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Thursday, 9 February 2017
(9.00 am)

Application re Recorded Evidence
MR MACLEAN: Sir, before Mr Harris says whatever he is about to say, can I just say that after I left my chambers this morning, between leaving chambers and arriving here, we received a letter from Quinn Emanuel. I was forwarded an email from Miss Farrell, who instructs me, at 8.51 this morning. At page 405 , including that letter on my phone, it seems to us absolutely extraordinary that the extracts from these transcripts were sent at 1.51 this morning and this explanation from Mr Bronfentrinker arrived at 8.51. My point is I haven't read that letter. Has the Tribunal received that letter?
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we've received and read it.
MR MACLEAN: I haven't read all of it yet and I would like the opportunity to finish the five page letter. I have digested, obviously, the one paragraph letter from 1.51 this morning but I haven't yet, and Mr Holmes hasn't read at all, the five page letter that arrived within the last two minutes.
THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want us to rise now for ten minutes for you to read it?
MR MACLEAN: It won't take ten minutes, it will just take
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five minutes but I haven't been able to digest it.
THE CHAIRMAN: That is entirely fair enough. It is a fast moving thing. We will rise for five minutes. Before we do, I was going to start by just putting on the record, I am sure for all of us, our appreciation for the transcribers and the court staff -- it's very easy for the chairman to say in a blasé sort of way "Let's start at 9 o'clock tomorrow." Considerable effort has gone into making this possible and I am sure I speak for everyone when I say thank you. We'll rise for five minutes.
MR MACLEAN: Just one other point. We were obviously hoping and expecting to receive the extracts earlier than we did. And had we done so, I apprehend that Mr Springett would have familiarised himself with the extracts but he hasn't had an opportunity to do that. When this phase you are about to embark on, finishes, obviously
Mr Springett will be back in the witness box but he will, I suppose we could do it now, but he will need an opportunity, depending on what happens, to familiarise himself with such extracts as might be in play.
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, there are a number of practical issues if this material is to be put to him, including, for example, whether he sees the confidential parts of Mr Bronfentrinker's sixth statement which I understand
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he's not seen as yet.
MR MACLEAN: I don't believe he has, no.
THE CHAIRMAN: But these are matters which we will obviously have to discuss.
MR MACLEAN: These are all down the line and in my submission, as I will develop at the appropriate moment, we won't get to any of this.
THE CHAIRMAN: I think I know where you are coming from, Mr Maclean.
MR HARRIS: Sir, of course, on that last point, the obvious course is for Mr Springett to have regard to the excerpts now. He is not giving evidence for nearly an hour and a half. I think the point Mr Maclean is making is that Mr Springett hasn't read them but he can read them now.
THE CHAIRMAN: Does that pre-judge our decision?
MR HARRIS: Not for him to read the extracts. If they are then excluded, there is no problem.
THE CHAIRMAN: I don't particularly have a problem with that, Mr Maclean.
MR MACLEAN: Not specially. Obviously, it is conceivable we might need to take instructions from him in the course of this part of the hearing but, in principle, that is right.
THE CHAIRMAN: If he wants to avail himself of the

Page 4


| Page 9 |
| :---: | :---: |

## Page 9

se. It is not a part of the reliance we place upon it that, therefore, Mr Tweedie said X or that Mr Dan Henry said X. That's not important for the purposes for which we would seek to rely upon the transcripts.

So Mr Bronfentrinker, in his sixth witness statement, gave ancillary evidence as to the provenance of these transcripts and then you should also have a copy of the letter which I understand was read this morning, from Quinn Emanuel. That has been provided partly to assist on the issues which the Tribunal raised yesterday and some issues which Mr Maclean raised and in particular with the suggestion form Mr Maclean that GHL or Connells or Zoopla may have had access to these at some earlier date and as we see from the letter, that is simply not the case. They came into the possession of GHL late last week and so far as anybody knows, neither GHL nor Connells ever had access to any --
MR FREEMAN: Zoopla played a little role in it, didn't they? MR WOOLFE: Yes.
MR FREEMAN: There is a reference to Mr Notley. A rumour that a recording was circulating.
MR WOOLFE: Yes. As set out in the letter, sir, but that doesn't suggest that Mr Notley had a copy of the transcripts --
MR FREEMAN: No, I didn't say it didn't.
Page 10

MR LANDERS: But you are not suggesting that Mr Notley has actually told you that Zoopla have not seen these documents?
MR WOOLFE: Our understanding is that he hasn't got them. Hasn't seen them before.
MR LANDERS: He hasn't told you he has seen them but have you asked him?
MR WOOLFE: When we set out in the letter, when Quinn Emanuel went to Mr Notley on 23 January to ask him, now we have heard these transcripts do exist, he didn't have a copy, he didn't know any more than he said about the rumour previous.
THE CHAIRMAN: One question that arises is whether X has shown this material to anybody else. I am not sure you have told us about that.
MR WOOLFE: The full state of our knowledge about that is set out in the letter.
THE CHAIRMAN: Your knowledge may be incomplete.
MR WOOLFE: Indeed it may. I wouldn't pretend otherwise. We don't have full knowledge but we have given a full and open account of what knowledge we do have in respect of --
THE CHAIRMAN: We would expect nothing else, Mr Woolfe.
MR WOOLFE: Now if I can turn to deal with the relevant law. The starting point should be rule 55 of the tribunal
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rules which says that the Tribunal may give directions -- do you have a copy of that available?
THE CHAIRMAN: I think we have enough copies to be getting on, with Mr Woolfe.
MR WOOLFE: I would say the relevant parts of it, I think, are rules 55.1 (b), (c) and (f):
"The Tribunal may give directions on issues on which it provides evidence and ...(Reading to the words)... and the admission and exclusion of the proceedings of evidence."
"All submissions [at (c)] the nature of the evidence required to decide issues."

And:
"(f) the way in which evidence is to be placed before the Tribunal."

All those may be an issue (inaudible) may need to
make. So there is an obvious analogy to CPR32.1 and I also submit that this power should be exercised in accordance with rule 4 which is the requirement to ensure that each case is dealt with justly and at proportionate cost. We further submit that cost issues are not really what is centrally in issue here. What matters under rule 4 is 4.2 (a), ensuring parties are on an equal footing and (d) ensuring the case is dealt with expeditiously and fairly and, of course, the overriding
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| 1 | concern is justice. | 1 | the conversation is being kept hidden away from the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | We submit that the relevant issues are justice, | 2 | other protagonists. We don't know quite what's going on |
| 3 | achieving the right result in the case and fairness to | 3 | on page 34. |
| 4 | both parties. | 4 | MR WOOLFE: No, we don't. It may have been a button that |
| 5 | Sir, I was going to refer to a case which | 5 | beeps on the line or there may be a number of things. |
| 6 | I understand my learned friend is also going to refer | 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. |
| 7 | you to, Jones v University of Warwick. If I could hand | 7 | MR WOOLFE: There are certainly a lot of points there are |
| 8 | up some copies of that. (Handed) The relevant facts of | 8 | personal conversations at the fringe of these meetings |
| 9 | the case appear from the headnote. It was a personal | 9 | which are included. There is that too. I wouldn't say |
| 10 | injury case involving a hand injury to an employee which | 10 | otherwise. |
| 11 | was said to give rise to continuing disability and a | 11 | Just turning back to Jones v Warwick for a moment. |
| 12 | substantial claim for damages. Liability wasn't an | 12 | Paragraph 15 at the bottom of page 958 just sets out the |
| 13 | issue but it was an issue of quantum. | 13 | reasoning of the court below. It is said that: |
| 14 | And you see between (c) and (d): | 14 | "The primary question for the court is not whether |
| 15 | "On two occasions an enquiry agent acting for the | 15 | or not to give a ...(Reading to the words)... evidence |
| 16 | defence insurers obtained access to the claimant's home | 16 | was obtained, it is whether justice and fairness |
| 17 | by posing as a market researcher and using a hidden | 17 | required that this highly ...(Reading to the words)... |
| 18 | camera to film the claimant without her knowledge." | 18 | to others should be put to her before the trial judge |
| 19 | So essentially it is obtaining access to her home by | 19 | ... sound conclusion ..." |
| 20 | deception. Filming it. | 20 | So that was the effectively the QBD judge's |
| 21 | And it was said, and you will see from paragraph 9 | 21 | reasoning. |
| 22 | later on, it says it was common ground this was trespass | 22 | Then the reasoning of the Court of Appeal starts on |
| 23 | and infringement of her rights to privacy. The district | 23 | paragraph 21 on page 960. And the court which is led by |
| 24 | judge excluded the evidence originally. The judge in | 24 | Lord Justice Woolfe for dealing with the case under the |
| 25 | the Queens Bench division reversed that decision on | 25 | new rules, said at -- paragraph 21 to the previous |
|  | Page 13 |  | Page 15 |
| 1 | appeal and allowed it in and this was a second appeal to | 1 | authorities and noted that in the old authorities, the |
| 2 | the Court of Appeal. | 2 | achieving of justice in a particular case before the |
| 3 | My first submission is as civil cases regarding the | 3 | court was the paramount consideration but at |
| 4 | exclusion of evidence goes, this is quite extreme facts. | 4 | paragraph 22, goes on to note that things had moved on |
| 5 | It is common ground that there had been deception by the | 5 | a bit and says: |
| 6 | insurers, who effectively -- something very close to | 6 | "While this approach will help to achieve justice in |
| 7 | being one of the parties in the case and an invasion of | 7 | a particular case ...(Reading to the words)...those |
| 8 | the claimant's privacy by going into her home. So it is | 8 | engaged in or about to be engaged in legal proceedings. |
| 9 | a fairly extreme case. And at paragraph 29 the court | 9 | That is also a matter of real public concern." |
| 10 | commented that that was improper and not justified. Our | 10 | At paragraph 23: |
| 11 | case doesn't appear to suffer from those problems. The | 11 | "If the conduct of the insurers in this case goes |
| 12 | recordings were made covertly. There is nothing from | 12 | uncensored, there would be a significant risk that |
| 13 | what we can see to suggest that there was deception | 13 | practices of this type would be encouraged." |
| 14 | involved nor an invasion of somebody's home and it is | 14 | Twenty-four goes on to note "Additionally, in |
| 15 | business meetings that have been recorded, so a | 15 | criminal cases" -- section 78 of PACE. "Admission of |
| 16 | distinction there. | 16 | the evidence adversely affects the fairness of the |
| 17 | Just turning back to Jones for a moment. | 17 | proceedings." |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: I take the point about the home but looking | 18 | Paragraph 24, it also notes the case of Rall v Hume |
| 19 | at page 34 of the February transcript, I am not quite | 19 | and disapproval of trial by ambush, paragraph 25. So |
| 20 | sure what to make of it but do you see the long | 20 | where one party deliberately holds back and ambushes the |
| 21 | paragraph of M2 at the top of page on page 34 which ends | 21 | other. That is a fact to be taken into account. |
| 22 | up with "Have we all got our boxes in, Brian?" And then | 22 | And then I think the court's test, as it were, is |
| 23 | "M1: mum don't, that's you recording." I am not quite | 23 | set out at paragraph 28 , just below D. The second |
| 24 | sure what's going on there but there does seem to be an | 24 | sentence of that: |
| 25 | element of ensuring that the phone being used to record | 25 | "The court must try to give effect to what are here, |
|  | Page 14 |  | Page 16 |


| 1 | the two conflicting ...(Reading to the words)... as will | 1 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, I wouldn't say it is irrelevant but it has |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the gravity of the breach of article 8. According to | 2 | to be weighed in the balance against the requirements of |
| 3 | the facts of the particular case, the decision will | 3 | doing justice in the case and having regard to the use |
| 4 | depend on all the circumstances. Here the court | 4 | that is actually going to be made of particular parts of |
| 5 | ...(Reading to the words)... who has the task of trying | 5 | the transcript, what is actually going to be trawled |
| 6 | the case." | 6 | over to a significant extent in court and any other |
| 7 | Then there is an issue in that case about having to | 7 | protection that can be put in place, if necessary, to |
| 8 | instruct new medical experts which doesn't relate to us. | 8 | prevent any further disclosure, if that were necessary. |
| 9 | Pausing there. In our case we say that the | 9 | As you will have seen from the passages that we have |
| 10 | balancing exercise is very simple. The interests of | 10 | highlighted, what we are interested in are statements by |
| 11 | justice favour letting the evidence in and using it for | 11 | Agents' Mutual as to their strategy and their pitch to |
| 12 | what it is worth, weighing it appropriately, given any | 12 | agents in what they are trying to do and aspects of |
| 13 | issues, problems it may have, also its probative force, | 13 | concerted practices as to choice of portal, information |
| 14 | to achieve the right result. | 14 | exchange and the like between agents. |
| 15 | And we say privacy is not a weighty factor in this | 15 | Neither of those are matters to which we say -- no |
| 16 | case, as between the parties, for several reasons. | 16 | privacy concerns should be attached to those. If agents |
| 17 | First, as I have already said, what has been recorded | 17 | haven't actually engaged in, for instance, information |
| 18 | are predominantly business conversations held outside | 18 | exchanges to competitive intentions, clearly this |
| 19 | the home and of course, people's business life. Now, | 19 | tribunal should look at that evidence without any |
| 20 | business life doesn't fall outside article 8. There's a | 20 | concern for privacy rights in that respect. |
| 21 | case where it does fall within but it is rather more at | 21 | The transcripts, incidentally, may contain some |
| 22 | the margins. | 22 | chitchat between people of the kind that goes on in the |
| 23 | Secondly, it is hard to see how any infringement of | 23 | margin of business meetings, but by the nature of it |
| 24 | privacy is relevant to the claimant. The claimant is | 24 | being a business meeting, it is necessarily the kind of |
| 25 | both a business and a company and it is not its private | 25 | things that people -- it is not central as in very, very |
|  | Page 17 | Page 19 |  |
| 1 | life that is in issue in these transcripts. There is | 1 | (inaudible). And we are not going to be reading those |
| 2 | some debate as to whether companies might have some | 2 | out in court, putting them to witnesses or otherwise. |
| 3 | rights under article 8 to protect their reputation and | 3 | They are simply irrelevant to the case. They happen to |
| 4 | the like, but I don't think I ever heard it suggested | 4 | be on the documents and we say in those circumstances, |
| 5 | that a company has a right to protection of family life. | 5 | it is not really a weighty factor. |
| 6 | Now, the company didn't attend one of the meetings | 6 | Finally, as regards any unlawfulness, as you see in |
| 7 | and its only involvement in the other is that one of its | 7 | the Jones case, the evidence has been obtained by |
| 8 | business development consultants or regional sales | 8 | deception and by trespass. That wasn't seen as a bar as |
| 9 | managers, as we apprehend it, has been recorded talking | 9 | such, to it going into evidence. It is really |
| 10 | to customers or potential customers. And we say that | 10 | a question of public policy whether admitting the |
| 11 | doesn't engage, to any significant extent, at least, any | 11 | evidence would encourage parties to engage in unlawful |
| 12 | article 8 rights that the claimant may have. | 12 | or improper conduct and we say that that policy just |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Ought we not to have regard to the rights of | 13 | doesn't really bite in our case. This is not a case |
| 14 | parties not represented here? Mr Maclean is going to be | 14 | where GHL or anybody associated with GHL has been doing |
| 15 | able to press the claimant's position but if we admit | 15 | anything regarding the making of these recordings. They |
| 16 | this evidence, there is going to be a trawling over | 16 | have simply happened to obtain them after the event |
| 17 | other people's conversations. Now, it may be that your | 17 | because they happened to be available. There is no |
| 18 | clients don't need to refer to those bits, as you have | 18 | policy that would encourage litigants to engage in any |
| 19 | just indicated, but to go back to the unfortunate | 19 | sinister practices. The question of lawfulness is |
| 20 | cherry-picking analogy, it does seem that if this | 20 | something of a red herring, for the reasons I have |
| 21 | material is admitted, it goes in in its entirety for | 21 | given. It doesn't really bite on the central issues |
| 22 | both parties to make use of it, to the extent that they | 22 | before the court. As regards the lawfulness of covert |
| 23 | see fit. And ought we not to have regard to the fact | 23 | recording, so far as I have been able to ascertain, in |
| 24 | that there are various other interests of third parties | 24 | England and Wales, covert recording is not, as such, |
| 25 | engaged or is that simply irrelevant? | 25 | unlawful. You may commit offences or torts in the |
|  | Page 18 |  | Page 20 |


| 1 | course of conducting unlawful recording, as in fact | 1 | attention, in particular, to paragraph 40(b) on page 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | happened in Jones. That was a case -- there may be | 2 | which is -- so this concerted practice consisting is |
| 3 | deception or similar. I am not Northern Irish counsel | 3 | partly in the OOP rule and part of it, and then conduct |
| 4 | and I wouldn't want to address the tribunal with any | 4 | of -- 40(d), sub4, on page 51 which is the -- sorry, |
| 5 | authority on Northern Irish law. However, in the | 5 | $40(\mathrm{~d})$ is the conduct of agents effectively checking up |
| 6 | research I have done overnight, I have not identified | 6 | on each other, stabilising ... concerted practice. |
| 7 | any general prohibition of tape recording in Northern | 7 | 40(e): |
| 8 | Ireland. | 8 | '... representations made by Agents' Mutual to its |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: I tell you what, Mr Woolfe, let's leave that | 9 | members." |
| 10 | point there because if Mr Maclean has more to say on | 10 | So those are in issue and that's what these |
| 11 | lawfulness, then you can deal with it in your reply. | 11 | transcripts go to and $40(\mathrm{f})$ as well, which is the |
| 12 | MR WOOLFE: Thank you. Now if I can turn to the value of | 12 | practice according to (inaudible). It is directly |
| 13 | the evidence. As you will have seen from the excerpts, | 13 | relevant, we say, to those pleaded issues. |
| 14 | broadly they contain evidence, as I said, of how the | 14 | Dealing with the credibility, the authenticity of |
| 15 | Agents' Mutual representative in those meetings | 15 | the transcripts, I am going to deal with this fairly |
| 16 | presented the Agents' Mutual project, the Bricks and | 16 | shortly. Subject to anything my learned friend may have |
| 17 | Mortar restriction and the OOP rule, to agents at | 17 | to say. In short, there was nothing to suggest from the |
| 18 | face-to-face meetings. And secondly, there is evidence | 18 | transcripts themselves or, we say, the recordings. |
| 19 | of agent behaviour in group meetings, both with and | 19 | Nothing to suggest they are not authentic or have been |
| 20 | without Agents' Mutual present and there appears to be, | 20 | tampered with in any way. I just note that in respect |
| 21 | in the meeting without Agent's Mutual present, exchange | 21 | of two of the meetings, Agents' Mutual is in a position |
| 22 | of information regarding future intentions. And it also | 22 | to check with the lady who we say was present, Lorna |
| 23 | reflects on their understanding of the Agents' Mutual | 23 | Kerr, to ask whether or not she attended the meetings on |
| 24 | project, if I can call it that, in the meeting with | 24 | those days. We gave them the recordings on Sunday. So |
| 25 | Agents' Mutual present. | 25 | they have had a few days to be able to listen to them |
|  | Page 21 |  | Page 23 |
| 1 | That goes to a number of issues in the case. If I can ask you to take out the pleading bundle fairly swiftly. It is bundle A. And at tab 3 is our amended defence and the pleaded issues which we would say this evidence goes to are -- using the bundle numbering on page 43 , our pleading of the object of the OOP rule, subparagraphs 32(b) and (c), so that is an understanding of the OOP rule as a limit on the service estate agents can provide and having the object of drawing UK estate agents away from this (inaudible) on other property portals rather than -- through contractual restrictions rather than competition on the merits. Also an object restriction in respect of the portal market which is the object -- paragraph (e): <br> "To weaken potentially ...(Reading to the words)... presented by Zoopla." <br> And it is relevant evidence connected to that as well. <br> Also paragraph 36, over the page, we say it goes to 36(1). So whether or not there is, in fact, a real restriction of competitive conduct and consumer harm. I don't know if you notice, sir, one particular point, there is a reference to selling consumers a bum deal. <br> And then concerted practice on portal choice is pleaded at paragraphs 38 and 40 and I call your | 1 | and we gave them the transcripts on Tuesday, with an |
| 2 |  | 2 | explanation of where they were. So they can carry out |
| 3 |  | 3 | some steps to, basically, did these meetings happen on |
| 4 |  | 4 | that day, were you present, does this broadly reflect |
| 5 |  | 5 | your recollection? And we haven't heard anything from |
| 6 |  | 6 | them since Sunday or since Tuesday, to suggest that |
| 7 |  | 7 | these are simply entirely concocted or anything of that |
| 8 |  | 8 | sort. |
| 9 |  | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Does that in itself though, amount to |
| 10 |  | 10 | a degree of prejudice to Agents' Mutual? I mean we are |
| 11 |  | 11 | in the middle of a very heavy trial. I appreciate both |
| 12 |  | 12 | sides have large legal teams but this might be said. |
| 13 |  | 13 | I don't want to put words into Mr Maclean's mouth. |
| 14 |  | 14 | MR WOOLFE: Clearly it is not ideal to have evidence |
| 15 |  | 15 | disclosed in the middle of the trial. Nobody wants to |
| 16 |  | 16 | be in that situation. It is not something that we |
| 17 |  | 17 | sought. It is the way it is. And I am going to say, |
| 18 |  | 18 | the thing to judge is whether or not, in the time that |
| 19 |  | 19 | is available -- bear in mind they were given the |
| 20 |  | 20 | recordings on Sunday, Monday morning. The trial closes |
| 21 |  | 21 | a week on Monday, so that is a two week gap and the |
| 22 |  | 22 | issue for you is whether they can, in fairness, manage |
| 23 |  | 23 | to deal with it and put in any witness statements from |
| 24 |  | 24 | Miss Kerr in response within that time. |
| 25 |  | 25 | MR FREEMAN: Mr Woolfe, you said there was nothing to |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |


| 1 | suggest there was any impairment of the authenticity of | 1 | about -- it would appear that you have separate little |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the recordings; is that what you said? Nothing to | 2 | excerpts of recordings which are being timed |
| 3 | suggest they weren't authentic? | 3 | individually. So you see -- you start at 20 seconds, |
| 4 | MR WOOLFE: That is what I said, nothing to suggest they are | 4 | I assume, and then 30 seconds. If you look in the |
| 5 | not. | 5 | middle of the page, you have 1 minute 28 and then |
| 6 | MR FREEMAN: Is it right you are not relying on the first | 6 | a whole minute, you have 2,38 . That seems to me quite |
| 7 | transcript? | 7 | a large gap in time to say what is said in those ten |
| 8 | MR WOOLFE: We are not relying on the first transcript as | 8 | lines. So what I am wondering is whether there hasn't |
| 9 | being an accurate transcription of what is said in the | 9 | been an element of selection, gaps in the record? And |
| 10 | meeting because we prepared -- this is our work in | 10 | one can see it elsewhere. If you look at page 10, we |
| 11 | preparing the transcript. | 11 | have an oddity of timing because you can see in the |
| 12 | MR FREEMAN: If there were inaccuracies in the first | 12 | middle of page 10, you see the time for the bit in red, |
| 13 | transcript, that might suggest that the professionally | 13 | where it is said: well again, Agents' Mutual -- are you |
| 14 | adjusted version of it might also be suspect. | 14 | Agents' Mutual? That is 30.7 but then the next entry is |
| 15 | MR WOOLFE: As regards the attribution of the names, which | 15 | 30.11 which would appear to be earlier in time than the |
| 16 | are taken from that first transcript, that is a fair | 16 | preceding extract. You get the same at page 13, where |
| 17 | point. Can I explain briefly why we disclosed this | 17 | you see there is the -- at 49.22 "sorry to interrupt", |
| 18 | first transcript that we have? Initially, the view was | 18 | and then one ignores the 39.55 because that's simply the |
| 19 | actually taken, the reason the recordings were sent at | 19 | count but then you go down to 40.25 . So we seem to have |
| 20 | the weekend and not this transcript, the convenience | 20 | gone back in time by nine minutes. |
| 21 | transcript, is because it was thought well, it may | 21 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, this -- |
| 22 | just -- until we listen to the recordings properly and | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: So I am not expecting, Mr Woolfe, for you to |
| 23 | do our own, this may not be very reliable. | 23 | answer these questions because I anticipate that you |
| 24 | If I can ask you to turn to page 32 , you can see | 24 | can't. That, I think, is the problem. There are |
| 25 | some highlighted words on that page. | 25 | questions going to the simple process by way of which |
|  | Page 25 |  | Page 27 |
| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 1 | this recording was obtained. |
| 2 | MR WOOLFE: These are typed in, we think, by X, it certainly | 2 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, one thing I would say is that I think that |
| 3 | seems so by the heading that's in them and bearing in | 3 | is using a transcript, the reliability of which, I think |
| 4 | mind who X is. And hence, this is a different document. | 4 | is genuinely in question because it has not been |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: It is a working document of X . | 5 | professionally prepared, to go back and try and make |
| 6 | MR WOOLFE: It is a working document of X and also it is | 6 | sense about what may have been done with the recording. |
| 7 | a distinct document for CPR disclosure purposes and | 7 | Now, somebody may, for instance, have typed the wrong |
| 8 | therefore we thought properly we should disclose it. | 8 | number in and it has not been sequentially. |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: If I may say so, that was entirely the right | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: They may have done but the numbers don't |
| 10 | course but it does raise questions. Let me put a few | 10 | appear in the -- |
| 11 | cards on the table about the concerns I have here. | 11 | MR WOOLFE: And the gaps, that can be checked by listening |
| 12 | Leaving entirely on one side the content of the | 12 | to the recording to see how long the gaps are and |
| 13 | recordings and transcripts, we have issues regarding the | 13 | whether they actually tally up. There are also things |
| 14 | reliability of the recording and I am going to show you | 14 | which can be done, as I understand it, to send audio |
| 15 | where I have concerns, having read them overnight. | 15 | files off for analysis, to see if they have been fiddled |
| 16 | We then have supplementation of the recordings by | 16 | with in various ways. We haven't done that. It is for |
| 17 | additional evidence which doesn't emerge from the | 17 | the other side to do so if they wanted to do. |
| 18 | recording and again, that's something which I think I am | 18 | So we consider the primary evidence is the |
| 19 | going to need your help on. But let's start with the | 19 | recordings. We have arranged for them to be listened to |
| 20 | issues regarding the recordings. | 20 | and prepared, the transcripts on which we rely, based on |
| 21 | If you look at page 1, so the convenience | 21 | those recordings. |
| 22 | transcript, you see that each passage has got a time and | 22 | I can see the examples on which you say the numbers |
| 23 | what I am inferring, but you can correct me if I am | 23 | do get out of line. Some of the gaps may appear wrong |
| 24 | wrong -- but in a sense, this is part of the problem | 24 | but that assumes that this person has accurately written |
| 25 | because we are debating something that we don't know | 25 | down the time at every point without making a mistake |
|  | Page 26 |  | Page 28 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | anywhere in a longish document and also that the |
| 2 | speakers are not speaking slowly. |
| 3 | MR LANDERS: Could you just confirm that these are |
| 4 | recordings of an entire meeting, as opposed to |
| 5 | a sequence of recordings that have been spliced |
| 6 | together. Sometimes when you record on the phone, it |
| 7 | clicks off after a while and it starts again. Was it |
| 8 | like that or was it one long sequence? |
| 9 | MR WOOLFE: We have three files, one for each of the |
| 10 | meetings. That's what we have. Now that doesn't answer |
| 11 | the chairman's point, which is somebody may have put |
| 12 | into one file, things that weren't in different sequence |
| 13 | or a different file or whatever but as we have received |
| 14 | them, they are one file for each meeting. They have |
| 15 | been listened to in one go and it sounds to us like |
| 16 | a continuous recording. It is not, obviously, glitching |
| 17 | in that sense but that is no more than our impression. |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: The second point that I raised was the added |
| 19 | value because you refer in the singular to the evidence |
| 20 | and I am not sure that that is an accurate way of |
| 21 | describing it. What I think we have are two evidence |
| 22 | streams. We have the recording. We then have the work |
| 23 | that has been done to make the recording more easily |
| 24 | digestible and that includes, for instance, the tidying |
| 25 | up of ambiguities, lack of clarities in the recording, |
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the insertion of names, where they don't appear from the transcript, where X has done that.

Of course, the tidying up has only gone so far.
There are, I anticipate, additional details that could
be provided regarding the transcript which might be
helpful. So, for instance, one might be able to provide
the identity of F1. One could certainly provide the identity of X .
MR WOOLFE: We have provided.
THE CHAIRMAN: You have but rather more openly than has been
done at the moment. Obviously we are not going to go
there yet.
But these are points, particularly the adding of names on, which if you were to rely on the names being spoken, you are surely going to have to produce further evidence to substantiate what appears on the face of these transcripts.
MR WOOLFE: Sir, as I think I made clear before, we are not relying as such, on the attribution of names. It is not central to our use of this evidence, that one particular name has been allocated, with the exception of Lorna Kerr, whose name we do rely upon. We say that can be supported and provide intrinsic evidence of the transcript and can also be checked by -- her participation in these meetings can easily be confirmed

Page 30
or denied by --
MR FREEMAN: You talked about concerted practice as an exchange of information between agents. You would need to establish these are agents and it would be helpful in doing so, to know their names. You are asking us to accept that they are agents, unnamed, anonymous agents, with names we don't have to worry about. It seems rather scrappy.
MR WOOLFE: We are not seeking to make those agents liable in any way.
MR FREEMAN: I am not suggesting you do but according to your analysis, what agents do, qua agents, is relevant.
MR WOOLFE: Yes. You can see -- perhaps I will take you to it -- you can see in the evidence of the transcript -leaving agents' credibility to one side for the moment just focussing on the names issue, and whether or not that matters, and whether or not these are agents, we submit that it is amply apparent from the discussion that it is actually contained in the February meeting and the April meeting and the June meeting, that these are agents and the nature of their discussion, they are talking about coming off PropertyPal, Property News. "Let's get stickers for our boards". They are referring to certain names of agents, I think Dalzell is referred to at one point. That is in what is spoken, there are
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names of agencies. Century 21 is mentioned as well
which can also be checked. It's an agency in Northern Ireland.

So we say from the intrinsic evidence or the transcript, assuming it is reliable for a moment, you can see that these are agents and the nature of their discussion.
THE CHAIRMAN: You say that is good enough, do you? MR WOOLFE: For the purposes for which we would seek to rely
upon it. In a sense, the question then is, if we were to seek to deploy it in a way and required reliance on the names, then there would be an issue, but if we don't, that issue simply doesn't arise. Now, if we do that and you think that the names are important, at that stage we rely upon it, then you can draw whatever conclusion you like about the evidence and the weight to which can be placed upon it, but that is not a reason to exclude it.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Woolfe, doesn't it sometimes matter who the agent is, simply to understand the tenor of the conversation? Let me give you an example. Let's look at page 41 and we see here a conversation between $M$ and F1.
MR WOOLFE: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: Now, we know that F1 is the lady who is doing

| 1 | the recording. | 1 | comments of F1 at the bottom of 43? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR WOOLFE: Yes. | 2 | MR WOOLFE: I can take you there if you like. So M2 says: it is all about protection, you don't want to see ..." |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: So don't all of these conversations need to | 3 |  |
| 4 | be read in light of the fact that F1 is in fact not | 4 | and she raises the issue of the Competition Act. Over |
| 5 | a friend of Agents' Mutual, not really interested in | 5 | the page on 44 , she seems to refer to a market share of |
| 6 | participating in Agents' Mutual but is in fact -- well, | 6 | 60 per cent. So, yes, she raises that as a concern with |
| 7 | I won't go any further because it runs the risk of | 7 | her fellow -- |
| 8 | disclosing material that is yellowed out but you get the | 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: I have to say, the way in which that concern |
| 9 | point. | 9 | is expressed could be regarded as disingenuous. |
| 10 | MR FREEMAN: Motives that are not entirely unalloyed. | 10 | MR WOOLFE: I think it can only be regarded as disingenuous |
| 11 | MR WOOLFE: The question, I think, is the motives with which | 11 | if we knew more about her and we don't and you may say |
| 12 | somebody acts in this sort of case don't really matter, | 12 | that is a problem but I don't think we can -- |
| 13 | save as regards it may affect -- | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: That is exactly the point we are putting, |
| 14 | MR FREEMAN: We are not impugning the motives but what the | 14 | Mr Woolfe. |
| 15 | chairman is saying is that the tenor of the discussion | 15 | MR WOOLFE: But what difference does that little interchange |
| 16 | needs to be understood, having regard to the interests | 16 | actually make to the evidence provided in the rest of |
| 17 | of the person making the remark. | 17 | the transcript? |
| 18 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, do I understand the suggestion is that the | 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Let me give you another example then, Mr |
| 19 | conversation may have been guided in some way? | 19 | Woolfe, page 13, show of hands which you do rely on. |
| 20 | MR FREEMAN: Well we don't know. | 20 | And we see the person who asks for the show of hands is |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: That is a point, surely, that Mr Maclean | 21 | elliptically described as a new person. Do you see? |
| 22 | might very well want to ask F1. | 22 | MR WOOLFE: Yes. |
| 23 | MR WOOLFE: Just on that point, I mean, to take an example, | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: The new person asks for a straw poll. No |
| 24 | the bottom of page 42 and if I can take you to a couple | 24 | idea who it is. Wouldn't you agree with us that who |
| 25 | of inches up, F1: | 25 | that person is might be relevant? |
|  | Page 33 | Page 35 |  |
| 1 | "You are all dropping PropertyPal. This is great | 1 | MR WOOLFE: It might be, sir, but if you look at page 62, |
| 2 | news to me, I'll have a field day, I'll have a field | 2 | this is where we have taken our transcript and the voice |
| 3 | day." | 3 | is M , so it's described as a male voice, so it is not |
| 4 | So it is fairly clear she wasn't hiding the fact | 4 | F1. |
| 5 | that she wouldn't go with OnTheMarket. | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: No. |
| 6 | Then you have a discussion, M2, that is not what | 6 | MR WOOLFE: So we don't know who it is but, in fact, it is |
| 7 | it's about, but ... is that's what it's all about. And | 7 | not Agents' Mutual and I do make that point very fairly. |
| 8 | then over the page, it's not about out doing anybody | 8 | It is not Agents' Mutual who say "Please can we have |
| 9 | else. It is about keeping the agents in business. That | 9 | a show of hands or a straw poll." So that is clear. |
| 10 | is what they want to have control. "And so on. | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: There are two issues, aren't there, about |
| 11 | You can see the relationship on the face of the | 11 | detail? There are some details that we know you could |
| 12 | transcript on what she says and the response. If there | 12 | provide, the identity of F1 and the identity of X. |
| 13 | were any points to be made about the evidential value of | 13 | MR WOOLFE: We can provide X. I am not sure we can provide |
| 14 | the response because of what she had previously said the | 14 | F1. We don't know. |
| 15 | moment before Mr Maclean can easily make it at the point | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, maybe I have misunderstood the |
| 16 | we rely upon and that goes to the interpretation of the | 16 | position. |
| 17 | evidence, not really as to whether it should be admitted | 17 | MR WOOLFE: We told the chairman everything that we know. |
| 18 | per se and also to say, if you look at the other two | 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: If, as the price of getting this material in, |
| 19 | transcripts, F1 doesn't participate in terms of -- the | 19 | we said "I am afraid Mr Maclean needs to be at liberty |
| 20 | discussion is really between other people and it appears | 20 | to refer to anything, including the identity of X", |
| 21 | to have been recorded. There can be no sense in -- for | 21 | what's your position? |
| 22 | instance, in the April transcript where, Miss Kerr says | 22 | MR WOOLFE: I would need to take instructions on that. |
| 23 | quite a lot about instruction and so on, there is no | 23 | Would you like me to do that? |
| 24 | sense in which F1 is entering that discussion. | 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: It may be that we'll park it for the moment |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Woolfe, are you going to take us to the | 25 | and let you finish your submissions and then you can let |
|  | Page 34 |  | Page 36 |


| 1 | us know. I am anticipating that given the route by way | 1 | terms of the probative force of this, I think. So if |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | of which you received these materials, that there would | 2 | I begin with the February transcript on page 33. You |
| 3 | be considerable push back from X , were that to be | 3 | can see the nature of the recording is apparent from the |
| 4 | a course, so I will leave that there. | 4 | first few lines. So that gives you some indication of |
| 5 | MR WOOLFE: Yes. | 5 | what is going on. It is dated February 2016. You can |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: The other thing is what further | 6 | corroborate that internally by going to page 35 and |
| 7 | investigations can be done, in order to provide as full | 7 | going to the second hole punch and there is a discussion |
| 8 | a picture as possible. For instance, who the M speaker | 8 | by the M voice which is beside that: |
| 9 | was, who F 1 is. | 9 | "So whatever you do ...(Reading to the words)... but |
| 10 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, we would say that the people who are in the | 10 | nothing will go live until 1 March. I know that was |
| 11 | best position to do that are the other side because they | 11 | about two weeks ago ...(Reading to the words)... live |
| 12 | have direct access to Miss Kerr, who was at the meetings | 12 | date is tomorrow for stuff to go on." |
| 13 | or at two of the meetings, in respect of those two. | 13 | So we are looking at something which appears to be |
| 14 | Insofar as we are looking at detailed points of how | 14 | prior to March but it is common ground that OTM was |
| 15 | do we interpret particular parts of the transcript, we | 15 | launched in Northern Ireland in 2016, 16 March. So |
| 16 | say those are best addressed by letting the evidence in | 16 | therefore, this transcript does appear to fit the date |
| 17 | and then seeing the argument on the particular parts and | 17 | that has been attributed to it in terms of that |
| 18 | then points can be made about the weight of it, bearing | 18 | intrinsic evidence. |
| 19 | in mind any of these kind of issues, in respect of the | 19 | We also say you can see from the transcript |
| 20 | particular arguments that are being advanced in reliance | 20 | itself -- this is the meeting in which none of the |
| 21 | on it. | 21 | voices appear to be employees of Agents' Mutual, from |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: The problem is though, as I understand it, | 22 | what we can see of it. On the bottom of page 35, it |
| 23 | but again, you can correct me if I am wrong, you want to | 23 | does appear that the voice M2 seems to be an agent who |
| 24 | put this material to Mr Springett. | 24 | is acting in some sort of liaison type capacity. He may |
| 25 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, yes, to a limited extent. If I can explain | 25 | well be self appointed. I am not suggesting otherwise |
|  | Page 37 |  | Page 39 |
| 1 | the limited extent that consists of. | 1 | because what he says is: |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Let me just make the point which I was going | 2 | "We had hoped that during this process that you |
| 3 | to make which is this: Mr Springett is, I anticipate | 3 | could have it on all three but they came back to us and |
| 4 | from his evidence yesterday, going to say "I wasn't | 4 | said no, you have to go on one." |
| 5 | there." | 5 | So you can see from that phrase that there is some |
| 6 | MR WOOLFE: Indeed. | 6 | concern about what other agents and listing properties |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: "And I had an understanding of the Northern | 7 | but they, Agents' Mutual came back to us, so clearly he |
| 8 | Ireland market but I wasn't involved on a day-to-day | 8 | is not from Agents' Mutual himself. So that gives you |
| 9 | basis." | 9 | an idea of who M2 is, which is fairly important. |
| 10 | MR WOOLFE: Yes, Mr Springett cannot fairly be asked any | 10 | Then on page 36, internal page 4, you can read down |
| 11 | questions about the accuracy of what is said in these | 11 | from the top of that page, a series of people saying |
| 12 | transcripts or recordings, as a record of what happened | 12 | which portal they are coming off. I would just note |
| 13 | at the meeting. He wasn't there. The only thing he can | 13 | that I think Portstewart is a town in Northern Ireland, |
| 14 | be asked about is really the full extent of the calls | 14 | on the coast. |
| 15 | with his use and his understanding and he will either | 15 | Then page 43, that's what we have just -- sorry, the |
| 16 | know that or he won't. His evidence will be what his | 16 | bottom of page 42, "it is great news to me" and so on. |
| 17 | evidence is. That is true, whether the transcripts are | 17 | And at the bottom of page 43, "You don't want to see |
| 18 | reliable or not. He can say: that is just not my | 18 | PropertyPal surviving." We say that does go to the |
| 19 | understanding or: it is my understanding, as the case | 19 | agents' understanding of the purpose of the OOP rule and |
| 20 | may be. It is not really affected by these questions we | 20 | the purpose of Agents' Mutual to get rid of a competitor |
| 21 | are debating today. Actually, cross-examination is | 21 | in the market. It is not something about launching |
| 22 | a rather easier case, if Mr Springett knows what he | 22 | a new project. |
| 23 | knows about these documents and he can say what he | 23 | Then the April transcript, if I can take you to |
| 24 | knows, which is likely to be fairly limited. | 24 | that. This is the one where the names are attributed. |
| 25 | Perhaps if I go through some of the transcript. In | 25 | I hope I can show you why we don't really think they |
|  | Page 38 |  | Page 40 |


|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | matter. Page 52, we have an unattributed voice and we | 1 |
| 2 | want to rely on this passage, saying. | 2 |
| 3 | "As far as the clients are concerned, PropertyPal | 3 |
| 4 | and Property News wasn't broken...(Reading to the | 4 |
| 5 | words)... everything works and for us, we were trying to | 5 |
| 6 | change something here because we were concerned about | 6 |
| 7 | the influences on ... so what we are trying to do is to | 7 |
| 8 | actually change the public perception of PropertyPal and | 8 |
| 9 | Property News ... a bum deal to them as such." | 9 |
| 10 | We say that goes to the point that's being run into | 10 |
| 11 | the interests of estate agents, not in the interest of | 11 |
| 12 | consumers. That is the point which I say can be made | 12 |
| 13 | relying on, I think, a fair inference that M is an | 13 |
| 14 | estate agent in this meeting of Agents' Mutual. It | 14 |
| 15 | doesn't depend on knowing his name. We don't in fact | 15 |
| 16 | know his name and it doesn't matter. | 16 |
| 17 | Page 55, there is an agent speaking again, who is | 17 |
| 18 | identified as M. The very bottom of that long | 18 |
| 19 | paragraph: | 19 |
| 20 | "Seems to stay with the model we have. At the same | 20 |
| 21 | time, I don't see it working unless we do manage to kill | 21 |
| 22 | off one of the other competitors." | 22 |
| 23 | We have already seen the straw poll show of hands. | 23 |
| 24 | That is, of course, after launch, people saying what | 24 |
| 25 | they are doing. | 25 |
|  |  |  |

## Page 41

And then finally, if I can turn to the June -I should say these are highlights. There are obviously much more than we've seen in the excerpts.
THE CHAIRMAN: You can take it we have read all of this. MR WOOLFE: Pages 92 to 93 and the passage there with "LK" at the bottom of that page and we say it is fairly clear from this, that this is an Agents' Mutual representative speaking and somebody who is from Scotland. She says:
"That is about the same equivalent as to what I have in Glasgow ... west coast."

It starts a bit above that, the discussion of estate agents:
"Over 250 homeworkers ... estate agents, that is massive ...(Reading to the words)... So I would say that was pretty significant and these are all people that are able to operate, flourish and compete in your marketplace because the portals allow them to ...(Reading to the words)... what they would do is open the door to the onlines."

Then over the page says they wouldn't be able to exist, the estate agents without the portals, and halfway down that passage:
"They wouldn't be able to do that if it wasn't for the portals allowing them to do it ...(Reading to the words)... back Agents' Mutual, it was about agents
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regaining control ...(Reading to the words)... a portal. They are not media men. It was about letting us control the portal, as in they have a scheme that works for them. We are mean people, giving agents back what they should have had."

And we say it is fairly clear from that, the person speaking is from Agent's Mutual. She uses we, she is from Scotland. Unless the other side can come back to us and say "Miss Lorna Kerr never said this", it is a fair inference that it was her speaking and saying this and it is indicative of how Agents' Mutual is representing itself to agents and agents' understanding of what they are signing up to.

I can take you over to the next page, between the hole punches, beginning:
"Probably one of the most concerning things is they talk to online estate agents ...(Reading to the words)... and additional products. We don't take any online ads. We don't take any banners, there is no additional products."

That goes to the point which you've heard us make, sir, that there is this perception amongst agents, requested by agents, that OnTheMarket doesn't offer additional products. So this is a platform on which agents, once they are on it, will not compete by buying
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additional products or going on further portals and so on.

Then further down, at the bottom of that page, about seven lines up from the bottom and it is really about having one agent's own portal:
"The goal for these guys is ...(Reading to the words)... that a few years down the line ...(Reading to the words)... and that is the goal for the market that you are all in control and should have ..."

Then finally, just on page 96, about halfway between the top hole punch and the top of the page:
"So our strategy is absolutely intrinsic to our success ...(Reading to the words)... it will also do is impact on the success of the other portals. So it is going to hit them significantly."

Then further down that passage, between the two hole punches:
"So you could have half your stock in one and half your stock in another. It wouldn't be ...(Reading to the words)... one portal quite hard."

The inference to be drawn from that is that there is a strategy of impacting that has been presented to the agent: we want to impact one portal quite hard. It is subtractive in its nature and not simply about competing on the merits.
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| 1 | So those are the kind of points that we would seek | 1 | I would not be welcoming a submission from your side, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to take from these transcripts and the probative force | 2 | unless you want to persuade me otherwise, that |
| 3 | we say they hold and we say that, actually, unless it is | 3 | Mr Maclean's team should have gone further in response |
| 4 | not Miss Kerr speaking at all, that holds irrespective | 4 | to this material and produced additional evidence |
| 5 | of the precise identity of the agents to whom she is | 5 | themselves. Do you see what I am saying? |
| 6 | speaking and so many of the understandable concerns | 6 | MR WOOLFE: I would not anticipate you having any patience |
| 7 | which are raised do somewhat fall away. | 7 | with any unfair points that we make. I don't know |
| 8 | In terms of late provision, we have given you the | 8 | Miss Kerr's movements. If she is sat in an office ten |
| 9 | detail that we have. We are where we are. It is not | 9 | miles down the road and could look at this and just |
| 10 | our doing. It is not something deliberate that has been | 10 | doesn't bother, then the point may be a fair one; if she |
| 11 | contrived. We accept the issue is, can it fairly be | 11 | is on the other side of the world, to take another |
| 12 | accommodated now, and bearing in mind the impact on | 12 | extreme example, clearly that would be an unfair point |
| 13 | Agents' Mutual and what Mr Maclean says about that. | 13 | and clearly, whatever they do, they are restricted in |
| 14 | Broadly speaking, we say, sir, that it can fairly be | 14 | what they can do, given the time -- |
| 15 | used. First of all, in cross-examination, on any view, | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: My point is that I just don't want to go |
| 16 | Mr Springett can simply say what he knows, whatever his | 16 | there. In other words, were this material to come in, |
| 17 | evidence may be about it. And in terms of reliance on | 17 | I would not want to be having in closing, a debate about |
| 18 | it as evidence, there is the sort of two week gap | 18 | how Agents' Mutual could, this late in the day, have |
| 19 | between the provision of this evidence and the closing | 19 | responded to the points that you are making which you |
| 20 | of this trial. We, of course, make no objection to | 20 | say fairly arise out of the transcript. |
| 21 | Agents' Mutual putting evidence of Miss Kerr in response | 21 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, I think that is entirely fair. |
| 22 | or anything else they may see fit and unless it is | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean. |
| 23 | suggested that that will in some sense derail the trial, | 23 | MR MACLEAN: My learned friend has taken far longer than |
| 24 | we say the fairest course is to allow the evidence and | 24 | I anticipated. |
| 25 | our submissions to be made on its weight and the | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: He had a lot of interruption. |
|  | Page 45 |  | Page 47 |
| 1 | inferences that can be drawn from it, in the usual way. | 1 | MR MACLEAN: I was just conscious that before I finish, the |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Woolfe. Before Mr Maclean | 2 | shorthand writers will probably want a break. Would it |
| 3 | rises, just two questions. I think to summarise your | 3 | be convenient to do that now rather than to interrupt my |
| 4 | submissions in a sentence, what you are saying is let it | 4 | submission? That is any question. |
| 5 | in and leave the Tribunal to judge its weight, whatever | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think it would because it would enable |
| 6 | that may be? | 6 | Mr Woolfe to seek instructions on the point of the |
| 7 | MR WOOLFE: Exactly, sir, and judge the weight of the | 7 | position of Mr X, were we to say that the price of |
| 8 | specific points. | 8 | admission is the release of that further information. |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: We'll look at it as a whole and we'll judge | 9 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, our submission may be we would have to go |
| 10 | the weight, including, I want to be clear, about the | 10 | and check with Mr X, which we can't do in the next short |
| 11 | concerns that we have raised regarding process and gaps. | 11 | period of time. |
| 12 | That, obviously, is a hugely relevant factor in weight | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Take instructions in any event. If |
| 13 | but that is your position. | 13 | the answer is you don't know, then that is the answer. |
| 14 | MR WOOLFE: That is my position, sir. | 14 | MR FREEMAN: Mr X may be in an office just ten miles away. |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: You have mentioned on four or five occasions | 15 | MR WOOLFE: He may be or in Northern Ireland. |
| 16 | now, that Agents' Mutual could speak with Lorna Kerr. | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Woolfe. We'll rise for five |
| 17 | Now, I think I should make clear what, at least, my | 17 | minutes. |
| 18 | initial view on that is, which is that were the evidence | 18 | (10.30 am) |
| 19 | to go in and were Mr Maclean's team to seek to adduce | 19 | (A short break) |
| 20 | such evidence, as you very fairly indicated, that | 20 | (10.35 am) |
| 21 | evidence probably ought to go in. But the converse | 21 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, just to respond to you on the question of |
| 22 | situation would be that this material goes in, the | 22 | whether or not we can reveal X's identity. We don't |
| 23 | transcripts, we place what weight there is on there and | 23 | know F1. As matters stands, X is not willing to agree |
| 24 | Mr Maclean does nothing because it is too late in the | 24 | to the disclosure of his name and so if the Tribunal |
| 25 | day to track down Miss Kerr, for whatever there may be. | 25 | were minded to make a conditional order of it, it can |
|  | Page 46 |  | Page 48 |


| 1 | only go in if his name is revealed, then it won't be | 1 | from Quinn Emanuel. The existence of an audio recording |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | going in. | 2 | of a meeting in Northern Ireland involving ...(Reading |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful, Mr Woolfe, thank you | 3 | to the words)... was something I came to learn of, |
| 4 | very much. Mr Maclean. | 4 | following investigations." |
| 5 | MR MACLEAN: Can I start by taking Mr Bronfentrinker's | 5 | And at paragraph 8, this witness statement is |
| 6 | witness statements, please, and could I invite you to | 6 | crafted in such a way as to start this part of the |
| 7 | have that open and then have alongside it, the Quinn | 7 | chronology at 26 January: |
| 8 | Emanuel letter of this morning. The first point I want | 8 | "An associate of Quinn Emanuel, working with me on |
| 9 | to make is that Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement | 9 | this matter, first contacted X, who I understood may |
| 10 | was served, as I think I remarked in the course of | 10 | have had a recording of a meeting in Northern Ireland |
| 11 | Tuesday, in the course of the court day on Tuesday. | 11 | involving agents and Agents' Mutual." |
| 12 | I think it was actually served at 2.38 pm on Tuesday | 12 | What is conspicuous by its absence from this witness |
| 13 | afternoon on Eversheds. | 13 | statement is any reference to Mr Notley's involvement |
| 14 | Mr Notley had started giving his evidence, as you | 14 | and any reference to the fact that we now discover this |
| 15 | will see from Tuesday's transcript, at about ten or | 15 | morning, in paragraph 5 of Quinn Emanuel's letter, that |
| 16 | quarter past 12 on Tuesday afternoon and he gave | 16 | Mr Bronfentrinker had had a conversation with Mr Notley |
| 17 | evidence, as you will recall, from then, over the short | 17 | at some point "in the first half of December 2006, see |
| 18 | adjournment and for much but not all, if I remember | 18 | paragraph 5." |
| 19 | correctly, of Tuesday afternoon. | 19 | It is impossible to resist the conclusion that this |
| 20 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement, I think | 20 | witness statement was carefully crafted in such a way as |
| 21 | I said I had seen it very briefly, raised -- I said the | 21 | not to reveal the involvement in these events of |
| 22 | following morning -- raised more questions than it | 22 | Mr Notley, who was in the witness box at the time and, |
| 23 | answered and when one looked at paragraph 6 of his | 23 | of course, had I known then and had the chance to |
| 24 | witness statement, it was clear to any intelligent | 24 | assimilate it and digest it, had I known then, the |
| 25 | reader that this was a statement that had been very | 25 | material which Quinn Emanuel chose to share with us in |
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| 1 | carefully put together. | 1 | this morning's letter, as opposed to Mr Bronfentrinker's |
| 2 | I think I remarked at the time, on Wednesday | 2 | witness statement, I would of course, have at least |
| 3 | morning, at the expression in paragraph 6 in the fourth | 3 | considered whether these were matters I wanted to |
| 4 | line of "I came to learn of", and I definitely remember | 4 | explore with Mr Notley. |
| 5 | referring to the point at paragraph 7 about "who | 5 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement, as I say, it |
| 6 | I understood", in the second paragraph. | 6 | is very carefully crafted. One can think of a number of |
| 7 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement does not | 7 | epithets to describe the witness statement on the one |
| 8 | reveal the full picture, as we now know from the Quinn | 8 | hand and the letter on the other, but I venture to |
| 9 | Emanuel letter. What he says in his witness statement | 9 | suggest that the late Lord Bingham would describe this |
| 10 | at paragraph 2 is that: | 10 | as a rather surprising statement to have produced, given |
| 11 | "Further to Quinn Emanuel's letter to Eversheds on | 11 | the fuller picture which we now understand, set out in |
| 12 | 5 January which enclosed by way of disclosure and | 12 | the Quinn Emanuel letter. Because it is clear from |
| 13 | inspection, three audio files and the convenience | 13 | paragraph 5 of the Quinn Emanuel letter that |
| 14 | transcription." | 14 | Mr Bronfentrinker and Mr Notley had a conversation in |
| 15 | In paragraph 3, he says, chanting the usual mantra: | 15 | the first half of December and Mr Bronfentrinker then |
| 16 | "Save for the contrary as stated, the facts and | 16 | explains in paragraph 5 that what appears to be |
| 17 | matters set out in this witness statement are within my | 17 | a conscious decision was taken, not to explore this |
| 18 | knowledge and are true. To the extent that they are not | 18 | particular avenue. If I may say so, he was entirely |
| 19 | within my knowledge, I state the source of my knowledge | 19 | right to do so. And the first thoughts would have been |
| 20 | and confirm it is true to best of my information and | 20 | where matters ought to have rested. |
| 21 | belief." | 21 | It was decided at that stage, not to divert |
| 22 | And in paragraph 6 he says: | 22 | resources in pursuit of a rumoured recording which may |
| 23 | "The recordings were received from X [and he gives | 23 | or may not have existed with unknown content. |
| 24 | his role], who had obtained them on [and then we see the | 24 | What happened then was, we have paragraph 6 of the |
| 25 | words in yellow] own initiative, prior to any contact | 25 | Quinn Emanuel letter which is, if I may respectfully say |
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| 1 | so, a thoroughly objectionable paragraph to put in | 1 | do contain information that is relevant to the issues in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a witness statement, seeking to give evidence of matters | 2 | dispute." |
| 3 | that they are not able then, to give evidence about. | 3 | Now, as I pointed out yesterday, that is not |
| 4 | Passing over that, in paragraph 7, we are then told. | 4 | actually a test for disclosure but, nonetheless, they |
| 5 | "As part of those ongoing efforts to obtain direct | 5 | were disclosed and inspection was given of them. |
| 6 | evidence from agents an associate working on this matter | 6 | So those are documents. |
| 7 | had discussions with Tollers." | 7 | (Transcript Redacted) |
| 8 | There is then reference to a conversation which took | 8 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, can that please be removed from the |
| 9 | place on 23 January and then further down that paragraph | 9 | transcript. |
| 10 | we are told, having received confirmation for the first | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think that needs to be. These things do |
| 11 | time that audio recordings did in fact exist of meetings | 11 | happen. |
| 12 | in Northern Ireland, on 23 January the associate | 12 | MR MACLEAN: At page 32 is the document with the bullet |
| 13 | contacted Mr Notley. | 13 | points and the fifth bullet point. Will the Tribunal |
| 14 | Again, none of that is referred to in | 14 | just read that one to itself, beginning "What", and the |
| 15 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement which as I say, | 15 | last one beginning "Feature", is clearly a document |
| 16 | starts the clock in paragraph 7, at 26 January and can | 16 | which was properly disclosed, whatever view one might |
| 17 | at least be read as being the first real involvement | 17 | have taken of the disclosure or otherwise of the rest of |
| 18 | that Quinn Emanuel had. But we know that that's not the | 18 | the transcript. |
| 19 | case from the Quinn Emanuel letter this morning. | 19 | So the audio files and convenience transcript are |
| 20 | So the first point is that the way in which the | 20 | documents which may or may not be disclosed. What then |
| 21 | matter has been presented, is that leaves very much to | 21 | happens is other documents have been created with the |
| 22 | be desired. But then coming to what we actually have | 22 | help, it seems, of external transcribers from Northern |
| 23 | and what are the legal principles and what do we | 23 | Ireland and as Mr Bronfentrinker explains, with the |
| 24 | actually have here, Mr Bronfentrinker's witness | 24 | input of his own team. See paragraph 15: |
| 25 | statement is couched in terms of disclosure and | 25 | "My team was able to fill in certain gaps because of |
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| 1 | inspection. The Quinn Emanuel letter of 1.51 this | 1 | our familiarity with the issues being discussed." |
| 2 | morning, not the one I have just been dealing with, but | 2 | One question which arises then, are those newly |
| 3 | the shorter one, sent to the registrar of this tribunal, | 3 | created documents, those transcripts, are they within |
| 4 | says: | 4 | standard disclosure? Are they documents which give rise |
| 5 | "Further to the hearing ...(Reading to the words)... | 5 | to inspection? |
| 6 | to admit into evidence, the audio recordings and | 6 | But what Mr Woolfe wants to do is something quite |
| 7 | transcripts." | 7 | different. He wants to rely, as I understand it, on |
| 8 | Now, what we have here, first of all, Quinn Emanuel | 8 | these transcripts as evidence; as evidence presumably, |
| 9 | got three audio files and the convenience transcription. | 9 | of the truth of the contents of the information set out |
| 10 | Now those clearly are documents. They are documents | 10 | in the transcripts. |
| 11 | within the rules and if those documents were within | 11 | I haven't listened to the audio recordings but I am |
| 12 | standard disclosure and they come into the lap of | 12 | told that at least two of the three audio recordings |
| 13 | solicitors, then of course, the solicitors are under an | 13 | jump around, move around and it is very hard to |
| 14 | obligation of continuing disclosure. So if they fell | 14 | understand what's going on. But if those are documents |
| 15 | within CPR 31.6 which is the disclosure rubric applied | 15 | which have been disclosed and inspected and somebody |
| 16 | to these proceedings, then they have to be disclosed and | 16 | wants to make some point on them, well, they are like |
| 17 | provided for inspection and that's what | 17 | all the other documents, they are in this bundle. |
| 18 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement is directed | 18 | But when it comes to the evidence, that is quite |
| 19 | towards. And I made the remark yesterday, I think it | 19 | a different matter. So you will know under the Civil |
| 20 | was, when one looks at paragraph 13 of | 20 | Evidence Act that the evidence, hearsay evidence is |
| 21 | Mr Bronfentrinker's statement, what he is saying is | 21 | a statement made otherwise by a person giving oral |
| 22 | that: | 22 | evidence in proceedings which is tendered as evidence of |
| 23 | "Following the review of the content of the audio | 23 | the matters stated. |
| 24 | files and following privileged communications between | 24 | So what the other side are trying to do is to rely |
| 25 | the legal advisers, it was concluded that the recordings | 25 | on evidence -- what they call relying on the contents of |
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| 1 | these transcripts as evidence of the truth of their | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | contents. | 2 |  |
| 3 | But the Tribunal can have no confidence about the | 3 |  |
| 4 | provenance and safe keeping of the original material | 4 |  |
| 5 | which are the audio tapes. We don't know, for example, | 5 |  |
| 6 | to pick up one of the points from the Tribunal, we don't | 6 | (Transcript Redacted) |
| 7 | know and they don't know, whether the whole meetings | 7 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. That's what I went to. That's right. If |
| 8 | were taped. They don't know whether this is only the | 8 | I have done something I shouldn't have done ... |
| 9 | middle of it or the end of it or the beginning of it and | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, we might as well nail this now. |
| 10 | the Tribunal can have no assurance about the safe | 10 | It is page 51 of the transcript, that is the matter that |
| 11 | custody or the handling of the tapes, either at the time | 11 | I am concerned about and I am making a direction that |
| 12 | the recordings were made or since the recordings were | 12 | that reference be removed from the public version of the |
| 13 | made. | 13 | transcript. We can, I don't think it is necessary, |
| 14 | My learned friend doesn't know the identity of very | 14 | always have an in camera version of the transcript which |
| 15 | many of the speakers at the meeting or meetings. What | 15 | details that but I think the better course is simply to |
| 16 | we do know is this is an attempt, it would appear, at | 16 | excise that reference. |
| 17 | entrapment. There is a surreptitious recording going | 17 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, if I have caused a problem, I am |
| 18 | on, my learned friend accepts that. Who else at the | 18 | very sorry. |
| 19 | meetings is in cahoots with F1? We don't know. If we | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: As I say, Mr Maclean, these things do happen |
| 20 | look at page 44 of the transcript, we can see that F1 | 20 | and it is just a question of dealing with it. |
| 21 | says, just after Mr Freeman, I think, took Mr Woolfe to | 21 | MR WOOLFE: Sir -- |
| 22 | the bottom of the previous page and he just about | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: And you wanted a further direction. |
| 23 | read -- | 23 | MR WOOLFE: A further direction in respect of |
| 24 | MR FREEMAN: I tend to wake up whenever there is a mention | 24 | a particular -- everybody in the room but Agents' Mutual |
| 25 | of the Competition Act. | 25 | in particular, that having heard it, they should not |
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| 1 | MR MACLEAN: I see entirely why you pay attention to the last line of 43 . Mr Woolfe went to the top of 44 , but just a little bit further down from where he stopped, if you look at F1 "Well, I decided: " | 1 | disclose it. |
| 2 |  | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: To any third party. |
| 3 |  | 3 | MR WOOLFE: To any third party. |
| 4 |  | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Including a third party within Agents' |
| 5 |  | 5 | Mutual. |
| 6 |  | 6 | MR WOOLFE: Any other person who's not in -- |
| 7 |  | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, that seem to me to be fair |
| 8 |  | 8 | enough. |
| 9 |  | 9 | MR MACLEAN: That does sound fair enough to me. |
| 10 |  | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will make an order in those terms and |
| 11 |  | 11 | we'll get it drawn up. |
| 12 |  | 12 | MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful. |
| 13 |  | 13 | MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. |
| 14 | (Trancript Redacted) | 14 | MR MACLEAN: So the transcripts are of tenuous relevance, at |
| 15 |  | 15 | best, to the issues before the Tribunal, for a number of |
| 16 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, can I -- Mr Maclean has mentioned the name twice now. | 16 | reasons and Mr Springett touched on this yesterday. The |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: We do need to be careful. | 17 | first reason is that we have different portals operating |
| 18 | MR WOOLFE: I think I am going to have to ask the Tribunal because he directly read the name from the document before. I may have to ask for an order that nobody disclose that name. <br> THE CHAIRMAN: I have that in mind. <br> MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, I don't have -- I have been reading from the transcript. | 18 | in Northern Ireland. Neither Rightmove or Zoopla are |
| 19 |  | 19 | there. We have a different pricing structure in |
| 20 |  | 20 | Northern Ireland, so the OOP rule is different in |
| 21 |  | 21 | Northern Ireland, as Mr Springett explained yesterday. |
| 22 |  | 22 | Not only are the portals different but the agents |
| 23 |  | 23 | are different. Gascoigne Halman isn't there, doesn't |
| 24 |  | 24 | have any business in Northern Ireland. I don't |
| 25 |  | 25 | understand but I'll be corrected if I am wrong, that |
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| 1 | Connells has any business, but Connells isn't the | 1 | of page 32, did actually fall within standard disclosure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | defendant. | 2 | and were properly disclosable, but they have been |
| 3 | Moreover, the meetings, the transcripts of which are | 3 | disclosed and inspected. So on my submission they are |
| 4 | now in the bundles, are meetings which all took place | 4 | essentially, at best, background documents of minimal |
| 5 | after, months after, a year after and more, the launch | 5 | relevance to the case. |
| 6 | of Agents' Mutual in Great Britain and two of them took | 6 | Now, those documents are there and I suppose if |
| 7 | place after the launch of Agents' Mutual in OnTheMarket | 7 | Mr Harris wants to put those documents of minimal |
| 8 | in Northern Ireland. | 8 | background relevance to Mr Springett, it won't be the |
| 9 | Now, what we say in effect, can I just show you very | 9 | first or possibly the last irrelevant documents he would |
| 10 | quickly, a little bit of law from Phipsons. It is | 10 | be putting to Mr Springett. I accept that and the |
| 11 | chapter 39. The first bit of this deals with improperly | 11 | evidence will then be Mr Springett's evidence in answer |
| 12 | obtained evidence and unlawfully obtained evidence. Can | 12 | to whatever questions Mr Harris chooses to put on the |
| 13 | I say just something about that. We don't know, we | 13 | basis of those documents. |
| 14 | can't tell, whether this evidence was unlawfully | 14 | As it were, so far, so unobjectionable. |
| 15 | obtained. We don't have enough information to be able | 15 | But when it comes to putting in as evidence, relying |
| 16 | to come to a conclusion. It might have been and it | 16 | on the truth of the contents of the material in the |
| 17 | might not have been but the Tribunal isn't able to form | 17 | transcripts, that in our submission, the Tribunal should |
| 18 | any view about whether there was some breach of | 18 | and must disallow because of all the problems that these |
| 19 | somebody's prevention rights or some other tort. We | 19 | documents face. The fact that they start life as |
| 20 | just don't know. | 20 | transcriptions, poor transcriptions of meetings, where |
| 21 | I accept that as a matter of the jurisdiction of the | 21 | we don't know who's speaking, we don't know all the |
| 22 | court or the Tribunal, that even if the evidence was | 22 | people there, we can't be sure if they are agents, we |
| 23 | unlawfully obtained, that doesn't mean that the court or | 23 | don't know whether we have the whole meeting, we don't |
| 24 | the Tribunal automatically will shut it out. I accept | 24 | know how the tape was produced, we don't know where it's |
| 25 | that of course. | 25 | been, we don't know who has been looking after it, what |
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| 1 | Mr Woolfe is, if I may say so, correct to say that | 1 | the custody or control of it. It is not like |
| 2 | the appropriate rules are in the CPR 32.1 and we have | 2 | a Smith Bernal transcript, where we know it starts at |
| 3 | set that out in this extract from Phipsons at 39-35: | 3 | 10.30 in the morning and finishes at 4.30 in the |
| 4 | "The court may control the evidence by giving | 4 | afternoon because that is all set out. It just doesn't |
| 5 | directions as to the issues in which it requires | 5 | provide any sort of basis for the Tribunal to be |
| 6 | evidence, being the nature of the evidence which it | 6 | satisfied that the material can be properly adduced as |
| 7 | requires to decide those issues and (c) the way in which | 7 | evidence of the truth of its contents. |
| 8 | the evidence is to be placed before the court." | 8 | What one does normally is to serve a witness |
| 9 | As Mr Woolfe says, that finds its echo in the rules | 9 | statement from the person who one is wanting to call |
| 10 | of this tribunal in rule 55 and, sir, you will recall | 10 | evidence from, whether it is F1 or male 1 or male 2 or |
| 11 | that how we get into the Tribunal's rules in this case, | 11 | any of these other people, but they haven't done that. |
| 12 | because this part of the case was trying to open in the | 12 | And they haven't done that and what they should do is |
| 13 | Chancery Division, it is a bit of a spaghetti junction | 13 | they should be serving witness statements from the |
| 14 | through the rules, but we start at rule 72, when we come | 14 | people they want to call evidence from and I can then |
| 15 | from the Chancery Division. That then tells us that the | 15 | cross-examine. But F1 is not coming. They don't know |
| 16 | competition bit of the case will then be the subject of | 16 | who F1 is. It is completely unsatisfactory. |
| 17 | a case management conference under, I think, rule 54. | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Help me on this, Mr Maclean: you mentioned |
| 18 | So 72 drives one, I think from memory, to rule 54 and | 18 | earlier the Civil Evidence Act and the safeguards that |
| 19 | then we end up at rule 55, dealing with evidence. | 19 | exist in terms of identifying the precise statement that |
| 20 | The governing principles, as Mr Woolfe said, are | 20 | is being relied on. You have to specify all sorts of |
| 21 | rule 4 which echoes, obviously, the overriding | 21 | things like the person who is speaking, the person to |
| 22 | objective. | 22 | whom the statement is made, the gist of the statement |
| 23 | Now, if I put my cards on the table, we are not | 23 | and various other details. |
| 24 | remotely persuaded that the transcripts, any of them, | 24 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. |
| 25 | including the convenience transcript, with the exception | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: And, of course, one has to do that on good |
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| 1 | notice and, naturally, those times can be abridged but | 1 | submission is that this Tribunal should in its |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | there is a formal process for the production of that | 2 | discretion refuse to admit the transcripts as evidence |
| 3 | sort of evidence. | 3 | on the basis that a conscious decision, it would seem, |
| 4 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. | 4 | was taken in December not to pursue this line, nothing |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal, unless you want to correct me, | 5 | to suggest that if Mr Bronfentrinker had taken |
| 6 | doesn't operate on strict rules of evidence. | 6 | a different view from the view he expresses in the |
| 7 | MR MACLEAN: No, that's true. | 7 | middle of paragraph 5 that we would be in the position |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: So my question to you is this: accepting, as | 8 | that we find ourselves in of this evidence being, if |
| 9 | I entirely do, that the requirements of the Civil | 9 | that what it is, being dropped on us at the time that it |
| 10 | Evidence Act are extremely sensible requirements in | 10 | was. That clearly goes to the discretion of the |
| 11 | order to assess the probative value of material don't | 11 | Tribunal to admit these documents as evidence. |
| 12 | all the points you are making to me and, indeed, I made | 12 | My primary submission is that the Tribunal should |
| 13 | to Mr Woolfe, simply go to the question of weight? We | 13 | make it clear and make it clear that it is not and |
| 14 | look at this document for what it is worth. We hear for | 14 | should decline in the exercise of its rule 55.1(b) |
| 15 | what it is worth questions that are put to Mr Springett | 15 | power, to decline to admit these transcripts as |
| 16 | if questions are put and we take a view but we obviously | 16 | evidence. |
| 17 | have to include in that view all of the points regarding | 17 | I accept however, that that doesn't mean that the |
| 18 | origin and reliability and information that could but | 18 | documents somehow disappear in a puff of smoke. They |
| 19 | has not been provided regarding these transcripts and we | 19 | have been disclosed. They have been given to us. I am |
| 20 | reach a view as to what it is worth. | 20 | not sure why they have been disclose. They have been |
| 21 | MR MACLEAN: In essence I see the force of that because | 21 | given to us. We have got them and you have got them and |
| 22 | what, sir, you are saying in effect is that in the | 22 | Mr Harris has got them and he can ask Mr Springett |
| 23 | absence of the strict rules of evidence that would apply | 23 | questions but the evidence will be Mr Springett's |
| 24 | in the commercial courts in the Chancery Division then | 24 | evidence and not the material in the transcript. That is |
| 25 | the distinction between a document which has been | 25 | my primary submission as to what the correct conclusion |
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| 1 | disclosed for an inspection and relying on the truth of | 1 | of this is. |
| 2 | its contents in this rather formal and important process | 2 | That's really the long and short of it. This is |
| 3 | is somewhat eroded in this Tribunal. I see the force of | 3 | a very curious application and the way in which it has |
| 4 | that. | 4 | been deployed taking it at face value as I do obviously |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Normally that's something which | 5 | the Quinn Emanuel letter of this morning, the |
| 6 | both counsel and the Tribunal welcome with open arms, | 6 | circumstances in which that information was not provided |
| 7 | the ability to simply look at documents and treat them | 7 | in the witness statement on Tuesday is most unfortunate |
| 8 | as evidence and let everything go to weight. | 8 | because Mr Notley is clearly involved in this and he was |
| 9 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. | 9 | in the witness box at the time and that can't have |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Here it may be we are in a situation where it | 10 | escaped the attention of those who were preparing this |
| 11 | would perhaps be better that the strict rules of | 11 | material and that is, as I say, the late Lord Bingham I |
| 12 | evidence apply because then Mr Woolfe would make an | 12 | think would describe as rather surprising. For our part |
| 13 | application which would have to be firmly targeted to | 13 | consider it to be rather unfortunate. |
| 14 | certain requirements and those requirements simply don't | 14 | But as Mr Woolfe would say, we are where we are and |
| 15 | exist here. | 15 | in my submission, the Tribunal ought to exercise its |
| 16 | MR MACLEAN: I see the force of the point but what I do | 16 | powers in the way I have indicated. Unless I can help |
| 17 | submit is that the observations that I have been making | 17 | you any further, those are my submissions. |
| 18 | on the basis of the distinction between a document | 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, so it is really a choice, looking at our |
| 19 | as stuff, background stuff that Mr Harris can put along | 19 | powers on rule 55 of either positively excluding the |
| 20 | with other ten thousands of pages of background stuff to | 20 | evidence, subject of course, to what you call the |
| 21 | Mr Springett on the one hand and relying on something as | 21 | document being put to Mr Springett, if Mr Harris chooses |
| 22 | evidence on the other hand is clearly something that the | 22 | to do so -- |
| 23 | Tribunal has a discretion to deal with under rule 55. | 23 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. |
| 24 | Now, in circumstances where, I come back to the | 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: -- against allowing that in any event and not |
| 25 | Quinn Emanuel letter at paragraph 5 my primary | 25 | excluding the material. |
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| 1 | MR MACLEAN: And then -- | 1 | the kind of points which you came back with yesterday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: And letting it all go to weight. | 2 | afternoon. Who was it made by, how did it come into our |
| 3 | MR MACLEAN: Yes, that's right. The pick up on the | 3 | possession? And that's what it did. |
| 4 | discussion you had with Mr Woolfe, of course if it be | 4 | We didn't understand, nor do we normally set out an |
| 5 | the case that X is not content for his identity to be | 5 | exhaustive blow by blow account of the investigations |
| 6 | revealed, then that obviously, in my submission, weighs | 6 | which you undertook, in order to track everybody down |
| 7 | heavily in the scales in favour of my submission, which | 7 | that might have introduced some piece of evidence. |
| 8 | is that the Tribunal should draw a distinction between | 8 | There has been a lot of work going on for many months, |
| 9 | these materials as evidence and say: it is not evidence, | 9 | seeking to obtain various pieces of evidence and my |
| 10 | we are not allowing it to be treated as evidence. It is | 10 | solicitors have spoken to a lot of people and we haven't |
| 11 | merely stuff and it is like all the other stuff. And | 11 | given the other side a full account of every single |
| 12 | Mr Harris can ask Mr Springett questions and then we can | 12 | thing we've tried to do, nor do we propose to do so |
| 13 | make submissions in closing on the basis of | 13 | because it is privileged. Mr Bronfentrinker did |
| 14 | Mr Springett's evidence because it would be his evidence | 14 | something entirely proper which explains to the Tribunal |
| 15 | and not the transcript itself. | 15 | where the provenance or what the provenance of these |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Springett, I don't want to anticipate but | 16 | documents was. When some further queries were raised |
| 17 | Mr Springett is likely to say something like "I see the | 17 | yesterday afternoon, the best way of responding, just to |
| 18 | words on the page but I can't say anything more." | 18 | make clear he says: what he didn't know was to give |
| 19 | MR HARRIS: I am a little unhappy about -- Mr Springett is | 19 | a full account of that investigation that was undertaken |
| 20 | in the room. | 20 | and we thought it only fair to mention the fact that we |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: He is, yes. | 21 | did hear of it by way of a rumour some months ago. |
| 22 | MR HARRIS: He can give his evidence without there being any | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just bear with me one moment. (Pause). |
| 23 | suggestion as to what that evidence may be. | 23 | Mr Woolfe, it may be we can cut this a little |
| 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, it seems to me to be a material | 24 | shorter. You are telling me that the timing and content |
| 25 | factor for our consideration. If you want me to reach | 25 | and production of both the letter and the statement is |
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| 1 | a decision without airing a point that I think is | 1 | entirely a matter of administrative work as to when it |
| 2 | important, then I'll do that of course. | 2 | was done? |
| 3 | MR HARRIS: Sir, I simply put the marker down. | 3 | MR WOOLFE: Indeed, sir. |
| 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am quite sure that Mr Springett knows his | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: That there was no thinking beyond that? |
| 5 | obligations to tell the truth, the whole truth and | 5 | MR WOOLFE: Absolutely. |
| 6 | nothing but the truth and anything that I might say by | 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: But that the effect, I put that entirely |
| 7 | way of speculation will have absolutely no bearing on | 7 | neutrally, was that the material in terms of the |
| 8 | what he says. | 8 | involvement of Mr Notley, as set out in the letter of |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: I accept that. | 9 | 1.52 this morning, whenever it was -- |
| 10 | MR MACLEAN: Unless I can assist any further, those are my | 10 | MR WOOLFE: 8.34, I think. |
| 11 | submissions. | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Quite right, I am getting the wrong letter. |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. Mr Woolfe? | 12 | MR WOOLFE: We are open all hours, sir. |
| 13 | MR WOOLFE: I will try to be fairly brief. The first thing | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: The information that we now have does mean |
| 14 | I should respond to is the criticism of | 14 | that had it been disclosed earlier, to which I am making |
| 15 | Mr Bronfentrinker and, in particular, of his sixth | 15 | no criticism, Mr Maclean might have had the opportunity |
| 16 | witness statement and I am going to respond to this | 16 | to think of whether he had questions of Mr Notley but |
| 17 | fairly forcefully. We do think Mr Maclean's description | 17 | that is simply the way statements fell. |
| 18 | of that evidence is extremely unfair. What he said is | 18 | MR WOOLFE: That is simply the way the statements fell. |
| 19 | "it's impossible to resist the conclusion that | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, I don't think you need address |
| 20 | paragraphs 5 and 6 were carefully crafted so as not to | 20 | us any further on that point. |
| 21 | reveal the involvement of Mr Notley." | 21 | MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir. |
| 22 | The purpose of this Bronfentrinker witness statement | 22 | Now I turn to the mention of whether or not these |
| 23 | was to explain the provenance of the recordings that we | 23 | are evidence and whether they are hearsay evidence and |
| 24 | had actually obtained, where they actually came from, so | 24 | so on. The transcripts have been exhibited to a witness |
| 25 | far as we knew it, so that the Tribunal could entertain | 25 | statement and we are asking for them to be admitted into |
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| 1 | evidence. They are documents and they are -- if I can | 1 | these are full or not. I have already addressed you on |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | just really go back to basics. They are indisputable | 2 | that point. Just to observe that the April one, at |
| 3 | evidence as to their contents, not as to the truth of | 3 | least, does start off with them saying: right, who is |
| 4 | their contents but as to their contents. They are these | 4 | going to get us started and ends with saying "Thank you |
| 5 | documents and they are evidence further, of what is in | 5 | all for coming." So on its face, it appears to be |
| 6 | the recordings. We would also say they are evidence of | 6 | complete. |
| 7 | what was being said in these meetings. You see there | 7 | Mr Maclean said that these are of tenuous relevance |
| 8 | was a chain of inferences about the accuracy of the | 8 | at best, and he referred to the fact that there is |
| 9 | transcript and the recordings and so on but they are | 9 | a different pricing structure in Northern Ireland and |
| 10 | evidence of what was said in those meetings. We rely on | 10 | things are different -- can I ask you to turn to bundle |
| 11 | them for that purpose. | 11 | X, tab 33. This was a letter received from Eversheds on |
| 12 | It is then a step further, whether we rely upon what | 12 | 2 February which -- the night before trial was, by pure |
| 13 | was said in the meeting, for the truth of what was said | 13 | coincidence, around the time we happened to be receiving |
| 14 | in the meeting. And that is when hearsay becomes | 14 | the recordings on that day, as you will know from |
| 15 | relevant. If the people in that room are saying things | 15 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement but that is pure |
| 16 | about what they have done, a statement of fact, as it | 16 | coincidence. An issue had arisen between the parties in |
| 17 | were, if we were to rely upon that, that would then be | 17 | correspondence about the difference in the OOP rule in |
| 18 | hearsay. However, to take the example of somebody | 18 | the UK between Great Britain and Northern Ireland and |
| 19 | saying: can we take a straw poll? Who's going to do | 19 | the fact that Northern Ireland have this -- they can |
| 20 | what? Now, the people may have been lying to each other | 20 | comply with the OOP rule on a property by property basis |
| 21 | about the intentions or they may have believed something | 21 | rather than for a period of 12 months. That appears |
| 22 | different, we don't know, but it is not hearsay evidence | 22 | from the paragraph that's numbered 1 and I think that we |
| 23 | to say: this is evidence of people in the room, | 23 | knew that it was a different rule but the time of it was |
| 24 | exchanging views as to what their competitors' | 24 | new |
| 25 | intentions are. There is direct evidence of that. As | 25 | This letter goes on to say: |
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| 1 | you say it is not entirely reliable because of the | 1 | "This approach reflects the different marketing |
| 2 | points you made, sir, these are different points but | 2 | conditions existing within the province, where the two |
| 3 | this is not all hearsay. Hearsay is really a question | 3 | ...(Reading to the words)... PropertyPal and |
| 4 | of the specific reliance that is made. | 4 | Property News ..." |
| 5 | And so generally speaking we are talking about this | 5 | Et cetera. |
| 6 | as Agents' Mutual is being presented to agents and it is | 6 | MR FREEMAN: Sorry, which letter are you looking at? |
| 7 | direct evidence of that. It is direct evidence of what | 7 | MR WOOLFE: Tab 33. The bottom of the page. The numbered |
| 8 | is being done in the meeting. | 8 | paragraph. So this is providing further information to |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: So you are saying it is a Subramanian case? | 9 | us regarding the period for which the Northern Irish |
| 10 | That is a case where the debate was whether a threat was | 10 | version of the OOP rule applied, before it lapsed back |
| 11 | hearsay. | 11 | to become the regular OOP rule and reference is made to |
| 12 | MR WOOLFE: Yes. | 12 | different market conditions. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: The facts in the statement in issue was | 13 | This is the first time on which it was ever |
| 14 | simply a question of reading the statement and saying: | 14 | suggested to us that competitive conditions were |
| 15 | well, was this a threat and did it operate on the mind | 15 | different in Northern Ireland, any specific contention |
| 16 | of B? | 16 | about that. |
| 17 | MR WOOLFE: No, it doesn't dispose of all questions of | 17 | MR FREEMAN: Had it been an issue before? |
| 18 | reliability but just on the hearsay point, it is that. | 18 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, there had been an ongoing discussion, with |
| 19 | We say generally speaking, the Tribunal has a discretion | 19 | the parties trying to obtain this picture as to the |
| 20 | and the court has other ones which are identified of | 20 | contractual terms. It isn't an issue before. On the |
| 21 | letting it in, addressing these points by reference to | 21 | pleadings, we pleaded a UK wide market. They pleaded |
| 22 | weight, bearing in mind the lateness of it or as | 22 | a market no wider than the UK. |
| 23 | Mr Maclean now suggests, simply allowing it to be put in | 23 | MR FREEMAN: This goes to the relevant geographical market. |
| 24 | cross-examination. | 24 | Is that what you are saying? |
| 25 | Mr Maclean made the point we don't know whether | 25 | MR WOOLFE: They are making a positive case about Northern |
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| 1 | Ireland having different competitive conditions. That | 1 | is a bit of a pause. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | may go to market definition, but it may go to the extent | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. The problem is your transcript doesn't |
| 3 | to which we can rely upon the Northern Irish version of | 3 | have the times at all. |
| 4 | the OOP rule as being a less restrictive alternative, | 4 | MR WOOLFE: No, sir, it doesn't. The switching around of |
| 5 | for example. All I am saying is this is the first time | 5 | the numbers on the other pages, that could simply be |
| 6 | that that point was ever put and we do have in the | 6 | a typo. It is a bit of a strong inference to assume |
| 7 | transcripts -- one relevance of them is that they do | 7 | that somebody is tampering. |
| 8 | show people talking about the different competitive | 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: I raised them as a possibility. I don't |
| 9 | conditions in Northern Ireland, so it's a chance to have | 9 | think we are at the level of inference. I think we are |
| 10 | a little window into that world. | 10 | at the level of not knowing. |
| 11 | MR FREEMAN: But your clients must be aware of different | 11 | MR WOOLFE: Illustrating the problems but not knowing. |
| 12 | market conditions in Northern Ireland and the different | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. |
| 13 | portals. | 13 | MR WOOLFE: I entirely accept that, sir, to be fair. |
| 14 | MR WOOLFE: We were aware of different portals but my | 14 | MR FREEMAN: It is absolutely clear that there are no other |
| 15 | client -- so Gascoigne Halman and Connells, the owner, | 15 | convenience transcripts; is that right? |
| 16 | don't operate in Northern Ireland, sir. | 16 | MR WOOLFE: I believe that is right. I need to double |
| 17 | MR FREEMAN: So Northern Ireland hasn't figured in the | 17 | check. To the best of our knowledge, there are no |
| 18 | dispute so far. | 18 | others. |
| 19 | MR WOOLFE: There is an evidential dispute between the | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Bronfentrinker, I think, is fairly |
| 20 | parties about the extent to which the Northern Irish | 20 | there may be but, again, you don't know if there are. |
| 21 | version of the rule which we would say is less | 21 | MR WOOLFE: Yes, sir. Again, to the best of my knowledge, |
| 22 | restrictive, can be used as a relative comparator, | 22 | there aren't any recordings. Sir, unless I can assist |
| 23 | considering objective necessity and indispensability and | 23 | you further. |
| 24 | so forth and it is made in that context. I am simply | 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: One point, Mr Woolfe, at the risk of |
| 25 | saying that is an issue which is raised and it's | 25 | provoking Mr Harris's ire, I do think it important to |
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| 1 | evidence relevant to that issue. I am not making | 1 | the discretionary question that we have got here. |
| 2 | a criticism now, of things not being ... | 2 | I mean, if Mr Springett had been intensely involved in |
| 3 | MR FREEMAN: Thank you. | 3 | the recordings, the meetings that are recorded, then one |
| 4 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, just finally, dealing with the point | 4 | can see that cross-examination would create evidence |
| 5 | I think that you raised, regarding the timings on the | 5 | which would be helpful for the Tribunal, were it minded |
| 6 | convenience transcript. Can I say, sir, that is one of | 6 | to understand more about these meetings, to do so. |
| 7 | the reasons why we don't rely upon the transcript. | 7 | If, on the other hand, and I may be wrong, |
| 8 | There may have been errors in its compilation. We rely | 8 | Mr Springett's line is, "I wasn't there", the exclusion |
| 9 | as our description of what was said, on our own | 9 | of his evidence is more serious than were Mr Springett |
| 10 | transcript from the same recording and I accept that | 10 | to have been present and cross-examination to have some |
| 11 | point, sir. Just to take an example, I think you | 11 | mileage. Are you suggesting that is a relevant factor |
| 12 | pointed out on page 1 of that transcript to the fact | 12 | we ought to take into account? |
| 13 | that there was a considerable gap between 2.38 and 3.38. | 13 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, I would say that is a relevant factor. As |
| 14 | Is that right, sir? | 14 | I apprehend it, I don't think we would be intending to |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: That was one example, yes. | 15 | ask Mr Springett questions that would verify the things |
| 16 | MR WOOLFE: to point out, "Does anyone want to raise | 16 | that are said because he wasn't there. |
| 17 | anything or say anything to get us started?" What we | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, indeed, you very fairly made that point |
| 18 | have in square brackets is a new person. As you can | 18 | in opening. |
| 19 | see, in our version of the transcript which is at | 19 | MR WOOLFE: What this means to him as the chief executive of |
| 20 | page 47, there is a break between the two speakers. You | 20 | a company who is represented in these meetings and |
| 21 | can see that it is a new voice comes in. That confirms | 21 | so on. But, obviously, that is not asking him to |
| 22 | our listening to the transcript. In our recording, it | 22 | verify ... Thank you, sir. |
| 23 | is a new person. | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Woolfe. |
| 24 | The natural thing, when you walk into a meeting and | 24 | There being no reason that the cross-examination of |
| 25 | say: right, who wants to get us started, is that there | 25 | Mr Springett can't proceed, I am minded to hand down |
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| 1 | a ruling first thing tomorrow morning rather than | 1 | Northern Ireland and I just want to explore with you, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 o'clock, which was my initial thinking. I think it | 2 | one or two factual matters that you will be pleased to |
| 3 | will be likely to be a more ragged ruling at 2 o'clock | 3 | hear are not in the transcript of Northern Ireland but |
| 4 | than first thing tomorrow, so unless you have any | 4 | they are in this rather unwieldy bundle X, if that could |
| 5 | problem with that, that's what I intend to do. | 5 | be handed to you. The Tribunal may still have it open |
| 6 | MR HARRIS: Sir, subject only to this consideration: there | 6 | at tab 33. |
| 7 | are one or two passages that I would be minded to ask | 7 | Mr Springett, what you ought to have at the first |
| 8 | Mr Springett questions that bear upon one or two | 8 | page of that tab, is a letter from your solicitors on |
| 9 | passages in the transcript. If you would prefer, I can | 9 | the night before trial, 2 September; do you have that? |
| 10 | avoid doing that at all today but that would be on the | 10 | A. I do. |
| 11 | understanding that if allowed to do so after the ruling | 11 | Q. And as well as providing some additional information |
| 12 | tomorrow, it would be a little bit out of order. Now, | 12 | about the duration of 12 months of a less restrictive |
| 13 | I can manage that but I am in your hands. | 13 | rule in Northern Ireland, you attach -- and |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, I don't understand that to be a problem | 14 | incidentally, that is under the form of a statement of |
| 15 | unless Mr Maclean is going to leap up and say it is | 15 | truth. Is that your signature on the second page? |
| 16 | a problem. As I understood the position, we are not | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | talking about putting these materials in | 17 | Q. You have attached -- your side has attached some new |
| 18 | cross-examination. Mr Maclean is perfectly relaxed | 18 | documents and it is those that I just want to take you |
| 19 | about that. I see him not standing up, so that is | 19 | to for a moment. In my copy of the bundle beginning |
| 20 | helpful. It is really much more whether the material | 20 | about eight to ten pages in at 255 , there is a copy of |
| 21 | should be given any weight, absent Mr Springett's | 21 | a contract. Do you have that? |
| 22 | evidence, and that I think, is the question that the | 22 | A. I do. |
| 23 | Tribunal has to resolve. I see Mr Maclean nodding. | 23 | Q. And it is my understanding from the contents of the |
| 24 | MR MACLEAN: Yes, I am nodding, yes. Exactly. | 24 | letter that this is a contract that applies in Northern |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, we'll let you proceed in your | 25 | Ireland and that's why it has been provided to us. |
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| 1 | own way, Mr Harris. | 1 | I appreciate there are no names on it but that is your |
| 2 | MR HARRIS: I am sorry if I have provoked the Tribunal's ire | 2 | understanding as well, isn't it? |
| 3 | myself. | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | MR FREEMAN: We don't have ire, Mr Harris. | 4 | Q. And then in addition, my understanding from your letter |
| 5 | MR HARRIS: Not even as a collective group. | 5 | is if you go one page earlier in the bundle to 254, |
| 6 | MR FREEMAN: We don't have collective ire. | 6 | there is in fact -- well, let's see if we can have |
| 7 | MR WOOLFE: May I just thank the Tribunal for your patience | 7 | a finger on 255 and 254. The Northern Irish contract at |
| 8 | this morning with the slightly longer submissions than | 8 | 255 and article 5 towards the bottom, that is the |
| 9 | were intended. | 9 | equivalent, isn't it, of the OOP rule that applies in |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, they were very helpful, thank you both | 10 | the membership contract for Gascoigne Halman or Moginie |
| 11 | very much. Shall we proceed or do we need to rise for | 11 | James or -- |
| 12 | the transcribers? | 12 | A. Everybody. |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: It may be better to make a start and then if the | 13 | Q. But in this version of the contract it is article 5. Am |
| 14 | transcribers indicate that they would like a break | 14 | I right in saying, Mr Springett, that that has now been |
| 15 | before the short adjournment, we can do so. | 15 | varied formally by contract variation, for those firms |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, okay. Let's try and rise for five | 16 | that have signed up to OTM in Northern Ireland; yes? |
| 17 | minutes after midday, sort of 10 past time if you can | 17 | A. Via this variation, yes, for the first $\mathbf{1 2}$ months. |
| 18 | manage it, Mr Harris. | 18 | Q. The previous page is the variation to the OOP rule for |
| 19 | MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) | 19 | the purposes of Northern Ireland; is that right? |
| 20 | Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued). | 20 | A. Correct. |
| 21 | MR HARRIS: Good morning, Mr Springett. Just you and I have | 21 | Q. That's what I thought. It is just that I see -- I don't |
| 22 | had slightly more of a back seat role this morning but | 22 | know if you can assist me with this or perhaps we need |
| 23 | funnily enough, we finished the day, yesterday, | 23 | a letter in due course, but the contract begins by |
| 24 | Mr Springett -- I know you realise you are still under | 24 | saying -- the variation on 254 : |
| 25 | oath from yesterday -- talking about none other than | 25 | "Dear sirs, the following terms form a variation to |
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| 1 | clause 4 of the legal contract and this variation is | 1 | which they compete" might be easier. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | valid only for a period of 12 months from | 2 | MR HARRIS: The reason I used the phrase, sir, but I am |
| 3 | 15 March 2016." | 3 | happy to replace -- that is another word which occurs in |
| 4 | Then it goes on to give a revised version of the OOP | 4 | this case, replace, but I will change "parameter" for |
| 5 | rule. That's right, isn't it? | 5 | "weight", but the reason I used that in particular, when |
| 6 | A. Clearly it is right. | 6 | I was exploring with Mr Springett that particular |
| 7 | Q. But in fact, the clause that needs to be varied is | 7 | formulation, is it is the way the CMA puts it. |
| 8 | clause 5, isn't it? | 8 | MR FREEMAN: That is not so surprising. |
| 9 | A. That is right. | 9 | MR HARRIS: Do you see, Mr Springett, on 5395, under the |
| 10 | Q. So as far as you are aware, that is just a mistake in | 10 | first emboldened subheading, second sentence: |
| 11 | number? | 11 | "The CMA considers that the number and identity of |
| 12 | A. I am afraid so, yes. | 12 | portals they list on can be an important parameter of |
| 13 | Q. And in any event, the point of the formal contract | 13 | competition for estate agents." |
| 14 | variation is that, as you said very fairly yesterday | 14 | That is right in general, isn't it? |
| 15 | towards the end of the day, it produces a less | 15 | A. As a principle but it wasn't operating that way. |
| 16 | restrictive rule for the Northern Irish version, albeit | 16 | I think that's the difference with what -- between this |
| 17 | only for a period of 12 months. That is how you | 17 | and what I just said. |
| 18 | understand it, isn't it? | 18 | Q. Really? During the course of the remainder of this |
| 19 | A. It is. | 19 | trial we will see lots of examples of where it does work |
| 20 | Q. Thank you. We can put away that bundle then, thank you. | 20 | that way. I am just going to take you to one so we can |
| 21 | I just wanted to make sure I had understood it | 21 | see the operation of that in practice. This is an |
| 22 | correctly. | 22 | example of how it worked in Scotland. If you could take |
| 23 | One of the things, Mr Springett, that I explored | 23 | up bundle number 6, please. And this time turn to |
| 24 | with you yesterday, was the quality and practice of you, | 24 | page 3275C. |
| 25 | as the guiding light of Agents' Mutual, for getting | 25 | A. Yes. |
|  | Page 85 |  | Page 87 |
| 1 | local groups of agents together in various different | 1 | Q. So of course, in the usual way, this is an email which |
| 2 | regions around the country; yes? | 2 | starts on the previous page at B, 28 July 2014, from |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | Mr McEwan, who is obviously a senior figure, a chief |
| 4 | Q. Sorry, you have to say yes or no. | 4 | executive of a large grouping -- not grouping, firm in |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | Scotland. Do you know that? |
| 6 | Q. But I am right in saying, am I not, that the members of | 6 | A. I do. |
| 7 | local groupings of estate agents, they would ordinarily | 7 | Q. And he is writing to Miss Kerr and as I think you said |
| 8 | compete with each other as to the number and identity of | 8 | yesterday, she is the senior Agents' Mutual |
| 9 | portals that they choose to list on, wouldn't they? | 9 | representative in Scotland and Northern Ireland; that is |
| 10 | A. Well, I think you heard yesterday from our other | 10 | right, isn't? |
| 11 | witnesses that that really wasn't functioning | 11 | A. That's correct. |
| 12 | particularly well. In practice, people were on two | 12 | Q. And do you see that towards the bottom of 325B, what |
| 13 | portals and they are the ones which mattered. | 13 | Mr McEwan is explaining is the dynamics of competition |
| 14 | Q. I accept that. But we are having a little bit of the | 14 | as between estate agents, at least in Scotland, and he |
| 15 | same skirting as yesterday. In principle, the number | 15 | says: |
| 16 | and identity of the portals that they list on is | 16 | "Well we were the first agent to promote Zoopla in |
| 17 | a parameter of competition as between estate agents; | 17 | Scotland and the first agent in the ESPC to list with |
| 18 | isn't it? | 18 | Rightmove. Both companies offered us various incentives |
| 19 | A. I am not sure I agree with that, depending on when you | 19 | to get our brand on board and as soon as we did, others |
| 20 | are talking about. | 20 | followed in our footsteps. These portals automatically |
| 21 | Q. Let's see what the CMA has to say about this then. If | 21 | gained significant momentum. as a result of our initial |
| 22 | you look in bundle number 10, if you could be provided | 22 | association." |
| 23 | with that and if you could please turn to page 5395. | 23 | And so there is an example of, according to |
| 24 | MR FREEMAN: Mr Harris, I think "parameter of competition" | 24 | Mr McEwan, other agents following what his firm is doing |
| 25 | is quite a nerdy sort of remark, isn't it? "Ways in | 25 | as regards listings in the locality, seemingly in |
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| 1 | competition. Do you accept that? | 1 | into bed together." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. I can see that's what he says. | 2 | So you would accept that at least the local agents |
| 3 | Q. Then he goes on to spell it out even more concretely, | 3 | saw context and prospects of getting on to |
| 4 | just above the first hole punch. He says: | 4 | Agents' Mutual as coming together, notwithstanding that |
| 5 | "Without MFL on board [that is McEwan Fraser Legal], | 5 | they were competitors; correct? |
| 6 | we would simply end up directly competing against any | 6 | A. I just highlight the date of this email, 24 July 2013. |
| 7 | agents who joined Agents' Mutual, who can't offer the | 7 | So this is the point in time where the decision agents |
| 8 | big two portals to clients and this will provide us with | 8 | who are considering the Agents' Mutual proposition were |
| 9 | a significant competitive advantage." | 9 | assessing whether it was even going to be viable. |
| 10 | Do you see that? So he does regard the number and | 10 | Q. Yes, I accept that, Mr Springett. That is a perfectly |
| 11 | choice of portals, including yours, as being a parameter | 11 | fair point about timing but, nevertheless, Mr Rook, in |
| 12 | or a way of competing with other agents in his locality, | 12 | this group of agents, is characterising the proposition |
| 13 | doesn't he? | 13 | of getting together and joining Agents' Mutual as being |
| 14 | A. Certainly after we came into being, that's true. | 14 | one between people who compete to the extreme and |
| 15 | Q. Of course, one of the aims of the OOP rule is to reduce | 15 | instead, have to lay down their arms and get into bed |
| 16 | that parameter of way of competing, isn't it? Instead | 16 | together? |
| 17 | of being able to have two or three or four or five or | 17 | A. No, that is not Mr Rook. |
| 18 | however many portals the agent may choose to list on, | 18 | Q. No, I beg your pardon, Mr Pattinson. That is the lens |
| 19 | any member of your company is restricted to just two, is | 19 | through which he is looking at it in part, isn't it? |
| 20 | not that member? | 20 | A. All I can see is what is here. |
| 21 | A. That is not the objective. | 21 | Q. That is a fair point as well. It was in your interests |
| 22 | Q. You certainly knew, didn't you, Mr Springett, that part | 22 | as the architect or as the principal proponent of |
| 23 | of what you were trying to achieve through the group | 23 | Agents' Mutual, to get groups of agents to join it, |
| 24 | meetings that we talked about yesterday was to get | 24 | wasn't it? Because you realised that as a group, they |
| 25 | estate agent competitors into bed with each other, as | 25 | could deliver very significant advantage to a portal? |
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| 1 | regards at least, the decision to join OTM. That is | 1 | A. Well, any customer delivers advantage to the portal. I |
| 2 | right, isn't it? | 2 | am trying to build up membership of Agents' Mutual and |
| 3 | A. No. | 3 | I am using group meetings to present the proposition? |
| 4 | Q. Certainly at least one of your local groups, one of the | 4 | Q. We are perhaps not disagreeing here, Mr Springett. |
| 5 | local groups recognised that that was what was going on. | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | Can I take you to a document, please, at bundle number 3 | 6 | Q. You are saying that any one is good? |
| 7 | at 13.47 D . The document begins on 1347 C and it is an | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | email from a Mr Pattinson. He is an estate agent in the | 8 | Q. Therefore it follows that more than one, as a group, is |
| 9 | north east of England, isn't he? | 9 | even better, right? |
| 10 | A. Keith Pattinson, yes. | 10 | A. I am saying more than one is better and then another one |
| 11 | Q. To a Mr Henning. We are going to be seeing plenty more | 11 | is good on top and then a fourth. |
| 12 | of these two gentlemen shortly, and then it is copied to | 12 | Q. So you are agreeing then, a group is good? |
| 13 | a Mr Rook and he's an estate agent in a firm called Rook | 13 | A. I don't think I am agreeing. |
| 14 | Matthews Sayer in the North East, isn't he? | 14 | Q. But you always needed a joint approach involving a large |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | number of firms, in order to protect and defend the |
| 16 | Q. And we'll be seeing more of him in due course. | 16 | interests of those firms in the portal's market, didn't |
| 17 | Do you see, if you cast your eye over it. You don't | 17 | you? |
| 18 | need to read the whole thing. It is a grouping of these | 18 | A. That's the purpose of Agents' Mutual in part. |
| 19 | agents up there in the North East, recording thoughts | 19 | Q. Yes, that is right, a joint approach. That is the |
| 20 | about Agents' Mutual as a proposition. Do you see that? | 20 | point, isn't it? |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | A. I am not following a joint approach. |
| 22 | Q. And then he says at the end, if you just go to the end | 22 | Q. Well a joint approach between a large number of firms, |
| 23 | of his email: | 23 | that is what you wanted to get together because that was |
| 24 | "My other concern is to how to get people who | 24 | the joint grouping that was intended to be able to |
| 25 | compete to the extreme, to lay down their arms and get | 25 | defend -- |
|  | Page 90 |  | Page 92 |


|  | A. I wanted as many people as possible to join | 1 | Q. At the time that you wrote them, Mr Springett. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Agents' Mutual. | 2 | A. Well, this is an evolving draft at the end of 2011 which |
| 3 | Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, I hadn't quite finished. | 3 | is two years before we got going. |
| 4 | A. I am sorry. | 4 | Q. And how is that relevant to my question, Mr Springett? |
| 5 | Q. It was a joint approach involving a large number of | 5 | A. Well, because this is an evolving discussion draft. It |
| 6 | firms that you wanted to put together, in order to | 6 | doesn't necessarily represent what the conclusions were |
| 7 | defend and promote the interests of the group in the | 7 | of the steering committee or indeed the company when it |
| 8 | portal's market, isn't it? | 8 | was formed in January 2013. |
| 9 | A. Repeated use of the word "group". We put our | 9 | Q. I see, so the words -- certainly the approach, I put it |
| 10 | proposition out there which was the Agents' Mutual | 10 | to you, that you had in mind when you wrote them down |
| 11 | Limited proposition and we were inviting people to | 11 | and you go on, don't you, to say later on in the words |
| 12 | support it. | 12 | that aren't crossed out that: |
| 13 | Q. You quibble with the wording, Mr Springett, but in fact | 13 | "We have concluded that a properly constituted and |
| 14 | this is your own wording, as I understand it, and | 14 | resourced joint venture is needed." |
| 15 | perhaps you could turn to bundle number 1, page 174. | 15 | That is not crossed out, is it? |
| 16 | May I just have a moment, sir, I may be on the wrong | 16 | A. No, it isn't. |
| 17 | page? Apologies, Mr Springett. | 17 | Q. So it was a joint and collaborative venture that you |
| 18 | This is a document which is one of the earliest | 18 | were aiming to put together amongst groups of estate |
| 19 | iterations of the project Z discussion piece, isn't it? | 19 | agents, right? |
| 20 | A. November 2011. | 20 | A. It was a joint venture. That's what it says. |
| 21 | Q. That is right. I am going to come back to, I think, 174 | 21 | Q. Amongst groups of estate agents, right? |
| 22 | in a moment, but now just looking over the page | 22 | A. It doesn't say that. |
| 23 | at 175 -- I beg your pardon. No -- my confusion. | 23 | Q. That is who you are talking about? |
| 24 | I have written 174 and everything is on 175. If you go | 24 | A. It doesn't say that. |
| 25 | to the bottom of 175 do you see there is a paragraph | 25 | Q. I am not asking you what it says. I am asking you who |
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| 1 | that begins with some crossed out words and then have | 1 | is in this joint venture. It is estate agents, isn't |
| 2 | some remaining words? Do you have that one? | 2 | it? |
| 3 | A. The last paragraph on that page? | 3 | A. Other estate agents, absolutely. They all own it. |
| 4 | Q. Yes. | 4 | Q. And indeed in the following line at the bottom of the |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | page: |
| 6 | Q. In the second line the words that were -- were you | 6 | "Finance policies, membership requirements of such |
| 7 | responsible largely for altering this document as well. | 7 | a joint venture." |
| 8 | I think you did say that. | 8 | You do see this as being a joint collaborative |
| 9 | A. I certainly was. | 9 | venture between estate agents, don't you, |
| 10 | Q. And so I think you had originally written down, hadn't | 10 | Agents' Mutual? |
| 11 | you, that: | 11 | A. We are going to get into a discussion about what a joint |
| 12 | "The agents believe a joint approach involving | 12 | venture is, aren't we? |
| 13 | a large number of firms needs to be applied to defend | 13 | Q. No, we are not. It is a joint and collaborative |
| 14 | and promote their interest in the portals market." | 14 | venture. I am not using that as a legal term of art. |
| 15 | A. Sorry, I'm not seeing those words. | 15 | It is between estate agents, isn't it? |
| 16 | Q. They are crossed out in the second and third lines. | 16 | A. What do you mean by "collaborative"? |
| 17 | A. Crossed out? | 17 | Q. When they jointly get together in order to achieve aims |
| 18 | Q. Yes. | 18 | and objectives together, don't they? |
| 19 | A. I can see the words, yes. | 19 | A. What they've done is invested in and supported a new |
| 20 | Q. And you had written them, right? | 20 | venture for which Agents' Mutual Limited is the vehicle. |
| 21 | A. Yes, it is my document. | 21 | Q. Yes, and they jointly invest and collaborate together as |
| 22 | Q. That is right. So at the time you wrote them you did | 22 | regards the aims of the company of which they are mutual |
| 23 | think there was a joint approach involving a large | 23 | members, don't they? |
| 24 | number of firms? | 24 | A. You keep using the word "collaborate" and you haven't |
| 25 | A. Clearly I didn't because I crossed them out. | 25 | really set out for me what you mean by that. |
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| 1 | Q. Your own counsel describe it in their skeleton as | 1 | England? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a mutual company. That means relations between the | 2 | MR FREEMAN: Sorry, can I just for my own satisfaction. |
| 3 | members themselves of the company, doesn't it? | 3 | MR HARRIS: Please. |
| 4 | A. They are members of the company. | 4 | MR FREEMAN: The purpose of the agents with a capital A that |
| 5 | Q. It was the purpose, wasn't it, to join together with | 5 | refers back presumably to the leading independent agents |
| 6 | others in order to achieve the objectives, right? | 6 | at the top of the executive summary, so that is a small |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Don't you need to make it clear what the | 7 | group of founding agents. |
| 8 | objectives, are, Mr Harris. | 8 | MR HARRIS: What page are you on, sir? |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: The objectives in this document as we are going | 9 | MR FREEMAN: I was just looking at the page you left us on |
| 10 | to be going through in due course. | 10 | which was 180 . You were making a point about the |
| 11 | That is right, isn't it, Mr Springett? They were | 11 | wording at the top of the page: "The purpose of the |
| 12 | joining together in order to ensure the portals they use | 12 | agent is to join with others". |
| 13 | operate in the best interests of themselves? | 13 | MR HARRIS: I think you are right. I think at this stage |
| 14 | A. That's not true either. What they wanted to do is put | 14 | agents with a capital A was the grouping -- |
| 15 | a new competitor into the market which more closely | 15 | MR FREEMAN: Agents has a capital A and it is the founding |
| 16 | delivered the services and the manner of those services | 16 | members. |
| 17 | that they say suited their businesses. | 17 | MR HARRIS: Yes, which became known as the steering |
| 18 | Q. You quibble, Mr Springett, with the use of the word | 18 | committee or was a steering committee. |
| 19 | "collaborative" but that is another one of your words | 19 | MR MACLEAN: It is defined at 174 , sir. |
| 20 | isn't it? If you go back to page 174 and look in the | 20 | MR FREEMAN: I have found it, thank you. That is what I |
| 21 | fourth line down of your executive summary, that is | 21 | thought, not just any old agent. |
| 22 | indeed your own phrase, isn't it in: they propose | 22 | MR HARRIS: No, not at this stage. This was, as |
| 23 | a collaborative venture with a broad range of other | 23 | Mr Springett pointed out, 9 November 2011 so at an |
| 24 | agents. I don't know why you are not agreeing with | 24 | early stage of gestation for this mutual company. |
| 25 | this, Mr Springett. That is what you say, isn't it? | 25 | So you do know about the grouping in the North East |
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| 1 | A. Well, the structure of this is that they invested, they | 1 | of England, don't you, Mr Springett? |
| 2 | took an independent decision to participate just like | 2 | A. Do you mean at this stage? |
| 3 | any other business would form. You can't get something | 3 | Q. Well, let's just ask generally. You are aware of |
| 4 | fresh off the ground unless people support it. | 4 | a grouping of agents acting collectively with regards to |
| 5 | Q. That is right and they were collaborating with each | 5 | Agents' Mutual in the North East of England, aren't you? |
| 6 | other as agents in order to get it up and off the | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | ground, weren't they? Very simple. That is right, | 7 | Q. And indeed, you were instrumental in developing or |
| 8 | isn't? | 8 | progressing that grouping of agents as a group, weren't |
| 9 | A. Bear in mind there isn't an "it" at this stage. The | 9 | you? |
| 10 | company wasn't formed until January 2013. | 10 | A. No, I wasn't. |
| 11 | Q. That is right, but at this stage the purpose was to join | 11 | Q. We'll come to that. |
| 12 | together with others, wasn't it, in order to achieve the | 12 | A. I presented to groups of agents on successive occasions |
| 13 | objectives, yes? | 13 | during I think mid to late 2013. |
| 14 | A. Join together with others? | 14 | Q. We'll come to the details of your involvement in due |
| 15 | Q. Yes. Those are your words, Mr Springett. Let's have | 15 | course. I am just starting with some opening remarks |
| 16 | a look at page 180. It is taking you rather a long time | 16 | about this group and questions. |
| 17 | for you to accept words that you have already written | 17 | The grouping that you have in mind about it |
| 18 | down yourself. Do you see at the top: | 18 | operating in the northeast of England, that includes |
| 19 | "Common purpose and key objectives. The purpose of | 19 | Mr Clive Rook of Rook Matthews Sayer, doesn't it? |
| 20 | the agents is to join with others." | 20 | A. He was, as with Mr Halman, up in the North West the |
| 21 | That is other agents, isn't it? | 21 | first person that I communicated with in the North East. |
| 22 | A. Well that would be the reason for them to support the | 22 | Q. That is right, and he is a director of a leading |
| 23 | venture, yes. | 23 | independent estate agent in the North East, isn't he? |
| 24 | Q. Yes. I am right in saying aren't I, Mr Springett, that | 24 | A. He was. |
| 25 | you know about a grouping of agents in the North East of | 25 | Q. He was. He has retired. That is a fair point. In 2013 |
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| 1 | and 2014 and 2015 he was a director of a leading estate | 1 | Q. Yes, let's have a look at it. It is at bundle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | agency in the North East? | 2 | number 5/2645A? |
| 3 | A. I would agree with that. | 3 | A. Yes, this is 2014. |
| 4 | Q. And he was also a board director of Agents' Mutual, | 4 | Q. That is right. Well before the launch of |
| 5 | wasn't he? | 5 | Agents' Mutual, isn't it? |
| 6 | A. He became a director in March 2014. | 6 | A. Yes, but I wanted to make clear that -- |
| 7 | Q. That is right. And he lasted until -- can you say | 7 | Q. I haven't asked you a question yet, Mr Springett. |
| 8 | exactly when? It was well into 2015, wasn't it? | 8 | A. I'm finishing off the answer to the previous one if you |
| 9 | A. Certainly well into 2015. I couldn't say -- certainly | 9 | don't mind. |
| 10 | after the launch of OnTheMarket. | 10 | Q. What question? |
| 11 | Q. I suggest to you that you knew that you could build upon | 11 | A. You were asking me -- |
| 12 | groupings that had already been formed to negotiate with | 12 | Q. I asked you, did you send it? |
| 13 | portals so as to encourage or facilitate them in making | 13 | A. Yes, and I said there are two different lists so it |
| 14 | joint decisions to join Agents' Mutual? | 14 | would be helpful to clarify which they are. |
| 15 | A. Let me just get that clear. I could build upon groups | 15 | Q. So did you send this list? |
| 16 | that had already been formed to negotiate with portals? | 16 | A. No, that is not the point of clarification I want to |
| 17 | Q. Yes. | 17 | make. The first list that I received from Mr Rook was |
| 18 | A. That is the question? | 18 | not this list. It was just a list of emails of local |
| 19 | Q. Yes. | 19 | estate agents in the North East area and it gave me the |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | opportunity to invite them all to an Agents' Mutual |
| 21 | Q. You could take those groupings and facilitate and | 21 | meeting. This list as far as I can see is all the |
| 22 | encourage them to make joint collective decisions about | 22 | agents in the relevant postcodes who have registered |
| 23 | joining Agents' Mutual, didn't you? | 23 | their interest in joining Agents' Mutual via our website |
| 24 | A. No. | 24 | and that was sent in 2014 and it was emailed to a number |
| 25 | Q. Equally, you knew you could facilitate and encourage | 25 | of agents who had already become members of |
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| 1 | those groupings to make collective decisions as to which | 1 | Agents' Mutual and the purpose of it was to assist them |
| 2 | portal to choose, didn't you? | 2 | in helping us recruit more agents to Agents' Mutual. |
| 3 | A. It is not true. | 3 | Q. The purpose was to assist them in growing and developing |
| 4 | Q. But you knew about -- | 4 | the group of agents in the North East as a group, wasn't |
| 5 | A. If you want to take Mr Rook as an example, he contacted | 5 | it? |
| 6 | Agents' Mutual via its website. He made an enquiry. He | 6 | A. It wasn't. |
| 7 | registered. I contacted him. We had I think | 7 | Q. Of course it was, Mr Springett. What you say to them in |
| 8 | a conversation and he provided me with a list of agents | 8 | the second paragraph is: |
| 9 | operating in the North East, their email addresses. He | 9 | "I know that Steve Henning plans to invite existing |
| 10 | identified Mr Pattinson as another leading agent in the | 10 | members to meet shortly to consider how you might |
| 11 | area. I also had discussions with Keith Pattinson and | 11 | support further member" -- |
| 12 | I, independently of Mr Rook, emailed all of the estate | 12 | A. "Member recruitment". |
| 13 | agents on that list and invited them to attend an | 13 | Q. Excuse me, I haven't finished. I haven't even put the |
| 14 | Agents' Mutual meeting. | 14 | question yet. |
| 15 | Q. That is right, but you did more than that, didn't you? | 15 | "... how you might support further member |
| 16 | I am very glad you raised that list, Mr Springett | 16 | recruitment and also the potential for portal |
| 17 | because in fact you are the one who sent round that list | 17 | negotiations." |
| 18 | to a large grouping of agents in the North East, aren't | 18 | And it is in that regard that you say in the first |
| 19 | you? It wasn't Mr Rook who sent it. It was you, wasn't | 19 | line that, "I thought you might find it helpful to |
| 20 | it? | 20 | receive the attached schedule." |
| 21 | A. Sent round the list? | 21 | That is right, is it not? So what you are doing is |
| 22 | Q. Yes. Shall we see it. It is in bundle number 5 at | 22 | sending to an existing grouping that consists of the |
| 23 | page -- | 23 | recipients of the email in the North East a large |
| 24 | A. Let's make sure we are clear about what lists we are | 24 | further list to assist them in having additional groups |
| 25 | talking about. | 25 | at further meetings, aren't you? |
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| 1 | about joining Agents' Mutual and about which portal they | 1 | many in agreement to review this opportunity further." Yes? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | would choose. That is right, isn't it? | 2 |  |
| 3 | A. The email was sent to members and it had a list on it of | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | members and non-members. I was aware at that stage that | 4 | Q. And then if you go back, doing as one does with these |
| 5 | they had begun to consider collective negotiations with | 5 | emails, backwards in the bundle, do you see that the |
| 6 | the other portals. I was aware of that. | 6 | email above it -- so you have to go now to part way down |
| 7 | Q. That is right. Collective negotiations, collective | 7 | 1292 to see where that starts. Do you see there is an |
| 8 | decisions they were considering at the time you sent the | 8 | email, Mr Jonathan Parker wrote "Good afternoon". Now, |
| 9 | list, about whether to join Agents' Mutual? | 9 | on this version of the email we can't see to whom that |
| 10 | A. No, sir. | 10 | is sent and he even begins by saying "Apologies if |
| 11 | Q. We'll see that in a minute. | 11 | I have missed anybody on the distribution list." |
| 12 | A. These are already members. | 12 | Just pausing there for a moment. We can see that |
| 13 | Q. And secondly, collective decisions -- you knew that they | 13 | you were in fact forwarded that very email, a matter to |
| 14 | were considering at that time that you sent the list, | 14 | whom that was sent, above it on the page. You see at |
| 15 | collective decisions as to which portal to drop, didn't | 15 | 142332, 19 July, you are copied in, aren't you? |
| 16 | you? | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | A. I was aware that they wanted to investigate collective | 17 | Q. By now the email distribution list has expanded to more |
| 18 | negotiation with -- not just for portals, actually, but | 18 | agents in the North East, hasn't it? |
| 19 | media negotiations. | 19 | A. It has. |
| 20 | Q. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett? You knew more | 20 | Q. So back in 2013, you are obtaining direct information of |
| 21 | than that. You knew that they had put forward specific | 21 | what this email says and it goes on, doesn't it? |
| 22 | proposals to collectively drop one of the portals, at | 22 | A. I think this might be around the time I emailed |
| 23 | the time you sent the list, didn't you? | 23 | Mr Henning to reiterate that there couldn't be joint |
| 24 | A. No, I didn't. | 24 | decisions on this. |
| 25 | Q. Let's have a look then, shall we? If you have a look in | 25 | Q. To be absolutely clear, Mr Springett, I am going to take |
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| 1 | bundle number 3 and can you start it at page 1293. Page 1293. Sorry, you don't have it yet. Bundle number 3, Mr Springett. I am sorry, it is very trying. <br> A. No, I have -- what was the page again, if I may? <br> Q. So at 12.93 , you say at the bottom hole punch, do you see that -- <br> A. Nearly there. <br> Q. That is an email from Mr Henning to a series of the North East agents, including Mr Rook and Mr Pattinson and you are the one who is copied in the CC column, aren't you? | 1 | you to the email that you have got in mind. |
| 2 |  | 2 | A. Okay. |
| 3 |  | 3 | Q. It comes a day later. |
| 4 |  | 4 | A. Right. |
| 5 |  | 5 | Q. So you need not worry that you won't have an opportunity |
| 6 |  | 6 | to say what you want to say about that email but before |
| 7 |  | 7 | we get there, we need to deal with this email. It says: |
| 8 |  | 8 | "I think following on from discussions within our |
| 9 |  | 9 | company and to other agents, we are in broad agreement." |
| 10 |  | 10 | So you are obtaining some information there, aren't |
| 11 |  | 11 | you, about this grouping in the North East making, |
| 12 | A. Eighteen July 14.55? | 12 | apparently, broad agreements as between themselves, as |
| 13 | Q. Correct. | 13 | to the way forward; yes? |
| 14 | A. I am in the CC column, yes. | 14 | A. Well, I think I am copied on the reply, aren't I? |
| 15 | Q. So it is Mr Henning to various North East agents, | 15 | Q. Yes, so you did know that that's what they were doing? |
| 16 | including Mr Rook, Mr Pattinson and you are copied in: | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | "Good afternoon all." | 17 | Q. And then he goes on to say, doesn't he, in this, Mr |
| 18 | Now they are talking about getting together | 18 | Parker: |
| 19 | a meeting in the North East and Mr Henning says: | 19 | "The question for a lot of agents would be which |
| 20 | "I have now received positive feedback from the | 20 | portal to drop, Rightmove or Zoopla?" |
| 21 | following firms." | 21 | So you knew that this grouping of agents was |
| 22 | He lists all kinds of firms and two paragraphs below | 22 | thinking about the choice of which portal as well, |
| 23 | that on the next page. This agent says, to your | 23 | didn't you? |
| 24 | knowledge, because you are copied in: | 24 | A. Well, they were -- all the agents would be thinking |
| 25 | "We may be in competition but it is good to see so | 25 | about that. |
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| 1 | Q. That is right, as a group though, Mr Springett. That's | 1 | email, again at the top of 1292, is Mr Rook and -- yes, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | how they are thinking of it, isn't it? Because it is on | 2 | can you see that? The top of 1292. |
| 3 | a group email? | 3 | A. I haven't got there yet, sorry. |
| 4 | A. Well, Mr Parker is, I guess, giving his view of what the | 4 | Q. You see he is in the CC line, second entry. |
| 5 | issues for agents will be. | 5 | A. I may be -- yes, I am sorry. |
| 6 | Q. Yes, that's right and he goes on to say: | 6 | Q. And Mr Pattinson? |
| 7 | "I think the obvious choice would be to drop | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Zoopla." | 8 | Q. And a whole series of other people? |
| 9 | That's what he tells the group, isn't it? | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | A. He does. | 10 | MR HARRIS: Sir, I know you suggested having a break. There |
| 11 | Q. He goes on to say: | 11 | are plenty more in this theme but now is as good a time |
| 12 | "In fact that's probably or not such a good idea | 12 | as any. |
| 13 | because Zoopla would disappear as an effective force in | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Then let's rise for five minutes. |
| 14 | the market. It would only go to strengthen Rightmove's | 14 | ( 12.10 pm ) |
| 15 | hold." | 15 | (A short break) |
| 16 | Right? | 16 | ( 12.15 pm ) |
| 17 | A. That's what he says. | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, before you resume, it might be |
| 18 | Q. And indeed he goes even further therefore. He puts | 18 | probative to say a couple of words about timing because |
| 19 | forward a written proposal for consideration, doesn't | 19 | I notice you lost an hour this morning and that is no |
| 20 | he? Every agent in the North East drops Rightmove. So | 20 | criticism. What we were minded to do, though we will |
| 21 | you knew that there was in this grouping in the North | 21 | keep an eye on just how tired Mr Springett feels, would |
| 22 | East, proposals being put forward as to collective | 22 | be to start at 10 tomorrow rather than 10.30 but on the |
| 23 | action as to which portal to choose, didn't you? | 23 | understanding that we go absolutely no later than 4.30 |
| 24 | A. Bear in mind this is 19 July 2013. So none of these | 24 | and finish some time between 4.15 and 4.30. But that we |
| 25 | people have joined Agents' Mutual at this stage. | 25 | allocate until 1 pm on Monday for you to finish your |
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| 1 | Q. How is that relevant to the question? You knew that | 1 | cross-examination of Mr Springett and that is being |
| 2 | there was a grouping in the North East that were putting | 2 | a little bit more generous to you than the time you have |
| 3 | forward formal proposals for consideration about | 3 | lost and so I want to make it clear now, for your |
| 4 | collective choices on portal. You knew that, didn't | 4 | planning purposes, that we would regard 1 o'clock as |
| 5 | you? | 5 | a hard deadline. We sit down then and cut your cloth, |
| 6 | A. I think you are stretching formal proposals. | 6 | just as Mr Maclean cut his when he was cross-examining |
| 7 | Q. Right. You can see what it says. It underlined the | 7 | his witnesses and then we'll commence the hot tub, get |
| 8 | proposal for consideration. That is a collective | 8 | the waters running at 2 o'clock. |
| 9 | proposal to drop a particular portal, isn't it? And you | 9 | MR HARRIS: Waters running at 2 o'clock no less. |
| 10 | knew about it; right? | 10 | MR FREEMAN: Just to add to that, and the hot tub may run |
| 11 | A. Did you see who Mr Parker is? | 11 | over into Tuesday. |
| 12 | Q. Mr Springett, I know you know what's going on here but | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: I forget you have re-examination Mr Maclean, |
| 13 | I ask the questions and you answer them. | 13 | as you are about to remind me. |
| 14 | A. Okay, I apologise. | 14 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Yes. | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: You will have to sit down a little before |
| 16 | A. Mr Parker is though, the sales and letting agent for | 16 | 1 o'clock, so 12.30, Mr Harris. |
| 17 | Whitley Bay. | 17 | MR HARRIS: Could we perhaps play a little bit by ear |
| 18 | Q. With respect, you knew this proposal was being put | 18 | whether or not we should have a slightly shortened short |
| 19 | forward amongst the agents? | 19 | adjournment today and/or is there any flexibility for |
| 20 | A. I didn't regard that as a proposal. | 20 | the end of today. The only reason is that I am |
| 21 | Q. Notwithstanding that he says -- | 21 | conscious that we are making quite slow progress and it |
| 22 | A. No, not at all. I was concerned about it when I saw it. | 22 | is in the nature of those things. One does one's best |
| 23 | Q. We see what it says, Mr Springett. Even if for some | 23 | in advance but there are a lot of documents and you can |
| 24 | reason, you won't accept it. | 24 | see how forensic the examination of each one in many |
| 25 | And do you see that one of the recipients of this | 25 | cases has to be. |
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| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: I quite appreciate that. I think we can sit | 1 | he? He says "the effect of this would be", bullet 1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | at 1.45 and that this is very much subject to how | 2 | and then I am reading bullet point 2 ? |
| 3 | Mr Springett is feeling, go beyond, a little bit beyond | 3 | A. I see what Mr Parker said, yes. |
| 4 | 4.30 , though I am very conscious that we have people who | 4 | Q. And can you see that over to the next page, he even goes |
| 5 | have been working quite hard since 9 o'clock, including | 5 | so far as to say in his penultimate paragraph, after the |
| 6 | the transcription service. So we'll see how we go, but | 6 | bullet points have finished: |
| 7 | certainly not beyond 4.45. | 7 | "This approach ..." |
| 8 | MR MACLEAN: Can I just ask if I may about the -- picking up | 8 | So pausing there. One infers that that is the |
| 9 | on Mr Freeman's point -- if the hot tub might run into | 9 | approach of this proposal for consideration about |
| 10 | Tuesday. I think as matters stand, we have been | 10 | a collective boycott of Rightmove: |
| 11 | allocated a half day each for cross-examination of the | 11 | "This approach would have to be unanimous." |
| 12 | experts. But I take it that the Tribunal doesn't | 12 | Do you see that? |
| 13 | anticipate that the hot tub would last beyond lunchtime | 13 | A. I see. |
| 14 | on Tuesday. I am simply asking what the knock-on effect | 14 | Q. "It simply won't work if one agent says yes and one |
| 15 | might be for the rest -- | 15 | agent says no." |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, to be clear, we do not anticipate the hot | 16 | Do you see that? |
| 17 | tub part of the examination extending more than a day. | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | We would like to think it would be concluded between | 18 | Q. And that reflects the interchange we had yesterday about |
| 19 | half a day and a day. Nor do we want to abrogate your | 19 | the fact that agents see themselves as in competition |
| 20 | ability to cross examine, so I am afraid what we are | 20 | with other agents in their locality, doesn't it? |
| 21 | talking about is moving into the time that was allocated | 21 | A. I don't know what he means by that. |
| 22 | for closing submissions and we can consider how to deal | 22 | Q. I see. And then he even goes so far as to say his |
| 23 | with that. | 23 | proposal for the collective boycott, he doesn't use |
| 24 | MR MACLEAN: I understand. On that basis then, assuming -- | 24 | those words of course, but he ends by saying: |
| 25 | I think I was cross-examining Mr Parker before Mr Harris | 25 | "I think we would also need to sign a legal document |
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| 1 | cross-examines Mr Bishop, then the anticipation would be | 1 | so there is no back tracking within a set period of |
| 2 | that my cross-examination of Mr Parker would start no | 2 | time." |
| 3 | later than lunchtime on Tuesday. If that's the case, | 3 | Do you see that? |
| 4 | I am content with that. | 4 | A. I see that. |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: That is the understanding. | 5 | Q. And then this is all copied to you, isn't it, the top of |
| 6 | MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful. | 6 | 1292? |
| 7 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Unless there are any further matters, I propose, | 8 | Q. And this person is responding, is Mr Henning: |
| 9 | sir, to -- | 9 | "Good afternoon, Jonathan. You are not alone." |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Please do. | 10 | He copies that to a whole series of other agents in |
| 11 | MR HARRIS: So that slight diversion for housekeeping | 11 | the North East, doesn't he? |
| 12 | matters which lawyers want to do. We were on page 1292 | 12 | A. Actually, the one thing I can't say was whether I was |
| 13 | in bundle number 3. Just a couple more matters on these | 13 | initially on copy for Mr Parker's email. |
| 14 | two pages. Do you see Mr Parker's email, after he put | 14 | Q. No, but you certainly received it because you are copied |
| 15 | forward the proposal for consideration, you see that he | 15 | in to the one above? |
| 16 | goes on to make some further remarks, next to some | 16 | A. Indeed, in Mr Henning's reply. |
| 17 | bullet points at the bottom of the page. | 17 | Q. So you did receive it then? |
| 18 | A. Yes, I see those. | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And because you are copied in, you knew that he had said | 19 | Q. So you can see then, well before you circulated that |
| 20 | that at least in his view, it instantly changes the | 20 | list of further agents, that they are advocating having |
| 21 | playing field, puts Agents' Mutual in a strong position; | 21 | a further meeting with this -- a key point on the |
| 22 | yes? | 22 | agenda, this proposal to have a collective boycott of |
| 23 | A. I can see what it says. | 23 | Rightmove. A key point on the agenda; right? |
| 24 | Q. And he is referring, one sees from the email, to the | 24 | A. I can see what they are contending, yes. |
| 25 | proposal for the collective boycott of Rightmove, isn't | 25 | Q. And then if we turn over one or two pages in the bundle |
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| 1 | to 1297 and move to the next day. I am going to take | 1 | in, primarily, Mr Parker's email, that they would collectively determine which portal to retain or drop. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you to your own email in just a moment, so we won't | 2 |  |
| 3 | leave that out. But at 8.23 in the morning there is | 3 | Q. But yesterday in the transcript -- if anyone wants the |
| 4 | a response. In fact, one can see at the bottom of the | 4 | reference, it is page 183, line 21 , you said as follows: |
| 5 | page, the previous afternoon is a "totally agree" from | 5 | "So the process was built to make sure that we |
| 6 | a Mr Small and then the next morning at 8.23, again | 6 | complied with the original legal advice that we had |
| 7 | copied to you. Mr Henning responds and you can see what | 7 | taken and been given about firms needing to make their |
| 8 | he says but he says it needs to be a key agenda point | 8 | own independent decisions." |
| 9 | and this agent is expressing the view at the bottom: | 9 | And you said that in response to some points I was |
| 10 | "As I said the other day, we are all in competition | 10 | making about collective decisions to join |
| 11 | but this is one area we all need to agree on." | 11 | Agents' Mutual, didn't you? |
| 12 | Right? | 12 | A. I did. |
| 13 | A. I can see that. | 13 | Q. So the clear inference you were suggesting was that you |
| 14 | Q. So you knew the sort of mindset that this group of | 14 | had to have some legal advice about it not being proper |
| 15 | agents was having in the North East, didn't you? | 15 | to make a collective decision, even to join, as well as |
| 16 | A. I can only go from these two emails, can't I? | 16 | a collective decision to drop a particular portal; yes? |
| 17 | Q. That's right but you knew from those two emails, didn't | 17 | A. Yes, and nothing in this email to Steve Henning |
| 18 | you? | 18 | contradicts that. |
| 19 | A. I knew what Mr Parker was thinking. He was the letting | 19 | Q. But I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that is not correct, |
| 20 | manager at Whitley Bay and Mr Henning. | 20 | is it, because at the time, you didn't have any |
| 21 | Q. And also you knew what Mr Small was thinking? | 21 | appreciation of whether or not a collective decision to |
| 22 | A. And Mr Henning. | 22 | join Agents' Mutual was even legal or illegal, did you? |
| 23 | Q. And Mr Henning. So you did know then? | 23 | A. That's nonsense. |
| 24 | A. Well, you are implying that I knew what each member of | 24 | Q. Perhaps I can take you then -- you will need to keep |
| 25 | this quite large group on circulation was intending | 25 | open, bundle 3 somewhere. You might want to put away |
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| 1 | which is a different thing. | 1 | some of the others. |
| 2 | Q. I see. And then the email that you have been wanting to | 2 | A. I have $\mathrm{H5}$ and H 1 . |
| 3 | get to, and fair enough, Mr Springett, you respond, | 3 | Q. They can go, yes. Keep number 3 to hand. Now we are |
| 4 | don't you, if you look over several pages at 1307 in the | 4 | going to look at 16, please. And if you could please |
| 5 | bundle, the various other emails about getting people | 5 | open that to the front page of an email that you wrote |
| 6 | into the grouping but the one that I think you were | 6 | on 2 May 2016. It begins at 8719 , right near the front |
| 7 | looking for, do you see that, starts towards the top of | 7 | of the bundle. The background to this email, |
| 8 | 13.07, "Ian Springett 20 July, 10.05." To whom did you | 8 | Mr Springett, is you had received a letter from the CMA |
| 9 | send that? | 9 | and you said at the top, this is to your brand director, |
| 10 | A. Mr Henning. | 10 | Mr Milsom, I believe, in any event to one of your |
| 11 | Q. So you didn't send it to any of the other people listed | 11 | colleagues: |
| 12 | on these multiple recipient emails, did you? | 12 | "I agree that having some more demonstrable |
| 13 | A. I didn't. | 13 | compliance is desirable." |
| 14 | Q. So what you say to one of the group is: | 14 | Does that put that into context for you, that's what |
| 15 | "Steve, many thanks for your hard work on this." | 15 | was going on? |
| 16 | Well you can see for yourself, perhaps if you read | 16 | A. I agree about having some more demonstrable compliance, |
| 17 | it to yourself? | 17 | yes. |
| 18 | A. No, I'm familiar with what I said. | 18 | Q. But the point, Mr Springett, in relation to my last |
| 19 | Q. What is notable, amongst other things, about this email, | 19 | question is over the page at 8721 and what you say there |
| 20 | Mr Springett, is that in addition to not sending it to | 20 | is: |
| 21 | anybody but Mr Henning, what you don't say anywhere in | 21 | "The decision 2 [I am reading the second paragraph] |
| 22 | the email is that they mustn't reach a collective | 22 | is the decision on the one other portal which may |
| 23 | agreement on the decision to join Agents' Mutual, do | 23 | require other portals to ...(Reading to the words)... To |
| 24 | you? | 24 | remain within the law, this decision must clearly be |
| 25 | A. Not in this email, but I'm responding to the suggestion | 25 | taken individually by each firm with no collusion |
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| 1 | between other agents." | 1 | and, indeed, beyond the North East, received, made very |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | But in your own words you say, here you say in 2016, | 2 | clear that agents had to take their own individual |
| 3 | so some two years later and you say: | 3 | decisions. The process I explained yesterday was set up |
| 4 | "The question which needs clarifying is whether | 4 | to make sure, as far as possible, from our perspective, |
| 5 | a collective decision to join AM would be illegal, even | 5 | that that's what they did. So there are particular |
| 6 | if each agent involved made an individual decision | 6 | circumstances which surround the remark I made there in |
| 7 | regarding their one other portal." | 7 | terms of the legal position regarding collective |
| 8 | That is completely inconsistent with the evidence | 8 | purchase, for example, or entering into a joint venture |
| 9 | that you have just given, that you already knew about it | 9 | which were confused by differing messages that we saw |
| 10 | and you had already had advice to say that wasn't | 10 | within the two letters from the CMA that I have referred |
| 11 | proper, isn't it? | 11 | to. |
| 12 | A. To answer this question, I would like to refer to some | 12 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. But it is the fact, isn't it, if we |
| 13 | documents. Firstly, being the letter that we received | 13 | go back to bundle 3/1307, that you only write this email |
| 14 | from the CMA on 27 March 2015. The second, my response | 14 | back to one member of the group, notwithstanding the |
| 15 | to the CMA to that letter and then the letter that's | 15 | large number of recipients of the earlier emails |
| 16 | referred to in these emails which I believe | 16 | proposing for consideration, a collective boycott; that |
| 17 | is April 2016. So I haven't got, I am afraid, | 17 | is correct, isn't it? |
| 18 | references for those documents but if somebody could | 18 | A. I wrote back to Steve Henning because he had forwarded |
| 19 | help us with that. | 19 | me the email from Mr Parker. |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: Sir, I am not proposing to go to those. | 20 | Q. Even though the proposal for consideration for |
| 21 | Mr Springett's learned leading counsel can deal with | 21 | a collective boycott is amongst a group of agents, you |
| 22 | those in re-examination, if that's what he wants. He's | 22 | only write back to one, don't you? |
| 23 | got a good note on the transcript. | 23 | A. I don't accept it is a proposal for consideration. It |
| 24 | A. It would assist me to answer the point, so if I could | 24 | is one man's email copied. |
| 25 | refer to those documents. | 25 | Q. Those are the words that it says, Mr Springett. In any |
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| 1 | Q. I don't have the time, sir, to do what Mr Springett | 1 | event, you can see that you only wrote back to one? |
| 2 | wants. | 2 | A. I can. |
| 3 | A. I won't be able to give you the whole truth in that | 3 | Q. And you didn't give any warning, even to that one agent, |
| 4 | case. | 4 | about collective decision to join, did you? |
| 5 | Q. I am going to have to move on, I am afraid, sir, because | 5 | A. But, of course, that agent had already received the |
| 6 | I put to him squarely and fairly, the point that I | 6 | warning because he had been in the presentation. |
| 7 | suggest comes from the documents. | 7 | Q. I see, so you accept that you didn't give the warning in |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: If he fairly and squarely puts to you that | 8 | this email; correct? |
| 9 | there are other documents which he knows about but | 9 | A. I accept that, yes. |
| 10 | doesn't have the references to which he would like to | 10 | Q. And then if you go up the chain of emails, so I am now |
| 11 | refer to in the answer, we'll have to look at them at | 11 | working front words in the bundle, 1306, Mr Henning |
| 12 | some point. | 12 | responds to you one-to-one: |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: I accept that and I don't know what those | 13 | "I understand the legal position. I'm married to |
| 14 | references are in any event. I don't know what | 14 | a solicitor." |
| 15 | documents. | 15 | And then you respond in the middle of that page: |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I think you have five minutes of | 16 | "Ah, I didn't appreciate you had legal advice on |
| 17 | your re-examination earmarked. | 17 | tap." |
| 18 | MR FREEMAN: Without putting words into your mouth. | 18 | But then there is a telling comment, isn't there, |
| 19 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. | 19 | Mr Springett: |
| 20 | A. Let me answer the question you asked me then or the | 20 | "Please be mindful of it in relation to what gets |
| 21 | point you put to me, that I didn't know at this point. | 21 | circulated. We don't want anything out there which |
| 22 | That is complete nonsense. We have had legal advice | 22 | could be used against you all." |
| 23 | from the outset and it has been taken by our board | 23 | So you are concerned about there not being evidence |
| 24 | several times over the last three years. The | 24 | that can be traced back about these decisions, aren't |
| 25 | presentation that all of the people in the North East | 25 | you? |
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| 1 | what in terms of other portal. I just wanted the | 1 | the ones I was aware of in relation to portal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | maximum number of members of Agents' Mutual. | 2 | negotiations with, in particular, Zoopla. I don't agree |
| 3 | Q. I think yesterday what we discussed was that you were -- | 3 | that there were lots of groups making collective |
| 4 | doing my best to paraphrase an email which was at | 4 | decisions to join Agents' Mutual because the process |
| 5 | bundle $5 / 5527$ but the gist of your email was that you | 5 | really wasn't set up in a manner that would have allowed |
| 6 | wanted to create a critical mass everywhere; right? Do | 6 | that. |
| 7 | you remember that from yesterday? | 7 | Q. You accept in some of your evidence, your written |
| 8 | A. I can't remember. | 8 | evidence, that you knew of groupings in the North East? |
| 9 | Q. I can show you again if you like. | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | A. I can't remember whether the words were "critical mass" | 10 | Q. Correct? That is the one that we have just seen. So |
| 11 | but my point is that I wanted to encourage the growth of | 11 | you can't deny that one. |
| 12 | the Agents' Mutual network and I wanted members to get | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: A little tendentious, Mr Harris. |
| 13 | more members and I wanted them to prepare for the launch | 13 | MR HARRIS: Sorry, I didn't mean it in that way. I had my |
| 14 | by this time as well, premarket, get ready. | 14 | mind looking for a bundle so apologies if it came across |
| 15 | Q. Yes, but the important word, actually, is not so much | 15 | that way. |
| 16 | "critical mass" but that you were encouraging and | 16 | I am now back in bundle X at tab 33. So this is |
| 17 | expressing your view that you wanted this sort of | 17 | a good example of going back to something that we have |
| 18 | grouping to take place everywhere and that was a quote | 18 | already looked at but for a different reason. Do you |
| 19 | from the email. Do you remember that? I will show you | 19 | see on the second page of that tab that on the night |
| 20 | again if you like. | 20 | before trial, you say at paragraph 2 under a statement |
| 21 | A. No, I'm happy with that. | 21 | of truth that, actually, you do recall being aware of |
| 22 | Q. So you did want these groupings everywhere around the | 22 | several groups of agents mentioned which includes the |
| 23 | country, didn't you? | 23 | North East, west Wales, northwest London and some other |
| 24 | A. For the specific purpose I am talking about. It might | 24 | informal groups such as in Cambridge, the one in |
| 25 | be relevant for me to say here that this matter has come | 25 | Cambridge; yes? |
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| 1 | up at our board and I was clear with the board that | 1 | A. Yes, I have less awareness of that than the first three |
| 2 | where I had become aware that agents were considering | 2 | you mentioned. |
| 3 | these kind of arrangements, I had told the people | 3 | Q. Then you say that you also believe that there may have |
| 4 | concerned that they would need to take their own | 4 | existed a grouping in Devon. So that was new |
| 5 | independent legal advice and that's minuted. | 5 | information provided on the eve of trial; right? Why |
| 6 | Q. But you didn't. We have just seen one example where | 6 | didn't you mention the Devon one at any earlier stage? |
| 7 | instead of writing back to the group, even though you | 7 | A. I'm not sure I was asked. |
| 8 | know the group is prepared to make that decision, you | 8 | Q. I see. But in fact there were groups in other areas of |
| 9 | only wrote to Mr Henning. That is not right, is it, | 9 | the country that we have now learnt about in these |
| 10 | Mr Springett? | 10 | papers, weren't there, that you knew of? |
| 11 | A. Those people who told me that they were intending and | 11 | A. In which papers, sorry? |
| 12 | Mr Rook had already told me by this time that he was | 12 | Q. In these trial papers? |
| 13 | intending to form the grouping into a collective | 13 | A. You need to show me them. |
| 14 | purchasing group, at that point I told him he would have | 14 | Q. There was a group in Maidstone, Kent. You knew about |
| 15 | to get his own legal advice and the same is true in west | 15 | that one? |
| 16 | Wales, for example. | 16 | A. Well, we had a variety of groups but the degree to which |
| 17 | Q. So you have already accepted you didn't send emails to | 17 | I was involved or aware varied. I was very aware of the |
| 18 | everybody? | 18 | North East grouping, I was very aware of the west Wales |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | grouping. The others, less -- progressively less so. |
| 20 | Q. The fact is that there were groupings making collective | 20 | So Maidstone, I have seen an email saying that members |
| 21 | decisions about joining Agents' Mutual and collective | 21 | of Agent's Mutual in the Maidstone area had got |
| 22 | decisions about which portal to drop all around the UK, | 22 | together. That's what I know. |
| 23 | weren't there? | 23 | Q. Let's run through a couple more and then we'll go back |
| 24 | A. Well, we discussed with Mr Notley, in his evidence, the | 24 | to what you have done in your statement of truth the |
| 25 | groups that he was aware of which broadly coincide with | 25 | night before trial. |
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| 1 | Bristol. You knew of a group of agents acting | 1 | marketing decision. But you will be pleased to hear, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | collectively together, didn't you? | 2 | sir, in each one of the examples that I have now |
| 3 | A. Not that I recall. | 3 | additionally drawn to Mr Springett's attention, I will |
| 4 | Q. Norfolk in East Anglia, you knew of a group there, | 4 | be going to documents for each of them, so we can see |
| 5 | didn't you? | 5 | what happened in each case. |
| 6 | A. It depends, really, whether you are trying to position | 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I am grateful for that because |
| 7 | any meeting of a group of member agents as a group or | 7 | I wasn't absent that, sure what we would make of the |
| 8 | whether it is just a members meeting to pursue the | 8 | evidence that we've just had. |
| 9 | interests of growing Agents' Mutual and helping it | 9 | MR HARRIS: No, these are, if you like, introducing the |
| 10 | launch successfully. | 10 | global and in our submission, vast array of groupings |
| 11 | Q. And you also knew of the group of which Gascoigne Halman | 11 | and then we're going to be -- one of the reasons it is |
| 12 | forms a part, don't you, the Independent Estate Agent | 12 | going to take a long time is because I have to deal with |
| 13 | Group; yes? | 13 | each of those groupings. |
| 14 | A. But that is a pre-existing group. That has been around | 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I understand. |
| 15 | for years. | 15 | MR HARRIS: But I will be doing that and hopefully |
| 16 | Q. That's right and you don't mention any of those in your | 16 | Mr Springett has already said he is aware of an email |
| 17 | paragraph 2, do you, under the statement of truth? | 17 | about this group and he remembers something about that |
| 18 | A. Well it -- I need to check what paragraph 12 is asking | 18 | group, so we will get to that. |
| 19 | me, if I am going to give a proper answer to this. What | 19 | I am going to, Mr Springett -- I am conscious of |
| 20 | does paragraph 12 ask me? | 20 | time. It may be that if we stop now -- I am about to |
| 21 | Q. I promise to look that up for you, Mr Springett, over | 21 | talk about one of those particular groupings in |
| 22 | the short adjournment and I can take you back to that | 22 | considerably more detail. Maybe if we started again |
| 23 | after lunch. | 23 | at -- instead of quarter to, 20 to, if that is |
| 24 | A. Thank you. | 24 | acceptable. |
| 25 | Q. But you also knew of groups of agents getting together | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: We'll reconvene at quarter to. Mr Springett, |
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| 1 | to make decisions about whether or not to join | 1 | you will remember the warning of yesterday. |
| 2 | Agents' Mutual in Scotland, didn't you? | 2 | A. Yes, indeed. |
| 3 | A. Again, I have done a number of group presentations in | 3 | MR HARRIS: Is the warning slightly different today, sir, as |
| 4 | Scotland. It isn't the same thing. | 4 | regards there being no carve out of the purdah? |
| 5 | Q. And I am right to say that you also know about groups of | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think that unless Mr Maclean has any |
| 6 | agents getting together to make collective decisions | 6 | particular reason for wanting to take instructions, |
| 7 | about whether or not to join Agents' Mutual in Northern | 7 | I think that must be right. |
| 8 | Ireland as well, don't you? | 8 | MR MACLEAN: I think it is the usual rule because until you |
| 9 | A. Again, I haven't, as I said yesterday, been involved | 9 | deliver your ruling, there is no basis for me to be |
| 10 | closely with Northern Ireland, but we have done group | 10 | seeking any instructions. I am content for the usual |
| 11 | presentations there. | 11 | ruling. Either you will give the directions or I will |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, when you refer to collective | 12 | communicate it to Mr Springett. |
| 13 | decisions, are you suggesting that there is a clear | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Don't talk to anyone about this. |
| 14 | differentiation between a meeting at which the | 14 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 15 | Agents' Mutual proposition is presented and, as it were, | 15 | ( $\mathbf{1 . 0 0} \mathrm{pm}$ ) |
| 16 | sold, and a separate and distinct sort of meeting, where | 16 | (Luncheon Adjournment) |
| 17 | there is a debate at which Mr Springett is present or an | 17 | ( 1.45 pm ) |
| 18 | Agents' Mutual person is present, where they are | 18 | MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, I said that after lunch I would |
| 19 | debating what to do? Because, obviously, when one is | 19 | take you back to the questions that you were answering |
| 20 | selling Agents' Mutual, the unspoken premise is that | 20 | and I will do that in just a moment and I hadn't |
| 21 | Agents' Mutual want the agents to sign up. | 21 | forgotten that and I did promise. But whilst you still |
| 22 | MR HARRIS: Sir, there is a distinction which I hope I have | 22 | have open 3443B in volume 6, I just want to make two |
| 23 | been adhering to in my questions which is about | 23 | more points whilst we are on those pages -- two more |
| 24 | collective groups making collective decisions about | 24 | questions, I beg your pardon and then I'll take you back |
| 25 | whether to join, as opposed to just a collective | 25 | to that question. |
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| 1 | So bundle 6/3443B, the last question I was going to | 1 | A. Yes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | put to you related to the end of Mr Hutchinson's email | 2 | Q. And if you look in bundle X at tab 25 which you have not |
| 3 | of 7 August 2014 which was sent, amongst others, to | 3 | seen before recently, if you look at page 173 within |
| 4 | Mr Rook. We already looked at several parts but over | 4 | tab 25 at the bottom, you will see you answer that |
| 5 | the page it finishes. Can you see that Mr Hutchinson | 5 | question 12 for the first time, to the best of your |
| 6 | rounds off by saying that: | 6 | recollection -- I beg your pardon, Eversheds' answer |
| 7 | "I can see the main point is that as agents in | 7 | about your recollection. It is a fair point, |
| 8 | competition with each other, we need to develop | 8 | Mr Maclean. |
| 9 | a confidence that we can actually agree something and | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | that all will implement that agreement." | 10 | Q. And then under a statement of truth, you give some |
| 11 | Yes? | 11 | additional information and that is what we looked at at |
| 12 | A. I see that. | 12 | tab 33. |
| 13 | Q. And those are sentiments with which you were familiar, | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | weren't you, at or about this time, that the agents, | 14 | Q. So that's just putting it into ... |
| 15 | although they all saw themselves in competition with | 15 | A. Yes, and that's right, those are the groups where I was |
| 16 | each other, wanted to reach agreement between | 16 | aware they were contemplating collective portal |
| 17 | themselves, notwithstanding that they are in competition | 17 | negotiations. |
| 18 | with each other; is that right? | 18 | Q. I am sorry, collective? |
| 19 | A. No. | 19 | A. Negotiations. |
| 20 | Q. Just finishing off then, if you look at the previous | 20 | Q. Can I now take you away from bundle 6 and into bundle 5 . |
| 21 | page -- we are going up the chain of emails at 3443A. | 21 | Do you recall that there was a time in June 2014 when |
| 22 | There is no response from your board member, Mr Rook, in | 22 | a particular marketing forum, so-called meeting was put |
| 23 | this chain, at least none that has been provided that we | 23 | together in the North East and I suggest to you that you |
| 24 | know of, but there is a response from a Mr Foster to, | 24 | knew that collective decision making would happen at |
| 25 | amongst others, Mr Rook and he chimes in on the same | 25 | that marketing meeting by groups of agents, didn't you? |
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| 1 | theme, doesn't he? Once he has "got out of the | 1 | A. I'm not -- I haven't got to the place. |
| 2 | dentist's chair", he says, "I'm all for a collective | 2 | Q. Before we look at the -- we will definitely look at this |
| 3 | approach"; do you see that? | 3 | document, but I am just asking you, you knew of the |
| 4 | A. I do. | 4 | meeting, you just said that? |
| 5 | Q. And he goes on to say at the bottom of that page, just | 5 | A. I knew of the meeting? In June? |
| 6 | before the end, "Personally, I would ditch Rightmove." | 6 | Q. Yes, so-called marketing forum meeting in June 2014 in |
| 7 | So he is putting his view to this collective grouping | 7 | the North East? |
| 8 | about which other portal he would choose; correct? | 8 | A. I know that it took place, yes. |
| 9 | A. He is an individual doing that, yes. | 9 | Q. And I am suggesting to you before we look at the |
| 10 | Q. As I said, I would go back -- you asked me if -- if you | 10 | document that you knew that collective decision making |
| 11 | want it open -- if, you may not want it open -- it is in | 11 | by the groups of agents at that meeting would happen at |
| 12 | X, tab 33. I took you to your answer, where you named | 12 | that meeting; right? |
| 13 | certain groupings and you said, "What is paragraph 12 | 13 | A. I knew the purpose of it was to take forward collective |
| 14 | that I'm answering?" And just to tell you, we had | 14 | negotiations with other portals and, potentially, other |
| 15 | written you -- this document isn't in the bundle so I'll | 15 | media. |
| 16 | just tell you what we had asked you. We had asked you | 16 | Q. And am I right in saying that you didn't issue any |
| 17 | about paragraph 15.10 of your fifth witness statement, | 17 | warning to the participants in that meeting about not |
| 18 | in which you said that you "were aware, however, of | 18 | taking group decisions to join Agents' Mutual, did you? |
| 19 | a number of discrete groups of member agents or | 19 | A. Well, I'm not sure I was aware of who was going to be at |
| 20 | prospective agents who formed groups to discuss issues | 20 | the meeting. |
| 21 | relating to their use of online property portals". | 21 | Q. Right. And you didn't give any warning, did you, as to |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | them not taking a group decision as to which portal to |
| 23 | Q. So that's in your witness statement. And we wrote | 23 | choose, did you? |
| 24 | a question saying, effectively: can you please provide | 24 | A. I didn't, no. |
| 25 | details of all other groups? | 25 | Q. And in fact, all you did was you told your sales |
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| 1 | representative for the region to re-organise the agenda | 1 | with that group. I'd also put Mr Rook in touch with |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | for the meeting, didn't you? | 2 | Mr Jones, who was within the west Wales group, so they |
| 3 | A. Well, it wasn't her place to organise the agenda. | 3 | could inform each other about the potential issues |
| 4 | I simply told her, to the best of my recollection, that | 4 | surrounding it and if you recall, I had also told them |
| 5 | we, Agents' Mutual, couldn't be present for that part of | 5 | that they needed to take their own independent legal |
| 6 | the agenda. | 6 | advice. So I think Ms Whiteley's question arose from |
| 7 | Q. That is right. So let's have a look at the email | 7 | that situation having arisen, in her knowledge, for the |
| 8 | itself. It is at bundle 5/2724. On that page, at 2754, | 8 | first time. |
| 9 | you see about a third of the way down there is an email | 9 | Q. That doesn't make sense, does it, Mr Springett, because |
| 10 | starting off the relevant part of this chain on | 10 | if that were right, then she wouldn't be asking you the |
| 11 | 2 June at 09.21 from Ms Whiteley. She is effectively | 11 | question about: does that create any legal issues? She |
| 12 | your number 2 at the company, isn't he? | 12 | plainly doesn't know that collective decisions of the |
| 13 | A. She is. | 13 | type she is referring to in this email in June 2014, |
| 14 | Q. "Hi Ian, I just wanted to check the legal issues | 14 | even create any legal issues and that's why she's asking |
| 15 | surrounding the NE meeting." | 15 | you, isn't it? |
| 16 | That is a regional grouping of the North East | 16 | A. She is asking me in the specific context of the move by |
| 17 | agents, isn't it? | 17 | this newly formed North East marketing group to conduct |
| 18 | A. The North East meeting, yes. | 18 | collective negotiations with Rightmove and Zoopla. |
| 19 | Q. "The meeting is officially a Marketing Forum [capitals] | 19 | Q. I suggest to you that it is little wonder that |
| 20 | for the Agents' Mutual members in the North East. As | 20 | Agents' Mutual personnel, and as we shall see, Julie |
| 21 | part of that agenda, they will be negotiating with | 21 | Emmerson in a moment, becoming involved in these |
| 22 | Zoopla and Rightmove for a collective rate to list with | 22 | decisions, participating and/or being present at these |
| 23 | them." | 23 | sorts of decisions, when even your number 2 didn't know |
| 24 | Do you see that? | 24 | in June 2014 whether there were "any legal issues about |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | collective decisions to not list on the one other |
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| 1 | Q. "That obviously could link to a collective decision for | 1 | portal"? |
| 2 | them to choose to list on one particular portal and | 2 | A. I think you overlooked what I just answered. |
| 3 | hence, a collective decision to not list on the other | 3 | Q. If Ms Whiteley didn't know, you couldn't surely expect |
| 4 | portals." | 4 | Miss Julie Emmerson to know? |
| 5 | Do you see that? | 5 | A. Should I repeat the answer I just gave? |
| 6 | A. Yes, I do. | 6 | Q. She is the junior, isn't she, to Ms Whiteley? |
| 7 | Q. And she says to you: | 7 | A. She is the junior to Ms Whiteley and the enquiry to me |
| 8 | "Does that create any legal issues and is there an | 8 | was quite rightly put by Ms Whiteley. She didn't know |
| 9 | issue with Julie being present when those discussions | 9 | the answer. She referred it to me. |
| 10 | happen?" | 10 | Q. So the warning that you had sent a year or so earlier |
| 11 | She asked you that question; right? | 11 | that we saw earlier today to Mr Henning alone, about not |
| 12 | A. I see that. | 12 | taking group decisions as regards one other portal, that |
| 13 | Q. Isn't it extraordinary that here we are in June 2014, | 13 | doesn't seem to have percolated through even to |
| 14 | quite a long time after you were saying earlier today | 14 | Ms Whiteley, does it? |
| 15 | that you had been disseminating the message to all | 15 | A. It absolutely does. This is a different set of |
| 16 | people that they should take individual decisions -- | 16 | circumstances to the norm that we were used to seeing |
| 17 | that here is your number 2 and she doesn't even know | 17 | and the issues around collective purchasing, I don't |
| 18 | whether that creates any legal issues. It is | 18 | know the law on and we weren't going to become involved |
| 19 | extraordinary, isn't it? | 19 | in any of that, which is why I told the kind of -- the |
| 20 | A. I am not sure it is because collective -- the idea of | 20 | people that were involved with these groups that they |
| 21 | the agents being approached or making an approach in | 21 | had to get their own independent legal advice. |
| 22 | relation to the other portals was something that hadn't | 22 | Q. We will see, in fact, that you don't say anything of the |
| 23 | come up very much before. It originated in the west | 23 | sort, as we go through this email. What you say in the |
| 24 | Wales group, who I think had begun talking to Zoopla at | 24 | first instance, just up the page, is in response to |
| 25 | a fairly early stage in 2014 and I was the primary link | 25 | Ms Whiteley, Ian Springett wrote: "Yes. Julie, ... " |
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| 1 | That is Julie Emmerson, isn't she? | 1 | A. I refer -- I suggest that if she's questioned about the stance, she should refer people to Clive Rook, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Mmm. | 2 |  |
| 3 | Q. She is the local sales rep for Agents' Mutual in the | 3 | Agents' Mutual director, someone who I have previously |
| 4 | North East; correct? | 4 | advised that if he was going to enter into this kind of |
| 5 | A. Mmm. | 5 | thing, he would need to take his own independent legal |
| 6 | Q. Sorry, is that a yes or no? | 6 | advice. It's minuted. |
| 7 | A. Julie is the what? | 7 | Q. With respect, Mr Springett, what you do is you |
| 8 | Q. She is the local Agents' Mutual sales rep for the North | 8 | deliberately refer people on to a board director of |
| 9 | East? | 9 | Agents' Mutual, if they want further information, don't |
| 10 | A. Yes, she is. | 10 | you? |
| 11 | Q. And you say: | 11 | A. What I say, I think, is that she shouldn't be party to |
| 12 | "Julie needs to ask whoever is leading the meeting | 12 | any element of the meeting which relates to media |
| 13 | to put matters like further agent recruitment, | 13 | negotiation and if anyone questions her about that, they |
| 14 | communication, et cetera, which she should be involved | 14 | need to be referred to Clive Rook. |
| 15 | in, at the top of the agenda and then move on to agent | 15 | Q. That is right and that is because Clive Rook is a board |
| 16 | only matters - joint negotiation with other portals and | 16 | director of Agents' Mutual, is it not? |
| 17 | choice of other portal are completely off limits for | 17 | A. Absolutely. |
| 18 | us." | 18 | Q. Interestingly -- so you don't say: oh you must make |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | sure, either you, Helen or you, Julie, must tell them |
| 20 | Q. So what you are effectively saying there is, isn't it, | 20 | not to take these collective decisions but you do say |
| 21 | that you know that there will be these other joint | 21 | she shouldn't be a party and she should avoid |
| 22 | negotiations, including about choice of other portal by | 22 | receiving/sending any documents, messages about it? |
| 23 | the agents in the group but that just you don't want to | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | be participating in them; right? | 24 | Q. So again your concern is about not creating in the hands |
| 25 | A. That's correct. | 25 | of Agents' Mutual, any incriminating evidence, isn't it? |
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| 1 | Q. I see. But you don't say, even here to Ms Whiteley, you don't issue any warning or give any advice about joint decisions by the group in the North East to join Agents' Mutual, do you? | 1 | A. Our messages have already been very clear to the agents |
| 2 |  | 2 | about what our perspective was on how they should act. |
| 3 |  | 3 | So if they felt that a collective purchasing arrangement |
| 4 |  | 4 | with, for example, Zoopla, was something they wanted to |
| 5 | A. To join Agents' Mutual? | 5 | look into, they had been clearly told they would need to |
| 6 | Q. That's right? | 6 | take their own legal advice about whether that was |
| 7 | A. That's not the question she's asking me. This is | 7 | acceptable or not. |
| 8 | a members group. They've already joined. | 8 | Q. It doesn't say anywhere in any part of this chain what |
| 9 | Q. And it goes on up the page. Sorry, you have to sort of | 9 | you have now said three times, about you making sure |
| 10 | flick to the bottom of the previous page, and | 10 | that agents were told to take their own independent |
| 11 | Ms Whiteley queries with you because you have not quite | 11 | legal advice, does it? |
| 12 | answered it in your first answer: | 12 | A. Well, it is a conversation I had with Clive Rook, board |
| 13 | "But is it okay for them to make decision to come | 13 | director of Agents' Mutual, and it is a conversation |
| 14 | off a specific portal through a meeting like this and | 14 | I had previously with Mr Jones, who was the leading |
| 15 | can Julie be present when they discuss it or should she | 15 | light in the west Wales group and at the point that this |
| 16 | leave at that point?" | 16 | took place, I believe those were the only two that I was |
| 17 | Do you remember getting that email? | 17 | aware of. |
| 18 | A. Yes, I do. | 18 | Q. It doesn't say anywhere, in any part of this chain, does |
| 19 | Q. And what you say on the next page going forward in the | 19 | it? |
| 20 | bundle, 2753 at 10.39, you simply say that: | 20 | A. I am sorry, what? |
| 21 | "She [that is Julie Emmerson] must leave before | 21 | Q. What you have now said four times, that you were going |
| 22 | either media negotiation or other portal is discussed." | 22 | around giving advice to agents, including Mr Rook: make |
| 23 | You don't say: she should take steps, either you or | 23 | sure you take an independent decision and you get |
| 24 | she should take steps to make sure those decisions don't | 24 | independent legal advice about that? |
| 25 | happen, do you? | 25 | A. I didn't have to go very far because there were only two |
|  | Page 150 | Page 152 |  |


| 1 | people who discussed it with me. | 1 | A. That's correct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. And it is not any part of this chain, is it? | 2 | Q. And Mr Rook, the board director of Agents' Mutual, he |
| 3 | A. It is not part of this chain, no, but my evidence is | 3 | says: |
| 4 | that I had those discussions and that was the advice | 4 | "Hi all, the need to keep the [and then he puts in |
| 5 | I gave and, actually, it was considered at our July | 5 | quotation markets] media negotiation item to the end of |
| 6 | board meeting, where the matter is minuted. | 6 | the meeting, is clearly understood." |
| 7 | Q. I suggest to you further, Mr Springett, that in the | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | final sentence, having said "avoid receiving/sending | 8 | Q. And that email is copied to you, isn't it? |
| 9 | messages/documents about it", I have suggested to you | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | already that that means you were concerned about the | 10 | Q. So at this stage, it is you and Helen and Julie and you |
| 11 | creation of incriminating evidence and that's why you | 11 | can quite clearly see, can't you, that Mr Rook, board |
| 12 | also go on to say: "refer people to Clive Rook, so he | 12 | director of Agents' Mutual, is saying: yes, I understand |
| 13 | can deal with it orally, can't he?" | 13 | the need to restructure the meeting and put the "media |
| 14 | A. I was, effectively, simply saying to both Ms Whiteley | 14 | negotiation" to the end, but he's not saying: not to |
| 15 | and Miss Emmerson: this is territory which is not for | 15 | worry, Mr Springett, I know that a collective decision |
| 16 | Agents' Mutual. | 16 | about these matters is not allowed at all, is he? |
| 17 | Q. That is right. Well, in fact, you don't say that, what | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | you say is: she must leave before these decisions. So | 18 | Q. And that is notwithstanding that you apparently have |
| 19 | what you are contemplating is a situation in which these | 19 | told him, I think you said it but correct me if I am |
| 20 | selective decisions do go ahead with the agents but | 20 | wrong, a moment ago, that you told him on multiple |
| 21 | there is nobody present in the room from Agents' Mutual | 21 | occasions that this sort of collective decision making |
| 22 | at the moment of those discussions? | 22 | shouldn't happen? |
| 23 | A. Can't be party to it, precisely. | 23 | A. I also told him if he was going to consider collective |
| 24 | Q. What you say is "she must leave before the media | 24 | purchasing arrangements with Zoopla, as we know on |
| 25 | negotiation or other portal is discussed", and you can | 25 | Mr Notley's evidence took place, then he would have to |
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| 1 | see how Ms Whiteley interprets that, understandably, up | 1 | get his own independent legal advice and we could have |
| 2 | the page between the hole punches. So this is "Hi | 2 | nothing to do with it. |
| 3 | Julie", this is from Helen Whiteley: | 3 | Q. With respect, Mr Springett, I know why you do this and |
| 4 | "If you read through the notes below, you will see | 4 | that is fair enough. You constantly come back to, |
| 5 | that the meeting agenda needs to be structured in | 5 | collective purchasing negotiations and that, but the |
| 6 | a certain way and, indeed, you can't be present when it | 6 | email starts off with Helen Whiteley saying to you: |
| 7 | gets to the discussion on media negotiation or other | 7 | "That could obviously link to a collective decision |
| 8 | portal choice." | 8 | for them to choose to list on one particular portal and |
| 9 | You are not saying to her: make sure you tell the | 9 | hence, a collective decision to not list on the other |
| 10 | agents that it can't happen, and then she doesn't say | 10 | portal." |
| 11 | that to Julie, does she? | 11 | That is not the same as a collective purchasing |
| 12 | A. No, and I confirmed I didn't say that to them. | 12 | decision, is it? |
| 13 | Q. So the message then gets passed on up the chain -- | 13 | A. Well, I don't know what the law is on that. |
| 14 | I guess down the chain whatever, from Helen to Julie: | 14 | Q. I see. That's your answer, is it? |
| 15 | "I'm really sorry, this probably affects how the | 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | meeting is ordered." | 16 | Q. I see. I put it to you that the only sensible |
| 17 | So she is not telling to Julie: make sure you tell | 17 | understanding that you personally could have received |
| 18 | the agents it can't happen, these collective decisions, | 18 | from this when you received it at 11.24 on 2 June, is |
| 19 | is she? | 19 | that you knew that the group of agents in the North |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | East, including a board director of Agents' Mutual, were |
| 21 | Q. And then it is difficult to discern this but just above | 21 | going to have, at the end of the restructured meeting, |
| 22 | the "Hi Julie", do you see there's another email | 22 | a collective discussion and decision about, amongst |
| 23 | Julie Emmerson wrote and she just writes "FYI" and she | 23 | other things, which portal to list on and which to not |
| 24 | passes that on to none other than a board director of | 24 | list on. That is right, isn't it? |
| 25 | Agents' Mutual, doesn't she? | 25 | A. Well, I think we know there wasn't a decision taken at |
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| 1 | that meeting. I think we know that there was | 1 | Q. Don't worry about the relevance, Mr Springett? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a presentation from Zoopla on 10 September 2014. | 2 | A. What this is really reflecting is that that group we are |
| 3 | Q. Am I right in saying, by reference to the emails we | 3 | now talking about, 10 June, had a purpose, which was |
| 4 | already looked at before involving Mr Rook, you knew as | 4 | collective purchasing negotiations. |
| 5 | of this date that he had already been involved in | 5 | Q. So you say. |
| 6 | discussions in the North East about, potentially, which | 6 | A. Well, okay. And also the purpose of furthering the |
| 7 | portal the agents in the North East were going to | 7 | membership growth of Agents' Mutual and preparing for |
| 8 | choose; that is right, isn't it? | 8 | the law. So the bit of that that Julie Emmerson is |
| 9 | A. You are saying from the emails we considered earlier? | 9 | interested in is the second part. |
| 10 | Q. Yes? | 10 | Q. Right. Okay -- |
| 11 | A. Well, a bit like Mr Symons yesterday, being copied on an | 11 | A. I should also say that the way that we incentivise our |
| 12 | email is not necessarily the same as being involved. | 12 | sales staff is purely signing up new members. |
| 13 | Q. If that is your answer, so be it. | 13 | Julie Emmerson had nothing in her objectives or her |
| 14 | What happens as regards Miss Emmerson, one infers | 14 | commission arrangements which would have given her any |
| 15 | from the emails, I am about to take you to some of them, | 15 | reason to sway that group in one direction or another. |
| 16 | that the meeting agenda did get re-ordered and | 16 | She just wanted it to be bigger. |
| 17 | Miss Emmerson perhaps did step out of the room for that | 17 | Q. Thank you, Mr Springett. Just bear with me a minute |
| 18 | moment and I think I have the right reference. If we go | 18 | because I want to find another page reference. So you |
| 19 | now, please, to 2891 in the same bundle, the top email | 19 | say this is just an unhappy coincidence, do you, that |
| 20 | is to Mark Small, copied to Mr Rook, the board member, | 20 | Miss Emmerson is reported back on that very part of the |
| 21 | and none other than Julie Emmerson and it is from a man | 21 | meeting where she was invited to step out, in the |
| 22 | we have now seen his name plenty of times, Steve Henning | 22 | context of an email where she is in fact really being |
| 23 | in the North East. This is some days after the email, | 23 | addressed about some completely separate matter. Is |
| 24 | so on the 2 June they are talking about the meeting yet | 24 | that your case? |
| 25 | to happen. Then the meeting happens on a date that | 25 | A. I think that the group has two aspects to it. There is |
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| 1 | I don't know. And here on 10 June they are reporting | 1 | no reason for her not to receive the first part of this |
| 2 | back on the meeting and it is from Mr Henning to | 2 | email, as far as I can see. But the relevance of |
| 3 | Mr Small, copied to Julie Emmerson and the board member: | 3 | including her on copy is the second part. |
| 4 | "Hi Mark, good holiday?" | 4 | Q. I see. But the fact is that it is all a bit of |
| 5 | And then they report back on the meeting: | 5 | a charade, is it not? She is invited to restructure the |
| 6 | "A mixed view in the room as to who people would go | 6 | meeting or to give suggestions about restructuring the |
| 7 | with. Probably more favouring Rightmove and some Zoopla | 7 | meeting. The bit that you personally suggest you are |
| 8 | and some undecided." | 8 | anxious for her not to create messages and documents |
| 9 | And then they go on to talk about a plan. | 9 | about. She then steps out and then she simply finds out |
| 10 | So the part of the meeting that one infers | 10 | a few days later what happens in that very part of the |
| 11 | Julie Emmerson may have left physically, she is then | 11 | meeting; right? |
| 12 | simply told what happens in that part, is she not, in | 12 | A. I don't know if I can do more than repeat what I said |
| 13 | this email? | 13 | previously, that I was aware that that group was looking |
| 14 | A. If you read the last paragraph, it talks about | 14 | at collective purchasing arrangements. There was |
| 15 | supporting Julie Emmerson in getting the rest of the | 15 | a board director who had been fully briefed by |
| 16 | coastal agents signed up to Agents' Mutual. | 16 | Agents' Mutual with the legal advice that we had |
| 17 | Q. I very much do want to read the last one but in relation | 17 | received during the process of setting up and that |
| 18 | to my question -- can you please direct your mind to the | 18 | director had also been told that group would need to |
| 19 | question. She steps, one infers, out of the room, we | 19 | take their own independent legal advice relating to |
| 20 | don't know because we haven't been provided with any | 20 | collective purchasing. |
| 21 | information about that meeting, but even if she did, she | 21 | Q. I see, but you can't point me to any communication in |
| 22 | is simply told a few days later, what happened in the | 22 | which you say that that group was told to take |
| 23 | bit where she stepped out, isn't she? | 23 | independent legal advice about the questions, can you? |
| 24 | A. She can read the email but I am not sure what the | 24 | A. Well that would be -- well, I can tell you that |
| 25 | relevance of that is. | 25 | Clive Rook received that advice from me. Whether he |
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40 (Pages 157 to 160)

| 1 | communicated it beyond that, I don't know. | 1 | that an Agents' Mutual board member should be |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Thank you, Mr Springett, if you just bear with me for | 2 | participating in an email with that subject? Do you |
| 3 | one moment, please. I'm sorry about this. | 3 | agree? |
| 4 | So you point out a second part of the email, 2891. | 4 | A. I agree. |
| 5 | I think your evidence a moment ago was that was | 5 | Q. That is right. That is notwithstanding all the apparent |
| 6 | Julie Emmerson's business, that part? | 6 | warnings you gave him, none of which you can point to on |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | a piece of paper; that is right, is it not? |
| 8 | Q. So we are agreed that Julie Emmerson is involved in the | 8 | A. I don't know what he replied to this or what his |
| 9 | suggestion at the bottom that Mr Cooke and Mr Booth had | 9 | subsequent involvement was. I don't know what he did |
| 10 | agreed to support Julie Emmerson in getting the rest of | 10 | next. |
| 11 | the coastal agents signed up to AM. That is a group of | 11 | Q. Let us have a look at the email then. |
| 12 | agents, isn't it? | 12 | A. I should say at this point, we are still a month ahead |
| 13 | A. This is one agent meeting another, getting on the phone, | 13 | of a meeting at which Zoopla attended this group to make |
| 14 | saying "Do you know about Agents' Mutual? Do you mind | 14 | an offer for a group purchasing arrangement. |
| 15 | if Julie Emmerson comes to see you to talk you through?" | 15 | Q. I see. Well, let's have a look at the first sentence |
| 16 | Q. Yes, the coastal agents is a group of agents, isn't it? | 16 | then. So a moment ago you said the idea was to go and |
| 17 | A. It's like saying Devon agents or agents in such | 17 | get them one by one, "the coastal agents" and you |
| 18 | a street. | 18 | disputed my characterisation of the coastal agents as |
| 19 | Q. Yes, that's exactly, isn't it, exactly the same, | 19 | a group. But in fact it seems that Mr Small had exactly |
| 20 | Mr Springett? | 20 | the same understanding as me because he says: |
| 21 | A. But they are being recruited individually by one of our | 21 | "I was asked to approach all the coastal agents to |
| 22 | salespeople and all of our salespeople. | 22 | arrange. A second meeting and discuss and hopefully all |
| 23 | Q. I see, so when it says "support in getting the rest of | 23 | agree to which portals we will all come off [oh, and low |
| 24 | the coastal agents", you are saying that is going to be | 24 | and behold] as a group." |
| 25 | done one by one, is it? | 25 | Do you see that? |
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|  | A. Yes, it wouldn't matter -- | 1 | A. I see it. |
| 2 | Q. Well, that is a matter for the Tribunal. Perhaps I can | 2 | Q. So that is not at all consistent with what you were |
| 3 | take you to a document that shows how this in fact did | 3 | saying about going and asking them one by one, is it? |
| 4 | happen. It certainly wasn't one by one. Bundle | 4 | A. I would need to check whether these agents were already |
| 5 | $6 / 3453 B$. The email we looked at a moment ago was | 5 | members of ours at the time. |
| 6 | 10 June and the email we are now looking at is | 6 | Q. I see. We can have a look further down and you agreed |
| 7 | 11 August. It has some of our favourite protagonists in | 7 | with me just a moment ago that this was Julie's |
| 8 | there. Mr Small sends it? Mr Parker, Mr Cooke, | 8 | Emmerson's job, didn't you, and she was involved in |
| 9 | Mr Henning and then do you see the fifth recipient is | 9 | that. You said that just about three or four minutes |
| 10 | none other than a board member of Agents' Mutual, yes, | 10 | ago; correct? |
| 11 | Mr Rook? | 11 | A. Forgive me, that -- it would make a difference whether |
| 12 | A. Yes, I can see that. | 12 | this grouping was existing members, in which case the |
| 13 | Q. So -- | 13 | discussions might well be linked to the collective |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Harris, your reference was 3454B, | 14 | purchasing arrangements but otherwise, if it is -- |
| 15 | was it? | 15 | Julie's job was to recruit new agents to Agents' Mutual. |
| 16 | MR HARRIS: 3453B. | 16 | Q. I see, so -- reading on in this email. Do you see the |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. | 17 | second sentence: |
| 18 | MR HARRIS: So notwithstanding the many warnings you gave to | 18 | "In September there will be an OnTheMarket meeting |
| 19 | Mr Rook on multiple occasions about not taking | 19 | at which we all need to have a decision made for the |
| 20 | collective decisions about which portals to come off, | 20 | coast." |
| 21 | here he is as a primary recipient of an email, the | 21 | That is clearly a collective decision, isn't it? |
| 22 | subject matter is what? | 22 | A. On the face of it. |
| 23 | A. OnTheMarket, making a decision on which portals to come | 23 | Q. Can we then put that bundle away, number 6 and move this |
| 24 | off. | 24 | time into bundle number 8 . Would you mind turning up, |
| 25 | Q. Crikey, that seems very odd, doesn't it, Mr Springett, | 25 | please, page 4463A, so we are back to your board member, |
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| 1 | Mr Rook. | 1 | I think, John Notley of Zoopla Property Group to this |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | North East agents marketing group. So inevitably they |
| 3 | Q. And I am not suggesting that you were party to this | 3 | will have been considering that offer and it is not |
| 4 | email but it is sent from a board member of | 4 | clear whether they have determined to take it or not. |
| 5 | Agents' Mutual, isn't it, internally, within his estate | 5 | Q. That is right. But they are clearly having collective |
| 6 | agency in the North East? | 6 | discussions about it, aren't they? |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | A. Well, this is an internal email. |
| 8 | Q. Twenty-four November, so a couple of months after these | 8 | Q. Yes, and he is reporting about what he knows internally? |
| 9 | emails in which we have already seen his email | 9 | A. Well, I can't comment on that. |
| 10 | participation; correct? The emails we were just looking | 10 | Q. I see. Okay. We can see what it says. Fair enough if |
| 11 | at. They were in June 2014 and August 2014, weren't | 11 | you didn't receive it. |
| 12 | they? | 12 | The third point I wish to draw out is from item |
| 13 | A. I think participation again -- he was one of the | 13 | number 5. Do you see "coast"? One infers that is the |
| 14 | recipients. | 14 | same group of coastal agents that we looked at in |
| 15 | Q. Yes, participation by email; yes? | 15 | the August email. Is that a fair inference? |
| 16 | A. I didn't see any response from him. | 16 | A. I think Mark Small and coastal is correct. |
| 17 | Q. Let's see what he has to think about this. You can see | 17 | Q. So he says: |
| 18 | this is still prelaunch, isn't it? | 18 | "Mark Small done a big job ... big membership and |
| 19 | A. I can. | 19 | appears to have all except M Cooke ..." |
| 20 | Q. And he highlights -- the subject is "OTM/RM and Z, | 20 | I am not sure if that is a man or a woman or the |
| 21 | highly confidential", and you can see that he is | 21 | name of an estate agent: |
| 22 | relaying his thoughts. This is the same man, isn't it, | 22 | "If all others go Z, I estimate NC likely to follow. |
| 23 | Mr Rook, to whom you said to Helen Whiteley, "further | 23 | If he does not, he can be marginalised." |
| 24 | queries about these should be referred to Clive Rook"; | 24 | Can you offer any comment as to what was meant by |
| 25 | right, that was your view? | 25 | your board member, Mr Rook? |
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| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir, we keep having the mantra of |
| 2 | Q. People should turn to this board member in the North | 2 | the board member, Mr Rook. Which of course is true, he |
| 3 | East; correct? | 3 | was. But this is a Rook Matthews Sayer email and the |
| 4 | A. That's right. | 4 | witness has just been asked to comment on what Mr Rook |
| 5 | Q. And we can see what he's thinking at this time by some | 5 | had in mind, I think. |
| 6 | of the comments that he makes in this email. Picking it | 6 | MR HARRIS: That is why I said "Can you offer any comment?" |
| 7 | up in number $2-$ it is a little bit hard to discern, it | 7 | And if he can't, he can't. |
| 8 | goes numbered items. Can you just pick out the numbers? | 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: The document says what it says and the |
| 9 | A. Yes, I can. | 9 | witness will say what he says. |
| 10 | Q. And then second line of that one, he says: | 10 | MR HARRIS: So it is an entirely fair question, |
| 11 | "All members to heavily promote to make number 1 and | 11 | Mr Springett, can you offer any comment about what he |
| 12 | marginalise non-members." | 12 | means when he says "if he does not, he can be |
| 13 | Misspelt. Do you see that? | 13 | marginalised"? |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | A. No. |
| 15 | Q. This is in advance of launch, isn't it? | 15 | Q. So you didn't discuss that sort of thing with him then? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. He refers to "nearly all members in our area have | 17 | Q. Perhaps we'll go down to item number 8. |
| 18 | committed verbally to Z." | 18 | A. I don't think I went to the North East |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | between December 13 and early 2015. |
| 20 | Q. So he clearly knows in advance of launch of the proposed | 20 | Q. Thank you. Can you offer any comment as to what he |
| 21 | decisions yet to be made because the portal hasn't | 21 | means by the sub-bullet 2 within number 8 . Do you see 8 |
| 22 | launched, of the nearly all members in his area, doesn't | 22 | and it says "strengths", misspelt and then it says |
| 23 | he? | 23 | second line down, 2, "we can successfully attack [again |
| 24 | A. Yes, but if I look at the date of this, 24 November, | 24 | misspelt] non-members"? |
| 25 | this will be after a proposal had been received by, | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. Can you offer any comment as to what Mr Rook meant by |  | A. Mmm. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that? | 2 | Q. Thank you. So do you accept from me that this does |
| 3 | A. I think it goes back to what you were saying before, | 3 | show, at least to some extent, the way in which a man |
| 4 | that use of portals being an element of competition | 4 | who was at the time of this email, a board member of |
| 5 | between agents. | 5 | Agents' Mutual, is approaching the question of choice of |
| 6 | Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, I don't understand that. | 6 | portals in the North East? |
| 7 | Would you mind explaining that further? | 7 | A. I wasn't party to the email. I hadn't had conversations |
| 8 | A. I think what you were saying was that you were asserting | 8 | with Clive Rook since, probably, early October, I think, |
| 9 | that competition amongst -- that membership of different | 9 | on this sort of topic, so I don't know. |
| 10 | portals was a factor in competition between estate | 10 | Q. So that's the answer, you don't know whether it shows |
| 11 | agents. | 11 | any of his internal thinking or not? |
| 12 | Q. I was saying that. | 12 | A. I don't know what he was thinking. |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | Q. Well, you can see what he wrote in the email? |
| 14 | Q. And at first you weren't accepting that? | 14 | A. But I don't know what he was thinking. |
| 15 | A. Not pre the launch of OnTheMarket. I am not saying the | 15 | Q. But you would accept the board directors of |
| 16 | principle is wrong. I am just saying what operated in | 16 | Agents' Mutual, they are instrumental, aren't they, in |
| 17 | practice before the launch. | 17 | how Agents' Mutual went about recruiting members and |
| 18 | Q. What I am just a bit confused about, Mr Springett, is | 18 | getting people to sign up; yes? |
| 19 | how does that relate to you offering a view, if you have | 19 | A. "Instrumental" is probably a bit strong. The other |
| 20 | one, about what Mr Rook says, when he says "can | 20 | board members or the board members at this point in time |
| 21 | successfully attack non-members"? | 21 | were all non-executive. |
| 22 | A. He is talking as a Rook Matthews Sayer person. This is | 22 | Q. Perhaps I will change the word then. Instrumental. You |
| 23 | a Rook Matthews Sayer internal email. | 23 | prefer they can provide guidance and reassurance? |
| 24 | Q. Again, so -- I am lost. How does that relate to the | 24 | A. Well, their role is strategy, policy, financial control, |
| 25 | question of how he can successfully attack non-members? | 25 | that's how we set up. |
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| 1 | A. Because if he believes he has a competitive advantage | 1 | Q. But they can provide guidance and reassurance and they |
| 2 | over them by being with OnTheMarket, depending what | 2 | can influence the decision of either actual or |
| 3 | other agents are doing, it will affect the competitive | 3 | prospective members, can't they? |
| 4 | landscape between them. | 4 | A. Well, I think their reputations being on the line from |
| 5 | Q. I see. So you are now with me, are you, about how | 5 | the outset was what gave this traction in the first |
| 6 | number and choice and identity of portals is either | 6 | place. |
| 7 | a parameter or perhaps if Mr Freeman prefers, a way of | 7 | Q. Precisely, Mr Springett, because they did have the |
| 8 | competing between estate agents? | 8 | influence with the wider estate agent group; right? |
| 9 | A. I was never not with you in principle. I am just saying | 9 | A. No, I think it's because they would have been regarded |
| 10 | to you it wasn't occurring prior to our launch. | 10 | as being responsible custodians of the company behind |
| 11 | Q. Do you see in the third sub-point of item 8 at the end | 11 | Agents' Mutual and OnTheMarket, so people would have |
| 12 | of the line, he is talking about -- well, at item 3: | 12 | expected it to be properly run, appropriately |
| 13 | "Leaving Rightmove, saving some money and slow stop | 13 | structured, all these things. |
| 14 | entry of online agents? | 14 | Q. I am not sure, actually -- on this point, Mr Springett, |
| 15 | Can you offer a view as to what he meant by that? | 15 | I'm not actually I am actually disagreeing. |
| 16 | A. Not particularly. | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. And then do you see down at item 1, towards the bottom | 17 | Q. All I am saying is including for the reasons that you |
| 18 | of the page, it goes $9,10,11,2,12,10$, but I am not | 18 | have just given, they do have meaningful influence over |
| 19 | quite sure why but I'm on item 11, can you see that? | 19 | other estate agents, don't they, the board members? |
| 20 | A. "Other considerations"? | 20 | A. Yes, well let's see where you go with that. |
| 21 | Q. And do you see that Mr Rook is, amongst other things, | 21 | Q. Why don't we just answer the question, Mr Springett? |
| 22 | reporting back at item 4 that a collective boycott is | 22 | A. Because you are not defining what you mean by influence. |
| 23 | illegal? | 23 | I have just given you an answer as to what I think their |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | influence is. |
| 25 | Q. It doesn't say "is". "Collective boycott illegal"? | 25 | Q. And what, are you now waiting for me to put a document |
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| 1 | to you? | 1 | Q. Yes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. I don't know what you are going to say but what kind of | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | influence do you mean that they have? | 3 | Q. That is right, isn't it? |
| 4 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that they are put | 4 | A. It is from me. |
| 5 | forward by you from time to time as providing | 5 | Q. To the grouping of the west Wales agents: yes?: |
| 6 | reassurance to other prospective members about the aims | 6 | "Dear Nigel, thank you for your message." |
| 7 | and direction of Agents' Mutual. Is that right? | 7 | And we'll be seeing this email at a later stage as |
| 8 | A. It didn't happen often but it has happened. | 8 | well. But for right now there's some discussion in the |
| 9 | Q. Yes, it has happened. Let me now give you an example. | 9 | paragraph beginning "I am not able", about leading |
| 10 | In bundle 5 -- | 10 | a collective boycott, et cetera. I am going to be |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just pausing there. Looking at point 3 on | 11 | coming back to that, Mr Springett, but for now, on the |
| 12 | 4463A, "Nearly all members ...(Reading to the words)... | 12 | point about directors, what you say to this agent is: |
| 13 | committed verbally to Z." I infer that's Zoopla. Is | 13 | "I thought you might well make conversation with one |
| 14 | that right? | 14 | of our directors about this. Not least to give further |
| 15 | MR HARRIS: That is our inference. It is certainly | 15 | reassurance about the board's commitment to the stated |
| 16 | consistent with all the other places where Z has been | 16 | strategy." |
| 17 | used. | 17 | So there you are, offering either a director to |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: And then the brackets, this is H8/4463A. The | 18 | provide further information about how the company |
| 19 | document you have just been cross-examining on, | 19 | operates and provide commitments about what the board |
| 20 | Mr Harris. | 20 | strategy is; right? |
| 21 | MR HARRIS: Yes, thank you. | 21 | A. Well the particular context here is that at this point |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Then in brackets we have the -- well, these | 22 | in time, in the run-up to our launch --I think we are |
| 23 | are the estate agents that are in discussions with | 23 | in to 2014 -- some of our competitors are spreading |
| 24 | Zoopla; is that right? | 24 | rumours around that they have signed up our board member |
| 25 | MR HARRIS: Are you in item 3, sir? | 25 | firms into longer term contracts which would make it |
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| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am, Mr Harris, yes. | 1 | impossible, actually, for them to observe the one other |
| 2 | MR HARRIS: Yes, that is what I understand to be the case. | 2 | portal at the projected launch date. So we were keen |
| 3 | They are acronyms, as I understand it, for estate agents | 3 | and we did actually, at some point in 2014, and it is in |
| 4 | in that region and we know, for example, Mark Small | 4 | the bundle somewhere, get their permission to issue |
| 5 | which is not an acronym, he is, as we know, an estate | 5 | a statement saying that they were all committed and all |
| 6 | agent in that region and because it begins with the | 6 | in a position to comply with the one other portal. And |
| 7 | words "Nearly all are members", and then there are | 7 | if you look at the email -- I think the email on 2578, |
| 8 | brackets with what seems to be a list of members and it | 8 | the second paragraph of that email says exactly that. |
| 9 | goes on to say "I am not yet sure about", and then there | 9 | Q. Yes, thank you. Don't worry, Mr Springett, we are going |
| 10 | are various names. My understanding is that they are | 10 | to come back to this email. I am only raising it for |
| 11 | all agents in the -- | 11 | the moment for the final paragraph. It is right, is it |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Staying with Rightmove. | 12 | not, that you were putting forward to a grouping of |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. | 13 | agents in west Wales, a director, as being the person to |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. | 14 | speak to, to give reassurance about the board's |
| 15 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. | 15 | direction and strategy. That is right, isn't it? |
| 16 | So Mr Springett, I have now taken you, please, into | 16 | A. I see what I say to him. (Pause). Yes, a conversation |
| 17 | bundle 5 at page 2577. I'm taking you to this email on | 17 | with one of our directors to give reassurance about the |
| 18 | this point about directors of the board of directors of | 18 | board's commitment to the strategy. |
| 19 | Agents' Mutual being influential. So in that regard can | 19 | Q. Exactly. And in fact you then name the director, |
| 20 | you just note what this email is. It is 28 March 2014. | 20 | Mr Michael Hodgeson? |
| 21 | You see at the bottom of the previous page? | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | A. I am sorry? | 22 | Q. He is a director, indeed a founder member director, |
| 23 | Q. It is from you to some members of the west Wales | 23 | isn't he? |
| 24 | grouping of agents, isn't it? | 24 | A. He is. |
| 25 | A. So this is page 2577? | 25 | Q. And then you go on to say to this group of agents: |
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| 1 | "In any event, for you and your colleagues to have | 1 | chose to retain would give them adequate air cover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a direct line to the board, it seems appropriate"? | 2 | whilst we built up the business. |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | Q. So let's take it in stages then, Mr Springett. The |
| 4 | Q. In other words, the company acting vis à vis this | 4 | "they", that is the grouping of North East agents, isn't |
| 5 | grouping of agents, not just through you but via a board | 5 | it? |
| 6 | director; yes? | 6 | A. Erm. |
| 7 | A. That's right, well they are members of the company. | 7 | Q. "I made the point to Clive that they should take the low |
| 8 | They own it. | 8 | risk option." |
| 9 | Q. And in fact, it is the directors who are charged with | 9 | A. Yes, because he had emailed me that morning -- |
| 10 | implementing the OOP rule, isn't it? | 10 | Q. Yes? |
| 11 | A. It is, it is in the contract. | 11 | A. --6 October, to ask my advice. |
| 12 | Q. That is right. If you would like to -- members of the | 12 | Q. So I think we are ad idem on the first point. That is |
| 13 | Tribunal, that is in the very first line of the | 13 | you knowing of actual or proposed collective decision |
| 14 | membership contracts: | 14 | making by the group in the North East, isn't it? |
| 15 | "The company will, through its directors, seek to | 15 | A. I was aware of their marketing group, yes. |
| 16 | implement the OOP rule." | 16 | Q. No, collective decision making as to what you call a low |
| 17 | Mr Springett accepts that. | 17 | risk option. You knew that that's what was going on in |
| 18 | So we have seen so far, Mr Rook's involvement up in | 18 | this North East group, didn't you? |
| 19 | the North East and he's a director and we have seen that | 19 | A. I knew that they were making a decision by this time -- |
| 20 | you put forward a Mr Hodgson towards another grouping of | 20 | actually, I'm not sure by this time, but I knew that |
| 21 | agents to give reassurance and direction about strategy | 21 | there had been a presentation on 6 or 10 September. |
| 22 | and I suggest to you that you, personally, were keen to | 22 | Beyond that, I don't think I received any information |
| 23 | ensure that there was a collective alignment in the | 23 | about the specifics of an offer having been made and |
| 24 | North East grouping -- we are going to go back there, | 24 | I don't think I knew until some time later that an offer |
| 25 | now, Mr Springett -- including expressly as to the | 25 | had been made. |
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| 1 | choice of portal, weren't you? | 1 | Q. You know perfectly well at this stage, don't you, |
| 2 | A. As to the choice of portal? No. | 2 | Mr Springett, that the grouping in the North East of |
| 3 | Q. So I am suggesting to you, were keen to see collective | 3 | which Clive Rook, a board member, forms part, is |
| 4 | alignment as to the choice of portal in the North East | 4 | discussing collectively, decisions about which portal or |
| 5 | and your answer to that is? | 5 | portals to choose, don't you? |
| 6 | A. I was keen to see -- no. | 6 | A. I've told you, I'm aware of that marketing group. |
| 7 | Q. In that case, can I take you to another document. This | 7 | Q. No, discussions about which portal to choose. You know |
| 8 | time in bundle 7. Page 3992. That is an email from you | 8 | that they are having those discussions, don't you? |
| 9 | to Ms Whiteley of 6 October, isn't it, 19.19; yes? | 9 | A. I'm telling you that I knew about the marketing group |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | and I knew that they were receiving collective offers -- |
| 11 | Q. What you say in the second line is -- this is to | 11 | Q. So how on earth then, in the light of that evidence, do |
| 12 | Ms Whiteley: | 12 | you explain the next sentence: |
| 13 | "I made the point to Clive ... " | 13 | "He ran through the scenario in Whitley Bay, where |
| 14 | That is Clive Rook, isn't it? | 14 | there is a strong campaign for off both." |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | The "he" is Clive, is it not? |
| 16 | Q. "... that they should take the lowest option." | 16 | A. That's right. |
| 17 | The "they" is the grouping of agents in the North | 17 | Q. And he is therefore telling you about a prospective |
| 18 | East, isn't it? | 18 | group decision for coming off both portals, isn't he, by |
| 19 | A. I was concerned, it is true, that agents might be | 19 | the North East grouping? |
| 20 | proceeding on the basis that they were expecting a pop | 20 | A. He is. |
| 21 | up Rightmove from us, if I could put it like that. | 21 | Q. So would you like to change your evidence of a moment |
| 22 | Something that would instantly be as strong as the | 22 | ago, where you three times wouldn't accept that you knew |
| 23 | existing major portals and so when I advocate just | 23 | at the time that there were collective groups discussing |
| 24 | considering whatever their decision turned out to be, | 24 | decisions about which portals to come off? Would you |
| 25 | they should make sure that whichever other portal they | 25 | like to change that answer now, Mr Springett? |
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| 1 | A. Well, he's clearly said to me, and the reason for the intervention that I made, in addition to answering his | 1 | in the penultimate paragraph of the same email, where |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | 2 | you say to Ms Whiteley, in essence, "They" -- that is |
| 3 | question from earlier that morning, was that there was | 3 | the North East group isn't it? |
| 4 | a move in some parts to consider coming off both of the other portals. | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 |  | 5 | Q. "They would be mad to come off Rightmove and leave |
| 6 | Q. Is that now a yes? Are you changing your answer? | 6 | Pattinson on there." |
| 7 | A. What was the question? | 7 | Pattinson, that's from another estate agent who |
| 8 | Q. You did know at this time, didn't you, about the | 8 | wasn't part of the group at that point, isn't it? |
| 9 | grouping of the North East having collective discussions | 9 | A. That's right. |
| 10 | about which portals to come off, didn't you? | 10 | Q. Is that Caroline Pattinson and Keith Pattinson? |
| 11 | A. In the sense that it was reported to me in that | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 |  | 12 | Q. What are they, a family estate agent? |
| 13 | Q. So the answer is yes then? | 13 | A. It is a family business. |
| 14 | A. In that context, yes. | 14 | Q. And you say: |
| 15 | Q. Yes. Fine, thank you. Then it goes on and it says: | 15 | "Better to come off Zoopla", don't you? That is |
| 16 | "I said [that is you, is it not, Mr Springett] that | 16 | your view about what they should do as a collective? |
| 17 | that risks either portal breaching ...(Reading to the | 17 | A. Mmm, as expressed to Ms Whiteley. |
| 18 | words)... in the short term and then agents flooding | 18 | Q. But that is your view, isn't it? |
| 19 | back in an uncoordinated way. Easier to hold the line | 19 | A. Yes, as expressed to Ms Whiteley. |
| 20 | on one other portal (especially if Rightmove)." | 20 | Q. I accept that. I accept that. But that is your view, |
| 21 | That is what your response is, is it not? | 21 | isn't it, and why is that, Mr Springett? |
| 22 |  | 22 | A. Because my interest is to make sure that the One Other |
| 23 | Q. That is right. So the point is that you knew of this collective decision making by the group in the North | 23 | Portal rule is effective and sticks. What I didn't want |
| 24 |  | 24 | and what I was counselling Mr Rook and I did it |
| 25 | East and you are suggesting, albeit at this moment to | 25 | elsewhere with other people who were involved in this |
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| 1 | Ms Whiteley, that you have a preference about what that group decision should be, aren't you? | 1 | kind of group negotiation, was that they were doing so |
| 2 |  | 2 | with expectations of OnTheMarket's initial performance |
| 3 | A. "It would be easier to hold the line on one other portal | 3 | which were, let's say, too inflated. |
| 4 | (especially if Rightmove)." That was my view and | 4 | Q. With respect, Mr Springett, you say "better to come off |
| 5 | I expressed it to Ms Whiteley. | 5 | Zoopla", and you give the reason? |
| 6 | Q. Absolutely. | 6 | A. I'm sorry? |
| 7 | A. But I didn't express it to Mr Rook, as my later email, | 7 | Q. Straightaway? |
| 8 | 1944, referred to I think, on the Friday submissions, | 8 | A. I'm talking to Ms Whiteley. |
| 9 | makes clear. | 9 | Q. I know. And you say -- |
| 10 | Q. Let us finish off with this email. I am sure we are | 10 | A. An internal conversation. |
| 11 | going to get to the email you want, Mr Springett. So | 11 | Q. What's the reason that you say it is better to come off |
| 12 | the view that you are holding at this time, knowing of | 12 | Zoopla and you go on to give the reason, don't you? |
| 13 | this collective decision making about which other portal | 13 | Because you want to render it useless, don't you? |
| 14 | to choose, is that it would be better to just come off | 14 | A. Where does it say that? |
| 15 | one rather than two; yes? | 15 | Q. "Better to come off Zoopla", do you see the penultimate |
| 16 | A. That's correct. | 16 | paragraph, "Better to come off Zoopla and render it |
| 17 | Q. And it would be better if that one to come off was | 17 | useless"? |
| 18 | Rightmove; yes? | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | A. Do you mean Zoopla or Rightmove? | 19 | Q. So that is your mind set, isn't it, at this time? |
| 20 | Q. I beg your pardon, yes. I did mean Zoopla. When you | 20 | A. I'm thinking about what would be better for the agents. |
| 21 | say "especially if Rightmove", you are saying it would | 21 | Q. I see. |
| 22 | be better if they stayed on Rightmove and came off | 22 | A. You can see that because it refers specifically to the |
| 23 | Zoopla, isn't it? | 23 | local situation in relation to Pattinson. |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | MR HARRIS: Sir, I am entirely in your hands. I can carry |
| 25 | Q. Thank you for correcting me. Indeed, you can see that | 25 | on going. I am happy to take a break and -- we are not |
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|  | finished with the North East topic but we won't be for | 1 | A. Yes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a while. | 2 | Q. He's a board director and a founder member director, |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you carry on until about 3 o'clock | 3 | isn't he? |
| 4 | and then we'll have our break. | 4 | A. He is. |
| 5 | MR HARRIS: Sir, thank you. | 5 | Q. And this is dated February 2015, so it is a matter of |
| 6 | Another thing's going on in this email, isn't it, | 6 | a few days after launch isn't it? |
| 7 | Mr Springett, going back up to the top of it. You say, | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | as I read out earlier: | 8 | Q. "Dear Trevor, I won't be able to look comments until |
| 9 | "That risks either portal breaching the damn in the | 9 | this evening but will email you then." |
| 10 | short term and then agents flooding back." | 10 | We are going to look at your email response in |
| 11 | What you didn't want was a situation in which either | 11 | a minute: |
| 12 | people would come off both but then they would be | 12 | "But in the meantime you might be interested in |
| 13 | chipped away at by one of the portals and the damn would | 13 | a report. Outside London most members have chosen to |
| 14 | breach that way and, equally, what you didn't want in | 14 | stay with Rightmove. That puts us in second position in |
| 15 | any given region was to split the vote amongst the | 15 | all price categories." |
| 16 | agents in that region as to the portal they would | 16 | So you are concerned about your relative positioning |
| 17 | choose, did you? | 17 | as a portal in the country, aren't you? |
| 18 | A. No, I didn't care. | 18 | A. Sorry, I've lost the place, I beg your pardon. |
| 19 | Q. Really? The reason I suggest to you that you didn't | 19 | Q. It is probably my fault, Mr Springett. So I started at |
| 20 | want a split vote is because you knew very well that in | 20 | the bottom of 4976. |
| 21 | situations where there was a split vote, it would be | 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: It is 4977, I think you are looking at. |
| 22 | much harder for OTM to make progress as against | 22 | MR HARRIS: I have a feeling mine might be in a slightly |
| 23 | Rightmove and Zoopla, didn't you? | 23 | different order. The email in your version of the |
| 24 | A. I didn't care. I just wanted the maximum membership for | 24 | bundle that I am looking at begins a third of the way |
| 25 | Agents' Mutual because that would mean that it would | 25 | down 4977; do you have that, "Dear Trevor"? |
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| 1 | create the maximum disruption of the market as it was. | 1 | A. I do, thank you. |
| 2 | Q. I see, so your evidence is that you didn't care about | 2 | Q. And then do you see it says: |
| 3 | a split vote or a diluted vote; is that right? | 3 | "I have attached a draft report." |
| 4 | A. What do you mean by -- | 4 | And a bit lower it says: |
| 5 | Q. By that I mean that agents in any given locality, some | 5 | "Outside London most members have chosen to stay |
| 6 | might choose Rightmove as their other portal and some | 6 | with Rightmove which has put us in second position in |
| 7 | might choose Zoopla? | 7 | all price categories." |
| 8 | A. There is elsewhere in this bundle an email referring to | 8 | Yes? |
| 9 | a conversation about Andrew Craig, who is mentioned | 9 | A. Yes, I should clarify that it's $£ 1$ million plus, |
| 10 | here, who expresses the same view which I agree with. | 10 | $\mathfrak{£ 3}$ million plus, so properties below a million are not |
| 11 | Q. But what you are saying to me is that you -- | 11 | included in that report. |
| 12 | A. My objective was to have the largest possible membership | 12 | Q. No, that is right. But there is a focus, isn't there, |
| 13 | of Agents' Mutual and to make sure that any decisions | 13 | on your relative positioning, amongst the numbers of |
| 14 | that agents might make were not made on an assumption | 14 | portals in operation, in this case in England; yes? |
| 15 | that we would be an instant replacement for either one | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | of the major portals, because we weren't going to be and | 16 | Q. I know it is a long day, Mr Springett. Thank you for |
| 17 | it is evident that it takes time to build up. | 17 | bearing with me. |
| 18 | Q. So you are not accepting from me that you were opposed | 18 | But then you go on to say in the next line: |
| 19 | to split votes because that would make it harder for you | 19 | "However, in London the RMZ vote is split which, |
| 20 | to gain market position; right? You are not accepting | 20 | sadly, leaves us in third place." |
| 21 | that? | 21 | Still in third place. |
| 22 | A. I have told you my answer. | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Right. Okay, can we have a look now in bundle 9 then, | 23 | Q. So in fact you weren't in favour of splitting the vote, |
| 24 | at page 4976. Do you see this is an email you wrote to | 24 | were you, because it would lead you in third place as |
| 25 | another board director, Mr Trevor Abrahmsohn? | 25 | opposed to second place? |
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| 1 | A. That would depend on how many joined us. | 1 | is after the launch, so it is clear what's already |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Yes, exactly. | 2 | happened at this point. |
| 3 | A. Just to be clear, it depends how big Agents' Mutual's | 3 | Q. That is right. Then if we move forward now. In my |
| 4 | membership would become. | 4 | copy, I think it is the same in yours, do you have |
| 5 | Q. It is a matter -- | 5 | a couple of pages earlier on at 4974, a continuation of |
| 6 | A. There are various ways in which you can move past third | 6 | the conversation? |
| 7 | place and into second. | 7 | A. I do. |
| 8 | Q. As a matter of principle though, if you split in any | 8 | Q. So this is another email from you, isn't it, to |
| 9 | given locality, one of the portal choices by the groups | 9 | Mr Abrahmsohn? |
| 10 | of agents joining, half of them go off to Rightmove and | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | half of them go off to Zoopla or some of them go off to | 11 | Q. Still on the same topic, isn't it, about this grouping |
| 12 | somebody else, that is going to make your job as a new | 12 | of agents. These are in north or north west London, |
| 13 | website harder, isn't it? | 13 | aren't they? |
| 14 | A. Well, in one sense not because don't forget, the other | 14 | A. Yes, and it is clear that they are in negotiation with |
| 15 | reason for the One Other Portal rule was to create | 15 | Zoopla about a group deal. |
| 16 | a differentiated set of properties. So splitting it | 16 | Q. So it is a grouping of agents and they are sometimes |
| 17 | 50/50 would have given us that more strongly than the | 17 | called the re-app agents, aren't they? |
| 18 | hand we were dealt. | 18 | A. Yes, that is the owner of the Fabric magazine. |
| 19 | Q. In fact, it is revealing, isn't it, the rest of this | 19 | Q. Sometimes they are called the Fabric Group. It is |
| 20 | email because you don't say anything about that at all, | 20 | effectively the same thing? |
| 21 | do you? What you say: | 21 | A. It is the same thing. |
| 22 | "These numbers bear out the discussions we had | 22 | Q. And Mr Abrahmsohn is a member of the group, isn't he? |
| 23 | during last year, to the effect that the most efficient | 23 | A. Yes, he is. |
| 24 | way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if | 24 | Q. And also a founder director and what you say is: |
| 25 | members dropped ... " | 25 | "Dear Trevor, thanks for this and what follows is |
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| 1 | Who? | 1 | geared to help in any further negotiation in commercial |
| 2 | A. Zoopla. | 2 | terms. |
| 3 | Q. Yes, that's right, so that is your view, isn't it, | 3 | Then you go on to offer a view, don't you? |
| 4 | Mr Springett, this time expressed to one of your other | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | board directors? | 5 | Q. "Of course, from a pure AM/OTM viewpoint, I would prefer |
| 6 | A. It is unquestionable that that is the most efficient way | 6 | you all to ditch them." |
| 7 | to get there. | 7 | So you are expressing your view to this grouping of |
| 8 | Q. That is right. | 8 | agents as a group? |
| 9 | A. If everybody moves from the number 2 portal, then you | 9 | A. I am expressing it to Mr Abrahmsohn. |
| 10 | are going to accelerate a bit faster. | 10 | Q. No, you are saying "I would prefer you all to ditch |
| 11 | Q. That is right. And the number 2 portal being Zoopla and | 11 | them", aren't you? |
| 12 | what you then go on to say in this email at the bottom | 12 | A. I'm expressing that to Mr Abrahmsohn. |
| 13 | is: | 13 | Q. I am sorry, I take that point, yes. You are expressing |
| 14 | "You and your Fabric colleagues". | 14 | it in the email to Mr Abrahmsohn? |
| 15 | So please accept from me, Mr Springett, that is you | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | thinking of a group of agents, isn't it? | 16 | Q. But the view you are expressing to Mr Abrahmsohn is that |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | you would prefer them all as a group to ditch Zoopla; |
| 18 | Q. As a group they represent a swing vote, don't they? | 18 | correct? |
| 19 | A. Yes, they do. | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And your attempts to get to the number 2 position; yes? | 20 | Q. "I hope you will forgive me for signalling that. I want |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | to make sure we deliver the endgame for our members as |
| 22 | Q. And what you see as being the way to get there is that | 22 | soon as possible and replacing Zoopla as number 2 has |
| 23 | it would be quicker, most efficient and swifter if they | 23 | been board strategy since last February." |
| 24 | all dropped Zoopla; correct? | 24 | A. That's correct. |
| 25 | A. That's correct and it is relevant to point out that this | 25 | Q. So for at least a year, the aims and objectives, as |
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| 1 | expressed through the board strategy of the company, | 1 | know and wasn't for his ears, aren't you? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | have been to replace Zoopla, haven't they? | 2 | A. I am telling him in confidence. |
| 3 | A. Replace Zoopla as number $\mathbf{2}$ is the objective. | 3 | Q. Precisely because it is confidential information; that |
| 4 | Q. Yes. Thank you. And that, you say, is becoming ever | 4 | is right, isn't it? |
| 5 | more achievable. You go on to say: | 5 | A. No, I'm telling him in confidence. That's all it says. |
| 6 | "I do recognise though, that AM/OTM's existence has | 6 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that this email is clear |
| 7 | helped create useful and valuable negotiating | 7 | evidence of you attempting to influence a grouping of |
| 8 | opportunities and most importantly, that these decisions | 8 | agents to make a decision as a group to ditch Zoopla |
| 9 | are for members to take and not for me." | 9 | because that's the company's strategy, to replace Zoopla |
| 10 | So what you are saying is two things. "There are | 10 | as number 2. That is right, isn't it? |
| 11 | decisions for members to take and not for me", but | 11 | A. It is not addressed to a group of agents. |
| 12 | nevertheless, you -- and you are chief executive of the | 12 | MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. |
| 13 | company, aren't you -- explaining and reiterating that | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, we'll rise for five minutes. |
| 14 | the strategy of the company is for the grouping all to | 14 | ( 3.00 pm ) |
| 15 | ditch Zoopla, aren't you? | 15 | (A short break) |
| 16 | A. It is clear from the first line that from a pure | 16 | ( 3.05 pm ) |
| 17 | Agents' Mutual/OTM viewpoint, in the light of what | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, before you resume, the questioning |
| 18 | actually happened when the portal launched, it would be | 18 | of the witness, I have one point on the pleadings which |
| 19 | preferable for us, in order to get to the number 2 | 19 | I would like to raise with you. I think it is probably |
| 20 | position and overtake Zoopla, that that switch should | 20 | best if I raise it in the absence of you, Mr Springett. |
| 21 | happen. But if I -- if you look at what the bulk of | 21 | I wonder if you would mind waiting outside court for |
| 22 | this email is then about, it is about some thoughts that | 22 | five minutes. |
| 23 | I had on how he could look at the negotiation with | 23 | (The witness withdrew) |
| 24 | Zoopla. | 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone will recall the debate we had in |
| 25 | Q. What you say in the first bullet point below the first | 25 | opening about horizontal and vertical agreements and |
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| 1 | hole punch is that: | 1 | Mr Harris, you in particular, referred both Mr Maclean |
| 2 | "If REAP leave Zoopla, they are likely to wither in | 2 | and the Tribunal to paragraph 38 of your pleading, which |
| 3 | Prime London." | 3 | we find at $\mathrm{A} 3 / \mathrm{tab} 3$, page 48. And you remember the |
| 4 | That means they are going to fade away, doesn't it? | 4 | debates that you and Mr Maclean had about what exactly |
| 5 | A. That would be true. | 5 | the nature of the horizontal agreement was and you said |
| 6 | Q. So that's what is your view of the company strategy was; | 6 | that there was much more to the pleading than just the |
| 7 | correct? To get Zoopla to wither away in Prime London | 7 | title, which refers to a collective boycott of Zoopla. |
| 8 | because what you are saying is "from the company's | 8 | MR HARRIS: Correct, sir, yes. |
| 9 | viewpoint, I would prefer you all to ditch Zoopla." | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: At some point, and I am sure it will be in |
| 10 | That is right, isn't it? | 10 | closings, we will be wanting submissions on horizontal |
| 11 | A. I think you have got to separate paragraph 2 from the | 11 | as well as vertical agreements and I know, Mr Harris, |
| 12 | rest of the email because then I've moved on to saying | 12 | you have addressed that in your written submissions, |
| 13 | how you might think about the negotiation. | 13 | perhaps less so on the part of Agents' Mutual, but no |
| 14 | Q. And in putting forward your views in this email, | 14 | doubt that's a treat we'll have for closing. |
| 15 | Mr Springett, you are even prepared to use confidential | 15 | What is clear though, is that although, as you very |
| 16 | information that wasn't otherwise known by | 16 | fairly said, there are aspects in the pleading in |
| 17 | Mr Abrahmsohn, aren't you? | 17 | paragraphs 38 and following which go more broadly than |
| 18 | A. I mentioned to him, yes. | 18 | a collective boycott against Zoopla, one of the |
| 19 | Q. So that is the final bullet point "(in confidence)." | 19 | essential allegations you are making here is a concerted |
| 20 | You shouldn't have been telling Mr Abrahmsohn this, | 20 | practice, collectively, to boycott Zoopla. |
| 21 | should you? | 21 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. |
| 22 | A. I had no reason not to. | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: What concerned me, and the reason I am |
| 23 | Q. Well, you are telling him confidential information that | 23 | raising it now is because it may affect how you want to |
| 24 | you were privy to in your capacity as chief executive | 24 | put things to the witness, is that a lot of the |
| 25 | and in the generation of this venture, that he didn't | 25 | documents you referred us and the witness to in |
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| 1 | cross-examination regarding the northeast England | 1 | And we have been very clear about this in the skeleton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | practice, related, you would say, to a collective | 2 | and in openings and in this pleading. What I do accept, |
| 3 | position being taken but in respect of leaving | 3 | and I said this very clearly, is that the short form |
| 4 | Rightmove, not Zoopla. | 4 | heading to the particulars, only identifies that one |
| 5 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, that's correct. | 5 | against Zoopla but the characterisation of the breach is |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: And we see that H5/2578. I think H7/3992. | 6 | set out in paragraph 26 of the pleading. We |
| 7 | And you took the witness to 3992 which was | 7 | characterised these going on as either concerted |
| 8 | Mr Springett's thinking about this and to put it | 8 | practices, agreements or decisions of associated |
| 9 | colloquially, you were putting to Mr Springett that his | 9 | undertakings as particularised. |
| 10 | private view was that they would be mad to come off | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: So you have to go to 38 and following? |
| 11 | Rightmove but, nevertheless, the view of the estate | 11 | MR HARRIS: Yes, that's right. |
| 12 | agents was that their collective view, if you want to | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: And when one looks at 38 and following, you |
| 13 | put it that way, and obviously, that is something we'll | 13 | will correct me if I am wrong, I am sure, but when one |
| 14 | have to reach a view on, was to stay with Zoopla and | 14 | gets to paragraph 40, the collective decision regarding |
| 15 | come off Rightmove. | 15 | property portals to leave, as I see it, but do correct |
| 16 | Now, is it then part of your case that there was | 16 | me if I'm wrong, refers only to ceasing to list with |
| 17 | some form of communication by Mr Springett of | 17 | Zoopla. |
| 18 | Agents' Mutual to the collectivity of these agents, | 18 | MR HARRIS: Well, sir, there are a number -- |
| 19 | saying "change your mind"? | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Is that right or am I missing something? |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: Sir, let me take this in stages. | 20 | MR HARRIS: Well, what one has to understand, sir, is the |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 21 | date upon which this document was written. |
| 22 | MR HARRIS: So part of our pleaded case involved impugning | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. |
| 23 | three types of horizontal agreements or, if you like, | 23 | MR HARRIS: So at the time of putting together the |
| 24 | three variants, so there is, as I said in opening, and | 24 | particulars in this pleading, in this expedited action, |
| 25 | it has never been dealt with either in the skeleton or | 25 | we of course hadn't had all of this disclosure, so |
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| 1 | in opening by my learned friend, there are concerted | 1 | I wasn't in a position to particularise, at that stage, |
| 2 | practices/decisions of an association of | 2 | by specific examples or dates of collective decisions |
| 3 | undertaking/agreements, collectively to join | 3 | that fall within the general wording that were leaving |
| 4 | Agents' Mutual. So that's category number 1. | 4 | Rightmove. What I was able to do and put my name to, as |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I understand that. | 5 | was Mr Livesey, the particulars that have been given and |
| 6 | MR HARRIS: So far so good. | 6 | all I could say at that stage was included at |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: So far so good. | 7 | paragraph 40F, included deliberately: |
| 8 | MR HARRIS: What we also say is that the undertaking | 8 | "... by reason of the facts and matters set out |
| 9 | substituted practical cooperation as to the property | 9 | above and the best particulars I had available at the |
| 10 | portals which the estate agent undertakings in question | 10 | date of the pleading, the defendant avers that such |
| 11 | would use. That's paragraph 38, part of which you read | 11 | meetings are likely to have been used to invite |
| 12 | out before. | 12 | potential members to state their intentions as to |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Show me exactly what you are referring to. | 13 | whether they intended to join OTM and/or which other |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: Yes, it begins at the very final word, (ii), | 14 | portal they would use." |
| 15 | paragraph 38, top of page 49. | 15 | It is very deliberately not confined to Zoopla. And |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. | 16 | what's emerged since then and, of course, this is why we |
| 17 | MR HARRIS: "Its members or some of them, by which those | 17 | were so clear about it in our skeleton and in our |
| 18 | undertakings could substitute a practical cooperation as | 18 | opening, is that now that we have seen, and as I have |
| 19 | to the property portals which the estate agent | 19 | been trying to explain in opening and to some extent in |
| 20 | undertakings in question would use." | 20 | the questioning, collective groupings making collective |
| 21 | And then that splits into two types. So they can | 21 | decisions and in different parts of the country they |
| 22 | substitute practical cooperation as to the property | 22 | have turned in different ways. In fact, as I am about |
| 23 | portals they would use, being Rightmove, the one they | 23 | to develop, they haven't turned in different ways very |
| 24 | would use, and in other cases, practical cooperation as | 24 | often at all. But more disclosure had been generated |
| 25 | to using Zoopla, Zoopla being the one that they use. | 25 | because of the search terms for certain examples of |
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| 1 | collective decisions and, therefore, we have more | 1 | that's why you have come 90/10. I take your point, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | documents about certain of them. And certain of them | 2 | Mr Freeman, sir, it is completely right that evidence on |
| 3 | are ones that either ended up being collective decisions | 3 | the effects case in the property portal market would be |
| 4 | to leave Rightmove, certain of them, and certain of | 4 | different if it hadn't been 90/10 but it has been 90/10. |
| 5 | them, like the northeast, had some initial disclosure of | 5 | They are entirely consistent. It is entirely |
| 6 | the agents suggesting that they might, as a group, leave | 6 | consistent for me to run a case that there were illegal |
| 7 | Rightmove but in actual fact, even in the northeast, the | 7 | collective boycotts of Rightmove, for what turned out to |
| 8 | one we are currently dealing with, they all left Zoopla. | 8 | be 10 per cent of cases. In fact, only two areas, west |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, all litigation is a journey, not | 9 | Wales and north London and an illegal collective boycott |
| 10 | always to a known destination but the whole thrust of | 10 | of Zoopla everywhere else, including as it happens, we |
| 11 | your case will be different if you were in fact alleging | 11 | haven't quite got there yet, in the northeast. They |
| 12 | a collective boycott of Rightmove. All the economic | 12 | collectively left Zoopla. |
| 13 | effects would be different. You would no longer be | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: You see you don't just have to make the |
| 14 | seeing a strategy to replace the number 2 by another | 14 | allegation of collective leaving, you need to tie |
| 15 | number 2. It would be an entirely different analysis. | 15 | Agents' Mutual into that. |
| 16 | I think you would accept that. | 16 | MR HARRIS: Absolutely. |
| 17 | MR HARRIS: That is quite right, sir, and that takes me on | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: What we have at the moment and maybe the |
| 18 | to the point that I just put on the back burner. That | 18 | story is only part told which is why I am raising it now |
| 19 | is because the 90 per cent is the key figure. | 19 | because I am a little concerned that the point hasn't |
| 20 | Ninety per cent ended up ditching Zoopla. It happens to | 20 | been closed down in your cross-examination, is what we |
| 21 | be the case that 10 per cent didn't and some of these | 21 | have got is a fairly clear indication that the estate |
| 22 | materials in this file take -- in fact it is only two | 22 | agents in this part of the country are inclined to ditch |
| 23 | groups. You might want to note this down mentally or | 23 | Rightmove and stay with Zoopla. We have an internal |
| 24 | wherever. It is only two groupings in the country that | 24 | communication that you have taken Mr Springett to, where |
| 25 | ended up ditching Rightmove as a group. One was the | 25 | he suggests that seems like a rather odd thing to do in |
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| 1 | north London grouping, that was the REAP, Trevor | 1 | the circumstances, but one has an altogether more |
| 2 | Abrahamson group, and one which we haven't yet reached | 2 | neutral communication from Mr Springett to Clive Rook, |
| 3 | was in west Wales. Every single one of the other groups | 3 | one of those estate agents, where he's not saying this |
| 4 | ended up coming to an, in our contention, illegal group | 4 | is mad, it's a much more measured document which you |
| 5 | decision to ditch Zoopla. And that is why you get the | 5 | didn't take Mr Springett to, at page 3994. |
| 6 | 90 per cent versus the 10 per cent which is a common | 6 | MR HARRIS: Sir, that is because -- |
| 7 | ground figure. | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: You may be coming to it. |
| 8 | MR FREEMAN: When you wrote your pleadings, you had in mind | 8 | MR HARRIS: Yes. |
| 9 | the existence of a possible collective decision that | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: But the reason I am raising it now and you |
| 10 | would impact mainly on Zoopla. That was your thinking | 10 | can understand why I am doing so because I am afraid |
| 11 | and that was all the evidence supplied on the economic | 11 | I am not sure I, for one, entirely share your view that |
| 12 | side. Nothing has really changed on that. | 12 | the position regarding the various different forms of |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: No, sir, that is not fair. That is not fair. | 13 | collective agreement is quite as clear as you would |
| 14 | We have learnt a great deal more from the evidence, from | 14 | suggest from the pleading. You are, when you are |
| 15 | the disclosure, about how the agents have been going | 15 | putting your case to Mr Springett, going to have to be |
| 16 | about -- we say, as you know, facilitated, encouraged, | 16 | much more precise in terms of what it is you are putting |
| 17 | et cetera, by the company, making group decisions. And | 17 | to him, what he did in respect of each region. So that |
| 18 | what we now know and what we have been very clear about | 18 | we can tie in, if the evidence goes that way, |
| 19 | is that that gives rise to, potentially, three variants | 19 | Agents' Mutual into this, what you say is an illegal |
| 20 | of the legal decisions. Group decisions to join and | 20 | collective. |
| 21 | should have been individual, and group decisions, as it | 21 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, I think -- |
| 22 | turns out in 90 per cent of the cases, to ditch Zoopla, | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: You may say I am raising this unnecessarily |
| 23 | as was indeed, we see, the strategy of Agents' Mutual, | 23 | and if that's so, absolutely fantastic, but I felt it |
| 24 | but I accept 10 per cent of the decisions that were | 24 | was important to raise it now because when I saw the |
| 25 | group decisions on our case, to ditch Rightmove. And | 25 | documents that you have so far taken the witness to in |
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|  | the northeast, I was a little bit confused. Now, no | 1 | you are saying there was a collective agreement, you |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | doubt it is entirely my fault but it does seem to me | 2 | will need to put your case to the witness. |
| 3 | when there is that sort of confusion, one ought to lay | 3 | MR HARRIS: Yes, I accept that. |
| 4 | it out on the table so you know where we are coming | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Say, yes, you were part of it. I'm probably |
| 5 | from. | 5 | teaching my grandmother to suck eggs. |
| 6 | MR HARRIS: I am grateful. | 6 | MR HARRIS: No, not at all. It is a very useful discussion |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: And it may affect how you are going to put | 7 | as everything sort of filters through in collective |
| 8 | your questions to Mr Springett. | 8 | understandings. One of the reasons that, as you know, |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir, yes. I suspect some of the | 9 | I have been keen to just express how much there is to |
| 10 | questioning arises from the fact that we are midway | 10 | get through, includes because I have to deal with the |
| 11 | through a particular issue in one particular area. | 11 | northeast -- I have dealt, in part, with London -- but |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but you had moved on to London, you see. | 12 | I have to deal with west Wales, I have to deal with |
| 13 | That's the thing. | 13 | north Devon and then there are a whole series of other |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: Yes, I had but we haven't finished the northeast | 14 | ones I have to put, like the ones we mentioned to |
| 15 | and that one, I confess, that one came a little bit out | 15 | Mr Springett earlier on. There is Maidstone, East |
| 16 | of order in some ways because it followed on from | 16 | Anglia and I am afraid some of it is forensic. It is |
| 17 | another email but I haven't finished with the northeast | 17 | not quite as developed because we don't have as much |
| 18 | and I certainly haven't finished with the email you have | 18 | disclosure on all of the points. |
| 19 | in mind and indeed there are others as well. | 19 | But yes, and I entirely accept your point that |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am sure there are. There are 18 -files and | 20 | indeed it is part of our case that there are collective |
| 21 | I can't pretend to be as familiar with them as you are. | 21 | arrangements by localities, if you like, regional |
| 22 | MR HARRIS: I mean about the northeast and Mr Springett's | 22 | groupings making decisions and overall, it ended up |
| 23 | role in the northeast, including as regards ditching | 23 | being 90 per cent in one direction and 10 per cent in |
| 24 | Zoopla. But I stand entirely by -- no suggestion has | 24 | the other but the mechanics and the details were |
| 25 | ever been made by the other side that this has been an | 25 | different in each case because they were different |
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| 1 | unclear case, whether in the pleadings or whether in the | 1 | groupings. So I take that point. |
| 2 | skeleton argument or in the openings. They have just | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Good, in that case, thank you very much, |
| 3 | chosen not to address it. That is a matter for them and | 3 | Mr Harris, I am sorry to have taken you out of your way. |
| 4 | no doubt we'll now have argument about it in closing, | 4 | MR HARRIS: Not at all. I am anxious that the Tribunal |
| 5 | but we have been quite clear about what the nature of | 5 | should at least understand my case, even if I don't get |
| 6 | the variants are of the collective decisions. And | 6 | all the way there, at the end of the day. |
| 7 | I entirely take your point, sir, that part of my job is | 7 | MR FREEMAN: We have a common purpose in that, Mr Harris. |
| 8 | to seek to persuade you that Agents' Mutual, through its | 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: You can have a collective agreement as well. |
| 9 | various board members, employees, senior employees or | 9 | MR HARRIS: Is there anything else? |
| 10 | otherwise, is sufficiently, if I can use this word, | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, thank you. |
| 11 | "implicated". | 11 | (The witness returned to the witness box). |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think the point which -- as I say, it | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Welcome back, Mr Springett. |
| 13 | is no doubt entirely my fault, but the point that I am | 13 | MR HARRIS: Thank you, Mr Springett. Me again, I am afraid. |
| 14 | only really beginning to see now and I think it does | 14 | A. I wonder if I might be allowed to add a point of |
| 15 | affect the way you question Mr Springett, is that you | 15 | clarification which I was reminded about at the bottom |
| 16 | have got quite clear geographical variants. In other | 16 | of 4974 that we were looking at before. And no reason |
| 17 | words, it is not simply saying: across the United | 17 | to look at that unnecessarily, but I just wanted to make |
| 18 | Kingdom or across England, there was this single | 18 | clear that the choices made by OnTheMarket members as to |
| 19 | collective approach. Instead we have a variety of | 19 | their other portal, are not fixed in stone. You may |
| 20 | collective approaches which it may very well be, ended | 20 | have apprehended this from the documents but I just |
| 21 | up in the same place, with everyone leaving Zoopla and | 21 | wanted to make that clear, that they can be changed at |
| 22 | staying on Rightmove, which is where they ended up but | 22 | any time. |
| 23 | it may be the routes are different. | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Providing it is only one other. |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: But it does mean as regards each route that | 25 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. I have one more last thing to say |
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| 1 | about 4974, Mr Springett, so we are back in the final | 1 | a board director; yes? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | bullet point, "In confidence", and I think you were | 2 | A. That's correct. |
| 3 | saying: no, no, what you meant was it was just keep it | 3 | Q. "He was saying that lots of the agents locally are |
| 4 | confidential. | 4 | thinking of pulling off both Rightmove/Zoopla. ... |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | Understands he doesn't think that is a good idea. He |
| 6 | Q. That is not right, is it? | 6 | did say that his view was that they should stick with |
| 7 | MR FREEMAN: Which bundle is it, sorry? | 7 | Zoopla." |
| 8 | MR HARRIS: It is number 9, sir. 4974. The final bullet | 8 | So that is they as a group, isn't it? |
| 9 | point above the second hole punch, the one beginning | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | "(In confidence)." And you said: no, no, that just | 10 | Q. "So I believe that is his vote". |
| 11 | means keep it confidential. But I'm suggesting to you | 11 | What you say at the top of the page in response is: |
| 12 | that in fact you are revealing confidential information, | 12 | "I think they are all trying to eat their cake |
| 13 | aren't you, improperly? | 13 | before it is cooked. Pattinson want off Rightmove so |
| 14 | A. Well, if I am, I am not aware that it is confidential. | 14 | maybe this is influencing Clive. Much better for us if |
| 15 | Q. Well, you surely know that Chestertons are a direct | 15 | they leave Zoopla. Much less likely to go back. Should |
| 16 | competitor of Glentree in the London market, don't you? | 16 | I have a go?" |
| 17 | A. It is evident by this time that Chestertons chose to | 17 | Do you see that? |
| 18 | list on Zoopla. | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. That is not the point, is it? You are talking here, | 19 | Q. What you then do is you have a go, don't you, both as |
| 20 | about confidential information that you have about the | 20 | regards Mr Rook and after that, we shall see with |
| 21 | nature of the deal that Chestertons got some time | 21 | somebody else as well. That is right, isn't it, you had |
| 22 | earlier with a portal and you are quite wrongly | 22 | a go, "As you suggested, Ms Whiteley, maybe you should |
| 23 | revealing that to a competitor of Chestertons, aren't | 23 | do"? |
| 24 | you? | 24 | A. With Mr Rook? |
| 25 | A. I am sure Chestertons got a very compelling deal, is | 25 | Q. Yes. |
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| 1 | what it says. | 1 | A. Yes, and I think we covered that conversation earlier |
| 2 | Q. And you are quite wrongly revealing that to a competitor | 2 | because "the trying to eat the cake before it is cooked" |
| 3 | of Chestertons, aren't you? | 3 | refers to not making decisions based on the market being |
| 4 | A. Well, I am not sure what I'm revealing in that | 4 | an immediate replacement for either of the other two |
| 5 | particular instance because Chestertons isn't one of the | 5 | portals, in terms of performance. |
| 6 | largest board member firms. | 6 | Q. Perhaps we can take up the bundle in the email which |
| 7 | Q. You accept, don't you, that Chestertons and Glentree are | 7 | seems to be you having a go at in the nicest possible |
| 8 | competitors in the London market, don't you? | 8 | way Mr Rook and that is at 3994 in bundle 7. So just |
| 9 | A. I accept that. Yes, I do. | 9 | a few pages further over. |
| 10 | Q. I have finished with number 9, Mr Springett. And there | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | was a bit of a detour there to the north London market | 11 | Q. This is the 6 October 2014, isn't it? |
| 12 | but I am afraid I haven't finished yet with the North | 12 | A. Yes, it is, yes. So this is the evening and I had |
| 13 | East market. So I would like to invite your attention | 13 | a conversation with him on the telephone around the |
| 14 | back, please, into bundle number 7. This time could you | 14 | early afternoon I think. |
| 15 | please open it up at 3977. Do you see that that's an | 15 | Q. It is all prelaunch, isn't it? |
| 16 | email to you on 5 October -- | 16 | A. It is prelaunch. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Q. That is right, isn't it? |
| 18 | Q. -- from Ms Whiteley; yes? | 18 | A. That's correct. |
| 19 | A. I do. | 19 | Q. So you refer to your talk earlier and then you refer to |
| 20 | Q. And she says: | 20 | the group of which Mr Rook is a leading light and, as |
| 21 | "Just to let you know I had an interesting | 21 | you know, a board director, yes? |
| 22 | conversation with Clive ..." | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | That is Clive Rook, isn't it? | 23 | Q. You also copy in two other members of the group, |
| 24 | A. Mmm. | 24 | Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson? |
| 25 | Q. "... on Friday." This is a point where he is still | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. "Your group is very strong so you have more options than | 1 | Q. Maybe, but this is addressed to a group of agents, isn't |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | most. The attention you are getting from Zoopla is | 2 | it? |
| 3 | testimony to that ..." | 3 | A. It is a group of agents who I was aware were looking at |
| 4 | I am happy for you to read. I am not going to read | 4 | a collective purchasing arrangement. |
| 5 | the whole email but I want to make sure that you are | 5 | Q. And what you are doing is trying to influence the |
| 6 | able to refer to whatever part you wish to do. (Pause). | 6 | decision of this group as a group which is why you |
| 7 | Do you see in the next paragraph -- I am going to go | 7 | address it to more than one person, don't you? |
| 8 | through bits of it so please feel free to refer to any | 8 | A. Well, okay -- |
| 9 | other bits. | 9 | Q. Yes, you accept that? |
| 10 | A. Thank you. | 10 | A. I accept that. |
| 11 | Q. You say: | 11 | Q. Then what you go on to say in this email is that one |
| 12 | "Taking first the idea that agents come off both | 12 | other portal situation is much easier to sustain whether |
| 13 | Rightmove and Zoopla." | 13 | this is Rightmove or Zoopla. We are back in that |
| 14 | Just pausing there. We are back to that territory | 14 | territory, aren't we, of you would rather everyone |
| 15 | aren't we, whereas at this date you knew there was | 15 | chooses one or chooses the other as opposed to splitting |
| 16 | a group and you knew that the group was proposing to | 16 | or diluting the vote, aren't you? |
| 17 | make collective decisions as to the choices of portal, | 17 | A. No, what that says is that a one other portal situation |
| 18 | didn't you? | 18 | is much easier to sustain than a situation where they |
| 19 | A. Well I knew what I had been told by Mr Rook. | 19 | choose no other portal. |
| 20 | Q. That is right, that there was a proposed collective | 20 | Q. But also as compared to a situation where some choose |
| 21 | decision to come off both Rightmove and Zoopla, correct? | 21 | Rightmove and some choose Zoopla, right? |
| 22 | A. I think he says some agents. | 22 | A. No, it doesn't say that. What it says is a one other |
| 23 | Q. Well, you say here: | 23 | portal situation is easier to sustain than a no other |
| 24 | "Taking first the idea that agents come off both | 24 | portal situation which is -- goes back to the origins |
| 25 | Rightmove and Zoopla." | 25 | where we said in an ideal world it would have been an |
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| 1 | A. Yes, and my perspective on that would be the same | 1 | exclusive proposition but that wasn't sustainable. |
| 2 | irrespective. | 2 | Q. And you go on to say: |
| 3 | Q. What in my submission and what I suggest to you is what | 3 | "The easiest situation to sustain is where OTM |
| 4 | you then tried to do is influence the collective | 4 | agents choose to retain the portal they each consider |
| 5 | decision making of this group away from the proposal | 5 | the strongest for their business." |
| 6 | that they are considering as a group, don't you, because | 6 | And then you go on to say: |
| 7 | what you say, effectively, is: don't come off both of | 7 | "There is at least one major competitor in the |
| 8 | them? | 8 | region outside the OTM tent." |
| 9 | A. I am certainly saying that. | 9 | Is that Pattinson? |
| 10 | Q. You say: | 10 | A. I am referring to Pattinson, yes. |
| 11 | "This is the route most likely to crumble as both | 11 | Q. So, as I understand it, they are a fairly significant |
| 12 | Rightmove and Zoopla will be trying to breach the dam." | 12 | local agent in the North East? |
| 13 | So we are back to the dam and the flooding, yes? | 13 | A. They are. |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | Q. As we will see in a moment, you have some dealings with |
| 15 | Q. So you would accept from me, is it, that is you trying | 15 | them. And you are saying if they remain outside the OTM |
| 16 | to influence the collective decision making of this | 16 | tent -- well, you can see the rest of what you say |
| 17 | group up in the North East, yes? | 17 | there. |
| 18 | A. Well influence it in the sense of making sure that they | 18 | A. Mmm. |
| 19 | weren't reaching their decision on a false assumption | 19 | Q. What you then go on to say is: |
| 20 | about how effective OnTheMarket would be from launch. | 20 | "Clearly the above dynamics change if all the key |
| 21 | Q. Yes, I am happy with that, Mr Springett. So influence | 21 | agents are signed for five-year terms with us." |
| 22 | them to make a collective decision as a group about | 22 | So what I suggest to you is that you were advocating |
| 23 | which portals to join but just not that decision? | 23 | towards Mr Rook, Mr Henning and Mr Rogerson that |
| 24 | A. Collective or not would have been the same answer. It | 24 | dynamics move in a particular way if they act together |
| 25 | would have been one office. | 25 | as all the key agents, aren't you? |
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| 1 | A. No, I'm just saying if all the key -- it is the same as | 1 | individual firms more easily. You certainly didn't want |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the outcome that occurred when we launched. If agents | 2 | that, did you, Mr Springett, you didn't want them acting |
| 3 | act in a particular way there will be a particular | 3 | individually, you wanted them to be approaching Zoopla |
| 4 | outcome. | 4 | as a group? |
| 5 | Q. Then you go on: | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | "But my advice would on balance still be that you | 6 | Q. That's why you say don't give the list? |
| 7 | should each choose the lowest risk option for your | 7 | A. That is not what that means and it is the same reason we |
| 8 | businesses and take the benefits we can deliver | 8 | have not given access to anybody on the whole. We |
| 9 | progressively." | 9 | haven't given access to lists or didn't give access to |
| 10 | Do you see that? | 10 | lists during that period because our competitors knew |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | what was coming and we didn't want to make it easier for |
| 12 | Q. And you don't say there that choosing the one other | 12 | them to counteroffer and to derail the process. |
| 13 | portal collectively would be illegal, do you? | 13 | Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, you didn't give access of |
| 14 | A. Well, I've already made my position clear on that, that | 14 | lists to whom? |
| 15 | Clive Rook is involved in this group and he is a senior | 15 | A. Externally, we didn't publish them. We didn't want |
| 16 | agent in the area and he's fully au fait with the issues | 16 | lists of our members getting into the hands of our |
| 17 | around collective negotiation. | 17 | competitors, a perfectly reasonable commercial position. |
| 18 | Q. But the confusion, you see, is Mr Springett, you said | 18 | Q. I may be at slightly cross-purposes with you. Where |
| 19 | a number of times that you -- I don't know if you used | 19 | does the publishing come into it? Nobody is suggesting |
| 20 | the word "advised" or "told" Mr Rook that he has to do | 20 | that somebody publishes a list? |
| 21 | these things including on the basis of legal advice but | 21 | A. If Zoopla ask for a list, I have said to --I think the |
| 22 | what I don't see is where you are telling Mr Rook: you | 22 | person asking the question is Clive Rook, he's emailed |
| 23 | can't do that, that's not legal? | 23 | me on the facing page to say, what do I think about this |
| 24 | A. Because by this time my advice to all of these agents | 24 | idea of providing a list to Zoopla? And I simply |
| 25 | who signed up in the first batch of gold membership, in | 25 | respond saying, I don't think it is a very good idea. |
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| 1 | other words, when I was personally making the | 1 | Q. That is right, and the reason you didn't want that is |
| 2 | presentations to them, that they needed to make | 2 | because you would let them pick off with individual |
| 3 | independent decisions and, therefore, my position was if | 3 | deals to members of the group, isn't it? |
| 4 | they chose to depart from that, then they needed to be | 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | very clear what they were doing. | 5 | Q. This is what it says. A list just lets them target/pick |
| 6 | Q. I see, so is it right then, Mr Springett, your view is | 6 | off individual firms more easily? |
| 7 | you effectively give, if you like, a blanket warning | 7 | A. It is a list of people who are signed up to us and they |
| 8 | against collective decision making such as the one you | 8 | can be picked off. |
| 9 | had given a year earlier to Mr Henning alone in that | 9 | Q. That is right and you don't want that because you want |
| 10 | email that we saw in 2013 and then that's it, that's | 10 | the group to act together, don't you? |
| 11 | enough? | 11 | A. No, well that's not -- that wasn't the intent of that |
| 12 | A. No, of course not. It was on one of the final pages of | 12 | paragraph. |
| 13 | every presentation I gave. | 13 | Q. I see. Can we just finish off then on this little train |
| 14 | Q. That is right. But it is not in this email, is it? | 14 | because if you move over several pages to 4001 you will |
| 15 | A. It is not in this email. | 15 | see there is a response to this email from Mr Henning, |
| 16 | Q. If we go on then in the next paragraph, the one | 16 | do you see at the top of the page? |
| 17 | beginning "You mentioned" this moves on to a slightly | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | different topic about Zoopla having asked for a list of | 18 | Q. You can see at the bottom of the page it is the same |
| 19 | all the member firms in the region and you saying they | 19 | email we just looked at? |
| 20 | don't need it. The last sentence I suggest to you is | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | telling: | 21 | Q. And then it looks as though a few -- well, that same day |
| 22 | "A list just lets them..." that means Zoopla, | 22 | Mr Henning responds and he responds to you, doesn't he? |
| 23 | doesn't it? | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | Q. I suggest to you that the message hasn't really got |
| 25 | Q. And this just lets them, Zoopla, target/pick off | 25 | through very well, has it, to Mr Henning because what he |
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| 1 | says, picking it up in the -- you can read to yourself | 1 | So far so good? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the first couple of sentences. I don't want to exclude | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | you from refreshing your memory. (Pause) | 3 | Q. And then she responds? |
| 4 | He says: | 4 | A. No, that's what I had agreed with Clive Rook that |
| 5 | "In terms of what's right for individual | 5 | I would do. |
| 6 | businesses ... However, I think by continuing to drive | 6 | Q. Thank you. The previous page in the bundle then you can |
| 7 | our current strategy as a region we can all gain whether | 7 | see her response: |
| 8 | we individually subsequently choose to come off both | 8 | "Hi Ian, I have been clear on our position. I am |
| 9 | stay with Rightmove or Zoopla." | 9 | not prepared to commit to a five year agreement which |
| 10 | So what he is saying there is he wants to carry on, | 10 | could amount to 400,000 on a product which I have not |
| 11 | isn't he, acting as a region or as a grouping? | 11 | seen which relies on most of my competitors doing |
| 12 | A. Well, he's in a grouping which is conducting collective | 12 | something which they currently lack the courage to do." |
| 13 | negotiations with portals, one portal in particular at | 13 | A. Mmm. |
| 14 | this point which is Zoopla. | 14 | Q. And what you then say is in my suggestion to you that |
| 15 | Q. Yes, that is right. He wants to carry on doing it as | 15 | what you want is a collective decision on behalf of all |
| 16 | a grouping, doesn't he, notwithstanding what you said? | 16 | the agents in the North East. You say: |
| 17 | A. Apparently so. | 17 | "Hi Caroline, thanks for this. I appreciate your |
| 18 | Q. You don't write back to him, do you, and say, "Look, | 18 | position of course. I am simply thinking that if all of |
| 19 | haven't you really got the hang of this, Mr Henning, you | 19 | the main agents in the North East were aligned it would |
| 20 | can't do this?" | 20 | be easier for them to make courageous decisions about |
| 21 | A. By this time I have given him very clear advice on what | 21 | individual and, indeed, potentially all other portals." |
| 22 | they should do and if they want to depart from that, it | 22 | So you are expressly saying to her, aren't you, and |
| 23 | is theirs to take their own legal advice. | 23 | advocating that she should be part of a collective |
| 24 | Q. You don't say that it's illegal? | 24 | decision about which portal to choose rather than take |
| 25 | A. No, because I'd said that many times. | 25 | an individual one, aren't you? |
|  | Page 221 |  | Page 223 |
| 1 | Q. Then in terms of having a go, to use your language, with | 1 | A. No, I'm not actually. I'm saying that Agents' Mutual |
| 2 | Mr Rook, it is fair to say that you, if you like, also | 2 | becoming stronger gives all agents more negotiating |
| 3 | have a go at Miss Pattinson, don't you, in the North | 3 | strength with the other two portals. |
| 4 | East? | 4 | Q. You are specifically saying, Mr Springett, come on, that |
| 5 | A. I wouldn't characterise it as "having a go". | 5 | you would -- you were suggesting to her quite clearly |
| 6 | Q. I don't mean in the sort of vernacular sense? | 6 | that she could be part of an alignment in the North |
| 7 | A. In any sense really because I was asked by Clive Rook, | 7 | East, aren't you? |
| 8 | I was told by him, I think during the course of the call | 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | or -- I became aware whether it was the course of the | 9 | Q. What -- |
| 10 | call, it may be in one of the emails, that he and some | 10 | A. However, it is clear that there is already a substantial |
| 11 | other Agents' Mutual members were meeting Miss Pattinson | 11 | membership agency in the North East and I am saying to |
| 12 | I think the following day and he said to me, "Could you | 12 | her if you come on board with Agents' Mutual, Agents' |
| 13 | contact her and just offer any assistance and | 13 | Mutual will be stronger, it will strengthen your hand |
| 14 | a willingness to discuss terms on which she, her firm | 14 | vis à vis other portals. |
| 15 | could join Agents' Mutual." | 15 | Q. That is not what you are saying, is it, Mr Springett? |
| 16 | Q. Perhaps we can have a look at what happens with | 16 | A. That is exactly what I'm saying. |
| 17 | Miss Pattinson. If you are still in bundle number 7, | 17 | Q. You are suggesting to her that she should become part of |
| 18 | please, and turn, please, to 3990. Do you see that is | 18 | an alignment, that is a grouping of agents, so that she |
| 19 | an email from you to Miss Pattinson on 6 October? | 19 | can make courageous decisions about portals. That is |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | right, isn't it? |
| 21 | Q. "Dear Caroline, I hope you are well. Clive Rook | 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | mentioned to me that you are meeting with him and others | 22 | Q. And it is certainly right, isn't it, that this group of |
| 23 | tomorrow to discuss progress in the North East. It is | 23 | agents ultimately they came to a decision all to leave |
| 24 | just to say that following a meeting of member options I | 24 | Zoopla, didn't they? |
| 25 | would be happy to come and to meet you." | 25 | A. I don't have the details of what they all did. |
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| 1 | Q. Surely you know the answer to that question, | 1 | MR HARRIS: Is this in bundle 7? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr Springett? | 2 | MR FREEMAN: Yes. |
| 3 | A. We didn't keep any records of where people went. | 3 | MR HARRIS: 3987, yes, sir. |
| 4 | Q. Mr Springett as chief executive of the company you must | 4 | MR FREEMAN: Yes. And there was first of all a discussion |
| 5 | surely know which areas of the country the regions have | 5 | about Property Penguin which I just had explained to me, |
| 6 | collectively gone with Zoopla as opposed to the | 6 | thank you very much. Then I just note that the final |
| 7 | 90 per cent of the rest of the country where they have | 7 | thing in this correspondence was Miss Pattinson saying |
| 8 | gone to Rightmove? | 8 | to Mr Springett: why didn't you buy Zoopla? I am just |
| 9 | A. We didn't keep any record of where people had come from, | 9 | observing to you that was one of the hypothetical |
| 10 | what portals they had dropped. The only information we | 10 | remarks I put to you which is would your analysis of |
| 11 | collected immediately in the run-up to the launch was | 11 | this issue from the competition point of view be |
| 12 | which portal they were choosing as their one other | 12 | different if it was a merger situation rather than |
| 13 | portal. | 13 | a vertical agreement and in a horizontal context? |
| 14 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett that is not right at all. | 14 | I am just observing that it is interesting that the |
| 15 | You know perfectly well that this grouping of agents up | 15 | idea of a merger is not entirely fanciful but |
| 16 | there in the North East came to a group decision to | 16 | Mr Springett has demonstrated that they didn't have the |
| 17 | leave Zoopla which was what you had always wanted them | 17 | money. |
| 18 | to do, and that is right, isn't it? I am sorry, was | 18 | MR HARRIS: Yes, that is how I have marked up that email, |
| 19 | that a yes or a no? | 19 | yes, 3987, although I have also marked up the attitude |
| 20 | A. Forgive me, I have forgotten the question now. | 20 | towards one of the large portals at the bottom which is |
| 21 | Q. I have suggested that you do know perfectly well? | 21 | after dealing with the numbers: |
| 22 | A. No, is the answer. | 22 | "Our plan is to put them right back in their box as |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: That was the answer, yes. | 23 | a supplier to agents rather than their master." |
| 24 | MR FREEMAN: Could I just ask Mr Springett what Property | 24 | MR FREEMAN: I read that too. |
| 25 | Penguin is or was? | 25 | MR HARRIS: Which is a theme we'll be coming to later on. |
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| 1 | A. Yes, I don't have precise details. I can tell you what | 1 | MR FREEMAN: You can put bundle H7 away now. |
| 2 | I know and Property Penguin was a concept, and I don't | 2 | MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, one of the things that, |
| 3 | know precisely how far they got with it but it was an | 3 | certainly on this side of the court we found |
| 4 | attempt by agents in the North East to create a local | 4 | occasionally, is we can't pick up quite what you are |
| 5 | property portal which they would run just between | 5 | saying when you direct a question to a witness and so |
| 6 | themselves regionally but with I suppose the similar | 6 | I apologise and put the bundle away but I genuinely |
| 7 | objective of escaping the tyranny of the monster that | 7 | didn't hear the first ...: |
| 8 | had been created, but it never got traction. I think | 8 | My attention had been drawn, Mr Springett, to an |
| 9 | one of the reasons was there was a degree of distrust | 9 | answer you gave in the transcript today at page 204, |
| 10 | between local competitors. | 10 | line 6 to 12 and I quote: |
| 11 | MR FREEMAN: Thank you. I see also, just finishing off this | 11 | "My advice to all these agents is that they needed |
| 12 | line of correspondence that Miss Pattinson asked you why | 12 | to take an independent decision." |
| 13 | you hadn't bought Zoopla and you gave her a fairly | 13 | But that is exactly the opposite of what you are |
| 14 | comprehensive answer and I only mention that because | 14 | doing when you say to Miss Pattinson that you suggest |
| 15 | that was one of the hypothetical remarks I put to you | 15 | that she should be aligned with other agents, isn't it? |
| 16 | which is what your analysis would be if this was | 16 | A. Not at all. It just meant I was talking to her about |
| 17 | a merger between Agents' Mutual and Zoopla, and I think | 17 | joining Agents' Mutual. |
| 18 | you gave me an answer to that but Mr Springett has also | 18 | Q. I suggest to you that you say one thing on one occasion |
| 19 | explained that it was never possible financially. | 19 | and then you do something on another occasion and that's |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: I am sorry, sir, I simply didn't hear the first | 20 | right, isn't it? |
| 21 | part of it and now I am not on the same document so | 21 | A. No, it isn't. |
| 22 | would you mind repeating. I am afraid I am not | 22 | Q. Is it right that one of the keys to getting these |
| 23 | following. | 23 | regional groups of agents to operate was finding |
| 24 | MR FREEMAN: I was just finishing the line of correspondence | 24 | a motivated individual to lead the grouping? |
| 25 | that you were putting to Mr Springett. | 25 | A. No. I didn't seek out people who would take on any kind |
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| 1 | of leadership role and in fact my experience was fairly | 1 | a strong group functioning there was somebody separate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | random in that as I went round the country. | 2 | from Agents' Mutual as a company who'd volunteered to |
| 3 | Q. So not key to finding a motivated individual then, is | 3 | take on whatever role they took on. |
| 4 | that your evidence? | 4 | Q. Mr Rook is not separate from the company, is he? He is |
| 5 | A. Well, I am saying that it arose in different parts of | 5 | a board director of the company? |
| 6 | the country and not in others. | 6 | A. Yes, but that group had formed itself considerably |
| 7 | Q. The reason I am just querying that with you, | 7 | before he became a board member of Agents' Mutual. |
| 8 | Mr Springett, is because -- in fact those are your exact | 8 | Q. At the time of all the emails that I have been showing |
| 9 | words, if you look at bundle 15 at page 8310. So do you | 9 | you he was a central figure in the group and he was |
| 10 | see that this is starting at the first hole punch an | 10 | a board director, wasn't he? |
| 11 | email that you, Ian Springett, wrote? | 11 | A. Yes, I think -- |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | Q. Sorry, I cut you off. |
| 13 | Q. This is in response to an email from Ms Whiteley to you, | 13 | A. If we look back to the $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ period, it was Mr Henning |
| 14 | isn't? | 14 | who was actually making a lot of the running trying to |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | arrange meetings and help develop the membership there. |
| 16 | Q. She had suggested possible more formalised structures | 16 | So I am just trying to say to you that we didn't go out |
| 17 | for Agents' Mutual in local areas, hadn't she? | 17 | looking to appoint people from outside the company |
| 18 | A. In Northern Ireland specifically I think. | 18 | including members to take on any kind of liaison or |
| 19 | Q. Just on this point, you say that: | 19 | leadership. |
| 20 | "The member groups should be self managing and | 20 | Q. But as regards where we were probably about half an hour |
| 21 | whilst the local..." | 21 | ago now, the REAP Fabric group in north London that also |
| 22 | And there we have our acronym from yesterday, don't | 22 | had as a key member another board member of |
| 23 | we, business development consultant and regional sales | 23 | Agents' Mutual, didn't it, Mr Trevor Abrahmsohn, from |
| 24 | manager. | 24 | Glentree? |
| 25 | "... can be invited to attend there is no | 25 | A. That's correct. |
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| 1 | representative from AM on the committee." | 1 | Q. And I think perhaps I misheard you this time, |
| 2 | That is how the North East group operated, albeit | 2 | Mr Springett, but I think just a moment ago you said |
| 3 | all members were able and most did attend. But of | 3 | that it was -- or perhaps you can just remind me. Did |
| 4 | course in the North East group one of the key members | 4 | you say it was people inside or outside the company with |
| 5 | that we have seen from all the emails we have been | 5 | whom the group motivating individual liaises? |
| 6 | looking at today was a board member, wasn't he, Mr Rook? | 6 | A. What I'm trying to explain is that these groups are |
| 7 | A. He -- yes, he became a board member but after he joined | 7 | formed independently of the company. |
| 8 | Agents' Mutual. He became a board member in March 2014 | 8 | Q. Right. But they have if you like, a point man or woman |
| 9 | but had signed his contract in January 2014. | 9 | within the company with whom they liaise, don't they? |
| 10 | Q. All of those emails that we looked at earlier today | 10 | A. It varies enormously. The strongest illustration of |
| 11 | from June 2014 and then August and then September | 11 | that is Julie Emmerson in the North East just by virtue |
| 12 | and October, every one of them was at a time when | 12 | of the development of that group. There are other |
| 13 | Mr Rook was a board member of Agents' Mutual, correct? | 13 | groups such as the west Wales group where my |
| 14 | A. Yes, that's correct. | 14 | understanding is our local representative wasn't |
| 15 | Q. Then you say there was upset about Mr Rook's decisions | 15 | terribly closely involved. And that's partly because |
| 16 | not to leave RM. "The West Wales group is similar and | 16 | she came on the scene rather later. |
| 17 | intact. There is another in north Devon." We have seen | 17 | Q. I am glad you raised the west Wales group, Mr Springett, |
| 18 | how you updated your RFI on that point earlier today, | 18 | because that is the very next paragraph, isn't it? What |
| 19 | and then you say in your words: | 19 | you say is the North East group have had Julie, and |
| 20 | "In each case the key is a motivated individual or | 20 | actually we have seen your personal involvement up |
| 21 | group of individuals prepared to lead/coordinate." | 21 | there, haven't we, and indeed Ms Whiteley's yes, emails? |
| 22 | So that is right, isn't it? | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | A. Well, look, I took from your question that we had looked | 23 | Q. "But west Wales used me really and also interact with |
| 24 | for a key individual and perhaps I misheard you or | 24 | Patsy." |
| 25 | misunderstood but in each of those cases where there was | 25 | Who is Patsy? |
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| 1 | A. Head of marketing. So this is in relation to -- we are | 1 | A. It aligns with the rest of the UK, yes, sir. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | in 2016 now of course so we are 15 months or so post | 2 | MR HARRIS: You can put number 15 away, thank you. I do |
| 3 | launch and the focus of these groups has really been | 3 | apologise partly to Mr Springett in the sense that |
| 4 | involving themselves in additional marketing activity | 4 | I took you to the north London grouping in one of the |
| 5 | OnTheMarket's behalf, so they group together and they'll | 5 | emails a little bit out of order because it followed an |
| 6 | buy space in local newspapers. Some of them have taken | 6 | email. |
| 7 | radio advertising. They pay for it themselves but we | 7 | A. I understood. |
| 8 | supply the relevant copy or the radio adverts. | 8 | Q. And I just want to revisit for a moment back into the |
| 9 | Q. So you are the, if you like, the point man for west | 9 | territory of north London. So we had looked at the |
| 10 | Wales, as you say here, "West Wales use me really". And | 10 | email -- we don't need to turn it up again. I am just |
| 11 | then you go on, don't you, in the next paragraph to | 11 | reminding you -- at bundle 9 at 4749 78. That is the |
| 12 | say -- the heading of the email is "Northern Ireland". | 12 | you to Trevor Abrahmsohn one. Do you remember that one? |
| 13 | "I agree with you..." That is Helen, isn't it? | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | Q. I put to you how you were trying to influence future |
| 15 | Q. That the focus should be on how the agents can | 15 | portal choices of the group and you gave your evidence |
| 16 | individually and collectively work to advance their | 16 | about that. I suggest to you that you had known for |
| 17 | portal in Northern Ireland? | 17 | some time that there was a -- that was an email by the |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | way of February 2015, the Trevor Abrahmsohn one? |
| 19 | Q. And then you say "and resolve any local issues". And | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | then you say this sentence: | 20 | Q. I suggest to you that you had known for quite some time |
| 21 | "For example, the operation of the OOP rule where we | 21 | that there was a group of decision makers in London and |
| 22 | are slightly out on a limb but hopefully can contain | 22 | that they were likely to collectively make decisions |
| 23 | it." | 23 | about their portal choice, right? You had known that |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | for some time? |
| 25 | Q. You are out in on a limb there, aren't you, Mr Springett | 25 | A. Do you mean REAP? Do you mean Fabric REAP? |
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| 1 | because you know that the OOP rule in Northern Ireland | 1 | Q. I mean some of the members of REAP. Some influential |
| 2 | which is where we began today is significantly less | 2 | estate agents in central and north London? |
| 3 | restrictive for the first 12 month period than it is for | 3 | A. Well, again, it is worth understanding what the role of |
| 4 | the rest of the country; that is right, isn't it? | 4 | REAP or at least the way that it sees itself. I mean, |
| 5 | A. That is right. | 5 | it came into being to create a magazine, an agent owned |
| 6 | Q. So you regard that as a vulnerability out on a limb, | 6 | magazine in an environment where print media was |
| 7 | don't you? | 7 | becoming very expensive and where the local publisher |
| 8 | A. Absolutely. | 8 | had the whip hand in negotiations. And the role |
| 9 | Q. Yes. And that's because what it demonstrates, amongst | 9 | developed beyond that into again, group negotiation with |
| 10 | other things, Mr Springett, is that there is a perfectly | 10 | other -- particularly print media, in that part of the |
| 11 | acceptable less restrictive manner in which to launch | 11 | world. So it had already been established as an entity |
| 12 | your venture even within one part of the same market, | 12 | which did that on behalf of its members. |
| 13 | doesn't it? | 13 | Now, I think it is not constituted as a company |
| 14 | A. No, it doesn't do that. It allowed us to enter | 14 | limited by guarantee. I think it is a share based |
| 15 | a national market that had different characteristics | 15 | company, all the shares are held by agents. |
| 16 | from the mainland UK and it was temporary in fact. It | 16 | Q. So that's right, isn't it? If you look in bundle |
| 17 | expires in March 2017. | 17 | number 1 this time, at page 390, you can see at the |
| 18 | Q. Exactly, Mr Springett. So a less restrictive rule to | 18 | bottom of the page, it is going earlier in time to 2012? |
| 19 | get you into the market and only lasting 12 months | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | before changing. That is right, isn't it? | 20 | Q. Mr Bartlett, he's a founder member director of |
| 21 | A. Well becoming more restrictive than it originally was | 21 | Agents' Mutual, isn't he? |
| 22 | positioned. | 22 | A. He is. |
| 23 | Q. Very much so? | 23 | Q. I don't know who all of these people are but Mr Flint is |
| 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: So it ratchets up in other words the latitude | 24 | in the 'to' line? |
| 25 | of -- | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. He is a founder member director of Agents' Mutual, isn't | 1 | Because if he did pass it on and Mr Springett is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | he? | 2 | replying to that email, there would be an intervening |
| 3 | A. He is. | 3 | email showing the forwarding minutes. |
| 4 | Q. Mr Masters, he is a director at Kinleigh Folkard \& | 4 | MR HARRIS: That is right. These are documents that have |
| 5 | Hayward, isn't he? | 5 | been disclosed to us so we are just doing the best we |
| 6 | A. He is. | 6 | can. |
| 7 | Q. Somebody who you tried to woo early on in the picture? | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. |
| 8 | A. But not a founder member. | 8 | MR HARRIS: So what I am suggesting to you is, Mr Springett, |
| 9 | Q. No, I accept that but somebody you were keen to bring on | 9 | that back in late 2012, you knew of a grouping of some |
| 10 | board early? | 10 | influential and important central London and north |
| 11 | A. I was certainly. | 11 | London estate agents, including at least three of whom |
| 12 | Q. Mr Jarman; he is a director at Savills, isn't he? | 12 | were founder member directors of the company -- |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | A. Mmm. |
| 14 | Q. And you can see they are responding to the man who has | 14 | Q. -- that they were contemplating collective decisions to |
| 15 | been doing some group negotiation on their behalf? | 15 | pull off a particular portal, if nothing can be agreed; |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | right? |
| 17 | Q. Haven't they? | 17 | A. Well, as I have said, I don't know the detail. Clearly |
| 18 | A. He worked -- his organisation is a media buying agency, | 18 | I have been made aware that they, through a media agent, |
| 19 | amongst other things. | 19 | were engaged in a joint negotiation with ZPG and, |
| 20 | Q. What you say is that they go back with a maximum of | 20 | presumably, ZPG was happy to be involved on that basis. |
| 21 | increase -- of details that don't really matter. Over | 21 | That's the extent of it. |
| 22 | the page: | 22 | Q. Again, Mr Springett, we can see what the email says. |
| 23 | "I accept what you say, that they may then ask us to | 23 | You receive it? |
| 24 | pull off a portal. Nothing can be agreed. This is | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | something that we can consider as a group, once we know | 25 | Q. And it says "We consider, as a group, pulling off the |
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| 1 | their final stance"? | 1 | portal." As a group. So you did know that? |
| 2 | A. I'm not involved in this correspondence. | 2 | A. Where does it say they are going to pull off the group? |
| 3 | Q. You are not involved on that email on 17 December, but | 3 | Q. The top of 391. |
| 4 | this email chain gets passed to you, doesn't it, on the | 4 | A. I accept what you say, that they may then ask us to pull |
| 5 | previous page, where you say at the top of 389: | 5 | off the portal. Well that's Zoopla talking. |
| 6 | "Thanks for sending this through"? | 6 | Q. Yes, that's right. |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | A. Zoopla might ask the agents to come off the portal. |
| 8 | Q. So what I am suggesting to you is you did know about | 8 | Q. It is a group decision about a pulling off the portal |
| 9 | this group, including several of your founder member | 9 | that you know about from these people, isn't it? |
| 10 | directors, who were contemplating group decisions about | 10 | A. No, that's not what this says actually. It says: |
| 11 | pulling off a particular portal as long ago as 2012; | 11 | "The consensus [which I assume is from among the |
| 12 | right? | 12 | agents] is to seek a maximum increase of 10 per cent." |
| 13 | A. Well, I wasn't party to their negotiations at all. | 13 | And he goes on to say: |
| 14 | I think -- I am trying to remind myself what the context | 14 | "I accept what you say that they, Zoopla, may then |
| 15 | for it being sent to me was at all. It looks like it | 15 | ask us to pull off the portal if nothing can be agreed." |
| 16 | was sent to me -- I can't see where it was -- I can't | 16 | Q. That is right. What does it then go on to say? |
| 17 | see the email sending it to me, unfortunately. It seems | 17 | A. "This is something we can consider as a group, once we |
| 18 | strange that it's not there. | 18 | know their ..." |
| 19 | Q. One infers that you have at the bottom of 389, | 19 | Q. Exactly, "as a group"? |
| 20 | 18 December 2012, 12.49. Not on it. And then you | 20 | A. They are negotiating in a group context, aren't they? |
| 21 | respond to Noel, who is one of them, at 18 December, | 21 | You can't really negotiate in a group context and not be |
| 22 | 14.39. So one infers that Noel, at least, passed it on | 22 | able to walk away. |
| 23 | to you in the interim. Though one can't see the -- | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Before you move on, Mr Harris, Mr Springett, |
| 24 | A. No, it would be interesting to know what he said. | 24 | I wonder if you would look at page 389, the last email |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: It doesn't really make sense, does it? | 25 | in the chain. You refer in your email to Mr Flint to |
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|  | a three-year deal. Now, I can't see any reference in |
| ---: | :---: |
| 1 | this chain to a three-year deal, but obviously, someone |
| 2 | has mentioned that to you. |
| 4 | A. Yes. I think we were in the latter stages of finalising |
| 5 | the business plan and preparing to, early in 2013, |
| 6 | expose the Agents' Mutual proposition to the market and |
| 7 | we were aware, I think from this negotiation, but to be |
| 8 | honest, I can't remember, that Zoopla in particular, |
| 9 | were pushing agents to consider signing three year |
| 10 | deals. |
| 11 | MR HARRIS: If it assists the tribunal, or you Mr Springett, |
| 12 | the reference is on 392 in the middle of the page. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful, Mr Harris, thank you. |
| 14 | A. Is there a reference there to three years? <br> 15 |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think the second paragraph. |
| 17 | MR HARRIS: Do you have a blanked out version of that page? |
| 18 | MR HARRIS: I'm pretty sure none of that is confidential but |
| 19 | there we go. |
| 20 | A. Yes, I am not necessarily sure that that's what I was |
| 21 | responding to. It may have been something that was |
| 22 | discussed in one of the steering committee meetings, |
| 23 | where three year deals were becoming more prevalent and |
| 24 | I think what we were keen to do was get our proposition |
| 25 | out into the market as soon as possible, before too many |
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other agents entered into those sorts of deals.
THE CHAIRMAN: Because either they will not sign up to Newco which becomes OnTheMarket or they will be leaving Rightmove because the deal with them is shorter?
A. Either way. I mean --

THE CHAIRMAN: You think it could be both Rightmove and Zoopla pushing for three year deals?
A. Yes, absolutely.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see.
MR HARRIS: Thank you. I feel like I should have been
a train conductor and sold you a train ticket at the beginning of this cross-examination. We are now going to move to another part of the country. The territory of west Wales. I think that is Mr James' territory although he is no longer here. Can I invite you, please, not for the first time, I am afraid, Mr Springett, to go to a document you have already seen with me. This is in bundle 5 and it begins at the bottom of 2576 . You might recognise this. Twenty-eight March 2014, from you to a group of agents in west Wales; correct?
A. $\mathbf{2 5 7 7}$ I have.
Q. Yes, the date is on the bottom of 2576 , the very bottom line; do you see that?
A. I am sorry, yes, yes.
Q. And then the actual email moves over.
A. Agreed.
Q. So it is to a group of west Wales agents, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And also to one of the founder member -- partner directors, Michael Hodgson; is that right?
A. I am just checking the date because -- yes, it is that Michael Hodgson.
Q. Sorry, there might have been someone else?
A. There are other Michael Hodgsons I found in our firmament but this is Michael Hodgson, our director, yes.
Q. We have already seen this email because I took you to the bit about how the company makes use of its directors to promote its strategy; do you remember the final paragraph? That is why we were here earlier. Never mind.

## A. Fine.

Q. Now I am coming back to it for the remainder which is for a different reason.
A. Yes.
Q. "Dear Nigel, thanks for your message. Starting with

Rightmove's divide and rule ... "
I don't need to detain you with that. The next paragraph:
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> "Specifically on the point about founder board members ..."
> I don't need to detain you with that. I am just interested in taking you through the next paragraph. What you are saying to a group of west Wales agents is that:
> "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firm as to their choice of other portal."
> Just pausing there. This is in advance of launch, isn't it?
> A. Yes.
> Q. And what you were responding to is a request by one of these members for more information about what the board members are going to do, the founder and the board members; yes?
> A. Yes.
> Q. And you say:
> "I am not able to give you any information about the intentions of the board member firms as to their choice of portal. As you know, we must take care not to be seen to be leading a collective boycott of an individual media ... the matter is not discussed between them at board meetings or elsewhere."
> Then what you do, having given that warning,

| 1 | Mr Springett, is you go on to give a very clear steer as | 1 | rest of the country. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to what they should do, don't you?: | 2 | Q. Well, I put my point to you, what I suggest and then |
| 3 | "I think I can, however, let you know the mood I'm | 3 | just finishing off on this email. Apologies if I put |
| 4 | encountering in conversations with other agents up and | 4 | this to you before. I genuinely can't remember. |
| 5 | down the country, which is that whilst they would love | 5 | A. That's fine. |
| 6 | to drop Rightmove, the likelihood is that they will not | 6 | Q. So in the final paragraph you say: |
| 7 | do so, as it is perceived as the must have portal." | 7 | "I thought you might welcome a conversation ... " |
| 8 | That is you giving a very clear steer, isn't it? | 8 | And I suggest to you that what's going on there is |
| 9 | You are not giving individual details or specifics but | 9 | you thought that a conversation with one of your |
| 10 | you are clearly indicating to this group what's | 10 | directors about the question of what other portal to |
| 11 | happening elsewhere in the country and that people won't | 11 | choose, would be better than putting it in writing |
| 12 | be dropping Rightmove, aren't you? | 12 | because then it would give rise to less documents and |
| 13 | A. I am telling him/them what I've understood the mood to | 13 | materials in your possession. That is right, is it not? |
| 14 | be, which is it was in the public domain as well by then | 14 | A. No, it responds directly to the email I had been sent |
| 15 | because there were various reports by analysts towards | 15 | and the concern that Nigel Jones raised was that |
| 16 | the end of 2013 which were flagging that up, so I don't | 16 | Rightmove, in particular, in this case, was promoting |
| 17 | think it would have been news to anybody in that group. | 17 | the myth that Agents' Mutual board members are |
| 18 | Q. No, with respect, Mr Springett, that's an after the | 18 | committing to two other portals into 2015. So in other |
| 19 | event explanation, isn't it? You don't say: please find | 19 | words, implying that they would not be in a position to |
| 20 | attached a public report? | 20 | observe the One Other Portal rule when we launched and |
| 21 | A. No, but I'm telling him what I've found as I have been | 21 | that is the reason that that introduction was made. So |
| 22 | moving round the country. | 22 | that one of our directors could reassure that group that |
| 23 | Q. Exactly. This is at a stage when there is a group of | 23 | they were committed to doing that and we later put out |
| 24 | agents in west Wales considering as a group, which | 24 | an announcement to counter this propaganda, simply |
| 25 | portal to keep and which portal to drop, isn't it? Is | 25 | confirming that all the board member firms at the time |
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| 1 | that a yes? | 1 | were going to be in a position to observe the One Other |
| 2 | A. I am just looking for the timing as to whether they had | 2 | Portal rule and fully intended to do so. |
| 3 | already begun and I think they had joint negotiations | 3 | MR HARRIS: I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that it is part |
| 4 | with Zoopla. | 4 | of a theme about not wanting incriminating evidence to |
| 5 | Q. That is right, and what you are saying to them is that: | 5 | be created. What you say in the second line of the |
| 6 | I can't give you and I am not able to give you any | 6 | penultimate paragraph is "you must take care not to be |
| 7 | specific information about a specific firm, but what | 7 | seen to be leading the boycott", and then you suggest |
| 8 | I can tell you is what's going on everywhere else, up | 8 | a conversation, as opposed to further writing and |
| 9 | and down the country and it's that the other people are | 9 | I suggest to you that is consistent with that email we |
| 10 | not going to drop Rightmove. It is a clear attempt to | 10 | saw you write to Helen Whiteley on, I think, 6 June, the |
| 11 | influence the decision of that collective grouping, | 11 | one which is saying "Don't create", I am paraphrasing, |
| 12 | isn't it? | 12 | "Don't create messages and documents", and it is |
| 13 | A. Except I do finish off the paragraph by saying there is | 13 | consistent with the email that we saw with -- I believe |
| 14 | a strong critical mass of firms in the west Wales area | 14 | it was Mr Henning, the gentleman whose wife was a lawyer |
| 15 | and it resulted, in practice, in them accepting the | 15 | and you said "We don't", and I paraphrase again, "We |
| 16 | Zoopla Group deal. | 16 | don't want materials in circulation that could be held |
| 17 | Q. Yes, with respect, Mr Springett, that only fortifies my | 17 | against us." That is all correct, isn't it? |
| 18 | point. It is even worse where there is a strong | 18 | A. No, that is not remotely the case. |
| 19 | critical mass of this grouping and you are trying to | 19 | Q. Can we then go to the -- I think this is the response to |
| 20 | influence a strong critical mass in a decision not to | 20 | your email. So from Nigel, so I am going back earlier |
| 21 | drop Rightmove, because you are telling them that it is | 21 | in the bundle and you can see the date of his response |
| 22 | not happening elsewhere in the country. That is right, | 22 | which is on 2575 at the bottom. So you can see |
| 23 | is it not? | 23 | 28 March, later in the day? |
| 24 | A. That is not particularly relevant to them because | 24 | A. 2575 ? |
| 25 | I pointed out that their situation is different from the | 25 | Q. Yes, and then to get to the text of the email, you have |
|  | Page 246 |  | Page 248 |


| 1 | to see it -- | 1 | yours, then they must all, as a group, be coming off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. The bottom, I am sorry, yes. | 2 | Rightmove? |
| 3 | Q. -- over the page. | 3 | A. If they were on Rightmove. |
| 4 | A. Nigel to me. | 4 | Q. Exactly, if they were on it? |
| 5 | Q. Yes. | 5 | A. But they may not have been on it. |
| 6 | A. "Ian, thanks for your email." | 6 | Q. But it follows, doesn't it, that if they were on it, |
| 7 | Q. Yes, that's the one. | 7 | they were coming off it as a group? |
| 8 | A. "I have now met and listened to Rightmove." | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. And you can see that in the second paragraph, what he | 9 | Q. That is presumably why Mr Hodgson then says "I am not |
| 10 | talks about is going off and getting a group view from | 10 | sure where that stands re competition law"? |
| 11 | the agents, doesn't he? | 11 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 12 | A. Mmm. | 12 | Q. And that is how you understood it, didn't you? |
| 13 | Q. And then the final sentence "whether there is a strong | 13 | A. Well, again, it was a situation that arose because that |
| 14 | body of support within his grouping to go with Zoopla." | 14 | group of agents wanted to negotiate collectively with |
| 15 | And then going up the chain again, Mr Hodgson, so he's | 15 | Zoopla and Zoopla were very keen to have that |
| 16 | the man who we saw you directed Nigel Jones to as being | 16 | opportunity and this is one of the circumstances where |
| 17 | the board contact; correct? Mr Hodgson is? | 17 | I told them they needed to get their own legal advice on |
| 18 | A. Yes, so we are now on 2575 in the middle. | 18 | whether or not to do so. |
| 19 | Q. That is right, yes, thank you. And he's reporting to | 19 | Q. Really, where do you say that? |
| 20 | you, Mr Hodgson, isn't he, about his conversation with | 20 | A. What do -- |
| 21 | Mr Jones? | 21 | Q. I have never seen anywhere in these 10,000 pages, you |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | writing to the west Wales group and saying -- |
| 23 | Q. He puts a direct line to the board in the form of | 23 | A. No, I wouldn't have written to the west Wales group, |
| 24 | Mr Hodgson? | 24 | I would have give that advice to Mr Nigel Jones. |
| 25 | A. That's correct. | 25 | Q. When and where? |
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| 1 | Q. And so what Mr Hodgson says is: | 1 | A. In one of numerous telephone calls I had with him or |
| 2 | "Ian, I spoke with Nigel and gave him the necessary | 2 | potentially face-to-face, because I did go to Wales more |
| 3 | assurances. I did cover off the potential overlap | 3 | frequently. |
| 4 | problem which is likely to lead to agents attracting to | 4 | Q. But presumably it would have been disclosed to us if you |
| 5 | both post AM launch ... he talked about negotiating | 5 | had it written down somewhere in these 10,000 pages; |
| 6 | en bloc and making a group decision." | 6 | right? |
| 7 | So that is further knowledge on the part of you and | 7 | A. What can I tell you? I think the advice to Clive Rook |
| 8 | Mr Hodgson, isn't it, that the west Wales grouping is | 8 | would have been by telephone as well or face-to-face |
| 9 | going to proceed en bloc and as a group; correct? | 9 | because I was seeing him reasonably frequently around |
| 10 | A. Well, they're negotiating with Zoopla around a group | 10 | this time, because it's when he became a director. |
| 11 | offer. | 11 | Q. Where is the witness statement from Mr Jones confirming |
| 12 | Q. And they're negotiating with Zoopla as to whether or not | 12 | that? |
| 13 | Zoopla should be the one other portal, aren't they? | 13 | A. Well, what witness statement? |
| 14 | A. That's correct. | 14 | Q. Exactly. Where do you say that in your witness |
| 15 | Q. So by negotiating in a group manner with Zoopla, that | 15 | statement? |
| 16 | means also taking a group decision to leave Rightmove, | 16 | A. I can't anticipate every question you are going to ask |
| 17 | doesn't it? | 17 | me. |
| 18 | A. I think it is a positive decision as to -- it depends | 18 | Q. I see. Then what we see from this email is that |
| 19 | whether they are with Rightmove for a start, doesn't it? | 19 | Mr Hodgson tries to influence the collective decision, |
| 20 | In that part of the world, Zoopla was pretty strong. | 20 | doesn't he, of this grouping in west Wales. He goes on |
| 21 | Some of them would have been with both. Some of them | 21 | to say: |
| 22 | will only have been with Zoopla. | 22 | "Given that his group [that is Mr Jones's group] |
| 23 | Q. It is the function of your One Other Portal rule, isn't | 23 | apparently has such a dominant position in their market |
| 24 | it, Mr Springett, that if they are negotiating a group | 24 | [I am sure he doesn't mean that in a competition law |
| 25 | deal with Zoopla and as you say, they are members of | 25 | sense], I put the view that they might be better served |
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| 1 | to see both sides lose stock to enhance Agents' Mutual's | 1 | Mr Springett. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | profile and hasten the point at which it becomes the | 2 | MR MACLEAN: We can do it right now. I will give you the |
| 3 | only/main portal." | 3 | reference, if that would help, Mr Harris. It is bundle |
| 4 | So he is trying to influence the group collective | 4 | 7/3627 and I suspect the passage Mr Springett has in |
| 5 | decision of the group in west Wales, is he not, in | 5 | mind is paragraph 10 of 3630 . |
| 6 | a particular direction? | 6 | MR HARRIS: Sir, may I have a look at that overnight. I'm |
| 7 | A. I think he's having a discussion with them and being | 7 | not in a position to do it now. |
| 8 | even handed, actually, is what he's being. It's the | 8 | MR MACLEAN: It won't take, Mr Harris -- |
| 9 | complete opposite of what you have been saying earlier, | 9 | MR HARRIS: With respect, please -- |
| 10 | we were agitating to try to achieve. | 10 | MR MACLEAN: -- a matter of a moment. It is three lines. |
| 11 | Q. On the contrary, you had tried to engineer the same direction for a group decision in the North East, at a point where there was a view that they should leave both Rightmove and Zoopla in the North East. So it is exactly the same, isn't it? | 11 | MR HARRIS: With respect, Mr Maclean, can I have a look at |
| 12 |  | 12 | that overnight? It is not a document I have seen |
| 13 |  | 13 | before. It is unfair for me to have to deal with it in |
| 14 |  | 14 | the midst of cross-examination. I said to Mr Springett |
| 15 |  | 15 | I will look at it. I will do so. |
| 16 | A. No, if anything comes out of that middle paragraph, it's Mr Hodgson saying it is better to have both sides lose | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: I don't see any harm in the witness seeing |
| 17 |  | 17 | it. You don't have to ask any questions if you don't |
| 18 | some stock which was our original strategy when it was | 18 | want to, until tomorrow. |
| 19 | developed and published early in 2013. | 19 | MR HARRIS: That is fine. But Mr Springett already knows |
| 20 | Q. So he's talking about this group decision making as to | 20 | what it says. |
| 21 | portals. He raises points about competition law. He | 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: I don't. I would like to see it. |
| 22 | tries to influence it in a certain direction and then | 22 | MR MACLEAN: 3630 of bundle 7. |
| 23 | you respond to him, "That's great, thank you very much," | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Which paragraph? |
| 24 | don't you, at the top? | 24 | MR MACLEAN: Sir, Mr Springett knows better than I. 10, |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | I suspect. |
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| 1 | Q. And you don't say to him, let alone to Mr Jones, "Whoah, hang on a minute, you can't be taking these group decisions as to which portal to drop", do you? | 1 | A. Yes, 10. |
| 2 |  | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Let's just read it and then we can close for |
| 3 |  | 3 | the evening. (Pause) Would that be a convenient moment, |
| 4 | A. Both of these gentlemen are very fully aware of our | 4 | Mr Harris? |
| 5 | continuous position about this, that it is up to agents | 5 | MR HARRIS: Well, sir, now that I have had regard to it, |
| 6 | to make their own individual decisions. If they chose | 6 | this is no evidence of you, Mr Springett, telling the |
| 7 | to depart from that, then that is up to them and in | 7 | agents, is it? |
| 8 | those circumstances where I became aware of them, | 8 | A. Well, okay, my evidence is that I told them. |
| 9 | particularly in relation to this kind of thing, which | 9 | Q. Yes, because this is, in fact, evidence of you |
| 10 | was not contemplated at all when we were formulating the | 10 | mentioning something to board members within a board |
| 11 | business plan and the strategy, I simply said to them, | 11 | meeting, isn't it? It is a completely different point, |
| 12 | "Take your own legal advice." | 12 | isn't it? |
| 13 | Q. That's right, so we are back to that same point, aren't | 13 | A. It is not -- well my evidence is that they were advised |
| 14 | we, Mr Springett, which is that you claim that you have | 14 | on that point. |
| 15 | been saying this to them orally on many occasions but | 15 | MR HARRIS: Thank you, sir. If you would prefer, that's -- |
| 16 | you don't mention it in your witness statement, you | 16 | I mean I have plenty more to do but it has been a very |
| 17 | don't have evidence from these other people and it is | 17 | long day and we are very grateful on this side of the |
| 18 | not in any of these documents. That is right, isn't it, | 18 | room for the indulgence that you have given us. I see |
| 19 | Mr Springett? | 19 | Mr Woolfe may have one thing to say and I have |
| 20 | A. I think I mentioned earlier that there is a reference to | 20 | a housekeeping postscript. Perhaps Mr Woolfe should go |
| 21 | it in the board minutes of our July 2014 board meeting, | 21 | first. |
| 22 | where it was raised by Mr Abrahmsohn and there is | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Springett, by all means remove |
| 23 | a reference there to my having told those people about | 23 | yourself from the stand if you wish. You can stay in |
| 24 | the advice they would need to take. | 24 | the courtroom or on the stand. |
| 25 | Q. I am sure we can have a look that overnight, | 25 | A. I will stay to watch, sir. |
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| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: A glutton for punishment. Mr Woolfe. | 1 | be very welcome. As far as Mr Woolfe's point is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, I am simply rising to update your Honour | 2 | concerned, what he says, it doesn't affect my position |
| 3 | that something has changed since the application | 3 | at all. |
| 4 | finished this morning. As you will recall, when the | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you both very much. |
| 5 | application concluded, you asked us whether X would | 5 | MR HARRIS: May I just enquire again, I've lost track, did |
| 6 | consent to having his identity revealed and as you will | 6 | we say 10 o'clock start tomorrow? |
| 7 | recall, we went away and he said no. Now that remains | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: I said 10 o'clock tomorrow. |
| 8 | the same. Now, at the same time, he also confirmed | 8 | MR HARRIS: And there is also a ruling. Is that going to be |
| 9 | again that Y , the person who made the recordings, | 9 | the 10 o'clock or -- |
| 10 | wouldn't be willing to have their identity revealed | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: The ruling will be simply the outcome and |
| 11 | either. However, in the course of the day, that has | 11 | I will hand something down in writing, so it will be 30 |
| 12 | changed. So the person who was responsible for the | 12 | seconds but we will finish the evidence pro tem tomorrow |
| 13 | making of the recordings is willing to have their | 13 | between 4.15 and 4.30. We won't have a long day, apart |
| 14 | identity revealed within the confidentiality ring and | 14 | from the 10 o'clock start and then finish Mr Springett |
| 15 | also to Agents' Mutual. They are quite content for | 15 | on Monday. |
| 16 | that. They don't want to reveal to the wider world | 16 | MR MACLEAN: I have a personal difficulty tomorrow morning. |
| 17 | because they are concerned about the effect it would | 17 | I am 95 per cent confident I can be here by 10 o'clock. |
| 18 | have on them, I think in a personal and social sense as | 18 | I may be five minutes late. I hope that won't cause |
| 19 | well as a business sense. | 19 | anybody too much inconvenience but I will do my very |
| 20 | One other point is that it is also now become | 20 | best to be here by 10 o'clock. |
| 21 | apparent to us, well we found out since the close of the | 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: What we will do is endeavour to make up any |
| 22 | application, that Y is in fact two people. One person | 22 | time, if it is five or so minutes, in the short |
| 23 | who made two of the recordings and one person who is | 23 | adjournment. |
| 24 | closely associated with that person, who made the third | 24 | MR MACLEAN: I will do my very best. |
| 25 | recording and both are willing to have their names | 25 | MR HARRIS: Thank you ever so much. Nothing further. |
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| 1 | provided in that way. | 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you all. |
| 2 | Now, that is all I know, additional at this stage. | 2 | ( 4.45 pm ) |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Woolfe, that is very helpful | 3 | (The court adjourned until the following day at 10.00 am ) |
| 4 | thank you. Mr Harris. | 4 |  |
| 5 | Housekeeping | 5 | Application re Recorded Evidence .................... 2 |
| 6 | MR HARRIS: Sir, a short housekeeping postscript. You will |  | MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) $\qquad$ |
| 7 | recall that in Mr Livesey's evidence it emerged, | 6 |  |
| 8 | including as news to us, about a funding arrangement of |  | Cross-examination by MR HARRIS ................ 82 |
| 9 | some description and you asked some questions and my | 7 | (continued). |
| 10 | learned friend asked some questions and wrote a letter. | 8 | Housekeeping ............................... 258 |
| 11 | My instructions are that we have written back a letter | 9 |  |
| 12 | dealing with that matter but that since the time of | 10 |  |
| 13 | writing that letter, we have now learnt some more | 11 |  |
| 14 | information and so we will be writing another letter, | 12 |  |
| 15 | obviously as soon as we can get that out, so it is still | 13 |  |
| 16 | a little bit of an ongoing process. And I just wanted | 15 |  |
| 17 | to make sure that I was upfront about it. | 16 |  |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for keeping us posted. | 17 |  |
| 19 | MR MACLEAN: Sir, you won't have seen this letter but the | 18 |  |
| 20 | letter dated 9 February -- I don't know which time in | 19 |  |
| 21 | the middle of the night this one was written -- | 20 |  |
| 2 | the mid | 21 |  |
| 22 | essentially told us, more or less politely, to sod off | 22 |  |
| 23 | with the questions we asked about the details of the | 23 |  |
| 24 | funding arrangements, so if we are now going to be | 24 |  |
| 25 | furnished with some more helpful information, that would | 25 |  |
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