Case No: 1262/5/7/16 (T) IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Competition Appeal Tribunal Victoria House Bloomsbury Place London WC1A 2EB

Before:

MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH
MR PETER FREEMAN CBE,QC (Hon)and MR BRIAN LANDERS

Between:

AGENTS' MUTUAL LIMITED
Claimant

and

GASCOIGNE HALMAN LIMITED (T/A GASCOIGNE HALMAN)
Defendant

MR ALAN MACLEAN QC and MR JOSH HOLMES appeared on behalf of the Claimant

MR PAUL HARRIS QC and MR PHILIP WOOLFE appeared on behalf of the Defendant

		Π	
1	Monday, 6 February 2017	1	respect of the annual price increases."
2	(10.30 am)	2	That was a concern which Hunters, your organisation,
3	MR HARRIS: Good morning, sir, members of the Tribunal.	3	shared, wasn't it?
4	Unless there are any preliminaries, I propose to call my	4	A. Well, I think as I say in my statement, when you've only
5	first witness, Miss Glynis Frew.	5	got two portals, then you know, it was interesting and
6	MISS GLYNIS FREW (sworn)	6	positive, potentially positive to have a third portal
7	Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS	7	and yes, there was no doubt about it, you know, there
8	MR HARRIS: Miss Frew, good morning, thank you for coming	8	were obviously annual price increases, you know, for the
9	today. From time to time you will be handed some	9	two major portals.
10	bundles. Please can you be handed bundle D with the	10	Q. And there had been annual price increases for several
11	witness statements in, unless it is already on your	11	years, hadn't there?
12	desk. It may be behind you. And does that have in	12	A. Yes, for most. I mean, I can't talk about I can only
13	tab 5, "Miss Frew's witness statement"?	13	talk about Hunters, of course, so I can't talk about any
14	A. Yes, it does.	14	other agents and what agreements they had. So you know,
15	MR HARRIS: If you could perhaps hand that up to the	15	from Hunters' point of view, then yes, every year you
16	witness, that would be great.	16	would go into a discussion on price.
17	Miss Frew, do you recognise that as a front page of	17	Q. So there were annual negotiations about the listing
18	a witness statement that you prepared for use in these	18	fees?
19	proceedings?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. Yes, I do.	20	Q. Is that right?
21	Q. And please could you turn to the back of that tab, the	21	A. Yes, there would.
22	sixth page of the witness statement, and is that a copy	22	Q. And both with Rightmove and with Zoopla?
23	of your signature?	23	A. Yes, there would.
24	A. Yes, it is.	24	Q. Did you participate in those listing negotiations on
25	Q. And are the contents of this witness statement true and	25	Hunters' behalf?
	Daga 2		Dage 4
	Page 2		Page 4
1	accurate and the evidence you wish to give to this	1	A. At that time
2	Tribunal?	2	Q. What time, sorry?
3	A. Yes, it is.	3	A. At the time that OnTheMarket launched, I would not
4	Q. Do you have any corrections to make?	4	necessarily have been involved in those negotiations,
5	A. No.	5	no, and not every time, I have to say, did it mean that
6	Q. Thank you. There may be some questions for you.	6	the prices went up every year. I think that, you know,
7	A. Thank you.	7	that's not necessarily the case but every year there
8	Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN	8	would be a discussion, but at that time, it was the
9	MR MACLEAN: Good morning, Miss Frew.	9	managing director that would do that.
10	A. Good morning.	10	Q. Who was that then?
11	Q. You agree, don't you, that a situation in which there	11	A. That was Kevin Hollinrake.
12	are only two portals, Rightmove and Zoopla, with all the	12	Q. The MP for Thirsk?
13	power that they have, is not desirable, don't you?	13	A. That's right.
14	A. Well, I think as I say, in the statement, you know, the	14	Q. He was your predecessor?
15	fact that there was potentially a third portal coming on	15	A. Yes.
16	stream, you know, was a good thing, for a third portal	16	Q. You became acting managing director when he was elected
17	to come on stream.	17	to Parliament; is that right?
18	Q. And it was a good thing, in particular, for independent	18	A. That's right.
19	estate agents, wasn't it?	19	Q. So you said in that answer that you didn't necessarily
20	A. Well, as I say, it was a good thing for the industry as	20	take part in these negotiations. I just want to make
21	a whole, for a third portal to be coming on stream.	21	absolutely clear, did you or did you not participate in
22	Q. And in your statement in paragraph 14 you say in the	22	the listing fee negotiations with Rightmove, when OTM
23	middle of the paragraph:	23	entered the market?
24	"Many agents were concerned about being beholden to	24	A. Well, I think it's too simple a question to say did
25	Rightmove and to a lesser extent, Zoopla, including in	25	I or was I not involved in the negotiation because
	D 2		D 5
	Page 3		Page 5

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

1	and the state of the same of t	1	A Well he still wore those broughes that's next of the
1 2	everybody knows that part of a negotiation with any	1 2	A. Well he still runs those branches, that's part of the
3	supplier involves a number of people, but you might not be the person that sits in front of that supplier. But	3	agreement, so he still runs those branches and that agreement finishes in July of this year.
4	you would be part of the discussion prior to it.	4	Q. When was the deal done, when was the acquisition done
5	Q. I am not trying to fall out with you. I am just trying	5	between Hunters Plc and Hunters Group Limited?
6	to find out what your position was and the question is	6	A. That would be about three years ago.
7	quite straightforward. Is this the gist of your answer,	7	Q. About 2014?
8	that your role in these negotiations was at the Hunters'	8	A. That's right, so it's a three year agreement, so it
9	end of the deal but you weren't sitting across the table	9	would be July.
10	from the people representing Rightmove or, as the case	10	Q. So that deal was done before the launch of OTM but
11	may be, Zoopla, yourself; is that right?	11	I think you must be saying after Hunters Group Limited
12	A. That's absolutely right, yes.	12	had committed to join OTM; is that right?
13	Q. So when I said did you participate in the negotiations	13	A. That's right.
14	about listing fees, the answer is "not directly myself,	14	Q. Okay, I understand. Now you began working in real
15	face-to-face, with either Rightmove or Zoopla"?	15	estate, as you put it, in 1999, didn't you, paragraph 4
16	A. That's the answer, yes, but I think it is important to	16	of your statement?
17	make sure that throughout that, you would need to it	17	A. I started with Hunters, yes, in 1999. Prior to that
18	is a company thing because you would have all your	18	I had worked for a number of organisations.
19	analysis and all your opinions and so on.	19	Q. Doing what?
20	Q. Right. You are the MD of an organisation called Hunters	20	A. I had run my own international sales consultancy.
21	Plc; is that right?	21	Q. What were you selling?
22	A. That's right.	22	A. And prior to that training, consultancy in terms of
23	Q. There is another organisation called Hunters Group	23	structures. Prior to that I worked for PepsiCo and
24	Limited, isn't there?	24	I worked my way up to UK board level and prior to that,
25	A. Right.	25	I worked for United Biscuits.
	Page 6		Page 8
	Tage V		1 1150 0
1	Q. Let's take it in stages.	1	Q. So what's your professional, academic what's your
•			
2	A. Yes, Hunters Plc has 180 branches, of which 11 are owned	2	qualification? You have a degree in?
3	A. Yes, Hunters Plc has 180 branches, of which 11 are owned and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the	3	qualification? You have a degree in? A. I have a degree in
		3 4	A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or?
3 4 5	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the	3 4 5	A. I have a degree inQ. Business management or?A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history,
3 4 5 6	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket.	3 4 5 6	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually.
3 4 5 6 7	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc,	3 4 5 6 7	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister.
3 4 5 6 7 8	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what,	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible).
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition? A. That's right. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers. Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition? A. That's right. Q. So Mr Ozwell was the chairman of Hunters Group Limited, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers. Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills? A. To give them skills in sales, to give them skills to go
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition? A. That's right. Q. So Mr Ozwell was the chairman of Hunters Group Limited, wasn't he?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers. Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills? A. To give them skills in sales, to give them skills to go into people's houses and you know, for vendors to get
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition? A. That's right. Q. So Mr Ozwell was the chairman of Hunters Group Limited, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers. Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills? A. To give them skills in sales, to give them skills to go
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition? A. That's right. Q. So Mr Ozwell was the chairman of Hunters Group Limited, wasn't he? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers. Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills? A. To give them skills in sales, to give them skills to go into people's houses and you know, for vendors to get their properties for sale, for landlords, to get the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and the rest are franchisees. The Hunters Group in the Midlands are part of our own branches, but when we bought those branches, they had already signed an agreement with OnTheMarket. Q. Right. So let me get this right then: so Hunters Plc, of which you are the managing director, acquired, what, 100 per cent of Hunters Group Limited at some stage? A. Yes. Q. And at that stage, those Hunters Group Limited offices had already become members of OTM? A. They had. Q. And all offices of Hunters Group Limited had become members of OTM; is that right? A. I'm sorry, would you mind repeating that? Q. All offices of Hunters Group Limited A. Joined on Q were advertising on OTM at the time of that acquisition? A. That's right. Q. So Mr Ozwell was the chairman of Hunters Group Limited, wasn't he? A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I have a degree in Q. Business management or? A. No, I have a degree in politics and modern history, actually. Q. You should have become a barrister. A. I know, well I always wanted to be a barrister, until I saw these documents. Q. So did I, (inaudible). THE CHAIRMAN: I hope we are not putting you off. MR MACLEAN: You say when you joined Hunters, your role was covering training and HR. A. Mmm. Q. Who were you training? A. My job was I used to train all the staff and all the franchisees, so I used to write the training courses and I would deliver the training courses. Q. Training for what? A. Listers, negotiators, managers. Q. To train them to do what? To give them what skills? A. To give them skills in sales, to give them skills to go into people's houses and you know, for vendors to get their properties for sale, for landlords, to get the

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

1	Q. In order to improve the quality of the job they did in	1	Q. Yes?
2	selling houses?	2	A. Not to my knowledge, no.
3	A. In order to improve the quality of the job that they	3	Q. Would you take bundle H5, please, and turn to 2646.
4	did, yes, and to make sure that they were working in the	4	A. Do I give this one back now then?
5	interests of the company and also in the interests of	5	Q. No, just keep a hold of that because that is your
6	the customer.	6	witness statement. 2646. If you look at 2646, you see
7	Q. You have never set up a portal business, have you?	7	there is an email from Mr Halman. Do you see at the top
8	A. I have never set up a portal business, no. I have never	8	of the page there is an email from Mr Halman to various
9	actually set up a portal business. But having said	9	people, including Mr Ozwell; do you see that on
10	that, you know, as I was saying before, I do have quite	10	10/04/14?
11	a bit of experience in business generally and I do	11	A. Yes.
12	understand the power of the web and the internet.	12	Q. And at the bottom there is an email from Mr Ozwell to
13	Q. But these property portals came along, I think we know	13	various people. And over the page he says:
14	Rightmove was founded in about 2000, right, so just	14	"I went to a seminar on digital marketing hosted by
15	about the time you joined Hunters, Rightmove was coming	15	Zoopla last week and Jon Notley, the boss of Zoopla,
16	on the scene; is that right?	16	said he wanted to meet with me after the event, to
17	A. That's right.	17	discuss a proposition for IEAG members."
18	Q. You have never worked for a portal business, have you?	18	My question is: have you ever attended a seminar on
19	A. No, I have never worked for a portal business, no.	19	digital marketing hosted by Mr Notley or anyone else
20	Q. And you became, as we discussed a minute ago, you became	20	from Zoopla?
21	I think, the acting managing director of Hunters, after	21	A. Well, would you mind if I just read these? I don't
22	Mr Hollinrake was elected to Parliament at the last	22	understand the context in which you are talking about,
23	election; is that right?	23	actually. So can I just can I read these?
24	A. Yes, that's right. Prior to that I was the operations	24	Q. Well, you can certainly read it but my question wasn't
25	director, so Kevin, you know, would be in charge and	25	directed to what follows. My question was very simple:
	D 10		D 12
	Page 10		Page 12
1	I would do all the execution and make sure that	1	my question was: have you ever attended a seminar on
2	everything was executed throughout the organisation, so	2	digital marketing hosted by Mr Notley, or anyone else on
3	that was my job.	3	behalf of Zoopla?
4	Q. You were his number 2?	4	A. I have been on many seminars for digital marketing and
5	A. Yes.	5	have they been hosted by Zoopla? Yes, we've had some
6	Q. What is IAEG?	6	presentations hosted by Zoopla, not in this context.
7	A. IAEG, I don't know what you are referring to.	7	Q. So you know Mr Notley, do you?
8	Q. Are you familiar with the acronym IAEG?	8	A. I don't know Mr Notley, no.
9	A. I don't know, I think you might have to explain it.	9	Q. Mr Ozwell goes on to say and remember this
10	Q. IEAG, I'm sorry, an organisation of estate agents of	10	is April 2014:
11	which Hunters Group Limited was a member?	11	"I think that, basically, Zoopla are becoming
12	A. Oh, right. Well, we at one stage we were members of	12	increasingly concerned about what will happen
13	the national association and we are also still members	13	next January, when Agents' Mutual members have to make
14	of ARLA. I think that's the independent	14	a decision to drop Zoopla or Rightmove."
15	Q. Estate Agents Group, I think it is?	15	Now, you must have been aware, I suggest, in 2014,
16	A. Yes.	16	as your role as number 2 at Hunters Plc, that Zoopla was
17	Q. Are you familiar with that?	17	indeed becoming increasingly concerned about what would
18	A. Vaguely, I don't think we're part of it now.	18	happen when Agents' Mutual entered the market
19	Q. But Mr Ozwell's organisation was part of it, wasn't it?	19	in January 2015; is that right?
20	A. Yes, he was. We were part of it for a while but we are	20	A. I don't think that we've made it a secret that,
21	not now. But he still is, yes.	21	actually, we were extremely concerned with the other
22	Q. And has Hunters ever negotiated its Zoopla listing fees	22	portal rule, the One Other Portal rule.
23	on a group basis, with other agents?	23	Q. My question is directed to Zoopla's concern, Zoopla was
24	A. When you say on a group basis with other agents, do you	24	concerned about the impending arrival in the market of
25	mean other agents that aren't Hunters?	25	OnTheMarket, wasn't it?
		1	
	Page 11		Page 13

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

1 A. Well, to be fair, I think you would need to ask Zoopla 1 a lot quicker. Do you see anything objectionable in 2 2 for that information but I think it is fair to say that what's said in that sentence, about promoting marketing 3 3 and giving prominence to brands within offices? That's there was without question, some -- felt to be some kind 4 of move to get rid of Zoopla, upstage Zoopla, whichever 4 one of Mr Notley's suggestions. Do you see anything 5 5 objectionable in that suggestion? way you want to put it. 6 Q. Where does that come from? 6 A. I think an estate agent has a duty to a consumer and 7 7 A. Because you asked me what I thought at the time and a consumer wants to see as many buyers for their 8 8 that's what I thought at the time and that's in my property, be that to sell or to let, and therefore, any 9 9 advertising for any portal or any way of advertising has statement. 10 Q. I am not sure I did ask for that but no doubt -- we will 10 to be a good thing for the customer. 11 come to Zoopla and targeting Zoopla in a minute. Just 11 Q. So you don't identify anything objectionable in that 12 look at page 2648, would you, please. This is an email 12 13 from Mr Notley to Mr Ozwell? 13 A. I think you -- as I say, an estate agent has a duty of 14 A. Right. If you don't mind, and I don't want you to think 14 care to their customers and to make sure that a customer 15 I'm being rude in any way because I'm not, but I do 15 has access to the greatest numbers of buyers and the 16 16 greatest numbers of tenants, and a way to do that is think that you're trying to push me too quick because 17 17 through the internet and through portals and advertising I don't know what context this is and then you're trying 18 18 to get me to read that, so would you mind if I just read those portals. 19 19 Q. All right, I'll move on. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: If the witness wants to read the email, 20 Now, what Mr Notley was doing in this email in the 21 21 bits that you have now had an opportunity to read, you 22 22 see that he was offering a discount to Mr Ozwell and A. Is that okay? 23 MR MACLEAN: Miss Frew, that's absolutely fine. Can I tell 23 others, in return for a long-term package, as much as 24 you what I want you to focus on in particular, if it 24 five years; do you see that? You have read that, have 25 helps, is at 2648, would you focus particularly on the 25 you; yes? Page 14 Page 16 1 paragraph beginning "So I see an opportunity", and up to 1 A. Yes. 2 the end of the second paragraph on the next page, ending 2 Q. And did Zoopla make similar blandishments to your part 3 with the words "group discussion". All right? Have you 3 of the Hunters organisation in 2014, offering lower fees 4 read that? 4 and extended periods of contract? 5 A. I'm still halfway through the page 2648. (Pause). And 5 A. I can absolutely categorically state we had no extended 6 you wanted me to go up to 2649? period of contract with Zoopla or anybody else, over 6 7 Q. Yes, please. (Pause). Up to "group discussion". Do 7 a five year period. 8 you see that? 8 Q. So the type of blandishments we see Mr Notley offering 9 9 to Mr Ozwell at Hunters Group Limited, were not being A. Oh yes. (Pause). 10 Q. Just tell me when you have finished those two 10 offered to your organisation in 2014? 11 paragraphs. (Pause) 11 A. I didn't say they weren't offered. They might have been 12 A. Okay. 12 offered but it certainly wasn't anything that we were --13 Q. Now, look at the top of 2649: 13 you know, we entered into. 14 "One of the points Mr Notley makes is all we ask is 14 Q. Let's assume that they weren't offered to your 15 that the group supports us by ensuring that our brands 15 organisation but they were being offered to Mr Ozwell, 16 are given prominence within offices, marketing material, 16 as we see in this email. Can you think of any reason as 17 et cetera, where possible. Certainly it would make 17 to why that might be the case, that Mr Notley should be 18 sense for each group member to push us, given the 18 making these blandishments to Mr Ozwell but not to you? 19 preferential nature of the agreement, and I can only see 19 A. I think that within business there are all sorts of 20 good coming from us removing the sometimes painful 20 areas like that, but, you know, I come back to what 21 annual discussion around pricing." 21 I was saying before. At this moment in time it was 22 Do you find anything objectionable in that 22 fairly obvious that Zoopla were facing, with some 23 suggestion about marketing brand prominence? 23 trepidation, what they felt was coming down the line, 24 A. Do I see anything objectionable about marketing a brand? 24 that's my view. 25 Q. Just listen to the question, Miss Frew and we'll get on 25 Q. The obvious answer I suggest, Miss Frew, as I suggest

Page 15

Page 17

1	you know full well, is that the reason why these	1	Q. I am sure it is me. I found it slightly confusing. So
2	blandishments were being offered to Hunters Group	2	everybody is on Rightmove. Most people are on Zoopla
3	Limited and not to you, is that Hunters Group Limited	3	and Rightmove and a smallish number of people are on OTM
4	had signed up to OnTheMarket, whereas your organisation	4	and Zoopla?
5	hadn't and Zoopla was reacting in a targeted way in	5	A. OnTheMarket and Rightmove, yes.
6	order to protect the (inaudible) of its business, by	6	Q. Now, from the vendor you told us earlier about
7	cutting its prices, wasn't it?	7	training these estate agents to provide a better service
8	A. Well, that's what you say. I don't know that that's the	8	to the customers and so on?
9	case. That may be the case, but each business has	9	A. Yes.
10	a duty to its employees to protect its business, so long	10	Q. So I want you to put yourself into the position of the
11	as it is not doing anything illegal.	11	vendor or the landlord, right, who has engaged an estate
12	Q. I don't want to debate company law with you, Miss Frew.	12	agent to sell or rent out their property. Do you agree
13	I'm not sure that is quite right but let's carry on.	13	from the vendor or landlord point of view, there is
14	In paragraph 5 of your statement you say there are	14	a qualitative difference in the service offered by full
15	180 branches within the Hunters network, of whom 169 are	15	service local estate agents, rather than online estate
16	franchisees and 11 are wholly owned by the group. And	16	agents?
17	we have already discussed this.	17	A. I think it is the duty of the estate agent to make sure
18	A. Yes.	18	that for a vendor or a landlord, their properties are
19	Q. So the 11 that are wholly owned by the group, that is	19	put in front of the widest audience possible.
20	Mr Ozwell 's part of the empire; right?	20	Q. Yes, that was the answer to a previous question, Miss
21	A. Yes, his five.	21	Frew. Let us just focus on this question, please. From
22	Q. His five?	22	the vendor/landlord point of view, do you agree or do
23	A. He's got five branches.	23	you disagree that there is a qualitative difference in
24	Q. And somebody else has got what, the other six?	24	the service offered by full service local estate agents
25	A. Yes.	25	rather than online agencies?
	Page 18		Page 20
	O. Wheth the course had a death of	١,	
1	Q. What's the somebody else then?	1	A. Rather than online agencies?
2	A. That's us. These are our own branches, so that's how we	2	Q. Yes?
3	started with our own branches, so we've retained those.	3 4	A. Oh, yes, sorry. I think that the local agent, yes, is
5	Q. Right, so of the 180, everybody is on Rightmove; right?	5	a very important agent for landlords and vendors rather
6	A. Yes. O. And 15% of the 190 erg on Zeeple	6	than just online.
7	Q. And 158 of the 180 are on Zoopla A. Mmm.	7	Q. Why?
8	Q as well as Rightmove; is that right?	8	A. It's important because a local agent understands a local market, understands the pricing, has a knowledge of
9	A. Yes.	9	local buyers, local tenants, who's wanting to move where
10	Q. And then in the next paragraph, 7, you say:	10	and I think that that's a very important part of the
11	"I am aware a number of branches within two of the	11	process, crucial in fact. But that doesn't alter the
12	networks, Hunters Group Limited and County Properties,	12	fact that people do obviously want to see tenants and
13	list instead on OnTheMarket."	13	buyers and look online for that, so you've got to have
14	What number is "a number of branches?" Is it all	14	both.
15	22? No, it can't be.	15	Q. Yes, now, thank you. Could you be handed, please,
16	A. No.	16	bundle H8. Could you, please, be helped to find
17	Q. What's the number?	17	page 4272. The content of this email, I promise you
18	A. Well, John Ozwell's branches, as you rightly point out,	18	doesn't matter, other than just to see what it's doing.
19	in the Midlands, his branches are on OnTheMarket. There	19	The 7 November 2014:
20	are a number of Country Properties branches that are on	20	"Please find attached a vendor/landlord leaflet for
21	OnTheMarket and some are not and are still on Zoopla.	21	member agents to use when briefing existing or potential
22	It does look, if you see both those paragraphs, 6 and 7,	22	new clients."
23	that somebody can't actually add up, but in fact there	23	Alright? If you turn over the page, you see there
24	are 8 Bairstow Eves branches that are on Zoopla as well,	24	is a leaflet and if you turn over the page to the back
25	so that's why it looks the way that it does.	25	side of the leaflet, the front and the back so you
	so that is my to tooks the may that it does.	-	of the featier, the front and the otton — so you
	Page 19		Page 21

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

1	can see this is a two sided leaflet. Do you see that?	1	estate agents, who, by definition, don't have that same
2	A. Yes.	2	local experience or expertise; right?
3	Q. And I think it probably folds up, actually. You can	3	A. Yes, I think the difficulty with the online, that's
4	imagine picking it up in the estate agent's office.	4	something separate to this though, in the sense that
5	I want you to focus on page 4275 and just cast your	5	some people have criticised online because of the
6	eye do you see the middle column which is headed	6	valuations that they have, because they don't have
7	"Locally based expert agents"; do you see that?	7	local they don't have the local knowledge and then
8	A. Yes.	8	the other thing is that specifically in sales, once
9	Q. Just cast your eye over that column, down to "suits them	9	an offer has been accepted, then there has to be the
10	best"; do you see in bottom right hand corner? Right.	10	execution of that offer and the conveyancing and that's
11	So there are a series of bullet points identified by the	11	not always done through online, so
12	OTM logo. Just cast your eye over that, please.	12	Q. Okay. Look at paragraph 8 of your statement, please,
13	A. Just the middle bit you wanted me to look at?	13	Miss Frew. You say:
14	Q. Just the middle bit. It seems to me, tell me if you	14	"When deciding which property portal to list on, the
15	agree or disagree, that the sorts of points made in that	15	most important consideration for an agent is the number
16	middle column are very much along the lines of the	16	of potential customers that the portal can and does
17	answer you just gave me a couple of minutes ago, about	17	reach."
18	the value of locally based estate agents; is that right?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Locally based estate agents, definitely and as far as	19	Q. Now, obviously, the number of customers that the portal
20	local leaflets are concerned, we probably deliver	20	can and does reach is important. I am not suggesting
21	something like 15,000 a month, local leaflets.	21	otherwise, but would you agree that the number of unique
22	Q. And in the same bundle, would you turn on to page 4344,	22	customers that the portal can and does reach, is of
23	please. In the third line of this email there is	23	particular importance?
24	a sentence beginning "This"; do you see that?	24	A. I would say that the number is the most important and in
25	A. In the third line?	25	all our marketing and in everything that we do, we base
	Page 22		Page 24
	O Do you goo the word "This this continues"?	1	the cale on let of our property on what we call the
1	Q. Do you see the word "This this continues"?	1	the sale or let of our property on what we call the
2	A. Yes.	2	auction principle. And the auction principle says the
2 3	A. Yes.Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service	2 3	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So:	2 3 4	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get
2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So:"Full service local agents continues to be the	2 3 4 5	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition	2 3 4 5 6	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property	2 3 4 5 6 7	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured	2 3 4 5 6	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties. Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it? A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties. Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like that, is something that on the whole, is valued by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it? A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you know, like I said, the most important thing for an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties. Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like that, is something that on the whole, is valued by the estate agents' customers, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it? A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you know, like I said, the most important thing for an estate agent is the fact that they get to as many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties. Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like that, is something that on the whole, is valued by the estate agents' customers, isn't it? A. Local agents, yes, would be valued by the local	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it? A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you know, like I said, the most important thing for an estate agent is the fact that they get to as many people, buyers or tenants, as possible. I have yet to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties. Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like that, is something that on the whole, is valued by the estate agents' customers, isn't it? A. Local agents, yes, would be valued by the local customers, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it? A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you know, like I said, the most important thing for an estate agent is the fact that they get to as many people, buyers or tenants, as possible. I have yet to meet a landlord or a vendor that would say, "I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Take it from me that this is a reference to full service local agents; okay? So: "Full service local agents continues to be the service most property sellers choose and our proposition will make it clear that a consumer finding a property they are interested in by OnTheMarket, can be reassured that they will then deal with an agent acting for the seller or landlord, who can answer their queries, based on detailed knowledge about the property and the circumstance of the sale or letting." I have two questions. The first is, do you agree that full service local agencies continues, in your experience from Hunters Plc's perspective, to be the service most property sellers choose? A. I would agree, yes, that local agents is the vehicle through which most would either sell or want to let their properties. Q. And the local agent experience, if I can put it like that, is something that on the whole, is valued by the estate agents' customers, isn't it? A. Local agents, yes, would be valued by the local	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	auction principle. And the auction principle says the more people you get interested in a property, be that to sell or to let, the better price you are going to get and, therefore, the better it is for that landlord or for the vendor. Q. I appreciate it's important, but if Mr Holmes has set up his property portal and there is a potential audience for the portal of 100 people in the country and all 100 people use his portal and I come along with the most whizz bang portal that you've ever seen and you say "How many customers can you reach?" and I say "I can reach all 100", all I'm suggesting to you is that if I was able to come along to you and say "I can reach a different 100 from Mr Holmes's portal", I would be a much more attractive proposition than if I came along and said "I can reach the same 100 people that he's already reaching, that you are already paying for." That is obvious, isn't it? A. I don't want to be rude in any way but actually, you know, like I said, the most important thing for an estate agent is the fact that they get to as many people, buyers or tenants, as possible. I have yet to

1

2

5

8

17

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

17

18

- I really don't mind what price I get." They want, 1 2 absolutely, to make sure that their properties go in 3 front of the most number of people that are willing to
- 4 let or buy a property. So --
- 5 Q. Let's focus on the estate agent's position. Not the 6 person trying to sell the property or the person trying
- 7 to buy the property, the estate agent. Let's take my
- 8 example again. You tell me why it is not obvious. If 9 somebody's got an existing portal reaching 100 people,
- 10 100 people being all that's available, and I come along,
- 11 pitching another portal and I tell you that I can reach
- 12 the same 100 people but only the same 100 people, I am
- 13 suggesting to you that's an, obviously, less attractive
- 14 proposition than if I'm able to come to you and say "My
- 15 portal will reach different people, people that his
- 16 portal doesn't reach." Now, isn't that, obviously, 17
 - sensible?
- 18 A. Well, I think -- personally, I think there's two parts 19 to your question. The first is in terms of what does an
- 20 estate agent -- what's in the interests of an estate
- 21 agent. An estate agent has to work in the interests of
- 22 the customer. That's the first thing. The second thing
- 23 is that I think that regardless of portals, before
- 24 anybody ever went to portals, people used to advertise
- 25 in the newspapers, they do leaflets and so on now. And

- get that is because we expose those properties to the greatest audience.
- 3 Q. Was the answer to my question, given in the first three 4 or four words of that answer? You said "obviously we've
 - got shareholders." Was that your way of saying that you
- 6 do appreciate that your primary obligation is to the
- 7 shareholders of the company?
 - A. We have a responsibility to shareholders.
- 9 Q. That is your primary obligation as a director, you 10 understand that?
- 11 A. There are a number of obligations, I think, as 12 a director which I think it is impossible just to narrow 13 it down to that, shareholders.
- 14 Q. Do you agree with me that appraisal leads are much more 15 valuable than leads from prospective purchasers or 16 tenants?
 - A. Well, we measure all the sources of our leads.
- 18 Q. That is an answer to a different question, Miss Frew.
- 19 We would get on much quicker if you just answered my
- 20 question. Do you agree that appraisal leads are much
- 21 more valuable than leads from prospective purchasers or 22
- tenants?
- 23 A. I think it depends on the circumstances.
 - Q. In what circumstances would an appraisal lead be less valuable than a lead from a prospective tenant?

Page 28

1 okay, you know, you might advertise in this newspaper

Page 26

- 2 and that newspaper and that might have a read across
 - with some joint readership but, ultimately, you would
- 4 want to be in the newspapers or on the portals that
- 5 reached the most people, not a limited number. That is
- 6 not in the vendor or the landlord's interests, in my 7
 - view.

3

- 8 Q. Are you now the full-time managing director?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So you sit on the board of Hunters Plc?
- 11
- 12 Q. So what's your primary obligation as a director of
- 13 Hunters Plc? To whom do you owe your primary duty, do
- 14
- 15 A. Well, obviously we've got shareholders, but our reason
- 16 for being is to look after franchisor's business and 17 make sure that they maximise their business, obviously
- 18 do it legally, and compliantly and make sure that we
- 19 provide a good service for our customers. Because if we
- 20 don't provide a good service for our customers, they
- 21 won't come back and repeat business is very important
- 22 for us. We phone up every single vendor and every
- 23 landlord once the property is exchanged or the let's
- 24 been completed and we get 96 per cent customer
- 25 satisfaction. And one of the reasons that I believe we

Page 27

- A. Because if you have a landlord who wants to let his property, I would have thought the landlord would have thought that was very important.
- Q. I am not interested in what the landlord thinks. I am interested in what the estate agent thinks, Miss Frew?
- 6 A. But for the estate agent that's important too because 7 for the estate agent, that's their reason for being, to 8 sell or let properties. So I'm not disputing that
- 9 a lead for an appraisal is very important, it's very 10 important to get new business.
- 11 Q. It is much more valuable than a lead from a prospective 12 purchaser or a tenant, isn't it? It is obvious?
- 13 A. Well, like I say, I think that depends on the 14 circumstances because if you've got a property to sell 15 or a property to let, then a lead from a buyer or 16 a tenant is very valuable.
 - Q. You see, take the witness statement bundle then. Turn to tab 1.
- 19 A. Is this D?
- 20 Q. D, that's right. Turn to tab 1. This is the
- 21 statement -- well, it doesn't matter whose statement it
- 22 is. Turn to page 3, paragraph 7. This witness says:
- "In general, property portals generate four main 23
- 24 types of leads for estate agents, sales lead, rental 25
 - lead, appraisal leads and landlord appraisal leads."

Page 29

_			
1	You recognise those concepts, do you?	1	a property for sale or rent, may result in a sale offer
2	A. Yes.	2	but the estate agent will, in the short term, only
3	Q. And then:	3	receive revenues on the vendor side of that transaction.
4	"Of these, the most important driver of revenue and	4	However, sales leads may subsequently result in an
5	profit for an estate agent and hence the most important	5	appraisal lead if a house-hunter uses the estate agent
6	for present purposes, is appraisal leads from vendors	6	that they have contacted to find the property to
7	that are looking to sell their property."	7	purchase, to also sell their own property."
8	Do you agree with that or not?	8	That is also true, isn't it?
9	A. I think they're all important but he's absolutely right,	9	A. That's true.
10	when you relate it to revenue and profit then, yes, but	10	Q. And that's a statement from somebody called Mr Glasgow.
11	it depends on the circumstances. But yes, I agree	11	If you look at the first page. And he's chief
12	they're very important. I didn't say it wasn't.	12	information officer at Connells Limited. Do you know
13	Q. So yes, you do agree, depending on the circumstances?	13	Mr Glasgow?
14	A. Yes, because each one of those are important. Each one	14	A. I don't.
15	of them is important.	15	Q. Do you know what the Mayfair Office refers to?
16	Q. One of them is the most important. He says the most	16	A. Mayfair Office, I can't say I do, no.
17	important is appraisal leads from vendors?	17	Q. Hunters is not a participant in the Mayfair Office which
18	A. Right.	18	is a group of estate agents who maintain, essentially,
19	Q. Do you agree or not with that?	19	a pigeonhole office in Mayfair which allows them to
20	A. I think all four of them are important and if this	20	claim to have a presence in London, when in fact they
21	particular gentleman thinks that's the most important,	21	are based elsewhere in the country?
22	then I think that's his view. I think all four of them	22	A. No, we don't. We have an office in the Old Bailey where
23	are important.	23	we do some training but we don't have a Mayfair office,
24	Q. Let's look at the next sentence:	24	no.
25	"Estate agents generate the majority of their income	25	Q. You don't engage with the public in London at all?
23	Estate agents generate the majority of their meome	23	Q. Tou don't engage with the public in London at any
	Page 30		Page 32
1	from commissions and other services sold to the vendor	1	A. Yes, we have an office we have various offices in
1		2	London, Hunters offices in London but we don't and
2	of a property, usually when the transaction exchanges contracts."	3	
3		4	from time to time we would invite people in to the offices in the Old Bailey, but mainly it's done for
4	I do hope you can agree that's correct, do you? A. I think that as far as most of our estate agents are	4	
5		-	•
		5	training purposes, used for training.
6	concerned, then they would get the income from the	6	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say:
6 7	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions.	6 7	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In"
6 7 8	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their	6 7 8	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that?
6 7 8 9	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority	6 7 8 9	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77:
6 7 8 9 10	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority?	6 7 8 9 10	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual
6 7 8 9 10 11	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from	6 7 8 9 10 11	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference."
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate agent."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents. Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate agent." That is true, isn't it?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents. Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these? A. No, he was at the NALS one in London which was a number
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate agent." That is true, isn't it? A. Everybody wants new leads. There's no question about	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents. Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these? A. No, he was at the NALS one in London which was a number of letting agents and the one in York was for ARLA
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate agent." That is true, isn't it? A. Everybody wants new leads. There's no question about that. Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents. Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these? A. No, he was at the NALS one in London which was a number of letting agents and the one in York was for ARLA members.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate agent." That is true, isn't it? A. Everybody wants new leads. There's no question about that. Yes. Q. So you agree with that. The next sentence: "A lead from a house-hunter in relation to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents. Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these? A. No, he was at the NALS one in London which was a number of letting agents and the one in York was for ARLA members. Q. For what members, sorry? A. ARLA members, so that's the Association of Residential
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concerned, then they would get the income from the commissions. Q. What about the minority, where would they get their income from, if not commissions? You say the majority would, what about the minority? A. But that's saying the majority get their income from commissions and other services, so the minority probably just get them from commissions. Q. Exactly, so for everybody, commission is central to the success of the estate agent business, isn't it? A. Commission, indeed. Q. So next sentence: "Obtaining instructions to list the property from a vendor is key to revenue generation for the estate agent." That is true, isn't it? A. Everybody wants new leads. There's no question about that. Yes. Q. So you agree with that. The next sentence:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	training purposes, used for training. Q. Now, paragraph 10 of your statement you say: "In" A. Just a minute, can I find it again. Where was that? Q. Yes. Tab 5. Page 77: "In 2013 I attended two Agents' Mutual presentations. One at a meeting in York and one at the NALS conference." What does NALS stand for? National Association of what? A. Lettings, it is a lettings council, lettings organisation. Q. These were multi-agent presentations, were they? They weren't specific to Hunters? A. No, no, all multi-agents. Q. Was Mr Springett at both of these? A. No, he was at the NALS one in London which was a number of letting agents and the one in York was for ARLA members. Q. For what members, sorry?

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

		1	
1	Lettings.	1	paragraph 10 but you tell me if I am wrong, that when
2	Q. You don't refer to any notes or any documents that	2	you say you have been shown one of these information
3	formed part of either of these presentations, do you?	3	memoranda, in particular, you have read page 3, that you
4	A. No.	4	were shown it at about the time you prepared this
5	Q. Where did the NALS conference take place?	5	statement; is that right?
6	A. That was in London, I couldn't tell you the address.	6	A. Probably.
7	Q. If I told you that the only NALS conference that	7	Q. It wasn't something that you were shown at the
8	Mr Springett made a presentation at wasn't in 2013 at	8	presentation in 2013 or as it turns out, November 2014.
9	all, what would you say?	9	It was something that happened two years later; is that
10	A. I know I've seen his statement. I think it's 2014, so	10	right?
11	sorry about that. I got the year wrong.	11	A. Right, in 2014 I saw a presentation from Agents' Mutual.
12	Q. So you accept this is wrong then, this reference to	12	I saw it twice. I saw it once in York, that was
13	2013, do you?	13	delivered by a lady and I saw it once in London, that
14	A. Yes, I think it must have been 2014, yes.	14	was delivered by Ian Springett.
15	Q. In November 2014, to be precise?	15	Q. And it was the same presentation both times?
16	A. Probably, mmm.	16	A. More or less the same, yes.
17	Q. You can't really remember, can you?	17	Q. And have you been shown any of the slides, presentations
18	A. Well, I don't have it in front of me but I accept it was	18	which are in the bundles? For example, the one that was
19	probably 2014, yes, and it was the back end of the year,	19	shown to Gascoigne Halman, have you seen that?
20	so it could have been November because it was quite	20	A. Would you like to remind me?
21	cold.	21	Q. Yes, let me just find that for you. While we are
22	Q. Right. So when you came to write your statement, you	22	finding that for you, I'll come back to that in just
23	didn't have any diary or other record of this meeting	23	a second. Let's go to paragraph 11.
24	that would help you even to get the correct year of when	24	A. Yes.
25	it took place, never mind the right month, did you? You	25	Q. You say you considered listing:
	Page 34		Page 36
	1 450 0 1		1 490 30
1	were just having to rely on memory?	1	"We considered listing on OTM"
1 2	were just having to rely on memory? A. Yes, I relied on memory.	1 2	"We considered listing on OTM" The "we" is Hunters Group presumably?
		1	
2	A. Yes, I relied on memory.	2	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably?
2 3	A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right?	2 3	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right.
2 3 4	A. Yes, I relied on memory.Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right?A. Yes, the year was wrong.	2 3 4	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you 	2 3 4 5	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers,
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said 	2 3 4 5 6	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual 	2 3 4 5 6 7	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to have much to do with the presentations. I think you have just confirmed that. You see? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we would have to choose whether we were going to go with OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to have much to do with the presentations. I think you have just confirmed that. You see? A. Right, okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we would have to choose whether we were going to go with OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we believed that that wasn't the way forward. We didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to have much to do with the presentations. I think you have just confirmed that. You see? A. Right, okay. Q. The first sentence talks about presentations. The next 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we would have to choose whether we were going to go with OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we believed that that wasn't the way forward. We didn't think it was right for our franchise partners and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to have much to do with the presentations. I think you have just confirmed that. You see? A. Right, okay. Q. The first sentence talks about presentations. The next sentence talking about OOP and the next sentence talks 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we would have to choose whether we were going to go with OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we believed that that wasn't the way forward. We didn't think it was right for our franchise partners and we didn't think it was right for the consumer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to have much to do with the presentations. I think you have just confirmed that. You see? A. Right, okay. Q. The first sentence talks about presentations. The next sentence talking about OOP and the next sentence talks about something different. I am asking you about the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we would have to choose whether we were going to go with OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we believed that that wasn't the way forward. We didn't think it was right for our franchise partners and we didn't think it was right for the consumer. Q. But just exploring what you mean by replace. What do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, I relied on memory. Q. Which, it turns out, was mistaken; right? A. Yes, the year was wrong. Q. You say that you attended these presentations and you are familiar with the One Other Portal rule and you said you have been shown one of the Agents' Mutual information memoranda and, in particular, read page 3. Do I take it that you were shown that memorandum by somebody at about the time you were preparing this statement; is that right? A. It could have been. I mean, that has nothing to do with clause 10 though, this paragraph 10, because I attended both those meetings. I saw there were two different people that presented at the meetings, so that paragraph was about how I saw those meetings. Q. Yes, I am just exploring what the last sentence is doing in paragraph 10, you see, because it doesn't seem to have much to do with the presentations. I think you have just confirmed that. You see? A. Right, okay. Q. The first sentence talks about presentations. The next sentence talking about OOP and the next sentence talks 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The "we" is Hunters Group presumably? A. Yes, that's right. Q. " but decided not to because of the OOP rule and because we could not see how, in the eyes of consumers, it would achieve its objective of being a credible and significant player, replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove." A. Yes. Q. You appear, but tell me if I am wrong, you appear to be equating becoming a credible and significant player with replacing Zoopla and/or Rightmove. Is that what you mean to suggest? A. Yes, because of the One Other Portal rule, yes. Q. But why can't you become a credible and significant player by competing with Zoopla and Rightmove, without replacing them? What do you mean by replacing? You mean knock them out of the market, do you? A. Well, it's related to the One Other Portal rule, so we would have to choose whether we were going to go with OnTheMarket and/or Zoopla and/or Rightmove, so we believed that that wasn't the way forward. We didn't think it was right for our franchise partners and we didn't think it was right for the consumer.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

6 February 2017

Page 35

Page 37

1	Rightmove out of the market altogether?	1	say:
2	A. No, we would have had to replace as a listing, an	2	"My impression from the Agents' Mutual presentations
3	agreement with a portal. We would have had to say to	3	I attended was that there was a clear implication that
4	either Zoopla or Rightmove, "No, we're not going with	4	Agents' Mutual believed that agents would drop Zoopla as
5	you." We would have had to choose one or the other.	5	a weaker agent in the market, at the time OTM launched.
6	That's what I mean by replacing.	6	I understood that it was expected that the majority of
7	Q. In paragraph 19 you make the same point. Look at	7	agents would choose to drop Zoopla rather than
8	paragraph 19. You say:	8	Rightmove."
9	"OTM has also not been as successful as was hoped,	9	A. Yes.
10	in that it has not taken the position of the second	10	Q. You don't refer to any particular part of any
11	major portal and it does not show any sign of being	11	presentation as the foundation of that impression, do
12	a truly effective third option."	12	you?
13	Now, what's the basis of that sentence? What	13	A. No, I don't. It was the general the presentation
14	material did you have regard to, in order to write that	14	started with the profits that both portals made and
15	sentence, Miss Frew?	15	about how, yes, they wanted to be a disruptor into the
16	A. Well, we do get from time to time, we do get the data	16	portal market and then it went on, you know, to talk
17	which talks about the leads, in terms of, you know,	17	about different things in terms of leads coming through.
18	market appraisals or leads through for to buy or to	18	But throughout it all, my impression was at that time,
19	let properties and it would say that OnTheMarket has not	19	that Zoopla were the ones that would be in the firing
20	delivered the quality of leads that you would have	20	line.
21	expected at this time.	21	Q. What the presentation showed, as was obviously true, was
22	Q. Let me just show you that presentation that I mentioned.	22	that Rightmove was the number one player in the market;
23	If you would be given volume H2, please, and turn to	23	right?
24	page 1080. Have you seen these slides before?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. I don't recognise these actually.	25	Q. And Zoopla was the number two?
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Q. If you turn over the page, if you look at 1082 and 1083,	1	A. Yes.
1 2	Q. If you turn over the page, if you look at 1082 and 1083, is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or	1 2	
			A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first
2	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was
2 3	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference?A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals.	2 3	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right?
2 3 4	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference?A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals.Q. All right. So you don't recognise this?	2 3 4	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for
2 3 4 5 6 7	 is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right? A. Absolutely, it was an impression.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what was said was that Zoopla would be the one that most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right? A. Absolutely, it was an impression. Q. No more and no less than that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what was said was that Zoopla would be the one that most would be expected to go for and they and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right? A. Absolutely, it was an impression. Q. No more and no less than that? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what was said was that Zoopla would be the one that most would be expected to go for and they — and Agents' Mutual were going for too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right? A. Absolutely, it was an impression. Q. No more and no less than that? A. No. Q. Now, let's look at paragraph 20 over the page, page 80,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what was said was that Zoopla would be the one that most would be expected to go for and they and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right? A. Absolutely, it was an impression. Q. No more and no less than that? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is this the type of presentation that you saw in York or at the NALS conference? A. I think that there was a bigger thing about the profits, in terms of both of the big portals. Q. All right. So you don't recognise this? A. I can't say I really recognise it, no. Q. But if you turn to page 1115, do you see the reference there in the first line: "to consider our information memorandum with your colleagues"; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And: "Each firm must make its own independent decision." Do you see that? It was always made clear, wasn't it, by Agents' Mutual, that the decision as to whether to become a member and a decision as to which other portal to maintain, was a matter for each individual firm to make its own independent decision, wasn't it? A. I think that there's no doubt about it, that that's what was said. There is equally no doubt about it that what was said was that Zoopla would be the one that most would be expected to go for and they — and Agents' Mutual were going for too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. So if somebody was coming into the market and trying to build up their business, then obviously, the first existing player they were likely to catch up with was a number 2 rather than a number 1; right? A. Yes, I think that's absolutely true. You would go for the one that was closest. There's no doubt about that and you know, from the time that I worked at Pepsi, who were a very forward thinking, almost aggressive company, they would have done exactly the same. There would also have been at — simultaneously, a very strong marketing programme that appealed to the consumer. Q. Now you don't suggest, do you, that the presentations you attended made any mention of which other portal would be chosen? A. I don't. I don't say that. I think it was implied that everybody would go — that it would be Zoopla, but — Q. It wasn't said by anybody on behalf of Agents' Mutual. It was an impression that you say you derived; is that right? A. Absolutely, it was an impression. Q. No more and no less than that? A. No. Q. Now, let's look at paragraph 20 over the page, page 80,

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

1	"This has meant [that, I think, is a reference to	1	speaking about your own personal knowledge?
2	dropping Zoopla] that the effect of OTM has undoubtedly	2	A. Yes, I don't know. My own personal knowledge. So he
3	been to weaken Zoopla and to strengthen Rightmove's	3	may have done. I don't know.
4	position as the number one portal in the market."	4	THE CHAIRMAN: I don't want you to feel constrained about
5	Do you see that?	5	something that you know about.
6	A. Yes.	6	A. No, no, no, I don't know. No.
7	Q. That sentence wouldn't hold true, would it, if Zoopla	7	MR MACLEAN: Right. You say in paragraph let's go back
8	had not been a constraint on Rightmove, when OTM entered	8	to paragraph 14. This is a paragraph where you talk
9	the market, would it?	9	about beholden to Rightmove and to a lesser extent,
10	A. No, I mean, I stand by what I say there, that I think	10	Zoopla.
11	because OnTheMarket came into the market, then it has	11	A. Yes.
12	weakened Zoopla because Zoopla were looking over their	12	Q. And then at the beginning of the paragraph you say:
13	shoulder. Rightmove, at first, were a bit: well what's	13	"I believe Agents' Mutual would have signed up many
14	going to happen now? But really they've moved on quite	14	more agents if they had not insisted on the OOP rule."
15	significantly in the market.	15	You haven't conducted, no reason why you should have
16	Q. They have carried on as relatively unhindered by OTM and	16	done, but you haven't conducted any analysis of the
17	Zoopla, as they were by Zoopla before OTM entered at	17	economics of the portal market, have you?
18	all. Isn't that right?	18	A. We haven't conducted any of the analysis on the
19	A. Well, yes, they were then. There is no doubt about it	19	economics, no. But we do have, obviously, a number of
20	Rightmove are very, very strong. I do think that Zoopla	20	franchisees and the relationship between a franchisor
21	have become weaker through it (inaudible).	21	and a franchisee is very different to owning your own
22	Q. Since OnTheMarket entered we had the discussion	22	branches, so they do have an input. We do take their
23	earlier about Mr Notley going round to Mr Ozwell to	23	view and I think most agents would have thought: this is
24	offer him a discounted deal and so on, which he didn't	24	a good thing, there's another portal coming in but the
25	offer to you. Remember that discussion we had half an	25	difficulty is the One Other Portal rule.
	Page 42	-	Page 44
1	hour ago? Since OTM entered the market. Zoonla hasn't	1	O. There is no way the business could have got started if
1 2	hour ago? Since OTM entered the market, Zoopla hasn't	1 2	Q. There is no way the business could have got started if
2	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your	2	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the
2 3	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it?	2 3	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right?
2 3 4	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing	2 3 4	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes.
2 3 4 5	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I?	2 3 4 5	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business
2 3 4 5 6	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut	2 3 4 5 6	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you	2 3 4 5 6 7	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? Q. Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the market, absolutely, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So — A. Can I just come back — is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? Q. Of course. A. I mean, I am not at liberty to say that that definitely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the market, absolutely, I agree. Q. Right. And as I touched on earlier, why on earth would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? Q. Of course. A. I mean, I am not at liberty to say that that definitely did not take place. I don't know. I didn't hear of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the market, absolutely, I agree. Q. Right. And as I touched on earlier, why on earth would Hunters have paid to list on OTM, even considered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? Q. Of course. A. I mean, I am not at liberty to say that that definitely did not take place. I don't know. I didn't hear of it but it doesn't mean to say it didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the market, absolutely, I agree. Q. Right. And as I touched on earlier, why on earth would Hunters have paid to list on OTM, even considered listing on OTM, if all its properties were on Rightmove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? Q. Of course. A. I mean, I am not at liberty to say that that definitely did not take place. I don't know. I didn't hear of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the market, absolutely, I agree. Q. Right. And as I touched on earlier, why on earth would Hunters have paid to list on OTM, even considered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	cut its listing fees to Hunters Plc, to your organisation, has it? A. Well, I don't think I'm at liberty to talk about pricing here, am I? Q. You are at liberty to tell me whether they've cut the prices or not? Yes, you are. I am not asking you for the precise number, I am asking whether they are high or low? A. We are a growing organisation, so at this time, at this time that we are talking about, with the launch, we had about 100 branches. We have now got 180. Consequently with that, we do have a certain amount of negotiating power. So, you know, prices probably haven't increased for us as they might have done for other agents. I can't comment on other agents. Q. Right. So A. Can I just come back is it okay if I just come back to something that you just said before, about Mr Notley did not offer us, you know, a deal or whatever? Q. Of course. A. I mean, I am not at liberty to say that that definitely did not take place. I don't know. I didn't hear of it but it doesn't mean to say it didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there was no disruption to the status quo as regards the listing on Zoopla and Rightmove; is that right? A. You would have to get people behind it, yes. Q. That is a different point. There is no way the business would have got started if there was no disruption to the status quo as regards listing on Zoopla and Rightmove, no matter how many people you had behind them? A. Right. Q. Even if you had Google or Tesco or? A. Yes, it's the way you get it though, isn't it? Q. So you have got to cause the disruption in order to get the in, haven't you? A. The fact that you're there causes the disruption and then you have your marketing plan behind it. Q. But if you turn up with no disruption, then you are not going to successfully get it, are you? A. No, okay. Q. You agree? A. They had an opportunity to be a major disruptor in the market, absolutely, I agree. Q. Right. And as I touched on earlier, why on earth would Hunters have paid to list on OTM, even considered listing on OTM, if all its properties were on Rightmove

1	other or both?	1 marketplace.	
2	A. Because the reason that they would do that, and I go	2 Q. That is my poin	i.
3	right back to everything that I've said with regard to		s a completely different market place.
4	our marketing and everything that we do in our training,	4 In the marketpl	ace that we have today and have had for
5	is all based on the auction principle. The auction	5 a number of year	rs, is that the customer expects that
6	principle is the more buyers, the more tenants you get	6 they can transac	et online.
7	interested in a property, the better price you're likely	7 Q. So the key mech	nanism which was available to Rightmove
8	to get and the quick and in a quicker timeframe. So	8 and available to I	Primelocation, way back in what they
9	absolutely, I do not think that we would not have gone	9 called the nought	ies, 2000s thereabouts, was simply not
10	on with a third portal. When we advertised and spent	0 available to my c	lient because the market had moved on.
11	a considerable amount of money in newspapers, we didn't	1 Exclusivity was j	ust not possible?
12	just advertise in two. We advertised in a lot.	2 A. Well, I am no -	- I don't set up portal businesses.
13	Q. You are not in the business of throwing your	3 Q. No.	
14	shareholders' money down the drain?	4 A. But I do know	that the principle of OnTheMarket, most
15	A. No.	5 agents would ha	ve supported it. They could not have
16	Q. You don't light the fire with it on a Friday evening	6 afforded to nece	ssarily pay the full price that there
17	when you go home, do you?	7 were two such a	s Rightmove and Zoopla but there could
18	A. No, absolutely not.		where they could perhaps have done it
19	Q. So why would Hunters have paid to list on OTM if all its	-	a cheaper price or got agents on board
20	properties were on Rightmove or Zoopla and all the	because at the en	nd of the day, agents spent then and
21	property seekers looked at one or the other or both.		a considerable amount of money in other
22	There would be no earthly reason to do so, would there,	2 forms of market	
23	ever?		paragraph 21, please, Miss Frew, of
24	A. There is agents spend a considerable amount of money	your statement.	
25	not just on portals to get leads but in terms of	•	tual were now to drop the OOP rule,
		•	•
	Page 46		Page 48
1	canvassing and all such as that and newspapers and	1 I believe that m	any more agents would sign up,
1 2	canvassing and all such as that and newspapers and so on. So I am not saying for one minute that		any more agents would sign up, gents' Mutual offered discounted pricing
_		2 especially if Ag	any more agents would sign up, ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer
2	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that	especially if Agto attract agents	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing
2 3	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the	 especially if Ag to attract agents required to char 	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing sto join and they were no longer
2 3 4	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is	 especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined about 	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements.
2 3 4 5	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other	 especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined aborton owned portal ar 	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. we, Hunters is attracted to an agent
2 3 4 5 6	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do	 especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined abort owned portal ar portals, so long 	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. eve, Hunters is attracted to an agent and is willing to list on more than two
2 3 4 5 6 7	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them	 especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined abor owned portal ar portals, so long generating leads 	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. Eve, Hunters is attracted to an agent and is willing to list on more than two as we are satisfied that they are is in a cost-effective way and not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests.	 especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined abor owned portal ar portals, so long generating leads 	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. Eve, Hunters is attracted to an agent of is willing to list on more than two as we are satisfied that they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead 9 undermining ou 0 A. Yes.	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. Eve, Hunters is attracted to an agent and is willing to list on more than two as we are satisfied that they are is in a cost-effective way and not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to chai 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead 9 undermining ou 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the service of the servic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead- 9 undermining or 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you?	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead- 9 undermining or 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nige their current listing arrangements. We, Hunters is attracted to an agent and is willing to list on more than two as we are satisfied that they are is in a cost-effective way and not in ability to trade." The saying is that if there was no OOP rule, we, you would find it more attractive, and a guarantee that it worked; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who?	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead: 9 undermining ou 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape 1 provided you ha 2 a fair summary	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nige their current listing arrangements. We, Hunters is attracted to an agent and is willing to list on more than two as we are satisfied that they are is in a cost-effective way and not in ability to trade." The saying is that if there was no OOP rule, we, you would find it more attractive, and a guarantee that it worked; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would	especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined abo owned portal ar portals, so long generating lead undermining ou A. Yes. Q. So what you a if it was cheape provided you ha a fair summary A. Well, as it say	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nige their current listing arrangements. We, Hunters is attracted to an agent and is willing to list on more than two as we are satisfied that they are is in a cost-effective way and not ar ability to trade." The saying is that if there was no OOP rule, are, you would find it more attractive, and a guarantee that it worked; is that it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead 9 undermining ou 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape 9 provided you h 2 a fair summary 5 A. Well, as it say 6 track how it w	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it?	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead 9 undermining or 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape 9 provided you ha 2 a fair summary 5 A. Well, as it say 1 track how it w 1 work. It is in a	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead- 9 undermining or 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape 9 provided you ha 2 a fair summary 5 A. Well, as it say 1 track how it w 2 work. It is in a 8 customer's interest.	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nege their current listing arrangements. In the property of the pr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer.	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead 9 undermining or 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape 9 provided you ha 2 a fair summary 5 A. Well, as it say 1 track how it w 2 work. It is in a 8 customer's interest.	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer. Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched,	2 especially if Ag 3 to attract agents 4 required to char 5 As outlined abo 6 owned portal ar 7 portals, so long 8 generating lead 9 undermining or 0 A. Yes. 1 Q. So what you a 1 if it was cheape 3 provided you ha 2 a fair summary 5 A. Well, as it say 6 track how it w 7 work. It is in a 6 customer's inte 9 Q. Do you know	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer. Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched, wasn't it?	especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined abo owned portal ar portals, so long generating lead undermining ou A. Yes. Q. So what you a if it was cheape provided you ha a fair summary A. Well, as it say track how it w work. It is in a customer's inte Q. Do you know Miss Frew?	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer. Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched, wasn't it? A. Well, I wasn't party to Primelocation, when	especially if Ag to attract agents required to char As outlined abo owned portal ar portals, so long generating lead undermining ou A. Yes. Q. So what you a if it was cheape provided you ha a fair summary A. Well, as it say track how it w work. It is in a customer's inte Q. Do you know Miss Frew? A. No. Q. Right.	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing to join and they were no longer nge their current listing arrangements. In the property of the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have — they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer. Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched, wasn't it? A. Well, I wasn't party to Primelocation, when Primelocation launched.	especially if Ag to attract agents required to chai As outlined abo owned portal ar portals, so long generating lead- undermining ou A. Yes. Q. So what you a if it was cheape provided you ha a fair summary A. Well, as it say track how it w work. It is in a customer's inte Q. Do you know Miss Frew? A. No. Q. Right. A. Why, do you	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nege their current listing arrangements. In the provided Head of the provided He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer. Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched, wasn't it? A. Well, I wasn't party to Primelocation, when Primelocation launched. Q. And it was right for the founder members of Rightmove as	especially if Ag to attract agents required to chai As outlined abo owned portal ar portals, so long generating lead- undermining or A. Yes. Q. So what you a if it was cheape provided you ha a fair summary A. Well, as it say track how it w work. It is in a customer's inte Q. Do you know Miss Frew? A. No. Q. Right. A. Why, do you Q. No, no, I have	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nege their current listing arrangements. In the property of the pr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so on. So I am not saying for one minute that OnTheMarket could have launched into the market at the same price as Zoopla and Rightmove. I think that is unrealistic. I do, however, believe there were other ways you could have they could have done it and I do believe that other agents would have supported them because that's in their interests. Q. You don't suggest, I don't think, but tell me if I'm wrong, you don't suggest that in 2015, OnTheMarket could suddenly have successfully entered this market on the basis of an exclusivity arrangement, do you? A. An exclusivity arrangement with who? Q. With the agents, on the basis that the agents would agree exclusively to list their properties with the OTM portal. That would never have worked, would it? A. It wouldn't be right for the agents, it wouldn't be right for the customer. Q. It was right for the agents when Primelocation launched, wasn't it? A. Well, I wasn't party to Primelocation, when Primelocation launched. Q. And it was right for the founder members of Rightmove as well, wasn't it, in 2000?	especially if Ag to attract agents required to chai As outlined abo owned portal ar portals, so long generating lead- undermining or A. Yes. Q. So what you a if it was cheape provided you ha a fair summary A. Well, as it say track how it w work. It is in a customer's inte Q. Do you know Miss Frew? A. No. Q. Right. A. Why, do you Q. No, no, I have	ents' Mutual offered discounted pricing is to join and they were no longer nege their current listing arrangements. In the property of the pr

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

1	please. You say:	1	Q. "One other possible way Agents' Mutual could have
2	"I understand that one important factor but not	2	considered for entry was to identify the strongest
3	the only factor for a portal to be successful is that	3	independent agent in each region and try to persuade
4	it needs to have a critical volume of property stock	4	them to join, setting the local standard then, in each
5	with which to entice customers to look at the site which	5	business area."
6	then in turn attracts more agents"	6	Miss Frew, what do you know about the strategy that
7	That is part of the network effect; right?	7	Mr Springett did in fact follow?
8	A. Mmm.	8	A. Well, the point I am trying to make here in paragraph 16
9	Q. "This relationship between listings volumes and	9	is outside of the One Other Portal rule, in that you
10	customers is then built up and expanded on both sides	10	know, I come back to say, as I have said all along, that
11	through an effective marketing strategy, that drives	11	estate agents supported the idea of an agent's portal.
12	brand awareness and generates interest from both sides	12	And so what could have happened is the reduced rates or
13	of the market. Agents' Mutual decided to focus on	13	getting strong independents to join and so on, but
14	signing up a large number of agents and in doing so,	14	without the One Other Portal rule.
15	I believe it had several options open to it other than	15	Q. What do you know about the strategy Mr Springett did in
16	the OOP rule."	16	fact follow, to build up this business? Is the answer
17	Are you criticising Agents' Mutual for focusing and	17	not much or nothing or what?
18	signing up a large number of agents or not?	18	A. I only saw him I saw him at the presentation and
19	A. No, I think the problem, as I say before, I think the	19	his
20	problem that we had as a company was the One Other	20	Q. That was in November 2014, so it was all done and dusted
21	Portal rule.	21	by then?
22	Q. Look at paragraph 16 then. You talk about:	22	A. Yes, but he made it very clear that what he had done and
23	"My clients could have waived fees [that would have	23	the way that they had built it was that they had to try
24	helped the marketing budget no end, no doubt] or offered	24	to get some independents in an area, but obviously, the
25	discounted rates, something that both Rightmove and	25	One Other Portal rule was instrumental in it.
	Page 50		Page 52
	0		0
1	Zoopla did effectively, when they entered the market."	1	Q. In paragraph 18 you say:
2	You have just accepted very fairly, when Rightmove	2	"I do not believe the OOP rule was necessary for
3	entered the market, it was a very different market than	3	Agent's Mutual to be able to enter the market."
4	the market that OTM was facing, wasn't it?	4	Do you mean what do you mean by
5	A. It was but the point that you were making before was	5	A. That goes back to paragraph 16. I don't think it was
6	actually different to that one because the point that	6	essential because I think you could have got independent
7	you were making before was about exclusivity.	7	agents to support Agents' Mutual without having the One
8	Q. Yes, that's correct. But the market was very different,	8	Other Portal rule.
9	wasn't it?	9	MR MACLEAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew.
10	A. When Rightmove entered the market?	10	MR HARRIS: In your hands about the short break. I only
11	Q. The marketing, for example, required now, to enter this	11	have a short question. I am happy to do that now or if
12	market, which has grown so hugely since when Rightmove	12	we take a short break?
13	entered, the marketing requirement is greater, not less	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't we break after you have finished
14	than it was when Rightmove and Zoopla entered; right?	14	your re-examination.
15	A. There is no doubt about it, the marketing spend is huge	15	Re-examination by MR HARRIS
16	for all agents.	16	MR MACLEAN: Miss Frew, good morning again. You were asked
17	Q. And so that would mean that the idea of offering no fees	17	some questions toward the beginning of the
18	or discounted rates to somebody entering in 2015, would	18	cross-examination about Hunters Plc buying 100 per cent
19	have severe implications for their ability adequately to	19	of Hunters Group Limited. Do you recall that?
20	market their portal; right?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. It would need to go into a business plan, wouldn't it?	21	Q. And I think you identified the date as being about the
22	Q. Not just being written down as a business plan. It	22	middle of 2014?
23	would have to be funded, in order to make it work?	23	A. Mmm.
24	A. Exactly. It would need to be funded as part of the	24	Q. Do you recall that? And I think you agreed with my
25	business plan.	25	learned friend that it was after the time that Hunters
	Page 51		Page 53
	1 420 31	1	1 age 33

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

1	Group Limited had joined OTM?	1	their back, worried about "How will that affect our
2	A. Yes, they'd already he'd made his commitment.	2	business?" And so they were distracted with that which,
3	Q. Do you recall Hunters ever being told that all the rest	3	you know, you can understand that from a business point
4	of your branches throughout the Hunters network had to	4	of view and Rightmove, I think as I said at the time,
5	become listed on OTM, because Hunters Group Limited was	5	had a certain amount of trepidation but they knew "This
6	listed on OTM prior to you acquiring them?	6	isn't going to affect us", and they've got stronger with
7	A. No.	7	it.
8	Q. Miss Frew, you were asked some questions about the	8	Q. Just picking up on that answer, in what way has
9	meetings to which you refer in your witness statement,	9	Rightmove got stronger, in your view?
10	the one that you told the Tribunal was in York and the	10	A. Well, they have got stronger because they know that
11	other being in London. Do you recall those questions?	11	they're not one of those that's the major portal that's
12	A. Yes.	12	threatened with it and that undoubtedly strengthens
13	Q. And you said quite clearly that in your view, it was	13	their negotiating position.
14	implied in those meetings that all the agents would go	14	Q. Thank you.
15	for Zoopla, ie did you mean by that, drop Zoopla?	15	THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment. Mr Harris. When you say, for
16	A. Definitely.	16	instance, "They know that they're the major portal", are
17	Q. Can you think back then, doing your best now,	17	you giving your views as an estate agent involved in the
18	I appreciate it is some time ago, think back to those	18	market or are you speaking of having had conversations
19	meetings. Imagine where they were. You said it was	19	with Rightmove and/or Zoopla, where they've disclosed
20	cold, one of the meetings. One was presented by	20	the thinking to you?
21	a woman, one was presented by Mr Springett. Just think	21	A. Would you mind repeating the first bit? I didn't quite
22	back. Can you explain to the Tribunal in your own	22	hear it.
23	words, by reference to that recollection, why exactly it	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. In the course of the last
24	was that you got that clear impression?	24	couple of answers you've said, for instance, "They know
25	A. I got that clear impression because that was the way the	25	that they're the major portal". You have
	Page 54		Page 56
1	presentation came over. That's how I felt when	1	A. Rightmove.
2	I watched it. There's no question about that in my	2	THE CHAIRMAN: You were talking about Rightmove there. And
3	mind. There was also at one of them I smoke and	3	what I wanted to get a feel for is the source of that
4	I went out for a cigarette and one of the other agents	4	evidence. Is it simply your thinking of what they might
5	came out and said "We all need to stick together. This	5	think as an estate agent in the market or is it the
6	is what we need to do. And we need to drop Zoopla and	6	result of a conversation that you might have had with
7	go with OnTheMarket." So I had said at that time "We've	7	either Zoopla or Rightmove?
8	decided that the timing isn't right."	8	A. I think it's a combination of the two and of course
9	Q. Thank you. Shortly after those questions, you were	9	there's there is anecdotal evidence too, that
10	asked about your view, also expressed in your witness	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Speaking with other estate agents in the
11	statement, about Zoopla becoming weaker after the entry	11	market?
12	of OTM into the market. Do you recall that?	12	A. That's right, yes. That would say the same.
13	A. I do.	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
14	Q. And you said, and I do my best to quote here:	14	MR HARRIS: Thank you, Miss Frew. Then the last question
15	"I do think that Zoopla have become weaker since	15	is, you were asked, nearing the end of your
16	then."	16	cross-examination, some questions about listing on more
17	Do you remember saying that?	17	than two portals and part of your answer was: well, back
18	A. I do.	18	in the day, we didn't just list on two newspapers. Do
19	Q. You were then cut off and moved on to a different	19	you remember that?
20	question but can you explain to the Tribunal why it is	20	A. Yes.
21	that you do think that Zoopla have become weaker since	21	Q. And my question to you is: are you aware whether or not
22	the entry of OTM into the portals market?	22	any Hunters own branches or franchisees' branches now
23	A. Because by the nature of what happened with OnTheMarket,	23	list on more than two property portals?
24	there were a number of agents then, that came off	24	A. Yes, there are some that are on LonRes, for example.
25	Zoopla. So Zoopla were then worried about and watching	25	There are others that are on portals where you pay for
	Page 55		Page 57

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

		Τ	
1	leads as you get them, so, you know, that's part of	1	(11.55 am)
2	their own individual marketing strategy. But very	2	MR HARRIS: With the Tribunal's permission, I would like to
3	important to the national Hunters marketing strategy	3	call my next witness, Mr Livesey.
4	is the portals. And also, we do quite a lot of separate	4	MR DAVID CHRISTOPHER LIVESEY (affirmed)
5	canvassing but other than that, if they want to go on	5	Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS
6	another portal, no problem at all.	6	MR HARRIS: Mr Livesey, can you please be provided with
7	Q. Thank you. I think you mentioned a particular one in	7	bundle D of the defendant's witness statements, unless
8	that answer, LonRes?	8	it is already on your table. And could you have it
9	A. LonRes.	9	open, at least to tab number 3. Do you recognise that
10	Q. Can you just tell the Tribunal what that is?	10	as the front page of a witness statement you created for
11	A. LonRes is a portal which actually is London based. So	11	these proceedings?
12	a lot of agents will use LonRes and that, you know, is	12	A. I do.
13	properties just for London.	13	Q. And can you turn to the final page, page 20 of that tab.
14	Q. I see, so is the effect of that answer put together	14	Is that the copy of your signature?
15	then, is that some of your branches and/or franchisee	15	A. It is.
16	branches currently list on at least three portals, one	16	Q. And save for one matter that you wish to update, is it a
17	of them being LonRes. Who would the other two portals	17	true and accurate account of the evidence you wish to
18	be?	18	give to this Tribunal?
19	A. If they are on LonRes, they would be on Zoopla and	19	A. It is.
20	Rightmove.	20	Q. And is there an update you wish to give as regards
21	MR HARRIS: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. I have no	21	paragraph 14?
22	further questions, unless the Tribunal have any	22	A. Yes, paragraph 14 talks about the contractual
23	questions.	23	arrangements that we had with Zoopla at the time and it
24	MR FREEMAN: Miss Frew, during your evidence you talked at	24	was really an update, which is that the current contract
25	various places about customers and your consumers. As	25	that I have with Zoopla commenced at the start of last
	Page 58		Page 60
1		,	2017 1 (2) 1 6 (2010
1	an estate agent, can I just ask you, who do you regard	1	year, 2016, and runs until the end of next year, 2018.
2	as the consumer in this industry?	2	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one
2 3	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the	2 3	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to.
2 3 4	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then	2 3 4	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but
2 3 4 5	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then I think estate agency is quite unique because we also	2 3 4 5	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank
2 3 4 5 6	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants.	2 3 4 5 6	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to
2 3 4 5 6 7	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their	2 3 4 5 6 7	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. A. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next witness is Mr Livesey.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise that as the front page of a third witness statement by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next witness is Mr Livesey. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll rise for five minutes and resume for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise that as the front page of a third witness statement by you in these proceedings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next witness is Mr Livesey. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll rise for five minutes and resume for Mr Livesey then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise that as the front page of a third witness statement by you in these proceedings? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next witness is Mr Livesey. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll rise for five minutes and resume for Mr Livesey then. (11.50 am)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise that as the front page of a third witness statement by you in these proceedings? A. Yes. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. MR HARRIS: Thank you, you are finished, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next witness is Mr Livesey. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll rise for five minutes and resume for Mr Livesey then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise that as the front page of a third witness statement by you in these proceedings? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as the consumer in this industry? A. Our customers and also, partly, consumers are the vendors and the landlords and, of course, then — I think estate agency is quite unique because we also have buyers and we have tenants. MR FREEMAN: Do you regard yourselves as acting in their interests, as well as in the interests of vendors and lessors? A. Yes. MR FREEMAN: So when you talk about a consumer, you mean everybody who might be interested in buying, selling, renting or leasing a property? A. Yes, and of course, legally, an estate agent does have a duty of care that's already in statute over tenants. MR FREEMAN: Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Miss Frew. A. Thank you. MR HARRIS: Sir, that may be a convenient moment. My next witness is Mr Livesey. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll rise for five minutes and resume for Mr Livesey then. (11.50 am)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So it is a three-year contract that supersedes the one referred to. Q. At the time you wrote the statement, this was active but it has now been superseded by events; is that Thank you. Then in the same bundle, could you please turn to tab number 7. Do you recognise that, the front page of a second witness statement that you created for these proceedings? A. I do. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page, at page 15? A. It is. Q. And is this a true and accurate account of the further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? A. It is. Q. And finally, Mr Livesey, would you please turn in the same bundle to tab 11. Do you have a tab 11? A. I do. Q. Because this was a later statement. Do you recognise that as the front page of a third witness statement by you in these proceedings? A. Yes. Q. Is that a true copy of your signature on the final page?

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

,		,	A 773
1	Q. And are the contents of this statement also true and	1	A. They're not part of the story.
2	accurate for the purposes of these proceedings?	2	Q. Nobody ever explained that to you?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. Not specifically, no.
4	Q. Thank you, Mr Livesey, there may be some questions for	4	Q. You see, to take an example, paragraph 21 of your second
5	you.	5	witness statement at tab 7.
6	Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN	6	A. Sorry, where am I looking?
7	MR MACLEAN: Good afternoon, it just about is, Mr Livesey.	7	Q. Bundle D, tab 7, paragraph 21. What you are doing there
8	A. Yes.	8	is providing a commentary on some emails that weren't
9 10	Q. You are fully supportive of the idea of a portal run by	9	sent to you, weren't sent by you, weren't copied to you, have nothing to do with you; right?
11	agents for agents, aren't you?	11	
12	A. I am.	12	A. I am providing commentary on emails that were being
13	Q. And you don't see a portal run by agents for agents as being, in principle, objectionable on any basis, do you?	13	referred to by the other parties. Q. But if it's not the function of a witness statement to
14		14	provide a commentary on the documents in the case and if
15	A. No, in principle, it's fine.Q. You don't see it as being regressive and protectionist,	15	you understood the purpose of the witness statement,
16	for example, do you?	16	what's paragraph 21 doing there, Mr Livesey?
17	A. Not the principle of it.	17	A. Well, I'm providing a response there, to documents that
18	Q. I assume but tell me if I'm wrong, that you are not the	18	were provided by the other side.
19	sort of person who signs official documents without	19	Q. No, they weren't, Mr Livesey. These are documents which
20	taking care to understand them, understand their	20	you produced, in a little exercise that we'll explore
21	contents and purpose; is that right?	21	a bit later.
22	A. That's a fair comment.	22	A. These are the North East documents.
23	Q. What's the purpose of a witness statement in proceedings	23	Q. It is your statement, Mr Livesey, look at paragraph 21?
24	such as these, Mr Livesey?	24	A. Okay, I'll read the paragraph. (Pause).
25	A. To give my statement of the events.	25	Q. It is not your fault, Mr Livesey, probably. It is
23	A. To give my statement of the events.	23	Q. It is not your faunt, wit Envescy, probably. It is
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. It's to set out the evidence-in-chief of the maker of	1	probably not your fault, it is no business of mine whose
2	the statement, isn't it?	2	fault it is, but this witness statement, indeed your
3	A. Correct.	3	witness statement strays far beyond your telling your
4	Q. To tell your part of the story; right?	4	part of the story, from your own knowledge. Do you
5	A. Correct.	5	accept that?
6	Q. Do you know that it is expressly not the function of	6	A. No, I'm providing commentary on documents that were in
7	a witness statement to provide a commentary on the	7	front of me which related directly to the subject.
8	documents in the case?	8	Q. I am not going to ask you whether you are right or wrong
9	A. I'm not an expert on legal proceedings.	9	in what you say in paragraph 21 about what Mr Springett
10	Q. I thought you said that you understood the purpose of	10	clearly knew, on the basis of some email that was
11	the witness statement?	11	nothing to do with you. Mr Harris can put questions to
12	A. I answered your question.	12	Mr Springett but this is a completely illegitimate
13	Q. So do you or do you not understand that the function of	13	exercise, Mr Livesey?
14	a witness statement is emphatically not to provide	14	A. Well, I didn't take your point that this is nothing to
15	a commentary on the documents in the case which have got	15	do with me. These are emails that are being referred
16	nothing to do with the witness?	16	to. They're emails from people within my business that
17	A. I'm sorry, I don't understand your question.	17	are directly relevant to the case.
18	Q. Let's start again. What's the purpose of the witness	18	Q. You have allowed yourself to be used as a mouthpiece in
19	statement?	19	your witness statement by lawyers, presumably, in order
20	A. To explain my version of the events.	20	to argue the case of Connells or Zoopla in this
21	Q. To tell your part of the story; right?	21	litigation, haven't you?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. I'm no one's mouthpiece.
23	Q. Not to provide a commentary on emails between X and Y or	23	Q. Now, is this witness statement, these witness
24	Y and Z or A and B, that have nothing to do with you;	24	statements, are they in your own words?
25	right?	25	A. Largely, yes.
	Page 63		Page 65

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Day 2	Agent's Mutual Limited v Gascoigne I	Halmai	a Limited ta Gascoigne Halman 6 February 2017
1	Q. Largely.	1	this litigation, isn't it?
2	A. Well you'll see there are quite large elements of them	2	A. It is.
3	are taken verbatim from other people's emails.	3	Q. And is Connells, in turn, the subject of any funding or
4	Q. So when you are not quoting from somebody, we can take	4	indemnity arrangement with Zoopla in this litigation?
5	it it is your own words, can we?	5	A. Do I have to answer these questions?
6	A. Mmm.	6	Q. Yes?
7	Q. Right. Now, you are the group chief executive of	7	A. No confidentiality rules apply?
8	Connells, are you?	8	Q. No.
9	A. I am.	9	A. Zoopla did offer to meet a small proportion of our legal
10	Q. And you have been in post since 2008?	10	costs at the early stage of the proceedings.
11	A. As chief executive. I have been with the company for	11	Q. What small proportion?
12	27 years.	12	A. A very small a fraction.
13	Q. You have been a director since 1995?	13	Q. What fraction, Mr Livesey?
14	A. Correct.	14	A. Up to £250,000.
15	Q. Continuously a director since 1995?	15	Q. So it wasn't a fraction. It was a set amount?
16	A. Correct.	16	A. It will be a fraction of our total costs.
17	Q. And Connells became the majority shareholder in	17	Q. I know it will but it was a set amount, not a fraction?
18	Gascoigne Halman, I think in late 2015; is that right?	18	A. Up to but not a set amount.
19	A. Correct.	19	Q. So you took that offer?
20	Q. And you became a director of Gascoigne Halman when that	20	A. We accepted that offer.
21	takeover was completed?	21	Q. So they have in fact funded a quarter of a million
22	A. That's right.	22	pounds of what is, legally, Gascoigne Halman's defence
23	Q. And you remain a director of Gascoigne Halman today?	23	of these proceedings but is, in fact, Connells' defence
24	A. I do.	24	of these proceedings, in reality. Is that right?
25	Q. Who are the other directors of Gascoigne Halman today?	25	A. Connells Group will pick up the legal costs for the
	Page 66		Page 68
1	A. Stephen Shipperley, who's the group chairman;	1	defence. Zoopla will pick up to £250,000 worth of those
2	David Plumtree, who's the group chief executive for	2	costs.
3	estate agency, who reports to me; John Halman and	3	Q. And why do you think, maybe you don't know, Zoopla
4	Martin Forrest.	4	offered you a quarter of a million quid to help fight
5	Q. So the last two, the last two gentlemen, Mr Forrest and	5	this case?
6	Mr Halman, came from the Gascoigne Halman side of things	6	A. You'd need to ask Zoopla.
7	and the other three, I think it was, including yourself,	7	Q. You have got no idea?
8	came from the Connells side, is that right?	8	A. I have got ideas but I'm not here to speculate.
9	A. That's right.	9	Q. Give me your best idea?
10	Q. And what's the voting on the vote? Is it one man, one	10	A. I'm not here to speculate.
11	vote?	11	Q. Now, take bundle H14, please, Mr Livesey and turn to
12	A. No, Connells own 75 per cent shares in the business.	12	page 7876. In fact, let's just start the story at 7877.
13	Q. So Connells has a completely controlling stake in	13	This is an email from Mr Halman to Mr Plumtree, who you
14	Gascoigne Halman?	14	have just told us that Mr Plumtree, I think you said,
15	A. We do.	15	was the head of the estate agency at Connells and he
16	Q. Does the same apply to Rook Matthews Sayers, one of the	16	reports to you and he is one of the board members of
17	other businesses that Connells acquired?	17	Gascoigne Halman, along with you, Mr Halman, Mr Forrest
18	A. It does.	18	and the chairman?
19	Q. And completely controlled by Connells as well?	19	A. Shipperley, yes.
20	A. Correct.	20	Q. This is an email of 3 February last year, from Mr Halman
21	Q. Gascoigne Halman isn't funding its defence of these	21	to Mr Plumtree and:
22	proceedings, is it?	22	"Mr Halman had telephoned Ms Whiteley of my client.
ı			
23	A. Connells Group is funding the defence.	23	He advised her we are proposing to commence advertising

Page 69

on Zoopla. As such, we would have to withdraw from

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Q. So Gascoigne Halman Limited is, as Mr Halman puts it in

one of the emails, completely insulated from the cost of

Page 67

24

25

24

25

Agents' Mutual."

And then at the bottom of the page he says: "This raises an interesting legal point as it is a the prolety to only permit agents to advertise on one of either Rightmove or Zoopla and therefore if we choose to of either Rightmove or Zoopla and therefore if we choose to devertise on both with addition Agents' Mutual they would have to expel us." "He says: "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would still be entitled to the subscription. I have attained page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due to diligence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Comolls, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? A. Yes. Q. So you knew all about this? A. Yes. Q. And then: The face seem to me that our defection could be in the top practicular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in the country of the page. We can see this is you, if we go to 7574. There we are, 534 in the eventual of the page of the Sh." The right you go back a page, Mr Pluntree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Page 70 The page 70 The page 70 A I don't know. Q. Let's look to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg requires us to have all Cennells Group brands lasted on the face of the Sh." SPA, I assume it would the suddening an onerous contract of the Charles and purchase sugerement, is it? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said. "We are coming under significant pressure from able to find the final response that wen't to Mr Halman for prequires us to have all Cennells Group brands lasted on the first and purchase sugerement, is it? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said. "We are coming under significant pressure from the first seed with the sum of the contract of the Sh." SPA, I assume it suit and purchase sugerement, is it? A. Yes. the Charles and purchase sugerement, is it? A. Yes. the charles and purchase sugerement, is it? A. Yes.				
This raises an interesting legal point as it is the projecty to only permit agents to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 5 to advortise on the work and the cost associated with the defording any claim that AM bring against GH as a consequence of you delisting capilly, we will take the reasonable costs associated with pursuing AM for the interest progress of the GH. (I suspect they'll look to withhold these,'' and them I wilk bodd th	1	And then at the bottom of the page he says:	1	fight, wasn't it?
their policy to only permit agents to advertise on one of either Rightmove or Zoopla and therefore if we choose to advertise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual they would have to expel us." He says: "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would still be entitled to the subscription. There attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the dividence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Connells, when it hought Gascoigne Halmun; right? A. Yes. Q. And then: "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case." Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Italiana which he sent to the repetite of Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a what was saich bree. Mr Plumtree said: ye'registed to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. Let's host a west in this or abbanatially this form? A. Yes. Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The contracting with Accopala ment of the European Contraction of this regard in craper for the SPA.				
of either Rigitmove or Zoopha and therefore if we choose to advertise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual 6 they would have to expel us." 7 He says: 8 "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would still be entitled to the subscription. I have attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due diligence." 13 That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? 14 A. Ves. 15 Q. And then: 16 Q. So you knew all about this? 17 A. Ves. 18 Q. And then: 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla 21 but it does seen to me that our defection could be in diseased replication of the seen to me that our defection could be in able to find the find response to the Halman which he sent to able to find the find response to the Halman which he sent to able to find the find response to the Halman which he sent to able to find the find response that we to the Halman which he sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman which he sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman which he sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman with he sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman with he sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman with he find the find response to the third with the sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman with he sent to able to find the find response to the third with the sent to able to find the find response that went to Mr Halman with he sent to able to find the find response to the tries of the STA." 16 A. Yes. 17 Dependent the production of the sent to the find the find response to the tries of the STA." 18 Q. Let's look at what was said bere. Mr Plumtree said: 19 The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: 10 The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: is that right? When you not, it doesn't go on			3	•
to advertise on both with in addition Agents' Mutual they would have to expel us." He says: "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would slib be entitled to the subscription. I have attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due diligence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? A. Yes. Q. So you knew all about this? Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case." Then you go have delisted from OTM. We (Connells Limited) twithout the subscription. I have attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due diligence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Connells, was already revealed during the due diligence." A. Yes. Q. And then. "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case." Then you goin in at the top of the page. We can see this is you, if we go to 2874. There we are, 5.34 in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The suprised that whe wave only just and the evening on 3 February. You say: "In suprised that we have only just agent them limited." The money and the listing. Those are the two issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't you issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't yo on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume it was all here. Mr Plumtere said. O Root of the SPA. SPA, I assume it was all and the series of the SPA. SPA, I seem to said and purchase agreement, is in? A. Yes. SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is in? A. Yes. SPA, I seem to said and purchase agreement, is in? SPA, I seem to said and purchase agreement, is in? SPA, I seem to said and p				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
they would have to expel us." He says: "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they would still be entitled to the substraint of the says whether they will take the reasonable cost sascaicated with pursting AM for the united to the says will be an intended they still be the says when the says substaints the contents of the says will be an intended they not be the says with the says will be the says with off. We have conditionally the says will be an issue all allow." Page 70 The money and the lasting. Those are the two issues." The money and the lasting. Those are the two issues." The money and the lasting. Those are the two issues." The money and the lasting. Those are the two issues." The money and the lasting. Those are the two issues. It that repairs to there are listing with Zoopha to have clif be says with off. A yes. Deals and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. C. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. Haven be and the says wi				
He says: #It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would still be entitled to the subscription. I have attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed unite the due diligence. That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Cornells, when it bought Cascoigne Halman, right? A. Yes. Q. And then. "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla that it does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case." Then you go have a page, MF Plumtee dafled an intended response to MF Halman which he sent to MF Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I havent been able to find the final response that went to MF Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I havent been able to find the final response to MF Halman better seed. A. Ves. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I havent been able to find the final response to the Thumber seed. Coph to have CHI listed on their side, as CHI are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." A. Pos. Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The data is that reference to the due diligence undertaken but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And well see in a minute who the calculation was. The data calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and	_			
8 "It is unclear, however, that in expelling us, whether they would still be entitled to the substription. Have attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due diligence." 13 That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Cornells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? A. Yes. 16 Q. So you knew all about this? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And them. 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in damped of the course of the page. We can see this is you, if we go to 7874. There we are, 5.34 in the evening on 3 February. You say: 19 "Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Page. 70 10 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Let's look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from to the state. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." 20 So Cannells hadm't sought any curve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to On TheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. Yes. 10 Can be the sum of the page with file of the page with f	_			
whether they would still be entitled to the subscription. I have attached page I of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due diligence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertakem by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? A. Yes. O. So you knew all about this? A. Yes. O. And then: "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in does seem to me that our defection could be in the evening on 3 February. You say: "Then you join in at the top of the page. We can see this you, if we go to 784. There we are, 5.34 in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The supplication of the page. We can see this you, if we go to 784. There we are, 5.34 in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The supplication of the page. We can see this you, if we go to 784. There we are, 5.34 in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The we will dose seem to me that our defection could be in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The we will dose seem to me that our defection could be in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The we will dose seem to me that our defection could be in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The we will dose seem to me that our defection could be in the evening on 3 February. You say: "The we will dose seem to me for four months, known this would be an issue all along." So none of this is a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springert to the two sissues, is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on to the transcript. A. Yes. I agree with Richard we are best to separate the two issues, is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on to the transcript. A. I don't know. Q. I cl's load to have all Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla and dealing with On The Market. The money and the listing. Those are the two issues, is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on to the transcript. A. Yes. Q. So Connells Madhri sought any				
subscription. I have attached page 1 of the membership agreement which was already revealed during the due digence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? A. Yes. Q. So you knew all about this? A. Yes. Q. And them. The project of becoming a lest case." Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to danger of becoming a test case." Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Halman which he sent to able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman half and the material to the first in the intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman of but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman of but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from to free Connells Group brands listed on the riste. We have no protection in this regard in response to the SPA." Sp. A. Jess. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractably owed to On TheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract that one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and				
agreement which was already revealed during the due diligence." That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Comnells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman, right? A. Yes. Q. So you knew all about this? A. Yes. Q. And then: "Ure position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zooph but it does seem to me that our defection could be in intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to danger of becoming a test case." Then fri you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Lef's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Lef's look at what was said bere. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from to fithe Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their side, as GH are part of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually oved to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and		•		
12 And then Mr Twigg sends a reply back to Mr Plumtree, significant present of the due diligence undertaken by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So you knew all about this? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then: 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case. 21 danger of becoming a test case. 22 danger of becoming a test case. 22 danger of becoming a test case. 23 Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg, right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 10 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg 2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH I sted on ther side, as GH are part of the SPA." 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadr's sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman; right? 18 the right of the commercial risk for. 29 Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 25 contractual bore on the two were prepared to take the commercial risk for. 20 Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 25 contractual borgain, thereby triggering 12 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 25 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 25 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 25 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 26 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 27 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 27 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 28 contractual bargain, thereby triggering 28				` · · · · ·
That is a reference to the due diligence undertaken by Connells, when it bought Cascoigne Halman, right? A. Yes. O. So you knew all about this? A. Yes. O. And then: "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla of but it does seem to me that our defection could be in intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to danger of becoming a test case." Then you go back a page, Mr Plamtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Area. Page 70 Dake to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replict to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Lef's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but are said: "A Ves. Q. Lef's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is such connells Group and our contract with Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the connells from phrands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Guscoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal, is that right? A. A. Yes. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springest twouldh't stand and		, ,		
by Connells, when it bought Gascoigne Halman; right? A. Yes. O. So you knew all about this? A. Yes. O. And then: "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Muttual or Zoopla to be tild does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case." Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 Days to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Lefs just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Lef's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Comnells Group and our contract with Zoopla to their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for firsteff in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to On TheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and				
15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So you knew all about this? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then: 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no 20 particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla 21 but it does seem to me that our defection could be in 22 danger of becoming a test case." 23 Then if you go back a page, MP lumtree drafted an 24 intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to 25 Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come 26 Page 70 27 Page 70 28 Let's just look for the moment at 7875, Mr Twigg 29 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I havent been 4 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 5 A. I don't know. 6 Q. Let's just look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 11 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 12 requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in 13 respect of the SPA." 14 SPA, Lassume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 15 SPA, Lassume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity 18 for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; its hat right? 20 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 21 calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 22 legitation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 23 calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 24 legitation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 25 misk for. 26 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springert wouldn't stand and 26 page 70 27 Lassume is weather and the stand and was a said here. Mr Plumtree said: 28 Page 70 29 So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
16 Q. So you knew all about this? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then: 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in 21 in the cerning on 3 February. You say: 20 danger of becoming a test case." 21 Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an 24 intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to 24 intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to 25 Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 10 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg 2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 21 A. Yes. 22 a Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 3 able to find the final responses that went to Mr Halman 5 to the fir than 1 to 10 to the transcript. 23 A. Yes. 34 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 3 able to find the final responses that went to Mr Halman 5 to the fir slie. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in this regard in 2 the site. We have no protection in t				
17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And then: 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla but it does seem to me that our defection could be in darger of becoming a test case." 21 danger of becoming a test case." 22 danger of becoming a test case." 23 Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 1 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg 2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response to that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman to the form the devent of the deal of the final response that went to Mr Halman to the final response that went to Mr Halman to the transcript. A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla and dealing with On TheMarket. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Pumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 100 their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Ga				
18 Q. And then: 19 "Our position has always been one where we have no 20 particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla 21 but it does seem to me that our defection could be in 22 danger of becoming a test case." 23 Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an 24 intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to 25 Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come 26 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 27 a A. Yes. 28 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 29 able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman 29 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 20 A. I don't know. 21 Coople to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 22 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 23 respect of the SPA." 24 A. Yes. 25 So none do where we are, 5.34 in the evening on 3 Tebruary. You say: 26 "Tm surprised that we have only just raised the issue with OTM. We have owned GH for four months, known this would be an issue all along." 29 The money of this is a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springett: 20 Taylor the seem to eithis vould be an issue with OTM. We have owned GH for four months, known this would be an issue all along." 22 The mone of this is a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springet to. 25 So none of this is a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springet to. 26 Let's just look for the moment at 7875, Mr Twigg replicated pressure from 27 the too fisted on the final response that went to Mr Halman 28 to the transcript. 26 A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach. 27 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? 28 A. Yes, the September me				
the evening on 3 February. You say: "I'm surprised that we have only just raised the listen with DTM. We have comed GH for four months, known this would be an issue all along." Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Page 70 Dack to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been but a ssume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from go "				
20 particular allegiance to either Agents' Mutual or Zoopla 21 but it does seem to me that our defection could be in 22 danger of becoming tasts case." 23 Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an 24 intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to 25 Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come 26 Page 70 1 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg 2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 4 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 5 A. I don't know. 8 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 11 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 12 requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on 13 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 14 respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 4 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity 18 for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations 19 contractually word to OnTheMarket, at the time of the 20 deal; is that right? 21 A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract 22 but one that we were prepared to take the commercial 23 risk for. 24 Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The 25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and				
21 but it does seem to me that our defection could be in danger of becoming a test case." 22 Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 1 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 4 A. I don't know. 8 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations or contracted but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. 24 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 25 In the was a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springett: 26 So none of this is a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springett: 27 Tknow there's an issue with interest. Secondly, 28 So none of this is a surprise to you; right? You specifically raised it with Mr Springett: 28 Tknow there's an issue with interest. Secondly, 29 Table to with mr Springett: 20 Tknow there's an issue with interest. Secondly, 20 The money and the listing. Those are the two issues." 3 The money and the listing. Those are the two issues." 4 I agree with Richard we are best to separate the two issues." 5 The money and the listing. Those are the two issues. 6 Ves. 9 Use the transcript. 6 A. The two issues I am referrin				
danger of becoming a test case." Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 Page 72 back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. 3 The money and the listing. Those are the two issues." Lagree with Richard we are best to separate the two issues." The money and the listing. Those are the two issues." The money and the listing. Those are the two issues. Is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on to the transcript. A. I don't know. C. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: We are coming under significant pressure from C. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: We are coming under significant pressure from C. Let's look at what was and here. Mr Plumtree said: The intended response to Mr Halman with the calculation was that Mr Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approach." So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. Yes. C. C. A. That 's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. A. Yes. C.				
Then if you go back a page, Mr Plumtree drafted an intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 Dage 70 Dage 72 Dage 73 Dage 74 Dage 75 Dage 75 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 72 Dage 73 Dage 74 Dage 75 Dage 75 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76 Dage 76				
intended response to Mr Halman which he sent to Mr Twigg; right, do you see that? And then I will come Page 70 Page 72 Dack to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that?				_
Page 70 Page 72 Page 74 Page 72 Page 74 Page 74				
Page 70 Page 72 I agree with Richard we are best to separate the two issues." A Yes. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla and all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and		_		
back to that in a minute and then at 7875, Mr Twigg replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: We are coming under significant pressure from We are coming under significant pressure from We are coming under significant pressure from Coopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA. SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Co. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. I agree with Richard we are best to separate the two issues: I agree with Richard we are best to separate the two issues: The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: The money and the listing. Those are the two issues: A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. Q. "Listing with Zoopla with OnTheMarket. A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approac	23	Wii Twigg, fight, do you see that? And then I will come	23	I know there's an issue with interest. Secondry,
2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 5 able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman 6 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 7 A. I don't know. 7 Zoopla and dealing with On'TheMarket. 8 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 11 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 12 requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on 13 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 14 respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity 18 for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations 19 contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the 20 deal; is that right? 21 A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract 22 but one that we were prepared to take the commercial 23 risk for. 24 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The 25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 2 issues." 3 The money and the listing. Those are the two 4 issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on 4 to the transcript. 4 issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on 4 to the transcript. 5 A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with 6 to the transcript. 6 A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with 7 Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. 9 ."Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 10 times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 11 approach." 12 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne 13 halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? 14 A. What		Page 70		Page 72
2 replied to Plumtree and copied in you. Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 5 able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman 6 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 7 A. I don't know. 7 Zoopla and dealing with On'TheMarket. 8 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 11 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 12 requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on 13 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 14 respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity 18 for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations 19 contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the 20 deal; is that right? 21 A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract 22 but one that we were prepared to take the commercial 23 risk for. 24 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The 25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 2 issues." 3 The money and the listing. Those are the two 4 issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on 4 to the transcript. 4 issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on 4 to the transcript. 5 A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with 6 to the transcript. 6 A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with 7 Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. 9 ."Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 10 times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 11 approach." 12 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne 13 halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? 14 A. What	1	back to that in a minute and then at 7875. Mr Twigg	1	Lagree with Richard we are best to separate the two
A. Yes. Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from "We are coming under significant pressure from price in their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in their site. We have no protection in this regard in SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and The money and the listing. Those are the two issues; is that right? When you nod, it doesn't go on to to the transcript. A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla with Zoopla with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approach is because				
4 Q. Let's just look for the moment at 7876. I haven't been 5 able to find the final response that went to Mr Halman 6 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? 6 A. I don't know. 7 Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. 8 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 11 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 12 requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on 13 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 14 respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity 18 for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations 19 contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the 20 deal; is that right? 21 A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract 22 but one that we were prepared to take the commercial 23 risk for. 24 Q. And well see in a minute what the calculation was. The 25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 26 its the tringsht? When you nod, it doesn't go on to the transcript. A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with 26 A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with 27 Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. 28 Q. "Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all 19 times, I would expect Springet to adopt a conciliatory approach." 19 So you thought that Mr Springet to adopt a conciliatory approach." 19 So you thought that Mr Springet no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne 19 Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? 10 A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join 19 OnTheMarket. 20 Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? 21 A. Yes, the Se				
but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. 3 but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I the two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. 9 "Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 10 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 5 to the transcript. A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with OnTheMarket. Q. What Jou didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering				
but I assume it went in this or substantially this form? A. I don't know. Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and A. The two issues I am referring to there are listing with Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. Q. "Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering		•		
7 A. I don't know. 8 Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: 9 "We are coming under significant pressure from 10 Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part 11 of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla 12 requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on 13 their site. We have no protection in this regard in 14 respect of the SPA." 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity 18 for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations 19 contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the 20 deal; is that right? 21 A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract 22 but one that we were prepared to take the commercial 23 risk for. 24 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The 25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 27 Zoopla and dealing with OnTheMarket. 8 Q. "Listing with Zoopla without further delay is the most important, then let's see what OTM do. Now, of all times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 10 times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." 12 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? 15 What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. 20 Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? 21 A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. 22 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 25 What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering	_			_
Q. Let's look at what was said here. Mr Plumtree said: "We are coming under significant pressure from Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and		•		
"We are coming under significant pressure from Zoopla to have GH listed on their side, as GH are part of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla their site. We have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." 12 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." 14 Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? 15 SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? 16 A. Yes.				
To the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and times, I would expect Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." So you thought that Mr Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." So you thought that Mr Springett to adopt a conciliatory approach." A. What I'm referring to but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			_	
of the Connells Group and our contract with Zoopla requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 11 approach." So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			1	
requires us to have all Connells Group brands listed on their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. O. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. O. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 12 So you thought that Mr Springett no doubt would not be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. O. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. O. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering				
their site. We have no protection in this regard in respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and be happy about it but would, in effect, let Gascoigne Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering		•	1	
respect of the SPA." SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. O. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. O. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. O. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and Halman list on Zoopla, come to some sort of arrangement with you or what did you expect? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. Yes. O. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. O. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and SPA, I assume is sale and purchase agreement, is it? A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. O. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. O. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
A. Yes. Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and A. What I'm referring to by expecting him to have a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering				
Q. So Connells hadn't sought any carve out or any indemnity for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 17 a conciliatory approach is because he had recently approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering		, ,		
for itself in relation to Gascoigne Halman's obligations contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 18 approached me with a view to asking Connells to join OnTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
contractually owed to OnTheMarket, at the time of the deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and DonTheMarket. Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering				
deal; is that right? A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 20 Q. That is a reference back to the four way meeting, is it? A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
A. That's correct, we saw this as being an onerous contract but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and A. Yes, the September meeting, when he came to Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
but one that we were prepared to take the commercial risk for. 22 Leighton Buzzard just to meet Connells and then, subsequently, the four way meeting in January. 23 Subsequently, the four way meeting in January. 24 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 25 Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering		· · · · · ·	I	, ,
risk for. 23 subsequently, the four way meeting in January. 24 Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The 25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 26 Subsequently, the four way meeting in January. 27 Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne 28 Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
Q. And we'll see in a minute what the calculation was. The calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 24 Q. What you didn't expect was my client to hold Gascoigne Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
25 calculation was that Mr Springett wouldn't stand and 25 Halman to its contractual bargain, thereby triggering			I	
		-	1	
Page 71 Page 73		. •		
	I	Page 71		Page 73

1	this litigation?	1	A. I think Mr Halman would probably still agree with the
2	A. I didn't expect any of this litigation, no.	2	principles of Agents' Mutual, as in fact, do I. I am
3	Q. But you understand, don't you, that the protection of	3	not so sure his view today would be that he would be
4	the terms of OnTheMarket is critical to the future of	4	quite as happy with the proposition as he was when he
5	the OTM board, isn't it? This is rather important	5	first signed up for it.
6	litigation for my client, is what I am talking about?	6	Q. And the other thing that is clear about Mr Halman, from
7	A. It is absolutely not critical to their business but they	7	every time he was given a free go at Zoopla, is that he
8	obviously perceive it that way.	8	never thought it amounted to very much, did he? In the
9	Q. Now, you say in this email that you are rapidly the	9	same way as he was enthusiastic about OTM, he was to say
10	third point is that you are rapidly losing patience with	10	the least, lukewarm, not to say underwhelmed, by Zoopla,
11	John Halman. Do you see that?	11	wasn't he?
12	A. Mmm.	12	A. He was.
13	Q. And then you give a rather vivid description as to the	13	Q. Connells has around, you say in your first statement,
14	contortion that Mr Halman needs to desist from; do you	14	paragraph 5 you don't need to turn it up because you
15	see that?	15	and I both know this about 590 branches; right?
16	A. I do.	16	A. That's right.
17	Q. Why were you rapidly losing patience with Mr Halman?	17	Q. And it is one of the big three?
18	A. It wasn't in relation to this issue. This was just one	18	A. Yes.
19	of a number of issues where I wanted him to, in my	19	Q. And they are called the corporates, as Mr Springett
20	phraseology, get jiggy with it and move things along	20	refers to it?
21	with a pace. We had just acquired his business and	21	A. Yes.
22	I think Mr Halman was going through a process where he	22	Q. Connells, Countrywide and LSL?
23	was adjusting to corporate ownership. And we were not	23	A. That's correct.
24	particularly corporate corporate but there are checks	24	Q. And LSL stands for what?
25	and balances and there are processes that we expect all	25	A. Lending Solutions Limited but it is LSL Plc is the
	Page 74		Page 76
1	subsidiaries to adapt and he was being slow and a little	1	it is a quoted Pla now
1	subsidiaries to adopt and he was being slow and a little	1	it is a quoted Plc now.
2	bit resistant in adopting some of those.	2	Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc?
2	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new	2 3	Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc?A. It is.
2 3 4	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the	2 3 4	Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc?A. It is.Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton
2 3 4 5	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells?	2 3 4 5	Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc?A. It is.Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society?
2 3 4 5 6	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual.
2 3 4 5 6 7	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that — we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that — we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? A. I would agree with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? A. I would agree with that. Q. And would you agree that he remained very sympathetic to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? A. That's right. Q. Along with a bunch of other major corporate estate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? A. I would agree with that. Q. And would you agree that he remained very sympathetic to Agents' Mutual to the end because, of course, Gascoigne	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? A. That's right. Q. Along with a bunch of other major corporate estate agents, some of which have subsequently exited the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that — we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? A. I would agree with that. Q. And would you agree that he remained very sympathetic to Agents' Mutual to the end because, of course, Gascoigne Halman came off Agents' Mutual, purely because it had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? A. That's right. Q. Along with a bunch of other major corporate estate agents, some of which have subsequently exited the market, I think Royal & Sun Alliance, Halifax and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? A. I would agree with that. Q. And would you agree that he remained very sympathetic to Agents' Mutual to the end because, of course, Gascoigne	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? A. That's right. Q. Along with a bunch of other major corporate estate agents, some of which have subsequently exited the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	bit resistant in adopting some of those. Q. In other words, he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate hymn sheet or he was a bit slow to learn the new corporate ways, under Connells? A. You may call it a hymn sheet but things like the compliance process for our mortgage consultants, who are regulated by the FCA. We have a cookie cutter approach which says: it has to be done this way across the group. Previously, Gascoigne Halman had operated a very different model and he and the lady that runs that part of the business forum were struggling to get to grips with it and putting up a little bit of resistance. So it is not a corporate hymn sheet, it is certain processes that we insist all subsidiaries coming into the business will adopt. Q. There are two aspects of Mr Halman attitude that — we can look at the detail later, and we will look at the detail later, but would you agree that he was an early and fairly enthusiastic supporter of Agents' Mutual? A. I would agree with that. Q. And would you agree that he remained very sympathetic to Agents' Mutual to the end because, of course, Gascoigne Halman came off Agents' Mutual, purely because it had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And Countrywide is also a Plc? A. It is. Q. But Connells is owned, ultimately, by the Skipton Building Society? A. That's correct, which is a mutual. Q. Wholly owned by Skipton Building Society which is a mutual? A. Which is a mutual. Q. Ironically enough. A. Yes. Q. And Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when Connells acquired in November 2015. A. 18 branches in the North West of England. Q. Did it have somewhere else? A. 18 branches in the North West of England. I'm just giving you its location. Q. Yes, okay, alright, you are giving me some detail. I understand. Now, Connells was involved in the creation of Rightmove, wasn't it, back in 2000? A. That's right. Q. Along with a bunch of other major corporate estate agents, some of which have subsequently exited the market, I think Royal & Sun Alliance, Halifax and some

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

1	A. That's right.	1	be higher, so I suppose, yes, the smaller the agent, the
2	Q. And Rightmove listed on the Stock Exchange in 2006. And	2	higher the level of concern over that cost.
3	you became a non-executive director of Zoopla in 2010;	3	Q. As a percentage of their total turnover, they would be
4	right?	4	paying more in percentage terms to these portals than
5	A. That's right.	5	Connells would be?
6	Q. And you were a non-executive director of Zoopla between	6	A. I can't comment on the financial dynamics of their
7	2010 and 2012?	7	business. They may be have much higher fee levels
8	A. Yes.	8	than we do.
9	Q. The circumstances in which you became a non-executive	9	Q. That's unlikely?
10	director of Zoopla in 2010 were that the three	10	A. No, not at all.
11	corporates, Countrywide, LSL and Connells, had entered	11	Q. So the effect of the partnership was to strengthen the
12	into something called the strategic partnership with	12	position of Countrywide, LSL and Connells on the one
13	Zoopla in 2010; is that right?	13	hand, vis a vis the independent estate agents on the
14	A. That's right.	14	other, wasn't it?
15	Q. And one of the facets of the strategic partnership was	15	A. No, not at all. But that wasn't the objective.
16	that each of the corporates agreed to advertise all of	16	Q. I didn't say it was the object but that was the effect,
17	their properties on Zoopla?	17	wasn't it?
18	A. That's correct.	18	A. The effect was to
19	Q. And in return for that, presumably, they got a discount	19	Q. I am not suggesting it was the object. I am not
20	on Zoopla's rates, didn't they?	20	suggesting there was some dastardly plot to do in the
21	A. Zoopla have a charging structure which applies	21	independent estate agents. That kind of suggestion I
22	a discount for volume, so any agent of a larger size	22	think comes from the other side of the court. I am
23	than another agent would expect to have pay a lower	23	simply suggesting the effect of the partnership between
24	per branch fee, although outright, they would be paying	24	Countrywide, LSL and Connells with Zoopla, was to
25	more.	25	strengthen their position, relative to the independent
	Page 78		Page 80
1	Q. So give us an idea then. If Gascoigne Halman, with its	1	estate agents?
2	18 branches, had gone along to Zoopla the day after the	2	A. I don't think it strengthened our position at all. It
3	strategic partnership between Countrywide, LSL and	3	helped Zoopla achieve critical mass to make it a more
4	Connells had been agreed, and Connells of course,	4	credible competitor to Rightmove which I think is to
5	doesn't own Gascoigne Halman, what's the order of	5	everybody's benefit.
6	magnitude of Gascoigne Halman's higher fee to Zoopla,	6	Q. Countrywide, LSL and Connells all obtained
7	compared to that which Connells was able to extract as	7	a shareholding in Zoopla as a part of this strategic
8	part of the strategic partnership?	8	partnership, didn't they?
9	A. I don't know what the relative fees would have been in	9	A. We did.
10	2010. Ours would have been significantly lower	10	Q. What percentage shareholding did Connells obtain in
11	because as would Countrywide's, LSL's, as would	11	Zoopla in 2010?
12	Spicerhaart's. Any one of scale would have had a lower	12	A. I can't give you the exact figure but I think it was in
13	per branch charge applied to them by Zoopla than	13	the order of 8 per cent, there or thereabouts. Which
14	Gascoigne Halman, who had only 18 branches.	14	was subsequently diluted by 55 per cent.
15	Q. So to the extent that estate agents, no doubt like all	15	Q. I will come to that. Let us take it in stages,
16	businesses, would rather pay less than more for the	16	Mr Livesey. I think we'll probably cover the same
17	services they received, accepting that as a starting	17	ground. Take it in stages. So 8 per cent or
18	point of the analysis, to the extent that there was	18	thereabouts. And presumably Countrywide and LSL got
19	dissatisfaction with the fees that were being charged by	19	a similar equity stake in Zoopla as well, did they?
20	Rightmove and Zoopla to estate agents in 2010, there	20	A. My recollection is that Countrywide had a higher stake
21	would be good reason for that dissatisfaction to be	21	because they were a larger firm. They were about twice
22	particularly acute among the smaller independents,	22	our size. I don't think they had twice the
23	rather than the three big corporates who were able to	23	shareholding. I don't know what their exact
24	extract this discount; is that right?	24	shareholding was but theirs was larger than ours and
25	A. Well, the per branch charge for the smaller agent would	25	I think LSL's was about the same because they were about
	Page 79		Page 81

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

2 Q. So Commysoide and LSL, they are your major competitors? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. They are your two single biggest competitors in the catatate agent marker? 5 Catata agent marker? 6 A. They are your two single biggest competitors in the catatate agent marker? 7 Catata agent marker? 8 agent on the high street, who quite often is an independent. 9 independent. 10 Q I sac. So hit 8 per cent shareholding in 7copia, what did Comesles pay for that shareholding? 11 Q. Did it pay cash? 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay cash? 14 A. Thelieve so. 15 Q. Persamably, you would be able to farnish fit mer. 16 Q. Per share, what I would be able to farnish the mer. 17 Q. When you were appointed on the board, and take banded and thinky sous aid Sp per cent of the shareholding was sold? 18 markey from which cannot from the Digital protein, as the impressory. This is a press release firm Zoopla and the Digital Protein, and the Company of the shareholding was sold? 21 markey from which cannot from the Digital Protein of the shareholding was old? 22 may diluted. 23 Pages 82 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Protein of the Sundard. 24 Progrey Groop which came in from the Digital Protein of the Sundard Species of the Shareholding of Sundard. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Progrey Groop which came in from the Digital Protein of the Sundard Species	1	do an area.	1	and the second s
3 A. Ves. 4 Q. They are your two single biggest competitors in the 5 estate agent market? 5 estate agent market? 6 A. They are the two biggest. They are not our main 7 competitors. Our main competitor is the local estate 8 agent on the high street, who quite often is an 1 independent. 9 Q. I see. So this 8 per cent shareholding? 10 Q. I see. So this 8 per cent shareholding? 11 did Cornells pay for that shareholding? 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay cash? 14 A. I helieve so. 15 Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with 16 that figure and be would be able to furnish it to me? 17 A. We would be able to furnish it on me was paid per share. You began to led me, when I no doubt 18 money we put into Zooph at the time. 19 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to led me, when I no doubt 20 mest dituded and I think you said 55 per cent of the 21 shareholding was sold? 22 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the 23 shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Property Group which came in from the Daigh Mail and 27 General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent of the 28 that you, Connells, that he need you was the provent of the shareholding was sold? 3 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zooph and the Digital property Group which came in from the Daigh Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent of the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 3 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 4 Q. A. Nex, there was a merger between Zooph and the Digital property Group which came in from the Daigh Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 4 A. Ves, there was a merger between Zooph and the Digital property Group which came in from the Daigh Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent of the shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 4 Q. I have the was a trust of the shareholding when the bu	1	the same size.	1	you remember the selling price?
4 10 million as a one-off and when we sold the subsequent canter gent market? 5 castae agent market? 6 A. They are the two biggest. They are not our main competitors. Our main competitor is the local estate agent on the high street, who quite often is an independent. 9 castae agent on the high street, who quite often is an independent. 10 C. Isaes. So this 8 per cent shareholding in Zoopla, what did Councils pay for that shareholding? 11 A. I don't recall. 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay cash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Presumbly, you would be able to furnish it to me? 16 Q. Per share, what I would be able to furnish it to me? 17 A. We would be able to furnish it to me? 18 pid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt a shareholding was sold? 19 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt a shareholding was sold? 20 Diluted? 21 A. Ves, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent off the shares but they were now— 16 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 22 Q. And hat was in 2014? 23 A. Ves, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 3 A. Ves, there was a hurry were now— 4 A. No, diluted. 5 Q. Indian was in 2014; A. Ves, there was a far per cent of the shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 5 Q. Indian was in 2014; A. Ves, there was a that the minimum shareholding that the the content of the property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, there we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 4 A. Ves, there was a darket for the successful IPO was 12 A. No, diluted. 5 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the sharcholding that the theory of		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	l .	•
5 castac agent market? 6 A. They are the two biggest. They are not our main 7 competitors. Our main competitor is the local estate 8 agent on the high street, who quite often is an 10 Q. I see, So this 5 per cent shareholding in Zoopla, what 11 did comells pay for that shareholding? 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did y pay eash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish in to me? 16 that figure and he would be able to furnish in to me? 17 A. We would be able to furnish in to me? 18 money we put into Zoopla at the time. 19 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was 20 paid per share. Vou began to tell ince, when I no doubt 21 midely cut you off, that at some stage that stareholding 22 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the 23 shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Page 82 1 A. Ves, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daity Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 26 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 27 Q. And that was in 2014? 28 Q. And that was in 2014? 29 Q. And that was in 2014? 20 Q. And that was in 2014? 21 Q. And that was in 2014? 22 Q. And that was in 2014? 23 Property Group which came in from the Daity Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 30 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 31 Q. And that was in 2014? 32 Q. And that was in 2014? 33 A. 2014, yes. 34 Page 84 35 Per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we need to a trib time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sould self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent about six months ago. 35 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 36 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 37 That was their decision and then we sold another 22 per cent. We chose to self the minim			l .	
6 A. They are the two biggest. They are not our main competitors. Our main competitors the local estate agent on the high street, who quite often is an independent. 9 Q. So originally you had 8 per cent which then got diluted. 10 Q. Isee, So this 8 per cent shareholding in Zoopla, what did Connells par for that shareholding? 11 A. I don't recall. 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay cash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Persamely, you would be able to furnish it to me? 16 Q. Per share, what I would be able to furnish it to me? 17 A. We would be able to furnish it to me? 18 money we put into Zoopla at the time. 19 Q. Per share, who Lyou said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 21 and a half billion. 18 from Zoopla of 8 July 2010. Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 10 Q. Industrian S. You would be able to furnish it to me? 21 and a half billion. 22 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 23 shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Duluted? 26 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 27 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 28 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, connells, that you acquired in 2010? 29 A. Yes. 20 Q. And that was in 2014? 20 Q. And that was in 2014? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And that was in 2014? 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 26 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 27 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 28 Sper cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we need the other shareholdiers should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Smoot of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. 29 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 20 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 21 Data was their decision and then we sold another and the thing the same mumber of the shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Smoot of the content shareholders should				_
s agent on the high street, who quite often is an independent. O and the high street, who quite often is an independent. O a 1 see. So this 8 per cent shareholding in Zoopla, what did did comelle part for that shareholding? A. I don't recall. A. I believe so. O Presumably you would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to fund out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. O Per share, what I vould be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt radded and I think you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was old? A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. A. We would be able to same mumber of shareholding that property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where wook a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. A. We needed up which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where wook a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. A. We ended up which oper cent. A. We needed up which oper cent. D. Right. And then have you sod any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, which was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Sone of the other shareholders should sell for a succes			l .	
8 agent on the high street, who quite often is an independent. 10 Q. I see. So this 8 per cent sharcholding in Zoopla, what did Connells pay for that sharcholding? 11 A. I don't recall. 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay eash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? 16 A. Ven would be able to furnish it to me? 17 A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. 18 money we put into Zoopla at the time. 19 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was 20 paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt 21 midely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding was sold? 21 a. A. Ves, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 22 mediated and I think, you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 23 Shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Diluted? 27 Page 82 28 Page 84 1 A. Ves, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 24 that merger. 3 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of share but they were now — 4 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 5 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was amounced, amounced on 6 June 2014? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the sharcholders should self for a successful IPO was 20 recent about sit months ago. 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we need to at the firm, which was 25 per cent. Some of the chart-sharcholders should self for a successful IPO was 22 recent about sit months ago. 26 Q. Right. And you cart remember the buying price. Can 24 A. Very much. 27 D. And he was very much the driving force and leading light and the sharcholders should self for a successful IPO was 24 A. Very much. 28 D. Right. And you cart remem				_
sindependent. Q. Issee. So this 8 per cent shareholding in Zoopla, what did Connells pay for that shareholding? A. I don't recall. Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt rough was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was old? A. No, diluted. Page 82 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. Page 84 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Boal of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of 22 needed to at the time			l .	
20		• • • •	l .	
11 did Connells pay for that sharcholding? 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay eash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Persumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with that figure and he would be able to furnish Mr Harris with that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? 16 A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much more paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt paid print protection and I think, you said 55 per cent of the gaze shareholding was sold? 16 y. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt paid print protection and I think, you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 16 y. Diluted? 17 A. No, diluted. 18 Page 82 19 Page 82 20 Page 84 21 A. No, diluted. 22 Page 84 21 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 29 Q. Individed the same number of shares but they were now — 20 Q. Roght. And then have you sold any of the sharcholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 21 A. Yes, we sold a quaterer of our sharcholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 21 Q. And that was in 2014? 22 A. O. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced, announced on business was floated, when the IPO took place. 23 Page 84 24 A. We was decreased in 2010? 25 Q. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced, announced on 2012; the BNP Paribas? 36 A. Det a dvice was that the minimum that we need the starcholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of t				
12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. Did it pay cash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? 16 A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. 17 A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. 18 paid per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding was sold? 21 made was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding was sold? 22 was diluted and I think, you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 23 h. No, diluted. 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Page 82 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 3 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 4 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the sharcholding that you, Connells, that you ocquired in 2010? 4 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 4 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced announced on by the BNP Paribas? 5 Q. And dat was in 2014? 6 A. Yes. 6 Q. Right. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 6 A. See, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 7 Q. I do an accessful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we meeded to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other-shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we meeded to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other-shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of th				
13 Q. Did it pay cash? 14 A. I believe so. 15 Q. Persumably, you would be able to furnish it to me? 16 A. We would be able to furnish it to me? 17 A. We would be able to furnish it to me? 18 money we put into Zoopla at the time. 19 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt 22 madely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the 22 shareholding was diluted. 20 Diluted? 21 A. No, diluted. 22 A. No, diluted. 23 A. No, diluted. 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Diluted? 27 Dage 82 28 Page 84 29 Page 84 20 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for 4 that merger. 26 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now — 6 shares but th			l .	
14 A. I believe so, 2 Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with 3 that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? 4 A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much 4 money we put into Zoopla at the time. 5 Q. Per shure, what I would be interested in is what was 6 paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt 7 rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding 2 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the 2 shareholding was sold? 2 A. No, diluted. 2 Page 82 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 2 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and 3 General Trust, where we took a S5 per cent dilution for 4 that merger. 5 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of 6 shares but they were now — 5 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. An dith at was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 15 G. June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 16 date 2014? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 19 G. June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 19 G. A. Yes. 10 Q. And that was in 2014? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 12 Q. An diath was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 15 G. June 2014 the IRO price was announced, announced on 16 date of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum that we 19 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of 19 the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. 20 Q. Right. And you carli remember the bunying price. Can 21 That was their decision and then we sold another 22 That was their decision and then we sold another 23 That was their decision and then we sold another 24 D. Right.			l .	
15 Q. Presumably, you would be able to furnish Mr Harris with that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to fund out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the Q. Diluted? A. No, diluted. Q. Diluted? A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you soold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 15 6 June 2014? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 15 6 June 2014? A. Yes, correct. We chose to self the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. How so to another was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent. We chose to self the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We hove the diving forces and leading light and 15 per cent. 25 per cent about six mon			l .	
that figure and he would be able to furnish it to me? A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much move we put into Zoopla at the tine. 9 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt and it shareholding was sold? 22 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 23 hand fluted. 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Page 82 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 3 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 4 Q. Dilutedry So you still held the same number of shares but they were now— 5 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 9 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Comnells, that you counted in 2010? 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum sharcholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 2 Sper cent. We hove to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. 19 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 10 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 11 A. We would a be all, that sust startlees that shareholding that all to part a share stay on the startle parameters and parameters as you and then: 19 Day to the was the CEO. 20 And that was in 2012? 21 Day to the was announced, announced on 14 A. Yes. 22 Day to the was the CEO. Finance directors, at the time I can't remember to non-executive directors, and the was the deci			l .	
17 A. We would be able to find out. I don't know how much money we put into Zoopla at the time. 18 paid per share, what I would be interested in is what was paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding value and I think, you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 21 was diluted and I think, you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 22 was diluted and I think, you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? 23 shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Page 82 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. 3 Q. Inderstand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now 4 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 4 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 3 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 4 Q. And that was in 2014? 4 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding that you commented on by the BNP Paribas? 5 A. Oth a dommented on by the BNP Paribas? 6 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding the shareholders should self for a successful IPO was 2 25 per cent. We hose to self the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 2 25 per cent about six months ago. 2 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 2 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 2 Page 84 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital and defined the Soop was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital and the Shareholders should be for a successful IPO was 2 25 per cent. We hose to self the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should self for a successful I			l .	
18				
19 Q. Per share, what I would be interested in is what was 20 paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt 21 rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding 22 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the 23 shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Page 82 27 Page 82 28 Page 84 29 Diluted? Page 82 Page 84 29 Page 84 20 Q. I was the executive directors of Zoopla? A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 29 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and 30 General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for 40 that merger. 50 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of 41 shares but they were now— 42 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 43 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 44 that was in 2014? 45 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 45 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 46 Q. And that was in 2014? 47 A. Yes, 48 Q. In June 2014? 48 A. 2014, yes. 49 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 40 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 41 A. Yes. 42 Dept and the strategic partnership. It refers to you about four or five paragraphs down, do you see and then: 42 "Mr Turner of Countrywide and Mr Embley of LSL, each appointed to the Zoopla.co.uk board as non-executive directors with immediate effect." 41 So who were the executive directors of Zoopla? 42 A. At the time the executive directors of Zoopla? 43 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 44 C. He was the CEO? 55 A. He was the CEO? 56 A. He was the CEO? 57 A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't renember his name. 58 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 59 Q. I must pring to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 50 Q. In am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 51 Q. He was stepped away. 52 Per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of th			18	
paid per share. You began to tell me, when I no doubt rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding was old? A. No, diluted. Page 82 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. A. We ended up with 6 per cent. R. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 225 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to a the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a		•	l .	
21 rudely cut you off, that at some stage that shareholding 22 was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the 23 shareholding was sold? 24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? 26 Page 82 27 Page 84 28 Page 84 29 Page 84 20 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 20 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and 31 General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for 41 that merger. 42 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 43 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 44 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 45 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 46 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 47 Q. And that was in 2014? 48 A. Yes. 49 Q. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced on 40 June 2014; the IPO price was announced on 41 G. A. Yes. 40 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 43 A. So the advice was that the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. 40 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 21 That was their decision and then we sold another 22 G. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 22 Day and the was very much the driving force and leading light 23 partnership. It refers to you about for Countrywide and Mr Embley of LSL, each appointed to the Zoopla cou k board as non-executive directors with immediate effect." 24 Prage 84 25 So who were the executive directors of Zoopla? 2 A. At the time the executive directors of Zoopla? 2 A. At the time the executive directors of Zoopla? 2 A. At the time the executive directors of Zoopla? 3 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 4 Q. How was the CEO? 5 A. He was the CEO? 6 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 9 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 10 Q. I am trying to get at. A. He wasn't there at the time, no. 11 Q. And the was not part the public face of Zoopla at the time, whic			l .	
was diluted and I think you said 55 per cent of the shareholding was sold? A. No, diluted. Page 82 Page 84 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now — A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. Yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 16 June 2014; the IPO price was announced, announced on 21 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. Page 84 So who were the executive directors of Zoopla? A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chosterman. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. Had that was in 2014? A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 15 G June 2014; A. Yes. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 15 G June 2014; A. Yes. A. We was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. Had that was in 2014? A. He was the Wowere the driving forces, on an executive director on the worth of the shareholder should sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sale	21		21	
shareholding was sold? A. No, diluted. Dage 82 Page 84 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. Linderstand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now — A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. Yes. Q. Induce 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on to for the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. 23	22		22	
24 A. No, diluted. 25 Q. Diluted? Page 82 Page 84 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. Lunderstand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now— A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 A. Ves, much. 27 A. We many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. D. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. D. He was the CEO. A. He was the CEO. A. He was the CEO. A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember the and the executive directors. D. Haw was manuel. D. He was the CEO. That was manuel. D. He was the CEO. That was mall in the sense of executive directors. D. He was the CEO. That was the in E can't remember the buying price. Can D. He was the CEO. That was the CEO. That was the CEO. That was the information of the shareholding when the shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was D. He has stepped away. D. He has stepped away. D. He wasn't there	23	•	23	
Page 82 1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 2 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and 3 General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for 4 that merger. 4 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of 6 shares but they were now — 7 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 15 6 June 2014? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 19 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we 11 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. 12 So who were the executive directors of Zoopla? 1 A. At the time the executive directors were 2 A. At the time the executive directors were 3 Alex Chesterman. 4 Q. He was the CEO? 5 A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 7 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 8 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 9 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. 10 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 11 Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 12 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 13 A. Pottage of the shareholder shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders should a lot more than 25 per cent. 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 per cent about six months ago. 26 Q. Right. And you can't remember his buying price. Can 27 director but he was heading the sales function for documents that one gets	24		24	appointed to the Zoopla.co.uk board as non-executive
1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 2 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and 3 General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for 4 that merger. 5 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of 5 shares but they were now - 7 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 9 Q. And that was in 2014? 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced on 15 6 June 2014? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 19 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we 21 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. 23 That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 27 A. At the time the executive directors were 28 A. At the time the executive directors were 3 Alex Chesterman. 4 Alex Chesterman. 4 Alex Chesterman. 5 A. Alex Chesterman. 6 Q. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 7 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 8 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 9 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 9 Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 15 A. 2014, yes. 16 A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 9 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 10 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 11 Q. I am trying to get at. 12 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 13 A. He wasn't there	25		25	directors with immediate effect."
1 A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital 2 Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and 3 General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for 4 that merger. 5 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of 5 shares but they were now - 7 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 9 Q. And that was in 2014? 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced on 15 6 June 2014? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 19 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we 21 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. 23 That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 27 A. At the time the executive directors were 28 A. At the time the executive directors were 3 Alex Chesterman. 4 Alex Chesterman. 4 Alex Chesterman. 5 A. Alex Chesterman. 6 Q. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 7 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 8 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 9 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 9 Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 15 A. 2014, yes. 16 A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 9 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 10 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 11 Q. I am trying to get at. 12 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 13 A. He wasn't there				
Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced on G. June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was the shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. A. At the time the executive directors, at the time I can't remember his name. A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. C. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. A. Well, it was mall in the sense of executive directors. A. He wasn't there at the time, no. C. How many people		Page 82		Page 84
3 Alex Chesterman. 4 that merger. 5 Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of 6 shares but they were now— 7 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 15 6 June 2014? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 19 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we 21 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. 23 That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 Land CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 27 Q. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 28 A. Well, it was small board? 29 A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. 20 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 21 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 20 I am trying to get at. 21 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 21 A. He wasn't there at the time trying forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 21 A. He wasn't there at the time, no. 22 He has stepped away. 23 A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. 24 A. Wery much. 25 Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that this time? 24 A. Very much. 25 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light				
that merger. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. D. Home People was a large number of non-executive directors. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. D. Home People was a large number of non-executive directors. A. He wasn't there at the time, no. D. He has stepped away. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. D. Home Peop	1	A. Yes, there was a merger between Zoopla and the Digital	1	So who were the executive directors of Zoopla?
5 Q. 1 understand. So you still held the same number of 6 shares but they were now 7 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 9 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 10 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014; the IPO price was announced on 15 6 June 2014? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 19 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we 21 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. 22 That was their decision and then we sold another 23 That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 27 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 28 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 40 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 40 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 41 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 41 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 41 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 41 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 42 A. He wasn't limit the sense of executive directors. 43 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 44 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 45 A. He wasn't limit the sense of executive directors. 46 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 47 A. He wasn't limit the sense of executive directors. 48 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 49 Q. I am trying to get at. 40 A. He wasn't there at this stage? 41 A. He wasn't there at this time, no. 41 A. He wasn't ther			l .	•
6 shares but they were now – 7 A. We ended up with 6 per cent. 8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 9 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the 10 business was floated, when the 1PO took place. 10 A. 2014, yes. 11 Q. In the sense of executive directors. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 19 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of 21 the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 Premember his name. 7 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 8 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 9 Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? 8 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 9 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 10 Q. I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 11 Q. I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 12 A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for 20 D. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 4 The time, which was 25 per cent. 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of 20 D. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 4 The time, hick was a statutory 4 D. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 4 The other	2	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and	2	A. At the time the executive directors were
A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can P. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. Hothat is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. In am trying to get at. A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There were a large number of non-exec	2 3	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for	2 3	A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman.
8 Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 9 that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? 9 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. 10 A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. 11 business was floated, when the IPO took place. 12 Q. And that was in 2014? 13 A. 2014, yes. 14 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? 15 G. A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of 21 the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 24 25 per cent about six months ago. 20 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 21 A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. 22 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. 23 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. 24 A. There was a large number of non-executive directors. 25 Q. That is what I am trying to get at. 26 A. There was a large number of non-executive directors. 26 Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving force, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 27 A. He wasn't there at the time, no. 28 A. He wasn't there at the time, no. 29 Q. He has stepped away. 20 A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for 200 documents that one gets the impression that 210 documents that one gets the impression that 221 this time? 21 A. Very much. 22 A. Very much. 23 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of	2 3 4	A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't
that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on June 2014? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. He wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was Description of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another Description of the diving force and leading light was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of	2 3 4 5	A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't
A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the busing price. Can 20 A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that this time? A. Very much. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 25 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. At the time the executive directors were
business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. And hat was in 2014? In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 12 executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for 200pla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 12 this time? A. Very much. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 12 executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. A. He wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 20 He has stepped away. In June 2014, the IPO price	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. At the time the executive directors were
2 Q. And that was in 2014? 1 A. 2014, yes. 1 Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 2 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. 2 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 2 decentive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. 1 A. 2014, yes. 1 A. He wasn't there at the time, no. 2 Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. 2 Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that this time? 2 A. Very much. 2 A. Wery much. 2 A. Very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at.
A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at this time, no. 15 Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. 20 But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? A. Very much. 22 A. Very much. 23 A. Very much. 24 A. Wery much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at.
Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced on 6 June 2014? 15 Q. He has stepped away. 16 A. Yes. 16 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all 17 the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 18 Per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we 19 needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of 20 the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. 21 That was their decision and then we sold another 22 That was their decision and then we sold another 23 Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 24 Q. He has stepped away. 25 Q. He has stepped away. 26 A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in 27 the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for 28 Zoopla. 29 Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 29 Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? 20 A. Very much. 21 A. He wasn't there at the time, no. 20 Q. He has stepped away. 21 A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in 22 the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for 24 Zoopla. 25 Per cent. Some of 26 Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 29 Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? 20 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. At the time the executive directors were
15 6 June 2014? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? 18 A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 20 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. 20 That was their decision and then we sold another 21 That was their decision and then we sold another 22 That was their decision and then we sold another 23 Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 24 That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. 26 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 27 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman.
A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. 20 Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 21 Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? 22 A. Very much. 23 A. Very much. Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage?
17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no.
A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? A. Very much. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away.
the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? A. Very much. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in
25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that 22 Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at 23 this time? A. Very much. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 25 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory
needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another That was their decision and the we sold another That was their decision and the we sold another That was their decision an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now — A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for
the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago. Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can That was their decision and then we sold another 26 A. Very much. 27 Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? 28 A. Very much. 29 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla.
That was their decision and then we sold another 24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000
24 25 per cent about six months ago. 25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 26 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that
25 Q. Right. And you can't remember the buying price. Can 25 Q. And he was very much the driving force and leading light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time?
Page 83 Page 85	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? A. Very much.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Property Group which came in from the Daily Mail and General Trust, where we took a 55 per cent dilution for that merger. Q. I understand. So you still held the same number of shares but they were now A. We ended up with 6 per cent. Q. Right. And then have you sold any of the shareholding that you, Connells, that you acquired in 2010? A. Yes, we sold a quarter of our shareholding when the business was floated, when the IPO took place. Q. And that was in 2014? A. 2014, yes. Q. In June 2014, the IPO price was announced, announced on 6 June 2014? A. Yes. Q. And commented on by the BNP Paribas? A. So the advice was that the minimum shareholding that all the shareholders should sell for a successful IPO was 25 per cent. We chose to sell the minimum that we needed to at the time, which was 25 per cent. Some of the other shareholders sold a lot more than 25 per cent. That was their decision and then we sold another 25 per cent about six months ago.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. At the time the executive directors were Alex Chesterman. Q. He was the CEO? A. He was the CEO. Finance director, at the time I can't remember his name. Q. How many people were on the board, a small board? A. Well, it was small in the sense of executive directors. Q. That is what I am trying to get at. A. There were a large number of non-executive directors. Q. I am trying to get at who were the driving forces, on an executive basis. We know about Mr Chesterman. Mr Notley wasn't there at this stage? A. He wasn't there at the time, no. Q. He has stepped away. A. There was a chap called Nick Leeming who would sit in the board meetings. I don't think he was a statutory director but he was heading the sales function for Zoopla. Q. But would it be fair from one's reading of these 10,000 documents that one gets the impression that Mr Chesterman was very much the public face of Zoopla at this time? A. Very much.

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

1	in an executive sense on the board. Would that be fair?	1	about. But it is right, isn't it, that Zoopla,
2	A. He was, yes.	2	FindaProperty and Primelocation all end up after the
3	Q. When he chose to be this is not a criticism, simply	3	merger in the ZPG Group?
4	an observation he was quite hands on, we have seen	4	A. That's right.
5	reference to he had meetings in his garden and his house	5	Q. And so numbers 2, 3 and 4, and indeed some of the others
6	in Highgate or Hampstead or whatever. He would do	6	further down, that I am not going to waste time on, end
7	business informally but on a face-to-face basis?	7	up in ZPG. What this presentation is saying is that
8	A. Yes.	8	no one portal covers the entire property audience. Do
9	O. Is that fair?	9	you see that, bottom left-hand corner; yes?
10	A. That's fair.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Now, Zoopla has always been keen to stress, not	11	Q. And in the next line it makes it clear for even the most
12	surprisingly, that it has a unique audience for its	12	myopic member of the audience because it is now in
13		13	
	portal; is that right?		bigger type:
14	A. It does.	14	"We have a unique audience, we offer you an audience
15	Q. If you take bundle H1, it should be the one we're in, if	15	you can't reach on other portals."
16	you turn to page 35. We have just seen that you were	16	And then there is some data. So 51 per cent of
17	appointed to the board. That press release we just saw	17	people who visited the Zoopla website in March 2010
18	was 8 July and you were appointed with immediate effect	18	didn't go to Rightmove. 61 per cent of them didn't go
19	to the board, July 2010?	19	to FindaProperty and 76 per cent of them didn't go to
20	A. Yes.	20	Primelocation.
21	Q. If you then go on to page 35, this is August 2010, so	21	So the reason why that's being trumpeted, quite
22	you are now for good or ill a Zoopla man by August 2010?	22	rightly, is because it goes to the point were you in
23	A. I'm a non-exec director on the board of Zoopla, yes.	23	court this morning, did you hear the evidence of
24	Q. I just want to look at this presentation. So this is	24	Miss Frew?
25	a slide presentation and it just says "Your advantage in	25	A. Yes.
	Page 86		Page 88
	1 1150 00		1.000
1	property". It is not fabulously clear, to me at least,	1	Q. You heard no doubt my daft example of the portal with
2	to whom this presentation is directed. Can you help me?	2	the 100 people visiting it and I was suggesting to her
3	A. No, sorry, I can't.	3	that if Mr Holmes had a portal with 100 people visiting
4	Q. So if we go over the page then, 36, the snapshot. Do	4	and I turned up with a new portal idea and was able to
5	you see that? 2.7 million unique visitors per month.	5	show that the same 100 people would visit my portal as
6	That means 2.7 million different people visit the portal	6	visited his portal, that that would be a less attractive
7	a month?	7	business proposition than if I was able to turn up and
8	A. I'll take your word for that. I've not been involved in	8	show that a different 100 people were able to visit
9	the production of these slides.	9	mine. She, I am not quite sure agreed with that but
10	Q. You see there is a difference, I think, I think there is	10	you, I assume, would agree that that is obviously
11	a difference, between the unique audience point we are	11	correct, isn't it?
12	coming to and unique business. But anyway, my	12	A. So what's the specific question?
13	understanding is that means 2.7 million different people	13	Q. The specific question is, that if you can show that your
14	visit the portal every month because, obviously, if the	14	portal has a unique audience, there are people who only
15	same person visits 2.7 million times, that is not much	15	visit your portal, that makes your portal much more
16	of a business; right?	16	valuable than if the people who visit your portal also
17	A. I'll take your explanation.	17	visit all the other portals and, in particular, if the
18	Q. Okay, good. That's very helpful. So then we have got	18	people who visit your portal, also visit the number one
19	wider exposure at page 37. Then if you go to page 38,	19	portal. That is why we've got this emphasising of the
20	the point is, page 38 so here is the league table.	20	unique audience, obviously?
21	As an Arsenal fan, I am not keen to look at league	21	A. That my understanding would come from an estate agency
22	tables this week, I might add, but if we look at this	22	perspective, which would be if a portal comes along that
23	league table, Rightmove, 38 per cent; Zoopla, 19;	23	has people using it that would generate leads and those
24	FindaProperty, 18; Primelocation, 15. Then there are	24	people adopt this as any of the other portals, that has
25	some other smaller players, some of which we have heard	25	value.
23			

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 89

Page 87

		_	
1	Q. Exactly. And that is all this is saying, it is common	1	isn't it?
2	sense: yes?	2	A. That's correct.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, it may not be for this witness	3	Q. "Fully integrated single entity platform team since
4	but how do they know?	4	2012."
5	MR MACLEAN: About the unique audience?	5	That is also correct and you, of course, would have
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes? I see it says "Source Comscore."	6	had you were a non-executive director on the Zoopla
7	MR MACLEAN: Comscore tell them.	7	side as this merger was being done?
8	THE CHAIRMAN: Right. It may be not now but at a later	8	A. Up to the point of the merger.
9	point, we'd like a little bit more understanding of how	9	Q. And then you step aside and go back to Connells:
10	these figures are generated.	10	"Experienced team led by founder and CEO Alex,
11	MR MACLEAN: I am sure that can be achieved.	11	Chesterman. Entrepreneurial and agile digital
12	So here is a snapshot of the position in August 2010	12	advertising and data business."
13	and if we just look at page 39, where we were, we know	13	And their mission is:
14	that in a couple of years time, in 2012, FindaProperty	14	"to be the most useful online resource for UK
15	and Primelocation are going to be within the ZPG tent,	15	
16			property consumers and most effective online marketing
17	if I can put it like that, right, so the merger meant that the portal market consolidated to two key players,	16 17	partner for UK property professionals". Then we can cut over to the next page, please, and
18	didn't it?		
18		18	go to 691:
	A. That is a fair point. Q. And so when the merger took place, taking this snapshot	19	"Our market-growing digital spend."
20		20	Just pause there for a moment. Taking a step back
21 22	as the starting point in 2010, at a stroke, Zoopla's or	21	from all of this, what has been going on over the last
	ZPG's, as it now was, unique audience vis à vis	22	10 or 15 years is that where estate agents spend their
23	Rightmove, was much larger than Zoopla's unique audience	23	money to advertise their clients' properties has changed
24	was in 2010; right?	24	fundamentally, away from print journalism and into
25	A. Correct. I don't think you can just add these numbers	25	online arrangements of one sort or another. Is that
	Page 90		Page 92
1	together but it was definitely larger	1	fair?
2	together but it was definitely larger. Q. No, no, I'm not suggesting it was as simple as that but	2	A. To a large extent. Not completely but to a large
3	it was obviously much larger. You can put bundle H1	3	extent.
4	away and take H2, Mr Livesey and turn to page 688.	4	Q. And what the portals I was going to say pray on but
5	I appreciate, Mr Livesey, that we are now looking at	5	what they play on, is a better way of putting it, is
6	a document from 2013 and I appreciate, let me make it	6	that they say to estate agents "Look how the internet
7	clear, that by this time, you had left your position as	7	has exploded, look how important online is to you. You
8	a non-executive director of Zoopla, I think, because you	8	ought to be spending more money with us and it is okay,
9	left when the merger happened?	9	
10		10	you can easily cope with that because print advertising, that's all gone, essentially." And some of the
11	A. That's right. Q. But you were still on the board of Connells and you were	11	projections show that estate agents will be spending
	still the chief executive of Connells?		
12	A. But at this point we hold a shareholding in Zoopla,	12	next to nothing on print advertising by 2020. You must
13		13	have seen those projections?
14	a very small minority shareholding in Zoopla. We have	14	A. Yes, I am not sure I agree with the projections but
15	no board directorships, so it is an inert relationship.	15	certainly print advertising is much smaller than it was
16	Q. I accept that you are not on the board any more but	16	historically, in favour of digital advertising. I don't
17	Connells have a (inaudible). I accept that your personal	17	think it will go completely.
18	position has changed when we get to this presentation,	18	Q. So the big losers are the local newspapers, who used to
19 20	compared to the one that we have just looked at.	19	rely on estate agents filling up their weekly
	A. Both me and Connells. The shareholders' agreement that	20	publications with photographs of rather grainy black and
	we used to have has gone.	21	white photographs of houses for sale?
21	9	22	
21 22	Q. Having looked at that, let's look at Mr Chesterman's	22	A. Yes, but actually, we are not following the portals
21 22 23	Q. Having looked at that, let's look at Mr Chesterman's presentation. This is 26 March 2013 and if you look at	23	because the consumer behaviour now is that they'll go
21 22 23 24	Q. Having looked at that, let's look at Mr Chesterman's presentation. This is 26 March 2013 and if you look at page 689, here we are, "ZPG created from merger of	23 24	because the consumer behaviour now is that they'll go and look on the internet first, rather than opening the
21 22 23	Q. Having looked at that, let's look at Mr Chesterman's presentation. This is 26 March 2013 and if you look at	23	because the consumer behaviour now is that they'll go

1

7

1

newspaper, to look for houses.

- 2 Q. Yes or go out in the rain to look in the estate agent's 3 window, when you can pick up your iPad, just stay at
- 4 home, obviously. So let's look at what Mr Chesterman is
- 5 telling us then, in March 2013. So the growing digital
- 6 spend, portals have transformed consumer search
- 7 behaviour, that is a point that you have just made,
- 8 print to digital marketing shift continues, with less
- 9 than 50 per cent online. Digital greater than
- 10 40 per cent growth and consolidated to two key players. 11 Then you see there's a change between print and
- 12 digital. And then if you turn on, please, to page 693,
- 13 so unlike the previous table we looked at in 2010, what
- 14 we have now got is the position in what is essentially
- 15 a two player market. What Mr Chesterman was told is the
- 16 two key players. So in January 2013, Zoopla had
- 17 30 million visits, 2.5 million leads, 1 million
- 18 listings, 18,000 members and 29 per cent of Zoopla's
- 19 audience, according to UKOM MediaTel, did not visit
- 20 Rightmove and 37 per cent of Rightmove's audience didn't
- 21 visit Zoopla and there is 34 per cent that visited; do
- 22 you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.

1

3

5

9

10

11

- 24 Q. So it is not quite one third, one third, one third but
- 25 it is not so very far away from there being a third of

Rightmove and Zoopla, I help to achieve that objective,

- 2 I'm exposing it through the biggest possible shop 3
 - windows.
- 4 Q. You are not simply repeating over again, getting to the 5 same people with the second portal, the two of them
- 6 complement each other?
 - A. Yes, if one of them had all the unique viewings, we wouldn't pay-to-list on the other.
- 8 9 Q. Exactly. If we look at page 695, "Market share, the key
- 10 measures", so a different way of looking at market
- 11 share, obviously. One is revenue, so we see on 12
 - a revenue basis, at this time anyway, it is 2 to 1;
- 13 right? Rightmove is twice as big in revenue terms as
- 14 Zoopla. Audience is nearly 2 to 1 but not quite. But 15
 - then percentage of leads is 50/50. Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now that looks a little odd, doesn't it, what
- 18 Lord Justice Sedley would say, calls for an explanation?
- 19 So do you agree with me that it is, at first blush
- 20 anyway, it is a bit odd that the ratio of leads is 1 to
- 21 1, whereas the ratio of revenue and audiences is 2 to 1?
- 22 A. I can't speak for the stats that Zoopla are using. 23 Certainly from our perspective, the numbers are
 - different to that. So, for example, Rightmove generates
- 25 328 leads per month, per branch on average. 328.

Page 96

Page 94

- the audience that uses both, a bit more than a third
- 2 that uses the number one player and a bit less than a
 - third that uses the number two; yes? What that means is
- 4 that if you are an estate agent, you are not in
 - a position of Zoopla, simply getting into reaching the
- 6 same people as Rightmove is already reaching, because 29
- 7 per cent of the people who visit Zoopla, don't go to
- 8 Rightmove at all. That's what this is telling us?
 - A. Sorry, I'm not following your question.
 - Q. What this is telling us is that Zoopla and Rightmove
- 12 audiences?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14
- 15 obviously they'd consider price and so on -- but in
- 16 principle, gives a powerful reason why Rightmove and
- 17
- 18 point of view?
- 19
- 20
- 21 contractually, and my obligation to the vendor is to try
- 22
- 23 property, in the quickest possible time. And the way
- 24
- 25 as widely as possible. So by advertising it on both

- are, to a very significant extent, reaching different
- - Q. Which gives a powerful reason why an estate agent --

 - Zoopla complement each other, from the estate agent's
 - A. Yes, so as an estate agent, my key obligation is actually to the vendor, who's technically my client,
- and achieve the best price that I can for him for his

Page 95

that I do that is through promoting it, advertising it

- 1 Zoopla delivers 180.
- 2 Q. Right.

24

- 3 A. So it doesn't follow those proportions but in context,
- 4 in Gascoigne Halman the average per branch from
- 5 OnTheMarket is 26. So to give you that context, it is
- 6 328 leads per month, per branch from Rightmove; 280 from
- 7 Zoopla and 26 from OnTheMarket.
- 8 Q. Okay. Just to finish off this document. If you go over
- 9 the page to 696. Mr Chesterman identifies in his
- 10 statement, a clear strategy for growth. Was that your
- 11 experience when you were on the board of Zoopla, that Mr
- 12 Chesterman had a clear strategy for growth?
- 13

15

17

- Q. And the opportunity he identifies at page 697 in the 14
 - fourth bullet point was that it was to be gunning for
- 16 number 1; do you see that?
 - A. I see it, yes.
- 18 Q. And does that accord with your experience on the Zoopla 19 board, that Zoopla was gunning to be number 1?
- 20 A. Zoopla's objective has always been to try and become the 21 market leader.
- 22 Q. Which is a politer way of saying it is gunning for
- number 1 and just at 696, key revenue streams for Zoopla 23
- 24 include not just estate agents. We see developers, 25
 - advertising and business services. So it is not just

Page 97

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

1	estate agents who are contributing to the revenue of	1	agents in Bolton or a selected number, so I'm guessing
2	Zoopla; right?	2	that's what they mean by one to all, as opposed to
3	A. Yes, it is trying to broaden its revenue streams, as	3	a single email or a message to a single estate agent.
4	opposed to Rightmove, which focuses largely on agents.	4	Q. Yes. Would you take bundle X if you can find it.
5	Q. So developers, that's people who build new houses?	5	A. Keep H2?
6	A. House builders.	6	Q. Yes, please, if it causes you I know it is a terrible
7	Q. So, obviously, people who build new houses are trying to	7	clutter but if it's okay. Turn to tab 3, please. You
8	sell new houses. Advertising is so that is third	8	should be looking at tab 3 at page 78 at the front page
9	parties who might advertise one of the examples,	9	of a document which says "Exane BNP Paribas." Do you
10	I think, in the papers is Iceland, the frozen food shop,	10	see that? And do you see the date, 6 June 2014, on the
11	for example, pays Zoopla to advertise on the Zoopla	11	left-hand side?
12	board. So that when I'm surfing through idly, wondering	12	A. Yes.
13	which houses I can't afford to buy, I can be reminded of	13	Q. What is happening is, this is the Zoopla IPO; right?
14	the existence of Iceland and that is another source of	14	You see:
15	revenue stream for Zoopla; right?	15	"We publish a primer for investors ahead of the
16	A. They take advertising revenues from a number of sources.	16	Zoopla IPO pricing later in June."
17	Q. And in business services that is a reference to the	17	Do you see that?
18	various add-ons that are offered to estate agents?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yes, probably the best example is their more recent	19	Q. And I don't want to ask you about the pricing at the
20	acquisition of Property Services Group, who supply the	20	moment, Mr Livesey. I want you to look at page 4 of
21	software that sits on the desktop of the estate agents,	21	this document, page 81. There is a figure at the top of
22	so it is additional services to estate agents.	22	the page, "Market share, size of Rightmove market."
23	Q. So other things that estate agents might or might not	23	Sorry, let me read that again. "Size of Rightmove
24	want to buy to help to run their business?	24	market lead, focus of debate."
25	A. Yes.	25	Then we have in the left-hand side, "Page view." Do
	D 00		D 400
	Page 98		Page 100
1	Q. And all of that leads into the Revenue of Zoopla and to	1	you see that? "Comscore page views. Comscore time",
2	that extent it is a slightly different model than	2	which I assume is the amount of time one spends on the
3	Rightmove which focuses, as you have said, rather more	3	portal which is obviously one way of measuring how
4	closely on estate agency but I think also includes quite	4	interested they are in it. "Comscore visits", and then
5	a lot of developers; is that right?	5	"Hitwise page impressions." This is all electronically
6	A. It does but Rightmove's general approach has been a much	6	gathered data and then on the other side, "Leads market
7	more focused, clean, simple property search portal,	7	share data, reported share of enquiries." Which is
8	that's pretty much all it does.	8	roughly 65/35 in Rightmove's favour; do you see that?
9	Q. And one of my client's objectives, it may or may not	9	A. Yes.
10	have been a good business proposition, but one of the	10	Q. But third party survey of purchaser leads is 80/20. So
11	ideas they had was to keep their portal, as you put it,	11	there is quite a difference. Right. Then in the
12	clean and simple, in particular, not to clutter it up	12	paragraph below, next but one paragraph:
13	with additional services or advertising; right?	13	"We note from industry contacts that there are
14	A. Which is very similar to Rightmove's proposition.	14	differing views regarding the relative quality of the
15	Q. Yes. And that's just as I say, it may or may not	15	leads generated. Some argue they are roughly equivalent
16	work, it may or may not be a good idea but there is	16	in quality, others claim, with some support from third
17	nothing, in principle, wrong, with having that clean and	17	party surveys and anecdotal evidence, more data is
18	simple presentation, is there?	18	available on request, that Rightmove's lead advantage is
19	A. No, not in principle.	19	greater, closer to 80 per cent of properties that are
20	Q. Now, what's a one to all lead, Mr Livesey?	20	actually purchased. Contrast 60 to 70 per cent share of
21	A. I think this is a reference to if you're a consumer and	21	client enquiries. We suspect the differential between
22	you're on Rightmove, one of the portals, there's an	22	reported lead metrics and the surveys of purchasers is
23	option given you to send a contact sheet to a number of	23	founded upon two key factors, explored in more detail
24	agents at the same time. You may say "I'm interested in	24	within the report. One, the greater prevalence of one
25	properties in Bolton", and it will go to all the estate	25	to all emails at Zoopla boosting their lead count and
	Page 99		Page 101

			A L A Bill W. C. A B. A
1	two, question marks over whether larger search areas are	1	more regularly than Rightmove. We see from the Bromley
2	generated from the Zoopla website. We outline this	2	example below, an individual could instantly generate 34
3	debate in more detail on pages 12 to 13."	3	leads for Zoopla, while Rightmove do not offer one to
4	So with bated breath, can we then turn to page 89,	4	all emails for such a wide search criteria."
5	page 12 internally and the same, essentially the same	5	So this explanation, this difference rather, between
6	text is set out above the table and then would you look	6	Rightmove and Zoopla and the one to all emails, is one
7	at the bottom of the page then, Mr Livesey:	7	which you recognise, is that right?
8	"Our contact suggests." You see:	8	A. I couldn't be as scientific as this: this is an
9	"A number of differences could account for the	9	analyst's report, who is relying on other sources for
10	difference in lead quality. Per the analysis below, the	10	his information. I can only measure internally what we
11	Zoopla website search function may, in some instances,	11	see from the different portals and what I would say is
12	select a larger area for a geographic search than	12	that there are lots of different kinds of lead coming
13	Rightmove. This could lead to individual property	13	from each portal. So they are coming from different
14	searches calling or emailing on properties that appear	14	types of consumer. Someone who's looking to rent,
15	attractive but are not located within their geographical	15	someone who is looking to rent out a property. Someone
16	requirements."	16	who's looking to buy, someone who's looking to sell.
17	In other words, you get too scatter gun a response:	17	Someone who is just browsing. And there are lots of
18	"Note that most property searches use search terms	18	different kinds of consumer coming into each of the
19	rather than post codes. We tested this anecdotally	19	portals and the different leads and the different
20	rather than in a significant manner and although we	20	volumes of those leads which come from them, vary. So
21	found some instances for a larger geographic region, we	21	I can give you if I was to mush it all up and say:
22	did not find large differences on a regular basis".	22	Rightmove leads are better quality than Zoopla leads or
23	Let me come to the second factor:	23	Zoopla's are better quality than somebody else's, would
24	"A second factor outlined in the graph below is the	24	be really difficult because they're different. How many
25	importance of the one to all emails."	25	of the leads are for people who are looking to put their
	Page 102		Page 104
1	What I want to ask you, Mr Livesey, is whether this	1	house on the market. And you may say that is really
2	accords with your understanding of these portals and	2	valuable to the estate agent because it is an
3	Connells' experience:	3	opportunity to win an instruction and that is true, but
4	"If an individual conducts a property search in a	4	that doesn't reduce the value of someone who is house
5	given job, both websites [at the bottom of the page] may	5	hunting, who comes to us through that portal because we
6	offer the opportunity to send a one to all email."	6	get the chance to sell them a house or rent a house to
7	Is that right?	7	them.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. I understand, but if one was only looking at number of
9	Q. "This email allows the individual to request contact	9	leads, if that was all one was concerned about, the
10	from all the agents in a given area."	10	absolute number of leads, then the explanation that we
11	That is what it means; yes?:	11	have just been looking at would explain why, for the
12	"Our testing suggested two key differences between	12	same number of search experiences by the human being who
13	the sides which potentially could inflate Zoopla's lead	13	wants to sell or rent or whatever it is, the property,
14	count. Firstly, Zoopla typically preselected a number	14	because of the differences in the set up of the portals,
15	of agents, in the case of Exeter, 16. Rightmove never	15	Zoopla is going to end up with, on that analysis, many
16	preselected enquiries for the use."	16	more leads per search experience than Rightmove?
17	Pausing there, what that means is, if I have done	17	A. I can't comment on that because it's third hand
18	this search on both websites at the same time and I do	18	information. All I can tell you is what I just said
19	not myself select an additional estate agent on	19	which is that the volume and value of the leads that we
20	Rightmove, that my Zoopla search will generate 16 leads,	20	get from Zoopla, we get more than twice that number
21	whereas my Rightmove search will generate 1. Is that	21	sorry, less than twice that number from Rightmove, just
22	right?	22	more than half that number from Zoopla and they're all
23	A. I think that's what they're saying.	23	very valuable to us. But that's why we pay more to
24	Q. And then secondly:	24	Rightmove every year than we do to Zoopla.
25	"Zoopla typically offered the one to all offering	25	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Livesey, I think you said a moment ago
	Page 103		Page 105

does that work? 3 A. A. On the same basis, because when you talk about buyers, you have go lots of different kinds of buyers, some who are over ready, but to trot and they are looking for 23 A. Acenae and they want to buy it, right through to someone who is thinking of maybe moving near year, if the right thing comes along. So they may register with a portal but they are not a here and now active buyer. 10 but they are not a here and now active buyer. 11 the CHARMAN I sace. The button to make 12 is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, 13 there is for sale because active yeary our night be though 14 that will simply generate a recorded visit on the state 15 for the sit. It wouldn't generate what you would call 16 allead? 17 A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of his that 18 these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good 19 example — 120 million hits. A lot of 19 those are repeat this and people looking at how would 21 that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of 19 those are repeat this and people looking at how would 21 their cheen hose is worth in the UK. so in one month, 22 think their houses is worth in the UK. so in one month, 23 their houses is worth in the UK. so in one month, 24 think their houses for what people looking at how would 25 their naturally acquiring a property, it is not an other of the mount of the people looking at how much 26 their house is worth in the UK. so in one month, 27 that correct. 28 THE CHARMAN. And one last question, if I may, before 39 my dark the house is worth in the UK. so in one month, 40 point that you will be making contact with agents— 41 other than because you are an active huyer or seller. 42 think their house is worth agents— 43 A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific 44 provided the contained and an analyse of the water of the same property. 45 A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific 46 provided the power of the same property? 46 A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specif				
A. On the same basis, because when you ralks about buyers, some who are over ready, but to trot and they are looking for 23 of Acacia Avenue and they want to bear about 23 Acacia were and they want to bear about 23 Acacia were who is thinking of maybe moving next year, if the right thing comes along, So they may register with a portal to the properties of the properties of the properties and now active buyer. 10 but they are not a here and now active buyer. 11 THE CHAIRMAN I see. They may aggiste but simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats of for the size. It wouldn't generate what you would call a seled? 12 cample — 120 million his is a month. It is only a population of 6 million in the UK, so in one month, their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 1 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 1 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 1 THE CHAIRMAN. I and created they are looked at 30 and 10	1	someone who is simply browsing can generate a lead. How	1	MR MACLEAN: I am conscious of the time, may I finish this
4 you have got lots of different kinds of hayers, some who are owner ready, hot to trot and they are looking for 23 6 Acacia Avenue and they want to hour about 23 Acacia 7 Avenue and they want to hour, it right through to someone who is thinking of maybe moving next year, if the right thing comes along. So they may register with a portal but they are not a here and now active buyer. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I see: They may register but simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given georgaphic area, the tries is for side because next year you might be buying, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for the sit. I wouldful register with a portal is for the sit. It wouldful register will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats these websites are getting. Rightmove is a good a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of those are repeat his and people looking at home wanch their neighbour paid for their house and how much they thick rhouse is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 1 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually agening a property, it is at his form. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they like hearing and the world will be making connect with agents — a sequented form. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what cevent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they like the purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and the printing and the same property? 2 A. Vest, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviou				•
Acacia Avenue and they wart to have it and they are looking for 23 A Acacia Avenue and they wart to have it, right through to someone who is thinking of maybe moving next year, if the right thing comes along. So they may register with a portal to think year on a here and now active bayer. 10 but they are not a here and now active bayer. 11 THE CHAIRMAN. I see: They may register with a portal is recipited to simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats that wouldn't generate what you would call a lead? 12 that will simply generate accorded visit on the stats these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good example — 120 million his in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, that their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lats of reasons to go and look at it 10 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 11 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 12 THE CHAIRMAN. Indeed the making contact with agents— 13 A. Yes, and filling in the form. 14 point that you will be making contact with gents— 15 the chair the contact of the contact of the same property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents— 15 they were in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents— 16 THE CHAIRMAN. And one last question, if I may, before M my declean resumes. To what extent he had not a contact with agents— 17 A. Yes, and filling in the Corn. 18 THE CHAIRMAN. I was expended and it is no Xopola, they I send a diversised by Connells and it is no Xopola, they I send a connected for the same property? 18 THE CHAIRMAN. I was been the price that a diving the source one contact she that the same interested person might actually generate as lead through a diversised by Connells and it is no Xopola, they I send				
Acacia Avenue and they want to hear about 23 Acacia Avenue and they want to hoar, it right through to someone who is thinking of may be moving next year, if the right thing comes along. So they may register with a portal but they are not a here and now active buyer. THE CHAIRMAN: I see: They may register but simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given peoplanible area, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for the sit. It wouldn't generate what you would call a lead? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of his that these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good example — 120 million his in a month. It is only a population of 6 million in the UK, so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million his. A lot of their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built point that you will be making contact with agents— THE CHAIRMAN. Inderstand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents— THE CHAIRMAN. And one last question, if I may, before they not becken resumes. To what extent—we have heard in some of the winness skatements that possible purchasers THE CHAIRMAN. And one last question, if I may, before they not be coloning to buy will see multiple sites and they luse Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same that extend to leads, in the sense that the same leading the coloning to buy with the multiple sites and the coloning to buy will be make a reposition of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acaeia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Xoopla, they'll send a contact sheet, just on make sure they've covered all it entered to be make		•		
Avenue and they want to buy it, right through to someone who is thinking of maybe moving next year, if the right thing comes along. So they may register with a portal but they are not a here and now active buyer. ITHE CHAIRMAN. I see a recorded visit on the stats that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for the site. It wouldn't generate what you would call a lead? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting. Highlurous is a good example. 120 million hits in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the UKs, so in one month, their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much their neighbour paid for their house work because the past year their neighbour paid for their house work because they have because they are just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 The CHAIRMAN. I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it as that point that you will be making contact with agents— THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before the most of the winters statements that possible purchasers the point that you will be making contact with agents— THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before the accomple in the form. The CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before the most one of the winters statements that possible purchasers the point that you will be making contact with agents— A. Yes, and filling in the form. The CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before the point that you will be making contact with agents— THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before the most one of the winters statements that possible purchasers the point that you will be making contact with agents— THE CHAIRMAN: I and one last question, if I may, before the point t		· ·		
thing comes along. So they may register with a portal but they are not a here and now active buyer. If IHE CHAIRMAN: I see. They may register but simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, there is for sale because next year you would call a lead? A. Our crect, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting. Flightmove is a good a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, the three websites are getting. Flightmove is a good their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their house is worth because they have just built they house is worth because they have just built they now that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that you have even because they have just because they have just built you are looking to buy will be making contact with agents — THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before they are looking to buy will use multiple sites and you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that you have even dead in the		•		
thing comes along. So they may register with a portal but they are not a here and now active buyer. THE CHAIRMAN: I.e. a charactive and the buying a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, their acighbour paid for their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it page 106 there than because you are an active buyer or seller. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property; it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents — THE CHAIRMAN: And orealing a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for a some of the witness statements that possible purchasers that a some of the witness statements that possible purchasers that a some of the witness statements that possible purchasers that a some of the witness statements that possible purchasers that a some of the witness that the same interested person might actually generate a lead thr				
but they are not a here and now active buyer. THE CHAIRMAN. I sec. They may register but simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for the site. It wouldfur generate what you would call a lead? A. And each of them would make contact with that customer. And each of them would get a lead, whereas on the Rightmore website, unless I went in and identified the estate agent to whom I was a lead? A. And each of them would make contact with that customer. And each of them would get a lead, whereas on the Rightmore website, unless I went in and identified the estate agent to whom I was a lead? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting – Rightmore is a good example – 120 million hits in a month. It is only a population of of million in the U.K., so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of these are repeat hits and people looking at how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 THE CHAIRMAN: Inducestand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents – A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And ore last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some on individual to be a contact shall be a contac				
the charkman: I see. They may register but simply, if one is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for the site. It would record that as a separate lead, wouldn't they? 13 A. Ad each of them would make contact with that customer, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats a lead? 14 A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good example — 120 million hits in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, those are repeat hits and people looking at how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 10 at the chart house is worth because they have just built a point that you will be making contact with agents — 11 at the think because you are an active buyer or seller. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property; it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents — 12 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Machean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the wincess statements that possible purchasers 12 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Machean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the wincess statements that possible purchasers 14 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Machean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the wincess tatements that possible purchasers 15 THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. 17 A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property; I think, telpically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is an owner of that and through the word of the same property? 18 Bay postcode, they may then, on both sirts, send a contact sheet, just to make				
is just looking to see what, in a given geographic area, there is for sale because next year you might be buying, that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for the site. It wouldn't generate what you would call a load? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting – Rightmove is a good permitted that one portal his receiving 120 million hits. A lot of the websites are repeat hits and people looking at how much their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 THE CHAIRMAN: It understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents – A. Ves, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example, noes they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example, in respect of the same property? A. Ves, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area.				,
there is for sale because next year you might be buying, that will simply generate a recorded vist on the stats of for the size. It wouldn't generate what you would call a lead? 17 A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting – Rightmove is a good example – 120 million hits in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, and or early in their neighbour paid for their house and how much their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 10 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and in				,
that will simply generate a recorded visit on the stats for fite site. It wouldn't generate what you would call a lead? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting – Rightmove is a good generated? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting – Rightmove is a good a population of 64 million hits in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents – A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent – we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and the they lave Righmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property. A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acasia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the Bay Bosteode, they may then, on both sites, send contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area.				-
them would get a lead, whereas on the Rightmove website, a lead? A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good example — 120 million hits in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the Uk, so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. Not of those are repeat hits and people looking at how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 1 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 understand, the more active or interested you rail naturally a point that you will be making contact with agents — THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and the textend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through 20 advertised by connols and it is not zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but if may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is adverted by Connols and it is not Zoopla, they'll used a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 15 the moval get a lead, whereas to whom we load to would only be one lead generated? A. In theory, but the feedback from within the business, our lead generated? A. In theory, but the feedback from within the business, our analysts, is not that there is massive duplication of leads within theory. So nor analysts, is not that there is massive duplication of leads within the disparcal of part and people looking at how much they are consistent. 2 A. The CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested a convenient momen? 3 THE CHAIRMAN: I was the same price that is an extension. Lot of what				
16 a lead? 17 A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hist that 18 these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good 19 cxample — 120 million hits in a month. It is only 20 a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, 21 that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of 22 those are repeat hits and people looking at how much 23 their neighbour paid for their house and how much they 24 think their house is worth because they have just built 25 an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 26 an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 27 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested 28 you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that 29 point that you will be making contact with agents — 20 A. Correct. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before 21 Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in 22 some of the witness statements that possible purchasers 23 that extend to leads, in the sense that the same 24 they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is 25 and restricted by connectived to connect sheet to Connells which we would 26 respont to, if it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be 26 if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is 27 an one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would 28 BB3 postcode, they may that in make sure they've covered all 29 the properties in that area.				
17				
these websites are getting — Rightmove is a good example — 120 million hits in a month. It is only a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid to metal they last built their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they their neighbour paid for their house and how much they the A. Yes, and filling in t		a lead?		-
20 a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, 1 to sonly a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, 21 that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of 22 those are repeat hits and people looking at how much 23 their neighbour paid for their house and how much they 24 think their house is worth because they have just built 25 an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 25 an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it 26 more active or interested 3 you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that 4 point that you will be making contact with agents — 5 A. Yes, and filling in the form. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before 26 Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in 27 some of the witness statements that possible purchasers 28 who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and 28 they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example, 10 respect of the same property; 1 think, trypically, their behaviour would be 16 they have looked at 23 Acaia Avenue that is 28 more of the winces of the wince of the winces of the wince of the winces of the wince of the wince of the wince of the		A. Correct, and if you look at the volume of hits that		•
a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month, that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of those are repeat hits and people looking at how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 Other than because you are an active buyer or seller. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through if respect of the same property; A. Ves, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is on Zoopla, they use and solve in the solve in the solve of the deal, so the properties in that area. 20 our analysts, is not that there is massive duplication of leads within Zoopla. Ie, the quality is fairly consistent. 21 Ochal Had Sa point. Sir, I was going to move on to whatever's next on this piece of paper but that is a convenient moment? 22 And that's a point. Sir, I was going to move on to whatever's next on this piece of paper but that is a convenient moment? 23 Page 108 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested a convenient moment? 24 THE CHAIRMAN: I was the share price. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I was the share price. 26 THE CHAIRMAN: I was the share price. 27 THE CHAIRMAN: I was the share price. 28 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example, in respect of the wind the share price. 29 THE CHAIRMAN: I was the share price that I am doing that. 30 MR HACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either no	18	these websites are getting Rightmove is a good		one lead generated?
that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of those are repeat hits and people looking at how much their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 1 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents	19	example 120 million hits in a month. It is only		A. In theory, but the feedback from within the business,
those are repeat hits and people looking at how much they think their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 The CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents— A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers in who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a contact sheet to Connells which we would a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area.	20	a population of 64 million in the UK, so in one month,	20	our analysts, is not that there is massive duplication
their neighbour paid for their house and how much they think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 Page 108 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents	21	that one portal is receiving 120 million hits. A lot of	21	of leads within Zoopla. Ie, the quality is fairly
think their house is worth because they have just built an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 1 other than because you are an active buyer or seller. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents 5 A. Yes, and filling in the form. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 2 whatever's next on this piece of paper but that is a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question of interested prion that independent and it is a convenient moment? 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Maclean. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Maclean. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. 2 MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. 3 MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or - 1 What we are talking about. The rule is that you with the actual to anyone about your evidence and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering. Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source th	22	those are repeat hits and people looking at how much	22	consistent.
25 an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it Page 106 Page 108 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does the textend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Maclean. MR HARRIS: Sir, can J just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liase with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or — THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering	23	their neighbour paid for their house and how much they	23	Q. And that's a point. Sir, I was going to move on to
other than because you are an active buyer or seller. THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and theyll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price. MR HARRIS: Iam in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am vondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Liviseey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. I want it to be clear that I am doing that. I want it to be clear that I am doing that. I want it to be clear that I am doing that. I want it to be clear that I am doing that. I want it ob eclear that I am doing that. I want it ob eclear that I am doing that. I want it ob eclear that I am doing that. I want it ob eclear that I am doing that. I want it ob eclear that I am doing that. I want it	24	think their house is worth because they have just built	24	whatever's next on this piece of paper but that is
the CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And oreating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is a on-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Maclean. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I flink it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I flink it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I flink it was to do with the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I flink it was to do with the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. THE CHAIRMAN: It wa	25	an extension. Lots of reasons to go and look at it	25	a convenient moment?
THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents - A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent - we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and theyll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. THE CHAIRMAN: It was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or - THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you what we are talking about. The rule is that you wouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: It was to do with the share price. THE CHAI		Page 106		Page 108
THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents - A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent - we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and theyll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. THE CHAIRMAN: It was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or - THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you what we are talking about. The rule is that you wouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: It was to do with the share price. THE CHAI				
you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 3 invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. 7 MR HACRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. 9 MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or 11 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. 18 MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. 19 find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2	1	othou than becoure you are an active buryou or coller		
point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 4 matter. I think it was to do with the share price. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. 7 MR HARRIS: Iam in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. 9 MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either 10 now or 11 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain 12 what we are talking about. The rule is that you 13 shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am 14 sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is 15 whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am 16 wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source 17 that you might use to obtain that information. 18 MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to 18 find another source. If we haven't done that over the 20 short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal 22 with that during the short break. 23 MR MACLEAN: We do have a				
5 A. Yes, and filling in the form. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? 7 A. Correct. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before 9 Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in 10 some of the witness statements that possible purchasers 11 who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and 12 they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does 13 that extend to leads, in the sense that the same 14 interested person might actually generate a lead through 15 Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in 16 respect of the same property? 17 A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific 18 property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be 19 if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is 20 advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send 21 a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would 22 respond to. If they were just looking generally in the 23 BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send 24 a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all 25 the properties in that area. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was 26 acquired for. 7 MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but 1 want it to be clear that I am doing that. 9 MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either 10 now or 11 What we are talking about. The rule is that you 11 what we are talking about. The rule is that you 12 what we are talking about. The rule is that you 13 shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am 14 sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is 15 whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am 16 wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source 17 that you might use to obtain that information. 18 MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to 19 find another source. If we haven't done that over the 20 short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: it and I am happy to do that but 22 with that during the short source. 23 MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomo	2	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested	2	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean
6 THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? 7 A. Correct. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before 9 Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in 10 some of the witness statements that possible purchasers 11 who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and 12 they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does 13 that extend to leads, in the sense that the same 14 interested person might actually generate a lead through 15 Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in 16 respect of the same property? 17 A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific 18 property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be 19 if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is 20 advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send 21 a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would 22 respond to. If they were just looking generally in the 23 BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send 24 a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all 25 the properties in that area. 6 acquired for. 7 MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. 9 MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either 10 now or - 11 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain 12 what we are talking about. The rule is that you 13 shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am 14 want to What we are dealting about. The rule is that you 15 whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am 16 wendering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source 17 that you might use to obtain that information. 18 MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but 18 I want it to be clear that I am doing that. 9 MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either 10 now or - 11 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain 12 what we are talking about. The rule is that you 13 shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am 14 what we are talking about. The rule is that you 15 whether there should be a carve-	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that	2 3	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular
A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent — we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. THE CHAIRMAN: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or — THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr darling the short beak at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that J am in your hands and I am formation. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR HARRIS: I am i	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents	2 3 4	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price.
THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all theylu use Rightmove. To what extent we have heard in now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there is that you what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we demath that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal wit	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form.	2 3 4 5	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was
Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. Mr MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or - THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you what we are ta	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead?	2 3 4 5 6	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for.
some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but
they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is — we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that.
they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either
that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 13 shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or
interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. Is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain
Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. Is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you
respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 16 wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. 18 MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is — we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. 21 MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am
A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 17 that you might use to obtain that information. 18 MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. 23 MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is
property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is — we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am
if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 19 find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source
advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 20 short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source
a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. 22 MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information.
respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 22 with that during the short break. 23 MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the
BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all the properties in that area. 23 MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the
24 a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all 25 the properties in that area. 26 have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock.
25 the properties in that area. 25 it probably isn't the end of the world if Mr Livesey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break.
Page 107 Page 109	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, the more active or interested you are in actually acquiring a property, it is at that point that you will be making contact with agents A. Yes, and filling in the form. THE CHAIRMAN: And creating a lead? A. Correct. THE CHAIRMAN: And one last question, if I may, before Mr Maclean resumes. To what extent we have heard in some of the witness statements that possible purchasers who are looking to buy will use multiple sites and they'll use Rightmove and Zoopla, for example. Does that extend to leads, in the sense that the same interested person might actually generate a lead through Zoopla and through Rightmove, to take an example, in respect of the same property? A. Yes, they may but it may not be in respect of a specific property. I think, typically, their behaviour would be if they have looked at 23 Acacia Avenue that is advertised by Connells and it is on Zoopla, they'll send a one-to-one contact sheet to Connells which we would respond to. If they were just looking generally in the BB3 postcode, they may then, on both sites, send a contact sheet, just to make sure they've covered all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR HARRIS: Sir, can I just mention that I think Mr Maclean invited me to liaise with Mr Livesey about a particular matter. I think it was to do with the share price. THE CHAIRMAN: It was the share price that Zoopla was acquired for. MR HARRIS: I am in your hands and I am happy to do that but I want it to be clear that I am doing that. MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris can gather that information either now or THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, Mr Livesey, just to explain what we are talking about. The rule is that you shouldn't talk to anyone about your evidence and I am sure you wouldn't want to. What we are debating is whether there should be a carve-out of that and I am wondering, Mr Harris, whether there isn't another source that you might use to obtain that information. MR HARRIS: Shall we do this which is we shall try to find another source. If we haven't done that over the short adjournment, I will report back at 2 o'clock. THE CHAIRMAN: That is very helpful and then we can deal with that during the short break. MR MACLEAN: We do have a Zoopla witness tomorrow, who will have the same answer from the other side of the deal, so

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

1	doesn't come up with the number.	1	A. No, that's incorrect. The deal that Connells had with
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I am sure. I would rather you didn't	2	Zoopla was a group wide listings agreement which says
3	speak to the witness. There was one other point	3	all of our branches will list their properties with
4	which if I could add to the shopping list. It is the	4	Zoopla but we pay a charge per branch. So we add 18
5	contribution, if I can call it that, that Zoopla are	5	branches on, we pay 18 times that charge. In addition.
6	making to the costs. I don't know if there are any	6	So there is a direct additional cost for GHL listing
7	terms on which that contribution has been made but if	7	with Zoopla.
8	there are, I think we'd like to know about them.	8	Q. I see. Based on the group wide corporate rate charged
9	MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, I don't know. I'll find out.	9	by Zoopla to Connells?
10	THE CHAIRMAN: I am grateful. That is something we could	10	A. Correct.
11	raise with Mr Livesey and if you don't get any joy with	11	Q. Now, let's have a look at the next paragraph, 16. You
12	your enquiries, we will later on.	12	say, third line:
13	MR HARRIS: So in the first instance, try to find out	13	"Rightmove and Zoopla both entered the market and
14	independently of Mr Livesey?	14	established themselves without seeking to restrict the
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly so.	15	choice of estate agent, about how and where they market
16	MR HARRIS: By all means.	16	their properties."
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Would it assist,	17	Now, what you don't deal with in this statement but
18	Mr Maclean, if we returned earlier than 2 o'clock?	18	you do deal with in your second statement, is the fact
19	MR MACLEAN: Yes. It would assist me. If we could resume	19	that the founders of Rightmove had a de facto
20	at 1.50.	20	exclusivity arrangement, didn't they?
21	THE CHAIRMAN: That means less of a break for you,	21	A. The four founding shareholders agreed between themselves
22	Mr Livesey. 1.50.	22	that they would only list their properties with
23	(1.05 pm)	23	Rightmove. This is 17, 18 years ago, but they didn't
24	(Luncheon Adjournment)	24	try to impose any restrictions on other agents, which is
25	(1.50 pm)	25	the point that I'm making here. So that there were no
	Page 110	-	Page 112
1	MR HARRIS: Sir, we have been unable to get the answers so	1	exclusivity arrangements other than initially between
2	far and can I let you know when we close for the day?	2	the four founder shareholders and even then, that was
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	3	just a loose agreement to make sure we're all
4	MR MACLEAN: Mr Livesey, would you turn to your first	4	concentrating our efforts on that one endeavour of
5	witness statement, please, which is in bundle D, tab 3,	5	creating a portal for the marketplace.
6	page 25, paragraph 15. Look at the third line, if you	6	Q. Well
7	would, please. The sentence beginning:	7	A. Indeed, it wasn't a formal exclusivity in any event, in
8	"I also believe".	8	that one of the shareholders wanted to list their
9	Do you see that:	9	properties elsewhere at the time and we allowed them to.
10	"I also believe that Zoopla would provide a better	10	Q. Why did you only deal with that point in your second
11	source of leads than OnTheMarket."	11	statement? Why not deal with it in your first
12	Just read those words in square brackets to yourself	12	statement?
13	"And."	13	A. I didn't think it was particularly relevant, actually.
14	A. "And at a better investment cost"?	14	Q. Let us look at what you say in your second statement
15	Q. Yes. The marginal cost to Gascoigne Halman or rather,	15	then, which is in tab 7, paragraph 9, page 103:
16	I think, to Connells, of Gascoigne Halman listing on	16	"Mr Springett has also sought to draw support for
17	Zoopla after the acquisition by Connells of Gascoigne	17	the need for the OOP rule by reference to an apparent
18	Halman, was zero?	18	exclusivity agreement between the large corporate estate
19	A. The marginal cost?	19	agencies (Countrywide, Connells, Halifax and Royal & Sun
20	Q. The marginal cost to Gascoigne Halman, to Connells, of	20	Alliance)that were involved in the establishment of
21	Gascoigne Halman listing its properties on Zoopla, was	21	Rightmove in 2000. What is stated at paragraph 6.9 of
22	zero?	22	Mr Springett's fifth witness statement is not accurate.
23	A. No, no, absolutely not.	23	There was no complete exclusivity arrangement between
24	Q. Because it was already covered by the deal that Connells	24	the four joint venture partners, but rather an
25	had with Zoopla?	25	understanding that in order to support the development
	D 444		D 442
	Page 111		Page 113

1 sky? 1 of Rightmove we would seek to list on Rightmove only. 2 2 Nevertheless, we each did have the ability to list A. I don't understand the question. 3 3 elsewhere, if that was commercially necessary." Q. Well, the idea that OnTheMarket could ever have 4 4 Then you give an example of John D Wood in London, successfully entered the portal market on the basis of 5 right. 5 requiring its members to commit to exclusivity was 6 But you are not taking issue with the fundamental 6 always a nonstarter? 7 7 proposition that Rightmove got going in 2000 because its A. Are you saying that OnTheMarket couldn't have launched 8 founder members agreed, not a contractual arrangement 8 without the One Other Portal rule? 9 9 but a de facto agreed understanding that they would Q. It couldn't have launched without the exclusivity rule, 10 exclusively list their properties on Rightmove, right? 10 a slightly different point. 11 A. The four founding shareholders. But what I said in my 11 A. It could have launched with either. 12 12 original statement is correct, that we didn't seek to Q. It was never a practical possibility given where the 13 13 market was in 2015. That is the point that's being made impose that on any of the other members. 14 14 Q. But they chose to restrict themselves in order to get at page 416. We would love to be an exclusivity 15 15 the portal off the ground? arrangement. We would love if that would work. It 16 A. Correct. 16 worked for Rightmove, it worked for Primelocation but 17 17 Q. And that lasted for how long, that arrangement, given where the market is, it is just not on. That is 18 18 understanding, however you want to call it? what is being said and that is not right, isn't it? 19 A. I'm guessing the first couple of years. 19 A. Sorry, are you drawing an analogy between what the four 20 Q. You have seen or at least heard perhaps of the email --20 founding shareholders of Rightmove did and what 21 I will give you the reference. It is bundle H1, 21 OnTheMarket have done? 22 22 Q. Yes. page 403, the draft business plan which I think I have 23 23 referred to on Friday, I think Mr Harris referred to A. They are two completely different scenarios. 24 24 a later version of the same document. It starts at 403. Q. I am asking you to look at 416 which is saying in 25 It is the newco's business plan. If you go to 416, 25 essence, the new portal would ideally require its Page 114 Page 116 please. Do you see the paragraph beginning "Given the 1 1 members to list their properties exclusively. That is 2 powerful established..." It is at the bottom of the 2 what Rightmove did, at least with the founder members. 3 3 page: That is what Primelocation did, but that's not going to 4 "The new portal would ideally require its members to 4 work, given where the market is and OOP is not as 5 list their properties exclusively. This was part of the 5 impactful but will cause some disruption. I am 6 market entry strategy adopted by Rightmove and also 6 suggesting to you that is obviously a reflection of the 7 subsequently by Primelocation. However, as indicated 7 commercial reality. Exclusivity wasn't an option? 8 above, it would take time for the new portal to become 8 A. Well, all things were an option at the start of a new q 9 fully effective and agents are now heavily reliant on business. I would have said it was very unlikely to 10 the leads they receive from the portals. Accordingly, 10 have been successful without full exclusivity. I think 11 the requirement would be that members listed on the new 11 it has been far less successful than it could have been 12 portal website and on one other website only. This 12 with this partial exclusivity. Two thirds of agents 13 requirement will be implemented after the new portal 13 have chosen not to join it. 14 launches. Whilst not as impactful as full exclusively 14 Q. You don't believe any agent would have joined 15 it will create [one and two]." 15 Agents' Mutual with complete exclusivity? 16 You agree presumably that the OOP rule is not, as 16 A. We wouldn't. I can't answer for other agents. I think 17 this rather inelegantly says, not as impactful as full 17 the value of the leads that we get from Zoopla and 18 exclusivity, right? 18 Rightmove are such that we wouldn't have done that. 19 A. Yes, of course. 19 Q. Do you believe that any agent would have joined 20 Q. Of course. But you also agree, I think of course, that 20 Agents' Mutual with complete exclusivity? 21 by 2015 the market was completely different from the 21 A. I would be surprised. 22 market that Rightmove had entered 15 years before? 22 Q. You see, in your first witness statement at page 32, 23 23 A. I would agree. paragraph 29 you say exactly that --24 Q. The idea that OnTheMarket could ever have entered 24 A. Sorry, where are we? 25 25 successfully with an exclusively model is pie in the Q. Tab 3, bundle D, tab 3, page 32, paragraph 29. In the

Page 117

Page 115

1	sentence at the beginning of the end of the second line	1	promises to which you refer, can you?
2	"it has not done". You say in the next line:	2	A. It wasn't an assurance or a promise made to me, but it
3	"I do not believe any agent would have joined	3	was very loudly broadcast at the launch of OnTheMarket
4	Agents' Mutual with complete exclusivity." Is that	4	that that is what would happen.
5	still your evidence?	5	Q. You don't identify any promise made to anybody, do you?
6	A. I would be surprised if they did.	6	A. There is no document in the packing.
7	Q. The reason you don't believe that they would have joined	7	Q. That is because there was no promise, right? There were
8	is that it would have been contrary to common sense to	8	statements of ambition. Just like Mr Chesterman was
9	do so?	9	gunning to be number 1, OTM was ambitious to make
10	A. Contrary to common sense and to their obligations to	10	headway quickly, but where was the promise you made?
11	their vendor clients to expose the properties to the	11	A. If you are challenging the use of the word "promise"
12	market fully.	12	Q. I am?
13	Q. Look at paragraph 16, please, of your first witness	13	A as opposed to "reassurance" or "strong commitment to"
14	statement. You refer later on in this paragraph do	14	but I think the market generally accepted that that was
15	you see the sentence "in contrast"?	15	what OnTheMarket was all about, was becoming number 2
16	"In contrast, agents Agents' Mutual has adopted	16	within the first year.
17	a business model based on taking inventory from other	17	Q. Look at your second statement, Mr Livesey at tab 7,
18	portals."	18	paragraph 8, page 103. Second sentence, "the reality
19	Just pausing there, surely any entrant in 2015 would	19	is"; do you see that?
20	have had to take inventory from other portals, wouldn't	20	A. Sorry, which paragraph?
21	it?	21	Q. Paragraph 8, page 103, second sentence beginning "the
22	A. No. Why would it need to remove inventory from the	22	reality is". Same question. You chose the same verb,
23	other portals to win inventory of its own?	23	"promises", but there is no reference. Which promises,
24	Q. Because otherwise it wouldn't be disrupting the market	24	made when, Mr Livesey?
25	that was completely covered by Rightmove and Zoopla in	25	A. Again, it is the same comments that were made at the
	Page 118		Page 120
1	towns of inventor 0	1	start at the larged in all the presentations that welve
1	terms of inventory?	1 2	start, at the launch in all the presentations that we've
2	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market	2	seen from OnTheMarket some of which
2	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind	2 3	seen from OnTheMarket some of which Q. Do you sorry, carry on.
2 3 4	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity —	2 3 4	seen from OnTheMarket some of which Q. Do you sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked
2 3 4 5	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? 	2 3 4 5	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it
2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. 	2 3 4 5 6	seen from OnTheMarket some of which Q. Do you sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: 	2 3 4 5 6 7	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	seen from OnTheMarket some of which Q. Do you sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from Mr Springett and others along those lines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of agents that have multi-listed on more than two property
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from Mr Springett and others along those lines. Q. I think we established earlier that this witness 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of agents that have multi-listed on more than two property portals. Information provided to me by Zoopla shows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from Mr Springett and others along those lines. Q. I think we established earlier that this witness statement when it is not quoting from somebody else is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of agents that have multi-listed on more than two property portals. Information provided to me by Zoopla shows that in 2011"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from Mr Springett and others along those lines. Q. I think we established earlier that this witness statement when it is not quoting from somebody else is using your words, right, so you chose the verb "promise"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of agents that have multi-listed on more than two property portals. Information provided to me by Zoopla shows that in 2011" When was the information provided to you by Zoopla,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from Mr Springett and others along those lines. Q. I think we established earlier that this witness statement when it is not quoting from somebody else is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of agents that have multi-listed on more than two property portals. Information provided to me by Zoopla shows that in 2011"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't think that's correct. Zoopla entered a market that was dominated by Rightmove without using any kind of exclusivity — Q. That was in 2008? A. Mmm. Q. Let's look at the next bit. You say: "As opposed to winning that inventory by developing a better commercial offering on making unrealistic promises about its ability to take over from Zoopla as the number 2 portal within 12 months." To whom were those promises made, Mr Livesey and where? A. They were broadcast very widely at the launch of OnTheMarket that it would become the number 2 player within its first year. Q. When was that promise made to anybody about an ability to take over from Zoopla? You don't identify any document here do you? A. No, but there were plenty of press statements from Mr Springett and others along those lines. Q. I think we established earlier that this witness statement when it is not quoting from somebody else is using your words, right, so you chose the verb "promise"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	seen from OnTheMarket some of which — Q. Do you — sorry, carry on. A. All of the presentations and the press statements talked about the volume and the quality of the leads that it would generate for its members and we see from Gascoigne Halman that it's currently two years after launch delivering 26 leads per branch per month. Q. Do you adhere to the rather serious suggestion in these witness statements that OTM has broken promises made to agents or not? A. That is the way I would see it. I think if I was one of those agents and had those reassurances made to me I would feel pretty disgruntled now. Was it a breach of contract? Probably not. That's not our case at all. Q. No, the party that's in breach of contracts is Gascoigne Halman. That's my Look in your first witness statement at paragraph 20 if you would, tab 3: "There have historically been many examples of agents that have multi-listed on more than two property portals. Information provided to me by Zoopla shows that in 2011" When was the information provided to you by Zoopla,

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

1	A. I went to them with a request because we obviously know	1	Mr Livesey, that in paragraph 19 of your statement you
2	of some agents that listed on more than two portals back	2	have been attacking a straw man when you refer to there
3	in the day. Difficult to find contemporaneous records	3	being an infinite predetermined number of portals
4	internally and so I approached Zoopla and asked them if	4	because, as you well know, that's not what my client was
5	they'd got records of firms that they knew that they	5	stating. No one is suggesting there was some a priori
6	could have recorded at the time that were listing more	6	predetermined number of portals. The position is
7	than two portals.	7	simple: given how the market was in 2015, given the
8	Q. When, was my question, when?	8	position of Rightmove and Zoopla, there was simply on
9	A. Some-time during the process of this litigation.	9	the facts no one in the market was going to get into the
10	Q. By whom at Zoopla was the information provided to you?	10	market without causing some disruption to the two
11	A. I couldn't tell you who gave us the information.	11	existing key players?
12	Q. Was it given to you personally?	12	A. But it could have done that without the use of this
13	A. Yes.	13	rule.
14	Q. Was it handed over by another human being?	14	Q. Not without somehow causing some disruption to those two
15	A. In the sense of a piece of paper?	15	key players. Once exclusivity isn't available for the
16	Q. Piece of paper, pieces of paper.	16	reasons we have just been discussing, then the OOP is,
17	A. I can't recall how it came to me.	17	I suggest, the obvious and indeed only realistic
18	Q. You can't recall.	18	alternative, isn't it?
19	A. But it was based on a request from me.	19	A. No, I disagree fundamentally. Certainly if you come
20	Q. The information that you refer to dates back to 2011 and	20	into a new market as a competitor, it will have
21	there has been a lot of water under the property	21	implications for the existing players in that market but
22	portals' bridge since 2011, hasn't there? Information	22	OnTheMarket could quite easily have entered this market
23	about what agents were doing in 2011 doesn't tell us	23	without this restrictive clause.
24	very much about OTM in 2015, not least because quite	24	Q. I don't agree with that but let's move on.
25	a lot of the big players in 2011 were subsequently	25	In paragraph 22 you quote extensively from an open
	Page 122		Page 124
1	brought within the ZPG tent, right?	1	letter from somebody called Chancellors. Now, you have
2	A. Is there a question now?	2	my observation that this is all a completely
3	Q. Yes. What I am saying to you is that information	3	inappropriate exercise for your witness statement but
4	provided to you by Zoopla about what happened in 2011	4	I am going to indulge you, Mr Livesey. So let's just
5	doesn't shed much light on the position for	5	look at this note from Chancellors. Now, Chancellors
6	Agents' Mutual attempting to enter the market in 2015	6	are one of Zoopla's most important customers; is that
7	not least because, as we saw earlier, a number of the	7	right?
8	players in the market in 2011 were swallowed up by ZPG	8	A. No, I wouldn't say they are one of their most important
9	because in 2012 the market consolidated into what	9	ones. It depends how you define it but they are
10	Mr Chesterman calls the two key players; do you	10	a reasonable sized, medium sized firm. Not one of the
11	remember?	11	bigger ones.
12	A. I do. This is a very relevant point because it deals	12	Q. At the bottom of this page you are this is not your
13	with the assertion that's made by OnTheMarket that even	13	document. It is nothing to do with Connells, that is
14	if there were more than two portals available for an	14	right. Nothing to do with you. Nothing to do with
15	agent to list on, they would never list on more than	15	Gascoigne Halman, but nonetheless you are treating us to
16	two. They wouldn't pay to list on more than two and,	16	the quotation from it. You quote at the bottom:
17	therefore, the One Other Portal was pretty academic	17	"However, if that is a where a significant
18	because they're only going to list on two anyway. By	18	proportion of prospective buyers and tenants are
		19	initially looking for property, then that's where we
19	inference if they join OnTheMarket they are going to	1 1	
19 20	inference if they join OnTheMarket they are going to drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the	20	will advertise and as such we have held elite tier
			will advertise and as such we have held elite tier memberships with both portals for some time."
20	drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the	20	
20 21	drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the free choice, if there are more than two portals, agents	20 21	memberships with both portals for some time."
20 21 22	drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the free choice, if there are more than two portals, agents will choose to list on more if the value for money is	20 21 22	memberships with both portals for some time." Do you know what the content of "elite tier"
20 21 22 23	drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the free choice, if there are more than two portals, agents will choose to list on more if the value for money is right.	20 21 22 23	memberships with both portals for some time." Do you know what the content of "elite tier" memberships are?
20 21 22 23 24	drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the free choice, if there are more than two portals, agents will choose to list on more if the value for money is right. Q. I am not going to argue with you about what Agents' Mutual was trying to do but I do suggest to you,	20 21 22 23 24	memberships with both portals for some time." Do you know what the content of "elite tier" memberships are? A. I'm assuming that he means the different bands of membership that you can buy, silver and gold, for
20 21 22 23 24	drop one of the others. What I am saying is given the free choice, if there are more than two portals, agents will choose to list on more if the value for money is right. Q. I am not going to argue with you about what	20 21 22 23 24	memberships with both portals for some time." Do you know what the content of "elite tier" memberships are? A. I'm assuming that he means the different bands of

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

assuming that's what he means. Q. So what would be an cliet the remembeship of Zaopla? A. It would have been consumers as a summer of the what would that bring if I would have been given been seen that seems to see a summer or my name to sharp in light to how does it work? A. Vou can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your client's properties to receive more attention. In Rightmore it is called premium display where the properties of for the control for for cample. A. I have. Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors of that you quote from? A. I have. Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, the certical mass is never developed and a good idea cont take off, right. A collective action problem is a good idea to there, as a think you accepted a good idea in the corp to the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the control for the collective action problem is a good idea in the c	1	example, from Zoopla which includes certain add-ons. I'm	1	you, if I may say so, play with words, Mr Livesey.
3 Q. So what would be an either in emembership of Zoopla? 4 What would that bring if I was an estate agent? 5 A. It would bring you different level of promotion within the website for the properties for your customers, so you't appear each property and the search is in a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for example. 15 Property and the search is in a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for example. 16 Colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for example. 17 List statement seems to me to have been very carefully drafted to give an impression which is in fact emissionary of the property and the search is in a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for example. 18 Q. You have read this on the property of price reduced for for example. 20 A. I have. 21 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, that you quote from? 22 Mr Livescy? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea con't take off, right? 22 A. I don't. 23 but because they ferigithered that they alway the critical mass is never developed and a good idea con't take off, right? 24 A. I don't. 25 but because they/ferigithered that they don't would know that they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea con't take off, right? 26 A. It is not a planes that I've encountered before. 27 Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors are really an illustration of the collective action				
4 Most would that bring if I was an estate agent? 5 A. It would bring you different level of promotion within 6 the website for the properties for your customers, so 7 you'd pay extra for these enhanced services. 9 O. If ig ye In Jegt partied up the list a his? 9 A. Panted up the list? Which list? 10 Q. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name 11 is shiny in lights or how does it work? 12 A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay 13 for your clients' properties to receive more attention. 14 In Rightmov it is called premium display where the 15 property and the search is an alightly different 16 colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced 16 for cample. 18 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellons 19 that you quote from? 19 May be a collective action problem is, 20 Mr Livescey? I short think Miss Frew did. Do you know 21 what a collective action problem is, 22 A. I don't. 23 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea 24 card take off, right. A collective action problem is, 35 the critical mass is never developed and a good idea 4 card take off, right. A collective action problem is, 4 that a new entant such as Ort BeeMark faces because it 5 that a new entant such as Ort BeeMark faces because they're flightened that they don't want to said, with what they have, 4 because they're flightened that they don't want to said, with what they have, 4 because they're flightened that they don't want to said, with what they have, 4 because they're flightened that they don't want to said, with what they have, 5 because they're flightened that they don't want to said, with wat they have, 6 C. J. Suggest loy was that this note from Chancellors are says part from the crowd, and if too many people think like 18 that a new entant such as Ort BeeMark faces because it 19 that the good idea never takes off, right? 19 the properties was a first was the first flight and the rough and have the properties with a consumer to be leave the properties on a single obtain		9		
5 A. It would bring you different level of promotion within 6 the website for the properties for your customers, so 7 you'd pay extra for these enhanced services. 8 Q. If I get up. I get punted up the list? Which list? 9 A. Punted up the list? Which list? 10 Q. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name 11 is shirpy in lights or how does it work? 11 A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay 12 for your clients' properties to receive more attention. 13 In Rightmove it is called premium display where the 15 property and the search is in a slightly different 16 colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced 17 for example. 18 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors 19 that you quote from? 19 A. I have. 20 A. A lawe. 21 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is. 22 What acollective action problem is. 23 what a collective action problem is. 24 A. I don't. 25 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea 26 a. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 27 Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is 28 the critical mass is never developed and a good idea 29 the can't take off, right. A collective action problem 30 that a new entrants such as On Theoklarkel faces because it 31 is a theory if only enough people were to sign up to it 32 works a collective action problem 33 that a new entrants such as On Theoklarkel faces because it 34 is a part from the crowd, and if from many people in lish. 35 a good idea in theory, as I think, you accepted a good idea 36 is a good idea in theory, as I think, you accepted a good idea 37 really an illustration of the collective action problem 38 that a new entrants such as On Theoklarkel faces because it 39 is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea 40 that phrase it gives you that this note from Chancellors is 40 that a fine the properties with collective action problem 41 that a new entrants such as On Theoklarkel faces because it 42 apart from the crowd, and if for many peo				
the website for the properties for your customers, so you'd pay extra for these enhanced services. Q. If get up. Jeef punced up the list a bit? A. Panted up the list? Which list? A. Panted up the list? Which list? A. Pour can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your client's properties to receive more attention. In Rightmore it is called premium display where the property and the search is a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for properties and consumers would incivitably mean that the company's new portal would have a unique collection of properties and consumers would incivitably mean that the company's new portal would have a unique collective action problem is? A. I have. Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is. Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is? A. I don't. Days 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 128 I in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, and that is new entrant such as Ort DeMarket faces because it is a self-inflicted in fire all of life. In the next paragraph, the consumers of the other last in order to get into the work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what Chancellors are surjected would and it in the place of the consumers which is in fact were you assy: The properties of would make the consumers to me to have been very carefully danked to give an impression which is in fact misleading." I suggest to you it is perfectly simple: that the Client file to the properties and consumers would incivitably mean that the file to find all of them on any other portal. It is simply a statement of the necessary memorating the file to find all of them on any other portal. It is simply a statement of the necessary mould incivitably mean that the file to find all of them on any other portal. It is simply a statement of the necessary and incivitable and incivitable properties what consumers would an incivitable and incivitable properties with a consume				
7 you'd pay extra for these cabaneed services. 8 Q. If I get up, I get punted up the list a bit? 9 A. Punted up the list? Which list? 9 You say: 10 Q. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name is shirn in lights or how does it work? 11 A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your clients' properties to receive more attention. 12 In Rightmore it is called premium display where the property and the search is in a slightly different color and a red flash on the property of price reduced in colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for example. 13 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors 19 that you quote from? 14 A. I have. 15 A. I have. 16 Q. I suck as the search is a slightly different or you got of this market, have you, by Chancellors 19 that you gate from? 17 a unique collection of properties and consumers would not be able to find all of them on any other portal. It is where you go to find you would have a collective action problem is. 18 Q. I vot have exceed the standard problem is. 29 Mr Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is? 20 A. I don't. 21 in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it 20 but because too many of them stick with what they have, the carrot take off, right. A collective action problem. 20 A. I don't. 21 in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it 20 but because too many of them stick with what they have, the collective action problem. 22 in the corried mans is never developed and a good idea 20 the properties you can find elsewhere. 23 the critical mans is never developed and a good idea 20 the properties you can find elsewhere. 34 C. I started mans is never developed and a good idea 20 the properties you can find elsewhere. 35 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 36 Q. I suggest to you this into find D properties with the properties you can find elsewhere. 37 I would be willing to join it if toudle be propered to you the properties you can find e				
8 Q. If Jet up, Leg toputed up the list? Which list? 9 A. Punted up the list? Which list? 10 Q. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name is shiny in lights or how does it work? 11 A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your clients? Properties to receive more attention. 12 In Rightmove it is called premium display where the colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for example. 13 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors 19 that you quote from? 14 In Name of the colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for example. 15 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, that you quote from? 16 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, what a collective action problem is? 17 A. I don't. 18 Q. The where people can see that something is a good idea and a can't kae off, right. A collective action problem. 19 In the cry if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea and that it kae off, right. A collective action problem. 19 In the cry if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea and that it is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 10 Q. I suggest to you that is note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. 11 In the cry if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea and the company of the collective action problem. 15 A. This not a phrase that I've encountered before. 16 Q. I suggest to you that its note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. 18 that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it as a part from the crowd, and it for count be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're f				
9 A. Punted up the list? Which list? 10 Q. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name 11 is shiny in lights or how does it work? 11 as thiny in lights or how does it work? 12 A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your client' properties to receive more attention. 14 In Rightmove it is called premium display where the property and the search is in a slightly different of colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for example. 15 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors 16 that you quote florn? 16 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, 19 that you quote florn? 17 A. I have. 18 A. I have. 19 A. I have. 20 A. I don't. 21 A. I don't. 22 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea what a collective action problem is a flort and partner that something is a good idea and that phrase it gives you the impression that the properties you will see when you go to this portal you what a collective action problem. 23 A. I tis not a phrase that I've encountered before. 24 A. I is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 25 Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is a good idea work. Meanwhile they want to sitck with what they have been they only include in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OP to one side. People work. Meanwhile they want to sitck with what they have been they for lighteed wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this flore Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopha and Rightmove. 26 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement way. 27 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement the work yalayers? 28 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 29 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement to the consumer to be leve that if the you's your first witness statement to the consumer to be lettered that the you's your first witness statement t		• • •		-
O. On the search, I can be the preferred partner or my name is shiny in lights or how does it weit? A. Vou can pay to have your brand enhanced. Vou can pay for your client's properties to receive more attention. In Rightmove it is called premium display where the colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for for cample. O. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors that you quote from? A. I have. O. Do you know what a collective action problem is, what a collective action problem is? O. Pout have read this article, have you, by Chancellors and a red flash on the property of price reduced for that you quote from? A. I have. I on the sare flash on the property of price reduced for for cample. I in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it what a collective action problem is? A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. O. I suggest to you it is perfectly simple: that the effect of the OP rule, taking some inventory from Zoopla would inviviable might have joined in the effect of the OP rule acting some inventory from Zoopla would inviviable might have joined in the effect of the OP rule acting some inventory from Zoopla would inviviable might have joined in the effect of the OP rule acting some inventory from Zoopla would inviviable might have joined in the effect of the OP rule acting problem is? A. I don't. D. Do you know what a collective action problem is. Page 126 Page 126 A. I don't. D. Wyo play the work is that think actually On TheMarkst were playing with words. If you put yourself in the shore of the consumer, the man on the high street, when you read that phrase it gives you the impression what the properties you can find elsewhere. Will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but — A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. Well have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, 2, you say: "It is true that if some of Agentt's Mutual's agents that the company point in prin				
is shiny in lights or how does it work? A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your dients' properties to receive more attention. In Rightmove it is called premium display where the property and the search is in a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for example. Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors that you quote from? A. I have. Mr Livescy? I don't think Miss Few did. Do you know what a collective action problem is, which is a collective action problem is? A. I don't. Dayse 126 In theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, and the critical mass is never developed and a good idea are art take off, right. A collective action problem is that an eventrant such as Off The Marke faces because it is a good idea that never translates as Off The Marke faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in the ory as I think you accepted a good idea in the ory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People work. Meanwhile they want to sitic with what they have been such yor flightened that they don't want to stick with what they have saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this choose they frightened that they don't want to stick with what they have saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for that they don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement In Rightmove, taking some inventory from Rightmove, taking some inventory from Bightmove, taking some inventory from Bightmove that the COP rule was a unique collection of the		•		•
12 A. You can pay to have your brand enhanced. You can pay for your clients' properties to receive more attention. 14 In Rightmove it is called premium display where the property and the search is in a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for example. 15 G. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors 16 that you quote from? 16 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors 17 that you quote from? 17 A. I have. 20 A. I have. 21 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, 22 Mr. Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is? 22 Mr. Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is? 23 the critical mass is never developed and a good idea 25 can't take off; right. A collective action problem. 24 Can't Like off; right. A collective action problem 25 can't take off; right. A collective action problem 26 can't take off; right. A collective action problem 27 really an illustration of the collective action problem 28 that a new entrant such as On'The-Market faces because it 29 is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea 29 that principle, leaving the COP to no side. People 20 work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have 20 agood ide an influency and it is one side. People 21 word the willing to join it if it could be proved to 22 the good idea 23 that principle, leaving the OOP to no side. People 24 apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? 18 because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think ike that the good idea never takes off, right? 18 the good idea never takes off, right? 19 the proved to 20 the proved				•
for your clients' properties to receive more attention. In Rightmove it is called premium display where the property and the search is an slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for cample. In Rightmove, taking some inventory from Zoopla would have a unique collection of properties and consumers would not be able to find all of them on any for portile. It is simply a statement of the necessary and inevitable effect of the OOP rule, taking some inventory from Zoopla would have a unique collection of properties and consumers would not be able to find all of them on any the protal. It is simply a statement of the necessary and inevitable effect of the OOP rule enacted, isn't it? A. I have. Page 126 I in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea at can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this conte from Chancellors is a unique collection. It is simply a statement of the necessary and inevitable effect of the OOP rule, taking some inventory from Zoopla would have a unique collection from Encountered to Fore. A. I have. A. I have. Page 126 I in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea at theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as				
In Rightmove it is called premium display where the property and the search is in a slightly different in courand ar ard flash on the property of price reduced for example. 15 Q. You have read this article, have you, by Chancellors in that you quote from? 26 A. I have. 27 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, and a collective action problem is? 28 Mr Livesey? I don't limic Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is? 29 A. I don't. 20 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea can title off; right a collective action problem is? 20 In the collective action problem is? 21 A. I don't. 22 but because too many of them stick with what they have, a can take off; right, a collective action problem. 20 A. I take off; right. A collective action problem. 21 but because too many of them stick with what they have, a can take off; right, a collective action problem. 22 but because too many of them stick with what they have, a can take off; right, a collective action problem. 23 that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that the off, right, a collective action problem. 29 Trail is in the state off, right, a such as one of the collective action problem. 30 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 41 Can take off, right, a collective action problem. 42 can take off, right, a collective action problem. 43 that is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 44 can take off, right, a collective action problem. 55 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 67 really an illustration of the collective action problem. 88 that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it that a new entrant such as On TheMarket faces because it would be willing				
15 property and the search is in a slightly different colour and a red flash on the property of price reduced for scample. 16 16 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19				
in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem. A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it want't for this Gone Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the warket you have to have created some disruption to the warket you have to have created some disruption to the work what they alone to the collective action problem is a good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying there is we might have joined if it want't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the collection				
17				
that you quote from? A. I have. Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, Mr Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is, what a collective action problem is? A. I don't. Dayse 126 Page 126 Page 126 Page 128 I in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right A. Collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People work Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Dayse 126 In theory if only enough people were to sign up to it the consumer, the man on the high street, when you read that phrase it gives you the impression that the properties you will see when you go to this portal you be those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you will one of those properties you will into the that they are that they are that it was not for those properties you will l				
that you quote from? A. I have. Do you know what a collective action problem is, what a collective action problem is, what a collective action problem is? A. I don't. Page 126 Page 126 In theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, at can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. 10 But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the cybral subject that they lond want to go when the consumer, the man on the high street, when you read that phrase it gives you the impression that the properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 1 will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 1 will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 1 will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 1 will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 1 will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you din find all portal you fact and properties you don't have be caus		-		
20 A. I have. 21 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, 22 Mr Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know 23 what a collective action problem is? 24 A. I don't. 25 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea Page 126 Page 126 Page 128 1 in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it 2 but because too many of them stick with what they have, 3 the critical mass is never developed and a good idea 4 can't take off, right. A collective action problem. 5 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 6 Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is 7 really an illustration of the collective action problem 8 that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it 9 is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good 10 idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People 11 would be willing to join it if it could be proved to 12 work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have 13 because they're frighted that they don't want to stay 14 apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like 15 that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are 16 saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for 18 this One Other Portal rule that would block us from 19 listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and 20 Rightmove. 21 Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the 22 market tyou have to have created some disruption to the 23 market they'll not find anywhere else to attract the 24 can't take off, right? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other 25 on A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 26 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 27 d. My point here is that I think actually on TheMarket were 28 playing with words. If you pour pour pour pour pour pour pour po				· · ·
21 Q. Do you know what a collective action problem is, 22 Mr Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is? 23 what a collective action problem is? 24 A. I don't. 25 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea Page 126 Page 128 1 in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it 2 but because too many of them stick with what they have, 3 the critical mass is never developed and a good idea 4 can't take off, right. A collective action problem. 5 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 6 Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is 7 really an illustration of the collective action problem 8 that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it 9 is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good 10 idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People 11 would be willing to join it if it could be proved to 12 work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have 13 because they're frightened that they don't want to stay 14 apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like 15 that the good idea never takes off, right? 16 A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are 17 saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for 18 this One Other Portal rule that would block us from 18 listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and 20 Rightmove. 21 Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the 22 make you have to have created some disruption to the 23 two key players? 24 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 26 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 26 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 27 the consumer to be at that I think actually On the between the high when the high words. If you put you read that the place that phrase that the properties you can find elsewhere. 3 Will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you can find elsewhere. 4 A. That's my point		,		* *
22 Mr Livesey? I don't think Miss Frew did. Do you know what a collective action problem is? 23 A. I don't. 25 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea Page 126 Page 128 1 in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. 5 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightneed that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. 21 Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? 22 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 23 the clear that have poined if it wasn't for the wokey players? 24 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 26 A. Thore is the rational for the OoP rule. So it is not incomprehensible and that's it, isn't it? 27 A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. 28 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 29 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 20 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-compe				
23 what a collective action problem is? 24 A. I don't. 25 Q. It is where people can see that something is a good idea Page 126 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 Will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but — 4 can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem 8 that a new entrant such as On'IheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 26 the consumer, the man on the high street, when you the that properties when you go to this portal vole when you go to this portal you the timp residence of the properties you will see when you go to this portal you the timp properties you will see when you go to this portal you the timp properties you will see when you go to this portal you of this portal you on the interpreties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but — A. That's my point in that paragraph. C. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of A				* *
A. I don't. Page 126 Page 128 The properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 The properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 The properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 The properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 The properties you and find elsewhere. Usually the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Usuagest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 24 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 26 that phrase it gives you the impression that the proved to those properties on that the you go to this portal you of the they will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties of those properties of those properties of that they beauth that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. 4 A. That's my point in that paragraph. 5 Q. We'll have to agert to differ. In the next paragraph, 28, you say: 15 There is t				
Page 126 Page 128 Page 128 Page 128 properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 properties you will see when you go to this portal you Page 128 will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you can find elsewhere. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal. Then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal. There is perfectly comprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal				
page 126 In theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Description of them stick with what they have because they're fightened that in order to get into the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Description of them stick with what they have, and the critical mass is never to evidence. Description in that revery single one of those properties with the pare with take on group of those properties with that they and a good idea a good idea. Description in that paragraph. A. That's my point in that paragraph. Description in that paragraph. Description in that paragraph. Description in the cevery single one of those properties with the expression of the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the ODP o				
in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it sia good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. C. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement will only see there and in fact every single one of those properties you can find elsewhere. A. That's my point in that paragraph. A. That's my point in that paragraph. A. That's my point in that paragraph. A. That's my point in that paragraph. C. I don't agree with that, but — A. That's my point in that paragraph. the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their othe portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible to you. It is perfectly compr				
but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? but because to op untat this, but — A. That's my point in that paragraph. C. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention her		Page 126		Page 128
the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as On'TheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. J. I don't agree with that, but — A. That's my point in that paragraph. The very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their othe Portal. There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it?				
4 can't take off, right. A collective action problem. 5 A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. 6 Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is 7 really an illustration of the collective action problem 8 that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it 9 is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good 10 idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People 11 would be willing to join it if it could be proved to 12 work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have 13 because they're frightened that they don't want to stay 14 apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like 15 that the good idea never takes off, right? 16 A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are 17 saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for 18 this One Other Portal rule that would block us from 19 listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and 20 Rightmove. 21 Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the 22 market you have to have created some disruption to the 23 two key players? 24 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 4 A. That's my point in that paragraph. 5 Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, 6 the very next paragraph, 28, you say: 7 "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents 6 choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP 7 rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's 8 properties on a single other portal." 11 There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is 12 not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly 13 comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? 14 A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other 15 Portal rule? 16 Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory 17 from the two key players and means that there is 18 a unique collection of properties which can't all be 19 found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for 19 the consumer to go there? 20 A. My contention here is the way that this	1	in theory if only enough people were to sign up to it	1	will only see there and in fact every single one of
A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. O. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement D. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? O. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.				
the very next paragraph, 28, you say: really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it given by is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2	but because too many of them stick with what they have,	2	those properties you can find elsewhere.
really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Wit is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea	2 3	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but
that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Endows Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before.	2 3 4	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph.
is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Portal rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before.	2 3 4 5	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph,
idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the Rightmove. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement Distinguing the OOP toone side. People properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Port	2 3 4 5 6	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem	2 3 4 5 6	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say:
would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible to you. It is perfectly A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other for One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other for One Other portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other for One Other for One Other for One Other for One Other f	2 3 4 5 6 7	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem	2 3 4 5 6 7	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents
work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Description of properties to you. It is perfectly comprehensible to you have to expand that it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP
because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement a. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's
apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal."
that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 15 Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is
A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 16 Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly
saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 17 from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it?
this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 18 a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other
listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement here found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule?
20 the consumer to go there? 21 Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the 22 market you have to have created some disruption to the 23 two key players? 24 A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. 25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 20 the consumer to go there? 21 A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is 22 deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if 23 they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties 24 that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the 25 eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory
Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is
market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be
two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 23 they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for
A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way. Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 24 that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there?
25 Q. Now, in paragraph 27 of your first witness statement 25 eyeballs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if
Page 127 Page 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but because too many of them stick with what they have, the critical mass is never developed and a good idea can't take off, right. A collective action problem. A. It is not a phrase that I've encountered before. Q. I suggest to you that this note from Chancellors is really an illustration of the collective action problem that a new entrant such as OnTheMarket faces because it is a good idea in theory, as I think you accepted a good idea in principle, leaving the OOP to one side. People would be willing to join it if it could be proved to work. Meanwhile they want to stick with what they have because they're frightened that they don't want to stay apart from the crowd, and if too many people think like that the good idea never takes off, right? A. This is a self-inflicted wound and what Chancellors are saying here is we might have joined if it wasn't for this One Other Portal rule that would block us from listing our customers' properties with Zoopla and Rightmove. Q. But that ignores the fact that in order to get into the market you have to have created some disruption to the two key players? A. But you don't have to act in an anti-competitive way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	those properties you can find elsewhere. Q. I don't agree with that, but A. That's my point in that paragraph. Q. We'll have to agree to differ. In the next paragraph, the very next paragraph, 28, you say: "It is true that if some of Agents' Mutual's agents choose Rightmove as their other portal, then the OOP rule would mean that consumers could not find all OTM's properties on a single other portal." There is the rationale for the OOP rule. So it is not incomprehensible to you. It is perfectly comprehensible and that's it, isn't it? A. Are you are saying that is rationale for the One Other Portal rule? Q. Yes, because it causes a disruption, it takes inventory from the two key players and means that there is a unique collection of properties which can't all be found on any other portal. Thereby giving a reason for the consumer to go there? A. My contention here is the way that this is worded is deliberately to lead the consumer to believe that if they visit OnTheMarket they'll find unique properties that they'll not find anywhere else to attract the

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

,	O. D. official constitution and a little box	,	A D. Wall
1	Q. But the information memoranda which is what you are	1	A. Don't think so.
2	quoting wasn't made available to members of the public.	2	Q. Are any of them Agents' Mutual people?
3	The information memorandum was made available to	3	A. No.
4	prospective members of OTM to get them to join up?	4	Q. Are any of them Zoopla people?
5	A. It has been part of their broadcast proposition from the	5	A. No.
6	start.	6	Q. This email wasn't sent by anyone at Agents' Mutual, was
7	Q. Look at the next paragraph, 29, Mr Livesey, if you	7	it? Mr Underwood, nothing to do with Agents' Mutual?
8	would. You say in the second sentence:	8	A. I don't think so.
9	"It has not done that because Rightmove is used by	9	Q. It wasn't sent to anyone at Agents' Mutual or copied to
10	agents as the must have portal. In essence,	10	anyone at Agents' Mutual, right?
11	Agents' Mutual's business model is founded on forcing	11	A. Not that I'm aware of.
12	agent members to come off and hence to damage Zoopla."	12	Q. And you don't suggest in your paragraph 29 that it came
13	Gascoigne Halman wasn't forced to do anything, was	13	to the attention of anyone at Agents' Mutual at any
14	it, Mr Livesey, by OnTheMarket?	14	stage, do you?
15	A. As part of signing the contract, the listing agreement,	15	A. That's not what I'm inferring, no.
16	it was forced to delist from one of the other two	16	Q. You are not making that suggestion?
17	portals.	17	A. No.
18	Q. Only because it chose of its own free will to sign up to	18	Q. It would be entirely false if you were but you are not
19	the proposition which it found attractive?	19	making that suggestion, are you?
20	A. My inference isn't that any of the firms that join, any	20	A. No.
21	of the members were coerced into something.	21	Q. You say you exhibit this email. How did you obtain this
22	Q. Why use the word "forced"?	22	email, Mr Livesey?
23	A. Because as part of signing that contract they were	23	A. I don't know how this email came to me.
24	forced into adopting this anti-competitive practice of	24	Q. You don't know how it came to you?
25	the One Other Portal rule.	25	A. No.
	Page 130		Page 132
	1 450 100	1	1 102
		1	
1	Q. They voluntarily signed up to contractual terms on	1	Q. Well, when did you first become aware of its existence?
1 2	Q. They voluntarily signed up to contractual terms on a proposition which they found commercially attractive,	1 2	Q. Well, when did you first become aware of its existence?A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but
2	a proposition which they found commercially attractive,	2	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but
2 3	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right?	2 3	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source.
2 3 4	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive?	2 3 4	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it?
2 3 4 5	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially	2 3 4 5	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office.
2 3 4 5 6	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this
2 3 4 5 6 7	 a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't. Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't. Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye over those names. Who do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number from Quinn Emanuel because it is exhibited to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't. Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye over those names. Who do you know? A. I don't personally know any of those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number from Quinn Emanuel because it is exhibited to your witness statement. You must have been trying to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't. Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye over those names. Who do you know? A. I don't personally know any of those. Q. Are any of those Connells people?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number from Quinn Emanuel because it is exhibited to your witness statement. You must have been trying to make some point or other by referring to it in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't. Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye over those names. Who do you know? A. I don't personally know any of those. Q. Are any of those Connells people? A. I don't think so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number from Quinn Emanuel because it is exhibited to your witness statement. You must have been trying to make some point or other by referring to it in your paragraph 29, were you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a proposition which they found commercially attractive, right? A. Commercially attractive? Q. Well, we know Mr Halman found it commercially attractive. We've got these emails. You know them as well as I do. A. They found the overall contract attractive but as part of the contract, that is the reason they signed it, and as part of that contract they signed up to this rule and that rules forces them to delist from one of the other portals. Q. In this paragraph 29 you say about eight lines down do you see the sentence beginning "I exhibit"? "I exhibit an email at DCL1/23." Just take H8/4140. It is an email from somebody called Kevin Underwood. Did you know Mr Underwood, Mr Livesey? A. I don't. Q. Who do you know in the "from to or cc"? Cast your eye over those names. Who do you know? A. I don't personally know any of those. Q. Are any of those Connells people?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I couldn't tell you. It was part of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where and from what source. Q. Where were you when you first saw it? A. In my office. Q. You see, in the same statement at paragraph 39 in this statement in your own words you say in: "I have been shown an email that is exhibit" But in paragraph 29 you just say: "I exhibit an email." So can you account for how this email came into the possession of Gascoigne Halman or Connells? It wasn't brought by the tooth fairy. How did it come into Connells' or Gascoigne Halman's possession, 4140? A. As I just said, I can't tell you. I don't know. I genuinely don't know. There are over 10,000 pieces of paper and this particular email has come into my possession during the course of this litigation but I couldn't tell you where it came from or who. Q. You see, it doesn't have a number at the top, either an E number showing it came from Eversheds or a QE number from Quinn Emanuel because it is exhibited to your witness statement. You must have been trying to make some point or other by referring to it in your

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

1	To the Mark Washington Const.	1	O Co doub
1	I couldn't tell you. We paid an absolute fortune for an	1	Q. So don't you agree that if you are going to make a point
2	independent firm to come in and look at all our data and	2	from an email exchange that if you are going to quote
3	source information. It could have been part of that	3	from it you should at least try and quote something
4	exercise. I just don't know.	4	which fairly summarises the gist of the exchange?
5	Q. But you accept that it has nothing to do with my clients	5	A. No.
6	in terms of it wasn't sent to them, sent by them, copied	6	Q. No?
7	to them and there's no indication it ever came to the	7	A. Well, in the same way that when Mr Springett produces
8	attention of anybody at my client. You accept all of	8	a file note of a meeting that he had with me and others
9	that?	9	it's not a full verbatim recording of that meeting.
10	A. Absolutely.	10	There were plenty of things talked about in an hour and
11	Q. Then look at the other email that you referred to:	11	a half that weren't in there. There are redactions in
12	"I also exhibit at DCL1/24 an email dated 3 February	12	there. My point being, in this situation if I am trying
13	from Mr Springett to Mr Abrahmson.	13	to make a point and I have got an email that supports
14	A. Sorry where are we now?	14	that point, I am going to take the piece from the email
15	Q. Back to paragraph 29. Do you see the last four and	15	that supports that point rather than try and paraphrase
16	a half lines: "I also exhibit Do you see that?	16	the whole email.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. And you think that helps this Tribunal to get a fair
18	Q. Just read that to yourself to the end of the paragraph.	18	picture of your involvement in the events do you, that
19	(Pause)	19	exercise?
20	You can put this rather mysterious 4140 away, the	20	A. Otherwise 10,000 would be 20,000 pages.
21	plot not having thinned any about how that appeared. Go	21	Q. You tell me what's the point then that led you to pick,
22	to H9/4977. Before we come to the email just look at	22	select, leave out the cherries, these words that you
23	the witness statement. Just look at the witness	23	have quoted in 29. What's the point, Mr Livesey?
24	statement before we come to the email. You say:	24	What's the argument you're trying to build? Tell this
25	"I also exhibit [blah blah] an email dated 3	25	Tribunal. Here they are. Here's your chance. What's
	Page 134		Page 136
1	February which refers to"	1	the point?
2	And then you quote from the email. Do you see that,	2	A. I'm quoting from one of the founder members of
3	beginning "discussions"?	3	OnTheMarket with the managing director of that business,
4	A. Yes.	4	a very important quote from the email.
5	Q. Are you suggesting that the quotation which you give	5	Q. Did you look at the whole email chain before you did
6	there is a fair summary of the gist of the exchange	6	your picking or your selection of the bit to put in your
7	between Mr Springett and Mr Abrahmsohn?	7	statement?
8	A. I am not trying to summarise their correspondence.	8	A. Yes.
9	I have just taken an element of that that I thought was	9	Q. You did. So let's go to 4977. "Dear Trevor", 15.50 on
10	useful for the point that I was making.	10	3 February. Do you see that?
11	Q. So you have, as one might say, cherrypicked a little bit	11	A. Yes.
12	of the email exchange, have you?	12	Q. And what's happened is that Mr Abrahmsohn sent
13	A. No, I've not cherrypicked or paraphrased.	13	Mr Springett an email. Mr Springett is replying and
14	Q. You have picked out a bit?	14	then at the end he says:
15	A. I have selected from that email to support a particular	15	"These numbers bear out the discussions we had
16	point.	16	during last year to the effect that the most efficient
17	Q. To support a particular point, to help to build the	17	way to get swiftly to the number 2 position would be if
18	argument?	18	members dropped Zoopla."
		19	That is a statement of fact, isn't it, Mr Livesey, a
19 20	A. To make this particular point, to illustrate the point. O. Did you do this picking? Did you make this selection?	20	straightforward statement of the factual position?
20	Q. Did you do this picking? Did you make this selection?	20 21	A. I'm not sure whether dropping Rightmove would have had
21	A. Yes.	21 22	
22	Q. You remember that what you are supposed to be doing is	1	the same effect.
23	giving evidence which is the truth and the whole truth.	23	Q. Can you think of a more efficient way to get swiftly to
24	Do you remember that?	24	the number 2 position, Mr Livesey?
25	A. That's right.	25	A. Getting customers to drop the number 1 portal.
	Page 135		Page 137

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Q. Really? For every person you pick up who joins 1 1 simply competing fairly to overtake it. 2 2 OnTheMarket that is one to your side but if they've left Q. So replacing X -- number 3 replacing number 2 as number 3 Rightmove rather than Zoopla it is going to take longer 3 2 involves necessarily killing him off, does it? 4 rather than a shorter period of time to get to the 4 A. He uses the word "replacing" not "overtaking". 5 number 2 position, isn't it? It stands to reason. Just 5 Q. Presumably that applies -- I hope, to pick up on 6 a statement of fact? 6 Friday's analogy, that doesn't apply to tennis otherwise 7 7 Mr Djokovic had better look out. But you suggest that A. If you depose the number 1, does that not automatically 8 8 this is all some great plot to kill off Zoopla, do you? get you to number 2? 9 9 Q. You see, my point, is Mr Livesey, that the passage you A. Absolutely, and when I've met Mr Springett on a couple 10 have picked does not support the argument that you want 10 of occasions that has been the very clear message all 11 11 to advance. That is the first point. But the second the way. This is not about competing fairly, trying to 12 point, if you go to 4975 and 4976, there is an email 12 overtake the number 2 and then trying to become the 13 back from Mr Abrahmsohn at 5.25 the same day and then at 13 number 1, it has been about killing the opposition in 14 9.59 that very night at 4974. Just have a look at 4974, 14 15 would you, Mr Livesey and just read to yourself to the 15 Q. What is the difference between replacing Zoopla as third paragraph stopping at the word "some reasons". Do 16 16 number 2 from OTM's perspective and Mr Chesterman 17 you see that? Just read from "thanks for this" to "some 17 gunning for number 1 from his perspective; what's the 18 reasons" please. Just read that to yourself. (Pause) 18 difference? 19 Have you read that? 19 A. It's a huge difference. Gunning for number 1 is an 20 20 objective of we want to be the best, the biggest, number A. Yes. 21 Q. What Mr Springett was doing, he was doing various 21 1. That is what Andy Murray wanted to be and replacing 22 22 things. First of all, he is emphasising for the nth the number 2 means removing the number 2. 23 time that "these decisions are decisions for members to 23 Q. Come on, Mr Livesey. 24 take and not me". Do you see that? Decisions as to 24 A. It is a fairly straightforward word. 25 which portal to drop? 25 Q. In paragraph 36 of your witness statement -- actually, Page 138 Page 140 A. Yes. 1 1 it rather chimes in, if we are discussing what words 2 Q. Then he's going on to offer some advice as to how 2 mean. In paragraph 36 of your first witness statement, 3 Mr Abrahmsohn might, as he puts it, "leverage a better 3 you say: 4 deal out of Zoopla". So far from dropping Zoopla he's 4 "As for the group procurement obligation in the 5 going on to offer what he says at the end of his email 5 Agents' Mutual membership contracts, this cause was 6 "our views from a distance" which he hopes maybe found 6 something Connells considered in the course of our due 7 some help "if only as devil's advocacy" to help 7 diligence as part of the GHL acquisition." 8 Mr Abrahmsohn to get a better deal for Zoopla. Not to 8 Do you see that? Q drop Zoopla but to carry on with Zoopla on better terms. 9 10 My suggestion to you, Mr Livesey, is that any 10 Q. Did you obtain any insurance in relation to the 11 witness attempting to present a fair summary of what was 11 potential exposure of Gascoigne Halman under that 12 going on in this email exchange could not but have 12 clause? 13 referred to page 4974 rather than pick or select that 13 A. What kind of insurance? 14 single sentence which you choose to read in some 14 Q. Insurance which protects you from economic or legal 15 pernicious or spooky way and that what this email 15 liability? exchange shows is the obverse of the position you are 16 16 A. I'm not aware of an insurance that would cover that contending for. What it shows is that Mr Springett was 17 17 eventuality. We looked at the contract. As I say, we 18 fastidious about emphasising that the choice of the 18 deemed it to be an onerous contract that we were 19 other portal was not a matter for him but a matter for 19 inheriting as part of the acquisition. We looked at the 20 each individual member, right? 20 particular clause but didn't believe it was sustainable 21 A. No, completely wrong. What he says is replacing Zoopla 21 or that it even intended or envisaged the situation of 22 as number 2 has been board strategy since last February. 22 a corporate acquiring one of the gold members and

Page 141

certainly we'd never heard of it being exercised in the

market previously. So we acquired the business and we

did not believe that the procurement rule was relevant.

His use of the word "replacing" not "overtaking" and

a constant theme that this is about killing Zoopla not

Page 139

that has been their board strategy, and there is

23

24

25

23

24

25

1	Q. So you acquired the business and took your chances?	1	All I'm saying is that in this prop tech space, there
2	A. Yes.	2	are plenty of ways of raising money without being solely
3	Q. Believing that it wouldn't come back to bite you for	3	reliant on the listing fees, in the early stages.
4	some reason or other. When you say in paragraph in	4	Q. But only if you can cause a disruption to the position
5	the third line "our understanding was", who's "our"?	5	of the two key players. Otherwise it is all in vain?
6	A. Connells. We use a large due diligence team when we	6	A. And my contention is that you can cause plenty of
7	make acquisitions. Various people involved from all	7	disruption without overtly trying to damage your
8	different disciplines of the business. External	8	competitors.
9	advisers, so that group who are involved in the	9	Q. Let us turn to the Leighton Buzzard meeting. There was
10	acquisition.	10	a meeting in Leighton Buzzard between you and, I think,
11	Q. "Our understanding was". What is your understanding	11	a couple of your colleagues on the one hand and
12	now, Mr Livesey?	12	Mr Springett on the other?
13	A. It is still the same. I don't believe that the OOP rule	13	A. Mr Springett and Miss Whiteley.
14	was ever envisaged to cover this scenario.	14	Q. On 28 September 2015; right?
15	Q. In paragraph 35, previous paragraph, you say, third	15	A. Correct.
16	line:	16	Q. Let us turn to bundle H11. You can keep your witness
17	"One way in which Agents' Mutual could have sought	17	statement bundle with you but any H bundles you have,
18	to get agents to list their properties in OTM rather	18	you can clear away. H11/6226. Mr Springett gave
19	than force them to list through the OOP rule, would have	19	a presentation to Connells and these are his slides,
20	been to offer a free trial or very low initial	20	aren't they?
21	membership fees from the outset and prior to its	21	A. Are these the largely redacted ones?
22	launch."	22	Q. No, they are not largely redacted.
23	Do you see that?	23	MR HARRIS: Try D1. He plainly needs to see the
24	A. Yes.	24	confidential ones of these.
25	Q. But just hold that thought and then in your	25	MR MACLEAN: Yes.
			7
	Page 142		Page 144
1	paragraph 31, just a little bit earlier, at the foot of	1	A. These are virtually exclusive.
1 2	paragraph 31, just a little bit earlier, at the foot of page 32, you were questioning the question then is,	1 2	A. These are virtually exclusive. MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226,
			•
2	page 32, you were questioning the question then is,	2	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226,
2 3	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience:	2 3	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett
2 3 4	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as	2 3 4	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree?
2 3 4 5	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity."	2 3 4 5	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the
2 3 4 5 6	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as	2 3 4 5 6	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	page 32, you were questioning — the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	page 32, you were questioning — the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or something?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they? A. No, I wouldn't agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or something? A. Potentially. Purple Bricks is a good example. It is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they? A. No, I wouldn't agree. Q. No, why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or something? A. Potentially. Purple Bricks is a good example. It is property technology. It is here and now, launched	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they? A. No, I wouldn't agree. Q. No, why not? A. There are virtually no internet only estate agent firms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	page 32, you were questioning — the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or something? A. Potentially. Purple Bricks is a good example. It is property technology. It is here and now, launched around the same time. Currently capitalised at half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they? A. No, I wouldn't agree. Q. No, why not? A. There are virtually no internet only estate agent firms. Q. At the moment there might not be very many but if one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	page 32, you were questioning the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or something? A. Potentially. Purple Bricks is a good example. It is property technology. It is here and now, launched	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they? A. No, I wouldn't agree. Q. No, why not? A. There are virtually no internet only estate agent firms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	page 32, you were questioning — the question then is, what Agents' Mutual has done to attract an audience: "I do not believe that it has done anything effective or noteworthy and this omission is a large part of the reason for its current failure as a commercial entity." Then you talk about sponsoring West Bromwich Albion. So isn't there a tension there, Mr Livesey, between on the one hand, castigating my client for not spending enough on the marketing of their portals on the one hand, and then saying what they should have been done was running around offering free trials of very low membership fees from the outset, on the other? Don't those two rather rub against each other? A. No, not at all. There is plenty of ways of raising finance for a new prop tech business like this that doesn't involve the subscription fees from members in the early days. Q. Some seed capital from a venture capitalist or something? A. Potentially. Purple Bricks is a good example. It is property technology. It is here and now, launched around the same time. Currently capitalised at half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MACLEAN: Don't worry, we'll give you the 6226, please. These are the slides that Mr Springett presented; right? Who else was there; Mr Plumtree? A. Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg, who was the group finance director. Q. Yes. So in that email that we looked at right at the beginning, the one about what was going to be said to Mr Halman and Mr Halman 's contortion, you remember, that was you and Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, the three senior executives; right? A. Yes. Q. So this was the presentation that Mr Springett made. And if you look at page 6229, there's the growth of Rightmove, 6230, that is the margin and profit of Zoopla and Rightmove in 2014. Then 6233, reference to internet only firms looking to float next year. Now, there is no doubt, Mr Livesey, that internet only firms pose a commercial threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent, don't they? A. No, I wouldn't agree. Q. No, why not? A. There are virtually no internet only estate agent firms. Q. At the moment there might not be very many but if one

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

6 February 2017

1	legitimately feel that that was a commercial threat to	1 blocked from advertising their properties.	
2	my shortish or medium or long-term existence?	2 Q. I see. So let's just go back to Mr Springett's	
3	A. No, well there are two issues. First of all, there are	3 presentation. So he sets out all sorts of data and at	
4	virtually no internet only estate agents. And the	4 page 6247, the strategy is to get to be the number 2	2
5	second is, would I want to blockade them from	5 portal. Do you see that?	
6	advertising their properties in the same way that	6 A. Yes.	
7	I could?	7 Q. And if you keep a finger there and you go back to	6237,
8	Q. Let us just focus on the first of those. Here's Mr	8 we see the same point made there:	
9	Dunstone, who has been in the news this week, since	9 "Become the number 2 portal, then build to be the	he
10	Baroness Harding sold TalkTalk and, for example, Mr	alternative to the number 1."	
11	Dunstone backing online estate agent HouseSimple. Quite	Do you see?	
12	a neat little name, you might think. So you say that a	12 A. Yes.	
13	Bricks and Mortar estate agent should be sanguine about	Q. And at 6251, Mr Springett in the heading "Fundi	•
14	the development of internet only firms because they	deals with funding. It is quite hard to read this but	
15	haven't really taken off yet?	do you see that what he's doing there is referring to	
16	A. Well not just because they haven't taken off, it's	something called ARPA; do you see? Now ARPA	is average
17	because they don't act as pure internet only estate	17 revenue per advertiser, isn't it?	
18	agents, as you are inferring. So if you take Purple	18 A. It is.	
19	Bricks which is the most dominant player in that market	19 Q. And so we can see that he's showing the increase	
20	and all the others, HouseSimple and so on, are very	and ARPA is the common metric used in the estate	e agent
21	similar. They have an internet advertising programme,	business as a proxy for price, in effect?	
22	TV advertising, but they have things called local	A. It is a term used in the portal business. The	estate
23	property experts. Purple Bricks have got 300 of them	agent wouldn't recognise it.	
24	and they are people employed around the country, whose	Q. So it is a metric used in the portal business,	
25	job is to act as an estate agent, to go into the lounge	essentially it is the proxy for the price it's charged?	•
	Page 146	Page 148	
1	of the yendor, convince them that's the best way to sell	1 A Ves so if you are Zoonla or Rightmove you	are taking
1 2	of the vendor, convince them that's the best way to sell	1 A. Yes, so if you are Zoopla or Rightmove, you a	
2	their house.	2 all of the fees that the agents are paying to you	and
2 3	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises?	2 all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g	and
2 3 4	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no.	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser.	and ives you
2 3 4 5	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custon	and ives you
2 3 4 5 6	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no.	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per customer effect?	i and ives you mer, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	 their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? 	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not a second or sec	i and ives you mer, in
2 3 4 5 6	 their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those 	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees.	i and ives you mer, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons?	and ives you mer, in ot just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those 	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all materials.	and ives you mer, in ot just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. 	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents.	and ives you mer, in ot just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent?	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a	and ives you mer, in of just ushed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the 	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a	and ives you mer, in of just ushed re
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a a portal and I'm a portal and we have different add-ons.	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat.	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. You are quite right. So depending on if you are a portal and I'm a portal and we have different add different business services or different advertising	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, ne with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat?	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more than that because it is not you are quite right. So depending on — if you are a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, or	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, g, ne with g in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does.	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, on the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, g, ne with g in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat?	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, on the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the	mer, in tot just ushed re d-ons, me with g in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more together, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on if you are a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, of the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA?	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, g, ne with g in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online.	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more together, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on if you and a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, on the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA? A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, ne with s in c be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online. Q. I see.	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more together, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, of the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA? A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee progress is or is not. It is saying that you will	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, ne with s in c be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online. Q. I see. A. They are just a different model, they're different	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more together, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you and a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addedifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, on the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA? A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee progress is or is not. It is saying that you will more or less successful in charging revenue to	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, g, ne with g in g be your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online. Q. I see. A. They are just a different model, they're different charging structure. They'll charge the customer a fee	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, on the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA? A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee progress is or is not. It is saying that you will more or less successful in charging revenue to agents, however that revenue is comprised.	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, 3, ne with 3 in 2 be your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online. Q. I see. A. They are just a different model, they're different charging structure. They'll charge the customer a fee upfront rather than we will charge them a fee only if	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all mutogether, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on — if you a a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, of the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA? A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee progress is or is not. It is saying that you will more or less successful in charging revenue to agents, however that revenue is comprised. Q. Yes. I want you to look at 6032. I haven't finish	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, s, ne with s in e be your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	their house. Q. But without having the traditional high street premises? A. They don't have an office on the high street, no. Q. So they have a peripatetic person or persons who can go round to do the valuing or let somebody in to show them round? A. In Leighton Buzzard we have 15 agents. One of those agents doesn't have a branch and he has been there for five or six years without a branch. Q. Why doesn't that business model pose a threat to the traditional Bricks and Mortar estate agent? A. Only in the same way that any new competitor coming into your space, poses a threat. Q. So it does pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. Q. So they do pose a threat? A. Any new competitor does. I'm saying the online agent is a bit of a fiction because they're not purely online. Q. I see. A. They are just a different model, they're different charging structure. They'll charge the customer a fee upfront rather than we will charge them a fee only if we're successful selling it. I don't see them as a big	all of the fees that the agents are paying to you dividing it by the number of agents and that g your average revenue per advertiser. Q. Yes, the average price you're charging per custor effect? A. Well, it is a bit more than that because it is not your fixed listings fees. Q. It is the other add-ons? A. It is all of the add-ons and other things all more together, divided by the number of agents. Q. You are quite right. So depending on if you and a portal and I'm a portal and we have different addifferent business services or different advertising then our ARPAs would be directly comparable, on the other, in terms of telling us what we are doing terms of the listing fee, which is only a part of the overall ARPA? A. Yes, so it is not telling you what the listing fee progress is or is not. It is saying that you will more or less successful in charging revenue to agents, however that revenue is comprised. Q. Yes. I want you to look at 6032. I haven't finish with this document yet but the same bundle, just a support of the same bundle, just a with this document yet but the same bundle, just a support of agents.	and ives you mer, in ot just ushed re d-ons, s, ne with s in e be your

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

1	this email in the run-up to this litigation, this case.	1	share gains. It doesn't say anything about which portal
2	Perhaps you haven't ever seen it before. I don't know.	2	or portals?
3	It is an email to somebody called Craig Whiley from	3	A. It does. "Switch from Zoopla".
4	Helen Whiteley. Do you see at the bottom of the page?	4	Q. "And crystallise share gains"?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes, "Switch from Zoopla".
6	Q. Have you seen this email before?	6	Q. Disinvest in Zoopla and become a member of this mutual
7	A. I have.	7	organisation
8	Q. As part of your homework for the case?	8	A. That is not what it says.
9	A. I have.	9	Q OnTheMarket?
10	Q. You are a diligent student, Mr Livesey, to have got to	10	A. And that's not what he said in the meeting and there
11	page 6032:	11	were two or three occasions in the meeting if you
12	"Hi Craig, we have managed to get a meeting with	12	read two or three of these slides together, you will see
13	Connells on 28th September [so this is looking forward	13	that they are, slide after slide, being critical of
14	to the Leighton Buzzard meetings] - it would be good to	14	Zoopla and there was a couple of times in the meeting
15	be able to understand the following information:	15	which Mr Springett and Mrs Whiteley will recall, when
16	"Our market share in general	16	I said "When I'm playing golf, Ian, I can't worry about
17	"How it alters with Connells added.	17	how the other guy plays. There's nothing I can do about
18	"How it alters with Countrywide and Connells.	18	that. All I can do is concentrate on playing my best
19	"How it alters with LSL plus Countrywide and	19	game, so can you stop, please, talking about your
20	Connells."	20	competitor in this round of golf and talk about what you
21	"In the end, we wouldn't want them to see all our	21	can do. What is it that makes OnTheMarket attractive,
22	data - but it might be useful to show how many areas we	22	useful, enhanced, different, what is it that you can
23	become number 2 or number 1 or within 20 per cent of	23	sell to me? Give me your best game and stop talking
24	number 2 in if	24	about your competitor." It is all in the presentation.
25	" (a) they drop Zoopla.	25	It was about: kill Zoopla, join us, sell your shares in
	Page 150		Page 152
		l .	
1	" (b) they drop Rightmove.	1	Zoopla. We'll find an equitable way of making right any
1 2	"(b) they drop Rightmove. "If at all possible, it would be good if we could	1 2	Zoopla. We'll find an equitable way of making right any damage.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	l .	
2	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could	2	damage.
2 3	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that	2 3	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing
2 3 4	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?"	2 3 4	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about
2 3 4 5	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual	2 3 4 5	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing
2 3 4 5 6	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an	2 3 4 5 6	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a
2 3 4 5 6 7	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is	2 3 4 5 6 7	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which really does say it all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which really does say it all. Q. Really?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest, Mr Livesey, it's exactly what he did:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which really does say it all. Q. Really? A. "Switch from Zoopla and crystallise Zoopla share gains	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest, Mr Livesey, it's exactly what he did: "I understood this to mean that the Agents' Mutual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which really does say it all. Q. Really? A. "Switch from Zoopla and crystallise Zoopla share gains now."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest, Mr Livesey, it's exactly what he did: "I understood this to mean that the Agents' Mutual pitch to agents was that in complying with the OOP rule,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which really does say it all. Q. Really? A. "Switch from Zoopla and crystallise Zoopla share gains now." Q. That is just pointing out that if Connells were to disinvest in Zoopla, it would be able to crystallise its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest, Mr Livesey, it's exactly what he did: "I understood this to mean that the Agents' Mutual pitch to agents was that in complying with the OOP rule, they should leave Zoopla." But that understanding was wrong, Mr Livesey. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"If at all possible, it would be good if we could have the information by 16 September. Is that possible?" A. This is an email from Helen Whiteley in Agents' Mutual to Craig Whiley in Agents' Mutual, who I believe is an ex-employee of one of the other two portals and he is being asked to give confidential information from his previous employer. Q. You may or may not be right about that, Mr Livesey, but I don't care. What I am interested in is that Ms Whiteley is asking for information about what the position might be with X added or X and Y or X and Y and Z, if they drop Zoopla or if they drop Rightmove. So that is not consistent, is it, not consistent with the Leighton Buzzard pitch being that Zoopla need to be dropped, is it? A. Well, I'll take you to the presentation, if I can take you back to page 6256 which is his final slide. Which really does say it all. Q. Really? A. "Switch from Zoopla and crystallise Zoopla share gains now." Q. That is just pointing out that if Connells were to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	damage. Q. There is nothing in the presentation about killing Zoopla. There is nothing in the presentation about choosing Rightmove as the other portal or choosing Zoopla as the other portal. It is simply setting out a commercial strategy whereby OnTheMarket can grow to become, first of all, the number 2 portal and then set its sights on the number 1 portal. That is all it's doing? A. I don't agree and that certainly was not the impression that was given at the meeting. Q. Now, what you say in your witness statement about it at your paragraph 41 of your first statement, page 36, you refer to the PowerPoint slides. Those are the ones we have just been looking at; right?: "He sought to persuade us of the benefits of Connells becoming a member of Agents' Mutual and how it would overtake Zoopla as the number 2 portal." Pausing there, that is dead right, I suggest, Mr Livesey, it's exactly what he did: "I understood this to mean that the Agents' Mutual pitch to agents was that in complying with the OOP rule, they should leave Zoopla."

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

1	has got nothing to do with Agents' Mutual's pitch to	1	did say that if we were to leave Zoopla, sign a listing
2	agents, this is a specific pitch to Connells which winds	2	agreement with OnTheMarket, join as members, they would
3	up with the suggestion that Connells might want to think	3	put together an equity proposition that would compensate
4	about disinvesting in Zoopla, crystallising its share	4	us and then some, for the damage to the Zoopla shares
5	views, which as you explained earlier, were very	5	that we owned at that time.
6	considerable and joining OnTheMarket.	6	Q. Where is that in the presentation?
7	A. No, the conversation at the presentation was all just	7	A. It is not in the presentation.
8	focused on Zoopla and I am trying to find the slide	8	Q. It is not in the presentation?
9	where he shows how much of a dent they had taken out of	9	A. I'm telling you that is what he said to us in the
10	Zoopla at the time.	10	meeting, with this presentation in the background.
11	Q. Let me move on, Mr Livesey.	11	Q. I don't agree with that. I do agree there was some
12	A. Well	12	discussion about that topic at the four way meeting but
13	MR HARRIS: Excuse me, the witness is trying to find a slide	13	I don't agree there was a discussion about that here?
14	to make his point. He ought to be allowed to have that	14	A. You don't?
15	opportunity, please.	15	Q. No.
16	MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris has the opportunity to re-examine.	16	A. In which case, in the four way meeting you'll see that
17	THE CHAIRMAN: I think I agree. Mr Maclean, let's give him a	17	Mr Springett
18	moment. If he has something in mind, dig it out not	18	Q. I am coming to the let me stop you there. I am
19	too long. I'm aware the re-examination should also	19	coming to the four way meeting and the reason I don't
20	A. It is on page 6249.	20	want to go to the four way meeting is that the four way
21	MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, Mr Livesey.	21	meeting, as you'll appreciate, was a meeting involving
22	A. Page 6249. One of the slides. This is the one that	22	four different entities, two of which are not here,
23	stuck in my mind where, again, it's all about: how do we	23	which was treated by all the parties as a confidential
24	beat Zoopla? How do we make Zoopla virtually disappear?	24	meeting and it would be inappropriate for the four way
25	And he was talking to us about how successful	25	meeting to be explored in open session, in my
	Page 154		Page 156
1	OnTheMarket had been at winning agents away from Zoonla	1	submission. Not least in fairness to the two
1	On The Market had been at winning agents away from Zoopla.	1	submission. Not least in fairness to the two
2	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all	2	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't
2	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla.	2 3	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four
2 3 4	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer	2 3 4	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of
2 3 4 5	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in
2 3 4 5 6	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm.	2 3 4 5 6	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that	2 3 4 5 6 7	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming from Zoopla and were not allowed to list with Zoopla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming from Zoopla and were not allowed to list with Zoopla. By inference, the more successful OnTheMarket would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open court. MR MACLEAN: If you don't mind, Mr Livesey, can we park the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming from Zoopla and were not allowed to list with Zoopla. By inference, the more successful OnTheMarket would become, the more badly damaged Zoopla would become. Can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open court. MR MACLEAN: If you don't mind, Mr Livesey, can we park the four way meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming from Zoopla and were not allowed to list with Zoopla. By inference, the more successful OnTheMarket would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open court. MR MACLEAN: If you don't mind, Mr Livesey, can we park the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Nine out of ten of the agents who joined them had all left Zoopla. He wanted us to leave Zoopla. Q. Mr Livesey, first of all, this page 6249 seems to refer to a five year strategy. Do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. How is this slide consistent with the suggestion that OnTheMarket had promised or threatened to kill off Zoopla within one year of launch? A. That is a very different proposition. Q. The answer is, it is not consistent, isn't it? A. This was what he was presenting to us well after the launch. Q. This is a five year strategy which shows Zoopla still in the market, doesn't it? Nothing to do with killing them off, nothing to do with replacing being killing, is it? A. They are just there. Q. There are a lot more there than OnTheMarket were when they started off? A. Of the 6,000 or whatever the number is, agents that joined on the market, 90 per cent of them were coming from Zoopla and were not allowed to list with Zoopla. By inference, the more successful OnTheMarket would become, the more badly damaged Zoopla would become. Can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	representatives who aren't here. So that is why I don't want Mr Livesey to go too far down the road of the four way meeting now and you will have seen that the note of the four way meeting is quite appropriately treated in the bundle as being a confidential document? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see Mr Harris has risen. MR HARRIS: Thank you. We don't accept that. This will be an issue when we turn to the notes and, of course, what Mr Livesey was trying to do in his answer was tell him about Mr Livesey's perception of the four way meeting which is plainly not confidential. In any event, when we get to those notes, there will have to be a decision by the Tribunal as to whether or not they are confidential. We don't accept it at all. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. For present purposes, Mr Maclean, I suggest you proceed in stages. MR MACLEAN: I want to come to the four way meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: Come to the four way meeting after we have had argument about what is and what is not confidential and what should and shouldn't be dealt with in open court. MR MACLEAN: If you don't mind, Mr Livesey, can we park the four way meeting.

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

1	to shut out any questions. It is simply that counsel is	1	which I think is quite important.
2	taking his questions in order and we'll get to it.	2	Q. I can see that. That is a different point. You had
3	A. Thank you, sir.	3	spoken to Mr Embley of LSL about having a joint meeting,
4	MR MACLEAN: I promise we'll get to it.	4	hadn't you?
5	Let's go then to your paragraph 44, bundle D3/44.	5	A. No, I had no conversation with Simon Embley.
6	So we have had the meeting on 28 September and	6	Q. You see, if you go to page 6486, Mr Springett on the
7	Mr Springett sent an email following that meeting to	7	same day, 21-minutes after the email we have just looked
8	Mr Milsom. So again, nothing to do with you, you	8	at, to Mr Smith at Spicerhaart, he says:
9	comment on it. H11/6125. You see that, paragraph 43?	9	"I think anything which encourages Simon to think we
10	A. Where are we, sir?	10	are going to get to the tipping point and knock Z over
11	Q. Paragraph 43 and 44 of your first statement, bundle D3,	11	would be helpful - might just be in conversation if you
12	page 37. You refer to an email sent by Mr Springett to	12	are in his company at any point. I would think he is
13	Mr Milsom, after the Leighton Buzzard meeting and you	13	hedging his bets
14	say:	14	"Looking forward to catching up next Tuesday."
15	"This email accurately records the fact that Mr	15	Do you see that?
16	Springett raised the idea of each of Connells, LSL and	16	A. Yes.
17	Countrywide all joining Agents' Mutual, in response to	17	Q. And then you exchanged emails. It went a bit quiet for
18	which I stated that Connells would be happy to attend	18	a bit, didn't it, and you exchanged emails with
19	any joint meeting that Mr Springett could organise."	19	Mr Springett on 16 February. We need to go to the next
20	Now, I just want to have a look at some of these	20	bundle, H 13. At 7370. On 16 December there is that
21	emails. Would you take bundle H12. Every time	21	same email we have just looked at, at the bottom of the
22	confidential for Mr Livesey. If you turn to 6489. I am	22	page, about Monica having the diary, do you see that,
23	sure you have seen this in your homework, Mr Livesey.	23	and need to chat about Gascoigne Halman?
		1	_
24	Look at the email at the bottom of the page,	24	A. Yes.
25	Mr Springett to you, on 15 September. Do you see that?	25	Q. And at the top of the page:
	Page 158		Page 160
1	A. I do	1	"Ed Mead mentioned that he chatted with you earlier
1	A. I do.	1 2	"Ed Mead mentioned that he chatted with you earlier
2	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard	2	this week and prompted me to get in touch."
2 3	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg].	2 3	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he?
2 3 4	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we	2 3 4	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was.
2 3 4 5	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities	2 3 4 5	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it.	2 3 4 5 6	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL"	2 3 4 5 6 7	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply: "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply: "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from each of the 3, I can be alone or with David Plumtree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and responsive if you wanted a one to two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply: "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from each of the 3, I can be alone or with David Plumtree and/or Richard Twigg.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and responsive if you wanted a one to two. "If you want LSL at the party, I will be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply: "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from each of the 3, I can be alone or with David Plumtree and/or Richard Twigg. And you had spoken to Mr Embley about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and responsive if you wanted a one to two. "If you want LSL at the party, I will be able to make this happen quickly too."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply: "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from each of the 3, I can be alone or with David Plumtree and/or Richard Twigg.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and responsive if you wanted a one to two. "If you want LSL at the party, I will be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. "It was very good to meet you, David and Richard recently [that is you and Mr Plumtree and Mr Twigg]. Helen and I were grateful for the frank discussion we had about the portals market and potential opportunities for us to work with and for you to develop it. "We have now met with Countrywide and LSL" In fact, you met separately with Countrywide and LSL but that doesn't matter; all right? He had met with each of them and with you at about the same time? A. Yes, it does become very relevant that he met with each of us individually, before the joint meting. Q. He had met with each of you individually: " and believe there would be value in further discussions. We would certainly like the opportunity to put a concrete financial proposal to those interested in supporting us now. "When you are ready, please let me know and we can fix a time to meet up again." You reply: "Depending on who you would like at the meeting from each of the 3, I can be alone or with David Plumtree and/or Richard Twigg. And you had spoken to Mr Embley about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this week and prompted me to get in touch." Mr Mead was a director of Agents' Mutual, wasn't he? A. He was. Q. And you and he had met, I think at a shoot, hadn't you? A. Yes. Q. And you had had a discussion about the fact that you were keen to have a meeting involving LSL and Countrywide and Connells and Mr Springett? A. No, no conversation at all. Q. And that's what prompted Mr Springett to send this email because he says: "Following our last contact, I am afraid I got no response from Ian Crabb at LSL to the suggestion of a meeting with yourselves and Countrywide. I remain keen to pursue discussions, as I am sure there's considerable common purpose and that we could construct terms which would be attractive to you." And then you then replied: "Happy to meet one-to-one initially Ian, and I am sure that CW [that is Countrywide] would be quick and responsive if you wanted a one to two. "If you want LSL at the party, I will be able to make this happen quickly too."

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

1	"I think your original preference 1 to 3 and that	1	you say:
2	would make complete sense. Should I write to Alison	2	"Suggest you email both Adrian Gill and Ian Crabb,
3	[that is Alison Platt] and Ian [that's Ian Crabb] to	3	and if they want a chat with me first to give me
4	propose it, saying we have spoken?"	4	a shout."
5	And you reply:	5	And you proposed that there be a private discussion
6	"Yes, good way forward."	6	between you, Mr Crabb and Miss Platt, before
7	So the key catalyst for the four way meeting was	7	Mr Springett joined the four way meeting, didn't you?
8	you, Mr Livesey?	8	
9	A. That's completely incorrect.	9	A. Yes, I did. I thought it would be quite useful for the three of us to talk about where we had got to so far,
10	Q. But it is completely clear from these emails?	10	before he joined us up until now. It didn't happen that
11	A. Well it's not. I say I'm prepared to meet one-to-one,	11	•
12		12	way. Q. So you and your two major competitors, Countrywide and
13	one to two, one to three. Q. But Mr Springett says your original preference was one	13	LSL, were sitting down to have a private chat. That was
14	to three. That would make complete sense. And you	14	the plan before this four way meeting in January with
15	agree, you don't	15	Mr Springett?
16	A. It wasn't my original preference. So going back to the	16	
17		17	A. Each having had individual discussions with him and so
18	meeting at Leighton Buzzard, when I objected to the One	18	him having approached each of the three of us
19	Other Portal rule and I made it completely clear that we	19	independently and then wanting to get all three of us
20	supported the overall objective of OnThe Market and we	20	together. Q. I'm just at the four way meeting. We obviously need to
21	would be happy to support it but not with the One Other Portal rule and I made that so clear. He then said the	21	tackle the point Mr Harris raised but my final question
22	only way we could consider dropping the One Other Portal	22	for the afternoon, Mr Livesey, is this, that whatever
23		23	•
24	rule is if all three corporates joined. He says that	24	happened or didn't happen at the four way meeting, one thing I think everyone is agreed about is that no
25	elsewhere, in some internal communications as well. He says something along the lines of, that's because I told	25	consensus or agreement was reached at the four way
23	says sometiming along the lines of, that's because I told	23	consensus of agreement was reached at the four way
	Page 162		Page 164
1	him that the only way we could drop the One Other Portal	1	meeting, was it, between you on the one hand and
2	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him	2	Mr Springett on the other?
2 3	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and	2 3	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement.
2 3 4	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was	2 3 4	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way	2 3 4 5	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient
2 3 4 5 6	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either.	2 3 4 5 6	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment?
2 3 4 5 6 7	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to proceed - any thoughts?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which Mr Springett, on his evidence I am not sure whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to proceed - any thoughts?" 7368:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which Mr Springett, on his evidence I am not sure whether this has been tested, I don't think so. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to proceed - any thoughts?" 7368: "I am sure Alison will be happy to meet. Did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which Mr Springett, on his evidence I am not sure whether this has been tested, I don't think so. This is a document which he had presented or had with him at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to proceed - any thoughts?" 7368: "I am sure Alison will be happy to meet. Did you get anywhere with LSL?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which Mr Springett, on his evidence I am not sure whether this has been tested, I don't think so. This is a document which he had presented or had with him at the meeting and 7737, Mr Springett explains is his own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to proceed - any thoughts?" 7368: "I am sure Alison will be happy to meet. Did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which Mr Springett, on his evidence I am not sure whether this has been tested, I don't think so. This is a document which he had presented or had with him at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rule was if all three corporates joined. So I took him at his word and when he talked about next steps and whether it should be one-to-one meetings which he was already in the process of setting up, or a three way meeting, I said I would be quite relaxed about either. Was I involved in actually chivvying some of the people along to get them to that meeting? Yes, I was. It was his original suggestion though. Q. Just finally, I see the time, sir, bundle 13 at 7536. We know that the four way meeting was whoever organised it, I suggest you were the catalyst for the four way meeting. You disagree with that but that's my suggestion to you. But we know that the four way meeting was arranged and did take place in January 2016? A. Correct. Q. Just before we get to the meeting, on 17 December, you suggested at 7369 Alison Platt, there was no reply. 7368, one page back from where we were. Yes: "No reply from Alison Platt as yet. Not sure how to proceed - any thoughts?" 7368: "I am sure Alison will be happy to meet. Did you get anywhere with LSL?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr Springett on the other? A. There was a complete disagreement. Q. Yes. MR MACLEAN: On that happy note, sir, is that a convenient moment? THE CHAIRMAN: On that note of agreement. Mr Maclean, remind me where I find the confidential notes for the four way meeting? MR MACLEAN: Yes, you will find the note of the four way meeting MR HARRIS: It is bundle 14/7734. MR MACLEAN: I should know that. Mine has already fallen out of the bundle. MR HARRIS: Bundle 14/7734 and 7735. MR MACLEAN: And the document you will see, sir, from 7734, it is common ground that it is Mr Springett's note of the meeting and you can see that it is obviously written up after the meeting because it is all in the past tense and the document at 7736 is the document which Mr Springett, on his evidence I am not sure whether this has been tested, I don't think so. This is a document which he had presented or had with him at the meeting and 7737, Mr Springett explains is his own

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

6 February 2017

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Just to be clear, your issue about the	1 day, but I don't think we're in trouble.
2	confidence here is less that it is Mr Springett's	2 THE CHAIRMAN: I am grateful. I should be clear, no
3	confidence but it is third party confidence?	3 criticism was intended of you. It is entirely down to
4	MR MACLEAN: It is both. It is Mr Springett's confidence	4 the Tribunal. So we'll see how we go tomorrow but 10.30
5	when there are some questions discussed of the strategic	5 start. We'll shave time off where necessary.
6	direction that OnTheMarket may or may not take which is	6 MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful, sir.
7	not publicly available, which is sensitive and	7 (3.05 pm)
8	confidential. The whole thing is treated as a sensitive	8 (The court adjourned until the following day at 10.30 am)
9	and confidential meeting by all parties and therefore my	9
10	second concern is the position of Miss Platt and	10
11	Mr Crabb and their respective instance. And the final	11
12	point is, of course, is that it is common ground,	12
13	Mr Livesey accepts, that this note is a fair reflection	13
14	of the meeting. For my part, I don't think it is going	14
15	to be necessary to explore in great detail, questions	15
16	that go beyond those two pages of text, but those two	16
17	pages of text and the contents of them are sensitive and	17
18	confidential.	18
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Right.	19
20	MR HARRIS: Just so we set this up, perhaps, for first thing	20
21	in this morning, our position is it is not confidential.	21
22	It has never been confidential, it was never expressed	22
23	to be confidential and we would be wishing for this to	23
24	be available on a non-confidential basis. Whilst I am	24
25	at it, can you see that on the first of the two pages,	25
23	at it, can you see that on the first of the two pages,	23
	Page 166	Page 168
1	there is a bizarre redaction for supposed irrelevance,	1 INDEX
2	even from Mr Livesey, who was in the meeting. So we	2 MISS GLYNIS FREW (sworn)1
3	would like that to be revealed, so if a copy of that	3 Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS1
4	information could be provided in the morning as well.	4 Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN2
5	MR MACLEAN: I am sure we'll address that second point	5 Re-examination by MR HARRIS52
6	the first point.	6 MR DAVID CHRISTOPHER LIVESEY59 (affirmed)
7	THE CHAIRMAN: The battle lines are drawn. I see, and it is	7
8	entirely the Tribunal's fault, that you are a little bit	Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS59
9	behind, Mr Maclean. Would it assist if we started early	8
10	tomorrow or are you happy with 10.30?	Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN61
11	MR MACLEAN: You said we were behind.	9
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Only because of	10
13	MR MACLEAN: I am not behind. It is just that we are	11
14	finishing early, that's all.	12 13
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is why I said it was our fault,	13
16	Mr Maclean. I am simply raising with you what you	15
17	raised with us, whether an early start for tomorrow.	16
18	MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful.	17
19	MR FREEMAN: Perhaps you could give us your alternative	18
20	facts.	19
21	MR MACLEAN: We think we are okay. With the Tribunal's	20
22	indulgence, if that turns out not to be right, never	21
23	trust counsel's time estimate, we can perhaps shave	22 23
24	15 minutes in the middle of the day. Perhaps, if	24
25	I crave the indulgence, if necessary, at the end of the	25
	Page 167	Page 169

Page	170

A	achieved 90:11	Adrian 164:2	79:25 80:1 82:5,8	agents' 1:12 13:13
$\frac{1}{\grave{\mathbf{a}}} 90:22$	acquired 7:8 67:17	advance 138:11	95:4,14,19 100:3	13:18 23:22 33:10
a 90.22 ability 49:9 51:19	74:21 77:13 83:9	advantage 86:25	103:19 105:2	35:7 36:11 39:16
114:2 119:10,17	109:6 141:24	101:18	108:11,16 112:15	39:24 40:2,4
able 25:14 26:14	142:1	advertise 26:24	117:14,19 118:3	41:18 44:13 48:25
	acquiring 54:6	27:1 46:12 70:3,5	123:15 126:4	49:2,17 50:13,17
53:3 71:5 79:7,23	107:3 141:22	78:16 92:23 98:9	130:12 145:20,23	52:1 53:7 69:25
82:15,16,17 89:4	acquisition 7:20	98:11 125:20	145:25 146:11,13	70:5,20 75:20,23
89:7,8 128:7,18	8:4 98:20 111:17	advertised 46:10	146:25 147:12,18	75:24 76:2 117:15
150:15 151:25	141:7,19 142:10	46:12 107:20	148:20,23	117:20 118:4,16
161:23	acquisitions 142:7	advertiser 148:17	agent's 22:4 26:5	123:6,25 129:7
Abrahmsohn 135:7	acronym 11:8	149:4	52:11 53:3 94:2	130:11 132:2,6,7
137:12 138:13	act 127:24 146:17	advertising 7:19	95:17	130:11 132:2,0,7
139:3,8	146:25	16:9,9,17 69:23	agents 3:19,24 4:14	142:17 143:3
Abrahmson 134:13		92:12 93:9,12,15	11:10,15,23,24,25	
absolute 105:10	acting 5:16 10:21	, ,	, , , ,	151:5,6 153:18,22
134:1	23:9 59:7	93:16 95:24,25	20:7,15,16,24	154:1 158:17
absolutely 5:21	action 49:19 126:21	97:25 98:8,16	21:21 22:7,18,19	161:3
6:12 14:23 17:5	126:23 127:4,7	99:13 146:6,21,22	23:4,5,17,23 24:1	aggressive 41:9
26:2 30:9 41:6,21	active 61:4 106:10	148:1 149:14	29:24 30:25 31:5	agile 92:11
45:21 46:9,18	107:1,2	advice 83:18 139:2	32:18 33:22 40:4	ago 8:6 10:20 22:17
74:7 111:23	acute 79:22	advised 69:23	40:7 43:15,16	43:1 54:18 83:24
133:25 134:10	add 19:23 87:22	advisers 142:9	44:14,23 46:24	84:5 105:25
140:9	90:25 110:4 112:4	advocacy 139:7	47:7,14,14,17,19	112:23
Acacia 106:6,6	155:25	affect 56:1,6	48:15,19,20 49:1	agree 3:11 20:12,22
107:19	add-ons 98:18	affirmed 60:4	49:3 50:6,14,18	22:15 23:13,17
academic 9:1	126:1 149:9,10,13	169:6	51:16 52:11 53:7	24:21 28:14,20
123:17	added 150:17	afford 98:13	54:14 55:4,24	30:8,11,13,19
accept 34:12,18	151:13	afforded 48:16	57:10 58:12 62:10	31:4,24 45:19,21
65:5 91:16,17	addition 70:5 112:5	afraid 161:13	62:10,12,12 70:3	47:15 73:1 75:19
134:5,8 157:8,15	additional 98:22	afternoon 62:7	77:23 79:15,20	75:21,22 76:1
accepted 24:9 51:2	99:13 103:19	164:22	80:13,21 81:1	89:10 93:14 96:19
68:20 120:14	112:6	agencies 20:25 21:1	92:22 93:6,11,19	115:16,20,23
127:9	address 34:6 167:5	23:14 113:19	97:24 98:1,4,18	124:24 129:3,5
accepting 79:17	adequately 51:19	agency 59:5 67:3	98:21,22,23 99:24	136:1 145:21
accepts 166:13	adhere 121:9	69:15 89:21 99:4	100:1 103:10,15	153:11 154:17
access 16:15	adjourned 168:8	agenda 165:25	107:4 108:8	156:11,11,13
accord 97:18	adjournment	agent 16:6,13 20:12	112:24 115:9	162:15
accords 103:2	109:20 110:24	20:17 21:3,4,7	117:12,16 118:16	agreed 53:24 78:16
account 60:17	adjusting 74:23	23:9,20 24:15	121:11,13,21	79:4 89:9 112:21
61:14 102:9 133:9	adopt 73:10 75:1	25:22 26:7,20,21	122:2,23 123:21	114:8,9 164:24
accurate 3:1 60:17	75:16 89:24	26:21 29:5,6,7	129:7 130:10	agreement 7:6 8:2
61:14 62:2 113:22	adopted 115:6	30:5 31:15,20	142:18 146:4,18	8:3,8 15:19 38:3
accurately 158:15	118:16	32:2,5 40:5 49:5	147:8,9 149:2,3	70:11 71:15 91:20
achieve 37:6 81:3	adopting 75:2	52:3 56:17 57:5	149:11,22 153:23	112:2 113:3,18
95:22 96:1	130:24	59:1,14 78:22,23	154:2 155:1,2,20	130:15 156:2
75.22 70.1			, , ,	
	•	•	•	•
DTI		www.DTIGlobal.com	8t	h Floor 165 Fleet Stree

164:25 165:7	announced 83:14	156:21	association 11:13	available 26:10
agreements 4:14	83:14	approach 73:11,17	33:13,25	48:7,8,10 101:18
ahead 72:4 100:15	announcing 84:20	75:8 99:6	assume 17:14 62:18	123:14 124:15
aide-memoire	annual 4:1,8,10,17	approached 73:18	71:6,15 89:10	130:2,3 166:7,24
165:25	15:21	122:4 164:17	101:2	Avenue 106:6,7
ALAN 1:17	answer 5:19 6:7,14	appropriately	assuming 125:24	107:19
Albion 143:8	6:16 17:25 20:20	157:5	126:2	average 96:25 97:4
Alex 85:3 92:10	22:17 23:10 28:3	April 13:10	assumption 72:2	148:16 149:4,5
Alison 162:2,3	28:4,18 49:25	area 52:5,24	assurance 120:2	aware 13:15 19:11
163:18,20,23,25	52:16 56:8 57:17	102:12 103:10	attached 21:20	57:21 132:11
allegiance 70:20	58:8,14 68:5	106:12 107:25	70:10	133:1 141:16
Alliance 77:24	109:24 117:16	areas 17:20 102:1	attacking 124:2	154:19
Alliance)that	155:11 157:10	150:22	attempting 123:6	awareness 50:12
113:20	answered 28:19	argue 65:20 101:15	139:11	
allowed 65:18	63:12	123:24	attend 158:18	B
113:9 154:14	answers 56:24	argument 135:18	attended 12:18	b 63:24 151:1
155:22	111:1	136:24 138:10	13:1 33:10 35:5	back 2:21 12:4
allows 32:19 103:9	anti-competitive	157:20	35:13 40:3 41:14	17:20 21:24,25
alright 21:23 77:18	127:24 130:24	ARLA 11:14 33:22	attention 126:13	27:21 34:19 36:22
alter 21:11	anybody 17:6	33:25	132:13 134:8	43:18,18 44:7
alternative 124:18	26:24 41:18	ARPA 148:16,16	attitude 75:17	46:3 48:8 52:10
148:10 167:19	119:17 120:5	148:19,20 149:18	attract 49:3 129:24	53:5 54:17,18,22
alters 150:17,18,19	134:8	ARPAs 149:15	143:3	56:1 57:17 70:23
altogether 38:1	anyway 87:12	arranged 163:15	attracted 49:5	71:1 72:12 73:20
ambition 120:8	96:12,20 123:18	arrangement 47:12	attractive 25:16	77:20 92:9,20
ambitious 120:9	apart 127:14	47:13 68:4 73:14	26:13 49:12 89:6	93:25 109:20
amount 43:13	apparent 113:17	112:20 113:23	102:15 130:19	122:2,20 134:15
46:11,24 48:21	Appeal 1:1,3	114:8,17 116:15	131:2,4,6,8	138:13 142:3
56:5 68:15,17,18	appealed 41:12	arrangements 49:4	152:21 161:18	148:2,7 149:24
101:2	appear 37:9,9	60:23 92:25 113:1	attracts 50:6	151:19 162:16
amounted 76:8	102:14	arrival 13:24	auction 25:2,2 46:5	163:19
analogy 116:19	appeared 1:17,19	Arsenal 87:21	46:5	background
140:6	134:21	article 126:18	audience 20:19	156:10
analysis 6:19 44:16	applied 79:13	aside 92:9	25:8 28:2 86:12	backing 146:11
44:18 79:18	applies 78:21 140:5	asked 14:7 49:24	87:11 88:8,12,14	badly 155:24
102:10 105:15	apply 67:16 68:7	53:16 54:8 55:10	88:14 89:14,20	Bailey 32:22 33:4
analyst's 104:9	140:6	57:15 122:4 151:8	90:5,22,23 94:19	Bairstow 19:24
analysts 108:20	appointed 84:14,24	asking 35:24,25	94:20 95:1 96:14	balances 74:25
and/or 37:7,11,20	86:17,18	43:7,8 73:18	143:3	ball 72:15
37:20 56:19 58:15	appraisal 28:14,20	116:24 151:12	audiences 95:12	bands 125:24
159:23	28:24 29:9,25,25	aspects 75:17	96:21	bang 25:11
Andy 140:21	30:6,17 32:5	assertion 123:13	August 86:21,22	bargain 73:25
anecdotal 57:9	appraisals 38:18	assist 110:17,19	90:12	Baroness 146:10
101:17	appreciate 25:7	167:9	automatically	barrister 9:7,8
anecdotally 102:19	28:6 54:18 91:5,6	associated 72:6,9	138:7	barristering 108:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 1/2
base 24:25	55:14 69:9 73:1	04.14 24 05.7 7	broadcast 119:14	108:19 114:22,25
based 22:7,18,19	95:22 98:19	84:14,24 85:7,7 85:17 86:1,17,19	120:3 130:5	117:9 118:17
23:10 32:21 46:5	140:20 147:1	86:23 91:11,15,16	broaden 98:3	130:11 137:3
58:11 112:8	152:18,23	97:11,19 98:12	broken 121:10	141:24 142:1,8
118:17 122:19	bets 160:13	,		143:17 147:11
		139:22,24 Bolton 99:25 100:1	Bromley 104:1 Bromwich 143:8	
basically 13:11	better 20:7 25:4,5 46:7 49:18 93:5			148:21,22,24
72:13		booked 84:3,6	brought 123:1 133:11	149:14
basis 11:23,24	104:22,23 111:10	boosting 101:25 boss 12:15		businesses 48:12
38:13 47:12,14	111:14 119:9		browsing 104:17	67:17 79:16
62:13 65:10 85:12	139:3,8,9 140:7	bottom 12:12 22:10	106:1	button 108:9
86:7 96:12 102:22	beyond 65:3 166:16	70:1 88:9 102:7	budget 50:24	buy 26:4,7 38:18
106:3 116:4	big 39:5 76:17	103:5 115:2	build 41:3 52:16	98:13,24 104:16
166:24	79:23 93:18 96:13	125:12,16 150:4	98:5,7 135:17	106:7 107:11
bated 102:4	122:25 147:24	158:24 160:21	136:24 148:9	125:25
battle 167:7	bigger 39:4 88:13	bought 7:5 70:14	builders 98:6	buyer 29:15 106:10
BB3 107:23	125:11	75:25	Building 77:5,7	107:1
bear 137:15	biggest 82:4,6 96:2	brackets 111:12	built 50:10 52:23	buyers 16:7,15
beat 154:24	140:20	branch 78:24 79:13	106:24	21:9,13 25:23
becoming 13:11,17	billion 84:13	79:25 96:25 97:4	bullet 22:11 97:15	46:6 59:6 106:3,4
37:10 55:11 70:22	143:25	97:6 112:4 121:8	bunch 77:22	125:18
120:15 153:18	Biscuits 8:25	147:9,10	bundle 2:10 12:3	buying 53:18 59:12
began 8:14 82:20	bit 10:11 22:13,14	branches 7:2,4,5	21:16 22:22 29:17	83:25 106:13
beginning 15:1	42:13 56:21 64:21	8:1,2 18:15,23	60:7 61:6,18 64:7	Buzzard 73:22
22:24 44:12 53:17	75:2,3,4,13 90:9	19:2,3,11,14,18	69:11 84:15 86:15	144:9,10 147:8
111:7 115:1 118:1	95:1,2 96:20	19:19,20,24 43:12	91:3 100:4 111:5	150:14 151:16
120:21 131:14	119:7 126:8	44:22 54:4 57:22	114:21 117:25	158:13 162:17
135:3 145:8	135:11,14 137:6	57:22 58:15,16	144:16,17 149:24	
behalf 1:17,19 4:25	143:1 147:19	76:15 77:12,14,16	157:6 158:5,11,21	
13:3 41:18	149:7,25 160:17	79:2,14 112:3,5	160:20 163:10	calculation 71:24
behaviour 93:23	160:18 167:8	brand 15:23,24	165:12,14,15	71:25
94:7 107:18	bite 142:3	50:12 126:12	bundles 2:10 36:18	call 2:4 25:1 60:3
beholden 3:24 44:9	bits 16:21	brands 15:15 16:3	144:17	75:6 106:15 110:5
believe 27:25 44:13	bizarre 167:1	71:12	business 9:4 10:7,8	114:18
47:5,7 49:1 50:15	black 93:20	breach 121:14,16	10:9,11,18,19	called 6:20,23
53:2 82:14 111:8	blah 134:25,25	break 53:10,12,13	17:19 18:6,9,10	32:10 48:9 76:19
111:10 117:14,19	blandishments	59:25 109:22	27:16,17,21 29:10	78:12 85:16 125:1
118:3,7 129:22	17:2,8,18 18:2	110:21	31:15 41:3 45:1,5	126:14 131:17
141:20,25 142:13	block 127:18	breath 102:4	46:13 51:21,22,25	146:22 148:16
143:4 151:6	blockade 146:5	BRIAN 1:9	52:5,16 56:2,3	150:3
159:14	blocked 148:1	Bricks 143:22	65:1,16 67:12	calling 102:14
believed 37:21 40:4	Bloomsbury 1:4	145:19,25 146:13	74:7,21 75:12,16	calls 96:18 123:10
Believing 142:3	blush 96:19	146:19,23 147:12	80:7 83:11 84:12	canvassing 47:1
benefit 81:5	BNP 83:17 100:9	bridge 122:22	86:7 87:12,16	58:5
benefits 153:17	board 8:24 27:10	briefing 21:21	89:7 92:12 97:25	capital 143:20
best 22:10 54:17	48:19 69:16 74:5	bring 72:7 126:4,5	98:17,24 99:10	capitalised 84:12

				Page 1/3
143:24	75:14 126:1	checks 74:24	140:10 144:18	105:5 106:9
capitalist 143:20	certainly 12:24	cherries 136:22	157:25 162:10,18	coming 2:8 3:15,21
care 16:14 25:25	15:17 17:12 93:15	cherrypicked	162:21 166:1	10:15 15:20 17:23
59:15 62:20	96:23 124:19	135:11,13	168:2	40:17 41:2 44:24
151:11	141:23 147:25	Chesterman 85:3	clearly 54:13 65:10	71:9 75:15 87:12
carefully 128:11	153:11 159:15	85:12,22 92:11	client 48:10 69:22	104:12,13,18
carried 42:16	cetera 15:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	73:24 74:6 95:20	147:13 155:21
	chain 137:5	94:4,15 97:9,12 120:8 123:10	101:21 124:4	
carry 18:13 121:3 139:9	chairman 7:22 9:11	140:16		156:18,19
carve 71:17	14:20 43:25 44:4	Chesterman's	134:8 143:10 client's 99:9	commence 69:23 commenced 60:25
carve-out 109:15	53:13 56:15,23	91:22	clients 21:22 50:23	comment 43:16
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
case 1:1 5:7 6:10	57:2,10,13 59:17 59:22 67:1 69:18	chief 32:11 66:7,11 67:2 91:12	118:11 128:4 134:5	62:22 80:6 105:17 158:9
17:17 18:9,9 63:8 63:15 64:14 65:17	90:3,6,8 105:25			
65:20 69:5 70:22	, ,	chimes 141:1	clients' 92:23 126:13	commentary 63:7 63:15,23 64:8,11
103:15 121:15	106:11 107:2,6,8 108:3 109:1,5,11	chivvying 163:7 choice 112:15	close 111:2	64:14 65:6
	108.3 109.1,3,11	123:21 139:18		commented 83:17
150:1,8 156:16 cash 82:13	110:15,17,21		closely 99:4 closer 101:19	comments 120:25
cast 22:5,9,12	110.13,17,21	choose 23:6,16 37:19 38:5 40:7	closest 41:7	comments 120.23
131:20		70:4 123:22 129:8	clutter 99:12 100:7	117:7 119:9 143:7
	157:7,16,19,25	139:14	codes 102:19	145:19 146:1
castigating 143:10	165:7 166:1,19			153:7
catalyst 162:7 163:12	167:7,12,15 168:2	choosing 153:5,5 chose 83:20 86:3	coerced 130:21 cold 34:21 54:20	
catch 41:4	challenging 120:11 chance 105:6			commercially
	136:25	114:14 119:24 120:22 130:18	colleagues 39:11 144:11	114:3 131:2,4,5 commission 31:14
catching 160:14			· ·	31:16
categorically 17:5 cause 45:12 117:5	Chancellors 125:1 125:5,5 126:18	chosen 41:15 117:13	collection 128:6,17 129:18	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CHRISTOPHER	collective 49:19	commissions 31:1,7
141:5 144:4,6 causes 45:14 100:6	127:6,16 chances 142:1	60:4 169:6	126:21,23 127:4,7	31:9,12,13 commit 116:5
129:16	change 49:4 94:11		colour 126:16	commitment 54:2
causing 124:10,14	changed 91:18	cigarette 55:4 circumstance 23:12	column 22:6,9,16	120:13
CBE,QC 1:9	92:23	circumstances	combination 57:8	committed 8:12
cc 131:20	chap 85:16	28:23,24 29:14	come 3:17 14:6,11	committed 8.12
cent 7:9 27:24	charge 10:25 79:13	30:11,13 78:9	17:20 25:10,14	118:8,10 148:20
53:18 67:12 81:13	79:25 112:4,5	claim 32:20 72:7	26:10,14 27:21	161:17 165:17
81:14,17 82:10,22	147:22,23	101:16	36:22 43:18,18	166:12
83:3,7,20,21,22	charged 79:19	Claimant 1:12,17	52:10 70:25 73:14	communication
83:24 84:7,12	112:8 148:25	clause 35:13 124:23	81:15 89:21	108:17
87:23 88:16,18,19	charging 78:21	141:12,20	102:23 104:20	communications
94:9,10,18,20,21	147:22 149:5,21	clean 99:7,12,17	110:1 124:19	162:24
95:7 101:19,20	chat 160:23 164:3	clear 5:21 23:7	130:12 133:12,16	company 6:18 10:5
150:23 155:21	164:13	39:15 40:3 52:22	134:2,22,24	18:12 28:7 41:9
central 31:14	chatted 161:1	54:24,25 76:6	140:23 142:3	50:20 66:11
CEO 85:4,5 92:10	cheaper 48:19	87:1 88:11 91:7	157:18,19	160:12
certain 43:13 56:5	49:12	97:10,12 109:8	comes 80:22 89:22	company's 128:5
		,		1 / ======
	•	•	•	•

				Page 1/4
128:16	22:20 31:6 105:9	Connells' 68:23	contending 139:17	cope 93:9
comparable 149:15	conciliatory 73:10	103:3 133:12	content 21:17	copied 64:9 71:2
compared 79:7	73:17	conscious 108:1	125:22	132:9 134:6
91:19	concrete 159:16	consensus 164:25	contention 129:21	copy 2:22 60:14
compensate 156:3	conducted 44:15,16	consequence 72:8	144:6	61:11,24 167:3
competing 37:15	44:18	Consequently	contents 2:25 62:1	corner 22:10 88:9
140:1,11	conducts 103:4	43:12	62:21 166:17	Cornwall 108:6,11
Competition 1:1,3	conference 33:12	consider 39:10	context 12:22 13:6	corporate 74:23,24
_		95:15 162:22		_
competitor 81:4 82:7 124:20	34:5,7 39:3		14:17 97:3,5	74:24 75:4,5,14 77:22 112:8
	confidence 166:2,3	considerable 46:11	continues 23:1,5,14	
147:13,16,18	166:3,4	46:24 48:21 154:6	94:8	113:18 141:22
152:20,24	confidential 144:24	161:17	Continuously	corporates 76:19
competitors 82:2,4	151:8 156:23	consideration	66:15	78:11,16 79:23
82:7 144:8 164:12	157:6,12,15,20	24:15	contortion 74:14	162:23 163:2
complement 95:17	158:22 165:8	considered 36:25	145:9	correct 31:4 34:24
96:6	166:8,9,18,21,22	37:1 45:23 52:2	contract 17:4,6	51:8 63:3,5 66:14
complete 113:23	166:23	141:6	60:24 61:2 71:11	66:16,19 67:20
117:15,20 118:4	confidentiality	consistent 108:22	71:21 121:15	71:21 76:23 77:6
162:2,14 165:3	68:7	151:15,15 155:7	130:15,23 131:8,9	78:18 89:11 90:25
completed 27:24	confirmed 35:20	155:11	131:10 141:17,18	91:25 92:2,5
66:21	confusing 20:1	consolidated 90:17	contracts 31:3	106:17 107:7
completely 47:25	connection 108:10	94:10 123:9	121:16 141:5	112:10 114:12,16
48:3 65:12 67:13	Connells 32:12	constant 139:25	contractual 60:22	119:2 144:15
67:19,25 93:2,17	65:20 66:8,17	constrained 44:4	73:25 114:8 131:1	163:16
115:21 116:23	67:8,12,13,17,19	constraint 42:8	contractually	corrections 3:4
118:25 125:2	67:23 68:3,25	construct 161:17	71:19 95:21	correspondence
139:21 162:9,10	69:15 70:14 71:11	consultancy 8:20	contrary 118:8,10	135:8
162:18	71:12,17 72:5	8:22	contrast 101:20	cost 67:25 72:6
compliance 75:7	73:18,22 75:5,25	consultants 75:7	118:15,16	80:2 111:14,15,19
compliantly 27:18	76:13,22 77:4,12	consumer 16:6,7	contributing 98:1	111:20 112:6
complying 153:23	77:19,25 78:11	23:7 37:23 41:12	contribution 110:5	cost-effective 49:8
comprehensible	79:4,4,7 80:5,12	59:2,11 93:23	110:7	costs 68:10,16,25
129:13	80:24 81:6,10	94:6 99:21 104:14	controlled 67:19	69:2 72:9 110:6
comprised 149:22	82:11 83:9 84:11	104:18 128:23	controlling 67:13	council 33:15
Comscore 90:6,7	91:11,12,17,20	129:20,22	convenient 59:20	counsel 158:1
101:1,1,4	92:9 107:20,21	consumers 37:5	108:25 165:5	counsel's 167:23
conceivably 108:10	111:16,17,20,24	58:25 59:3 92:15	conversation 57:6	count 101:25
concentrate 152:18	112:1,9 113:19	128:6,17 129:9	154:7 160:5,11	103:14
concentrating	125:13 131:23	contact 99:23 102:8	161:10	country 19:20 25:9
113:4	133:11 141:6	103:9 107:4,21,24	conversations	32:21 146:24
concepts 30:1	142:6 144:19	108:13 161:13	56:18	Countrywide 76:22
concern 4:2 13:23	150:13,17,18,20	contacted 32:6	conveyancing	77:2,25 78:11
80:2 166:10	151:24 153:18	contacts 101:13	24:10	79:3 80:12,24
concerned 3:24	151.24 155.16	contemporaneous	convince 147:1	81:6,18,20 82:2
13:12,17,21,24	161:9	122:3	cookie 75:8	84:23 113:19
13.14,17,41,44	101.7	144.3	COURIC / J.O	UT.43 113.17
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

1.50.10.10.150.15			1	
150:18,19 158:17	criticising 50:17	damaged 155:24	138:24	113:25 146:14
159:7,8 161:9,15	criticism 86:3	danger 70:22	deemed 141:18	devil's 139:7
161:21 164:12	168:3	dastardly 80:20	defection 70:21	diary 34:23 160:22
Countrywide's	cross-examination	data 38:16 88:16	defence 67:21,23	differ 129:5
79:11	3:8 53:18 57:16	92:12 101:6,7,17	68:22,23 69:1	difference 20:14,23
County 19:12	62:6 169:4,8	134:2 148:3	Defendant 1:14,19	87:10,11 101:11
couple 22:17 56:24	crowd 127:14	150:22	defendant's 60:7	102:10 104:5
90:14 114:19	crucial 21:11	date 53:21 100:10	defending 72:7	140:15,18,19
140:9 144:11	crystallise 151:22	dated 134:12,25	define 125:9	differences 102:9
152:14	151:25 152:4	dates 122:20	definitely 22:19	102:22 103:12
course 4:13 43:21	crystallising 154:4	David 60:4 67:2	43:22 54:16 91:1	105:14
56:23,23 57:8	current 49:4 60:24	159:2,22 169:6	definition 24:1	different 25:15
59:4,14 75:23	143:6	day 48:20 57:18	degree 9:2,3,5	26:15 28:18 35:14
79:4 92:5 108:3	currently 58:16	79:2 111:2 122:3	delay 73:8	35:24 40:17 44:21
115:19,20,20	121:7 143:24	138:13 160:7	deliberately 129:22	45:5 47:25 48:3
133:17 141:6	customer 10:6	167:24 168:1,8	delist 130:16	51:3,6,8 55:19
157:9 166:12	16:10,14 26:22	days 143:19	131:11	75:11 87:6,13
courses 9:17,18	27:24 47:18 48:5	DCL1/23 131:15	delisted 72:5	89:8 95:11 96:10
court 72:16 80:22	108:13 147:22	DCL1/24 134:12	deliver 9:18 22:20	96:24 99:2 104:11
88:23 157:22	149:5	de 112:19 114:9	delivered 36:13,14	104:12,13,18,19
168:8	customer's 49:18	de-listing 72:8	38:20	104:19,24 106:4
cover 81:16 141:16	customers 16:14	dead 153:20	delivering 121:8	115:21 116:10,23
142:14	20:8 23:22,24	deal 6:9 8:4,10 23:9	delivers 97:1	125:24 126:5,15
covered 107:24	24:16,19,22 25:12	42:24 43:20 71:20	dent 154:9	142:8 147:21,21
111:24 118:25	27:19,20 50:5,10	84:20 109:21,24	depending 30:13	149:13,14,14
covering 9:13	58:25 59:3 125:6	111:24 112:1,17	149:12 159:21	152:22 155:10
covers 88:8	126:6 137:25	112:18 113:10,11	depends 28:23	156:22 160:2
Crabb 161:14	customers' 127:19	139:4,8	29:13 30:11 125:9	differential 101:21
162:3 164:2,6	cut 43:2,6 55:19	dealing 73:7	depose 138:7	differently 48:19
166:11	82:21 92:17	deals 123:12	derived 41:19	differing 101:14
Craig 150:3,12	cutter 75:8	148:14	description 74:13	difficult 104:24
151:6	cutting 18:7	dealt 157:21	desirable 3:13	122:3
crave 167:25	CW 161:21	Dear 137:9	desist 74:14	difficulty 24:3
create 115:15		debate 18:12	desk 2:12	44:25
created 60:10 61:8	<u> </u>	100:24 102:3	desktop 98:21	dig 154:18
91:24 127:22	D 2:10 29:19,20	debating 109:14	detail 75:18,19	digital 12:14,19
creating 107:6	60:7 64:7 111:5	December 160:20	77:18 101:23	13:2,4 83:1 92:11
113:5	114:4 117:25	163:17	102:3 166:15	92:19 93:16 94:5
creation 77:19	D1 144:23	decided 37:4 50:13	detailed 23:11	94:8,9,12
credible 37:6,10,14	D3 158:11	55:8	develop 159:6	diligence 70:12,13
81:4	D3/44 158:5	deciding 24:14	developed 127:3	141:7 142:6
criteria 104:4	daft 89:1	decision 13:14	developers 97:24	diligent 150:10
critical 50:4 74:4,7	Daily 83:2	39:14,16,17,19	98:5 99:5	diluted 81:14 82:22
81:3 127:3 152:13	damage 130:12	83:23 157:13	developing 119:8	82:24,25 84:7
criticised 24:5	144:7 153:2 156:4	decisions 138:23,23	development	dilution 83:3

				rage 170
direct 112:6	display 126:14	drawing 116:19	137:16,22 148:21	66:3 67:25 101:25
directed 12:25	disputing 29:8	drawn 167:7	149:6	102:25 104:4,6
13:23 87:2	disrupting 118:24	driver 30:4	effective 38:12	131:6 158:21
direction 166:6	disruption 45:2,6	drives 50:11	50:11 92:15 115:9	160:17,18 162:10
directly 6:14 65:7	45:12,14,16 117:5	driving 85:11,25	143:5	Emanuel 133:21
65:17 149:15	124:10,14 127:22	drop 13:14 40:4,7	effectively 51:1	Embley 84:23
director 5:9,16 7:8	129:16 144:4,7	48:25 54:15 55:6	efficient 137:16,23	159:24 160:3,5
10:21,25 27:8,12	disruptor 40:15	123:20 137:25	efforts 113:4	emphasising 89:19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45:20	138:25 139:9		138:22 139:18
28:9,12 66:13,15	dissatisfaction		eight 131:13	
66:20,23 78:3,6 78:10 85:5,18		150:25 151:1,14 151:14 163:1	either 6:15 23:18 34:3 38:4 57:7	emphatically 63:14
	79:19,21			empire 18:20
86:23 91:8 92:6	distance 139:6	dropped 137:18	70:4,20 109:9	employed 146:24
137:3 145:6 161:3	distracted 56:2	151:17	116:11 133:19	employees 18:10
directors 66:25	divided 149:11	dropping 42:2	163:6	employer 151:9
84:25 85:1,2,8,10	dividing 149:3	137:21 139:4	elected 5:16 10:22	enacted 128:20
directorships 91:15	Djokovic 140:7	162:22	election 10:23	encountered 127:5
disagree 20:23	document 91:6	due 70:11,13 72:10	electronically	encourages 160:9
22:15 124:19	97:8 100:9,21	141:6 142:6	101:5	endeavour 113:4
163:13	114:24 119:19	Dunstone 146:9,11	element 135:9	ended 83:7
disagreement	120:6 125:13	duplication 108:20	elements 66:2	engage 32:25
165:3	149:24 157:6	dusted 52:20	elite 125:20,22	engaged 20:11
disappear 154:24	165:16,20,20,23	duty 16:6,13 18:10	126:3	England 77:14,16
disciplines 142:8	documents 9:9 34:2	20:17 27:13 59:15	else's 104:23	enhanced 126:7,12
disclosed 56:19	62:19 63:8,15	dynamics 80:6	email 12:7,8,12	152:22
discount 16:22	64:14,17,19,22		14:12,20 16:20	enquiries 101:7,21
78:19,22 79:24	65:6 85:21	E	17:16 21:17 22:23	103:16 110:12
discounted 42:24	doing 8:19 16:20	E 133:20	65:10 69:13,20	ensuring 15:15
49:2 50:25 51:18	18:11 21:18 35:17	earlier 20:6 42:23	74:9 100:3 103:6	enter 51:11 53:3
discuss 12:17	50:14 54:17 64:7	45:22 110:18	103:9 108:10,11	123:6
discussed 10:20	64:16 109:8	119:22 123:7	114:20 131:15,16	entered 5:23 13:18
18:17 166:5	122:23 135:22	143:1 154:5 161:1	132:6,21,22,23	17:13 42:8,17,22
discussing 124:16	138:21,21 148:15	early 68:10 75:19	133:8,9,10,16	43:1 47:11 51:1,3
141:1	149:16 153:10	143:19 144:3	134:11,12,22,24	51:10,13,14 78:11
discussion 4:16 5:8	dominant 146:19	167:9,14,17	134:25 135:2,12	112:13 115:22,24
6:4 15:3,7,21	dominated 119:3	earth 45:22	135:15 136:2,13	116:4 119:2
42:22,25 156:12	doubt 4:7 14:10	earthly 46:22	136:14,16 137:4,5	124:22
156:13 159:4	39:20,21 41:7	easily 93:9 124:22	137:13 138:12	entering 51:18
161:7 164:5	42:19 50:24 51:15	East 64:22	139:5,12,15 145:7	enthusiastic 75:20
discussions 135:3	73:12 79:15 82:20	economic 141:14	150:1,3,6 151:5	76:9
137:15 159:15	89:1 145:18	economics 44:17,19	158:7,12,15,24	entice 50:5
161:16 164:16	draft 114:22	Ed 161:1	159:25 160:7,21	entire 88:8
disgruntled 121:14	drafted 70:23	effect 42:2 50:7	161:11 164:2	entirely 132:18
disinvest 151:25	128:11	58:14 73:13 80:11	emailing 102:14	167:8 168:3
152:6	drain 46:14	80:16,18,23 84:25	emails 63:23 64:8	entities 156:22
	draw 113:16	86:18 128:14,20		
disinvesting 154:4	uraw 113.10	00.10 120.11,20	64:11 65:15,16	entitled 70:9
		1	l	l

			i	
entity 92:3 143:7	108:7,11,16	Exane 100:9	39:23 40:6	extra 126:7
entrant 118:19	112:15 113:18	exchange 78:2	expecting 73:16	extract 79:7,24
127:8	126:4 145:20,23	135:6,12 136:2,4	expects 48:5	extremely 13:21
Entrepreneurial	145:25 146:4,11	139:12,16	expel 70:6	eye 22:6,9,12
92:11	146:13,17,25	exchanged 27:23	expelling 70:8	131:20
entry 52:2 55:11,22	147:12 148:20,22	160:17,18	experience 10:11	eyeballs 129:25
115:6	estimate 167:23	exchanges 31:2	23:15,20,25 24:2	eyes 37:5
envisaged 141:21	et 15:17	exclusive 145:1	97:11,18 103:3	
142:14	evening 46:16	exclusively 47:15	105:16	F
equally 39:21 72:8	72:19	114:10 115:5,14	Experienced 92:10	fabulously 87:1
equating 37:10	event 12:16 113:7	115:25 117:1	experiences 105:12	face 85:22
equitable 153:1	157:12	exclusivity 47:12	expert 22:7 63:9	face-to-face 6:15
equity 81:19 156:3	events 61:5 62:25	47:13 48:11 51:7	expertise 24:2	86:7
equivalent 101:15	63:20 136:18	112:20 113:1,7,18	experts 146:23	faces 127:8
especially 49:2	eventuality 141:17	113:23 115:18	explain 11:9 54:22	facets 78:15
essence 116:25	Eversheds 133:20	116:5,9,14 117:7	55:20 63:20	facing 17:22 51:4
130:10	everybody 6:1 19:4	117:10,12,15,20	105:11 109:11	fact 3:15 19:23
essential 53:6	20:2 31:14,22	118:4 119:4	explained 64:2	21:11,12 25:22
essentially 32:18	41:17 59:12	124:15	154:5	32:20 45:14 52:7
93:10 94:14 102:5	everybody's 81:5	Excuse 154:13	explains 165:24	52:16 68:21,23
148:25	Eves 19:24	executed 11:2	explanation 87:17	69:12 76:2 112:18
established 112:14	evidence 3:1 57:4,9	execution 11:1	96:18 104:5	127:21 128:11
115:2 119:22	58:24 60:17 61:15	24:10	105:10	129:1 137:19
establishment	88:23 101:17	executive 66:7,11	exploded 93:7	138:6 158:15
113:20	109:13 118:5	67:2 85:1,2,8,12	explore 64:20	159:8 161:7
estate 3:19 8:15	135:23 165:21	86:1 91:12	166:15	facto 112:19 114:9
11:10,15 16:6,13	evidence-in-chief	executives 145:11	explored 101:23	factor 50:2,3
20:7,11,15,15,17	63:1	exercise 64:20	156:25	102:23,24
20:24 22:4,18,19	ex-employee 151:7	65:13 125:3 134:4	exploring 35:17	factors 101:23
23:22 24:1 25:22	exact 81:12,23	136:19	37:24	facts 124:9 167:20
26:5,7,20,20,21	exactly 31:14 41:10	exercised 141:23	expose 28:1 118:11	factual 137:20
29:5,6,7,24 30:5	51:24 54:23 90:1	Exeter 103:15	exposing 96:2	failure 143:6
30:25 31:5,15,19	96:9 110:15	exhibit 131:14,15	exposure 87:19	fair 14:1,2 49:14
32:2,5,18 52:11	117:23 153:21	132:21 133:8,9	141:11	62:22 85:20 86:1
56:17 57:5,10	Examination-in	134:12,16,25	expressed 55:10	86:9,10 90:19
59:1,5,14 67:3	2:7 60:5 169:3,7	exhibited 133:21	166:22	93:1 135:6 136:17
69:15 77:22 79:15	example 26:8 36:18	existence 98:14	expressly 63:6	139:11 166:13
79:20 80:13,21	51:11 57:24 62:16	133:1 146:2	extend 107:13	fairly 17:22 51:2
81:1 82:5,7 89:21	64:4 89:1 96:24	existing 21:21 26:9	extended 17:4,5	75:20 108:21
92:22 93:6,11,19	98:11,19 104:2	41:4 124:11,21	extension 106:25	136:4 140:1,11,24
94:2 95:4,14,17	106:19 107:12,15	exited 77:23	extensively 124:25	fairness 157:1
95:19 97:24 98:1	114:4 126:1,17	expanded 50:10	extent 3:25 44:9	fairy 133:12
98:18,21,22,23	143:22 146:10	expect 73:10,15,24	79:15,18 93:2,3	fall 6:5
99:4,25 100:3	examples 98:9	74:2,25 78:23	95:11 99:2 107:9	fallen 165:13
103:19 105:2	121:20	expected 38:21	External 142:8	false 132:18
	l	l	l	l

				. Tage 170
familiar 11:8,17	159:16	164:3 166:20,25	129:19 130:19	28:18 29:5 38:15
35:6	find 6:6 15:22	167:6	131:2,5,8 139:6	41:25 48:23 49:20
fan 87:21	21:16,20 32:6	Firstly 103:14	foundation 40:11	52:6 53:9,16 54:8
far 22:19 31:5 65:3	33:8 36:21 49:12	five 16:24 17:7	founded 10:14	57:14 58:21,24
94:25 108:8 111:2	71:5 82:17 100:4	18:21,22,23 59:22	101:23 130:11	59:17,18 88:24
117:11 139:4	102:22 109:19	84:21 147:10	founder 47:23	126:22 169:2
157:3 164:9	110:9,13 122:3	155:5,14	92:10 113:2 114:8	Frew's 2:13
fastidious 139:18	128:7,18 129:2,9	fix 159:19	117:2 137:2	Friday 46:16
fault 64:25 65:1,2	129:23,24 153:1	fixed 149:8	founders 112:19	114:23
167:8,15	154:8,13 165:8,10	flash 126:16	founding 112:21	Friday's 140:6
favour 93:16 101:8	FindaProperty	float 145:17	114:11 116:20	friend 53:25
FCA 75:8	87:24 88:2,19	floated 83:11	four 28:4 29:23	frightened 127:13
February 2:1 69:20	90:14	focus 14:24,25	30:20,22 72:21	front 2:17 6:3
72:19 134:12	finding 23:7 36:22	20:21 22:5 26:5	73:20,23 84:21	20:19 21:25 26:3
135:1 137:10	fine 14:23 62:14	50:13 100:24	112:21 113:2,24	34:18 60:10 61:7
139:22 160:19	finger 148:7	146:8	114:11 116:19	61:21 65:7 100:8
fee 5:22 78:24 79:6	finish 97:8 108:1	focused 99:7 154:8	134:15 156:12,16	frozen 98:10
80:7 147:22,23	finished 15:10	focuses 98:4 99:3	156:19,20,20,22	full 18:1 20:14,24
149:17,19	53:13 59:18	focusing 50:17	156:24 157:3,5,11	23:3,5,14 48:16
feedback 108:19	149:23	folds 22:3	157:18,19,24	115:14,17 117:10
feel 44:4 57:3	finishes 8:3	follow 52:7,16 97:3	162:7 163:11,13	136:9
121:14 146:1	finishing 167:14	108:14	163:14 164:7,14	full-time 27:8
fees 4:18 6:14	fire 46:16	following 93:22	164:20,23,25	fully 62:9 92:3
11:22 17:3 43:2	firing 40:19	95:9 150:15 158:7	165:9,10	115:9 118:12
50:23 51:17 79:9	firm 39:14,19	161:13 168:8	fourth 97:15	function 63:6,13
79:19 142:21	81:21 125:10	follows 12:25	fraction 68:12,13	64:13 85:18
143:14,18 144:3	134:2	food 98:10	68:15,16,17	102:11
149:2,8	firms 122:5 130:20	foot 143:1	franchise 37:22	fundamental 114:6
felt 14:3 17:23 55:1	145:17,18,23	force 85:25 142:19	franchisee 44:21	fundamentally
fiction 147:19	146:14	forced 130:13,16	58:15	92:24 124:19
fifth 113:22	first 2:5 23:13	130:22,24	franchisees 7:3	funded 51:23,24
fight 69:4 72:1	26:19,22 28:3	forces 85:11 131:11	9:17 18:16 44:20	68:21
figure 81:12 82:16	32:11 35:22 39:9	forcing 130:11	franchisees' 57:22	funding 67:21,23
100:21	41:3 42:13 56:21	form 71:6 107:5	franchisor 44:20	68:3 148:13,14
figures 90:10	76:5,13 93:24	formal 113:7	franchisor's 27:16	furnish 82:15,16
file 136:8	96:19 109:11	formed 34:3	frank 159:4	further 58:22 61:14
filling 93:19 107:5	110:13 111:4	forms 48:22	free 76:7 123:21	73:8 88:6 159:14
final 60:13 61:11	113:11 114:19	Forrest 67:4,5	130:18 142:20	future 74:4
61:24 71:5 151:19	117:22 118:13	69:17	143:13	
164:21 166:11	119:16 120:16	fortune 134:1	FREEMAN 1:9	G
finally 61:17	121:18 127:25	forum 75:12	58:24 59:7,11,16	gains 151:22 152:1
163:10	128:3 133:1,4	forward 37:21 41:9	167:19	152:4
finance 85:5 143:17	138:11,22 141:2	150:13 160:14	Frew 2:5,6,8,17 3:9	game 84:9 108:5
143:25 145:5	146:3,8 153:8,14	162:6	14:23 15:25 17:25	152:19,23
financial 80:6	155:4 158:11	found 20:1 102:21	18:12 20:21 24:13	garden 86:5

Gascoigne 1:14,14	Gill 164:2	88:22	15:3,7,15,18 17:9	12:7,8 36:19
36:19 66:18,20,23	gist 6:7 135:6 136:4	going 25:4 37:19	18:2,3,16,19	66:18,20,23,25
66:25 67:6,14,21	give 3:1 9:21,22,22	38:4 39:24 42:14	19:12 32:18 37:2	67:3,6,6,14,21,24
67:24 68:22 69:17	12:4 60:18,20	42:23 45:17 56:6	53:19 54:1,5 66:7	67:24 69:13,17,17
70:14 71:18 73:13	61:15 62:25 69:9	65:8 74:22 88:6	67:1,2,23 68:25	69:20,22 70:14,24
73:24 75:10,23	74:13 79:1 81:12	90:15 92:21 93:4	71:11,12 75:9	71:5 73:14,25
77:12 79:1,5,6,14	97:5 104:21 114:4	105:15 108:23	83:2 88:3 98:20	74:11,14,17,22
97:4 111:15,16,17	114:21 128:11	114:7 117:3	112:2,8 141:4	75:10,17,24 76:1
111:20,21 121:6	135:5 145:2 151:8	123:18,19,24	142:9 145:5	76:6 77:12 79:1,5
121:16 125:15	152:23 154:17	124:9 125:4 136:1	grow 153:7	79:14 97:4 111:15
130:13 131:25	164:3 167:19	136:2,14 138:3	growing 43:10 94:5	111:16,18,20,21
133:10,12 141:11	given 15:16,18 28:3	139:2,5,12 145:8	grown 51:12	121:7,17 125:15
160:23	38:23 49:24 76:7	160:10 162:16	growth 94:10 97:10	130:13 131:5,25
gather 109:9	99:23 103:5,10	166:14	97:12 145:14	133:11 141:11
gathered 101:6	106:12 115:1	gold 125:25 141:22	guarantee 49:13	145:9,9 160:23
general 29:23	116:12,17 117:4	golf 152:16,20	guessing 100:1	Halman's 68:22
40:13 83:3 99:6	122:12 123:20	good 2:3,8 3:9,10	114:19	71:18 79:6 133:13
150:16	124:7,7 153:12	3:16,18,20 15:20	gun 102:17	Hampstead 86:6
generally 10:11	gives 95:14,16	16:10 27:19,20	gunning 97:15,19	hand 2:15 22:10
107:22 120:14	128:24 149:3	44:24 53:16 62:7	97:22 120:9	80:13 105:17
generate 29:23	giving 16:3 56:17	79:21 86:22 87:18	140:17,19	143:10,12 144:11
30:25 89:23	77:17,18 129:19	99:10,16 106:18	guy 152:17	165:1
103:20,21 104:2	135:23	126:25 127:3,9,9		handed 2:9,10
106:1,14,15	Glasgow 32:10,13	127:15 143:22	<u>H</u>	21:15 122:14
107:14 121:6	Glynis 2:5,6 169:2	150:14 151:2	H 144:17 160:20	hands 53:10 86:4
generated 90:10	go 4:16 9:22 15:6	159:2 162:6	H1 84:15,16 86:15	109:7
101:15 102:2	26:2 36:23 37:19	Google 45:10	91:3 114:21	happen 13:12,18
108:18	39:23 41:6,17	grainy 93:20	H11 144:16	42:14 120:4
generates 50:12	44:7 46:2,17	graph 102:24	H11/6125 158:9	161:24 164:10,23
96:24	51:21 54:14 55:7	grateful 110:10	H11/6226 144:18 H12 158:21	happened 36:9
generating 49:8	58:5 70:23 72:18	159:4 167:18		52:12 55:23 91:9
generation 31:19	73:4 76:7 86:21	168:2,6	H14 69:11 H2 38:23 91:4	123:4 137:12
gentleman 30:21	87:4,19 88:18,18	great 2:16 140:8	100:5	164:23
gentlemen 67:5	88:19 92:9,18	166:15	H5 12:3	happening 100:13
genuinely 133:15	93:17,23 94:2	greater 51:13 94:9	H8 21:16	happy 53:11 72:13
geographic 102:12	95:7 97:8 99:25	101:19,24	H8/4140 131:16	73:13 76:4 109:7
102:21 106:12	106:25 114:25	greatest 16:15,16	H9/4977 134:22	158:18 161:20
geographical	128:25 129:20	28:2	half 42:25 84:13	162:20 163:23
102:15	134:21 137:9	grips 75:12	105:22 134:16	165:5 167:10
getting 52:13 72:4	138:12 146:25	ground 81:17	136:11 143:24	hard 148:14
95:5 96:4 106:18 137:25	147:5 148:2,7 156:20 157:3	114:15 165:17 166:12	halfway 15:5	Harding 146:10
GH 71:10,10 72:7	158:5 160:6,19	group 6:23 7:3,9,11	Halifax 77:24	Harris 1:19 2:3,7,8 2:15 53:10,15
72:10,21	166:16 168:4	7:14,17,22 8:5,11	113:19	56:15 57:14 58:21
GHL 112:6 141:7	goes 13:9 53:5	11:11,15,23,24	Halman 1:14,14	59:18,20 60:2,5,6
JIL 112.0 141./	50cs 13.7 33.3	11.11,13,43,44		37.10,20 00.2,3,0
	I	I	I	l .

				1 age 100
65:11 82:15 109:2	73:24 91:13	45:23 46:19 49:5	implications 51:19	independent 3:18
109:7,9,16,18	142:25	53:18,19,25 54:3	124:21	11:14 39:14,19
110:9,13,16 111:1	Hollinrake 5:11	54:4,5 57:22 58:3	implied 41:16	52:3 53:6 80:13
114:23 144:23	10:22	Hunters' 4:15,25	54:14	80:21,25 82:9
154:13,16 157:7,8	Holmes 1:17 25:7	6:8	importance 24:23	134:2
164:21 165:12,15	89:3	hunting 105:5	102:25	independently
166:20 169:3,5,7	Holmes's 25:15	hymn 75:4,6,14	important 6:16	110:14 164:18
head 69:15	home 46:17 94:4	Hymm 73.4,0,14	21:4,7,10 24:15	independents
headed 22:6	108:4	I	24:20,24 25:7,21	52:13,24 79:22
heading 85:18	homework 150:8	IAEG 11:6,7,8	27:21 29:3,6,9,10	32.13,24 /9.22 INDEX 169:1
148:13	158:23	Ian 36:14 152:16	30:4,5,9,12,14,15	indicated 115:7
		161:14,20 162:3,3		indication 134:7
headway 120:10 hear 43:23 56:22	Hon)and 1:9	164:2	30:16,17,20,21,23 50:2 58:3 73:9	individual 39:18
	hope 9:11 31:4	Iceland 98:10,14		
88:23 106:6	140:5	idea 51:17 52:11	74:5 93:7 125:6,8	58:2 102:13 103:4 103:9 104:2
163:25	hoped 38:9	62:9 69:7,9 79:1	128:6 137:4 160:1	
heard 87:25 89:1	hopes 139:6	89:4 99:16 115:24	impose 112:24	139:20 164:16
107:9 114:20	hosted 12:14,19	116:3 126:25	114:13	individually 159:12
141:23	13:2,5,6	127:3,9,10,15	impossible 28:12	159:13
heavily 115:9	hot 106:5	158:16	impression 40:2,11	indulge 125:4
hedging 160:13	hour 43:1 136:10	ideally 115:4	40:18 41:19,21	indulgence 167:22
held 83:5 125:20	house 1:3 86:5 98:6	116:25	54:24,25 85:21	167:25
Helen 150:4 151:5	105:1,4,6,6	ideas 69:8 99:11	128:11,24 153:11	industry 3:20 59:2
159:4	106:23,24 147:2		impressions 101:5	101:13
help 34:24 49:16	house-hunter	identified 22:11	improve 10:1,3	inelegantly 115:17
69:4 87:2 96:1	31:25 32:5	53:21 108:16	inappropriate	inert 91:15
98:24 135:17	houses 9:23 10:2	identifies 97:9,14	125:3 156:24	inevitable 128:19
139:7,7	93:21 94:1 98:5,7	identify 16:11 52:2	inaudible 9:10 18:6	inevitably 128:16
helped 21:16 50:24	98:8,13	119:18,25 120:5	42:21 91:17	infer 35:25
81:3	HouseSimple	idly 98:12	include 97:24	inference 123:19
helpful 87:18	146:11,20	IEAG 11:10 12:17	includes 99:4 126:1	130:20 155:23
109:21 160:11	HR 9:13	ignores 127:21	including 3:25 12:9	inferring 132:15
helps 14:25 136:17	huge 51:15 140:19	ill 86:22	67:7	146:18
Hi 150:12	hugely 51:12	illegal 18:11	income 30:25 31:6	infinite 124:3
high 43:9 82:8	human 105:12	illegitimate 65:12	31:9,11	inflate 103:13
128:23 147:3,4	122:14	illustrate 135:19	incomprehensible	informally 86:7
higher 79:6 80:1,2	Hunters 4:2,13	illustration 127:7	129:12	information 14:2
80:7 81:20	6:20,23 7:2,3,7,9	imagine 22:4 54:19	incorrect 112:1	32:12 35:8 36:2
Highgate 86:6	7:11,14,17,22 8:5	immediate 84:25	162:9	39:10 104:10
historically 93:16	8:5,11,17 9:12	86:18	increase 148:19	105:18 109:9,17
121:20	10:15,21 11:11,22	impactful 115:14	increased 43:14	121:22,24 122:10
history 9:5	11:25 13:16 17:3	115:17 117:5	increases 4:1,8,10	122:11,20,22
hits 106:17,19,21	17:9 18:2,3,15	impending 13:24	increasingly 13:12	123:3 128:4 130:1
106:22	19:12 23:15 27:10	implemented	13:17	130:3 134:3
Hitwise 101:5	27:13 32:17 33:2	115:13	indemnity 68:4	150:15 151:3,8,12
hold 12:5 42:7	33:18 37:2 43:2	implication 40:3	71:17	167:4

inheriting 141:19	invite 33:3	158:17	10:10,13,25 11:7	larger 78:22 81:21
initial 142:20	invited 109:3	joins 138:1	11:9 13:7,8 14:17	81:24 90:23 91:1
initially 113:1	involve 143:18	joint 27:3 113:24	17:13,20 18:1,8	91:3 102:1,12,21
125:19 161:20	involved 5:4,25	158:19 159:12	25:21 27:1 32:12	lasted 114:17
input 44:22	56:17 77:19 87:8	160:3	32:15 34:10 38:17	late 66:18
insist 75:15	113:20 142:7,9	Jon 12:15	40:16 41:8 43:14	launch 8:10 43:11
insisted 44:14	163:7	JOSH 1:17	43:20,23 44:2,3,5	119:14 120:3
instance 56:16,24	involvement	journalism 92:24	44:6 48:14 49:19	121:1,7 142:22
110:13 166:11	136:18	joy 110:11	52:6,10,15 56:3	155:9,13
instances 102:11,21	involves 6:2 140:3	July 8:3,9 84:18	56:10,16,24 58:1	launched 5:3 40:5
instantly 104:2	involving 156:21	86:18,19	58:12 63:6 68:17	47:3,19,22 116:7
instruction 105:3	161:8	June 83:14,15	69:3 71:7 72:25	116:9,11 143:23
instructions 31:18	iPad 94:3	100:10,16	76:15 79:9 81:23	launches 115:14
instrumental 52:25	IPO 83:11,14,19	Justice 1:8 96:18	82:17 84:2 85:12	law 18:12
insulated 67:25	84:8 100:13,16		90:4,13 100:6	lawyers 65:19
insurance 141:10	IPO'd 84:3	K	110:6,8,9 111:2	84:16
141:13,14,16	Ironically 77:10	keen 86:11 87:21	122:1 124:4	lead 28:24,25 29:9
integrated 92:3	irrelevance 167:1	161:8,16	125:22 126:21,22	29:11,15,24,25
intended 70:24	issue 72:21,22,25	keep 12:5 99:11	131:5,6,17,20,21	31:25 32:5 99:20
141:21 168:3	74:18 114:6 157:9	100:5 144:16	131:22 132:23,24	100:24 101:18,22
interest 49:18	166:1	148:7	133:14,15 134:4	101:25 102:10,13
50:12 72:10,25	issues 73:2,4,6	kept 84:8	150:2 159:18	103:13 104:12
interested 23:8	74:19 146:3	Kevin 5:11 10:25	163:11,14 165:13	106:1,16 107:6,14
25:3 29:4,5 46:7		131:17	knowledge 12:2	108:12,15,18
59:12 82:19 99:24	<u>J</u>	key 31:19 48:7	21:8 23:11 24:7	129:22
101:4 107:2,14	January 13:13,19	90:17 94:10,16	44:1,2 65:4	leader 97:21
151:11 159:16	73:23 94:16	95:19 96:9 97:23	known 72:21	leading 85:25
interesting 4:5 70:2	163:15 164:14	101:23 103:12	knows 6:1	leads 28:14,15,17
interests 10:5,5	jiggy 74:20	123:10 124:11,15		28:20,21 29:24,25
26:20,21 27:6	job 9:16 10:1,3	127:23 129:17	L L 26 12 75 11	29:25 30:6,17
47:8 49:17 59:8,8	11:3 103:5 146:25	144:5 162:7	lady 36:13 75:11	31:22 32:4 38:17
internal 162:24	John 19:18 67:3	kill 140:8 152:25	LANDERS 1:9	38:18,20 40:17
internally 102:5	74:11 114:4	155:8	landlord 20:11,13	46:25 49:8 58:1
104:10 122:4	join 8:12 49:3 52:4	killing 139:25	20:18 23:10 25:5	89:23 94:17 96:15
international 8:20	52:13 72:17 73:18	140:3,13 153:3	25:24 27:23 29:1	96:20,25 97:6
internet 10:12	117:13 123:19	155:15,16	29:2,4,25	99:1 101:6,10,15
16:17 93:6,24	127:11 130:4,20	kind 14:3 80:21	landlord's 27:6	103:20 104:3,19
145:16,18,23	152:25 156:2	119:3 141:13	landlords 9:24 21:4	104:20,22,22,25
146:4,14,17,21	joined 7:18 9:12	kinds 104:12,18	59:4	105:9,10,16,19
inventory 118:17	10:15 54:1 117:14	106:4	large 50:14,18 66:2	107:13 108:21
118:20,22,23	117:19 118:3,7	knew 56:5 65:10	85:10 93:2,2	111:11 115:10
119:1,8 128:14,15	127:17 155:2,21	70:16 122:5	102:22 113:18	117:17 121:5,8
129:16	162:23 163:2	knock 37:17 160:10	142:6 143:5	leaflet 21:20,24,25
investment 111:14	164:7,10	know 3:14,16 4:5,7	largely 65:25 66:1	22:1
investors 100:15	joining 154:6	4:8,14 5:6 9:8,23	98:4 144:21,22	leaflets 22:20,21

	•	•	1	1 48€ 102
26:25	liaise 109:3	litigation 65:21	logo 22:12	108:8 125:19
league 87:20,21,23	liberty 43:4,6,22,25	68:1,4 74:1,2,6	London 1:4 32:20	145:17 150:13
learn 75:3,4	light 46:16 85:25	122:9 133:2,17	32:25 33:2,2,21	153:16 160:14
learned 53:25	123:5	150:1	34:6 36:13 54:11	looks 19:25 96:17
leasing 59:13	lights 126:11	little 64:20 75:1,13	58:11,13 114:4	loose 113:3
leave 136:22	limited 1:12,14	90:9 96:17 135:11	long 18:10 25:25	Lord 96:18
153:24 155:3	6:24 7:9,11,14,17	143:1 146:12	49:7 114:17	losers 93:18
156:1	7:22 8:5,11 11:11	167:8	154:19	losing 74:10,17
leaving 127:10	17:9 18:3,3 19:12	Livesey 59:21,23	long-term 16:23	lot 16:1 46:12 58:4
led 92:10 136:21	27:5 32:12 53:19	60:3,4,6 61:17	146:2	58:12 83:22 99:5
Leeming 85:16	54:1,5 67:24 72:5	62:4,7,24 64:16	longer 49:3 138:3	106:21 122:21,25
left 91:7,9 138:2	76:25	64:19,23,25 65:13	LonRes 57:24 58:8	155:18
155:3	line 17:23 22:23,25	68:13 69:11 81:16	58:9,11,12,17,19	lots 104:12,17
left-hand 88:9	39:9 40:20 88:11	84:15,17 91:4,5	look 12:6 14:12	106:4,25
100:11,25	111:6 112:12	99:20 100:20	15:13 19:22 21:13	loudly 120:3
legal 63:9 68:9,25	118:1,2 142:5,16	102:7 103:1	22:13 24:12 27:16	lounge 146:25
70:2 141:14	lines 22:16 119:21	105:25 109:3,11	30:24 32:11 38:7	love 116:14,15
legally 27:18 59:14	131:13 134:16	109:25 110:11,14	39:1 41:24 48:23	low 43:9 142:20
68:22	162:25 167:7	110:22 111:4	50:5,22 64:23	143:13
legitimately 146:1	list 19:13 24:14	119:12 120:17,24	71:4,8 72:10	lower 17:3 78:23
Leighton 73:22	31:18 45:23 46:19	121:25 124:1	75:18,18 86:24	79:10,12
144:9,10 147:8	47:15 49:6 57:18	125:4 126:22	87:21,22 90:13	LSL 76:22,24,25
150:14 151:16	57:23 58:16 73:14	128:1 130:7,14	91:22,23 93:6,7	78:11 79:3 80:12
158:13 162:17	110:4 112:3,22	131:18 132:22	93:24 94:1,2,4	80:24 81:6,18
Lending 76:25	113:8 114:1,2,10	136:23 137:19,24	96:9 100:20 102:6	82:2 84:23 150:19
lesser 3:25 44:9	115:5 117:1	138:9,15 139:10	106:17,25 111:6	158:16 159:7,8
lessors 59:9	123:15,15,16,18	140:23 142:12	112:11 113:14	160:3 161:8,14,23
let's 7:1 17:14	123:22 126:8,9,9	143:9 145:18	116:24 118:13	163:24 164:13
18:13 26:5,7	142:18,19 155:22	150:10 151:10	119:7 120:17	LSL's 79:11 81:25
27:23 30:24 36:23	listed 54:5,6 71:10	153:21,25 154:11	121:18 125:5	lukewarm 76:10
41:24 44:7 63:18	71:12 72:14 78:2	154:21 155:4	130:7 134:2,11,22	Luncheon 110:24
69:12 71:4,8 73:9	115:11 122:2	157:3,10,23,25	134:23 137:5	
91:22 94:4 112:11	listen 15:25	158:22,23 162:8	138:14 140:7	M
119:7 124:24	Listers 9:20	164:22 166:13	145:14 149:23	Maclean 1:17 3:8,9
125:4 137:9 148:2	listing 4:17,24 5:22	167:2 169:6	158:20,24	9:12 14:23 44:7
154:17 158:5	6:14 11:22 36:25	Livesey's 157:11	looked 45:25 46:21	53:9,16 62:6,7
letter 125:1	37:1 38:2 43:2	local 20:15,24 21:3	91:19,22 94:13	90:3,5,7,11 107:9
letting 23:12 33:22	45:3,7,24 49:4	21:7,7,9,9 22:20	107:19 141:17,19	108:1,4 109:1,2,9
lettings 33:15,15,15	57:16 73:3,6,8	22:21 23:4,5,14	145:7 160:7,21	109:23 110:18,19
34:1	111:16,21 112:6	23:17,20,23,23	looking 30:7 42:12	111:4 144:25
level 8:24 80:2	122:6 127:19	24:2,7,7 52:4 82:7	64:6 91:5 96:10	145:2 154:16,17
126:5	130:15 144:3	93:18,25 146:22	100:8 104:14,15	154:21 157:16,18
levels 80:7	149:17,19 156:1	locally 22:7,18,19	104:16,16,25	157:23 158:4
leverage 139:3	listings 50:9 94:18	located 102:15	105:8,11 106:5,12	165:5,7,10,13,16
liability 141:15	112:2 149:8	location 77:17	106:22 107:11,22	166:4 167:5,9,11

				Page 185
167:13,16,18,21	82:5 90:17 94:15	37:16,17,24,25,25	150:14 163:4	million 68:21 69:4
168:6 169:4,8	96:9,10 97:21	38:6 42:10 43:22	member 11:11	84:4,6 87:5,6,13
magnitude 79:6	100:22,22,24	43:24,25 51:17	15:18 21:21 39:17	87:15 94:17,17,17
Mail 83:2	100.22,22,24	53:4,4 54:15	88:12 139:20	106:19,20,21
		59:11 100:2	152:6 153:18	143:25
main 29:23 82:6,7	112:13,15 115:6 115:21,22 116:4	128:16 129:9	members 2:3 7:12	
maintain 32:18 39:18		141:2 153:22		Milsom 158:8,13 mind 7:16 12:21
	116:13,17 117:4 118:12,24 119:2		7:15 11:12,13	
major 4:9 38:11	120:14 123:6,8,9	means 87:6,13 95:3	12:17 13:13 33:23	14:14,18 26:1
45:20 56:11,16,25 77:22 82:2 164:12	, ,	103:11,17 110:16	33:24,25 47:23	34:25 55:3 56:21
	124:7,9,10,20,21	110:21 125:24	69:16 94:18 114:8	154:18,23 157:23
majority 30:25	124:22 127:22	126:2 129:17	114:13 115:4,11	mine 65:1 89:9
31:9,11 40:6	141:24 146:19	140:22	116:5 117:1,2	165:13
66:17	150:16 155:15,21	meant 42:1 90:16	121:6 130:2,4,12	minimum 83:18,20
maker 63:1	159:5 162:19	measure 28:17 104:10	130:21 137:2,18	minority 31:8,10
making 17:18 51:5	market-growing		138:23 141:22	31:12 91:14
51:7 107:4 110:6	92:19	measures 96:10	143:18 156:2	minute 10:20 14:11
112:25 119:9	marketing 12:14	measuring 101:3	membership 70:10	33:8 47:2 71:1,24
132:16,19 135:10	12:19 13:2,4	mechanism 48:7	125:25 126:3	minutes 22:17
153:1	15:16,23,24 16:2	MediaTel 94:19	141:5 142:21	59:22 167:24
man 67:10 86:22	24:25 41:11 45:15	medium 125:10	143:14	misleading 128:12
124:2 128:23	46:4 48:22 50:11	146:2	memberships	missed 159:25
managed 150:12	50:24 51:11,13,15	meet 12:16 25:24	125:21,23	mission 92:13
management 9:4	58:2,3 92:15 94:8	68:9 73:22 159:2	memoranda 35:8	mistaken 35:3
managers 9:20	143:11	159:19 161:20	36:3 128:4 130:1	mmm 9:14 19:7
managing 5:9,16	marketplace 48:1,4	162:11 163:23	memorandum 35:9	34:16 50:8 53:23
7:8 10:21 27:8	113:5	meeting 33:11	39:10 130:3	66:6 74:12 119:6
137:3	marks 102:1	34:23 73:20,21,23	memory 35:1,2	155:6
manner 102:20	Martin 67:4	136:8,9 144:9,10	mention 41:14	model 75:11 99:2
March 88:17 91:23	mass 81:3 127:3	150:12 152:10,11	109:2	115:25 118:17
94:5	massive 108:20	152:14 153:12	mentioned 38:22	130:11 147:11,21
MARCUS 1:8	material 15:16	156:10,12,16,19	58:7 161:1	modern 9:5
margin 145:15	38:14	156:20,21,21,24	merger 83:1,4 88:3	moment 17:21
marginal 111:15,19	matter 21:18 29:21	156:25 157:4,5,11	90:16,20 91:9,24	56:15 59:20 71:4
111:20	39:18 45:8 60:16	157:18,19,24	92:7,8	92:20 100:20
market 5:23 13:18	109:4 139:19,19	158:6,7,13,19	message 100:3	105:25 108:25
13:24 21:8 37:17	159:9	159:21 160:3	140:10	145:24 154:18
38:1,18 40:5,16	Matthews 67:16	161:8,15 162:7,17	met 140:9 159:7,8,9	165:6
40:22 41:2 42:4,9	maximise 27:17	163:6,8,11,13,15	159:11,13 161:5	Monday 2:1
42:11,15 43:1	maximum 84:8	163:17 164:7,14	meting 159:12	money 46:11,14,24
44:17 45:21 47:3	Mayfair 32:15,16	164:20,23 165:1,9	metric 148:20,24	48:21 73:3 82:18
47:11 48:3,10	32:17,19,23	165:11,18,19,24	metrics 101:22	92:23 93:8 123:22
50:13 51:1,3,3,4,8	MD 6:20	166:9,14 167:2	middle 3:23 22:6	144:2
51:10,12,20 53:3	Mead 161:1,3	meetings 35:14,15	22:13,14,16 53:22	Monica 160:22
55:12,22 56:18	mean 4:12 5:5	35:16 54:9,14,19	167:24	month 22:21 34:25
57:5,11 77:24	11:25 35:12 37:12	54:20 85:17 86:5	Midlands 7:4 19:19	87:5,7,14 96:25
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

97:6 106:19,20	mysterious 134:20	10:19 34:25 47:16	85:13	15:22,24 16:1,5
121:8		76:8 103:15	Notley's 16:4	16:11 62:13
months 72:21	N	116:12 123:15	noughties 48:9	objective 37:6
83:24 84:5 119:11	NALS 33:12,13,21	127:3,15 141:23	November 21:19	80:15 96:1 97:20
morning 2:3,8 3:9	34:5,7 39:3	166:22,22 167:22	34:15,20 36:8	140:20 162:19
3:10 53:16 88:23	name 85:6 126:10	Nevertheless 114:2	52:20 77:13	objectives 99:9
166:21 167:4	146:12	new 21:22 29:10	nth 138:22	obligation 27:12
Mortar 145:19,25	names 131:21	31:22 75:3,5 89:4	number 6:2 8:18	28:6,9 95:19,21
146:13 147:12	narrow 28:12	98:5,7,8 115:4,8	11:4 13:16 19:11	141:4
mortgage 75:7	national 11:13	115:11,13 116:25	19:14,14,17,20	obligations 28:11
mouthpiece 65:18	33:13 58:3	117:8 124:20	20:3 24:15,19,21	71:18 118:10
65:22	nature 15:19 55:23	127:8 128:5,16	24:24 26:3 27:5	observation 86:4
move 14:4 16:19	nearing 57:15	143:17 147:13,16	28:11 33:21 40:22	125:2
21:9 74:20 108:23	nearly 96:14	147:18	40:25 41:5,5 42:4	obtain 81:10
124:24 154:11	neat 146:12	newco's 114:25	43:8 44:19 48:5	109:17 132:21
moved 42:14 48:10	necessarily 5:4,7	news 146:9	50:14,18 55:24	141:10
55:19	5:19 48:16 140:3	newspaper 27:1,2	60:9 61:7 74:19	obtained 81:6
moving 106:8	necessary 53:2	94:1	83:5 85:10 89:18	Obtaining 31:18
MP 5:12	114:3 128:19	newspapers 26:25	95:2,3 97:16,19	obverse 139:16
multi-agent 33:17	166:15 167:25	27:4 46:11 47:1	97:23 98:16 99:23	obvious 17:22,25
multi-agents 33:19	168:5	57:18 93:18	100:1 102:9	25:19 26:8 29:12
multi-listed 121:21	need 6:17 14:1	Nick 85:16	103:14 105:8,10	124:17
multiple 107:11	51:21,24 55:5,6,6	night 138:14	105:12,20,21,22	obviously 4:8 21:12
Murray 140:21	69:6 72:4 76:14	Nine 155:2	110:1 119:11,15	24:19 26:13,16
mush 104:21	113:17 118:22	nod 73:4	120:9,15 123:7	27:15,17 28:4
mushed 149:10	151:16 160:19,23	non-confidential	124:3,6 133:19,20	40:21 41:3 44:19
mutual 1:12 13:13	164:20	166:24	133:20 137:17,24	52:24 74:8 87:14
13:18 33:10 35:7	needed 83:21	non-exec 86:23	137:25 138:5,7,8	89:10,20 91:3
36:11 39:16,24	needing 147:25	non-executive 78:3	139:22 140:2,2,2	94:4 95:15 96:11
40:2,4 41:18	needs 50:4 74:14	78:6,9 84:24	140:12,13,16,17	98:7 101:3 117:6
44:13 48:25 49:2	144:23	85:10 91:8 92:6	140:19,20,22,22	122:1 164:20
50:13,17 52:1	negotiated 11:22	nonstarter 116:6	148:4,9,10 149:3	165:18
53:3,7 69:25 70:5	negotiating 43:13	North 64:22 77:14	149:11 150:23,23	occasions 140:10
70:20 75:20,23,24	56:13	77:16	150:24 153:8,9,19	152:11
76:2 77:6,8,9	negotiation 5:25	note 101:13 102:18	155:20	odd 96:17,20
117:15,20 118:4	6:1	125:5 127:6 136:8	numbers 16:15,16	offer 24:9,10 32:1
118:16 123:6,25	negotiations 4:17	157:4 165:5,7,10	88:5 90:25 96:23	42:24,25 43:20
132:2,6,7,9,10,13	4:24 5:4,20,22 6:8	165:17 166:13	137:15	68:9,19,20 88:14
141:5 142:17	6:13	notes 34:2 157:9,13		103:6 104:3 139:2
143:3 151:5,6	negotiators 9:20	165:8	0	139:5 142:20
152:6 153:18,22	neighbour 106:23	noteworthy 143:5	o'clock 109:20	offered 17:10,11,12
158:17 161:3	network 18:15 50:7	Notley 12:15,19	110:18	17:14,15 18:2
Mutual's 129:7	54:4	13:2,7,8 14:13	object 80:16,19	20:14,24 49:2
130:11 154:1	networks 19:12	15:14 16:20 17:8	objected 162:17	50:24 69:4 98:18
myopic 88:12	never 10:7,8,8,18	17:17 42:23 43:19	objectionable	103:25

	1	1	ı	
offering 16:22 17:3	48:14 55:7,23	127:21 158:2	156:5	paid 45:23 46:19
17:8 51:17 103:25	71:19 73:7,19	organisation 4:2	ownership 74:23	82:20 106:23
119:9 143:13	74:4 97:5,7	6:20,23 11:2,10	owning 44:21	134:1
office 22:4 32:15,16	111:11 115:24	11:19 17:3,10,15	Ozwell 7:22 12:9	painful 15:20
32:17,19,22,23	116:3,7,21 119:15	18:4 33:16 43:3	12:12 13:9 14:13	paper 108:24
33:1 133:5 147:4	120:3,15 121:2	43:10 152:7	16:22 17:9,15,18	122:15,16,16
officer 32:12	123:13,19 124:22	organisations 8:18	18:20 42:23	133:16
offices 7:11,14,17	127:8 128:21	organise 158:19	Ozwell's 11:19	papers 98:10
15:16 16:3 33:1,2	129:23 130:14	organised 163:12	19:18	paragraph 3:22,23
33:4	137:3 138:2 152:9	original 114:12		8:15 15:1,2 18:14
official 62:19	152:21 153:7	162:1,13,16 163:9	P	19:10 24:12 29:22
Oh 11:12 15:9 21:3	154:6 155:1,8,18	originally 84:7	pace 74:21	33:6 35:13,15,18
okay 8:14 14:22	155:23 156:2	OTM 5:22 7:12,15	package 16:23	36:1,23 38:7,8
15:12 23:4 24:12	166:6	7:19 8:10,12 20:3	packing 120:6	39:25 41:24 44:7
27:1 35:21 43:18	OOP 35:23 37:4	22:12 37:1 38:9	page 2:17,22 12:8	44:8,8,12 48:23
45:18 64:24 77:18	44:14 48:25 49:11	40:5 42:2,8,16,17	12:13 14:12 15:2	49:25 50:22 52:8
87:18 93:8 97:8	50:16 53:2 113:17	43:1 45:23,24	15:5 21:17,23,24	53:1,5 60:21,22
100:7 167:21	115:16 117:4	46:19 47:15 51:4	22:5,22 29:22	64:4,7,16,23,24
Old 32:22 33:4	124:16 127:10	54:1,5,6 55:12,22	32:11 33:9 35:8	65:9 76:14 101:12
omission 143:5	128:14,20 129:8	72:5,21 73:9 74:5	36:3 38:24 39:1,8	101:12 111:6
once 24:8 27:23	129:11 142:13,19	76:9 120:9 121:10	41:24,24 60:10,13	112:11 113:15,21
36:12,13 124:15	153:23	122:24 130:4	60:13 61:7,11,12	115:1 117:23,25
one's 65:22 85:20	open 50:15 60:9	142:18	61:21,24 69:12	118:13,14 120:18
one-off 84:4,6	124:25 156:25	OTM's 129:9	70:1,10,23 72:17	120:20,21 121:18
one-to-one 107:21	157:21	140:16	84:17 86:16,21	124:1,25 127:25
161:20 162:11	opening 93:24	ought 93:8 154:14	87:4,19,19,20	128:2,3 129:4,5,6
163:4	operated 75:10	outline 102:2	90:13 91:4,24	130:7 131:13
onerous 71:21	operations 10:24	outlined 49:5	92:17 94:12 96:9	132:12 133:6,8,24
141:18	opinions 6:19	102:24	97:9,14 100:8,8	134:15,18 138:16
ones 40:19 125:9	opportunities	outright 78:24	100:20,21,22,25	140:25 141:2
125:11 144:21,24	159:5	outset 142:21	101:1,5 102:4,5,7	142:4,15,15 143:1
153:15	opportunity 15:1	143:14	103:5 111:6	153:14 158:5,9,11
oneself 108:4	16:21 45:20 97:14	outside 52:9	113:15 114:22	paragraphs 15:11
online 20:15,25	103:6 105:3	oven 106:5	115:3 116:14	19:22 84:22
21:1,5,13 23:25	154:15,16 159:15	overall 131:8	117:22,25 120:18	paraphrase 136:15
24:3,5,11 48:6	opposed 98:4 100:2	149:18 162:19	120:21 125:12	paraphrased
92:14,15,25 93:7	119:8 120:13	overtake 140:1,12	139:13 143:2	135:13
94:9 146:11	opposition 140:13	153:19	145:14 148:4	Paribas 83:17
147:18,19	option 38:12 99:23	overtaking 139:23	150:4,11 151:19	100:9
OnThe 162:19	117:7,8	140:4	153:14 154:20,22	park 157:23
OnTheMarket 5:3	options 50:15	overtly 144:7	155:4 158:12,24	Parliament 5:17
7:6 13:25 18:4	order 10:1,3 18:6	owe 27:13	160:6,22,25	10:22
19:13,19,21 20:5	38:14 45:12 51:23	owed 71:19	163:19	part 5:20 6:1,4 7:4
23:8 37:20 38:19	65:19 79:5 81:13	owned 7:2 18:16,19	pages 102:3 136:20	8:1 11:18,19,20
42:11,22 47:3,10	113:25 114:14	49:6 72:21 77:4,7	166:16,17,25	17:2 18:20 21:10

				1 age 100
34:3 40:10 50:7	pausing 103:17	62:19 87:15	51:22,25 114:22	145:4,5,10 159:3
51:24 57:17 58:1	118:19 153:20	107:14 138:1	114:25 164:14	159:22
63:4,21 64:1 65:4	pay 48:16 57:25	147:5	platform 92:3	plus 150:19
71:10 75:11 79:8	78:23 79:16 82:11	personal 44:1,2	Platt 162:3 163:18	pm 110:23,25
81:7 115:5 130:5	82:13 105:23	91:17	163:20 164:6	168:7
130:15,23 131:8	112:4,5 123:16	personally 26:18	166:10	point 4:15 19:18
131:10 133:2	126:7,12,12	122:12 131:22	play 93:5 128:1	20:13,22 38:7
134:3 141:7,19	pay-to-list 96:8	persons 147:5	player 37:7,10,15	45:5 48:2 51:5,6
143:6 149:17	paying 25:18 78:24	perspective 23:15	40:22 41:4 94:15	52:8 56:3 65:14
150:8 166:14	80:4 149:2	89:22 96:23	95:2 119:15	70:2 74:10 79:18
partial 117:12	payments 72:10	140:16,17	146:19	87:11,20 88:22
participant 32:17	payments 72.10 pays 98:11	persuade 52:3	players 87:25 90:17	90:9,19,21 91:13
participant 32.17 participate 4:24	people 6:2,10 12:9	153:17	94:10,16 122:25	92:8 94:7 95:18
1 -	1		*	
5:21 6:13	12:13 20:2,3	PETER 1:9	123:8,10 124:11	97:15 107:4 108:2
particular 3:18	21:12 24:5 25:3,9	PHILIP 1:19	124:15,21 127:23	108:23 110:3
14:24 24:23 30:21	25:10,17,23 26:3	phone 27:22	129:17 144:5	112:25 113:10
35:8 36:3 40:10	26:9,10,12,12,15	photographs 93:20	playing 128:22	116:10,13 123:12
58:7 70:20 89:17	26:15,24 27:5	93:21	152:16,18	128:21 129:4
99:12 109:3	33:3 35:15 45:4,8	phrase 127:5	plays 152:17	133:23 135:10,16
133:16 135:15,17	65:16 85:7 87:6	128:24	Plc 6:21 7:2,7 8:5	135:17,19,19
135:19 141:20	87:13 88:17 89:2	phraseology 74:20	13:16 27:10,13	136:1,12,13,14,15
particularly 14:25	89:3,5,8,14,16,18	pick 68:25 69:1	43:2 53:18 76:25	136:21,23 137:1
74:24 79:22	89:23,24 95:6,7	94:3 136:21 138:1	77:1,2	138:9,11,12 148:8
113:13	96:5 98:5,7	139:13 140:5	Plc's 23:15	154:14 160:2,10
parties 64:12 98:9	104:25 106:22	picked 135:14	please 2:10,21 12:3	160:12 164:21
156:23 166:9	126:25 127:1,10	138:10	14:12 15:7 20:21	166:12 167:5,6
partly 59:3	127:14 131:23,25	picking 22:4 56:8	21:15,16,20 22:12	pointing 151:24
partner 92:16	132:2,4 142:7	135:20 137:6	22:23 24:12 38:23	points 15:14 22:11
126:10	146:24 163:7	picture 136:18	41:25 48:23 50:1	22:15
partners 37:22	people's 9:23 66:3	pie 115:25	60:6 61:6,17	policy 70:3
113:24	Pepsi 41:8	piece 108:24	69:11 84:15,17	politer 97:22
partnership 78:12	PepsiCo 8:23	122:15,16 136:14	92:17 94:12 100:6	politics 9:5
78:15 79:3,8	perceive 74:8	pieces 122:16	100:7 111:5,7	population 106:20
80:11,23 81:8	percentage 80:3,4	133:15	115:1 118:13	portal 3:15,16,21
84:21	81:10 96:15	pigeonhole 32:19	138:18 145:3	4:6 10:7,8,9,18,19
parts 26:18	perception 157:11	pitch 151:16	152:19 154:15	13:22,22 16:9
party 47:21 101:10	perfectly 128:13	153:23 154:1,2	155:25 159:18	24:14,16,19,22
101:17 121:16	129:12	pitching 26:11	plenty 119:20	25:8,9,10,11,15
161:23 166:3	period 17:6,7 138:4	place 1:4 34:5,25	136:10 143:16	26:9,11,15,16
passage 138:9	periods 17:4	43:23 48:3 83:11	144:2,6	35:6 37:13,18
patience 74:10,17	peripatetic 147:5	90:20 163:15	plot 80:20 134:21	38:3,11 39:18
PAUL 1:19	permission 60:2	places 58:25	140:8	40:16 41:14 42:4
pause 15:5,7,9,11	permit 70:3	plainly 144:23	Plumtree 67:2	44:17,24,25 46:10
64:24 92:20	pernicious 139:15	157:12	69:13,14,21 70:23	47:16 48:12 49:6
134:19 138:18	person 6:3 26:6,6	plan 45:15 51:21	71:2,8 72:3,12	50:3,21 51:20
15, 150.10	r	r-32	, 1.2,0 , 2.3,12	30.5,2101.20
	1	•	1	1

				Page 187
52:9,11,14,25	position 6:6 20:10	premises 147:3	141:24	proceedings 2:19
53:8 56:11,16,25	26:5 38:10 42:4	premium 126:14	price 4:1,8,10,16	60:11 61:9,15,22
58:6,11 62:9,12	56:13 70:19 80:12	prepared 2:18 36:4	25:4 26:1 46:7	62:2,23 63:9
86:13 87:6,14	80:25 81:2 90:12	71:22 162:11		67:22 68:10,23,24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			47:4 48:16,19	, ,
88:8 89:1,3,4,5,6	91:7,18 94:14	preparing 35:10	83:14,25 84:1,2	process 21:11
89:14,15,15,16,18	95:5 123:5 124:6	preselected 103:14	95:15,22 109:4,5	74:22 75:7 122:9
89:19,22 90:17	124:8 137:17,20	103:16	126:16 148:21,25	163:5
96:5 99:7,11	137:24 138:5	presence 32:20	149:5	processes 74:25
101:3 104:13	139:16 144:4	present 30:6	prices 5:6 18:7 43:7	75:15
105:5 106:9,21	151:13 166:10,21	139:11 157:16	43:14	procurement 141:4
113:5 114:15	positive 4:6,6	presentation 34:8	pricing 15:21 21:8	141:25
115:4,8,12,13	possession 133:10	36:8,11,15 38:22	43:4 49:2 100:16	produced 64:20
116:4,8,25 119:11	133:13,17	39:2 40:11,13,21	100:19	produces 136:7
123:17 127:18	possibility 116:12	52:18 55:1 86:24	primary 27:12,13	production 87:9
128:5,8,16,18,25	possible 15:17	86:25 87:2 88:7	28:6,9	professional 9:1
129:8,10,15,19	20:19 25:23 48:11	91:18,23 99:18	Primelocation	professionals 92:16
130:10,25 137:25	52:1 95:23,25	144:19 145:13	47:19,21,22 48:8	profit 30:5,10 84:3
138:25 139:19	96:2 107:10 151:2	148:3 151:18	87:24 88:2,20	84:6 145:15
148:5,9,22,24	151:4	152:24 153:3,4	90:15 115:7	profits 39:4 40:14
149:13,13 152:1	post 66:10 102:19	154:7 155:25	116:16 117:3	programme 41:12
153:5,6,8,9,19	postcode 107:23	156:6,7,8,10	primer 100:15	146:21
162:18,21,22	potential 21:21	presentations 13:6	principle 25:2,2	progress 149:20
163:1	24:16 25:8 141:11	33:11,17 34:3	46:5,6 48:14	projections 93:11
portals 3:12 4:5,9	159:5	35:5,19,22 36:17	62:13,14,17 95:16	93:13,14
10:13 16:17,18	potentially 3:15 4:6	40:2 41:13 121:1	99:17,19 127:10	prominence 15:16
26:23,24 27:4	103:13 143:22	121:4	principles 76:2	15:23 16:3
29:23 39:5 40:14	pounds 68:22	presented 35:15	print 92:24 93:9,12	promise 21:17
46:25 49:7 55:22	power 3:13 10:12	54:20,21 145:4	93:15 94:8,11	119:17,24,25
57:17,23,25 58:4	43:14	165:23	prior 6:4 8:17,22	120:2,5,7,10,11
58:16,17 80:4	powerful 95:14,16	presenting 155:12	8:23,24 10:24	158:4
88:15 89:17,24	115:2	press 84:17 86:17	54:6 142:21	promised 155:8
93:4,22 94:6	PowerPoint 153:15	119:20 121:4	priori 124:5	promises 119:10,12
99:22 103:2	practical 116:12	pressing 108:9	private 164:5,13	120:1,23,23
104:11,19 105:14	practice 130:24	pressure 71:9	pro 84:16	121:10
115:10 118:18,20	pray 93:4	presumably 37:2	probably 22:3,20	promoting 16:2
118:23 121:22	prechecked 108:7	65:19 78:19 81:18	31:12 34:16,19	95:24
122:2,7 123:14,21	precise 34:15 43:8	82:15 115:16	36:6 43:14 64:25	promotion 126:5
124:3,6 125:21	predecessor 5:14	140:5	65:1 76:1 81:16	prompted 161:2,11
130:17 131:12	predetermined	pretty 99:8 121:14	98:19 109:25	prop 143:17 144:1
143:11 151:7	124:3,6	123:17	121:15	properties 9:24,25
152:2 159:5	preference 162:1	prevalence 101:24	problem 49:19	19:12,20 20:18
portals' 122:22	162:13,16	previous 20:20	50:19,20 58:6	23:19 26:2 28:1
pose 145:18 147:11	preferential 15:19	94:13 142:15	126:21,23 127:4,7	29:8 38:19 45:24
147:15,17	preferred 126:10	151:9	proceed 157:17	46:20 47:15 58:13
poses 147:14	preliminaries 2:4	previously 75:10	163:21	78:17 92:23 99:25
r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F	r	100.21	, 5.1, , 2.25 , , .25
	1		1	1

	1	1	1	1
101:19 102:14	protection 71:13	65:11 82:18 84:9	46:8	re-examination
107:25 111:21	74:3	84:15 90:16 91:3	quickest 95:23	53:14,15 154:19
112:3,16,22 113:9	protectionist 62:15	99:11 104:25	quickly 120:10	169:5
114:10 115:5	protects 141:14	128:22 134:20	161:24	re-examine 154:16
117:1 118:11	proved 127:11	137:6 156:3	quid 69:4	reach 24:17,20,22
126:6,13 127:19	provide 20:7 27:19	159:16	quiet 160:17	25:12,12,14,17
128:17,25 129:2	27:20 63:7,14,23	puts 67:24 139:3	Quinn 133:21	26:11,15,16 88:15
129:10,18,23	64:14 111:10	putting 9:11 75:13	quite 6:7 10:10	reached 27:5
142:18 146:6	provided 49:13	93:5	18:13 34:20 42:14	164:25
148:1	60:6 64:18 121:22		54:13 56:21 58:4	reaching 25:18
property 10:13	121:24 122:10	Q	59:5 66:2 76:4	26:9 95:5,6,11
16:8 20:12 23:6,7	123:4 167:4	QC 1:17,19	82:8 86:4 88:21	reacting 18:5
23:11,16 24:14	providing 64:8,11	QE 133:20	89:9 94:24 96:14	reacts 72:15
25:1,3,8,25 26:4,6	64:17 65:6	qualification 9:2	99:4 101:11	read 12:21,23,24
26:7 27:23 29:2	proxy 148:21,25	qualitative 20:14	122:24 124:22	14:18,18,20 15:4
29:14,15,23 30:7	public 32:25 85:22	20:23	146:11 148:14	16:21,24 27:2
31:2,18 32:1,6,7	130:2	quality 10:1,3	149:12 157:5	35:8 36:3 64:24
45:25 46:7,21	publications 93:20	38:20 101:14,16	160:1 163:6 164:8	100:23 111:12
50:4 57:23 59:13	publicly 166:7	102:10 104:22,23	quo 45:2,7	126:18 128:23
83:2 87:1 88:8	publish 100:15	108:21 121:5	quotation 125:16	134:18 138:15,17
92:15,16 93:25	punted 126:8,9	quarter 68:21 69:4	135:5	138:18,19 139:14
95:23 98:20 99:7	purchase 32:7	83:10 84:5	quote 55:14 124:25	148:14 152:12
102:13,18 103:4	71:15	queries 23:10	125:16 126:19	readership 27:3
104:15 105:13	purchased 101:20	question 5:24 6:6	128:3 135:2 136:2	reading 85:20
107:3,16,18	purchaser 29:12	12:18,24,25 13:1	136:3 137:4	ready 106:5 159:18
121:21 122:21	101:10	13:23 14:3 15:25	quoted 77:1 136:23	real 8:14
125:19 126:15,16	purchasers 28:15	20:20,21 26:19	quoting 66:4	realistic 124:17
143:23 146:23	28:21 101:22	28:3,18,20 31:22	119:23 130:2	reality 68:24 117:7
proportion 68:9,11	107:10	49:23,24 53:11	137:2	120:18,22
125:18	pure 146:17	55:2,20 57:14,21		really 26:1 34:17
proportions 97:3	purely 75:24	63:12,17 89:12,13	R	39:7 42:14 60:24
proposal 159:16	147:19	95:9 102:1 107:8	rain 94:2	104:24 105:1
propose 2:4 162:4	Purple 143:22	116:2 120:22	raise 110:11	127:7 138:1
proposed 164:5	146:18,23	122:8 123:2 143:2	raised 72:20,24	146:15 151:20,21
proposing 69:23	purpose 62:21,23	164:21	143:25 158:16	reason 17:16 18:1
proposition 12:17	63:10,18 64:15	questioning 143:2	164:21 167:17	27:15 29:7 44:15
23:6 25:16 26:14	161:17	questions 3:6 23:13	raises 70:2	46:2,22 79:21
76:4 89:7 99:10	purposes 30:6 33:5	53:17 54:8,11	raising 143:16	88:21 95:14,16
99:14 114:7 130:5	62:2 157:16	55:9 57:16 58:22	144:2 167:16	118:7 129:19
130:19 131:2	pursue 161:16	58:23 62:4 65:11	rapidly 74:9,10,17	131:9 138:5 142:4
155:10 156:3	pursuing 72:9	68:5 158:1,2	rate 112:8	143:6 156:19
prospective 28:15	push 14:16 15:18	166:5,15	rates 50:25 51:18	reasonable 72:9
28:21,25 29:11	72:4	quick 14:16 46:8	52:12 78:20	125:10
125:18 130:4	put 8:15 14:5 20:10	161:21	ratio 96:20,21	reasons 27:25
protect 18:6,10	20:19 23:20 58:14	quicker 16:1 28:19	rationale 129:11,14	106:25 124:16

				Page 189
138:16,18	65:15 114:23,23	34:17 42:25 55:17	requirements	6:21,22,25 7:7,7
reassurance 120:13	134:11 139:13	57:19 83:25 84:1	102:16	7:15,21 8:8,12,13
reassurances	referring 11:7 73:6	85:6 123:11	requires 71:12	10:14,16,17,23,24
121:13	73:16 133:23	135:22,24 145:9	requires 71.12	11:12 13:19 14:14
reassured 23:8	148:15	remind 36:20 165:8	Residential 33:25	15:3 16:19 18:13
recall 53:19,24	refers 32:15 76:20	reminded 98:13	resistance 75:13	18:20 19:4,4,8
54:3,11 55:12	84:21 135:1	remove 118:22	resistant 75:2	20:11 22:10,10,18
82:12 122:17,18	reflection 117:6	remover 18.22	resource 92:14	24:2 29:20 30:9
152:15	166:13	140:22	respect 4:1 71:14	30:18 34:22,25
receive 32:3 115:10	regard 38:14 46:3	rent 20:12 32:1	107:16,17	35:3,11,21 36:5
126:13	59:1,7 71:13	104:14,15 105:6	respective 166:11	36:10,11 37:3,22
received 79:17	regarding 101:14	104.14,13 103.0	respond 107:22	37:23 39:6 40:23
receiving 106:21	regardless 26:23	rental 29:24	response 64:17	41:5,20 42:18
recognise 2:17 30:1	regards 45:2,7	renting 59:13	70:24 71:5 102:17	43:17 44:7 45:3,9
38:25 39:6,7 60:9	60:20	repeat 27:21	158:17 161:14	45:17 44:7 43:3,9
61:7,20 104:7	region 52:3 102:21	106:22	responsibility 28:8	47:18,19,23 49:22
148:23	register 106:9,11	repeating 7:16	responsive 161:22	50:7 51:14,20
recollection 54:23	regressive 62:15	56:21 96:4	rest 7:3 54:3	55:8 57:12 62:21
81:20	regular 102:22	replace 37:24,25	restrict 112:14	63:4,21,25 64:10
record 34:23	regularly 104:1	38:2	114:14	65:8 66:7,18,22
108:12	regulated 75:8	replacing 37:7,11	restrictions 112:24	67:8,9 68:24
recorded 106:14	relate 30:10	37:16,16 38:6	restrictive 124:23	70:14,25 71:20
122:6	related 37:18 65:7	139:21,23 140:2,2	result 32:1,4 57:6	70.14,23 71.20 72:23 73:4 76:15
recording 136:9	relation 31:25	140:4,15,21	resume 59:22	76:16 77:21,25
records 122:3,5	71:18 74:18	155:16	110:19	78:1,4,5,13,14
158:15	141:10	replied 71:2 161:19	resumes 107:9	79:24 83:8,25
red 126:16	relationship 44:20	replies 161:25	retained 19:3	86:13 87:16 88:1
redacted 144:21,22	50:9 91:15	reply 72:12 159:20	retire 108:6	88:4 90:8,16,24
redaction 167:1	relative 79:9 80:25	162:5 163:18,20	return 16:23 78:19	91:10 96:13 97:2
redactions 136:11	101:14	replying 137:13	returned 110:18	98:2,15 99:5,13
reduce 105:4	relatively 42:16	report 101:24	revealed 70:11	100:13 101:11
reduced 52:12	relaxed 163:6	104:9 109:20	167:3	100:13 101:11
126:16	release 84:17 86:17	reported 101:7,22	revenue 30:4,10	105:7,8 114:5,10
refer 34:2 40:10	relevant 65:17	reports 67:3 69:16	31:19 96:11,12,13	115:18 116:18
54:9 118:14 120:1	113:13 123:12	representatives	96:21 97:23 98:1	119:24 120:7
122:20 124:2	141:25 159:11	157:2	98:3,15 99:1	123:1,23 125:7,14
153:15 155:4	reliant 115:9 144:3	representing 6:10	148:17 149:4,21	127:4,15 131:3
158:12	relied 35:2	request 101:18	149:22	132:10 135:25
reference 23:3	relisted 72:4	103:9 122:1,19	revenues 32:3	132:10 133:23
34:12 39:8 42:1	rely 35:1 93:19	require 115:4	98:16	145:4,7,11 149:12
54:23 70:13 73:20	relying 104:9	116:25	Richard 73:1 159:2	151:10 153:1,16
86:5 98:17 99:21	remain 66:23	required 49:4	159:23	153:20 157:16
113:17 114:21	161:15	51:11	rid 14:4	159:9 166:19
120:23 145:16	remained 75:22	requirement 51:13	right 4:20 5:13,17	167:22
referred 61:3 64:12	remember 13:9	115:11,13	5:18 6:11,12,20	rightly 19:18 88:22
1010110401.3 04.12	TOMOMBOL 13.7	113.11,13	3.10 0.11,12,20	11gmuy 17.10 00.22
	l	l	<u> </u>	l

				1 age 190
Rightmove 3:12,25	roughly 101:8,15	Sayers 67:16	Sedley 96:18	seeking 112:14
4:22 5:22 6:10,15	round 42:23 84:11	saying 8:11 10:10	see 12:6,7,9 15:1,8	seen 25:11 34:10
10:14,15 13:14	147:6,7 152:20	17:21 28:5 31:11	15:19,24 16:1,4,7	36:19 38:24 86:4
19:4,8 20:2,3,5	Royal 77:24 113:19	47:2 49:11 55:17	16:22,24 17:8,16	86:16 93:13
37:7,11,15,20	rub 143:15	72:13 88:7 90:1	19:22 21:12,18,23	114:20 121:2
38:1,4 40:8,22	rude 14:15 25:20	97:22 103:23	22:1,1,6,7,10,24	149:25 150:2,6
42:8,13,20 44:9	rudely 82:21	108:4 116:7,24	23:1 29:17 35:18	157:4 158:23
45:3,7,24 46:20	rule 13:22,22 35:6	123:3,20 127:17	35:20 37:5 39:8	select 102:12
47:4,23 48:7,17	37:4,13,18 44:14	129:14 143:12	39:11,15,25 42:5	103:19 136:22
50:25 51:2,10,12	44:25 48:25 49:11	144:1 147:18	48:23 58:14 62:12	139:13
51:14 56:4,9,19	50:16,21 52:9,14	149:20 162:4	62:15 64:4 66:2	selected 100:1
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			135:15
57:1,2,7 58:20	52:25 53:2,8	says 12:13 25:2 29:22 30:16 49:15	70:25 71:2,24	
70:4 77:20 78:2	109:12 113:17		72:15,17 73:9	selection 135:20
79:20 81:4 87:23	115:16 116:8,9	70:1,7 72:3 75:9	74:11,15 82:10	137:6
88:18 90:23 94:20	124:13 127:18	86:25 90:6 100:9	84:18,22 87:5,10	self-inflicted
95:6,8,10,16 96:1	128:14,20 129:9	112:2 115:17	88:9 90:6 94:11	127:16
96:13,24 97:6	129:11,15 130:25	137:14 139:5,21	94:22 96:11,15	sell 16:8 20:12
98:4 99:3,22	131:10 141:25	152:8 160:8	97:16,17,24	23:18 25:4,25
100:22,23 102:13	142:13,19 153:23	161:12 162:13,23	100:10,10,14,17	26:6 29:8,14 30:7
103:15,20,21	162:18,21,23	162:25	101:1,8 102:8	32:7 83:19,20
104:1,3,6,22	163:2	scale 79:12	104:1,11 106:11	98:8 104:16 105:6
105:16,21,24	rules 68:7 131:11	scatter 102:17	106:12 111:9	105:13 147:1
106:18 107:12,15	run 8:20 62:9,12	scenario 142:14	112:8 115:1	152:23,25
108:15 112:13,19	98:24	scenarios 116:23	117:22 118:15	seller 23:10 107:1
112:23 113:21	run-up 150:1	scene 10:16	120:19 121:6,12	sellers 23:6,16
114:1,1,7,10	running 143:13	scientific 104:8	126:25 128:25	selling 8:21 10:2
115:6,22 116:16	runs 8:1,2 61:1	search 94:6 99:7	129:1 131:14	59:12 84:1 147:24
116:20 117:2,18	75:11	102:1,11,12,18	133:6,19 134:15	seminar 12:14,18
118:25 119:3		103:4,18,20,21	134:16 135:2	13:1
124:8 126:14	S	104:4 105:12,16	137:10 138:9,17	seminars 13:4
127:20 128:15	s 18:20 145:9	126:10,15	138:24 141:8	send 99:23 103:6
129:8 130:9	sale 9:24 23:12	searches 102:14,18	142:23 144:23	107:20,23 108:17
137:21 138:3	25:1 32:1,1 71:15	second 15:2 26:22	147:20,24,25	161:11
145:15,16 149:1	93:21 106:13	36:23 38:10 61:8	148:2,5,8,11,15	sending 37:25
151:1,14 153:5	sales 8:20 9:22 24:8	64:4 96:5 102:23	148:16,19 150:4	sends 72:12
Rightmove's 42:3	29:24 32:4 85:18	102:24 112:18	150:21 152:12	senior 145:11
94:20 99:6,14	sanguine 146:13	113:10,14 118:1	155:5 156:16	sense 15:18 24:4
101:8,18	satisfaction 27:25	120:17,18,21	157:7 158:9,25	85:8 86:1 90:2
rise 59:22	satisfied 49:7	130:8 138:11	160:2,6,15,22	107:13 118:8,10
risen 157:7	save 60:16 72:14	146:5 166:10	163:10 165:16,18	122:15 162:2,14
risk 71:23	saw 9:9 35:14,16	167:5	166:25 167:7	sensible 26:17
road 157:3	36:11,12,12,13	secondly 72:25	168:4	sensitive 166:7,8,17
role 6:8 7:25 9:12	39:2 52:18,18	103:24	seed 143:20	sent 64:9,9 70:24
13:16	71:21 86:17 123:7	secret 13:20	seek 114:1,12	132:6,9 134:6,6
Rook 67:16	133:4	section 93:25,25	seekers 45:25 46:21	137:12 158:7,12
100K 07.10		Section 73.23,23	SCENCIS TJ.2J TU.21	137.12 130.7,12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 191
16212	112 2 0 114 11	(4.10.67.6.0	100 22 100 2	02 0 10 22 22
sentence 16:2,12	113:2,8 114:11	64:18 67:6,8	108:23 109:2	83:8,10,22,23
22:24 30:24 31:17	116:20	71:10 80:22 92:7	110:9 111:1 158:3	84:4,8 146:10
, ,	shareholders'	100:11,25 101:6	158:10 163:10	solely 144:2
35:23,25 38:13,15	46:14 91:20	109:24 127:10	165:5,16 168:6	Solutions 76:25
	shareholding 81:7	138:2	sit 27:10 85:16	Some-time 122:9
118:15 119:25	81:10,23,24 82:10	sided 22:1	site 50:5 71:13	somebody 18:24
120:18,21 130:8	82:11,21,23 83:8	sides 50:10,12	106:15	19:1,23 32:10
131:14 139:14	83:10,18 84:5,10	103:13	sites 107:11,23	35:10 41:2 51:18
159:25	91:13,14	sights 153:9	sits 6:3 98:21	66:4 104:23
	shares 67:12 83:6	sign 38:11 49:1	sitting 6:9 108:4	119:23 125:1
73:1 108:12	152:25 156:4	127:1 130:18	164:13	131:16 147:6
separately 159:8	shave 167:23 168:5	156:1	situation 3:11	150:3
September 73:21	shed 123:5	signature 2:23	136:12 141:21	somebody's 26:9
144:14 150:13	sheet 75:4,6,14	60:14 61:11,24	six 18:24 83:24	sorry 5:2 7:16
151:3 158:6,25	99:23 107:21,24	signed 7:5 18:4	84:5 147:10	11:10 21:3 33:24
series 22:11	shift 94:8	44:13 76:5 131:1	sixth 2:22	34:11 63:17 64:6
serious 121:9	shiny 126:11	131:9,10	size 78:22 81:22	87:3 95:9 100:23
	Shipperley 67:1	significant 37:7,10	82:1 100:22,23	105:21 116:19
20:24,24 23:3,5,6	69:19	37:14 71:9 95:11	sized 125:10,10	117:24 120:20
	shoes 128:22	102:20 125:17	skills 9:21,22,22	121:3 128:2
,	shoot 161:5	significantly 42:15	skins 84:9	134:14 154:21
· ·	shop 96:2 98:10	79:10	Skipton 77:4,7	159:25
	shopping 110:4	signing 50:14,18	sky 116:1	sort 62:19 73:14
,	short 32:2 53:10,11	130:15,23	slide 86:25 151:19	92:25 165:25
session 156:25	53:12 59:25	signs 62:19	152:13,13 154:8	sorts 17:19 22:15
set 10:7,8,9 25:7	109:20,22	silver 125:25	154:13 155:7	148:3
	shorter 138:4	similar 17:2 81:19	slides 36:17 38:24	sought 71:17
	shortish 146:2	99:14 146:21	87:9 144:19 145:3	113:16 142:17
-	Shortly 55:9	Simon 160:5,9	152:12 153:15	153:17
	shoulder 42:13	simple 5:24 12:25	154:22	source 57:3 90:6
	shout 164:4	91:2 99:7,12,18	slightly 20:1 99:2	98:14 109:16,19
	show 38:11,22 89:5	124:7 128:13	116:10 126:15	111:11 133:3
163:5	89:8,13 93:11	simply 48:9 57:4	slow 75:1,3,4	134:3
severe 51:19	147:6 150:22	80:23 86:3 95:5	small 68:9,11,12	sources 28:17
	showed 40:21	96:4 106:1,11,14	85:7,8 91:14	98:16 104:9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	showing 133:20	108:8 124:8	smaller 79:22,25	SPA 71:14,15
101:7,7,20 109:4	148:19	128:19 140:1	80:1 87:25 93:15	space 144:1 147:14
	shown 35:7,9 36:2	153:6 158:1	smallish 20:3	speak 96:22 110:3
151:22 152:1,4	36:4,7,17,19	167:16	Smith 1:8 160:8	speaking 44:1
151.22 152.1,4	133:8	simultaneously	smoke 55:3	56:18 57:10
	shows 121:22	41:11	snapshot 87:4	specific 33:18
shareholder 66:17	139:16,17 154:9	single 27:22 82:4	90:12,20	89:12,13 107:17
shareholders 27:15	155:14	92:3 100:3,3	Society 77:5,7	154:2
	shut 158:1	129:1,10 139:14	software 98:21	specifically 24:8
1 1 1		sir 2:3 59:20		64:3 72:24
03.44 114.41	side 21:25 32:3	SH 2.3 37.20	sold 31:1 82:23	04.3 /2.24
			l	<u> </u>

				1 age 192
speculate 69:8,10	stand 33:13 42:10	statements 2:11	52:13 120:13	suggestion 15:23
speed 46:24 48:21	71:25 84:10	60:7 65:24 107:10	stronger 56:6,9,10	16:5 80:21 121:9
51:15 92:19,22	standard 52:4	119:20 120:8	strongest 52:2	132:16,19 139:10
94:6	standard 32.4 stands 76:24 138:5	121:4,10	structure 78:21	154:3 155:7
spending 93:8,11	start 60:25 63:18	stating 124:5	147:22	161:14 163:9,14
143:10	69:12 117:8 121:1	stating 124.3 stats 96:22 106:14	structures 8:23	suggestions 16:4
spends 101:2	130:6 167:17	status 45:2,7	struggling 75:12	suggestions 10.4 suggests 102:8
_	168:5	statute 59:15	strugging 73.12 stuck 154:23	suggests 102.8
spent 46:10 48:20				
Spicerhaart 160:8	started 8:17 19:3	statutory 85:17	student 150:10	summarise 135:8
Spicerhaart's	40:14 45:1,6	stay 94:3 127:13	subject 65:7 68:3	summarises 136:4
79:12	155:19 167:9	step 92:9,20	submission 157:1	summary 49:14
spoken 159:24	starting 79:17	Stephen 67:1	subscription 70:10	135:6 139:11
160:3 162:4	90:21	stepped 85:15	143:18	Sun 77:24 113:19
sponsoring 143:8	starts 114:24	steps 163:3	subsequent 84:4	superseded 61:5
spooky 139:15	state 17:5	stick 55:5 127:2,12	subsequently 32:4	supersedes 61:2
Springett 33:20	stated 113:21	stock 50:4 78:2	73:23 77:23 81:14	supplier 6:2,3
34:8 36:14 52:7	158:18	stop 152:19,23	115:7 122:25	supply 98:20
52:15 54:21 65:9	statement 2:13,18	156:18	subsidiaries 75:1	support 53:7
65:12 71:25 72:24	2:22,25 3:14,22	stopping 138:16	75:15	101:16 113:16,25
73:10,12 76:19	4:4 8:16 12:6	story 63:4,21 64:1	substantially 71:6	135:15,17 138:10
113:16 119:21	14:9 18:14 24:12	65:4 69:12	success 31:15	162:20
134:13 135:7	29:17,21,21 32:10	straightforward	successful 38:9	supported 47:7
136:7 137:13,13	33:6 34:10,22	6:7 137:20 140:24	50:3 83:19 117:10	48:15 52:11
138:21 139:17	35:11 36:5 39:25	strategic 78:12,15	117:11 147:24	162:19
140:9 144:12,13	48:24 49:25 54:9	79:3,8 81:7 84:20	149:21 154:25	supporter 75:20
144:18 145:3,13	55:11 60:10 61:4	166:5	155:23	supporting 159:17
148:13 152:15	61:8,20,21 62:1	strategy 50:11 52:6	successfully 45:17	supportive 62:9
155:25 156:17	62:23,25 63:2,7	52:15 58:2,3	47:11 115:25	supports 15:15
158:7,12,16,19,25	63:11,14,19 64:5	97:10,12 115:6	116:4	136:13,15
160:6,19 161:9,11	64:13,15,23 65:2	139:22,24 148:4	suddenly 47:11	suppose 80:1
162:13 163:25	65:3,19,23 76:13	153:7 155:5,14	suggest 13:15 17:25	supposed 135:22
164:7,15 165:2,21	97:10 111:5	straw 124:2	17:25 37:12 41:13	167:1
165:24	112:17,18 113:11	strays 65:3	47:9,10 123:25	sure 6:17 10:4 11:1
Springett's 113:22	113:12,14,22	stream 3:16,17,21	124:17 127:6	14:10 16:14 18:13
148:2 165:17	114:12 117:22	98:15	128:13 132:12	20:1,17 26:2
166:2,4	118:14 119:23	streams 97:23 98:3	140:7 153:20	27:17,18 76:3
square 111:12	120:17 121:18	street 82:8 128:23	157:17 163:12	89:9 90:11 93:14
square 111.12 staff 9:16	120.17 121.18	147:3,4	164:2	107:24 109:14
stan 9.10 stage 7:9,11 11:12	124.1 123.3	strengthen 42:3	suggested 103:12	110:2 113:3
68:10 82:21 85:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	80:11,25	163:18	137:21 158:23
132:14	128:19 133:6,7,22	-		
	134:23,24 137:7	strengthened 81:2	suggesting 24:20	161:16,21 163:20
stages 7:1 81:15,17	137:19,20 138:6	strengthens 56:12	25:13 26:13 80:19	163:23 165:21
144:3 157:17	140:25 141:2	stress 86:11	80:20,23 89:2	167:5
stake 67:13 81:19	144:17 153:13,14	stroke 90:21	91:2 117:6 124:5	surely 118:19
81:20	158:11	strong 41:11 42:20	135:5	surfing 98:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 193
surprise 72:22	158:21 163:15	29:16	25:21 26:22 22	127:9,14 128:21
surprise 72:23 surprised 72:20	166:6	tenants 16:16 21:9	25:21 26:22,22 39:4 44:24 76:6	131:24 132:1,8
117:21 118:6	taken 38:10 66:3	21:12 25:23 28:16	106:9 164:24	136:17 137:23
		28:22 46:6 59:6	166:8,20	144:10 146:12
surprisingly 86:12	135:9 146:15,16			
survey 101:10	154:9	59:15 125:18	things 40:17 67:6 74:20 75:6 98:23	154:3,17 160:1,9
surveys 101:17,22	takeover 66:21	tennis 140:6		160:9,12 161:5
suspect 72:10 101:21	takes 25:25 127:15	tense 165:19	117:8 136:10	162:1 164:24
	129:16	tension 143:9	138:22 146:22	165:22 166:14
sustainable 141:20	talk 4:12,13,13	tent 90:15 123:1	149:10	167:21 168:1
swallowed 123:8	40:16 43:4 44:8	term 32:2 148:22	think 3:14 4:4 5:6	thinking 41:9
swiftly 137:17,23	50:22 59:11 106:3	terms 8:22 26:19	5:24 6:16 8:11	56:20 57:4 106:8
Switch 151:22	109:13 143:8	38:17 39:5 40:17	10:13,21 11:9,14	thinks 29:4,5 30:21
152:3,5	152:20 164:9	46:25 74:4 80:4	11:15,18 13:11,20	thinned 134:21
sworn 2:6 169:2	talked 58:24 121:4	84:11 96:13	14:1,2,14,16 16:6	third 3:15,16,21
sympathetic 75:22	136:10 163:3	102:18 110:7	16:13 17:16,19	4:6 22:23,25
	talking 12:22 35:23	119:1 131:1	20:17 21:3,10	35:25 38:12 46:10
T 1:1	43:11 47:25 57:2	133:25 134:6	22:3 24:3 26:18	61:21 74:10 94:24
	74:6 109:12	139:9 149:16,17	26:18,23 27:14	94:24,24,25 95:1
T/A 1:14	152:19,23 154:25	161:18	28:11,12,23 29:13	95:3 98:8 101:10
tab 2:13,21 29:18	talks 35:22,23	terrible 100:6	30:9,20,22,22	101:16 105:17
29:20 33:9 60:9	38:17 60:22	Tesco 45:10	31:5 34:10,14	111:6 112:12
60:13 61:7,18,18	TalkTalk 146:10	test 70:22	35:19 37:22,23	138:16 142:5,15
64:5,7 100:7,8	targeted 18:5	tested 102:19	39:4,20 41:6,16	166:3
111:5 113:15	targeting 14:11	165:22	42:1,10,20 43:4	thirds 117:12
117:25,25 120:17	team 92:3,10 142:6	testing 103:12	44:23 46:9 47:4,9	Thirsk 5:12
121:19	tech 143:17 144:1	text 102:6 166:16	50:19,19 53:5,6	thought 14:7,8 29:2
table 6:9 60:8	technically 95:20	166:17	53:21,24 54:17,18	29:3 44:23 63:10
87:20,23 94:13	technology 143:23	thank 2:8 3:6,7	54:21 55:15,21	73:12 76:8 135:9
102:6	telephoned 69:22	21:15 49:25 53:9	56:4 57:5,8 58:7	142:25 164:8
tables 87:22	tell 14:23 15:10	55:9 56:14 57:13	59:5 66:18 67:7	thoughts 163:21
tackle 164:21	22:14 26:8,11	57:14 58:7,21	69:3,14 74:22	threat 145:19 146:1
take 5:20 7:1 12:3	34:6 36:1 37:9	59:16,17,18,19	76:1 77:24 80:22	147:11,14,15,17
23:3 26:7 29:17	43:6 47:9 58:10	61:5 62:4 110:17	81:2,4,12,16,22	147:25
34:5 35:9 43:23	62:18 63:4,21	157:8 158:3	81:25 82:22 85:17	threatened 23:25
44:22 53:12 64:4	82:20 84:2 90:7	thanks 138:17	87:10,10 90:25	56:12 155:8
65:14 66:4 69:11	105:18 122:11,23	theirs 81:24	91:8 93:17 98:10	three 8:6,8 28:3
71:22 72:6,8	133:2,3,14,18	theme 139:25	99:4,21 103:23	58:16 67:7 76:17
81:15,17 84:14,16	134:1 136:21,24	theory 108:19	105:25 106:24	78:10 79:23
86:15 87:8,17	157:10	127:1,9	107:18 108:5	145:10 152:11,12
91:4 98:16 100:4	telling 65:3 94:5	thereabouts 48:9	109:2,4 110:8	162:12,14,23
107:15 115:8	95:8,10 149:16,19	81:13,18	111:16 113:13	163:2,5 164:9,17
118:20 119:10,18	156:9	they'd 54:2 95:15	114:22,23 115:20	164:18
127:4 131:16	tem 84:16	122:5	117:10,16 119:2	three-year 61:2
136:14 138:3,24	ten 155:2	thing 3:16,18,20	119:22 120:14	throwing 46:13
146:18 151:18,18	tenant 28:25 29:12	6:18 16:10 24:8	121:12 126:22	tier 125:20,22

				1 age 194
126:3	trade 49:9	14:17 26:6,6 41:2	123:10,14,16,16	131:17 132:7
time 2:9,9 5:1,2,3,5	traditional 145:19	52:8 85:9,11 98:3	123:18,21 124:10	undoubtedly 42:2
5:8 7:19 10:15	147:3,12	98:7 123:25	124:14 127:23	56:12
14:7,8 17:21 33:3	train 9:16,21	133:22 135:8	129:17 130:16	unhindered 42:16
33:3 35:10 36:4	training 8:22 9:13	136:12,24 140:11	143:15 144:5	unique 24:21 59:5
38:16,16,21 40:5	9:15,17,18,19	140:12 144:7	146:3 151:7	86:12 87:5,11,12
40:18 41:8 43:10	20:7 32:23 33:5,5	154:8,13 157:10	152:11,12 156:22	88:14 89:14,20
43:11 53:25 54:18	46:4	Tuesday 160:14	157:1 161:22	90:5,22,23 96:7
55:7 56:4 60:23	transact 48:6	turn 2:21 12:3	162:12 164:12	128:5,17 129:18
61:4 71:19 76:7	transaction 31:2	21:23,24 22:22	166:16,16,25	129:23
82:18 83:21 85:2	32:3	29:17,20,22 38:23	type 17:8 39:2	United 8:25
85:5,14,23 88:6	transcript 73:5	39:1,8 45:16 50:6	88:13	unrealistic 47:5
90:14 91:7 95:23	transformed 94:6	60:13 61:6,17	types 29:24 104:14	119:9
96:12 99:24 101:1	treated 156:23	68:3 69:11 76:14	typically 103:14,25	update 60:16,20,24
101:2 103:18	157:5 166:8	84:16 86:16 89:7	107:18	upfront 147:23
108:1 113:9 115:8	treating 125:15	91:4 94:12 100:7		upstage 14:4
122:6 125:21	trepidation 17:23	102:4 111:4 144:9	U	use 2:18 21:21
138:4,23 143:24	56:5	144:16 157:9	UK 8:24 92:14,16	25:10 58:12
154:10 156:5	Trevor 137:9	158:22	106:20	102:18 103:16
158:21 159:10,19	trial 142:20	turned 89:4	UKOM 94:19	107:11,12 109:17
163:10 167:23	trials 143:13	Turner 84:23	ultimately 27:3	120:11 124:12
168:5	Tribunal 1:1,3 2:3	turnover 80:3	77:4	130:22 139:23
timeframe 46:8	3:2 54:10,22	turns 35:3 36:8	unable 111:1	142:6
times 36:15 73:10	55:20 58:10,22	167:22	unclear 70:8	useful 92:14 135:10
87:15 112:5	60:18 136:17,25	TV 146:22	undermining 49:9	150:22 152:22
152:14	157:14 168:4	twice 36:12 81:21	understand 8:14	164:8
timing 55:8	Tribunal's 60:2	81:22 96:13	10:12 12:22 28:10	uses 32:5 95:1,2,3
tipping 160:10	167:8,21	105:20,21	50:2 56:3 62:20	140:4
today 2:9 48:4	triggering 73:25	Twigg 70:25 71:1	62:20 63:13,17	usually 31:2
66:23,25 76:3	trot 106:5	72:12 145:5,10	74:3 77:19 83:5	
84:10	trouble 168:1	159:3,23	105:8 107:2 116:2	V
told 20:6 34:7 54:3	true 2:25 31:21	two 3:12 4:5,9	150:15	Vaguely 11:18
54:10 69:14 94:15	32:8,9 40:21 41:6	15:10 19:11 22:1	understanding	vain 144:5
162:25	42:7 60:17 61:11	23:13 26:18 33:10	87:13 89:21 90:9	valuable 23:25
tomorrow 109:23	61:14,24 62:1	35:14 36:9 40:25	103:2 113:25	28:15,21,25 29:11
167:10,17 168:4	105:3 129:7	46:12 48:17 49:6	114:9,18 142:5,11	29:16 89:16 105:2
tooth 133:11	truly 38:12	57:8,17,18,23	142:11 153:25	105:23
top 12:7 15:13	trumpeted 88:21	58:17 67:5,5 73:1	understands 21:7,8	valuations 24:6
72:17 100:21	trust 83:3 167:23	73:3,6 75:17 82:4	understood 40:6	value 22:18 89:25
133:19 160:25	truth 135:23,23	82:6 90:17 94:10	63:10 64:15	105:4,19 117:17
topic 156:12	try 52:3,23 95:21	94:15,16 95:3	153:22	123:22 159:14
total 68:16 80:3	97:20 109:18	96:5 101:23 102:1	undertaken 70:13	valued 23:21,23
touch 161:2	110:13 112:24	103:12 115:15	underwhelmed	valuing 147:6
touched 45:22	136:3,15 144:23	116:23 117:12	76:10	various 12:8,13
track 49:16	trying 6:5,5 14:16	121:7,21 122:2,7	Underwood 131:17	33:1 58:25 98:18

				1 age 173
138:21 142:7	volume 38:23 50:4	80:14,15,17 85:13	89:19 121:1 131:6	windows 96:3
vary 104:20	78:22 105:19	85:14 113:7 117:7	weaken 42:3	winds 154:2
vehicle 23:17	106:17 121:5	120:2 127:17	weakened 42:12	winning 119:8
vendor 20:6,11,13	volumes 50:9	130:2,13 132:6,9	weaker 40:5 42:21	155:1
20:18 25:6,24	104:20	133:11 134:6	55:11,15,21	wish 3:1 60:16,17
27:6,22 31:1,19	voluntarily 131:1	161:3 162:16	web 10:12	60:20 61:15
32:3 95:20,21	vote 67:10,11	waste 88:6	website 88:17	wishing 166:23
118:11 147:1	voting 67:10	watched 55:2	102:2,11 108:7,7	withdraw 69:24
vendor/landlord	voting 07.10	watching 55:25	108:9,15 115:12	withhold 72:11
20:22 21:20	\mathbf{W}	water 122:21	115:12 126:6	witness 2:5,11,13
vendors 9:23 21:4	waiting 163:25	water 122.21 way 8:24 14:5,15	websites 103:5,18	2:16,18,22,25
30:6,17 59:4,8	waived 50:23	16:9,16 18:5	106:18	12:6 14:20 29:17
venture 113:24	want 5:20 14:5,14	19:25 25:20 28:5	week 12:15 87:22	29:22 39:25 54:9
143:20	14:24 18:12 20:10	37:21 45:1,5,11	146:9 161:2	55:10 59:21 60:3
verb 119:24 120:22	21:12 22:5 23:18	48:8,18 49:8 52:1	weekly 93:19	60:7,10 61:8,21
verb 119.24 120.22 verbatim 66:3	25:20 26:1 27:4	52:23 54:25 56:8	weekly 93.19 went 5:6 12:14	62:23 63:7,11,14
136:9	44:4 49:15,23	73:20,23 74:8	26:24 40:16 55:4	
version 63:20	58:5 86:24 98:24	75:20,23 74:8		63:16,18 64:5,13 64:15 65:2,3,19
	100:19,20 103:1		71:5,6 108:6,8,16	·
114:24	106:6,7 108:6	95:23 96:10 97:22	122:1 160:17	65:23,23 90:3
Victoria 1:3	109:8,14 114:18	101:3 121:12	weren't 6:9 17:11	107:10 109:23
view 4:15 17:24	127:12,13 138:10	127:24 129:21	17:14 33:18 64:8	110:3 111:5
20:13,22 27:7	140:20 146:5	136:7 137:17,23	64:9,9,19 136:11	113:22 117:22
30:22 44:23 54:13	140.20 140.3	139:15 140:11	West 77:14,16	118:13 119:22
55:10 56:4,9	154:3 156:20	142:17 146:6	143:8	121:10,18 125:3
73:18 76:3 95:18	157:3,18,25	147:1,13 153:1	whatever's 108:24	127:25 128:3
100:25	157.5,16,25	156:12,16,19,20	whichever 14:4	133:22 134:23,23
viewings 96:7	164:3	156:20,24 157:4,5	Whiley 150:3 151:6	139:11 140:25
views 56:17 101:1	wanted 9:8 12:16	157:11,18,19,24	Whilst 115:14	141:2 144:16
101:14 139:6	15:6 22:13 40:15	162:6,7,22 163:1	166:24	153:13 154:13
154:5	57:3 74:19 108:17	163:5,11,13,14	white 93:21	woman 54:21
virtually 145:1,23		164:7,11,14,20,23	Whiteley 69:22	wondering 98:12
146:4 154:24	113:8 140:21	164:25 165:9,10	144:13 150:4	109:16
vis 80:13,13 90:22	155:3 161:22	ways 47:6 75:5	151:5,12 152:15	Wood 114:4
90:22	wanting 21:9	143:16 144:2	whizz 25:11	WOOLFE 1:19
visit 87:6,14 89:5,8	164:18	WC1A 1:5	wholly 18:16,19	word 23:1 87:8
89:15,16,17,18,18	wants 14:20 16:7	we'll 15:25 59:22	77:7	120:11 128:6
94:19,21 95:7	29:1 31:22 105:13	64:20 71:24 81:16	wide 104:4 112:2,8	130:22 138:16
106:14 129:23	wasn't 3:19 4:3	129:5 145:2 153:1	widely 95:25	139:23 140:4,24
visited 88:17 89:6	7:23 11:19 12:24	158:2,4 167:5	119:14	163:3
94:21	13:25 17:12 18:7	168:4,5	wider 87:19	worded 129:21
visiting 89:2,3	30:12 34:8 36:7	we're 11:18 38:4	widest 20:19	words 15:3 28:4
visitors 87:5	37:21 39:15,19	86:15 113:3	willing 26:3 49:6	54:23 65:24 66:5
visits 87:15 94:17	41:18 47:20,21,24	147:24 168:1	127:11	75:3 102:17
101:4	51:4,9 68:15 72:1	we've 13:5,20 19:3	win 105:3 118:23	111:12 119:24
vivid 74:13	74:18 76:11 77:20	27:15 28:4 55:7	window 94:3	128:1,22 133:7

				Ì
136:22 141:1	year 4:15 5:6,7 8:3	79:20 80:24 81:3	Zoopla/DPG 91:25	163:10
work 26:21 49:17	8:8 17:7 34:11,19	81:7,11,19 82:10	ZPG 88:3,7 90:15	14 3:22 44:8 60:21
51:23 72:6 99:16	34:24 35:4 61:1,1	82:18 83:1 84:3	91:24 123:1,8	60:22 84:15
106:2 116:15	69:20 105:24	84:10,11,18 85:1	ZPG's 90:22	14/7734 165:12,15
117:4 126:11	106:8,13 119:16	85:19,22 86:11,22		15 49:25 61:12
127:12 159:6	120:16 137:16	86:23 87:23 88:1	0	87:24 92:22 111:6
worked 8:18,23,24	145:17 155:5,9,14	88:17 91:8,13,14		115:22 147:8
8:25 10:18,19	years 4:11 8:6	92:6 94:16,21	1	158:25 167:24
41:8 47:16 49:13	16:24 36:9 48:5	95:5,7,10,17 96:1	1 29:18,20 41:5	15,000 22:21
49:16 116:16,16	66:12 90:14 92:22	96:14,22 97:1,7	70:10 84:13 94:17	15.50 137:9
working 8:14 10:4	112:23 114:19	97:11,18,19,23	96:12,14,20,21,21	158 19:6
world 109:25	115:22 121:7	98:2,11,11,15	97:16,19,23	16 50:22 52:8 53:5
worried 55:25 56:1	147:10	99:1 100:13,16	103:21 120:9	84:6 103:15,20
worry 145:2 152:16	York 33:11,22	101:25 102:2,11	137:25 138:7	112:11 118:13
worth 69:1 84:11	36:12 39:2 54:10	103:14,20,25	140:13,17,19,21	151:3 160:19,20
106:24		104:3,6,22 105:15	148:10 150:23	169 18:15
wouldn't 42:7	Z	105:20,22,24	153:9 162:1 169:2	17 112:23 163:17
47:17,17 51:21	Z 63:24 151:14	107:12,15,20	169:3	18 53:1 77:12,14,16
71:25 96:8 106:15	160:10	108:7,21 109:5,23	1.05 110:23	79:2,14 87:24
108:12 109:14	zero 111:18,22	110:5 111:10,17	1.50 110:20,22,25	112:4,5,23
117:16,18 118:20	Zoopla 3:12,25	111:21,25 112:2,4	10 33:6 35:13,13,18	18,000 94:18
118:24 123:16	4:22 6:11,15	112:7,9,13 117:17	36:1 84:4 92:22	180 7:2 18:15 19:4
125:8 142:3	11:22 12:15,15,20	118:25 119:2,10	10,000 85:20	19:6 43:12 97:1
145:21 147:25	13:3,5,6,11,14,16	119:18 121:22,24	133:15 136:20	19 38:7,8 87:23
148:23 150:21	13:23 14:1,4,4,11	122:4,10 123:4	10.30 2:2 167:10	124:1
wound 127:16	14:11 17:2,6,22	124:8 126:1,3	168:4,8	1995 66:13,15
write 9:17 34:22	18:5 19:6,21,24	127:19 128:15	10/04/14 12:10	1999 8:15,17
38:14 162:2	20:2,4 37:7,11,15	130:12 132:4	100 7:9 25:9,10,13	
written 51:22	37:20,25 38:4	137:18 138:3	25:15,17 26:9,10	2
165:18	39:22 40:4,7,19	139:4,4,8,9,9,21	26:12,12 43:12	2 11:4 13:16 41:5
wrong 34:11,12	40:25 41:17 42:2	139:25 140:8,15	53:18 89:2,3,5,8	88:5 96:12,14,21
35:4 36:1 37:9	42:3,7,12,12,17	145:15 149:1	103 113:15 120:18	109:20 110:18
47:10 62:18 65:8	42:17,20 43:1	150:25 151:14,16	120:21	119:11,15 120:15
99:17 139:21	44:10 45:3,7,25	151:22,22,25	1080 38:24	137:17,24 138:5,8
153:25	46:20 47:4 48:17	152:3,5,6,14,25	1082 39:1	139:22 140:2,3,12
wrote 61:4	51:1,14 54:15,15	153:1,4,6,19,24	1083 39:1	140:16,22,22
	55:6,11,15,21,25	154:4,8,10,24,24	11 7:2 18:16,19	148:4,9 150:23,24
X	55:25 56:19 57:7	155:1,3,3,9,14,22	36:23 61:18,18	153:8,19 169:4
X 63:23 100:4	58:19 60:23,25	155:22,24 156:1,4	11.50 59:24	2.5 94:17
140:2 151:13,13	65:20 68:4,9 69:1	Zoopla's 13:23	11.55 60:1	2.7 87:5,6,13,15
151:13	69:3,6,24 70:4,20	78:20 90:21,23	1115 39:8	20 39:25 41:24
	71:10,11 72:5,15	94:18 97:20	12 102:3,5 119:11	60:13 121:18
Y	73:7,8,14 76:7,10	103:13 104:23	120 106:19,21	150:23
Y 63:23,24 151:13	78:3,6,10,13,17	125:6	1262/5/7/16 1:1	20,000 136:20
151:13	78:21 79:2,6,13	Zoopla.co.uk 84:24	13 102:3 160:20	2000 10:14 47:24
		1		
	•	•	•	•

				Page 197
77:20 113:21	2646 12:3,6,6	41 153:14	155:4	89 102:4
114:7	2648 14:12,25 15:5	4140 133:13 134:20	6251 148:13	0) 102.4
2000s 48:9	2649 15:6,13	416 114:25 116:14	6256 151:19	9
2006 78:2	27 66:12 127:25	116:24	64 106:20	9 113:15
2008 66:10 119:5	128:3	4272 21:17	6486 160:6	9.59 138:14
2010 78:3,7,10,13	28 129:6 144:14	4272 21.17 4275 22:5	6489 158:22	90 155:21
79:10,20 81:11	158:6	42 73 22.3 43 158:9,11	65/35 101:8	96 27:24
83:9 84:18 86:19	280 97:6	43 138.9,11 4344 22:22	688 91:4	7027.21
		4344 22.22 44 158:5,11		
86:21,22 88:17	28th 150:13	,	689 91:24	
90:12,21,24 94:13	29 94:18 95:6	4974 138:14,14	691 92:18	
2011 121:23 122:20	117:23,25 130:7	139:13	693 94:12	
122:22,23,25	131:13 132:12	4975 138:12	695 96:9	
123:4,8	133:8,24 134:15	4976 138:12	696 97:9,23	
2012 78:7 90:14	136:23	4977 137:9	697 97:14	
91:25 92:4 123:9	2EB 1:5	5	7	
2013 33:10 34:8,13	3	5 2:13 18:14 33:9	7 19:10,22 21:19	
36:8 91:6,23 94:5	3 29:22 35:8 36:3	76:14	29:22 61:7 64:5,7	
94:16	60:9 69:20 72:19	5.25 138:13	113:15 120:17	
2014 8:7 13:10,15	84:12 88:5 100:7	5.34 72:18	70 101:20	
17:3,10 21:19	100:8 111:5	50 94:9 143:25	7368 163:19,22	
34:10,14,15,19	117:25,25 121:19	50/50 96:15	7369 163:18	
36:8,11 52:20	134:12,25 137:10	51 88:16	7370 160:20	
53:22 83:12,13,14	140:2 159:22	52 169:5	75 67:12	
83:15 100:10	162:1	55 81:14 82:22 83:3	7536 163:10	
145:16	3.05 168:7		76 88:19	
2015 13:19 47:10		59 169:6,7 590 76:15	70 88.19 77 33:9	
51:18 66:18 77:13	30 94:17	390 /0.13	7734 165:16	
115:21 116:13	300 146:23	6		
118:19 122:24	31 143:1	62:1 19:22 83:7,15	7735 165:15	
123:6 124:7	32 117:22,25 143:2	100:10	7736 165:20	
144:14	328 96:25,25 97:6	6,000 155:20	7737 165:24	
2016 61:1 163:15	33 84:17	6.9 113:21	78 100:8	
2017 2:1	34 94:21 104:2	60 101:20	7874 72:18	
2018 61:1	35 86:16,21 142:15	6032 149:23,25	7875 71:1	
2020 93:12	36 87:4 140:25	150:11	7876 69:12 71:4	
21 48:23 64:4,7,16	141:2 153:14	6033 149:25	7877 69:12	
64:23 65:9	37 87:19 94:20	61 88:18 169:8	8	
21-minutes 160:7	158:12	6226 145:2	8 19:24 24:12 81:13	
22 19:15 124:25	38 87:19,20,23	6229 145:14	81:17 82:10 84:7	
23 106:5,6 107:19	39 90:13 133:6	6230 145:15	84:18 86:18	
25 83:20,21,22,24	4	6233 145:16	120:18,21	
111:6	48:15 88:5 100:20	6237 148:7	80 41:24 101:19	
250,000 68:14 69:1	40 94:10	6247 148:4	80/20 101:10	
26 91:23 97:5,7	403 114:22,24	6249 154:20,22	81 100:21	
121:8	100 117.22,27	047/137.20,22	01 100.21	
		l	l	l