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| 1 | Monday, 6 February 2017 | 1 | respect of the annual price increases." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (10.30 am) | 2 | That was a concern which Hunters, your organisation, |
| 3 | MR HARRIS: Good morning, sir, members of the Tribunal. | 3 | shared, wasn't it? |
| 4 | Unless there are any preliminaries, I propose to call my | 4 | A. Well, I think as I say in my statement, when you've only |
| 5 | first witness, Miss Glynis Frew. | 5 | got two portals, then you know, it was interesting and |
| 6 | MISS GLYNIS FREW (sworn) | 6 | positive, potentially positive to have a third portal |
| 7 | Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS | 7 | and yes, there was no doubt about it, you know, there |
| 8 | MR HARRIS: Miss Frew, good morning, thank you for coming | 8 | were obviously annual price increases, you know, for the |
| 9 | today. From time to time you will be handed some | 9 | two major portals. |
| 10 | bundles. Please can you be handed bundle D with the | 10 | Q. And there had been annual price increases for several |
| 11 | witness statements in, unless it is already on your | 11 | years, hadn't there? |
| 12 | desk. It may be behind you. And does that have in | 12 | A. Yes, for most. I mean, I can't talk about -- I can only |
| 13 | tab 5, "Miss Frew's witness statement"? | 13 | talk about Hunters, of course, so I can't talk about any |
| 14 | A. Yes, it does. | 14 | other agents and what agreements they had. So you know, |
| 15 | MR HARRIS: If you could perhaps hand that up to the | 15 | from Hunters' point of view, then yes, every year you |
| 16 | witness, that would be great. | 16 | would go into a discussion on price. |
| 17 | Miss Frew, do you recognise that as a front page of | 17 | Q. So there were annual negotiations about the listing |
| 18 | a witness statement that you prepared for use in these | 18 | fees? |
| 19 | proceedings? | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | A. Yes, I do. | 20 | Q. Is that right? |
| 21 | Q. And please could you turn to the back of that tab, the | 21 | A. Yes, there would. |
| 22 | sixth page of the witness statement, and is that a copy | 22 | Q. And both with Rightmove and with Zoopla? |
| 23 | of your signature? | 23 | A. Yes, there would. |
| 24 | A. Yes, it is. | 24 | Q. Did you participate in those listing negotiations on |
| 25 | Q. And are the contents of this witness statement true and | 25 | Hunters' behalf? |
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| 1 | accurate and the evidence you wish to give to this | 1 | A. At that time -- |
| 2 | Tribunal? | 2 | Q. What time, sorry? |
| 3 | A. Yes, it is. | 3 | A. At the time that OnTheMarket launched, I would not |
| 4 | Q. Do you have any corrections to make? | 4 | necessarily have been involved in those negotiations, |
| 5 | A. No. | 5 | no, and not every time, I have to say, did it mean that |
| 6 | Q. Thank you. There may be some questions for you. | 6 | the prices went up every year. I think that, you know, |
| 7 | A. Thank you. | 7 | that's not necessarily the case but every year there |
| 8 | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN | 8 | would be a discussion, but at that time, it was the |
| 9 | MR MACLEAN: Good morning, Miss Frew. | 9 | managing director that would do that. |
| 10 | A. Good morning. | 10 | Q. Who was that then? |
| 11 | Q. You agree, don't you, that a situation in which there | 11 | A. That was Kevin Hollinrake. |
| 12 | are only two portals, Rightmove and Zoopla, with all the | 12 | Q. The MP for Thirsk? |
| 13 | power that they have, is not desirable, don't you? | 13 | A. That's right. |
| 14 | A. Well, I think as I say, in the statement, you know, the | 14 | Q. He was your predecessor? |
| 15 | fact that there was potentially a third portal coming on | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | stream, you know, was a good thing, for a third portal | 16 | Q. You became acting managing director when he was elected |
| 17 | to come on stream. | 17 | to Parliament; is that right? |
| 18 | Q. And it was a good thing, in particular, for independent | 18 | A. That's right. |
| 19 | estate agents, wasn't it? | 19 | Q. So you said in that answer that you didn't necessarily |
| 20 | A. Well, as I say, it was a good thing for the industry as | 20 | take part in these negotiations. I just want to make |
| 21 | a whole, for a third portal to be coming on stream. | 21 | absolutely clear, did you or did you not participate in |
| 22 | Q. And in your statement in paragraph 14 you say in the | 22 | the listing fee negotiations with Rightmove, when OTM |
| 23 | middle of the paragraph: | 23 | entered the market? |
| 24 | "Many agents were concerned about being beholden to | 24 | A. Well, I think it's too simple a question to say did |
| 25 | Rightmove and to a lesser extent, Zoopla, including in | 25 | I or -- was I not involved in the negotiation because |
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| 1 | everybody knows that part of a negotiation with any |  | A. Well he still runs those branches, that's part of the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | supplier involves a number of people, but you might not | 2 | agreement, so he still runs those branches and that |
| 3 | be the person that sits in front of that supplier. But | 3 | agreement finishes in July of this year. |
| 4 | you would be part of the discussion prior to it. | 4 | Q. When was the deal done, when was the acquisition done |
| 5 | Q. I am not trying to fall out with you. I am just trying | 5 | between Hunters Plc and Hunters Group Limited? |
| 6 | to find out what your position was and the question is | 6 | A. That would be about three years ago. |
| 7 | quite straightforward. Is this the gist of your answer, | 7 | Q. About 2014? |
| 8 | that your role in these negotiations was at the Hunters' | 8 | A. That's right, so it's a three year agreement, so it |
| 9 | end of the deal but you weren't sitting across the table | 9 | would be July. |
| 10 | from the people representing Rightmove or, as the case | 10 | Q. So that deal was done before the launch of OTM but |
| 11 | may be, Zoopla, yourself; is that right? | 11 | I think you must be saying after Hunters Group Limited |
| 12 | A. That's absolutely right, yes. | 12 | had committed to join OTM; is that right? |
| 13 | Q. So when I said did you participate in the negotiations | 13 | A. That's right. |
| 14 | about listing fees, the answer is "not directly myself, | 14 | Q. Okay, I understand. Now you began working in real |
| 15 | face-to-face, with either Rightmove or Zoopla"? | 15 | estate, as you put it, in 1999, didn't you, paragraph 4 |
| 16 | A. That's the answer, yes, but I think it is important to | 16 | of your statement? |
| 17 | make sure that throughout that, you would need to -- it | 17 | A. I started with Hunters, yes, in 1999. Prior to that |
| 18 | is a company thing because you would have all your | 18 | I had worked for a number of organisations. |
| 19 | analysis and all your opinions and so on. | 19 | Q. Doing what? |
| 20 | Q. Right. You are the MD of an organisation called Hunters | 20 | A. I had run my own international sales consultancy. |
| 21 | Plc ; is that right? | 21 | Q. What were you selling? |
| 22 | A. That's right. | 22 | A. And prior to that -- training, consultancy in terms of |
| 23 | Q. There is another organisation called Hunters Group | 23 | structures. Prior to that I worked for PepsiCo and |
| 24 | Limited, isn't there? | 24 | I worked my way up to UK board level and prior to that, |
| 25 | A. Right. | 25 | I worked for United Biscuits. |
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| 1 | Q. Let's take it in stages. | 1 | Q. So what's your professional, academic -- what's your |
| 2 | A. Yes, Hunters Plc has $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ branches, of which 11 are owned | 2 | qualification? You have a degree in? |
| 3 | and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the | 3 | A. I have a degree in -- |
| 4 | Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we | 4 | Q. Business management or? |
| 5 | bought those branches, they had already signed an | 5 | A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, |
| 6 | agreement with OnTheMarket. | 6 | actually. |
| 7 | Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, | 7 | Q. You should have become a barrister. |
| 8 | of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, | 8 | A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until |
| 9 | 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? | 9 | I saw these documents. |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | Q. So did I, (inaudible). |
| 11 | Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. |
| 12 | had already become members of OTM? | 12 | MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was |
| 13 | A. They had. | 13 | covering training and HR. |
| 14 | Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become | 14 | A. Mmm. |
| 15 | members of OTM; is that right? | 15 | Q. Who were you training? |
| 16 | A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? | 16 | A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the |
| 17 | Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited -- | 17 | franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and |
| 18 | A. Joined on -- | 18 | I would deliver the training courses. |
| 19 | Q. -- were advertising on OTM at the time of that | 19 | Q. Training for what? |
| 20 | acquisition? | 20 | A. Listers, negotiators, managers. |
| 21 | A. That's right. | 21 | Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills? |
| 22 | Q. So Mr Ozwell was the chairman of Hunters Group Limited, | 22 | A. To give them skills in sales, to give them skills to go |
| 23 | wasn't he? | 23 | into people's houses and you know, for vendors to get |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | their properties for sale, for landlords, to get the |
| 25 | Q. What's his role now? | 25 | properties to let. |
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| 1 | Q. In order to improve the quality of the job they did in | 1 | Q. Yes? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | selling houses? | 2 | A. Not to my knowledge, no. |
| 3 | A. In order to improve the quality of the job that they | 3 | Q. Would you take bundle H5, please, and turn to 2646. |
| 4 | did, yes, and to make sure that they were working in the | 4 | A. Do I give this one back now then? |
| 5 | interests of the company and also in the interests of | 5 | Q. No, just keep a hold of that because that is your |
| 6 | the customer. | 6 | witness statement. 2646. If you look at 2646, you see |
| 7 | Q. You have never set up a portal business, have you? | 7 | there is an email from Mr Halman. Do you see at the top |
| 8 | A. I have never set up a portal business, no. I have never | 8 | of the page there is an email from Mr Halman to various |
| 9 | actually set up a portal business. But having said | 9 | people, including Mr Ozwell; do you see that on |
| 10 | that, you know, as I was saying before, I do have quite | 10 | 10/04/14? |
| 11 | a bit of experience in business generally and I do | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | understand the power of the web and the internet. | 12 | Q. And at the bottom there is an email from Mr Ozwell to |
| 13 | Q. But these property portals came along, I think we know | 13 | various people. And over the page he says: |
| 14 | Rightmove was founded in about 2000, right, so just | 14 | "I went to a seminar on digital marketing hosted by |
| 15 | about the time you joined Hunters, Rightmove was coming | 15 | Zoopla last week and Jon Notley, the boss of Zoopla, |
| 16 | on the scene; is that right? | 16 | said he wanted to meet with me after the event, to |
| 17 | A. That's right. | 17 | discuss a proposition for IEAG members." |
| 18 | Q. You have never worked for a portal business, have you? | 18 | My question is: have you ever attended a seminar on |
| 19 | A. No, I have never worked for a portal business, no. | 19 | digital marketing hosted by Mr Notley or anyone else |
| 20 | Q. And you became, as we discussed a minute ago, you became | 20 | from Zoopla? |
| 21 | I think, the acting managing director of Hunters, after | 21 | A. Well, would you mind if I just read these? I don't |
| 22 | Mr Hollinrake was elected to Parliament at the last | 22 | understand the context in which you are talking about, |
| 23 | election; is that right? | 23 | actually. So can I just -- can I read these? |
| 24 | A. Yes, that's right. Prior to that I was the operations | 24 | Q. Well, you can certainly read it but my question wasn't |
| 25 | director, so Kevin, you know, would be in charge and | 25 | directed to what follows. My question was very simple: |
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| 1 | I would do all the execution and make sure that | 1 | my question was: have you ever attended a seminar on |
| 2 | everything was executed throughout the organisation, so | 2 | digital marketing hosted by Mr Notley, or anyone else on |
| 3 | that was my job. | 3 | behalf of Zoopla? |
| 4 | Q. You were his number 2? | 4 | A. I have been on many seminars for digital marketing and |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | have they been hosted by Zoopla? Yes, we've had some |
| 6 | Q. What is IAEG? | 6 | presentations hosted by Zoopla, not in this context. |
| 7 | A. IAEG, I don't know what you are referring to. | 7 | Q. So you know Mr Notley, do you? |
| 8 | Q. Are you familiar with the acronym IAEG? | 8 | A. I don't know Mr Notley, no. |
| 9 | A. I don't know, I think you might have to explain it. | 9 | Q. Mr Ozwell goes on to say -- and remember this |
| 10 | Q. IEAG, I'm sorry, an organisation of estate agents of | 10 | is April 2014: |
| 11 | which Hunters Group Limited was a member? | 11 | "I think that, basically, Zoopla are becoming |
| 12 | A. Oh, right. Well, we -- at one stage we were members of | 12 | increasingly concerned about what will happen |
| 13 | the national association and we are also still members | 13 | next January, when Agents' Mutual members have to make |
| 14 | of ARLA. I think that's the independent -- | 14 | a decision to drop Zoopla or Rightmove." |
| 15 | Q. Estate Agents Group, I think it is? | 15 | Now, you must have been aware, I suggest, in 2014, |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | as your role as number 2 at Hunters Plc, that Zoopla was |
| 17 | Q. Are you familiar with that? | 17 | indeed becoming increasingly concerned about what would |
| 18 | A. Vaguely, I don't think we're part of it now. | 18 | happen when Agents' Mutual entered the market |
| 19 | Q. But Mr Ozwell's organisation was part of it, wasn't it? | 19 | in January 2015; is that right? |
| 20 | A. Yes, he was. We were part of it for a while but we are | 20 | A. I don't think that we've made it a secret that, |
| 21 | not now. But he still is, yes. | 21 | actually, we were extremely concerned with the other |
| 22 | Q. And has Hunters ever negotiated its Zoopla listing fees | 22 | portal rule, the One Other Portal rule. |
| 23 | on a group basis, with other agents? | 23 | Q. My question is directed to Zoopla's concern, Zoopla was |
| 24 | A. When you say on a group basis with other agents, do you | 24 | concerned about the impending arrival in the market of |
| 25 | mean other agents that aren't Hunters? | 25 | OnTheMarket, wasn't it? |
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| 1 | A. Well, to be fair, I think you would need to ask Zoopla | 1 | a lot quicker. Do you see anything objectionable in what's said in that sentence, about promoting marketing and giving prominence to brands within offices? That's one of Mr Notley's suggestions. Do you see anything objectionable in that suggestion? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | for that information but I think it is fair to say that | 2 |  |
| 3 | there was without question, some -- felt to be some kind | 3 |  |
| 4 | of move to get rid of Zoopla, upstage Zoopla, whichever | 4 |  |
| 5 | way you want to put it. | 5 |  |
| 6 | Q. Where does that come from? | 6 | A. I think an estate agent has a duty to a consumer and |
| 7 | A. Because you asked me what I thought at the time and | 7 | a consumer wants to see as many buyers for their |
| 8 | that's what I thought at the time and that's in my | 8 | property, be that to sell or to let, and therefore, any |
| 9 | statement. | 9 | advertising for any portal or any way of advertising has |
| 10 | Q. I am not sure I did ask for that but no doubt -- we will | 10 | to be a good thing for the customer. |
| 11 | come to Zoopla and targeting Zoopla in a minute. Just | 11 | Q. So you don't identify anything objectionable in that |
| 12 | look at page 2648, would you, please. This is an email | 12 | sentence? |
| 13 | from Mr Notley to Mr Ozwell? | 13 | A. I think you -- as I say, an estate agent has a duty of |
| 14 | A. Right. If you don't mind, and I don't want you to think | 14 | care to their customers and to make sure that a customer |
| 15 | I'm being rude in any way because I'm not, but I do | 15 | has access to the greatest numbers of buyers and the |
| 16 | think that you're trying to push me too quick because | 16 | greatest numbers of tenants, and a way to do that is |
| 17 | I don't know what context this is and then you're trying | 17 | through the internet and through portals and advertising |
| 18 | to get me to read that, so would you mind if I just read | 18 | those portals. |
| 19 | this? | 19 | Q. All right, I'll move on. |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: If the witness wants to read the email, | 20 | Now, what Mr Notley was doing in this email in the |
| 21 | then -- | 21 | bits that you have now had an opportunity to read, you |
| 22 | A. Is that okay? | 22 | see that he was offering a discount to Mr Ozwell and |
| 23 | MR MACLEAN: Miss Frew, that's absolutely fine. Can I tell | 23 | others, in return for a long-term package, as much as |
| 24 | you what I want you to focus on in particular, if it | 24 | five years; do you see that? You have read that, have |
| 25 | helps, is at 2648, would you focus particularly on the | 25 | you; yes? |
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| 1 | paragraph beginning "So I see an opportunity", and up to | 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | the end of the second paragraph on the next page, ending | 2 | Q. And did Zoopla make similar blandishments to your part |
| 3 | with the words "group discussion". All right? Have you | 3 | of the Hunters organisation in 2014, offering lower fees |
| 4 | read that? | 4 | and extended periods of contract? |
| 5 | A. I'm still halfway through the page 2648. (Pause). And | 5 | A. I can absolutely categorically state we had no extended |
| 6 | you wanted me to go up to 2649? | 6 | period of contract with Zoopla or anybody else, over |
| 7 | Q. Yes, please. (Pause). Up to "group discussion". Do | 7 | a five year period. |
| 8 | you see that? | 8 | Q. So the type of blandishments we see Mr Notley offering |
| 9 | A. Oh yes. (Pause). | 9 | to Mr Ozwell at Hunters Group Limited, were not being |
| 10 | Q. Just tell me when you have finished those two | 10 | offered to your organisation in 2014? |
| 11 | paragraphs. (Pause) | 11 | A. I didn't say they weren't offered. They might have been |
| 12 | A. Okay. | 12 | offered but it certainly wasn't anything that we were -- |
| 13 | Q. Now, look at the top of 2649: | 13 | you know, we entered into. |
| 14 | "One of the points Mr Notley makes is all we ask is | 14 | Q. Let's assume that they weren't offered to your |
| 15 | that the group supports us by ensuring that our brands | 15 | organisation but they were being offered to Mr Ozwell, |
| 16 | are given prominence within offices, marketing material, | 16 | as we see in this email. Can you think of any reason as |
| 17 | et cetera, where possible. Certainly it would make | 17 | to why that might be the case, that Mr Notley should be |
| 18 | sense for each group member to push us, given the | 18 | making these blandishments to Mr Ozwell but not to you? |
| 19 | preferential nature of the agreement, and I can only see | 19 | A. I think that within business there are all sorts of |
| 20 | good coming from us removing the sometimes painful | 20 | areas like that, but, you know, I come back to what |
| 21 | annual discussion around pricing." | 21 | I was saying before. At this moment in time it was |
| 22 | Do you find anything objectionable in that | 22 | fairly obvious that Zoopla were facing, with some |
| 23 | suggestion about marketing brand prominence? | 23 | trepidation, what they felt was coming down the line, |
| 24 | A. Do I see anything objectionable about marketing a brand? | 24 | that's my view. |
| 25 | Q. Just listen to the question, Miss Frew and we'll get on | 25 | Q. The obvious answer I suggest, Miss Frew, as I suggest |
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| 1 | you know full well, is that the reason why these | 1 | Q. I am sure it is me. I found it slightly confusing. So |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | blandishments were being offered to Hunters Group | 2 | everybody is on Rightmove. Most people are on Zoopla |
| 3 | Limited and not to you, is that Hunters Group Limited | 3 | and Rightmove and a smallish number of people are on OTM |
| 4 | had signed up to OnTheMarket, whereas your organisation | 4 | and Zoopla? |
| 5 | hadn't and Zoopla was reacting in a targeted way in | 5 | A. OnTheMarket and Rightmove, yes. |
| 6 | order to protect the (inaudible) of its business, by | 6 | Q. Now, from the vendor -- you told us earlier about |
| 7 | cutting its prices, wasn't it? | 7 | training these estate agents to provide a better service |
| 8 | A. Well, that's what you say. I don't know that that's the | 8 | to the customers and so on? |
| 9 | case. That may be the case, but each business has | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | a duty to its employees to protect its business, so long | 10 | Q. So I want you to put yourself into the position of the |
| 11 | as it is not doing anything illegal. | 11 | vendor or the landlord, right, who has engaged an estate |
| 12 | Q. I don't want to debate company law with you, Miss Frew. | 12 | agent to sell or rent out their property. Do you agree |
| 13 | I'm not sure that is quite right but let's carry on. | 13 | from the vendor or landlord point of view, there is |
| 14 | In paragraph 5 of your statement you say there are | 14 | a qualitative difference in the service offered by full |
| 15 | 180 branches within the Hunters network, of whom 169 are | 15 | service local estate agents, rather than online estate |
| 16 | franchisees and 11 are wholly owned by the group. And | 16 | agents? |
| 17 | we have already discussed this. | 17 | A. I think it is the duty of the estate agent to make sure |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | that for a vendor or a landlord, their properties are |
| 19 | Q. So the 11 that are wholly owned by the group, that is | 19 | put in front of the widest audience possible. |
| 20 | Mr Ozwell 's part of the empire; right? | 20 | Q. Yes, that was the answer to a previous question, Miss |
| 21 | A. Yes, his five. | 21 | Frew. Let us just focus on this question, please. From |
| 22 | Q. His five? | 22 | the vendor/landlord point of view, do you agree or do |
| 23 | A. He's got five branches. | 23 | you disagree that there is a qualitative difference in |
| 24 | Q. And somebody else has got what, the other six? | 24 | the service offered by full service local estate agents |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | rather than online agencies? |
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| 1 | Q. What's the somebody else then? | 1 | A. Rather than online agencies? |
| 2 | A. That's us. These are our own branches, so that's how we | 2 | Q. Yes? |
| 3 | started with our own branches, so we've retained those. | 3 | A. Oh, yes, sorry. I think that the local agent, yes, is |
| 4 | Q. Right, so of the 180, everybody is on Rightmove; right? | 4 | a very important agent for landlords and vendors rather |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | than just online. |
| 6 | Q. And 158 of the 180 are on Zoopla -- | 6 | Q. Why? |
| 7 | A. Mmm. | 7 | A. It's important because a local agent understands a local |
| 8 | Q. -- as well as Rightmove; is that right? | 8 | market, understands the pricing, has a knowledge of |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | local buyers, local tenants, who's wanting to move where |
| 10 | Q. And then in the next paragraph, 7, you say: | 10 | and I think that that's a very important part of the |
| 11 | "I am aware a number of branches within two of the | 11 | process, crucial in fact. But that doesn't alter the |
| 12 | networks, Hunters Group Limited and County Properties, | 12 | fact that people do obviously want to see tenants and |
| 13 | list instead on OnTheMarket." | 13 | buyers and look online for that, so you've got to have |
| 14 | What number is "a number of branches?" Is it all | 14 | both. |
| 15 | 22? No, it can't be. | 15 | Q. Yes, now, thank you. Could you be handed, please, |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | bundle H8. Could you, please, be helped to find |
| 17 | Q. What's the number? | 17 | page 4272. The content of this email, I promise you |
| 18 | A. Well, John Ozwell's branches, as you rightly point out, | 18 | doesn't matter, other than just to see what it's doing. |
| 19 | in the Midlands, his branches are on OnTheMarket. There | 19 | The 7 November 2014: |
| 20 | are a number of Country Properties branches that are on | 20 | "Please find attached a vendor/landlord leaflet for |
| 21 | OnTheMarket and some are not and are still on Zoopla. | 21 | member agents to use when briefing existing or potential |
| 22 | It does look, if you see both those paragraphs, 6 and 7, | 22 | new clients." |
| 23 | that somebody can't actually add up, but in fact there | 23 | Alright? If you turn over the page, you see there |
| 24 | are 8 Bairstow Eves branches that are on Zoopla as well, | 24 | is a leaflet and if you turn over the page to the back |
| 25 | so that's why it looks the way that it does. | 25 | side of the leaflet, the front and the back -- so you |
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|  | can see this is a two sided leaflet. Do you see that? | 1 | estate agents, who, by definition, don't have that same |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | local experience or expertise; right? |
| 3 | Q. And I think it probably folds up, actually. You can | 3 | A. Yes, I think the difficulty with the online, that's |
| 4 | imagine picking it up in the estate agent's office. | 4 | something separate to this though, in the sense that |
| 5 | I want you to focus on page 4275 and just cast your | 5 | some people have criticised online because of the |
| 6 | eye -- do you see the middle column which is headed | 6 | valuations that they have, because they don't have |
| 7 | "Locally based expert agents"; do you see that? | 7 | local -- they don't have the local knowledge and then |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | the other thing is that -- specifically in sales, once |
| 9 | Q. Just cast your eye over that column, down to "suits them | 9 | an offer has been accepted, then there has to be the |
| 10 | best"; do you see in bottom right hand corner? Right. | 10 | execution of that offer and the conveyancing and that's |
| 11 | So there are a series of bullet points identified by the | 11 | not always done through online, so ... |
| 12 | OTM logo. Just cast your eye over that, please. | 12 | Q. Okay. Look at paragraph 8 of your statement, please, |
| 13 | A. Just the middle bit you wanted me to look at? | 13 | Miss Frew. You say: |
| 14 | Q. Just the middle bit. It seems to me, tell me if you | 14 | "When deciding which property portal to list on, the |
| 15 | agree or disagree, that the sorts of points made in that | 15 | most important consideration for an agent is the number |
| 16 | middle column are very much along the lines of the | 16 | of potential customers that the portal can and does |
| 17 | answer you just gave me a couple of minutes ago, about | 17 | reach." |
| 18 | the value of locally based estate agents; is that right? | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | A. Locally based estate agents, definitely and as far as | 19 | Q. Now, obviously, the number of customers that the portal |
| 20 | local leaflets are concerned, we probably deliver | 20 | can and does reach is important. I am not suggesting |
| 21 | something like 15,000 a month, local leaflets. | 21 | otherwise, but would you agree that the number of unique |
| 22 | Q. And in the same bundle, would you turn on to page 4344, | 22 | customers that the portal can and does reach, is of |
| 23 | please. In the third line of this email there is | 23 | particular importance? |
| 24 | a sentence beginning "This"; do you see that? | 24 | A. I would say that the number is the most important and in |
| 25 | A. In the third line? | 25 | all our marketing and in everything that we do, we base |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |
| 1 | Q. Do you see the word "This -- this continues"? | 1 | the sale or let of our property on what we call the |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | auction principle. And the auction principle says the |
| 3 | Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service | 3 | more people you get interested in a property, be that to |
| 4 | local agents; okay? So: | 4 | sell or to let, the better price you are going to get |
| 5 | "Full service local agents continues to be the | 5 | and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or |
| 6 | service most property sellers choose and our proposition | 6 | for the vendor. |
| 7 | will make it clear that a consumer finding a property | 7 | Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up |
| 8 | they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured | 8 | his property portal and there is a potential audience |
| 9 | that they will then deal with an agent acting for the | 9 | for the portal of 100 people in the country and all |
| 10 | seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based | 10 | 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most |
| 11 | on detailed knowledge about the property and the | 11 | whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How |
| 12 | circumstance of the sale or letting." | 12 | many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach |
| 13 | I have two questions. The first is, do you agree | 13 | all 100 ", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was |
| 14 | that full service local agencies continues, in your | 14 | able to come along to you and say "I can reach |
| 15 | experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the | 15 | a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be |
| 16 | service most property sellers choose? | 16 | a much more attractive proposition than if I came along |
| 17 | A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle | 17 | and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's |
| 18 | through which most would either sell or want to let | 18 | already reaching, that you are already paying for." |
| 19 | their properties. | 19 | That is obvious, isn't it? |
| 20 | Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like | 20 | A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you |
| 21 | that, is something that on the whole, is valued by the | 21 | know, like I said, the most important thing for an |
| 22 | estate agents' customers, isn't it? | 22 | estate agent is the fact that they get to as many |
| 23 | A. Local agents, yes, would be valued by the local | 23 | people, buyers or tenants, as possible. I have yet to |
| 24 | customers, yes. | 24 | meet a landlord or a vendor that would say, "I don't |
| 25 | Q. And that valuable experience is threatened by the online | 25 | care how long it takes to let or sell my property and |
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| 1 | You recognise those concepts, do you? | 1 | a property for sale or rent, may result in a sale offer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | but the estate agent will, in the short term, only |
| 3 | Q. And then: | 3 | receive revenues on the vendor side of that transaction. |
| 4 | "Of these, the most important driver of revenue and | 4 | However, sales leads may subsequently result in an |
| 5 | profit for an estate agent and hence the most important | 5 | appraisal lead if a house-hunter uses the estate agent |
| 6 | for present purposes, is appraisal leads from vendors | 6 | that they have contacted to find the property to |
| 7 | that are looking to sell their property." | 7 | purchase, to also sell their own property." |
| 8 | Do you agree with that or not? | 8 | That is also true, isn't it? |
| 9 | A. I think they're all important but he's absolutely right, | 9 | A. That's true. |
| 10 | when you relate it to revenue and profit then, yes, but | 10 | Q. And that's a statement from somebody called Mr Glasgow. |
| 11 | it depends on the circumstances. But yes, I agree | 11 | If you look at the first page. And he's chief |
| 12 | they're very important. I didn't say it wasn't. | 12 | information officer at Connells Limited. Do you know |
| 13 | Q. So yes, you do agree, depending on the circumstances? | 13 | Mr Glasgow? |
| 14 | A. Yes, because each one of those are important. Each one | 14 | A. I don't. |
| 15 | of them is important. | 15 | Q. Do you know what the Mayfair Office refers to? |
| 16 | Q. One of them is the most important. He says the most | 16 | A. Mayfair Office, I can't say I do, no. |
| 17 | important is appraisal leads from vendors? | 17 | Q. Hunters is not a participant in the Mayfair Office which |
| 18 | A. Right. | 18 | is a group of estate agents who maintain, essentially, |
| 19 | Q. Do you agree or not with that? | 19 | a pigeonhole office in Mayfair which allows them to |
| 20 | A. I think all four of them are important and if this | 20 | claim to have a presence in London, when in fact they |
| 21 | particular gentleman thinks that's the most important, | 21 | are based elsewhere in the country? |
| 22 | then I think that's his view. I think all four of them | 22 | A. No, we don't. We have an office in the Old Bailey where |
| 23 | are important. | 23 | we do some training but we don't have a Mayfair office, |
| 24 | Q. Let's look at the next sentence: | 24 | no. |
| 25 | "Estate agents generate the majority of their income | 25 | Q. You don't engage with the public in London at all? |
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| 1 | from commissions and other services sold to the vendor | 1 | A. Yes, we have an office -- we have various offices in |
| 2 | of a property, usually when the transaction exchanges | 2 | London, Hunters offices in London but we don't -- and |
| 3 | contracts." | 3 | from time to time we would invite people in to the |
| 4 | I do hope you can agree that's correct, do you? | 4 | offices in the Old Bailey, but mainly it's done for |
| 5 | A. I think that as far as most of our estate agents are | 5 | training purposes, used for training. |
| 6 | concerned, then they would get the income from the | 6 | Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: |
| 7 | commissions. | 7 | "In --" |
| 8 | Q. What about the minority, where would they get their | 8 | A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? |
| 9 | income from, if not commissions? You say the majority | 9 | Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: |
| 10 | would, what about the minority? | 10 | "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual |
| 11 | A. But that's saying the majority get their income from | 11 | presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the |
| 12 | commissions and other services, so the minority probably | 12 | NALS conference." |
| 13 | just get them from commissions. | 13 | What does NALS stand for? National Association |
| 14 | Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the | 14 | of -- what? |
| 15 | success of the estate agent business, isn't it? | 15 | A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings |
| 16 | A. Commission, indeed. | 16 | organisation. |
| 17 | Q. So next sentence: | 17 | Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They |
| 18 | "Obtaining instructions to list the property from | 18 | weren't specific to Hunters? |
| 19 | a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate | 19 | A. No, no, all multi-agents. |
| 20 | agent." | 20 | Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these? |
| 21 | That is true, isn't it? | 21 | A. No, he was at the NALS one in London which was a number |
| 22 | A. Everybody wants new leads. There's no question about | 22 | of letting agents and the one in York was for ARLA |
| 23 | that. Yes. | 23 | members. |
| 24 | Q. So you agree with that. The next sentence: | 24 | Q. For what members, sorry? |
| 25 | "A lead from a house-hunter in relation to | 25 | A. ARLA members, so that's the Association of Residential |
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| 1 | Lettings. | 1 | paragraph 10 but you tell me if I am wrong, that when |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. You don't refer to any notes or any documents that | 2 | you say you have been shown one of these information |
| 3 | formed part of either of these presentations, do you? | 3 | memoranda, in particular, you have read page 3, that you |
| 4 | A. No. | 4 | were shown it at about the time you prepared this |
| 5 | Q. Where did the NALS conference take place? | 5 | statement; is that right? |
| 6 | A. That was in London, I couldn't tell you the address. | 6 | A. Probably. |
| 7 | Q. If I told you that the only NALS conference that | 7 | Q. It wasn't something that you were shown at the |
| 8 | Mr Springett made a presentation at wasn't in 2013 at | 8 | presentation in 2013 or as it turns out, November 2014. |
| 9 | all, what would you say? | 9 | It was something that happened two years later; is that |
| 10 | A. I know I've seen his statement. I think it's 2014 , so | 10 | right? |
| 11 | sorry about that. I got the year wrong. | 11 | A. Right, in 2014 I saw a presentation from Agents' Mutual. |
| 12 | Q. So you accept this is wrong then, this reference to | 12 | I saw it twice. I saw it once in York, that was |
| 13 | 2013, do you? | 13 | delivered by a lady and I saw it once in London, that |
| 14 | A. Yes, I think it must have been 2014, yes. | 14 | was delivered by Ian Springett. |
| 15 | Q. In November 2014, to be precise? | 15 | Q. And it was the same presentation both times? |
| 16 | A. Probably, mmm. | 16 | A. More or less the same, yes. |
| 17 | Q. You can't really remember, can you? | 17 | Q. And have you been shown any of the slides, presentations |
| 18 | A. Well, I don't have it in front of me but I accept it was | 18 | which are in the bundles? For example, the one that was |
| 19 | probably 2014, yes, and it was the back end of the year, | 19 | shown to Gascoigne Halman, have you seen that? |
| 20 | so it could have been November because it was quite | 20 | A. Would you like to remind me? |
| 21 | cold. | 21 | Q. Yes, let me just find that for you. While we are |
| 22 | Q. Right. So when you came to write your statement, you | 22 | finding that for you, I'll come back to that in just |
| 23 | didn't have any diary or other record of this meeting | 23 | a second. Let's go to paragraph 11. |
| 24 | that would help you even to get the correct year of when | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | it took place, never mind the right month, did you? You | 25 | Q. You say you considered listing: |
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| 1 | were just having to rely on memory? | 1 | "We considered listing on OTM ..." |
| 2 | A. Yes, I relied on memory. | 2 | The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? |
| 3 | Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? | 3 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 4 | A. Yes, the year was wrong. | 4 | Q. "... but decided not to because of the OOP rule and |
| 5 | Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you | 5 | because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, |
| 6 | are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said | 6 | it would achieve its objective of being a credible and |
| 7 | you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual | 7 | significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." |
| 8 | information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by | 9 | Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be |
| 10 | somebody at about the time you were preparing this | 10 | equating becoming a credible and significant player with |
| 11 | statement; is that right? | 11 | replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you |
| 12 | A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with | 12 | mean to suggest? |
| 13 | clause 10 though, this paragraph 10 , because I attended | 13 | A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. |
| 14 | both those meetings. I saw there were two different | 14 | Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant |
| 15 | people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph | 15 | player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without |
| 16 | was about how I saw those meetings. | 16 | replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You |
| 17 | Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing | 17 | mean knock them out of the market, do you? |
| 18 | in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to | 18 | A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we |
| 19 | have much to do with the presentations. I think you | 19 | would have to choose whether we were going to go with |
| 20 | have just confirmed that. You see? | 20 | OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we |
| 21 | A. Right, okay. | 21 | believed that that wasn't the way forward. We didn't |
| 22 | Q. The first sentence talks about presentations. The next | 22 | think it was right for our franchise partners and we |
| 23 | sentence talking about OOP and the next sentence talks | 23 | didn't think it was right for the consumer. |
| 24 | about something different. I am asking you about the | 24 | Q. But just exploring what you mean by replace. What do |
| 25 | third sentence. I am asking you, I infer from | 25 | you mean by replace? You mean sending Zoopla or |
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| 1 | Rightmove out of the market altogether? | 1 | say: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. No, we would have had to replace as a listing, an | 2 | "My impression from the Agents' Mutual presentations |
| 3 | agreement with a portal. We would have had to say to | 3 | I attended was that there was a clear implication that |
| 4 | either Zoopla or Rightmove, "No, we're not going with | 4 | Agents' Mutual believed that agents would drop Zoopla as |
| 5 | you." We would have had to choose one or the other. | 5 | a weaker agent in the market, at the time OTM launched. |
| 6 | That's what I mean by replacing. | 6 | I understood that it was expected that the majority of |
| 7 | Q. In paragraph 19 you make the same point. Look at | 7 | agents would choose to drop Zoopla rather than |
| 8 | paragraph 19. You say: | 8 | Rightmove." |
| 9 | "OTM has also not been as successful as was hoped, | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | in that it has not taken the position of the second | 10 | Q. You don't refer to any particular part of any |
| 11 | major portal and it does not show any sign of being | 11 | presentation as the foundation of that impression, do |
| 12 | a truly effective third option." | 12 | you? |
| 13 | Now, what's the basis of that sentence? What | 13 | A. No, I don't. It was the general -- the presentation |
| 14 | material did you have regard to, in order to write that | 14 | started with the profits that both portals made and |
| 15 | sentence, Miss Frew? | 15 | about how, yes, they wanted to be a disruptor into the |
| 16 | A. Well, we do get -- from time to time, we do get the data | 16 | portal market and then it went on, you know, to talk |
| 17 | which talks about the leads, in terms of, you know, | 17 | about different things in terms of leads coming through. |
| 18 | market appraisals or leads through for -- to buy or to | 18 | But throughout it all, my impression was at that time, |
| 19 | let properties and it would say that OnTheMarket has not | 19 | that Zoopla were the ones that would be in the firing |
| 20 | delivered the quality of leads that you would have | 20 | line. |
| 21 | expected at this time. | 21 | Q. What the presentation showed, as was obviously true, was |
| 22 | Q. Let me just show you that presentation that I mentioned. | 22 | that Rightmove was the number one player in the market; |
| 23 | If you would be given volume H 2 , please, and turn to | 23 | right? |
| 24 | page 1080. Have you seen these slides before? | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | A. I don't recognise these actually. | 25 | Q. And Zoopla was the number two? |
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| 1 | Q. If you turn over the page, if you look at 1082 and 1083, | 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or | 2 | Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to |
| 3 | at the NALS conference? | 3 | build up their business, then obviously, the first |
| 4 | A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, | 4 | existing player they were likely to catch up with was |
| 5 | in terms of both of the big portals. | 5 | a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? |
| 6 | Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? | 6 | A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for |
| 7 | A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. | 7 | the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that |
| 8 | Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference | 8 | and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who |
| 9 | there in the first line: | 9 | were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, |
| 10 | "...to consider our information memorandum with your | 10 | they would have done exactly the same. There would also |
| 11 | colleagues"; do you see that? | 11 | have been at -- simultaneously, a very strong marketing |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | programme that appealed to the consumer. |
| 13 | Q. And: | 13 | Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations |
| 14 | "Each firm must make its own independent decision." | 14 | you attended made any mention of which other portal |
| 15 | Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't | 15 | would be chosen? |
| 16 | it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether | 16 | A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that |
| 17 | to become a member and a decision as to which other | 17 | everybody would go -- that it would be Zoopla, but -- |
| 18 | portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual | 18 | Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. |
| 19 | firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? | 19 | It was an impression that you say you derived; is that |
| 20 | A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what | 20 | right? |
| 21 | was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what | 21 | A. Absolutely, it was an impression. |
| 22 | was said was that Zoopla would be the one that most | 22 | Q. No more and no less than that? |
| 23 | would be expected to go for and they -- and | 23 | A. No. |
| 24 | Agents' Mutual were going for too. | 24 | Q. Now, let's look at paragraph 20 over the page, page 80, |
| 25 | Q. You see in paragraph 20 of your witness statement, you | 25 | please, Miss Frew. You say: |
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| 1 | "This has meant [that, I think, is a reference to | 1 | speaking about your own personal knowledge? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | dropping Zoopla] that the effect of OTM has undoubtedly | 2 | A. Yes, I don't know. My own personal knowledge. So he |
| 3 | been to weaken Zoopla and to strengthen Rightmove's | 3 | may have done. I don't know. |
| 4 | position as the number one portal in the market." | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: I don't want you to feel constrained about |
| 5 | Do you see that? | 5 | something that you know about. |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | A. No, no, no, I don't know. No. |
| 7 | Q. That sentence wouldn't hold true, would it, if Zoopla | 7 | MR MACLEAN: Right. You say in paragraph -- let's go back |
| 8 | had not been a constraint on Rightmove, when OTM entered | 8 | to paragraph 14. This is a paragraph where you talk |
| 9 | the market, would it? | 9 | about beholden to Rightmove and to a lesser extent, |
| 10 | A. No, I mean, I stand by what I say there, that I think | 10 | Zoopla. |
| 11 | because OnTheMarket came into the market, then it has | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | weakened Zoopla because Zoopla were looking over their | 12 | Q. And then at the beginning of the paragraph you say: |
| 13 | shoulder. Rightmove, at first, were a bit: well what's | 13 | "I believe Agents' Mutual would have signed up many |
| 14 | going to happen now? But really they've moved on quite | 14 | more agents if they had not insisted on the OOP rule." |
| 15 | significantly in the market. | 15 | You haven't conducted, no reason why you should have |
| 16 | Q. They have carried on as relatively unhindered by OTM and | 16 | done, but you haven't conducted any analysis of the |
| 17 | Zoopla, as they were by Zoopla before OTM entered at | 17 | economics of the portal market, have you? |
| 18 | all. Isn't that right? | 18 | A. We haven't conducted any of the analysis on the |
| 19 | A. Well, yes, they were then. There is no doubt about it | 19 | economics, no. But we do have, obviously, a number of |
| 20 | Rightmove are very, very strong. I do think that Zoopla | 20 | franchisees and the relationship between a franchisor |
| 21 | have become weaker through it (inaudible). | 21 | and a franchisee is very different to owning your own |
| 22 | Q. Since OnTheMarket entered -- we had the discussion | 22 | branches, so they do have an input. We do take their |
| 23 | earlier about Mr Notley going round to Mr Ozwell to | 23 | view and I think most agents would have thought: this is |
| 24 | offer him a discounted deal and so on, which he didn't | 24 | a good thing, there's another portal coming in but the |
| 25 | offer to you. Remember that discussion we had half an | 25 | difficulty is the One Other Portal rule. |
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| 1 | hour ago? Since OTM entered the market, Zoopla hasn't | 1 | Q. There is no way the business could have got started if |
| 2 | cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your | 2 | there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the |
| 3 | organisation, has it? | 3 | listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? |
| 4 | A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing | 4 | A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. |
| 5 | here, am I? | 5 | Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business |
| 6 | Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut | 6 | would have got started if there was no disruption to the |
| 7 | the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you | 7 | status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, |
| 8 | for the precise number, I am asking whether they are | 8 | no matter how many people you had behind them? |
| 9 | high or low? | 9 | A. Right. |
| 10 | A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this | 10 | Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? |
| 11 | time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had | 11 | A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? |
| 12 | about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently | 12 | Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get |
| 13 | with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating | 13 | the in, haven't you? |
| 14 | power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased | 14 | A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and |
| 15 | for us as they might have done for other agents. | 15 | then you have your marketing plan behind it. |
| 16 | I can't comment on other agents. | 16 | Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not |
| 17 | Q. Right. So -- | 17 | going to successfully get it, are you? |
| 18 | A. Can I just come back -- is it okay if I just come back | 18 | A. No, okay. |
| 19 | to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley | 19 | Q. You agree? |
| 20 | did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? | 20 | A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the |
| 21 | Q. Of course. | 21 | market, absolutely, I agree. |
| 22 | A. I mean, I am not at liberty to say that that definitely | 22 | Q. Right. And as I touched on earlier, why on earth would |
| 23 | did not take place. I don't know. I didn't hear of it | 23 | Hunters have paid to list on OTM, even considered |
| 24 | but it doesn't mean to say it didn't. | 24 | listing on OTM, if all its properties were on Rightmove |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: When you say at liberty, you mean you are | 25 | and Zoopla and all the property seekers looked at one or |
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| 1 | other or both? | 1 | marketplace. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Because the reason that they would do that, and I go | 2 | Q. That is my point. |
| 3 |  | 3 | A. Yes, well it was a completely different market place. |
| 4 | our marketing and everything that we do in our training, | 4 | In the marketplace that we have today and have had for |
| 5 | is all based on the auction principle. The auction | 5 | a number of years, is that the customer expects that |
| 6 | principle is the more buyers, the more tenants you get | 6 | they can transact online. |
| 7 | interested in a property, the better price you're likely | 7 | Q. So the key mechanism which was available to Rightmove |
| 8 | to get and the quick -- and in a quicker timeframe. So | 8 | and available to Primelocation, way back in what they |
| 9 | absolutely, I do not think that we would not have gone | 9 | called the noughties, 2000s thereabouts, was simply not |
| 10 | on with a third portal. When we advertised and spent | 10 | available to my client because the market had moved on. |
| 11 | a considerable amount of money in newspapers, we didn't | 11 | Exclusivity was just not possible? |
| 12 | just advertise in two. We advertised in a lot. | 12 | A. Well, I am no -- I don't set up portal businesses. |
| 13 | Q. You are not in the business of throwing your | 13 | Q. No. |
| 14 | shareholders' money down the drain? | 14 | A. But I do know that the principle of OnTheMarket, most |
| 15 | A. No. | 15 | agents would have supported it. They could not have |
| 16 | Q. You don't light the fire with it on a Friday evening | 16 | afforded to necessarily pay the full price that there |
| 17 | when you go home, do you? | 17 | were two such as Rightmove and Zoopla but there could |
| 18 | A. No, absolutely not. | 18 | have been a way where they could perhaps have done it |
| 19 | Q. So why would Hunters have paid to list on OTM if all its | 19 | differently, with a cheaper price or got agents on board |
| 20 | properties were on Rightmove or Zoopla and all the | 20 | because at the end of the day, agents spent then and |
| 21 | property seekers looked at one or the other or both. | 21 | still spend now, a considerable amount of money in other |
| 22 | There would be no earthly reason to do so, would there, ever? | 22 | forms of marketing too. |
| 23 |  | 23 | Q. You see, look at paragraph 21, please, Miss Frew, of |
| 24 | A. There is -- agents spend a considerable amount of money | 24 | your statement. You say: |
| 25 | not just on portals to get leads but in terms of | 25 | 'If Agents' Mutual were now to drop the OOP rule, |
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| 1 | canvassing and all such as that and newsp | 1 | I believe that many more agents would sign up, |
| 2 | so on. So I am not saying for one minute that | 2 | especially if Agents' Mutual offered discounted pricing |
| 3 | OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the | 3 | to attract agents to join and they were no longer |
| 4 | same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is | 4 | required to change their current listing arrangements. |
| 5 | unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other | 5 | As outlined above, Hunters is attracted to an agent |
| 6 | ways you could have -- they could have done it and I do | 6 | owned portal and is willing to list on more than two |
| 7 | believe that other agents would have supported them | 7 | portals, so long as we are satisfied that they are |
| 8 | because that's in their interests. | 8 | generating leads in a cost-effective way and not |
| 9 | Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm | 9 | undermining our ability to trade." |
| 10 | wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | suddenly have successfully entered this market on the | 11 | Q. So what you are saying is that if there was no OOP rule, |
| 12 | basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? | 12 | if it was cheaper, you would find it more attractive, |
| 13 | A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? | 13 | provided you had a guarantee that it worked; is that |
| 14 | Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would | 14 | a fair summary? |
| 15 | agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM | 15 | A. Well, as it says there, you would want to -- you would |
| 16 | portal. That would never have worked, would it? | 16 | track how it worked, you would help them to make it |
| 17 | A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be | 17 | work. It is in agents' interests and if it is in the |
| 18 | right for the customer. | 18 | customer's interest too, even better. |
| 19 | Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched, wasn't it? | 19 | Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, |
| 20 |  | 20 | Miss Frew? |
| 21 | A. Well, I wasn't party to Primelocation, when | 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | Primelocation launched. | 22 | Q. Right. |
| 23 | Q. And it was right for the founder members of Rightmove as | 23 | A. Why, do you want to ask me a question about it? |
| 24 | well, wasn't it, in 2000? | 24 | Q. No, no, I have asked the question and you have given me |
| 25 | A. Yes, but you are talking about a completely different | 25 | the answer, thank you. Paragraph 15 of your statement, |
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| 1 | please. You say: | 1 | Q. "One other possible way Agents' Mutual could have |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "I understand that one important factor -- but not | 2 | considered for entry was to identify the strongest |
| 3 | the only factor -- for a portal to be successful is that | 3 | independent agent in each region and try to persuade |
| 4 | it needs to have a critical volume of property stock | 4 | them to join, setting the local standard then, in each |
| 5 | with which to entice customers to look at the site which | 5 | business area." |
| 6 | then in turn attracts more agents ..." | 6 | Miss Frew, what do you know about the strategy that |
| 7 | That is part of the network effect; right? | 7 | Mr Springett did in fact follow? |
| 8 | A. Mmm. | 8 | A. Well, the point I am trying to make here in paragraph 16 |
| 9 | Q. "This relationship between listings volumes and | 9 | is outside of the One Other Portal rule, in that you |
| 10 | customers is then built up and expanded on both sides | 10 | know, I come back to say, as I have said all along, that |
| 11 | through an effective marketing strategy, that drives | 11 | estate agents supported the idea of an agent's portal. |
| 12 | brand awareness and generates interest from both sides | 12 | And so what could have happened is the reduced rates or |
| 13 | of the market. Agents' Mutual decided to focus on | 13 | getting strong independents to join and so on, but |
| 14 | signing up a large number of agents and in doing so, | 14 | without the One Other Portal rule. |
| 15 | I believe it had several options open to it other than | 15 | Q. What do you know about the strategy Mr Springett did in |
| 16 | the OOP rule." | 16 | fact follow, to build up this business? Is the answer |
| 17 | Are you criticising Agents' Mutual for focusing and | 17 | not much or nothing or what? |
| 18 | signing up a large number of agents or not? | 18 | A. I only saw him -- I saw him at the presentation and |
| 19 | A. No, I think the problem, as I say -- before, I think the | 19 | his -- |
| 20 | problem that we had as a company was the One Other | 20 | Q. That was in November 2014, so it was all done and dusted |
| 21 | Portal rule. | 21 | by then? |
| 22 | Q. Look at paragraph 16 then. You talk about: | 22 | A. Yes, but he made it very clear that what he had done and |
| 23 | "My clients could have waived fees [that would have | 23 | the way that they had built it was that they had to try |
| 24 | helped the marketing budget no end, no doubt] or offered | 24 | to get some independents in an area, but obviously, the |
| 25 | discounted rates, something that both Rightmove and | 25 | One Other Portal rule was instrumental in it. |
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| 1 | Zoopla did effectively, when they entered the market." | 1 | Q. In paragraph 18 you say: |
| 2 | You have just accepted very fairly, when Rightmove | 2 | "I do not believe the OOP rule was necessary for |
| 3 | entered the market, it was a very different market than | 3 | Agent's Mutual to be able to enter the market." |
| 4 | the market that OTM was facing, wasn't it? | 4 | Do you mean -- what do you mean by -- |
| 5 | A. It was but the point that you were making before was | 5 | A. That goes back to paragraph 16. I don't think it was |
| 6 | actually different to that one because the point that | 6 | essential because I think you could have got independent |
| 7 | you were making before was about exclusivity. | 7 | agents to support Agents' Mutual without having the One |
| 8 | Q. Yes, that's correct. But the market was very different, | 8 | Other Portal rule. |
| 9 | wasn't it? | 9 | MR MACLEAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. |
| 10 | A. When Rightmove entered the market? | 10 | MR HARRIS: In your hands about the short break. I only |
| 11 | Q. The marketing, for example, required now, to enter this | 11 | have a short question. I am happy to do that now or if |
| 12 | market, which has grown so hugely since when Rightmove | 12 | we take a short break? |
| 13 | entered, the marketing requirement is greater, not less | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't we break after you have finished |
| 14 | than it was when Rightmove and Zoopla entered; right? | 14 | your re-examination. |
| 15 | A. There is no doubt about it, the marketing spend is huge | 15 | Re-examination by MR HARRIS |
| 16 | for all agents. | 16 | MR MACLEAN: Miss Frew, good morning again. You were asked |
| 17 | Q. And so that would mean that the idea of offering no fees | 17 | some questions toward the beginning of the |
| 18 | or discounted rates to somebody entering in 2015, would | 18 | cross-examination about Hunters Plc buying 100 per cent |
| 19 | have severe implications for their ability adequately to | 19 | of Hunters Group Limited. Do you recall that? |
| 20 | market their portal; right? | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | A. It would need to go into a business plan, wouldn't it? | 21 | Q. And I think you identified the date as being about the |
| 22 | Q. Not just being written down as a business plan. It | 22 | middle of 2014? |
| 23 | would have to be funded, in order to make it work? | 23 | A. Mmm. |
| 24 | A. Exactly. It would need to be funded as part of the | 24 | Q. Do you recall that? And I think you agreed with my |
| 25 | business plan. | 25 | learned friend that it was after the time that Hunters |
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| 1 | Group Limited had joined OTM? | 1 | their back, worried about "How will that affect our |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Yes, they'd already -- he'd made his commitment. | 2 | business?" And so they were distracted with that which, |
| 3 | Q. Do you recall Hunters ever being told that all the rest | 3 | you know, you can understand that from a business point |
| 4 | of your branches throughout the Hunters network had to | 4 | of view and Rightmove, I think as I said at the time, |
| 5 | become listed on OTM, because Hunters Group Limited was | 5 | had a certain amount of trepidation but they knew "This |
| 6 | listed on OTM prior to you acquiring them? | 6 | isn't going to affect us", and they've got stronger with |
| 7 | A. No. | 7 | it. |
| 8 | Q. Miss Frew, you were asked some questions about the | 8 | Q. Just picking up on that answer, in what way has |
| 9 | meetings to which you refer in your witness statement, | 9 | Rightmove got stronger, in your view? |
| 10 | the one that you told the Tribunal was in York and the | 10 | A. Well, they have got stronger because they know that |
| 11 | other being in London. Do you recall those questions? | 11 | they're not one of those that's the major portal that's |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | threatened with it and that undoubtedly strengthens |
| 13 | Q. And you said quite clearly that in your view, it was | 13 | their negotiating position. |
| 14 | implied in those meetings that all the agents would go | 14 | Q. Thank you. |
| 15 | for Zoopla, ie did you mean by that, drop Zoopla? | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment. Mr Harris. When you say, for |
| 16 | A. Definitely. | 16 | instance, "They know that they're the major portal", are |
| 17 | Q. Can you think back then, doing your best now, | 17 | you giving your views as an estate agent involved in the |
| 18 | I appreciate it is some time ago, think back to those | 18 | market or are you speaking of having had conversations |
| 19 | meetings. Imagine where they were. You said it was | 19 | with Rightmove and/or Zoopla, where they've disclosed |
| 20 | cold, one of the meetings. One was presented by | 20 | the thinking to you? |
| 21 | a woman, one was presented by Mr Springett. Just think | 21 | A. Would you mind repeating the first bit? I didn't quite |
| 22 | back. Can you explain to the Tribunal in your own | 22 | hear it. |
| 23 | words, by reference to that recollection, why exactly it | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. In the course of the last |
| 24 | was that you got that clear impression? | 24 | couple of answers you've said, for instance, "They know |
| 25 | A. I got that clear impression because that was the way the | 25 | that they're the major portal". You have -- |
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| 1 | presentation came over. That's how I felt when | 1 | A. Rightmove. |
| 2 | I watched it. There's no question about that in my | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: You were talking about Rightmove there. And |
| 3 | mind. There was also at one of them -- I smoke and | 3 | what I wanted to get a feel for is the source of that |
| 4 | I went out for a cigarette and one of the other agents | 4 | evidence. Is it simply your thinking of what they might |
| 5 | came out and said "We all need to stick together. This | 5 | think as an estate agent in the market or is it the |
| 6 | is what we need to do. And we need to drop Zoopla and | 6 | result of a conversation that you might have had with |
| 7 | go with OnTheMarket." So I had said at that time "We've | 7 | either Zoopla or Rightmove? |
| 8 | decided that the timing isn't right." | 8 | A. I think it's a combination of the two and of course |
| 9 | Q. Thank you. Shortly after those questions, you were | 9 | there's -- there is anecdotal evidence too, that -- |
| 10 | asked about your view, also expressed in your witness | 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Speaking with other estate agents in the |
| 11 | statement, about Zoopla becoming weaker after the entry | 11 | market? |
| 12 | of OTM into the market. Do you recall that? | 12 | A. That's right, yes. That would say the same. |
| 13 | A. I do. | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 14 | Q. And you said, and I do my best to quote here: | 14 | MR HARRIS: Thank you, Miss Frew. Then the last question |
| 15 | "I do think that Zoopla have become weaker since | 15 | is, you were asked, nearing the end of your |
| 16 | then." | 16 | cross-examination, some questions about listing on more |
| 17 | Do you remember saying that? | 17 | than two portals and part of your answer was: well, back |
| 18 | A. I do. | 18 | in the day, we didn't just list on two newspapers. Do |
| 19 | Q. You were then cut off and moved on to a different | 19 | you remember that? |
| 20 | question but can you explain to the Tribunal why it is | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | that you do think that Zoopla have become weaker since | 21 | Q. And my question to you is: are you aware whether or not |
| 22 | the entry of OTM into the portals market? | 22 | any Hunters own branches or franchisees' branches now |
| 23 | A. Because by the nature of what happened with OnTheMarket, | 23 | list on more than two property portals? |
| 24 | there were a number of agents then, that came off | 24 | A. Yes, there are some that are on LonRes, for example. |
| 25 | Zoopla. So Zoopla were then worried about and watching | 25 | There are others that are on portals where you pay for |
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| 1 | leads as you get them, so, you know, that's part of | 1 | (11.55 am) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | their own individual marketing strategy. But very | 2 | MR HARRIS: With the Tribunal's permission, I would like to |
| 3 | important to the national -- Hunters marketing strategy | 3 | call my next witness, Mr Livesey. |
| 4 | is the portals. And also, we do quite a lot of separate | 4 | MR DAVID CHRISTOPHER LIVESEY (affirmed) |
| 5 | canvassing but other than that, if they want to go on | 5 | Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS |
| 6 | another portal, no problem at all. | 6 | MR HARRIS: Mr Livesey, can you please be provided with |
| 7 | Q. Thank you. I think you mentioned a particular one in | 7 | bundle D of the defendant's witness statements, unless |
| 8 | that answer, LonRes? | 8 | it is already on your table. And could you have it |
| 9 | A. LonRes. | 9 | open, at least to tab number 3. Do you recognise that |
| 10 | Q. Can you just tell the Tribunal what that is? | 10 | as the front page of a witness statement you created for |
| 11 | A. LonRes is a portal which actually is London based. So | 11 | these proceedings? |
| 12 | a lot of agents will use LonRes and that, you know, is | 12 | A. I do. |
| 13 | properties just for London. | 13 | Q. And can you turn to the final page, page 20 of that tab. |
| 14 | Q. I see, so is the effect of that answer put together | 14 | Is that the copy of your signature? |
| 15 | then, is that some of your branches and/or franchisee | 15 | A. It is. |
| 16 | branches currently list on at least three portals, one | 16 | Q. And save for one matter that you wish to update, is it a |
| 17 | of them being LonRes. Who would the other two portals | 17 | true and accurate account of the evidence you wish to |
| 18 | be? | 18 | give to this Tribunal? |
| 19 | A. If they are on LonRes, they would be on Zoopla and | 19 | A. It is. |
| 20 | Rightmove. | 20 | Q. And is there an update you wish to give as regards |
| 21 | MR HARRIS: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. I have no | 21 | paragraph 14 ? |
| 22 | further questions, unless the Tribunal have any | 22 | A. Yes, paragraph 14 talks about the contractual |
| 23 | questions. | 23 | arrangements that we had with Zoopla at the time and it |
| 24 | MR FREEMAN: Miss Frew, during your evidence you talked at | 24 | was really an update, which is that the current contract |
| 25 | various places about customers and your consumers. As | 25 | that I have with Zoopla commenced at the start of last |
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| 1 | an estate agent, can I just ask you, who do you regard | 1 | year, 2016, and runs until the end of next year, 2018. |
| 2 | as the consumer in this industry? | 2 | So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one |
| 3 | A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the | 3 | referred to. |
| 4 | vendors and the landlords and, of course, then -- | 4 | Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but |
| 5 | I think estate agency is quite unique because we also | 5 | it has now been superseded by events; is that -- Thank |
| 6 | have buyers and we have tenants. | 6 | you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to |
| 7 | MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their | 7 | tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of |
| 8 | interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and | 8 | a second witness statement that you created for these |
| 9 | lessors? | 9 | proceedings? |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | A. I do. |
| 11 | MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean | 11 | Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, |
| 12 | everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, | 12 | at page 15 ? |
| 13 | renting or leasing a property? | 13 | A. It is. |
| 14 | A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have | 14 | Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further |
| 15 | a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. | 15 | evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? |
| 16 | MR FREEMAN: Thank you. | 16 | A. It is. |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. | 17 | Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the |
| 18 | MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. | 18 | same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? |
| 19 | A. Thank you. | 19 | A. I do. |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next | 20 | Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise |
| 21 | witness is Mr Livesey. | 21 | that as the front page of a third witness statement by |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: We'll rise for five minutes and resume for | 22 | you in these proceedings? |
| 23 | Mr Livesey then. | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | (11.50 am) | 24 | Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page? |
| 25 | (A short break) | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. And are the contents of this statement also true and | 1 | A. They're not part of the story. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | accurate for the purposes of these proceedings? | 2 | Q. Nobody ever explained that to you? |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | A. Not specifically, no. |
| 4 | Q. Thank you, Mr Livesey, there may be some questions for | 4 | Q. You see, to take an example, paragraph 21 of your second |
| 5 | you. | 5 | witness statement at tab 7 . |
| 6 | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN | 6 | A. Sorry, where am I looking? |
| 7 | MR MACLEAN: Good afternoon, it just about is, Mr Livesey. | 7 | Q. Bundle D, tab 7, paragraph 21. What you are doing there |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | is providing a commentary on some emails that weren't |
| 9 | Q. You are fully supportive of the idea of a portal run by | 9 | sent to you, weren't sent by you, weren't copied to you, |
| 10 | agents for agents, aren't you? | 10 | have nothing to do with you; right? |
| 11 | A. I am. | 11 | A. I am providing commentary on emails that were being |
| 12 | Q. And you don't see a portal run by agents for agents as | 12 | referred to by the other parties. |
| 13 | being, in principle, objectionable on any basis, do you? | 13 | Q. But if it's not the function of a witness statement to |
| 14 | A. No, in principle, it's fine. | 14 | provide a commentary on the documents in the case and if |
| 15 | Q. You don't see it as being regressive and protectionist, | 15 | you understood the purpose of the witness statement, |
| 16 | for example, do you? | 16 | what's paragraph 21 doing there, Mr Livesey? |
| 17 | A. Not the principle of it. | 17 | A. Well, I'm providing a response there, to documents that |
| 18 | Q. I assume but tell me if I'm wrong, that you are not the | 18 | were provided by the other side. |
| 19 | sort of person who signs official documents without | 19 | Q. No, they weren't, Mr Livesey. These are documents which |
| 20 | taking care to understand them, understand their | 20 | you produced, in a little exercise that we'll explore |
| 21 | contents and purpose; is that right? | 21 | a bit later. |
| 22 | A. That's a fair comment. | 22 | A. These are the North East documents. |
| 23 | Q. What's the purpose of a witness statement in proceedings | 23 | Q. It is your statement, Mr Livesey, look at paragraph 21? |
| 24 | such as these, Mr Livesey? | 24 | A. Okay, I'll read the paragraph. (Pause). |
| 25 | A. To give my statement of the events. | 25 | Q. It is not your fault, Mr Livesey, probably. It is |
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| 1 | Q. It's to set out the evidence-in-chief of the maker of | 1 | probably not your fault, it is no business of mine whose |
| 2 | the statement, isn't it? | 2 | fault it is, but this witness statement, indeed your |
| 3 | A. Correct. | 3 | witness statement strays far beyond your telling your |
| 4 | Q. To tell your part of the story; right? | 4 | part of the story, from your own knowledge. Do you |
| 5 | A. Correct. | 5 | accept that? |
| 6 | Q. Do you know that it is expressly not the function of | 6 | A. No, I'm providing commentary on documents that were in |
| 7 | a witness statement to provide a commentary on the | 7 | front of me which related directly to the subject. |
| 8 | documents in the case? | 8 | Q. I am not going to ask you whether you are right or wrong |
| 9 | A. I'm not an expert on legal proceedings. | 9 | in what you say in paragraph 21 about what Mr Springett |
| 10 | Q. I thought you said that you understood the purpose of | 10 | clearly knew, on the basis of some email that was |
| 11 | the witness statement? | 11 | nothing to do with you. Mr Harris can put questions to |
| 12 | A. I answered your question. | 12 | Mr Springett but this is a completely illegitimate |
| 13 | Q. So do you or do you not understand that the function of | 13 | exercise, Mr Livesey? |
| 14 | a witness statement is emphatically not to provide | 14 | A. Well, I didn't take your point that this is nothing to |
| 15 | a commentary on the documents in the case which have got | 15 | do with me. These are emails that are being referred |
| 16 | nothing to do with the witness? | 16 | to. They're emails from people within my business that |
| 17 | A. I'm sorry, I don't understand your question. | 17 | are directly relevant to the case. |
| 18 | Q. Let's start again. What's the purpose of the witness | 18 | Q. You have allowed yourself to be used as a mouthpiece in |
| 19 | statement? | 19 | your witness statement by lawyers, presumably, in order |
| 20 | A. To explain my version of the events. | 20 | to argue the case of Connells or Zoopla in this |
| 21 | Q. To tell your part of the story; right? | 21 | litigation, haven't you? |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | A. I'm no one's mouthpiece. |
| 23 | Q. Not to provide a commentary on emails between X and Y or | 23 | Q. Now, is this witness statement, these witness |
| 24 | Y and Z or A and B , that have nothing to do with you; | 24 | statements, are they in your own words? |
| 25 | right? | 25 | A. Largely, yes. |
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| 1 | Q. Largely. | 1 | this litigation, isn't it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Well you'll see there are quite large elements of them | 2 | A. It is. |
| 3 | are taken verbatim from other people's emails. | 3 | Q. And is Connells, in turn, the subject of any funding or |
| 4 | Q. So when you are not quoting from somebody, we can take | 4 | indemnity arrangement with Zoopla in this litigation? |
| 5 | it it is your own words, can we? | 5 | A. Do I have to answer these questions? |
| 6 | A. Mmm. | 6 | Q. Yes? |
| 7 | Q. Right. Now, you are the group chief executive of | 7 | A. No confidentiality rules apply? |
| 8 | Connells, are you? | 8 | Q. No. |
| 9 | A. I am. | 9 | A. Zoopla did offer to meet a small proportion of our legal |
| 10 | Q. And you have been in post since 2008? | 10 | costs at the early stage of the proceedings. |
| 11 | A. As chief executive. I have been with the company for | 11 | Q. What small proportion? |
| 12 | 27 years. | 12 | A. A very small -- a fraction. |
| 13 | Q. You have been a director since 1995? | 13 | Q. What fraction, Mr Livesey? |
| 14 | A. Correct. | 14 | A. Up to $£ \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$. |
| 15 | Q. Continuously a director since 1995? | 15 | Q. So it wasn't a fraction. It was a set amount? |
| 16 | A. Correct. | 16 | A. It will be a fraction of our total costs. |
| 17 | Q. And Connells became the majority shareholder in | 17 | Q. I know it will but it was a set amount, not a fraction? |
| 18 | Gascoigne Halman, I think in late 2015; is that right? | 18 | A. Up to but not a set amount. |
| 19 | A. Correct. | 19 | Q. So you took that offer? |
| 20 | Q. And you became a director of Gascoigne Halman when that | 20 | A. We accepted that offer. |
| 21 | takeover was completed? | 21 | Q. So they have in fact funded a quarter of a million |
| 22 | A. That's right. | 22 | pounds of what is, legally, Gascoigne Halman's defence |
| 23 | Q. And you remain a director of Gascoigne Halman today? | 23 | of these proceedings but is, in fact, Connells' defence |
| 24 | A. I do. | 24 | of these proceedings, in reality. Is that right? |
| 25 | Q. Who are the other directors of Gascoigne Halman today? | 25 | A. Connells Group will pick up the legal costs for the |
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| 1 | A. Stephen Shipperley, who's the group chairman; | 1 | defence. Zoopla will pick up to $£ \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ worth of those |
| 2 | David Plumtree, who's the group chief executive for | 2 | costs. |
| 3 | estate agency, who reports to me; John Halman and | 3 | Q. And why do you think, maybe you don't know, Zoopla |
| 4 | Martin Forrest. | 4 | offered you a quarter of a million quid to help fight |
| 5 | Q. So the last two, the last two gentlemen, Mr Forrest and | 5 | this case? |
| 6 | Mr Halman, came from the Gascoigne Halman side of things | 6 | A. You'd need to ask Zoopla. |
| 7 | and the other three, I think it was, including yourself, | 7 | Q. You have got no idea? |
| 8 | came from the Connells side, is that right? | 8 | A. I have got ideas but I'm not here to speculate. |
| 9 | A. That's right. | 9 | Q. Give me your best idea? |
| 10 | Q. And what's the voting on the vote? Is it one man, one | 10 | A. I'm not here to speculate. |
| 11 | vote? | 11 | Q. Now, take bundle H14, please, Mr Livesey and turn to |
| 12 | A. No, Connells own 75 per cent shares in the business. | 12 | page 7876. In fact, let's just start the story at 7877. |
| 13 | Q. So Connells has a completely controlling stake in | 13 | This is an email from Mr Halman to Mr Plumtree, who you |
| 14 | Gascoigne Halman? | 14 | have just told us that Mr Plumtree, I think you said, |
| 15 | A. We do. | 15 | was the head of the estate agency at Connells and he |
| 16 | Q. Does the same apply to Rook Matthews Sayers, one of the | 16 | reports to you and he is one of the board members of |
| 17 | other businesses that Connells acquired? | 17 | Gascoigne Halman, along with you, Mr Halman, Mr Forrest |
| 18 | A. It does. | 18 | and the chairman? |
| 19 | Q. And completely controlled by Connells as well? | 19 | A. Shipperley, yes. |
| 20 | A. Correct. | 20 | Q. This is an email of 3 February last year, from Mr Halman |
| 21 | Q. Gascoigne Halman isn't funding its defence of these | 21 | to Mr Plumtree and: |
| 22 | proceedings, is it? | 22 | "Mr Halman had telephoned Ms Whiteley of my client. |
| 23 | A. Connells Group is funding the defence. | 23 | He advised her we are proposing to commence advertising |
| 24 | Q. So Gascoigne Halman Limited is, as Mr Halman puts it in | 24 | on Zoopla. As such, we would have to withdraw from |
| 25 | one of the emails, completely insulated from the cost of | 25 | Agents' Mutual." |
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| 1 | And then at the bottom of the page he says: | 1 | fight, wasn't it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "This raises an interesting legal point as it is | 2 | A. That was our assumption. |
| 3 | their policy to only permit agents to advertise on one | 3 | Q. Yes. So Mr Plumtree says: |
| 4 | of either Rightmove or Zoopla and therefore if we choose | 4 | "So we need to push ahead with getting you relisted |
| 5 | to advertise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual | 5 | on Zoopla and delisted from OTM. We (Connells Limited) |
| 6 | they would have to expel us." | 6 | will take on the work and the cost associated with |
| 7 | He says: | 7 | defending any claim that AM bring against GH as a |
| 8 | "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, | 8 | consequence of you de-listing, equally, we will take the |
| 9 | whether they would still be entitled to the | 9 | reasonable costs associated with pursuing AM for the |
| 10 | subscription. I have attached page 1 of the membership | 10 | interest payments due to GH. (I suspect they'll look to |
| 11 | agreement which was already revealed during the due | 11 | withhold these.)" |
| 12 | diligence." | 12 | And then Mr Twigg sends a reply back to Mr Plumtree, |
| 13 | That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken | 13 | saying, basically, he's happy with it: |
| 14 | by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? | 14 | "Save for, why don't we just get them listed on |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | Zoopla and see how AM reacts? The ball is in their |
| 16 | Q. So you knew all about this? | 16 | court then." |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Then you join in at the top of the page. We can see |
| 18 | Q. And then: | 18 | this is you, if we go to 7874. There we are, 5.34 in |
| 19 | "Our position has always been one where we have no | 19 | the evening on 3 February. You say: |
| 20 | particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla | 20 | "I'm surprised that we have only just raised the |
| 21 | but it does seem to me that our defection could be in | 21 | issue with OTM. We have owned GH for four months, known |
| 22 | danger of becoming a test case." | 22 | this would be an issue all along." |
| 23 | Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an | 23 | So none of this is a surprise to you; right? You |
| 24 | intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to | 24 | specifically raised it with Mr Springett: |
| 25 | Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come | 25 | "I know there's an issue with interest. Secondly, |
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| 1 | back to that in a minute and then at 7875 , Mr Twigg | 1 | I agree with Richard we are best to separate the two |
| 2 | replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? | 2 | issues." |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | The money and the listing. Those are the two |
| 4 | Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been | 4 | issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on |
| 5 | able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman | 5 | to the transcript. |
| 6 | but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? | 6 | A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with |
| 7 | A. I don't know. | 7 | Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. |
| 8 | Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: | 8 | Q. "Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most |
| 9 | "We are coming under significant pressure from | 9 | important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all |
| 10 | Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part | 10 | times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory |
| 11 | of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla | 11 | approach." |
| 12 | requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on | 12 | So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not |
| 13 | their site. We have no protection in this regard in | 13 | be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne |
| 14 | respect of the SPA." | 14 | Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement |
| 15 | SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? | 15 | with you or what did you expect? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have |
| 17 | Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity | 17 | a conciliatory approach is because he had recently |
| 18 | for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations | 18 | approached me with a view to asking Connells to join |
| 19 | contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the | 19 | OnTheMarket. |
| 20 | deal; is that right? | 20 | Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? |
| 21 | A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract | 21 | A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to |
| 22 | but one that we were prepared to take the commercial | 22 | Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, |
| 23 | risk for. | 23 | subsequently, the four way meeting in January. |
| 24 | Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The | 24 | Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne |
| 25 | calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and | 25 | Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering |
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| 1 | this litigation? | 1 | A. I think Mr Halman would probably still agree with the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. I didn't expect any of this litigation, no. | 2 | principles of Agents' Mutual, as in fact, do I. I am |
| 3 | Q. But you understand, don't you, that the protection of | 3 | not so sure his view today would be that he would be |
| 4 | the terms of OnTheMarket is critical to the future of | 4 | quite as happy with the proposition as he was when he |
| 5 | the OTM board, isn't it? This is rather important | 5 | first signed up for it. |
| 6 | litigation for my client, is what I am talking about? | 6 | Q. And the other thing that is clear about Mr Halman, from |
| 7 | A. It is absolutely not critical to their business but they | 7 | every time he was given a free go at Zoopla, is that he |
| 8 | obviously perceive it that way. | 8 | never thought it amounted to very much, did he? In the |
| 9 | Q. Now, you say in this email that you are rapidly -- the | 9 | same way as he was enthusiastic about OTM, he was to say |
| 10 | third point is that you are rapidly losing patience with | 10 | the least, lukewarm, not to say underwhelmed, by Zoopla, |
| 11 | John Halman. Do you see that? | 11 | wasn't he? |
| 12 | A. Mmm. | 12 | A. He was. |
| 13 | Q. And then you give a rather vivid description as to the | 13 | Q. Connells has around, you say in your first statement, |
| 14 | contortion that Mr Halman needs to desist from; do you | 14 | paragraph 5 -- you don't need to turn it up because you |
| 15 | see that? | 15 | and I both know this -- about 590 branches; right? |
| 16 | A. I do. | 16 | A. That's right. |
| 17 | Q. Why were you rapidly losing patience with Mr Halman? | 17 | Q. And it is one of the big three? |
| 18 | A. It wasn't in relation to this issue. This was just one | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | of a number of issues where I wanted him to, in my | 19 | Q. And they are called the corporates, as Mr Springett |
| 20 | phraseology, get jiggy with it and move things along | 20 | refers to it? |
| 21 | with a pace. We had just acquired his business and | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | I think Mr Halman was going through a process where he | 22 | Q. Connells, Countrywide and LSL? |
| 23 | was adjusting to corporate ownership. And we were not | 23 | A. That's correct. |
| 24 | particularly corporate corporate but there are checks | 24 | Q. And LSL stands for what? |
| 25 | and balances and there are processes that we expect all | 25 | A. Lending Solutions Limited but it is -- LSL Plc is the -- |
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| 1 | subsidiaries to adopt and he was being slow and a little | 1 | it is a quoted Ple now. |
| 2 | bit resistant in adopting some of those. | 2 | Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? |
| 3 | Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new | 3 | A. It is. |
| 4 | corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the | 4 | Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton |
| 5 | new corporate ways, under Connells? | 5 | Building Society? |
| 6 | A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the | 6 | A. That's correct, which is a mutual. |
| 7 | compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are | 7 | Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is |
| 8 | regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach | 8 | a mutual? |
| 9 | which says: it has to be done this way across the group. | 9 | A. Which is a mutual. |
| 10 | Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very | 10 | Q. Ironically enough. |
| 11 | different model and he and the lady that runs that part | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | of the business forum were struggling to get to grips | 12 | Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells |
| 13 | with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So | 13 | acquired in November 2015. |
| 14 | it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain | 14 | A. 18 branches in the North West of England. |
| 15 | processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into | 15 | Q. Did it have somewhere else? |
| 16 | the business will adopt. | 16 | A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just |
| 17 | Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that -- we | 17 | giving you its location. |
| 18 | can look at the detail later, and we will look at the | 18 | Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I |
| 19 | detail later, but would you agree that he was an early | 19 | understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation |
| 20 | and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? | 20 | of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? |
| 21 | A. I would agree with that. | 21 | A. That's right. |
| 22 | Q. And would you agree that he remained very sympathetic to | 22 | Q. Along with a bunch of other major corporate estate |
| 23 | Agents' Mutual to the end because, of course, Gascoigne | 23 | agents, some of which have subsequently exited the |
| 24 | Halman came off Agents' Mutual, purely because it had | 24 | market, I think Royal \& Sun Alliance, Halifax and some |
| 25 | been bought over by Connells? | 25 | haven't, like Connells and Countrywide. Is that right? |
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|  | A. That's right. | 1 | be higher, so I suppose, yes, the smaller the agent, the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. And Rightmove listed on the Stock Exchange in 2006. And | 2 | higher the level of concern over that cost. |
| 3 | you became a non-executive director of Zoopla in 2010, | 3 | Q. As a percentage of their total turnover, they would be |
| 4 | right? | 4 | paying more in percentage terms to these portals than |
| 5 | A. That's right. | 5 | Connells would be? |
| 6 | Q. And you were a non-executive director of Zoopla between | 6 | A. I can't comment on the financial dynamics of their |
| 7 | 2010 and 2012? | 7 | business. They may be -- have much higher fee levels |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | than we do. |
| 9 | Q. The circumstances in which you became a non-executive | 9 | Q. That's unlikely? |
| 10 | director of Zoopla in 2010 were that the three | 10 | A. No, not at all. |
| 11 | corporates, Countrywide, LSL and Connells, had entered | 11 | Q. So the effect of the partnership was to strengthen the |
| 12 | into something called the strategic partnership with | 12 | position of Countrywide, LSL and Connells on the one |
| 13 | Zoopla in 2010; is that right? | 13 | hand, vis a vis the independent estate agents on the |
| 14 | A. That's right. | 14 | other, wasn't it? |
| 15 | Q. And one of the facets of the strategic partnership was | 15 | A. No, not at all. But that wasn't the objective. |
| 16 | that each of the corporates agreed to advertise all of | 16 | Q. I didn't say it was the object but that was the effect, |
| 17 | their properties on Zoopla? | 17 | wasn't it? |
| 18 | A. That's correct. | 18 | A. The effect was to -- |
| 19 | Q. And in return for that, presumably, they got a discount | 19 | Q. I am not suggesting it was the object. I am not |
| 20 | on Zoopla's rates, didn't they? | 20 | suggesting there was some dastardly plot to do in the |
| 21 | A. Zoopla have a charging structure which applies | 21 | independent estate agents. That kind of suggestion I |
| 22 | a discount for volume, so any agent of a larger size | 22 | think comes from the other side of the court. I am |
| 23 | than another agent would expect to have -- pay a lower | 23 | simply suggesting the effect of the partnership between |
| 24 | per branch fee, although outright, they would be paying | 24 | Countrywide, LSL and Connells with Zoopla, was to |
| 25 | more. | 25 | strengthen their position, relative to the independent |
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| 1 | Q. So give us an idea then. If Gascoigne Halman, with its | 1 | estate agents? |
| 2 | 18 branches, had gone along to Zoopla the day after the | 2 | A. I don't think it strengthened our position at all. It |
| 3 | strategic partnership between Countrywide, LSL and | 3 | helped Zoopla achieve critical mass to make it a more |
| 4 | Connells had been agreed, and Connells of course, | 4 | credible competitor to Rightmove which I think is to |
| 5 | doesn't own Gascoigne Halman, what's the order of | 5 | everybody's benefit. |
| 6 | magnitude of Gascoigne Halman's higher fee to Zoopla, | 6 | Q. Countrywide, LSL and Connells all obtained |
| 7 | compared to that which Connells was able to extract as | 7 | a shareholding in Zoopla as a part of this strategic |
| 8 | part of the strategic partnership? | 8 | partnership, didn't they? |
| 9 | A. I don't know what the relative fees would have been in | 9 | A. We did. |
| 10 | 2010. Ours would have been significantly lower | 10 | Q. What percentage shareholding did Connells obtain in |
| 11 | because -- as would Countrywide's, LSL's, as would | 11 | Zoopla in 2010? |
| 12 | Spicerhaart's. Any one of scale would have had a lower | 12 | A. I can't give you the exact figure but I think it was in |
| 13 | per branch charge applied to them by Zoopla than | 13 | the order of 8 per cent, there or thereabouts. Which |
| 14 | Gascoigne Halman, who had only $\mathbf{1 8}$ branches. | 14 | was subsequently diluted by 55 per cent. |
| 15 | Q. So to the extent that estate agents, no doubt like all | 15 | Q. I will come to that. Let us take it in stages, |
| 16 | businesses, would rather pay less than more for the | 16 | Mr Livesey. I think we'll probably cover the same |
| 17 | services they received, accepting that as a starting | 17 | ground. Take it in stages. So 8 per cent or |
| 18 | point of the analysis, to the extent that there was | 18 | thereabouts. And presumably Countrywide and LSL got |
| 19 | dissatisfaction with the fees that were being charged by | 19 | a similar equity stake in Zoopla as well, did they? |
| 20 | Rightmove and Zoopla to estate agents in 2010, there | 20 | A. My recollection is that Countrywide had a higher stake |
| 21 | would be good reason for that dissatisfaction to be | 21 | because they were a larger firm. They were about twice |
| 22 | particularly acute among the smaller independents, | 22 | our size. I don't think they had twice the |
| 23 | rather than the three big corporates who were able to | 23 | shareholding. I don't know what their exact |
| 24 | extract this discount; is that right? | 24 | shareholding was but theirs was larger than ours and |
| 25 | A. Well, the per branch charge for the smaller agent would | 25 | I think LSL's was about the same because they were about |
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| 1 | the same size. | 1 | you remember the selling price? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. So Countrywide and LSL, they are your major competitors? | 2 | A. I don't know the share price but I can tell you that |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | when Zoopla IPO'd, we booked a profit of about |
| 4 | Q. They are your two single biggest competitors in the | 4 | 10 million as a one-off and when we sold the subsequent |
| 5 | estate agent market? | 5 | quarter of our shareholding about six months ago, we |
| 6 | A. They are the two biggest. They are not our main | 6 | booked a one-off profit of about $\mathbf{1 6}$ million. |
| 7 | competitors. Our main competitor is the local estate | 7 | Q. So originally you had 8 per cent which then got diluted. |
| 8 | agent on the high street, who quite often is an | 8 | You then sold some at the IPO but you kept the maximum, |
| 9 | independent. | 9 | skins in the game, if I can put it like that, for |
| 10 | Q. I see. So this 8 per cent shareholding in Zoopla, what | 10 | Zoopla, so as we stand here today, the shareholding of |
| 11 | did Connells pay for that shareholding? | 11 | Connells in Zoopla is worth what, in round terms? |
| 12 | A. I don't recall. | 12 | A. It's 3 per cent and the business is capitalised at about |
| 13 | Q. Did it pay cash? | 13 | 1 and a half billion. |
| 14 | A. I believe so. | 14 | Q. When you were appointed to the board, and take |
| 15 | Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with | 15 | bundle H1, please, Mr Livesey. You can put 14 away |
| 16 | that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? | 16 | pro tem, as the lawyers say. And take H1. If you turn |
| 17 | A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much | 17 | to page 33, please, Mr Livesey. This is a press release |
| 18 | money we put into Zoopla at the time. | 18 | from Zoopla of 8 July 2010. Do you see that? |
| 19 | Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt | 20 | Q. And it is announcing the deal, the strategic |
| 21 | rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding | 21 | partnership. It refers to you about four or five |
| 22 | was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the | 22 | paragraphs down, do you see and then: |
| 23 | shareholding was sold? | 23 | "Mr Turner of Countrywide and Mr Embley of LSL, each |
| 24 | A. No, diluted. | 24 | appointed to the Zoopla.co.uk board as non-executive |
| 25 | Q. Diluted? | 25 | directors with immediate effect." |
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| 1 | A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Di | So who were the executive directors of Zoopla? |  |
| 2 | Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and | 2 | A. At the time the executive directors were |
| 3 | General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for | 3 | Alex Chesterman. |
| 4 | that merger. | 4 | Q. He was the CEO? |
| 5 | Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of | 5 | A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't |
| 6 | shares but they were now -- | 6 | remember his name. |
| 7 | A. We ended up with 6 per cent. | 7 | Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? |
| 8 | Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding | 8 | A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. |
| 9 | that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? | 9 | Q. That is what I am trying to get at. |
| 10 | A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the | 10 | A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. |
| 11 | business was floated, when the IPO took place. | 11 | Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an |
| 12 | Q. And that was in 2014? | 12 | executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. |
| 13 | A. 2014, yes. | 13 | Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? |
| 14 | Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on | 14 | A. He wasn't there at the time, no. |
| 15 | 6 June 2014? | 15 | Q. He has stepped away. |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in |
| 17 | Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? | 17 | the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory |
| 18 | A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all | 18 | director but he was heading the sales function for |
| 19 | the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was | 19 | Zoopla. |
| 20 | 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we | 20 | Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 |
| 21 | needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of | 21 | documents that one gets the impression that |
| 22 | the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. | 22 | Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at |
| 23 | That was their decision and then we sold another | 23 | this time? |
| 24 | 25 per cent about six months ago. | 24 | A. Very much. |
| 25 | Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can | 25 | Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light |
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| 1 | in an executive sense on the board. Would that be fair? | 1 | about. But it is right, isn't it, that Zoopla, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. He was, yes. | 2 | FindaProperty and Primelocation all end up after the |
| 3 | Q. When he chose to be -- this is not a criticism, simply | 3 | merger in the ZPG Group? |
| 4 | an observation -- he was quite hands on, we have seen | 4 | A. That's right. |
| 5 | reference to he had meetings in his garden and his house | 5 | Q. And so numbers 2, 3 and 4, and indeed some of the others |
| 6 | in Highgate or Hampstead or whatever. He would do | 6 | further down, that I am not going to waste time on, end |
| 7 | business informally but on a face-to-face basis? | 7 | up in ZPG. What this presentation is saying is that |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | no one portal covers the entire property audience. Do |
| 9 | Q. Is that fair? | 9 | you see that, bottom left-hand corner; yes? |
| 10 | A. That's fair. | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Now, Zoopla has always been keen to stress, not | 11 | Q. And in the next line it makes it clear for even the most |
| 12 | surprisingly, that it has a unique audience for its | 12 | myopic member of the audience because it is now in |
| 13 | portal; is that right? | 13 | bigger type: |
| 14 | A. It does. | 14 | "We have a unique audience, we offer you an audience |
| 15 | Q. If you take bundle H1, it should be the one we're in, if | 15 | you can't reach on other portals." |
| 16 | you turn to page 35 . We have just seen that you were | 16 | And then there is some data. So 51 per cent of |
| 17 | appointed to the board. That press release we just saw | 17 | people who visited the Zoopla website in March 2010 |
| 18 | was 8 July and you were appointed with immediate effect | 18 | didn't go to Rightmove. 61 per cent of them didn't go |
| 19 | to the board, July 2010? | 19 | to FindaProperty and 76 per cent of them didn't go to |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | Primelocation. |
| 21 | Q. If you then go on to page 35 , this is August 2010, so | 21 | So the reason why that's being trumpeted, quite |
| 22 | you are now for good or ill a Zoopla man by August 2010? | 22 | rightly, is because it goes to the point -- were you in |
| 23 | A. I'm a non-exec director on the board of Zoopla, yes. | 23 | court this morning, did you hear the evidence of |
| 24 | Q. I just want to look at this presentation. So this is | 24 | Miss Frew? |
| 25 | a slide presentation and it just says "Your advantage in | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | property". It is not fabulously clear, to me at least, | 1 | Q. You heard no doubt my daft example of the portal with |
| 2 | to whom this presentation is directed. Can you help me? | 2 | the 100 people visiting it and I was suggesting to her |
| 3 | A. No, sorry, I can't. | 3 | that if Mr Holmes had a portal with 100 people visiting |
| 4 | Q. So if we go over the page then, 36 , the snapshot. Do | 4 | and I turned up with a new portal idea and was able to |
| 5 | you see that? 2.7 million unique visitors per month. | 5 | show that the same 100 people would visit my portal as |
| 6 | That means 2.7 million different people visit the portal | 6 | visited his portal, that that would be a less attractive |
| 7 | a month? | 7 | business proposition than if I was able to turn up and |
| 8 | A. I'll take your word for that. I've not been involved in | 8 | show that a different 100 people were able to visit |
| 9 | the production of these slides. | 9 | mine. She, I am not quite sure agreed with that but |
| 10 | Q. You see there is a difference, I think, I think there is | 10 | you, I assume, would agree that that is obviously |
| 11 | a difference, between the unique audience point we are | 11 | correct, isn't it? |
| 12 | coming to and unique business. But anyway, my | 12 | A. So what's the specific question? |
| 13 | understanding is that means 2.7 million different people | 13 | Q. The specific question is, that if you can show that your |
| 14 | visit the portal every month because, obviously, if the | 14 | portal has a unique audience, there are people who only |
| 15 | same person visits 2.7 million times, that is not much | 15 | visit your portal, that makes your portal much more |
| 16 | of a business; right? | 16 | valuable than if the people who visit your portal also |
| 17 | A. I'll take your explanation. | 17 | visit all the other portals and, in particular, if the |
| 18 | Q. Okay, good. That's very helpful. So then we have got | 18 | people who visit your portal, also visit the number one |
| 19 | wider exposure at page 37. Then if you go to page 38, | 19 | portal. That is why we've got this emphasising of the |
| 20 | the point is, page 38 -- so here is the league table. | 20 | unique audience, obviously? |
| 21 | As an Arsenal fan, I am not keen to look at league | 21 | A. That my understanding would come from an estate agency |
| 22 | tables this week, I might add, but if we look at this | 22 | perspective, which would be if a portal comes along that |
| 23 | league table, Rightmove, 38 per cent; Zoopla, 19; | 23 | has people using it that would generate leads and those |
| 24 | FindaProperty, 18; Primelocation, 15. Then there are | 24 | people adopt this as any of the other portals, that has |
| 25 | some other smaller players, some of which we have heard | 25 | value. |
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| 1 | Q. Exactly. And that is all this is saying, it is common | 1 | isn't it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | sense: yes? | 2 | A. That's correct. |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, it may not be for this witness | 3 | Q. "Fully integrated single entity platform team since |
| 4 | but how do they know? | 4 | 2012." |
| 5 | MR MACLEAN: About the unique audience? | 5 | That is also correct and you, of course, would have |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes? I see it says "Source Comscore." | 6 | had -- you were a non-executive director on the Zoopla |
| 7 | MR MACLEAN: Comscore tell them. | 7 | side as this merger was being done? |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. It may be not now but at a later | 8 | A. Up to the point of the merger. |
| 9 | point, we'd like a little bit more understanding of how | 9 | Q. And then you step aside and go back to Connells: |
| 10 | these figures are generated. | 10 | "Experienced team led by founder and CEO Alex, |
| 11 | MR MACLEAN: I am sure that can be achieved. | 11 | Chesterman. Entrepreneurial and agile digital |
| 12 | So here is a snapshot of the position in August 2010 | 12 | advertising and data business." |
| 13 | and if we just look at page 39, where we were, we know | 13 | And their mission is: |
| 14 | that in a couple of years time, in 2012, FindaProperty | 14 | "to be the most useful online resource for UK |
| 15 | and Primelocation are going to be within the ZPG tent, | 15 | property consumers and most effective online marketing |
| 16 | if I can put it like that, right, so the merger meant | 16 | partner for UK property professionals". |
| 17 | that the portal market consolidated to two key players, | 17 | Then we can cut over to the next page, please, and |
| 18 | didn't it? | 18 | go to 691: |
| 19 | A. That is a fair point. | 19 | "Our market-growing digital spend." |
| 20 | Q. And so when the merger took place, taking this snapshot | 20 | Just pause there for a moment. Taking a step back |
| 21 | as the starting point in 2010, at a stroke, Zoopla's or | 21 | from all of this, what has been going on over the last |
| 22 | ZPG's, as it now was, unique audience vis à vis | 22 | 10 or 15 years is that where estate agents spend their |
| 23 | Rightmove, was much larger than Zoopla's unique audience | 23 | money to advertise their clients' properties has changed |
| 24 | was in 2010; right? | 24 | fundamentally, away from print journalism and into |
| 25 | A. Correct. I don't think you can just add these numbers | 25 | online arrangements of one sort or another. Is that |
|  | Page 90 |  | Page 92 |
| 1 | together but it was definitely larger. | 1 | fair? |
| 2 | Q. No, no, I'm not suggesting it was as simple as that but | 2 | A. To a large extent. Not completely but to a large |
| 3 | it was obviously much larger. You can put bundle H1 | 3 | extent. |
| 4 | away and take H2, Mr Livesey and turn to page 688. | 4 | Q. And what the portals -- I was going to say pray on but |
| 5 | I appreciate, Mr Livesey, that we are now looking at | 5 | what they play on, is a better way of putting it, is |
| 6 | a document from 2013 and I appreciate, let me make it | 6 | that they say to estate agents "Look how the internet |
| 7 | clear, that by this time, you had left your position as | 7 | has exploded, look how important online is to you. You |
| 8 | a non-executive director of Zoopla, I think, because you | 8 | ought to be spending more money with us and it is okay, |
| 9 | left when the merger happened? | 9 | you can easily cope with that because print advertising, |
| 10 | A. That's right. | 10 | that's all gone, essentially." And some of the |
| 11 | Q. But you were still on the board of Connells and you were | 11 | projections show that estate agents will be spending |
| 12 | still the chief executive of Connells? | 12 | next to nothing on print advertising by 2020. You must |
| 13 | A. But at this point we hold a shareholding in Zoopla, | 13 | have seen those projections? |
| 14 | a very small minority shareholding in Zoopla. We have | 14 | A. Yes, I am not sure I agree with the projections but |
| 15 | no board directorships, so it is an inert relationship. | 15 | certainly print advertising is much smaller than it was |
| 16 | Q. I accept that you are not on the board any more but | 16 | historically, in favour of digital advertising. I don't |
| 17 | Connells have a (inaudible). I accept that your personal | 17 | think it will go completely. |
| 18 | position has changed when we get to this presentation, | 18 | Q. So the big losers are the local newspapers, who used to |
| 19 | compared to the one that we have just looked at. | 19 | rely on estate agents filling up their weekly |
| 20 | A. Both me and Connells. The shareholders' agreement that | 20 | publications with photographs of rather grainy black and |
| 21 | we used to have has gone. | 21 | white photographs of houses for sale? |
| 22 | Q. Having looked at that, let's look at Mr Chesterman's | 22 | A. Yes, but actually, we are not following the portals |
| 23 | presentation. This is 26 March 2013 and if you look at | 23 | because the consumer behaviour now is that they'll go |
| 24 | page 689, here we are, "ZPG created from merger of | 24 | and look on the internet first, rather than opening the |
| 25 | Zoopla/DPG at the end of May 2012." That is correct, | 25 | back section of the property section of the local |
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| 1 | newspaper, to look for houses. | 1 | Rightmove and Zoopla, I help to achieve that objective, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Yes or go out in the rain to look in the estate agent's | 2 | I'm exposing it through the biggest possible shop |
| 3 | window, when you can pick up your iPad, just stay at | 3 | windows. |
| 4 | home, obviously. So let's look at what Mr Chesterman is | 4 | Q. You are not simply repeating over again, getting to the |
| 5 | telling us then, in March 2013. So the growing digital | 5 | same people with the second portal, the two of them |
| 6 | spend, portals have transformed consumer search | 6 | complement each other? |
| 7 | behaviour, that is a point that you have just made, | 7 | A. Yes, if one of them had all the unique viewings, we |
| 8 | print to digital marketing shift continues, with less | 8 | wouldn't pay-to-list on the other. |
| 9 | than 50 per cent online. Digital greater than | 9 | Q. Exactly. If we look at page 695, "Market share, the key |
| 10 | 40 per cent growth and consolidated to two key players. | 10 | measures", so a different way of looking at market |
| 11 | Then you see there's a change between print and | 11 | share, obviously. One is revenue, so we see on |
| 12 | digital. And then if you turn on, please, to page 693, | 12 | a revenue basis, at this time anyway, it is 2 to 1 ; |
| 13 | so unlike the previous table we looked at in 2010, what | 13 | right? Rightmove is twice as big in revenue terms as |
| 14 | we have now got is the position in what is essentially | 14 | Zoopla. Audience is nearly 2 to 1 but not quite. But |
| 15 | a two player market. What Mr Chesterman was told is the | 15 | then percentage of leads is $50 / 50$. Do you see that? |
| 16 | two key players. So in January 2013, Zoopla had | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | 30 million visits, 2.5 million leads, 1 million | 17 | Q. Now that looks a little odd, doesn't it, what |
| 18 | listings, 18,000 members and 29 per cent of Zoopla's | 18 | Lord Justice Sedley would say, calls for an explanation? |
| 19 | audience, according to UKOM MediaTel, did not visit | 19 | So do you agree with me that it is, at first blush |
| 20 | Rightmove and 37 per cent of Rightmove's audience didn't | 20 | anyway, it is a bit odd that the ratio of leads is 1 to |
| 21 | visit Zoopla and there is 34 per cent that visited; do | 21 | 1 , whereas the ratio of revenue and audiences is 2 to 1 ? |
| 22 | you see that? | 22 | A. I can't speak for the stats that Zoopla are using. |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | Certainly from our perspective, the numbers are |
| 24 | Q. So it is not quite one third, one third, one third but | 24 | different to that. So, for example, Rightmove generates |
| 25 | it is not so very far away from there being a third of | 25 | 328 leads per month, per branch on average. 328. |
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| 1 | the audience that uses both, a bit more than a third | 1 | Zoopla delivers 180. |
| 2 | that uses the number one player and a bit less than a | 2 | Q. Right. |
| 3 | third that uses the number two; yes? What that means is | 3 | A. So it doesn't follow those proportions but in context, |
| 4 | that if you are an estate agent, you are not in | 4 | in Gascoigne Halman the average per branch from |
| 5 | a position of Zoopla, simply getting into reaching the | 5 | OnTheMarket is 26. So to give you that context, it is |
| 6 | same people as Rightmove is already reaching, because 29 | 6 | 328 leads per month, per branch from Rightmove; 280 from |
| 7 | per cent of the people who visit Zoopla, don't go to | 7 | Zoopla and 26 from OnTheMarket. |
| 8 | Rightmove at all. That's what this is telling us? | 8 | Q. Okay. Just to finish off this document. If you go over |
| 9 | A. Sorry, I'm not following your question. | 9 | the page to 696. Mr Chesterman identifies in his |
| 10 | Q. What this is telling us is that Zoopla and Rightmove | 10 | statement, a clear strategy for growth. Was that your |
| 11 | are, to a very significant extent, reaching different | 11 | experience when you were on the board of Zoopla, that Mr |
| 12 | audiences? | 12 | Chesterman had a clear strategy for growth? |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. Which gives a powerful reason why an estate agent -- | 14 | Q. And the opportunity he identifies at page 697 in the |
| 15 | obviously they'd consider price and so on -- but in | 15 | fourth bullet point was that it was to be gunning for |
| 16 | principle, gives a powerful reason why Rightmove and | 16 | number 1; do you see that? |
| 17 | Zoopla complement each other, from the estate agent's | 17 | A. I see it, yes. |
| 18 | point of view? | 18 | Q. And does that accord with your experience on the Zoopla |
| 19 | A. Yes, so as an estate agent, my key obligation is | 19 | board, that Zoopla was gunning to be number 1? |
| 20 | actually to the vendor, who's technically my client, | 20 | A. Zoopla's objective has always been to try and become the |
| 21 | contractually, and my obligation to the vendor is to try | 21 | market leader. |
| 22 | and achieve the best price that I can for him for his | 22 | Q. Which is a politer way of saying it is gunning for |
| 23 | property, in the quickest possible time. And the way | 23 | number 1 and just at 696, key revenue streams for Zoopla |
| 24 | that I do that is through promoting it, advertising it | 24 | include not just estate agents. We see developers, |
| 25 | as widely as possible. So by advertising it on both | 25 | advertising and business services. So it is not just |
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|  | estate agents who are contributing to the revenue of |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | Zoopla; right? |
| 2 | A. Yes, it is trying to broaden its revenue streams, as |
| 4 | opposed to Rightmove, which focuses largely on agents. |
| 5 | Q. So developers, that's people who build new houses? |
| 6 | A. House builders. <br> 7 |
| 8 | Q. So, obviously, people who build new houses are trying to |
| 9 | sell new houses. Advertising is -- so that is third |
| 10 | parties who might advertise -- one of the examples, |
| 11 | I think, in the papers is Iceland, the frozen food shop, |
| 12 | board. So that when I'm surfing through idly, wondering |
| 13 | which houses I can't afford to buy, I can be reminded of |
| 14 | the existence of Iceland and that is another source of |
| 15 | revenue stream for Zoopla; right? |
| 16 | A. They take advertising revenues from a number of sources. <br> 17 |
| 18 | Q. And in business services -- that is a reference to the |
| 19 | various add-ons that are offered to estate agents? |
| 20 | Yes, probably the best example is their more recent |
| 21 | acquisition of Property Services Group, who supply the |
| 22 | software that sits on the desktop of the estate agents, |
| 23 | Qo it is additional services to estate agents. |
| 24 | want to buy to help to run their business? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
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```
Q. And all of that leads into the Revenue of Zoopla and to
    that extent it is a slightly different model than
    Rightmove which focuses, as you have said, rather more
    closely on estate agency but I think also includes quite
    a lot of developers; is that right?
    A. It does but Rightmove's general approach has been a much
        more focused, clean, simple property search portal,
        that's pretty much all it does.
    Q. And one of my client's objectives, it may or may not
        have been a good business proposition, but one of the
        ideas they had was to keep their portal, as you put it,
        clean and simple, in particular, not to clutter it up
        with additional services or advertising; right?
    A. Which is very similar to Rightmove's proposition.
    Q. Yes. And that's just -- as I say, it may or may not
        work, it may or may not be a good idea but there is
        nothing, in principle, wrong, with having that clean and
        simple presentation, is there?
    A. No, not in principle.
    Q. Now, what's a one to all lead, Mr Livesey?
    A. I think this is a reference to if you're a consumer and
        you're on Rightmove, one of the portals, there's an
        option given you to send a contact sheet to a number of
        agents at the same time. You may say "I'm interested in
        properties in Bolton", and it will go to all the estate
```
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    agents in Bolton or a selected number, so I'm guessing that's what they mean by one to all, as opposed to a single email or a message to a single estate agent.
Q. Yes. Would you take bundle X if you can find it.
A. Keep H2?
Q. Yes, please, if it causes you -- I know it is a terrible clutter but if it's okay. Turn to tab 3, please. You should be looking at tab 3 at page 78 at the front page of a document which says "Exane BNP Paribas." Do you see that? And do you see the date, 6 June 2014, on the left-hand side?
A. Yes.
Q. What is happening is, this is the Zoopla IPO; right?

You see:
"We publish a primer for investors ahead of the Zoopla IPO pricing later in June."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I don't want to ask you about the pricing at the moment, Mr Livesey. I want you to look at page 4 of this document, page 81. There is a figure at the top of the page, "Market share, size of Rightmove market." Sorry, let me read that again. "Size of Rightmove market lead, focus of debate."

Then we have in the left-hand side, "Page view." Do
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you see that? "Comscore page views. Comscore time", which I assume is the amount of time one spends on the portal which is obviously one way of measuring how interested they are in it. "Comscore visits", and then "Hitwise page impressions." This is all electronically gathered data and then on the other side, "Leads market share data, reported share of enquiries." Which is roughly $65 / 35$ in Rightmove's favour; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. But third party survey of purchaser leads is $80 / 20$. So there is quite a difference. Right. Then in the paragraph below, next but one paragraph:
"We note from industry contacts that there are differing views regarding the relative quality of the leads generated. Some argue they are roughly equivalent in quality, others claim, with some support from third party surveys and anecdotal evidence, more data is available on request, that Rightmove's lead advantage is greater, closer to 80 per cent of properties that are actually purchased. Contrast 60 to 70 per cent share of client enquiries. We suspect the differential between reported lead metrics and the surveys of purchasers is founded upon two key factors, explored in more detail within the report. One, the greater prevalence of one to all emails at Zoopla boosting their lead count and

| 1 | two, question marks over whether larger search areas are | 1 | more regularly than Rightmove. We see from the Bromley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | generated from the Zoopla website. We outline this | 2 | example below, an individual could instantly generate 34 |
| 3 | debate in more detail on pages 12 to 13." | 3 | leads for Zoopla, while Rightmove do not offer one to |
| 4 | So with bated breath, can we then turn to page 89 , | 4 | all emails for such a wide search criteria." |
| 5 | page 12 internally and the same, essentially the same | 5 | So this explanation, this difference rather, between |
| 6 | text is set out above the table and then would you look | 6 | Rightmove and Zoopla and the one to all emails, is one |
| 7 | at the bottom of the page then, Mr Livesey: | 7 | which you recognise, is that right? |
| 8 | "Our contact suggests." You see: | 8 | A. I couldn't be as scientific as this: this is an |
| 9 | "A number of differences could account for the | 9 | analyst's report, who is relying on other sources for |
| 10 | difference in lead quality. Per the analysis below, the | 10 | his information. I can only measure internally what we |
| 11 | Zoopla website search function may, in some instances, | 11 | see from the different portals and what $I$ would say is |
| 12 | select a larger area for a geographic search than | 12 | that there are lots of different kinds of lead coming |
| 13 | Rightmove. This could lead to individual property | 13 | from each portal. So they are coming from different |
| 14 | searches calling or emailing on properties that appear | 14 | types of consumer. Someone who's looking to rent, |
| 15 | attractive but are not located within their geographical | 15 | someone who is looking to rent out a property. Someone |
| 16 | requirements." | 16 | who's looking to buy, someone who's looking to sell. |
| 17 | In other words, you get too scatter gun a response: | 17 | Someone who is just browsing. And there are lots of |
| 18 | "Note that most property searches use search terms | 18 | different kinds of consumer coming into each of the |
| 19 | rather than post codes. We tested this anecdotally | 19 | portals and the different leads and the different |
| 20 | rather than in a significant manner and although we | 20 | volumes of those leads which come from them, vary. So |
| 21 | found some instances for a larger geographic region, we | 21 | I can give you -- if I was to mush it all up and say: |
| 22 | did not find large differences on a regular basis". | 22 | Rightmove leads are better quality than Zoopla leads or |
| 23 | Let me come to the second factor: | 23 | Zoopla's are better quality than somebody else's, would |
| 24 | "A second factor outlined in the graph below is the | 24 | be really difficult because they're different. How many |
| 25 | importance of the one to all emails." | 25 | of the leads are for people who are looking to put their |
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| 1 | What I want to ask you, Mr Livesey, is whether this | 1 | house on the market. And you may say that is really |
| 2 | accords with your understanding of these portals and | 2 | valuable to the estate agent because it is an |
| 3 | Connells' experience: | 3 | opportunity to win an instruction and that is true, but |
| 4 | "If an individual conducts a property search in a | 4 | that doesn't reduce the value of someone who is house |
| 5 | given job, both websites [at the bottom of the page] may | 5 | hunting, who comes to us through that portal because we |
| 6 | offer the opportunity to send a one to all email." | 6 | get the chance to sell them a house or rent a house to |
| 7 | Is that right? | 7 | them. |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | Q. I understand, but if one was only looking at number of |
| 9 | Q. "This email allows the individual to request contact | 9 | leads, if that was all one was concerned about, the |
| 10 | from all the agents in a given area." | 10 | absolute number of leads, then the explanation that we |
| 11 | That is what it means; yes?: | 11 | have just been looking at would explain why, for the |
| 12 | "Our testing suggested two key differences between | 12 | same number of search experiences by the human being who |
| 13 | the sides which potentially could inflate Zoopla's lead | 13 | wants to sell or rent or whatever it is, the property, |
| 14 | count. Firstly, Zoopla typically preselected a number | 14 | because of the differences in the set up of the portals, |
| 15 | of agents, in the case of Exeter, 16. Rightmove never | 15 | Zoopla is going to end up with, on that analysis, many |
| 16 | preselected enquiries for the use." | 16 | more leads per search experience than Rightmove? |
| 17 | Pausing there, what that means is, if I have done | 17 | A. I can't comment on that because it's third hand |
| 18 | this search on both websites at the same time and I do | 18 | information. All I can tell you is what I just said |
| 19 | not myself select an additional estate agent on | 19 | which is that the volume and value of the leads that we |
| 20 | Rightmove, that my Zoopla search will generate 16 leads, | 20 | get from Zoopla, we get more than twice that number -- |
| 21 | whereas my Rightmove search will generate 1. Is that | 21 | sorry, less than twice that number from Rightmove, just |
| 22 | right? | 22 | more than half that number from Zoopla and they're all |
| 23 | A. I think that's what they're saying. | 23 | very valuable to us. But that's why we pay more to |
| 24 | Q. And then secondly: | 24 | Rightmove every year than we do to Zoopla. |
| 25 | "Zoopla typically offered the one to all offering | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Livesey, I think you said a moment ago |
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| 1 | someone who is simply browsing can generate a lead. How |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | does that work? |
| 3 | A. On the same basis, because when you talk about buyers, |
| 4 | you have got lots of different kinds of buyers, some who |
| 5 | are oven ready, hot to trot and they are looking for 23 |
| 6 | Acacia Avenue and they want to hear about 23 Acacia |
| 7 | Avenue and they want to buy it, right through to someone |
| 8 | who is thinking of maybe moving next year, if the right |
| 9 | thing comes along. So they may register with a portal |
| 10 | but they are not a here and now active buyer. |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: I see. They may register but simply, if one |
| 12 | is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, |
| 13 | there is for sale because next year you might be buying, |
| 14 | that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats |
| 15 | for the site. It wouldn't generate what you would call |
| 16 | a lead? |
| 17 | A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that |
| 18 | these websites are getting -- Rightmove is a good |
| 19 | example --120 million hits in a month. It is only |
| 20 | a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, |
| 21 | that one portal is receiving $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ million hits. A lot of |
| 22 | those are repeat hits and people looking at how much |
| 23 | their neighbour paid for their house and how much they |
| 24 | think their house is worth because they have just built |
| 25 | an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it |
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other than because you are an active buyer or seller.
THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents --

## A. Yes, and filling in the form.

THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead?
A. Correct.

THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent -- we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property?
A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area.
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MR MACLEAN: I am conscious of the time, may I finish this point?

## THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.

MR MACLEAN: So if one was sitting at home saying to oneself "I have had enough of this barristering game, I think I want to retire to Cornwall", if one went on to the website, the Zoopla website with the prechecked estate agents, if you went as far as not simply looking at the website but actually pressing the button to make a connection, the one to all email might conceivably email every estate agent in Cornwall, each of which would record that as a separate lead, wouldn't they?
A. And each of them would make contact with that customer.
Q. They might follow it up or they might not. But each of them would get a lead, whereas on the Rightmove website, unless I went in and identified the estate agent to whom I wanted to send my communication, there would only be one lead generated?
A. In theory, but the feedback from within the business, our analysts, is not that there is massive duplication of leads within Zoopla. Ie, the quality is fairly consistent.
Q. And that's a point. Sir, I was going to move on to whatever's next on this piece of paper but that is a convenient moment?
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```
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Maclean.
MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean
    invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular
    matter. I think it was to do with the share price.
THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was
    acquired for.
MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but
        I want it to be clear that I am doing that.
MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either
    now or --
THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain
    what we are talking about. The rule is that you
    shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am
    sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is
    whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am
    wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source
    that you might use to obtain that information.
MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is -- we shall try to
        find another source. If we haven't done that over the
        short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock.
    THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal
        with that during the short break.
    MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will
        have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so
        it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey
```

|  | doesn't come up with the number. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I am sure. I would rather you didn't |
| 2 | speak to the witness. There was one other point |
| 4 | which -- if I could add to the shopping list. It is the |
| 5 | contribution, if I can call it that, that Zoopla are |
| 6 | making to the costs. I don't know if there are any |
| 7 | terms on which that contribution has been made but if |
| 8 | there are, I think we'd like to know about them. |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, I don't know. I'll find out. |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am grateful. That is something we could |
| 11 | raise with Mr Livesey and if you don't get any joy with |
| 12 | your enquiries, we will later on. |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: So in the first instance, try to find out |
| 14 | independently of Mr Livesey? |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly so. |
| 16 | MR HARRIS: By all means. |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Would it assist, |
| 18 | Mr Maclean, if we returned earlier than 2 o'clock? |
| 19 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. It would assist me. If we could resume |
| 20 | at 1.50. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: That means less of a break for you, |
| 22 | Mr Livesey. 1.50. |
| 23 | (1.05 pm) |
| 24 | (Luncheon Adjournment) |
| 25 | (1.50 pm) |
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MR HARRIS: Sir, we have been unable to get the answers so far and can I let you know when we close for the day?
THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR MACLEAN: Mr Livesey, would you turn to your first witness statement, please, which is in bundle D , tab 3, page 25 , paragraph 15 . Look at the third line, if you would, please. The sentence beginning:
"I also believe".
Do you see that:
"I also believe that Zoopla would provide a better source of leads than OnTheMarket."

Just read those words in square brackets to yourself
"And."
A. "And at a better investment cost"?
Q. Yes. The marginal cost to Gascoigne Halman or rather, I think, to Connells, of Gascoigne Halman listing on Zoopla after the acquisition by Connells of Gascoigne Halman, was zero?

## A. The marginal cost?

Q. The marginal cost to Gascoigne Halman, to Connells, of Gascoigne Halman listing its properties on Zoopla, was zero?
A. No, no, absolutely not.
Q. Because it was already covered by the deal that Connells had with Zoopla?
> A. No, that's incorrect. The deal that Connells had with Zoopla was a group wide listings agreement which says all of our branches will list their properties with Zoopla but we pay a charge per branch. So we add 18 branches on, we pay 18 times that charge. In addition. So there is a direct additional cost for GHL listing with Zoopla.
> Q. I see. Based on the group wide corporate rate charged by Zoopla to Connells?
> A. Correct.
> Q. Now, let's have a look at the next paragraph, 16. You say, third line:
> "Rightmove and Zoopla both entered the market and established themselves without seeking to restrict the choice of estate agent, about how and where they market their properties."

> Now, what you don't deal with in this statement but you do deal with in your second statement, is the fact that the founders of Rightmove had a de facto exclusivity arrangement, didn't they?
> A. The four founding shareholders agreed between themselves that they would only list their properties with Rightmove. This is 17,18 years ago, but they didn't try to impose any restrictions on other agents, which is the point that I'm making here. So that there were no
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exclusivity arrangements other than initially between the four founder shareholders and even then, that was just a loose agreement to make sure we're all concentrating our efforts on that one endeavour of creating a portal for the marketplace.
Q. Well --
A. Indeed, it wasn't a formal exclusivity in any event, in that one of the shareholders wanted to list their properties elsewhere at the time and we allowed them to.
Q. Why did you only deal with that point in your second statement? Why not deal with it in your first statement?
A. I didn't think it was particularly relevant, actually.
Q. Let us look at what you say in your second statement then, which is in tab 7, paragraph 9, page 103:
"Mr Springett has also sought to draw support for the need for the OOP rule by reference to an apparent exclusivity agreement between the large corporate estate agencies (Countrywide, Connells, Halifax and Royal \& Sun Alliance)that were involved in the establishment of Rightmove in 2000. What is stated at paragraph 6.9 of Mr Springett's fifth witness statement is not accurate. There was no complete exclusivity arrangement between the four joint venture partners, but rather an understanding that in order to support the development

| 1 | of Rightmove we would seek to list on Rightmove only. | 1 | sky? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Nevertheless, we each did have the ability to list | 2 | A. I don't understand the question. |
| 3 | elsewhere, if that was commercially necessary." | 3 | Q. Well, the idea that OnTheMarket could ever have |
| 4 | Then you give an example of John D Wood in London, | 4 | successfully entered the portal market on the basis of |
| 5 | right. | 5 | requiring its members to commit to exclusivity was |
| 6 | But you are not taking issue with the fundamental | 6 | always a nonstarter? |
| 7 | proposition that Rightmove got going in 2000 because its | 7 | A. Are you saying that OnTheMarket couldn't have launched |
| 8 | founder members agreed, not a contractual arrangement | 8 | without the One Other Portal rule? |
| 9 | but a de facto agreed understanding that they would | 9 | Q. It couldn't have launched without the exclusivity rule, |
| 10 | exclusively list their properties on Rightmove, right? | 10 | a slightly different point. |
| 11 | A. The four founding shareholders. But what I said in my | 11 | A. It could have launched with either. |
| 12 | original statement is correct, that we didn't seek to | 12 | Q. It was never a practical possibility given where the |
| 13 | impose that on any of the other members. | 13 | market was in 2015. That is the point that's being made |
| 14 | Q. But they chose to restrict themselves in order to get | 14 | at page 416. We would love to be an exclusivity |
| 15 | the portal off the ground? | 15 | arrangement. We would love if that would work. It |
| 16 | A. Correct. | 16 | worked for Rightmove, it worked for Primelocation but |
| 17 | Q. And that lasted for how long, that arrangement, | 17 | given where the market is, it is just not on. That is |
| 18 | understanding, however you want to call it? | 18 | what is being said and that is not right, isn't it? |
| 19 | A. I'm guessing the first couple of years. | 19 | A. Sorry, are you drawing an analogy between what the four |
| 20 | Q. You have seen or at least heard perhaps of the email -- | 20 | founding shareholders of Rightmove did and what |
| 21 | I will give you the reference. It is bundle H 1 , | 21 | OnTheMarket have done? |
| 22 | page 403 , the draft business plan which I think I have | 22 | Q. Yes. |
| 23 | referred to on Friday, I think Mr Harris referred to | 23 | A. They are two completely different scenarios. |
| 24 | a later version of the same document. It starts at 403. | 24 | Q. I am asking you to look at 416 which is saying in |
| 25 | It is the newco's business plan. If you go to 416 , | 25 | essence, the new portal would ideally require its |
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| 1 | please. Do you see the paragraph beginning "Given the | 1 | members to list their properties exclusively. That is |
| 2 | powerful established..." It is at the bottom of the | 2 | what Rightmove did, at least with the founder members. |
| 3 | page: | 3 | That is what Primelocation did, but that's not going to |
| 4 | "The new portal would ideally require its members to | 4 | work, given where the market is and OOP is not as |
| 5 | list their properties exclusively. This was part of the | 5 | impactful but will cause some disruption. I am |
| 6 | market entry strategy adopted by Rightmove and also | 6 | suggesting to you that is obviously a reflection of the |
| 7 | subsequently by Primelocation. However, as indicated | 7 | commercial reality. Exclusivity wasn't an option? |
| 8 | above, it would take time for the new portal to become | 8 | A. Well, all things were an option at the start of a new |
| 9 | fully effective and agents are now heavily reliant on | 9 | business. I would have said it was very unlikely to |
| 10 | the leads they receive from the portals. Accordingly, | 10 | have been successful without full exclusivity. I think |
| 11 | the requirement would be that members listed on the new | 11 | it has been far less successful than it could have been |
| 12 | portal website and on one other website only. This | 12 | with this partial exclusivity. Two thirds of agents |
| 13 | requirement will be implemented after the new portal | 13 | have chosen not to join it. |
| 14 | launches. Whilst not as impactful as full exclusively | 14 | Q. You don't believe any agent would have joined |
| 15 | it will create [one and two]." | 15 | Agents' Mutual with complete exclusivity? |
| 16 | You agree presumably that the OOP rule is not, as | 16 | A. We wouldn't. I can't answer for other agents. I think |
| 17 | this rather inelegantly says, not as impactful as full | 17 | the value of the leads that we get from Zoopla and |
| 18 | exclusivity, right? | 18 | Rightmove are such that we wouldn't have done that. |
| 19 | A. Yes, of course. | 19 | Q. Do you believe that any agent would have joined |
| 20 | Q. Of course. But you also agree, I think of course, that | 20 | Agents' Mutual with complete exclusivity? |
| 21 | by 2015 the market was completely different from the | 21 | A. I would be surprised. |
| 22 | market that Rightmove had entered 15 years before? | 22 | Q. You see, in your first witness statement at page 32, |
| 23 | A. I would agree. | 23 | paragraph 29 you say exactly that -- |
| 24 | Q. The idea that OnTheMarket could ever have entered | 24 | A. Sorry, where are we? |
| 25 | successfully with an exclusively model is pie in the | 25 | Q. Tab 3, bundle D, tab 3, page 32, paragraph 29. In the |
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| 1 | sentence at the beginning of the end of the second line | 1 | promises to which you refer, can you? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "it has not done". You say in the next line: | 2 | A. It wasn't an assurance or a promise made to me, but it |
| 3 | "I do not believe any agent would have joined | 3 | was very loudly broadcast at the launch of OnTheMarket |
| 4 | Agents' Mutual with complete exclusivity." Is that | 4 | that that is what would happen. |
| 5 | still your evidence? | 5 | Q. You don't identify any promise made to anybody, do you? |
| 6 | A. I would be surprised if they did. | 6 | A. There is no document in the packing. |
| 7 | Q. The reason you don't believe that they would have joined | 7 | Q. That is because there was no promise, right? There were |
| 8 | is that it would have been contrary to common sense to | 8 | statements of ambition. Just like Mr Chesterman was |
| 9 | do so? | 9 | gunning to be number 1, OTM was ambitious to make |
| 10 | A. Contrary to common sense and to their obligations to | 10 | headway quickly, but where was the promise you made? |
| 11 | their vendor clients to expose the properties to the | 11 | A. If you are challenging the use of the word "promise" -- |
| 12 | market fully. | 12 | Q. I am? |
| 13 | Q. Look at paragraph 16, please, of your first witness | 13 | A. -- as opposed to "reassurance" or "strong commitment to" |
| 14 | statement. You refer later on in this paragraph -- do | 14 | but I think the market generally accepted that that was |
| 15 | you see the sentence "in contrast"? | 15 | what OnTheMarket was all about, was becoming number 2 |
| 16 | "In contrast, agents Agents' Mutual has adopted | 16 | within the first year. |
| 17 | a business model based on taking inventory from other | 17 | Q. Look at your second statement, Mr Livesey at tab 7, |
| 18 | portals." | 18 | paragraph 8, page 103. Second sentence, "the reality |
| 19 | Just pausing there, surely any entrant in 2015 would | 19 | is"; do you see that? |
| 20 | have had to take inventory from other portals, wouldn't | 20 | A. Sorry, which paragraph? |
| 21 | it? | 21 | Q. Paragraph 8, page 103, second sentence beginning "the |
| 22 | A. No. Why would it need to remove inventory from the | 22 | reality is". Same question. You chose the same verb, |
| 23 | other portals to win inventory of its own? | 23 | "promises", but there is no reference. Which promises, |
| 24 | Q. Because otherwise it wouldn't be disrupting the market | 24 | made when, Mr Livesey? |
| 25 | that was completely covered by Rightmove and Zoopla in | 25 | A. Again, it is the same comments that were made at the |
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| 1 | terms of inventory? | 1 | start, at the launch in all the presentations that we've |
| 2 | A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market | 2 | seen from OnTheMarket some of which -- |
| 3 | that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind | 3 | Q. Do you -- sorry, carry on. |
| 4 | of exclusivity -- | 4 | A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked |
| 5 | Q. That was in 2008? | 5 | about the volume and the quality of the leads that it |
| 6 | A. Mmm. | 6 | would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne |
| 7 | Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: | 7 | Halman that it's currently two years after launch |
| 8 | "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing | 8 | delivering 26 leads per branch per month. |
| 9 | a better commercial offering on making unrealistic | 9 | Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these |
| 10 | promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as | 10 | witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to |
| 11 | the number 2 portal within 12 months." | 11 | agents or not? |
| 12 | To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and | 12 | A. That is the way I would see it. I think if $I$ was one of |
| 13 | where? | 13 | those agents and had those reassurances made to me |
| 14 | A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of | 14 | I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of |
| 15 | OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player | 15 | contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. |
| 16 | within its first year. | 16 | Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne |
| 17 | Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability | 17 | Halman. That's my .... |
| 18 | to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any | 18 | Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 |
| 19 | document here do you? | 19 | if you would, tab 3: |
| 20 | A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from | 20 | "There have historically been many examples of |
| 21 | Mr Springett and others along those lines. | 21 | agents that have multi-listed on more than two property |
| 22 | Q. I think we established earlier that this witness | 22 | portals. Information provided to me by Zoopla shows |
| 23 | statement when it is not quoting from somebody else is | 23 | that in 2011 ..." |
| 24 | using your words, right, so you chose the verb "promise" | 24 | When was the information provided to you by Zoopla, |
| 25 | in this sentence, but you can't identify the promise or | 25 | Mr Livesey? |
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| 1 | example, from Zoopla which includes certain add-ons. I'm | 1 | you, if I may say so, play with words, Mr Livesey. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | assuming that's what he means. | 2 | A. Sorry, paragraph? |
| 3 | Q. So what would be an elite tier membership of Zoopla? | 3 | Q. Paragraph 27 of your first witness statement. You quote |
| 4 | What would that bring if I was an estate agent? | 4 | from the information memoranda of my clients: |
| 5 | A. It would bring you different level of promotion within | 5 | "The company's new portal will have a unique |
| 6 | the website for the properties for your customers, so | 6 | collection [and that is the important word] ie consumers |
| 7 | you'd pay extra for these enhanced services. | 7 | will not be able to find all of them on any other |
| 8 | Q. If I get up, I get punted up the list a bit? | 8 | portal." |
| 9 | A. Punted up the list? Which list? | 9 | You say: |
| 10 | Q. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name | 10 | "This statement seems to me to have been very |
| 11 | is shiny in lights or how does it work? | 11 | carefully drafted to give an impression which is in fact |
| 12 | A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay | 12 | misleading." |
| 13 | for your clients' properties to receive more attention. | 13 | I suggest to you it is perfectly simple: that the |
| 14 | In Rightmove it is called premium display where the | 14 | effect of the OOP rule, taking some inventory from |
| 15 | property and the search is in a slightly different | 15 | Rightmove, taking some inventory from Zoopla would |
| 16 | colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced | 16 | inevitably mean that the company's new portal would have |
| 17 | for example. | 17 | a unique collection of properties and consumers would |
| 18 | Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors | 18 | not be able to find all of them on any other portal. It |
| 19 | that you quote from? | 19 | is simply a statement of the necessary and inevitable |
| 20 | A. I have. | 20 | effect of the OOP rule enacted, isn't it? |
| 21 | Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, | 21 | A. My point here is that I think actually OnTheMarket were |
| 22 | Mr Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know | 22 | playing with words. If you put yourself in the shoes of |
| 23 | what a collective action problem is? | 23 | the consumer, the man on the high street, when you read |
| 24 | A. I don't. | 24 | that phrase it gives you the impression that the |
| 25 | Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea | 25 | properties you will see when you go to this portal you |
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| 1 | in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it | 1 | will only see there and in fact every single one of |
| 2 | but because too many of them stick with what they have, | 2 | those properties you can find elsewhere. |
| 3 | the critical mass is never developed and a good idea | 3 | Q. I don't agree with that, but -- |
| 4 | can't take off, right. A collective action problem. | 4 | A. That's my point in that paragraph. |
| 5 | A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. | 5 | Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, |
| 6 | Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is | 6 | the very next paragraph, 28, you say: |
| 7 | really an illustration of the collective action problem | 7 | "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents |
| 8 | that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it | 8 | choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP |
| 9 | is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good | 9 | rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's |
| 10 | idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People | 10 | properties on a single other portal." |
| 11 | would be willing to join it if it could be proved to | 11 | There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is |
| 12 | work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have | 12 | not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly |
| 13 | because they're frightened that they don't want to stay | 13 | comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? |
| 14 | apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like | 14 | A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other |
| 15 | that the good idea never takes off, right? | 15 | Portal rule? |
| 16 | A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are | 16 | Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory |
| 17 | saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for | 17 | from the two key players and means that there is |
| 18 | this One Other Portal rule that would block us from | 18 | a unique collection of properties which can't all be |
| 19 | listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and | 19 | found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for |
| 20 | Rightmove. | 20 | the consumer to go there? |
| 21 | Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the | 21 | A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is |
| 22 | market you have to have created some disruption to the | 22 | deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if |
| 23 | two key players? | 23 | they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties |
| 24 | A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. | 24 | that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the |
| 25 | Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement | 25 | eyeballs. |
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| 1 | Q. But the information memoranda which is what you are | 1 | A. Don't think so. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | quoting wasn't made available to members of the public. | 2 | Q. Are any of them Agents' Mutual people? |
| 3 | The information memorandum was made available to | 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | prospective members of OTM to get them to join up? | 4 | Q. Are any of them Zoopla people? |
| 5 | A. It has been part of their broadcast proposition from the | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | start. | 6 | Q. This email wasn't sent by anyone at Agents' Mutual, was |
| 7 | Q. Look at the next paragraph, 29, Mr Livesey, if you | 7 | it? Mr Underwood, nothing to do with Agents' Mutual? |
| 8 | would. You say in the second sentence: | 8 | A. I don't think so. |
| 9 | "It has not done that because Rightmove is used by | 9 | Q. It wasn't sent to anyone at Agents' Mutual or copied to |
| 10 | agents as the must have portal. In essence, | 10 | anyone at Agents' Mutual, right? |
| 11 | Agents' Mutual's business model is founded on forcing | 11 | A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 12 | agent members to come off and hence to damage Zoopla." | 12 | Q. And you don't suggest in your paragraph 29 that it came |
| 13 | Gascoigne Halman wasn't forced to do anything, was | 13 | to the attention of anyone at Agents' Mutual at any |
| 14 | it, Mr Livesey, by OnTheMarket? | 14 | stage, do you? |
| 15 | A. As part of signing the contract, the listing agreement, | 15 | A. That's not what I'm inferring, no. |
| 16 | it was forced to delist from one of the other two | 16 | Q. You are not making that suggestion? |
| 17 | portals. | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Only because it chose of its own free will to sign up to | 18 | Q. It would be entirely false if you were but you are not |
| 19 | the proposition which it found attractive? | 19 | making that suggestion, are you? |
| 20 | A. My inference isn't that any of the firms that join, any | 20 | A. No. |
| 21 | of the members were coerced into something. | 21 | Q. You say you exhibit this email. How did you obtain this |
| 22 | Q. Why use the word "forced"? | 22 | email, Mr Livesey? |
| 23 | A. Because as part of signing that contract they were | 23 | A. I don't know how this email came to me. |
| 24 | forced into adopting this anti-competitive practice of | 24 | Q. You don't know how it came to you? |
| 25 | the One Other Portal rule. | 25 | A. No. |
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| 1 | Q. They voluntarily signed up to contractual terms on | 1 | Q. Well, when did you first become aware of its existence? |
| 2 | a proposition which they found commercially attractive, | 2 | A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but |
| 3 | right? | 3 | I couldn't tell you where and from what source. |
| 4 | A. Commercially attractive? | 4 | Q. Where were you when you first saw it? |
| 5 | Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially | 5 | A. In my office. |
| 6 | attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as | 6 | Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this |
| 7 | well as I do. | 7 | statement in your own words you say in: "I have been |
| 8 | A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part | 8 | shown an email that is exhibit ..." But in paragraph 29 |
| 9 | of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and | 9 | you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account |
| 10 | as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and | 10 | for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne |
| 11 | that rules forces them to delist from one of the other | 11 | Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth |
| 12 | portals. | 12 | fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne |
| 13 | Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down -- | 13 | Halman's possession, 4140? |
| 14 | do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? | 14 | A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. |
| 15 | "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." | 15 | I genuinely don't know. There are over $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ pieces of |
| 16 | Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody | 16 | paper and this particular email has come into my |
| 17 | called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, | 17 | possession during the course of this litigation but |
| 18 | Mr Livesey? | 18 | I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. |
| 19 | A. I don't. | 19 | Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an |
| 20 | Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye | 20 | E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number |
| 21 | over those names. Who do you know? | 21 | from Quinn Emanuel because it is exhibited to your |
| 22 | A. I don't personally know any of those. | 22 | witness statement. You must have been trying to make |
| 23 | Q. Are any of those Connells people? | 23 | some point or other by referring to it in your |
| 24 | A. I don't think so. | 24 | paragraph 29, were you? |
| 25 | Q. Are any of them Gascoigne Halman people? | 25 | A. Yes, absolutely but in terms of how it came to me, |
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| 1 | I couldn't tell you. We paid an absolute fortune for an | 1 | Q. So don't you agree that if you are going to make a point from an email exchange that if you are going to quote from it you should at least try and quote something which fairly summarises the gist of the exchange? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | independent firm to come in and look at all our data and | 2 |  |
| 3 | source information. It could have been part of that | 3 |  |
| 4 | exercise. I just don't know. | 4 |  |
| 5 | Q. But you accept that it has nothing to do with my clients | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | in terms of it wasn't sent to them, sent by them, copied | 6 | Q. No? |
| 7 | to them and there's no indication it ever came to the | 7 | A. Well, in the same way that when Mr Springett produces |
| 8 | attention of anybody at my client. You accept all of | 8 | a file note of a meeting that he had with me and others |
| 9 | that? | 9 | it's not a full verbatim recording of that meeting. |
| 10 | A. Absolutely. | 10 | There were plenty of things talked about in an hour and |
| 11 | Q. Then look at the other email that you referred to: | 11 | a half that weren't in there. There are redactions in |
| 12 | "I also exhibit at DCL1/24 an email dated 3 February | 12 | there. My point being, in this situation if I am trying |
| 13 | from Mr Springett to Mr Abrahmson. | 13 | to make a point and I have got an email that supports |
| 14 | A. Sorry where are we now? | 14 | that point, I am going to take the piece from the email |
| 15 | Q. Back to paragraph 29. Do you see the last four and | 15 | that supports that point rather than try and paraphrase |
| 16 | a half lines: "I also exhibit ... Do you see that? | 16 | the whole email. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Q. And you think that helps this Tribunal to get a fair |
| 18 | Q. Just read that to yourself to the end of the paragraph. | 18 | picture of your involvement in the events do you, that |
| 19 | (Pause) | 19 | exercise? |
| 20 | You can put this rather mysterious 4140 away, the | 20 | A. Otherwise 10,000 would be 20,000 pages. |
| 21 | plot not having thinned any about how that appeared. Go | 21 | Q. You tell me what's the point then that led you to pick, |
| 22 | to H9/4977. Before we come to the email just look at | 22 | select, leave out the cherries, these words that you |
| 23 | the witness statement. Just look at the witness | 23 | have quoted in 29. What's the point, Mr Livesey? |
| 24 | statement before we come to the email. You say: | 24 | What's the argument you're trying to build? Tell this |
| 25 | "I also exhibit [blah blah] an email dated 3 | 25 | Tribunal. Here they are. Here's your chance. What's |
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| 1 | February which refers to..." | 1 | the point? |
| 2 | And then you quote from the email. Do you see that, | 2 | A. I'm quoting from one of the founder members of |
| 3 | beginning "discussions"? | 3 | OnTheMarket with the managing director of that business, |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | a very important quote from the email. |
| 5 | Q. Are you suggesting that the quotation which you give | 5 | Q. Did you look at the whole email chain before you did |
| 6 | there is a fair summary of the gist of the exchange | 6 | your picking or your selection of the bit to put in your |
| 7 | between Mr Springett and Mr Abrahmsohn? | 7 | statement? |
| 8 | A. I am not trying to summarise their correspondence. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | I have just taken an element of that that I thought was | 9 | Q. You did. So let's go to 4977. "Dear Trevor", 15.50 on |
| 10 | useful for the point that I was making. | 10 | 3 February. Do you see that? |
| 11 | Q. So you have, as one might say, cherrypicked a little bit | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | of the email exchange, have you? | 12 | Q. And what's happened is that Mr Abrahmsohn sent |
| 13 | A. No, I've not cherrypicked or paraphrased. | 13 | Mr Springett an email. Mr Springett is replying and |
| 14 | Q. You have picked out a bit? | 14 | then at the end he says: |
| 15 | A. I have selected from that email to support a particular | 15 | "These numbers bear out the discussions we had |
| 16 | point. | 16 | during last year to the effect that the most efficient |
| 17 | Q. To support a particular point, to help to build the | 17 | way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if |
| 18 | argument? | 18 | members dropped Zoopla." |
| 19 | A. To make this particular point, to illustrate the point. | 19 | That is a statement of fact, isn't it, Mr Livesey, a |
| 20 | Q. Did you do this picking? Did you make this selection? | 20 | straightforward statement of the factual position? |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | A. I'm not sure whether dropping Rightmove would have had |
| 22 | Q. You remember that what you are supposed to be doing is | 22 | the same effect. |
| 23 | giving evidence which is the truth and the whole truth. | 23 | Q. Can you think of a more efficient way to get swiftly to |
| 24 | Do you remember that? | 24 | the number 2 position, Mr Livesey? |
| 25 | A. That's right. | 25 | A. Getting customers to drop the number 1 portal. |
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| 1 | Q. Really? For every person you pick up who joins | 1 | simply competing fairly to overtake it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | OnTheMarket that is one to your side but if they've left | 2 | Q. So replacing X -- number 3 replacing number 2 as number |
| 3 | Rightmove rather than Zoopla it is going to take longer | 3 | 2 involves necessarily killing him off, does it? |
| 4 | rather than a shorter period of time to get to the | 4 | A. He uses the word "replacing" not "overtaking". |
| 5 | number 2 position, isn't it? It stands to reason. Just | 5 | Q. Presumably that applies -- I hope, to pick up on |
| 6 | a statement of fact? | 6 | Friday's analogy, that doesn't apply to tennis otherwise |
| 7 | A. If you depose the number 1 , does that not automatically | 7 | Mr Djokovic had better look out. But you suggest that |
| 8 | get you to number 2? | 8 | this is all some great plot to kill off Zoopla, do you? |
| 9 | Q. You see, my point, is Mr Livesey, that the passage you | 9 | A. Absolutely, and when I've met Mr Springett on a couple |
| 10 | have picked does not support the argument that you want | 10 | of occasions that has been the very clear message all |
| 11 | to advance. That is the first point. But the second | 11 | the way. This is not about competing fairly, trying to |
| 12 | point, if you go to 4975 and 4976, there is an email | 12 | overtake the number 2 and then trying to become the |
| 13 | back from Mr Abrahmsohn at 5.25 the same day and then at | 13 | number 1 , it has been about killing the opposition in |
| 14 | 9.59 that very night at 4974. Just have a look at 4974, | 14 | the -- |
| 15 | would you, Mr Livesey and just read to yourself to the | 15 | Q. What is the difference between replacing Zoopla as |
| 16 | third paragraph stopping at the word "some reasons". Do | 16 | number 2 from OTM's perspective and Mr Chesterman |
| 17 | you see that? Just read from "thanks for this" to "some | 17 | gunning for number 1 from his perspective; what's the |
| 18 | reasons" please. Just read that to yourself. (Pause) | 18 | difference? |
| 19 | Have you read that? | 19 | A. It's a huge difference. Gunning for number 1 is an |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | objective of we want to be the best, the biggest, number |
| 21 | Q. What Mr Springett was doing, he was doing various | 21 | 1. That is what Andy Murray wanted to be and replacing |
| 22 | things. First of all, he is emphasising for the nth | 22 | the number 2 means removing the number 2. |
| 23 | time that "these decisions are decisions for members to | 23 | Q. Come on, Mr Livesey. |
| 24 | take and not me". Do you see that? Decisions as to | 24 | A. It is a fairly straightforward word. |
| 25 | which portal to drop? | 25 | Q. In paragraph 36 of your witness statement -- actually, |
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| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | it rather chimes in, if we are discussing what words |
| 2 | Q. Then he's going on to offer some advice as to how | 2 | mean. In paragraph 36 of your first witness statement, |
| 3 | Mr Abrahmsohn might, as he puts it, "leverage a better | 3 | you say: |
| 4 | deal out of Zoopla". So far from dropping Zoopla he's | 4 | "As for the group procurement obligation in the |
| 5 | going on to offer what he says at the end of his email | 5 | Agents' Mutual membership contracts, this cause was |
| 6 | "our views from a distance" which he hopes maybe found | 6 | something Connells considered in the course of our due |
| 7 | some help "if only as devil's advocacy" to help | 7 | diligence as part of the GHL acquisition." |
| 8 | Mr Abrahmsohn to get a better deal for Zoopla. Not to | 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | drop Zoopla but to carry on with Zoopla on better terms. | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | My suggestion to you, Mr Livesey, is that any | 10 | Q. Did you obtain any insurance in relation to the |
| 11 | witness attempting to present a fair summary of what was | 11 | potential exposure of Gascoigne Halman under that |
| 12 | going on in this email exchange could not but have | 12 | clause? |
| 13 | referred to page 4974 rather than pick or select that | 13 | A. What kind of insurance? |
| 14 | single sentence which you choose to read in some | 14 | Q. Insurance which protects you from economic or legal |
| 15 | pernicious or spooky way and that what this email | 15 | liability? |
| 16 | exchange shows is the obverse of the position you are | 16 | A. I'm not aware of an insurance that would cover that |
| 17 | contending for. What it shows is that Mr Springett was | 17 | eventuality. We looked at the contract. As I say, we |
| 18 | fastidious about emphasising that the choice of the | 18 | deemed it to be an onerous contract that we were |
| 19 | other portal was not a matter for him but a matter for | 19 | inheriting as part of the acquisition. We looked at the |
| 20 | each individual member, right? | 20 | particular clause but didn't believe it was sustainable |
| 21 | A. No, completely wrong. What he says is replacing Zoopla | 21 | or that it even intended or envisaged the situation of |
| 22 | as number 2 has been board strategy since last February. | 22 | a corporate acquiring one of the gold members and |
| 23 | His use of the word "replacing" not "overtaking" and | 23 | certainly we'd never heard of it being exercised in the |
| 24 | that has been their board strategy, and there is | 24 | market previously. So we acquired the business and we |
| 25 | a constant theme that this is about killing Zoopla not | 25 | did not believe that the procurement rule was relevant. |
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| 1 | legitimately feel that that was a commercial threat to | 1 | blocked from advertising their properties. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | my shortish or medium or long-term existence? | 2 | Q. I see. So let's just go back to Mr Springett's |
| 3 | A. No, well there are two issues. First of all, there are | 3 | presentation. So he sets out all sorts of data and at |
| 4 | virtually no internet only estate agents. And the | 4 | page 6247 , the strategy is to get to be the number 2 |
| 5 | second is, would I want to blockade them from | 5 | portal. Do you see that? |
| 6 | advertising their properties in the same way that | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | I could? | 7 | Q. And if you keep a finger there and you go back to 6237, |
| 8 | Q. Let us just focus on the first of those. Here's Mr | 8 | we see the same point made there: |
| 9 | Dunstone, who has been in the news this week, since | 9 | "Become the number 2 portal, then build to be the |
| 10 | Baroness Harding sold TalkTalk and, for example, Mr | 10 | alternative to the number 1." |
| 11 | Dunstone backing online estate agent HouseSimple. Quite | 11 | Do you see? |
| 12 | a neat little name, you might think. So you say that a | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Bricks and Mortar estate agent should be sanguine about | 13 | Q. And at 6251, Mr Springett in the heading "Funding", he |
| 14 | the development of internet only firms because they | 14 | deals with funding. It is quite hard to read this but |
| 15 | haven't really taken off yet? | 15 | do you see that what he's doing there is referring to |
| 16 | A. Well not just because they haven't taken off, it's | 16 | something called ARPA; do you see? Now ARPA is average |
| 17 | because they don't act as pure internet only estate | 17 | revenue per advertiser, isn't it? |
| 18 | agents, as you are inferring. So if you take Purple | 18 | A. It is. |
| 19 | Bricks which is the most dominant player in that market | 19 | Q. And so we can see that he's showing the increase in ARPA |
| 20 | and all the others, HouseSimple and so on, are very | 20 | and ARPA is the common metric used in the estate agent |
| 21 | similar. They have an internet advertising programme, | 21 | business as a proxy for price, in effect? |
| 22 | TV advertising, but they have things called local | 22 | A. It is a term used in the portal business. The estate |
| 23 | property experts. Purple Bricks have got 300 of them | 23 | agent wouldn't recognise it. |
| 24 | and they are people employed around the country, whose | 24 | Q. So it is a metric used in the portal business, |
| 25 | job is to act as an estate agent, to go into the lounge | 25 | essentially it is the proxy for the price it's charged? |
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| 1 | of the vendor, convince them that's the best way to sell | 1 | A. Yes, so if you are Zoopla or Rightmove, you are taking |
| 2 | their house. | 2 | all of the fees that the agents are paying to you and |
| 3 | Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? | 3 | dividing it by the number of agents and that gives you |
| 4 | A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. | 4 | your average revenue per advertiser. |
| 5 | Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go | 5 | Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per customer, in |
| 6 | round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them | 6 | effect? |
| 7 | round? | 7 | A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not just |
| 8 | A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those | 8 | your fixed listings fees. |
| 9 | agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for | 9 | Q. It is the other add-ons? |
| 10 | five or six years without a branch. | 10 | A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mushed |
| 11 | Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the | 11 | together, divided by the number of agents. |
| 12 | traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? | 12 | Q. You are quite right. So depending on -- if you are |
| 13 | A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into | 13 | a portal and I'm a portal and we have different add-ons, |
| 14 | your space, poses a threat. | 14 | different business services or different advertising, |
| 15 | Q. So it does pose a threat? | 15 | then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, one with |
| 16 | A. Any new competitor does. | 16 | the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing in |
| 17 | Q. So they do pose a threat? | 17 | terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the |
| 18 | A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is | 18 | overall ARPA? |
| 19 | a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online. | 19 | A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee |
| 20 | Q. I see. | 20 | progress is or is not. It is saying that you will be |
| 21 | A. They are just a different model, they're different | 21 | more or less successful in charging revenue to your |
| 22 | charging structure. They'll charge the customer a fee | 22 | agents, however that revenue is comprised. |
| 23 | upfront rather than we will charge them a fee only if | 23 | Q. Yes. I want you to look at 6032. I haven't finished |
| 24 | we're successful selling it. I don't see them as a big | 24 | with this document yet but the same bundle, just back |
| 25 | threat and I certainly wouldn't see them needing to be | 25 | a bit to 6032 to 6033 . You may or may not have seen |
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| 1 | this email in the run-up to this litigation, this case. | 1 | share gains. It doesn't say anything about which portal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Perhaps you haven't ever seen it before. I don't know. | 2 | or portals? |
| 3 | It is an email to somebody called Craig Whiley from | 3 | A. It does. "Switch from Zoopla". |
| 4 | Helen Whiteley. Do you see at the bottom of the page? | 4 | Q. "And crystallise share gains"? |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | A. Yes, "Switch from Zoopla". |
| 6 | Q. Have you seen this email before? | 6 | Q. Disinvest in Zoopla and become a member of this mutual |
| 7 | A. I have. | 7 | organisation -- |
| 8 | Q. As part of your homework for the case? | 8 | A. That is not what it says. |
| 9 | A. I have. | 9 | Q. -- OnTheMarket? |
| 10 | Q. You are a diligent student, Mr Livesey, to have got to | 10 | A. And that's not what he said in the meeting and there |
| 11 | page 6032: | 11 | were two or three occasions in the meeting -- if you |
| 12 | "Hi Craig, we have managed to get a meeting with | 12 | read two or three of these slides together, you will see |
| 13 | Connells on 28th September [so this is looking forward | 13 | that they are, slide after slide, being critical of |
| 14 | to the Leighton Buzzard meetings] - it would be good to | 14 | Zoopla and there was a couple of times in the meeting |
| 15 | be able to understand the following information: | 15 | which Mr Springett and Mrs Whiteley will recall, when |
| 16 | "Our market share in general ... | 16 | I said "When I'm playing golf, Ian, I can't worry about |
| 17 | "How it alters with Connells added. | 17 | how the other guy plays. There's nothing I can do about |
| 18 | "How it alters with Countrywide and Connells. | 18 | that. All I can do is concentrate on playing my best |
| 19 | "How it alters with LSL plus Countrywide and | 19 | game, so can you stop, please, talking about your |
| 20 | Connells." | 20 | competitor in this round of golf and talk about what you |
| 21 | "In the end, we wouldn't want them to see all our | 21 | can do. What is it that makes OnTheMarket attractive, |
| 22 | data - but it might be useful to show how many areas we | 22 | useful, enhanced, different, what is it that you can |
| 23 | become number 2 or number 1 or within 20 per cent of | 23 | sell to me? Give me your best game and stop talking |
| 24 | number 2 in if .. | 24 | about your competitor." It is all in the presentation. |
| 25 | " (a) they drop Zoopla. | 25 | It was about: kill Zoopla, join us, sell your shares in |
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| 1 | " (b) they drop Rightmove. | 1 | Zoopla. We'll find an equitable way of making right any |
| 2 | "If at all possible, it would be good if we could | 2 | damage. |
| 3 | have the information by 16 September. Is that | 3 | Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing |
| 4 | possible?" | 4 | Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about |
| 5 | A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual | 5 | choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing |
| 6 | to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an | 6 | Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a |
| 7 | ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is | 7 | commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to |
| 8 | being asked to give confidential information from his | 8 | become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set |
| 9 | previous employer. | 9 | its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's |
| 10 | Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but | 10 | doing? |
| 11 | I don't care. What I am interested in is that | 11 | A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression |
| 12 | Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the | 12 | that was given at the meeting. |
| 13 | position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and | 13 | Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at |
| 14 | Z , if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So | 14 | your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you |
| 15 | that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the | 15 | refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we |
| 16 | Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be | 16 | have just been looking at; right?: |
| 17 | dropped, is it? | 17 | "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of |
| 18 | A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take | 18 | Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it |
| 19 | you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which | 19 | would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." |
| 20 | really does say it all. | 20 | Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest, |
| 21 | Q. Really? | 21 | Mr Livesey, it's exactly what he did: |
| 22 | A. "Switch from Zoopla and crystallise Zoopla share gains | 22 | "I understood this to mean that the Agents' Mutual |
| 23 | now." | 23 | pitch to agents was that in complying with the OOP rule, |
| 24 | Q. That is just pointing out that if Connells were to | 24 | they should leave Zoopla." |
| 25 | disinvest in Zoopla, it would be able to crystallise its | 25 | But that understanding was wrong, Mr Livesey. This |
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| 1 | has got nothing to do with Agents' Mutual's pitch to | 1 | did say that if we were to leave Zoopla, sign a listing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | agents, this is a specific pitch to Connells which winds | 2 | agreement with OnTheMarket, join as members, they would |
| 3 | up with the suggestion that Connells might want to think | 3 | put together an equity proposition that would compensate |
| 4 | about disinvesting in Zoopla, crystallising its share | 4 | us and then some, for the damage to the Zoopla shares |
| 5 | views, which as you explained earlier, were very | 5 | that we owned at that time. |
| 6 | considerable and joining OnTheMarket. | 6 | Q. Where is that in the presentation? |
| 7 | A. No, the conversation at the presentation was all just | 7 | A. It is not in the presentation. |
| 8 | focused on Zoopla and I am trying to find the slide | 8 | Q. It is not in the presentation? |
| 9 | where he shows how much of a dent they had taken out of | 9 | A. I'm telling you that is what he said to us in the |
| 10 | Zoopla at the time. | 10 | meeting, with this presentation in the background. |
| 11 | Q. Let me move on, Mr Livesey. | 11 | Q. I don't agree with that. I do agree there was some |
| 12 | A. Well -- | 12 | discussion about that topic at the four way meeting but |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: Excuse me, the witness is trying to find a slide | 13 | I don't agree there was a discussion about that here? |
| 14 | to make his point. He ought to be allowed to have that | 14 | A. You don't? |
| 15 | opportunity, please. | 15 | Q. No. |
| 16 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris has the opportunity to re-examine. | 16 | A. In which case, in the four way meeting you'll see that |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think I agree. Mr Maclean, let's give him a | 17 | Mr Springett -- |
| 18 | moment. If he has something in mind, dig it out -- not | 18 | Q. I am coming to the -- let me stop you there. I am |
| 19 | too long. I'm aware the re-examination should also -- | 19 | coming to the four way meeting and the reason I don't |
| 20 | A. It is on page 6249. | 20 | want to go to the four way meeting is that the four way |
| 21 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, Mr Livesey. | 21 | meeting, as you'll appreciate, was a meeting involving |
| 22 | A. Page 6249. One of the slides. This is the one that | 22 | four different entities, two of which are not here, |
| 23 | stuck in my mind where, again, it's all about: how do we | 23 | which was treated by all the parties as a confidential |
| 24 | beat Zoopla? How do we make Zoopla virtually disappear? | 24 | meeting and it would be inappropriate for the four way |
| 25 | And he was talking to us about how successful | 25 | meeting to be explored in open session, in my |
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| 1 | OnTheMarket had been at winning agents away from Zoopla. | 1 | submission. Not least in fairness to the two |
| 2 | Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all | 2 | representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't |
| 3 | left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. | 3 | want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four |
| 4 | Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer | 4 | way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of |
| 5 | to a five year strategy. Do you see that? | 5 | the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in |
| 6 | A. Mmm. | 6 | the bundle as being a confidential document? |
| 7 | Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. |
| 8 | OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off | 8 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be |
| 9 | Zoopla within one year of launch? | 9 | an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what |
| 10 | A. That is a very different proposition. | 10 | Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him |
| 11 | Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? | 11 | about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting |
| 12 | A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the | 12 | which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when |
| 13 | launch. | 13 | we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision |
| 14 | Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in | 14 | by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are |
| 15 | the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them | 15 | confidential. We don't accept it at all. |
| 16 | off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, |
| 17 | A. They are just there. | 17 | I suggest you proceed in stages. |
| 18 | Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when | 18 | MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. |
| 19 | they started off? | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have |
| 20 | A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that | 20 | had argument about what is and what is not confidential |
| 21 | joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming | 21 | and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open |
| 22 | from Zoopla and were not allowed to list with Zoopla. | 22 | court. |
| 23 | By inference, the more successful OnTheMarket would | 23 | MR MACLEAN: If you don't mind, Mr Livesey, can we park the |
| 24 | become, the more badly damaged Zoopla would become. Can | 24 | four way meeting. |
| 25 | I also add, please, in this presentation, Mr Springett | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Livesey, let us be clear. We don't want |
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| 1 | to shut out any questions. It is simply that counsel is | 1 | which I think is quite important. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | taking his questions in order and we'll get to it. | 2 | Q. I can see that. That is a different point. You had |
| 3 | A. Thank you, sir. | 3 | spoken to Mr Embley of LSL about having a joint meeting, |
| 4 | MR MACLEAN: I promise we'll get to it. | 4 | hadn't you? |
| 5 | Let's go then to your paragraph 44, bundle D3/44. | 5 | A. No, I had no conversation with Simon Embley. |
| 6 | So we have had the meeting on 28 September and | 6 | Q. You see, if you go to page 6486, Mr Springett on the |
| 7 | Mr Springett sent an email following that meeting to | 7 | same day, 21-minutes after the email we have just looked |
| 8 | Mr Milsom. So again, nothing to do with you, you | 8 | at, to Mr Smith at Spicerhaart, he says: |
| 9 | comment on it. H11/6125. You see that, paragraph 43? | 9 | "I think anything which encourages Simon to think we |
| 10 | A. Where are we, sir? | 10 | are going to get to the tipping point and knock Z over |
| 11 | Q. Paragraph 43 and 44 of your first statement, bundle D3, | 11 | would be helpful - might just be in conversation if you |
| 12 | page 37. You refer to an email sent by Mr Springett to | 12 | are in his company at any point. I would think he is |
| 13 | Mr Milsom, after the Leighton Buzzard meeting and you | 13 | hedging his bets ... |
| 14 | say: | 14 | "Looking forward to catching up next Tuesday." |
| 15 | "This email accurately records the fact that Mr | 15 | Do you see that? |
| 16 | Springett raised the idea of each of Connells, LSL and | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Countrywide all joining Agents' Mutual, in response to | 17 | Q. And then you exchanged emails. It went a bit quiet for |
| 18 | which I stated that Connells would be happy to attend | 18 | a bit, didn't it, and you exchanged emails with |
| 19 | any joint meeting that Mr Springett could organise." | 19 | Mr Springett on 16 February. We need to go to the next |
| 20 | Now, I just want to have a look at some of these | 20 | bundle, H 13. At 7370. On 16 December there is that |
| 21 | emails. Would you take bundle H12. Every time | 21 | same email we have just looked at, at the bottom of the |
| 22 | confidential for Mr Livesey. If you turn to 6489. I am | 22 | page, about Monica having the diary, do you see that, |
| 23 | sure you have seen this in your homework, Mr Livesey. | 23 | and need to chat about Gascoigne Halman? |
| 24 | Look at the email at the bottom of the page, | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Mr Springett to you, on 15 September. Do you see that? | 25 | Q. And at the top of the page: |
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| 1 | A. I do. | 1 | "Ed Mead mentioned that he chatted with you earlier |
| 2 | Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard | 2 | this week and prompted me to get in touch." |
| 3 | recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. | 3 | Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? |
| 4 | Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we | 4 | A. He was. |
| 5 | had about the portals market and potential opportunities | 5 | Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? |
| 6 | for us to work with and for you to develop it. | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL ..." | 7 | Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you |
| 8 | In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL | 8 | were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and |
| 9 | but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with | 9 | Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? |
| 10 | each of them and with you at about the same time? | 10 | A. No, no conversation at all. |
| 11 | A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each | 11 | Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email |
| 12 | of us individually, before the joint meting. | 12 | because he says: |
| 13 | Q. He had met with each of you individually: | 13 | "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no |
| 14 | '... and believe there would be value in further | 14 | response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of |
| 15 | discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to | 15 | a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain |
| 16 | put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in | 16 | keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's |
| 17 | supporting us now. | 17 | considerable common purpose and that we could construct |
| 18 | "When you are ready, please let me know and we can | 18 | terms which would be attractive to you." |
| 19 | fix a time to meet up again." | 19 | And then you then replied: |
| 20 | You reply: | 20 | "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am |
| 21 | "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from | 21 | sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and |
| 22 | each of the 3, I can be alone or with David Plumtree | 22 | responsive if you wanted a one to two. |
| 23 | and/or Richard Twigg. | 23 | "If you want LSL at the party, I will be able to |
| 24 | And you had spoken to Mr Embley about -- | 24 | make this happen quickly too." |
| 25 | A. Sorry, you missed out the last sentence of that email | 25 | He replies: |
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| 1 | "I think your original preference 1 to 3 and that | 1 | you say: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | would make complete sense. Should I write to Alison | 2 | "Suggest you email both Adrian Gill and Ian Crabb, |
| 3 | [that is Alison Platt] and Ian [that's Ian Crabb] to | 3 | and if they want a chat with me first to give me |
| 4 | propose it, saying we have spoken?" | 4 | a shout." |
| 5 | And you reply: | 5 | And you proposed that there be a private discussion |
| 6 | "Yes, good way forward." | 6 | between you, Mr Crabb and Miss Platt, before |
| 7 | So the key catalyst for the four way meeting was | 7 | Mr Springett joined the four way meeting, didn't you? |
| 8 | you, Mr Livesey? | 8 | A. Yes, I did. I thought it would be quite useful for the |
| 9 | A. That's completely incorrect. | 9 | three of us to talk about where we had got to so far, |
| 10 | Q. But it is completely clear from these emails? | 10 | before he joined us up until now. It didn't happen that |
| 11 | A. Well it's not. I say I'm prepared to meet one-to-one, | 11 | way. |
| 12 | one to two, one to three. | 12 | Q. So you and your two major competitors, Countrywide and |
| 13 | Q. But Mr Springett says your original preference was one | 13 | LSL, were sitting down to have a private chat. That was |
| 14 | to three. That would make complete sense. And you | 14 | the plan before this four way meeting in January with |
| 15 | agree, you don't -- | 15 | Mr Springett? |
| 16 | A. It wasn't my original preference. So going back to the | 16 | A. Each having had individual discussions with him and so |
| 17 | meeting at Leighton Buzzard, when I objected to the One | 17 | him having approached each of the three of us |
| 18 | Other Portal rule and I made it completely clear that we | 18 | independently and then wanting to get all three of us |
| 19 | supported the overall objective of OnThe Market and we | 19 | together. |
| 20 | would be happy to support it but not with the One Other | 20 | Q. I'm just at the four way meeting. We obviously need to |
| 21 | Portal rule and I made that so clear. He then said the | 21 | tackle the point Mr Harris raised but my final question |
| 22 | only way we could consider dropping the One Other Portal | 22 | for the afternoon, Mr Livesey, is this, that whatever |
| 23 | rule is if all three corporates joined. He says that | 23 | happened or didn't happen at the four way meeting, one |
| 24 | elsewhere, in some internal communications as well. He | 24 | thing I think everyone is agreed about is that no |
| 25 | says something along the lines of, that's because I told | 25 | consensus or agreement was reached at the four way |
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| 1 | him that the only way we could drop the One Other Portal | 1 | meeting, was it, between you on the one hand and |
| 2 | rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him | 2 | Mr Springett on the other? |
| 3 | at his word and when he talked about next steps and | 3 | A. There was a complete disagreement. |
| 4 | whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was | 4 | Q. Yes. |
| 5 | already in the process of setting up, or a three way | 5 | MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient |
| 6 | meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. | 6 | moment? |
| 7 | Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, |
| 8 | along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was | 8 | remind me where I find the confidential notes for the |
| 9 | his original suggestion though. | 9 | four way meeting? |
| 10 | Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. | 10 | MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way |
| 11 | We know that the four way meeting was -- whoever | 11 | meeting -- |
| 12 | organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the | 12 | MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. |
| 13 | four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my | 13 | MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen |
| 14 | suggestion to you. But we know that the four way | 14 | out of the bundle. |
| 15 | meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? | 15 | MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. |
| 16 | A. Correct. | 16 | MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, |
| 17 | Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you | 17 | it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of |
| 18 | suggested at 7369 -- Alison Platt, there was no reply. | 18 | the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written |
| 19 | 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: | 19 | up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense |
| 20 | "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to | 20 | and the document at 7736 is the document which |
| 21 | proceed - any thoughts?" | 21 | Mr Springett, on his evidence -- I am not sure whether |
| 22 | 7368: | 22 | this has been tested, I don't think so. This is |
| 23 | "I am sure Alison will be happy to meet. Did you | 23 | a document which he had presented or had with him at the |
| 24 | get anywhere with LSL? | 24 | meeting and 7737, Mr Springett explains is his own |
| 25 | Mr Springett is waiting to hear from Alison and then | 25 | aide-memoire or some sort of agenda for himself. |
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| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just to be clear, your issue about the | 1 | day, but I don't think we're in trouble. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | confidence here is less that it is Mr Springett's | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am grateful. I should be clear, no |
| 3 | confidence but it is third party confidence? | 3 | criticism was intended of you. It is entirely down to |
| 4 | MR MACLEAN: It is both. It is Mr Springett's confidence | 4 | the Tribunal. So we'll see how we go tomorrow but 10.30 |
| 5 | when there are some questions discussed of the strategic | 5 | start. We'll shave time off where necessary. |
| 6 | direction that OnTheMarket may or may not take which is | 6 | MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful, sir. |
| 7 | not publicly available, which is sensitive and | 7 | ( 3.05 pm ) |
| 8 | confidential. The whole thing is treated as a sensitive | 8 | (The court adjourned until the following day at 10.30 am ) |
| 9 | and confidential meeting by all parties and therefore my | 9 |  |
| 10 | second concern is the position of Miss Platt and | 10 |  |
| 11 | Mr Crabb and their respective instance. And the final | 11 |  |
| 12 | point is, of course, is that it is common ground, | 12 |  |
| 13 | Mr Livesey accepts, that this note is a fair reflection | 13 |  |
| 14 | of the meeting. For my part, I don't think it is going | 14 |  |
| 15 | to be necessary to explore in great detail, questions | 15 |  |
| 16 | that go beyond those two pages of text, but those two | 16 |  |
| 17 | pages of text and the contents of them are sensitive and | 17 |  |
| 18 | confidential. | 18 |  |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. | 19 |  |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: Just so we set this up, perhaps, for first thing | 20 |  |
| 21 | in this morning, our position is it is not confidential. | 21 |  |
| 22 | It has never been confidential, it was never expressed | 22 |  |
| 23 | to be confidential and we would be wishing for this to | 23 |  |
| 24 | be available on a non-confidential basis. Whilst I am | 24 |  |
| 25 | at it, can you see that on the first of the two pages, | 25 |  |
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| 1 | there is a bizarre redaction for supposed irrelevance, even from Mr Livesey, who was in the meeting. So we would like that to be revealed, so if a copy of that information could be provided in the morning as well. <br> MR MACLEAN: I am sure we'll address that second point -the first point. <br> THE CHAIRMAN: The battle lines are drawn. I see, and it is entirely the Tribunal's fault, that you are a little bit behind, Mr Maclean. Would it assist if we started early tomorrow or are you happy with 10.30 ? | 1 | INDEX <br> MISS GLYNIS FREW (sworn) $\qquad$ <br> Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS $\qquad$ <br> Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN $\qquad$ <br> Re-examination by MR HARRIS $\qquad$ <br> MR DAVID CHRISTOPHER LIVESEY $\qquad$ (affirmed) |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 3 |  | 3 |  |
| 4 |  | 4 |  |
| 5 |  | 5 |  |
| 6 |  | 6 |  |
| 7 |  | 7 |  |
| 8 |  |  | Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS .............. 59 |
| 9 |  | 8 |  |
| 10 |  |  | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN ................ 61 |
| 11 | MR MACLEAN: You said we were behind. | 9 |  |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Only because of -- | 10 |  |
| 13 | MR MACLEAN: I am not behind. It is just that we are | 11 |  |
| 14 | finishing early, that's all. | 13 |  |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is why I said it was our fault, | 14 |  |
| 16 | Mr Maclean. I am simply raising with you what you | 15 |  |
| 17 | raised with us, whether an early start for tomorrow. | 16 |  |
| 18 | MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful. | 17 |  |
| 19 | MR FREEMAN: Perhaps you could give us your alternative | 18 |  |
| 20 | facts. | 19 |  |
| 21 | MR MACLEAN: We think we are okay. With the Tribunal's | 20 |  |
| 22 | indulgence, if that turns out not to be right, never | 21 |  |
|  | trust counsel's time estimate, we can perhaps shave | 22 |  |
| 23 | trust counsel's time estimate, we can perhaps shave | 23 |  |
| 24 | 15 minutes in the middle of the day. Perhaps, if | 24 |  |
| 25 | I crave the indulgence, if necessary, at the end of the | 25 |  |
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| 125:8 142:3 | 11:22 12:15,15,20 | 118:25 119:2,10 | 10,000 85:20 | 19:6 43:12 97:1 |
| 145:21 147:25 | 13:3,5,6,11,14,16 | 119:18 121:22,24 | $133: 15136: 20$ $10.302 .2 ~ 167.10$ | $1938: 7,8$ 87:23 |
| 148:23 150:21 | 13:23 14:1,4,4,11 | 122:4,10 123:4 | 10.30 2:2 167:10 | 124:1 |
| wound 127:16 | 14:11 17:2,6,22 | 124:8 126:1,3 | 168:4,8 | 1995 66:13,15 |
| write 9:17 34:22 | 18:5 19:6,21,24 | 127:19 128:15 | 10/04/14 12:10 | 1999 8:15,17 |
| 38:14 162:2 | 20:2,4 37:7,11,15 | 130:12 132:4 | $1007: 9$ 25:9,10,13 |  |
| written 51:22 | 37:20,25 38:4 | 137:18 138:3 | 25:15,17 26:9,10 | 2 |
| 165:18 | 39:22 40:4,7,19 | 139:4,4,8,9,9,21 | 26:12,12 43:12 | 2 11:4 13:16 41:5 |
| wrong 34:11,12 | 40:25 41:17 42:2 | 139:25 140:8,15 | 53:18 89:2,3,5,8 | 88:5 96:12,14,21 |
| 35:4 36:1 37:9 | 42:3,7,12,12,17 | 145:15 149:1 | $103113: 15120: 18$ | 109:20 110:18 |
| 47:10 62:18 65:8 | 42:17,20 43:1 | 150:25 151:14,16 | 120:21 | 119:11,15 120:15 |
| 99:17 139:21 | 44:10 45:3,7,25 | 151:22,22,25 | $108038: 2$ | 137:17,24 138:5,8 |
| 153:25 | 46:20 47:4 48:17 | 152:3,5,6,14,25 | $108239: 1$ | 139:22 140:2,3,12 |
| wrote 61:4 | 51:1,14 54:15,15 | 153:1,4,6,19,24 | 1083 39:1 | 140:16,22,22 |
|  | 55:6,11,15,21,25 | 154:4,8,10,24,24 | 117:2 18:16,19 | 148:4,9 150:23,24 |
| X | 55:25 56:19 57:7 | 155:1,3,3,9,14,22 | 36:23 61:18,18 | 153:8,19 169:4 |
| X 63:23 100:4 | 58:19 60:23,25 | 155:22,24 156:1,4 | 11.50 59:24 | 2.5 94:17 |
| 140:2 151:13,13 | 65:20 68:4,9 69:1 | Zoopla's 13:23 | 11.55 60:1 | 2.7 87:5,6,13,15 |
| 151:13 | 69:3,6,24 70:4,20 | 78:20 90:21,23 | $111539: 8$ | 20 39:25 41:24 |
| Y | 71:10,11 72:5,15 | 94:18 97:20 | 12 102:3,5 119:11 | 60:13 121:18 |
| Y 63:23,24 151:13 | 73:7,8,14 76:7,10 | 103:13 104:23 | 120 106:19,21 | 150:23 |
| Y 63:23,24 151:13 | 78:3,6,10,13,17 | 125:6 | 1262/5/7/16 1:1 | 20,000 136:20 |
| 151:13 | 78:21 79:2,6,13 | Zoopla.co.uk 84:24 | 13 102:3 160:20 | 2000 10:14 47:24 |


| 77:20 113:21 | 2646 12:3,6,6 | 41 153:14 | 155:4 | 89 102:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:7 | 2648 14:12,25 15:5 | 4140 133:13 134:20 | 6251 148:13 |  |
| 2000s 48:9 | 2649 15:6,13 | $416114: 25$ 116:14 | $\mathbf{6 2 5 6}$ 151:19 | 9 |
| 2006 78:2 | 27 66:12 127:25 | 116:24 | 64 106:20 | $9113: 15$ |
| 2008 66:10 119:5 | 128:3 | 4272 21:17 | 6486 160:6 | 9.59 138:14 |
| 2010 78:3,7,10,13 | 28 129:6 144:14 | 4275 22:5 | 6489 158:22 | 90 155:21 |
| 79:10,20 81:11 | 158:6 | 43 158:9,11 | 65/35 101:8 | 96 27:24 |
| 83:9 84:18 86:19 | 280 97:6 | 4344 22:22 | 688 91:4 |  |
| 86:21,22 88:17 | 28th 150:13 | 44 158:5,11 | 689 91:24 |  |
| 90:12,21,24 94:13 | 29 94:18 95:6 | 4974 138:14,14 | 691 92:18 |  |
| 2011 121:23 122:20 | 117:23,25 130:7 | 139:13 | 693 94:12 |  |
| 122:22,23,25 | 131:13 132:12 | 4975 138:12 | 695 96:9 |  |
| 123:4,8 | 133:8,24 134:15 | 4976 138:12 | 696 97:9,23 |  |
| 2012 78:7 90:14 | 136:23 | 4977 137:9 | 697 97:14 |  |
| 91:25 92:4 123:9 | 2EB 1:5 |  |  |  |
| 2013 33:10 34:8,13 |  | 5 | 7 |  |
| 36:8 91:6,23 94:5 | 3 | $52: 13$ 18:14 33:9 | 7 19:10,22 21:19 |  |
| 94:16 | 3 29:22 35:8 36:3 | 76:14 | 29:22 61:7 64:5,7 |  |
| 2014 8:7 13:10,15 | 60:9 69:20 72:19 | $5.25138: 13$ | 113:15 120:17 |  |
| 17:3,10 21:19 | 84:12 88:5 100:7 | $5.3472: 18$ | 70 101:20 |  |
| 34:10,14,15,19 | 100:8 111:5 | $5094: 9143: 25$ | 7368 163:19,22 |  |
| 36:8,11 52:20 | 117:25,25 121:19 | 50/50 96:15 | 7369 163:18 |  |
| 53:22 83:12,13,14 | 134:12,25 137:10 | 51 88:16 | 7370 160:20 |  |
| 83:15 100:10 | 140:2 159:22 | 52 169:5 | 75 67:12 |  |
| 145:16 | 162:1 | 55 81:14 82:22 83:3 | 7536 163:10 |  |
| 2015 13:19 47:10 | $3.05168: 7$ | 59 169:6,7 | 76 88:19 |  |
| 51:18 66:18 77:13 | $3094: 17$ | 590 76:15 | $7733: 9$ |  |
| 115:21 116:13 | 300 146:23 | 6 | 7734 165:16 |  |
| 118:19 122:24 |  | $\frac{6}{62: 19: 22 ~ 83: 7,15}$ | 7735 165:15 |  |
| $123: 6124: 7$ $144 \cdot 14$ | $32117: 22,25$ 143:2 $\mathbf{3 2 8} 96: 25,2597: 6$ | 6 2:1 19:22 83:7,15 | $7736165: 20$ 7737 165:24 |  |
| 201661:1 163:15 | 32884.17 | 6,000 155:20 | 78 100:8 |  |
| 2017 2:1 | 34 94:21 104:2 | $6.9113: 21$ | 7874 72:18 |  |
| 2018 61:1 | 35 86:16,21 142:15 | $60101: 20$ | $787571: 1$ |  |
| 2020 93:12 | $3687: 4$ 140:25 | 6032 149:23,25 | 7876 69:12 71:4 |  |
| 21 48:23 64:4,7,16 $64 \cdot 23$ 65:9 | $141: 2153: 14$ 3787.1994 .20 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 150: 11 \\ \mathbf{6 0 3 3} 149: 25 \end{array}$ | 7877 69:12 |  |
| 64:23 65:9 21-minutes 160:7 | $3787: 19$ 94:20 158:12 | $61 \text { 88:18 169:8 }$ | 8 |  |
| 22 19:15 124:25 | 38 87:19,20,23 | $6226145: 2$ | 8 19:24 24:12 81:13 |  |
| 23 106:5,6 107:19 | 39 90:13 133:6 | $6229145: 14$ | 81:17 82:10 84:7 |  |
| $2583: 20,21,22,24$ $111: 6$ |  | $6230145: 15$ | 84:18 86:18 |  |
| 111:6 | 4 | 6233 145:16 | 120:18,21 |  |
| 250,000 68:14 69:1 | $48: 15$ 88:5 100:20 | $6237148: 7$ | 80 41:24 101:19 |  |
| 26 91:23 97:5,7 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \text { 94:10 } \\ & \mathbf{4 0 3} 114: 22,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{6 2 4 7} 148: 4 \\ \mathbf{6 2 4 9} 154: 20,22 \end{array}$ | 80/20 101:10 <br> 81 100:21 |  |
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