 104:9 122:15	75:9 98:1	sufficiently 206:10
255:1,4,14,19,24	29:7 42:11 53:16	123:17 127:18	structured 154:5	suggest 10:23 14:13
256:6,22 259:14	64:2 88:2 111:7	157:22	172:13	23:17,19 24:6
260:5	122:7 156:6	stick 5:6 211:6	structures 229:16	25:1,3,4,13 52:9
Springett's 63:11	state 11:16 50:19	stickers 31:23	stuff 39:12 66:19	67:5 101:11
67:23 69:14 80:8	200:12	sticks 183:23	66:19,20 69:11,11	123:19 126:7
81:21 125:21	stated 50:16 56:23	stock 44:18,19	sub-bullet 168:21	129:3 143:23
139:3 197:8	175:15	253:1,18	sub-point 170:11	147:19 151:1
205:22	statement 3:25 5:3	stone 208:19	sub4 23:4	153:7 160:7 173:4
square 78:18	5:5 6:13,16 7:1	stop 139:20 170:13	subheading 87:10	177:22 185:19
squarely 126:6,8	8:20 10:6 49:9,20	stopped 58:3	subject 23:16 62:16	195:6 204:14
stabilising 23:6	49:24,25 50:7,9	story 203:18	68:20 81:6 117:2	214:3 216:22
staff 3:6 159:12	50:17 51:5,13,20	Straightaway	162:22 163:2	218:20 220:24
stage 5:2 32:15	52:2,5,7,10 53:2	184:7	165:20	225:14 228:14,18
52:21 98:9,11	53:15,25 54:18,21	strange 238:18	submission 4:6	235:16,20 247:2,8
99:13,22,24 100:2	56:21 64:9,19,22	strategy 19:11	5:17 14:3 47:1	248:3,7,9
109:4 113:25	64:22 68:7 70:16	44:12,22 171:24	48:4,9 63:3,17	suggested 18:4
132:14 136:6	70:22 71:25 72:25	175:16,20 176:15	67:1,12,25 68:15	45:23 76:14
146:25 155:10	73:16 74:13,14	176:18 177:21	69:6,7 139:10	115:10 153:9
175:7 180:1 200:1	75:15 83:14	192:23 193:1,14	214:3	211:22 225:21
200:6 245:23	135:20 136:24	194:6 195:9	submissions 12:11	229:16
258:2	137:17 142:17,23	201:14 202:23	36:25 45:25 46:4	suggesting 11:1
stages 179:3 197:20	143:10 176:5	221:7 243:15	68:17 69:13 70:11	31:11 39:25 80:11
241:4	252:11,13,15	253:18 254:11	82:8 117:22 182:8	123:13 130:22
stance 151:2 238:1	254:16	straw 35:23 36:9	196:10,12	131:5 138:13
stand 105:13	statements 19:10	41:23 73:19	submit 12:18,21	144:9 165:3 178:3
117:10 205:24	24:23 49:6 64:13	streams 29:22	13:2 31:18 66:17	181:25 201:6
256:23,24	72:17,18	street 161:18	subparagraphs	209:11 219:19
standard 54:12	stay 41:20 130:1	strength 224:3	22:7	224:5,17 238:8
56:4 63:1	187:14 188:5	strengthen 113:14	Subramanian 74:9	239:8
standing 81:19	197:14 203:23	224:13	subsequent 163:9	suggestion 10:12
stands 5:19 6:5	221:9 256:23,25	strengths 168:22	subsequently 221:8	33:18 69:23
48:23 251:10	stayed 182:22	stretching 114:6	substantial 13:12	122:25 132:8,19
start 3:4,7 26:19	staying 174:12	strict 65:6,23 66:11	224:10	161:9 205:24
27:3 49:5 51:6	206:22	strong 79:6 118:21	substantiate 30:16	223:14
62:14 63:19 75:3	steer 245:1,8	171:19 178:22	substitute 198:18	suggestions 160:6
82:13 110:1	steering 95:7 99:17	180:14 213:1	198:22	suggests 74:23
115:22 118:2	99:18 241:22	231:1 246:14,18	substituted 198:9	203:25
250:19 259:6,14	step 73:12 105:5,7	246:20 249:13	subtractive 44:24	suited 97:17
started 49:14 75:4	105:11 108:8	250:20	success 44:13,14	summarise 46:3
78:17,25 139:22	157:17 159:21	stronger 224:2,13	successfully 137:10	summary 97:21
187:19	stepped 158:23	strongest 216:5	168:23 169:21,25	99:6
starting 11:25	stepped 138.23 steps 24:3 105:8	232:10	successive 100:12	Sunday 23:24 24:6
100:15 145:10	150:23,24 158:19	strongly 189:17	suck 207:5	24:20
229:10 243:22	160:9	structure 60:19	suck 207.3 suffer 14:11	supplementation
227.10 243.22	100.7	Structure UV.17	Suller 14.11	supplementation
	I	<u> </u>	I	I

				1 486 270
26:16	suspect 25:14 205:9	197:20 201:22	task 17:5	235:9 242:13,14
supplied 202:11	255:4,25	203:1 204:5 206:7	teaching 207:5	test 16:22 55:4
supplier 227:23	sustain 215:12,18	208:1 212:6 217:8	team 46:19 47:3	testimony 213:3
supply 233:8	215:23 216:3	221:23 223:24	55:24,25 105:14	text 248:25
support 9:22 93:12	sustainable 216:1	228:12,25 231:3	105:19	thank 3:10 5:15
98:4,22 104:11,15	sway 159:15	231:18 244:21	teams 24:12	21:12 46:2 48:16
106:14 161:10,23	swifter 190:23	248:6 254:12,24	telephone 212:13	49:3 60:13 70:12
249:14	swiftly 22:3 189:24	255:8	252:1,8	72:21 75:4 78:3
supported 9:19	swing 190:18	taken 9:24 16:21	tell 21:9 61:14 70:5	80:22,23 82:7,10
30:23 96:19	switch 193:20	25:16,19 36:2	142:14,16 151:19	85:20,20 99:20
supporting 158:15	switching 79:4	47:23 52:17 55:17	154:9,17 160:24	107:13 118:7
suppose 3:19 63:6	Symons 157:11	67:4,5 108:7	226:1 246:8 252:7	127:12 130:8
226:6	Symons 157.11	123:7 124:25	telling 71:24	131:16 137:24
sure 3:5,9 11:14	T	126:23 156:25	128:18 154:17	159:17 161:2
14:20,24 29:20	T 1:1	174:16 197:3	180:9,17 194:20	162:17 168:20
36:13 63:22 67:20	T/A 1:14	203:24 204:25	194:23 195:2,5	171:2 173:21
	tab 22:3 75:11 76:7	208:3 233:6	217:22 218:21	174:14,15 175:6
70:4 85:21 86:19 102:24 123:5	83:6,8 135:16,19	takes 186:17	245:13,21 246:21	174:14,13 173:6
102.24 123.3	142:12 143:2,4,12	201:17	256:6	182:25 185:5
144:19 146:20	table 26:11 62:23	talk 43:17 139:21	tells 62:15 113:9	188:1,16 193:4
150:24 151:19	107:15 205:4	140:13 158:9	tem 259:12	205:9 208:2,10,13
	take 2:25,25 4:22			208:25 213:10
152:9,23 154:9,17 158:24 167:20	14:18 22:2 31:13	161:15 212:19 talked 31:2 89:24	temporary 234:16	
	33:23,24 34:25		ten 2:23,25 27:7	223:6 226:11
170:19 172:14	35:2 36:22 40:23	250:5	47:8 48:14 49:15	227:6 235:2
174:9 178:25	42:4 43:14,18,19	talking 18:9 31:22 74:5 77:8 81:17	66:20 83:20	241:13 242:10
179:20 182:10	45:2 47:11 48:12		tend 57:24	249:19 253:23
183:22 186:13	65:16 73:18,19	82:25 86:20 95:23	tendentious 135:12	256:15 258:3,4,18
192:21 196:9	78:11 80:12 83:18	102:25 110:18 117:21 133:24	tendered 56:22	259:4,25 260:1
199:13 204:11	87:20,22 90:6	146:24 157:24	tenor 32:20 33:15	thanks 122:15
205:20 209:25 210:4 213:5	101:21 102:5	159:3 169:22	tent 216:8,16	191:25 223:17
	111:25 117:12		tenuous 60:14 75:7	238:6 243:22
214:18 241:18,20	121:1 123:24	170:12 184:8	term 96:14 175:25 181:18 185:10	249:6 theirs 221:23
251:10 252:24	127:2 133:18	209:19 228:16		
254:25 258:17	134:4 137:22	240:5 253:20	terms 7:23 9:1	theme 115:11 129:2 142:1 227:25
surely 30:15 33:21 148:3 209:15	139:12 140:6,19	talks 158:14 249:10	34:19 39:1,17	248:4
	140:24 143:20	tally 28:13	45:8,17 53:25	
225:1,5	144:13 146:16	tampered 23:20	60:10 64:19 72:7 76:20 84:25 127:7	thing 3:3 24:18
surprising 52:10 68:12 87:8 105:17	147:5 150:23,24	tampering 79:7		28:2 37:6 38:13 70:13 71:12 78:24
105:20	151:5,20 152:6,10	tap 128:17	133:1 192:2	
surreptitious 57:17	152:23 157:15	tape 21:7 63:24 taped 57:8	200:25 204:16 212:5 216:21	81:1,4 90:18 120:12 122:1
surreputious 37.17 surround 127:6	160:19,22 162:3	taped 57.8 tapes 57:5,11	221:5 222:1,14	138:4 151:5
surrounding	167:4 178:7,16	target/pick 218:25	terribly 232:15	168:15 191:20,21
145:15 147:4	179:3,7 184:25	220:5	territory 153:15	203:25 205:13
surviving 40:18	192:13 193:9,11	targeted 66:13	213:14 215:14	208:25 227:7
sui viving 40.10	1,2.10 1,3.,,11	targeteu 00.13	213.14 213.14	200.23 221.1
	I		l	I

				1 age 277
228:18 254:9	147:6 148:2	175:13 247:7,9	178:8 179:19,20	258:22
256:19	151:11 155:19	thoughts 52:19	179:24 180:23	Tollers 53:7
thing's 185:6	156:25 157:1,18	90:19 165:22	181:8 182:12	tomorrow 3:8
things 9:22 15:5	159:25 161:5	193:22	184:19 186:17	39:12 81:1,4,12
16:4 19:25 28:13	165:13,17 167:1	thousands 66:20	190:4 199:23	115:22 222:23
29:12 43:16 55:10	167:16 168:5,18	threat 74:10,15	208:22 209:17,21	255:18 259:6,7,12
59:19 64:21 73:15	169:3,8 171:8	three 6:19,19,21	210:14 217:24	259:16
75:10 78:2 80:15	172:4,9,23 175:22	29:9 40:3 50:13	221:21 230:12	top 14:21 40:11
85:23 108:4	176:7 179:12,22	54:9 56:12 89:17	231:8 232:1	44:11,11 58:2
116:22 122:19	179:24 182:8	126:24 136:1	235:17,20,24	92:11 98:18 99:6
129:4,17 156:23	187:21 191:4	152:9 164:9	236:17,18 242:16	99:11 115:1,2
170:21 172:13	194:11,13 195:19	180:22 197:23,24	247:25 252:10	120:5 122:7 124:9
193:10 196:24	197:6 201:16	202:19 239:11	257:8 258:12,20	149:15 157:19
217:21 228:2	204:21 206:12,14	241:9,14,23 242:7	259:22	185:7 198:15
234:10 237:19	209:2 211:5,12	255:10	timed 27:2	211:11 220:16
think 4:8,13 5:24	212:1,14 213:22	three-year 241:1,2	times 65:1 79:3	238:5 240:3
7:8 9:21 12:3,5	219:21,23,25	241:17	126:24 152:9,21	253:24
16:22 18:4 26:2	221:6 222:8,12	thrust 201:10	157:22 180:22	topic 171:9 185:1
26:18 27:24 28:2	226:8,17 229:18	Thursday 2:1	217:19 221:25	191:11 218:18
28:3 29:21 30:18	231:11 232:1,2	ticket 242:11	timetable 6:11	tort 61:19
31:24 32:14 33:11	236:13,14 238:14	tidying 29:24 30:3	timing 6:7 27:11	torts 20:25
35:10,12 39:1	241:4,7,15,24	tie 203:14 204:18	71:24 91:11	totally 121:5
40:13,25 41:13	242:6,14 245:3,17	tight 132:24	115:18 246:2	touch 147:1
46:3,17 47:21	246:3 248:10,19	time 24:18,24 26:22	timings 78:5	touched 60:16
48:5 49:10,12,20	250:18 252:7	27:7,12,15,20	tired 115:21	town 40:13
50:2 52:6 54:19	253:7 254:20	28:25 41:21 47:14	title 196:7	traced 128:24
55:10 57:21 58:18	257:18	48:11 50:2 51:22	to' 236:24	track 46:25 71:6
59:13,15 62:17,18	thinking 72:4 81:2	53:11 57:11 67:9	today 38:21 81:10	259:5
68:12 70:1,17	112:22,24 113:2	68:9 75:13,23	116:19,20 140:3	tracking 120:1
72:10,16,19 75:22	121:19,21 131:23	76:13 77:5 82:17	146:14 148:11	traction 172:5
78:5,11 79:9,9,19	166:5 171:11,12	87:23 91:7 94:22	228:9 230:6,10,18	226:8
79:25 80:14 81:2	171:14 184:20	95:1 98:16 107:5	234:2	train 220:13 242:11
81:22 86:10,24	190:16 197:8	108:10,22 109:8	told 11:2,6,15	242:11
87:16 88:7 92:13	202:10 211:4	109:14,23 111:22	36:17 53:4,10	Trancript 58:14
93:21 94:8,10,23	223:18	115:11,24 116:2	56:12 134:3,11,12	transcribers 3:6
99:13,13 100:13	third 18:24 60:2,3	117:21 120:2	134:14 144:25	55:22 82:12,14
102:7 105:23	60:4 94:16 145:9	123:20 126:1	145:4 147:4	transcript 5:7 7:3,4
107:20 108:7,14	167:12 170:11	129:10 130:12,16	148:19 152:5,10	7:15,18,25 8:4,6,8
108:17 111:22	187:24 188:20,21	131:11 132:16	155:19,20,23	8:15,18 9:20
112:8,14 113:7	188:24 189:6	133:14 134:12	158:12,22 160:18	14:19 19:5 25:7,8
114:6 117:1,10,18	257:24	139:12,20 141:14	160:22 180:6	25:11,13,16,18,20
117:25 119:25	thoroughly 53:1	143:5,21 146:14	186:22 203:18	25:21 26:22 28:3
122:6 126:16	thought 25:21 26:8	147:8 164:5,24	213:19 217:20	30:2,5,24 31:14
132:1,14 133:3	71:20 84:21 99:21	166:5 171:4,20	222:8 251:17	32:5 34:12,22
140:5,7,8 146:24	104:19 105:9	173:5,5 175:22	254:23 256:8	35:17 36:2 37:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

38:25 39:2,16,19	12:7,15 19:19	49:11,11,12,16,19	198:21	Understands 211:5
40:23 47:20 49:15	21:4 46:5 48:24	68:7 116:11	typo 79:6	understood 33:16
55:7,9,18,19	54:3 55:13 57:3,6	117:10,14 118:3	tyranny 226:7	50:6 51:9 81:16
57:20 58:24 59:6	57:10 58:18 60:15	Tuesday's 49:15	U	85:21 155:6 235:7
59:10,13,14 62:25	61:17,22,24 62:10	turn 6:4 11:24		245:13 251:12
64:2 67:24 69:15	63:17 64:5 65:5	21:12 25:24 42:1	UK 22:9 75:18	undertaken 71:19
73:9 78:6,7,10,12	66:3,6,23 67:1,11	72:22 75:10 86:23	76:21,22 134:22	undertaking 198:8
78:19,22 79:2	67:12 68:15 69:8	87:23 93:15	234:16 235:1	undertaking/agr
81:9 83:3 123:3	70:25 71:14 74:19	120:25 166:2	ultimately 224:23	198:3
125:23 228:9	80:5 81:23 82:7	222:18 235:10	unalloyed 33:10	undertakings
transcription 7:9	83:5 117:12 162:2	turned 178:24	unanimous 119:11	198:10,18,20
7:11 25:9 50:14	177:13 196:2	200:22,23 203:7	unattributed 41:1	199:9
54:9 117:6	208:4 241:11	turning 14:17	uncensored 16:12	undertook 71:6
transcriptions	Tribunal's 62:11	15:11 164:24	unclear 206:1	unfair 47:7,12
63:20,20	82:2	turns 202:22	uncoordinated	70:18 255:13
transcripts 2:11	tried 71:12 214:4	Tweedie 9:21 10:2	181:19	unfortunate 18:19
5:16 6:12,18,20	237:7 253:11	Twenty-eight	undecided 158:8	68:7,13
6:22,25 10:4,7,24	tries 252:19 253:22	242:20	underlined 114:7	unfortunately
11:10 18:1 19:21	true 38:17 50:18,20	Twenty-four 16:14	understand 3:25	238:17
23:11,15,18 24:1	65:7 89:14 97:14	165:8	8:17 10:8 13:6	unhappy 69:19
26:13 28:20 30:17	102:3 129:24	twice 58:16	28:14 32:20 33:18	159:19
34:19 38:12,17	134:15 168:2	two 2:22 6:1,22 7:5	37:22 52:11 56:7	United 206:17
45:2 46:23 54:7	178:19 194:5	7:20 9:11 13:15	56:14 60:25 71:4	University 13:7
56:3,8,10 57:1	truth 56:9 57:1	17:1 23:21 24:21	80:6 81:14 85:18	unknown 52:23
60:14 61:3 62:24	63:16 64:7 66:1	29:21 34:18 36:10	93:14 117:24	unlawful 20:11,25
63:17 65:19 67:2	70:5,5,6 73:3,13	37:13,13 39:11	128:13 139:14	21:1
67:15 72:24 77:7	83:15 126:3	44:16 45:18 46:3	155:12 169:6	unlawfully 61:12
79:15	135:21 136:24	56:12 61:6 76:2	174:2,3 198:5	61:14,23
trawled 19:5	137:17 143:10	78:20 81:7,8 83:2	199:20 204:10	unlawfulness 20:6
trawling 18:16	try 16:25 28:5	86:12 89:8,17,19	208:5 216:11	unnamed 8:21 31:6
treat 66:7 196:14	70:13 82:16	90:12 95:3 103:13	understandable	unnecessarily
treated 69:10	253:10	107:4 110:22	45:6	204:22 208:17
trespass 13:22 20:8	trying 17:5 19:12	118:14 120:25	understandably	unobjectionable
Trevor 186:25	41:5,7 56:24	121:16,17 125:3	154:1	63:14
187:8,25 191:25	62:12 76:19 89:23	127:10 140:22,23	understanding	unquestionable
202:1 231:23	92:2 110:3 137:6	152:16,25 159:25	11:4 21:23 22:7	190:6
235:12,18	200:19 211:12	182:15 193:10	38:7,15,19,19	unsatisfactory
trial 5:19 6:5,11	212:2 214:12,15	198:21 201:22,24	40:19 43:12 81:11	64:16
15:18 16:19 24:11	215:5 231:14,16	203:8 212:4,23	83:23 84:2,4	unspoken 138:20
24:15,20 45:20,23	232:6 235:14	224:3 247:18	105:25 115:23	unwieldy 83:4
75:12 83:9 87:19	238:14 246:19	257:22,23	118:5 156:17	update 257:2
135:20 136:5,12	253:4	type 16:13 39:24	163:20 174:10	updated 230:18
136:25	tub 116:7,10 117:9	129:15 147:13	232:14 236:3	upfront 258:17
tribunal 1:1,3 2:14	117:13,17	typed 26:2 28:7	understandings	upset 230:15
10:10 11:25 12:1	Tuesday 24:1,6	types 197:23	207:8	use 18:22 19:3
1	l " '	* *	ĺ	ĺ

200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23 241:10 uses 7:24 43:7 usus 46:1 50:15 88:1 140:8,10 versions 6:14 7:5 versus 202:6 vertical 195:25 196:11 227:13 viable 193:7 value 6:4 21:12 29:19 34:13 65:11 68:4 variants 197:24 20:19 206:6,16 variation 84:15,17 84:18,24,25 85:1 85:14 varied 84:15 85:7 136:17 varies 232:10 varies 232:10 varied 84:15 85:7 136:17 varies 232:10 varied 84:15 85:7 136:17 varies 232:10 varied 84:15 85:7 136:17 varies 232:10 variety 129:12 136:16 206:19 various 9:22 18:24 28:16 64:23 71:9 varied 84:15 818 110:15 122:5 174:10 1896: 204:12 29:13 vast 139:10 varied 96:20 venture 52:8 95:14 variety 66:20 venture 52:8 95:14 variety 66:20 venture 52:8 95:14 vorice 96:20 venture 52:8 95:14 96:17,20 96:12,14,20 97:23 98:23 127:8 value 24:17 88:1 189:12 140:22 142:11,11 198:17:29 96:12,14,20 97:23 98:23 127:8 value 6:4 21:12 136:16 20:20 99:13 39:21 variety 129:12 136:16 20:619 variety 129:12 136:16 20:619 variety 129:12 variety 129:12 136:16 20:619 variety 129:12 variety 129:12 136:16 20:619 variety 129:12 variety 129:12 variety 129:12 136:16 20:619 variety 129:12 variety 129:12 variety 129:13 vast 139:10 variety 129:12 variety 129:13 vast 139:10 variety 129:12	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:25,23 7 8:25 22,23 7 8:25 11,14 6:7 11 23
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 23:15 231:10 23 243:14 useful 193:7 207:6 111:9 187:23 244:16 versions 6:14 7:5 versions 6:1	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:25,23 7 8:25 9 1 81:25 11,14 6:7 11
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 233:15 233:10 23 :10 243:14 useful 193:7 207:6 111:9 187:23 241:16 versions 6:14 7:5 versions 6:14 7:5 versions 6:14 7:5 195:21 wake 57:24 252:18 257:16 waters 116:18 valid 85:2 132:17 valuable 193:7 valu	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:25,23 7 8:25 9 1 81:25 11,14 6:7
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 223:15 233:10 19:19 222:1 233:10 232:10 23:110 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 23 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 35: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 233:10 33:19 33: 23: 233:10 33:19 33: 23: 233:10 33:19 33: 23: 233:10 33:19 33: 23: 233:10 33:19 33: 23: 23:10 33:10 33:19 33: 23: 23:10 33:10 33:19 33: 23: 23:10 33:10 33:10 33:19 33: 23: 23:10 33:10 33:10 33:19 33: 23: 23:10 33:10 33:10 33:19 33: 23: 23:10 33:	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:25;22,23
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:12,22 223:15 231:10 23	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:258:1 86:25 22,23
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 223:15 233:10 111:9 187:23 243:14 useful 193:7 207:6 useless 184:13,17 use 7:24 43:7 usual 46:1 50:15 versions 6:14 7:5 versions 6	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:25,23
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 248:14 248:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 195:21 235:8 248:15 235:8 248:16 235:8 248:16 235:8 248:16 235:8 248:16 236:8 248:14 236:8 241:16 waiting 172:25 241:16 waiting 172:25 196:11 227:13 136:18 146:24 103:6 106:21 23:20 24:18 23:20 25:20 25:17 23:20 25:20 25:17 23:20 25:17 23:20 25:20 25:17 23:20 25:20 25:17 23:20 25:20 25:30 24:18 24:18 24:18 23:20 24:18	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:258:1 86:25 22,23
200:14 206:10 759:12,14 76:10 777:3,21 78:19 242:12 233:10 777:3,21 78:19 243:14 243:14 243:14 243:14 242:16 243:14 242:16 243:14 242:16 243:14 242:16 243:16	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5:258:1 86:25 22,23
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 243:14 243:14 242:25 174:10 222:1 233:10 232:1 233:10 232:1 233:10 232:1 233:10 232:1 233:10 232:1 232:15 231:10 23 231:10 231:10 23 231:10 231:1	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5 258:1 86:25
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 232:1 76:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 243:14 243:14 243:14 243:15 241:16 241:16 251:12 27:13 231:10 23 231:10 231:10 23 231:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5 258:1 86:25
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 wases 7:24 43:7 uses 7:24 43:7 vertical 195:25 195:21 134:16 135:23 196:11 227:13 136:18 146:24 133:12,17 value 6:4 21:12 291:9 34:13 65:11 68:4 variation 84:15,17 84:18,24,25 85:1 85:14 varied 84:15 85:7 136:17 varies 232:10 variety 129:12 136:16 206:19 various 9:22 18:24 28:16 64:23 71:9 various 9:22 18:24 28:24 28:24 28:24 28:24 28:24 28:24 28:25 28:26 28:26 28:26 28:26 28:26 28:26 28:26 28:26 28:26 28	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5 258:1 86:25
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18 5 258:1
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24 18
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 wetful 193:7 207:6 useless 184:13,17 uses 7:24 43:7 vertical 195:25 196:11 227:13 viable 91:9 vimerability 234:6 waters 116: 8 valid 85:2 132:17 valuable 193:7 valuable 193:9 valuable 193:7 valuable 193:7 valuable 193:7 valuable 193:7 va	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6 24
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18 8:3,6
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 Waiting 172:25 195:21 235:8 248:16 238:13 watch 256:2 wases 7:24 43:7 usual 46:1 50:15 88:1 140:8,10 Vilage 195:25 196:11 227:13 viable 91:9 Vilage 6:4 21:12 29:19 34:13 65:11 68:4 variation 84:15,17 84:18,24,25 85:1 85:14 varied 84:15 85:7 136:17 valued 84:15 85:7	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22 4:18
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 195:21 235:8 248:16 238:13 watch 256:2 waters 116: wase 7:24 43:7	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24 0:6,22
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7 19 7:24
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15 6 0:7
200:14 206:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 221:12 232:15 231:10 23	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 15,20 4,16 13 5:13,15
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 77:3,21 78:19 221:2,32 223:15 231:10 23 243:14	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20 4,16 13
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 vertical 195:25 196:11 227:13 value ble 193:7 value be 193:7 value 6:4 21:12 29:19 34:13 65:11 67:6 106:4 113:4 67:6 106:4 113:4 200:14 206:10 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 231:10 23 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23 231:10 23 235:8 248:16 238:13 235:8 248:16 238:13 235:8 248:16 238:13 watch 256:2 waters 116: watch 256:2 waters 116: watch 256:2 waters 116: watch 256:2 23:20 24:1 23:20 2	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 5 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18 15,20
200:14 206:10 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 11:9 187:23 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 241:16 243:14 243:1	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7 1:7 2:17,18 15,20
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 77:3,21 78:19 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 usual 46:1 50:15 88:1 140:8,10 Vertical 195:25 196:11 227:13 viable 91:9 Victoria 1:3 valid 85:2 132:17 valuable 193:7 Valuable 193:	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 5 51:6 0 62:7 :7 2:17,18
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 77:3,21 78:19 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23 243:14 84:13 85:4,16	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 versions 6:14 7:5 versus 202:6 88:1 140:8,10 Value of the state of t	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6 0 62:7
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 235:8 248:16 238:13 useful 193:7 207:6 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 wanted 28:17 52:3 waters 116: uses 7:24 43:7 versions 6:14 7:5 versus 202:6 wake 57:24 93:1,6 97:14 23:20 24:1 88:1 140:8,10 vertical 195:25 196:11 227:13 134:16 135:23 109:17 133:1,6,11 33:19 35:8 136:18 146:24 133:12,13,17 46:1 50:12	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11 37:1 51:6
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 usual 46:1 50:15 88:1 140:8,10 88:1 1227:13 136:10 135:23 136:10	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 235:8 248:16 238:13 useful 193:7 207:6 111:9 187:23 waiting 172:25 wanted 28:17 52:3 watch 256:2 uses 7:24 43:7 versions 6:14 7:5 versus 202:6 wake 57:24 59:22 85:21 92:23 way 3:7 12: 88:1 140:8,10 vertical 195:25 Wales 20:24 132:2 103:6 106:21 29:20 31:1	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25 0 32:11
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 241:16 235:8 248:16 235:8 248:16 238:13 useless 184:13,17 241:16 waiting 172:25 wanted 28:17 52:3 waters 116: uses 7:24 43:7 versions 6:14 7:5 195:21 59:22 85:21 92:23 way 3:7 12: usual 46:1 50:15 versus 202:6 versus 202:6 versus 202:6 versus 202:6 versus 202:6	0:6 2:14 5 8,9 14 7 27:25
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 235:8 248:16 238:13 useful 193:7 207:6 111:9 187:23 241:16 waiting 172:25 wanted 28:17 52:3 waters 116: uses 7:24 43:7 versions 6:14 7:5 195:21 59:22 85:21 92:23 way 3:7 12:	0:6 2:14 5 3,9
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 241:16 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23 235:8 248:16 235:8 248:16 238:13 255:18 257:16 watch 256:2 waters 116:	0:6 2:14 5 8,9
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 W 235:8 248:16 238:13 useful 193:7 207:6 111:9 187:23 255:18 257:16 watch 256:2	0:6 2:14
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 243:14 84:13 85:4,16 votes 186:19 235:8 248:16 238:13	0:6 2:14
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23 222:1 233:10 77:3,21 78:19 vulnerability 234:6 221:2,22 223:15 231:10 23	0:6
200:14 206:10 59:12,14 76:10 votes 186:19 219:15 220:1,9,9 220:11 23	0:6
198:23,24,25 version 6:16 25:14 215:16 213:5 219:1,2,11 195:1 200	1 210:L
194:15 198:11,20 vernacular 222:6 190:18 211:10 201:23 211:13 183:8 194	
142:21 169:4 verify 80:15,22 186:3,3 188:19,23 196:23 197:12 170:10 17	
106:12 119:23	
97:12,18 105:3 verbally 166:18 volunteered 231:2 184:13 185:11,14 139:7 145	
30:20 38:15 93:9 194:25 234:12 volume 140:22 182:11 183:23 130:16 13	

				1 agc 300
175:7 185:4	176:13 191:12	10:5 24:23 49:6,9	49:3 55:8 56:6	71:8 72:1 87:19
195:13 196:14	202:3 203:8	49:20,24 50:7,9	57:21 58:2,15,18	119:14 122:15
197:13 206:4	207:12 230:16	50:17 51:5,12,20	59:21,23 60:3,6	233:16
227:25	232:13,17,23	51:22 52:2,5,7	60:13 62:1,9,20	worked 87:22
we're 139:11	233:9,10 242:14	53:2,15,24 54:18	65:13 66:12 68:14	237:18
we've 2:16 42:3	242:21 243:3	64:8,13 68:7,9	69:4 70:12,13	working 7:2 26:5,6
71:12 139:8	244:5 245:24	70:16,22 72:24	71:23 72:3,5,10	41:21 51:8 53:6
weaken 22:15	246:14 250:8	75:15 105:24	72:12,18,21 74:12	108:25 117:5
website 102:6	251:22,23 252:20	142:17,23 168:4,9	74:17 76:7,18,25	128:11
103:23 107:22	251.22,23 232.20	195:18,23 196:24	77:14,19 78:4,16	works 41:5 43:3
103.23 107.22	whichever 178:25	195.18,25 190.24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	world 47:11 77:10
		204:25 207:2	79:4,11,13,16,21	129:5 215:25
Wednesday 50:2	whilst 140:21,23		79:24 80:13,19,23	
week 10:16 24:21	179:2 229:21	208:11,11 228:5	82:7 108:20	236:11 250:20
24:21 45:18	245:5	252:11,13,14	256:19,20 257:1,2	257:16
weekend 25:20	whip 236:8	254:16 255:16	258:3	worry 31:7 112:5
weeks 39:11	Whiteley 145:11	witnesses 20:2	Woolfe's 259:1	155:15 159:1
weighed 19:2	148:3,6,7,8,14,25	86:11 116:7	word 87:3 93:9	176:9
weighing 17:12	150:1,11 153:14	woman 167:20	96:24 97:18	worse 246:18
weighs 69:6	154:1,3 156:6	232:8	133:15 171:22	worth 5:1 17:12
weight 32:16 37:18	165:23 178:9,12	wonder 147:19	198:14 206:10	65:14,15,20 236:3
45:25 46:5,7,10	181:22 182:1,5	195:21 208:14	217:20	wouldn't 11:19
46:12,23 65:13	183:2,17,19 184:8	240:24	wording 93:13,14	15:9 19:1 21:4
66:8 69:2 74:22	210:18 211:22	wondering 27:8	99:11 200:3	34:5 35:24 42:20
81:21 87:5	229:13 248:10	woo 237:7	words 12:8 15:15	42:23 44:19 86:9
weighty 17:15 20:5	Whiteley's 147:6	Woolfe 1:19 5:13	15:17 16:7 17:1,5	105:10 147:10
welcome 66:6	232:21	5:14,15 6:24 7:19	22:15 24:13 25:25	162:1 180:22
208:12 247:7	Whitley 114:17	7:21,23 8:14,17	39:9,11 41:5	222:5 251:23
259:1	121:20 180:13	8:22 9:1,4,7 10:19	42:14,18,25 43:1	257:10
welcoming 47:1	Whoah 254:1	10:22 11:4,8,16	43:18 44:7,8,13	write 127:13,22
went 11:9 58:2 59:7	wide 76:21	11:19,23,24 12:4	44:20 47:16 50:25	221:18 248:10
168:18 171:17	wider 76:22 172:8	12:5 15:4,7,24	51:3 54:5 69:18	writers 48:2
225:3 229:2 257:7	257:16	19:1 21:9,12	76:3 94:1,2,6,15	writes 154:23
weren't 25:3 29:12	wife 248:14	24:14,25 25:4,8	94:19 95:9,11	writing 88:7 134:7
98:7 100:8 107:4	willing 48:23	25:15 26:2,6	97:19 98:15,17	247:11 248:8
132:24 134:23	105:13 257:10,13	27:21,22 28:2,11	115:18 119:24	251:22 258:13,14
136:10 141:14	257:25	29:9 30:9,18 31:9	124:23 125:2	259:11
148:18 165:11	willingness 222:14	31:13 32:9,19,24	126:18 127:25	written 28:24 93:24
169:14 178:1	window 77:10	33:2,11,18,23	128:11 129:25	94:10,20 98:17
186:16 188:23	wish 167:12 213:6	34:25 35:2,10,14	133:10 173:12	113:19 135:7
214:19	256:23	35:15,19,22 36:1	174:7 177:4	142:15 196:12
west 42:10 100:20	withdrawal 132:15	36:6,13,17,22	181:18 206:17	199:21 251:23
134:15 135:23	withdrew 195:23	37:5,10,25 38:6	218:1 229:9	252:5 258:11,21
136:18 146:23	wither 194:2,7	38:10 42:5 46:2,7	230:19 234:24	wrong 26:24 28:7
147:2 152:15	witness 3:18 5:4	46:14 47:6,21	247:19	28:23 37:23 60:25
174:23 175:5	6:13,16 7:1 8:19	48:6,9,15,16,21	work 25:10 29:22	72:11 80:7 93:16
I	1	1	1	1

				1 486 301
155:20 169:16	157:11 229:22	7DC 220-10 20	12.15 115:16	170:18 189:24
	137.11 229.22	ZPG 239:19,20	12.15 113.16 12.30 116:16	
199:13,16	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	0		190:9,11,20
wrongly 209:22	Z 93:19 165:20	09.21 145:11	12.49 238:20	192:22 193:3,19
210:2	166:18 167:22	07.21 1 7 3.11	12.93 110:5	194:11 195:10
wrote 94:22 95:1	173:13,16	1	1262/5/7/16 1:1	201:14,15 260:4
95:10 111:8 124:5	,	1 7:5 26:21 27:5	1292 111:7 115:1,2	2.38 49:12 78:13
127:18 128:1	Zoopla 10:13,18	39:10 64:10 75:22	118:12 120:6	20 27:3 122:8
134:9 142:23	11:2 22:16 60:18	78:12 93:15	1293 110:1,2	139:23
148:25 154:23	88:16 107:7	107:15 115:25	1297 121:1	2006 51:17
171:13 186:24	112:20 113:8,13		13 27:16 35:19	2011 93:20 95:2
202:8 229:11	132:5 135:2	116:4,16 119:1	54:20 168:19	99:23
258:10	145:22 146:24	166:11 170:17	13.07 122:8	2012 236:18 238:11
	147:18 152:4	188:9 198:4	13.47D 90:7	238:20 239:9
X	155:24 157:2	236:17	1306 128:11	2013 91:6 95:8
X 8:25 9:2,4,5,8,24	158:7 163:13	1.00 140:15	1307 122:4	98:10 100:13,25
10:2,3 11:13 26:2	167:1 173:13,24	1.45 117:2 140:17	1347C 90:7	106:2 111:20
26:4,5,6 30:2,8	182:19,20,23	1.51 2:12,19 54:1	14.39 238:22	113:24 218:10
36:12,13,20 37:3	183:15 184:5,12	1.52 72:9	14.55 110:12	231:13 241:5
48:7,10,14,23	184:15,16 185:23	10 27:10,12 82:17	142332 111:15	245:16 253:19
50:23 51:9 69:5	186:7 189:11	86:22 115:22	15 15:12 55:24 85:3	2014 88:2 101:1,6
75:11 83:4 135:16	190:2,11,24	132:6 157:2 158:1	229:9 233:2 235:2	103:3,24 107:20
142:12 143:2	191:15 192:17,22	159:3 162:6	15.10 142:17	130:15 141:3
257:5	193:2,3,15,20,24	170:18,18 179:21		
X's 48:22	194:2,7,9 195:8,9	201:21 202:6,24	16 39:15 124:4	143:21 144:6
A \$ 40.22	196:7,18,20 197:4	203:8 207:23	17 238:3	146:13,25 147:13
Y	190.7,18,20 197.4	240:12 255:5,24	173 143:3	147:24 157:2
Y 9:3,7 257:9,22	197.14 198.23,23	256:1 259:6,7,9	174 93:15,21,24	165:11,11 174:20
year 61:5 148:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	259:14,17,20	97:20 99:19	175:23 176:3
189:23 192:25	201:8,20 202:5,10	10,000 251:21	175 93:23,24,25	212:11 230:8,9,11
218:9 223:9 241:9	202:22 203:10,12	252:5	18 238:20,21	242:20 254:21
	203:23 205:24		18-files 205:20	2015 101:1,8,9
241:23 242:7	206:21 209:18	10.00 260:3	180 98:16 99:10	125:14 168:19
years 44:7 95:3	211:7,15 213:2,13	10.05 122:8	183 123:4	187:5 235:18
125:3 126:24	213:21,25 214:12	10.30 48:18 64:3	19 111:15 113:24	247:18
137:15 241:14	215:13,21 218:18	115:22	19.19 178:9	2016 39:5,15 85:3
yellow 50:25	218:22,25 219:3	10.35 48:20	1944 182:8	124:6 125:2,17
241:17	219:21,24 221:9	10.39 150:20		233:2
yellowed 33:8	221:14 224:24	11 162:7 170:18,19	2	2017 2:1 234:17
yesterday 6:2 10:11	225:6,17 226:13	11.24 156:18	2 27:6 50:10 64:10	204 228:9
38:4 54:19 55:3	226:17 227:8	12 49:16 75:21	75:12 81:2,3 83:9	21 15:23,25 32:1
60:16,21 71:1,17	240:5,7,14 241:8	83:12 84:17 85:2	116:8,9 119:2	123:4
82:23,25 85:14,24	242:7 246:4,16	85:17 137:18,20	124:6,21 135:20	22 16:4
86:10,15 88:8	249:14 250:10,12	142:13 143:5	137:17 145:11,12	23 11:9 16:10 53:9
89:24 119:18	250:13,15,20,22	170:18 228:10	146:17 147:23	53:12
123:3 127:3 133:3	250:25 251:15,15	234:3,19	156:18 157:24	
133:7 138:9 140:1	253:14	12.10 115:14	166:7 168:21,23	24 16:18 91:6
	<i>233</i> .1⊤		100.7 100.21,23	166:24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 age 302
25 16:19 143:2,4	143:12	41 32:22	70:20 87:23 130:5	90 201:19 202:6,22
250 42:13	34 14:19,21 15:3	42 33:24 40:16	130:6 140:22	207:23 225:7
254 84:5,7,24	3443A 141:21	43 22:6 35:1 40:15	143:20 164:23	90/10 203:1,4,4
255 83:20 84:7,8	3443B 140:22	40:17 58:2	178:9 179:11,21	92 42:5
2575 248:22,24	3453B 162:16	44 35:5 57:20 58:2	212:11 222:19	93 42:5
249:18	3454B 162:14	4463A 164:25	228:10 248:10	95 259:17
2576 242:19,23	35 39:6,22	173:12	6/3443B 130:9	958 15:12
2577 174:17,25	36 22:19 40:10	46 7:3	141:1	96 44:10
242:22	36(l) 22:20	47 7:6,7 78:20	6/3453B 162:5	960 15:23
2578 176:7	3630 255:5,22	4749 235:11	60 35:6	700 15.25
258 260:8	38 22:25 27:6 196:2	48 196:3	62 36:1	
26 51:7 53:16 199:6	196:17 198:11,15	49 198:15		
27 125:14	199:10,12	49.22 27:17	7	
2753 150:20	389 238:5,19	4974 191:5 208:16	7 50:5 53:4,16	
2754 145:8	240:24	209:1,8	141:3 178:8	
28 16:23 27:5 88:2	39 61:11	4976 186:24 187:20	210:14 212:8	
174:20 248:23	39-35 62:3	4977 187:21,25	222:17 227:1	
2891 157:19 161:4	39.55 27:18		255:22	
29 14:9	390 236:17	5	7/3627 255:4	
2EB 1:5	391 240:3	5 50:12 51:15,18	72 62:14,18	
ZED 1.3	392 241:12	52:13,16 66:25	78 16:15 235:11	
3	3977 210:15	67:7 70:20 84:8		
3 22:3 50:15 90:6	3987 227:3,19	84:13 85:8 102:22	8	
110:1,3 118:13	3990 222:18	130:6 143:20	8 17:2,20 18:3,12	
123:25 124:3	3992 178:8 197:7	167:13 173:10	51:5 164:24	
130:4,6 170:12	3994 204:5 212:8	174:17 210:16	168:17,21,21	
173:11,25 185:3	3774 204.3 212.0	242:18	170:11	
188:10 196:3	4	5/2645A 103:2	8.23 121:3,6	
3.00 195:14	4 12:19,23 40:10	5/2724 145:8	8.34 72:10	
3.05 195:16	62:21 85:1 170:22	5/5527 133:5	8.51 2:9,13	
3.38 78:13	4.15 115:24 259:13	50 23:1	82 260:5,6	
3/1307 127:13	4.2(a) 12:23	50/50 189:17	83 7:6	
30 27:4 259:11	4.30 64:3 115:23,24	51 23:4 59:10	8310 229:9	
30.11 27:15	117:4 259:13	52 41:1	84 7:12	
30.7 27:14	4.45 117:7 260:2	5395 86:23 87:9	8719 124:6	
31.6 54:15	40 22:25 199:14	54 62:17,18	8721 124:19	
32 7:5 25:24 55:12	40(b) 23:1	55 11:25 41:17		
63:1	40(d) 23:4,5	62:10,19 66:23	9	
32(b) 22:7	40(e) 23:7	68:19	9 2:1 3:8 9:6 13:21	
32.1 62:2	40(f) 23:11	55.1 12:6	99:23 117:5	
325B 88:12	40.25 27:19	55.1(b) 67:14	170:18 186:23	
3275 C 87:24	400,000 223:10		209:8 210:10	
33 7:3 8:9 39:2	4001 220:14	6	235:11 258:20	
75:11 76:7 83:6	405 2:9	6 8:19 9:4 49:23	9.00 2:2	
135:16 142:12	40F 200:7	50:3,22 52:24	9.07 5:9	
150.10 1 12.12	101 200.7	, in the second	9.15 5:11	
				<u> </u>