Case No: 1262/5/7/16 (T)
IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Competition Appeal Tribunal
Victoria House
Bloomsbury Place
London
WC1A 2EB

Before:
MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH
MR PETER FREEMAN CBE,QC (Hon)and MR BRIAN LANDERS

## Between:

AGENTS' MUTUAL LIMITED
Claimant
and
GASCOIGNE HALMAN LIMITED (T/A GASCOIGNE HALMAN) Defendant

MR ALAN MACLEAN QC and MR JOSH HOLMES appeared on behalf of the Claimant

MR PAUL HARRIS QC and MR PHILIP WOOLFE appeared on behalf of the Defendant

| 1 | Tuesday, 7 February 2017 | 1 | to Mr Livesey and to your witness, Mr Maclean, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (10.30 am) | 2 | secondly, it does seem to shed some light on the |
| 3 | Application re confidentiality | 3 | importance of the OOP rule and I can see it might well |
| 4 | MR MACLEAN: I was about to come to the four party meeting. | 4 | be a document that we would want to refer to in any |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: You were, but we were about to have | 5 | judgment. |
| 6 | a preliminary argument about the yellowed pages, aren't | 6 | MR MACLEAN: Yes. |
| 7 | we? | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: So those are the factors that we really have |
| 8 | MR MACLEAN: Yes, so far as that is concerned, can I just | 8 | to weigh up, in terms of whether we lift the yellowing |
| 9 | remind you, if you take bundle D, please, tab 7, | 9 | now or at a later date. |
| 10 | page 118. Sorry, it can't be. It must be C, tab 7. My | 10 | MR MACLEAN: So far as the last point, sir, that you have |
| 11 | mistake. C, tab 7, page 118. | 11 | made, I entirely see, if I may say so, that is right, it |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 12 | may well be that it would be a document which would be |
| 13 | MR MACLEAN: Paragraph 9.13. This is Mr Springett's sixth | 13 | referred to or at least cited from in any judgment. But |
| 14 | witness statement and he makes the point there, which | 14 | the particular parts of the note which deal with the |
| 15 | I articulated yesterday. So that has been the position | 15 | possible prospective structure of my client's business |
| 16 | on the evidence but the discussions were, so far as | 16 | may or may not have to be referred to in any judgment. |
| 17 | Mr Springett were concerned, private and confidential | 17 | That is the bit I am particularly concerned about. And |
| 18 | and they concerned possible ways forward for | 18 | so the question of whether it has to be referred to in a |
| 19 | Agents' Mutual's business and the three corporates, as | 19 | judgment is a bridge that can be crossed on another day. |
| 20 | you will have seen from reading the note. And in those | 20 | And so my submission is actually quite a narrow one in |
| 21 | circumstances, in our submission, it would be | 21 | terms of the point of particular concern. It is the |
| 22 | appropriate to treat the questions and answers about the | 22 | commercial confidence of the -- it amounts to what, in |
| 23 | four party meeting as confidential. | 23 | effect, is blue sky thinking on Mr Springett's part as |
| 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: Was the confidentiality ever articulated | 24 | to the possible future course of the business and it is |
| 25 | between the parties? We have seen various emails | 25 | not at the centre of this case, it is not at the centre |
|  | Page 2 |  | Page 4 |
| 1 | preceding this meeting and it certainly wasn't said | 1 | of the rationale for the OOP rule. I take your point, |
| 2 | expressly. I take it this is -- | 2 | sir, about possible judgment but if that's the point, |
| 3 | MR MACLEAN: There is a reference which may be slightly | 3 | then that's a point, in my submission, to be dealt with |
| 4 | inaccurate, to Chatham House rules in one of the emails, | 4 | when it arises, when the judgment is prepared in draft. |
| 5 | a clear indication, in our submission, that this was not | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Let me ask you a further point. Suppose |
| 6 | intended to be a meeting, the transcript or minutes of | 6 | Mr Livesey had, after this meeting, made a file note and |
| 7 | which were to be splashed across Property Ad or | 7 | it was disclosed but Mr Harris hadn't marked it as |
| 8 | otherwise made public. So, yes, our submission is that | 8 | confidential or his team hadn't marked it as |
| 9 | the meeting was private and it was understood by all | 9 | confidential. Would you be making exactly the same |
| 10 | participants to be private and when one looks at the | 10 | argument, that this was something that -- |
| 11 | fruits of the meeting in the note, one can see that the | 11 | MR MACLEAN: Absolutely, and that's why I took you to |
| 12 | parties did indeed discuss more or less hypothetical, | 12 | Mr Springett's witness statement. That's my client's |
| 13 | more or less likely to happen but they did do some blue | 13 | evidence, the meeting was private and confidential and |
| 14 | sky thinking as to the possible future course of my | 14 | it doesn't matter who produced the note. As it happens, |
| 15 | client's business, and that's, obviously, commercially | 15 | only one of the participants produced a note and as it |
| 16 | sensitive. | 16 | happens, the note is agreed as, at least by Mr Livesey, |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. In a sense, we are debating less the | 17 | accurate reflection of the meeting. But if they all |
| 18 | question of confidentiality and more the question of | 18 | produced rival notes, they would all be in the same |
| 19 | whether this is so confidential that it needs the | 19 | boat, yes. |
| 20 | protection of the confidentiality ring. | 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Harris. |
| 21 | MR MACLEAN: Yes, that's right. | 21 | MR HARRIS: Sir, this is a wrongly headed confidentiality |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: And that needs to be weighed against the | 22 | claim which should be rejected in limine. This meeting |
| 23 | importance of these matters being debated in open court | 23 | at the time, the critical consideration, number one, is |
| 24 | and I am very conscious of two things. First of all, | 24 | what was said to the participants at the meeting at the |
| 25 | that these are documents that are going to be put both | 25 | time, about it supposedly being confidential. Now, |
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| 1 | either the Tribunal or Mr Maclean or I can ask | 1 | meeting and not a single person else. That is the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr Livesey but my clear instructions are it was never | 2 | claim. Obviously wrong. Even if it were right, that |
| 3 | said at the time to be confidential. Therefore, | 3 | confidentiality has already been lost because |
| 4 | Mr Livesey didn't understand it to be confidential. | 4 | Mr Livesey, quite properly, has already told people |
| 5 | There were no confidentiality arrangements and since he | 5 | about the meeting. It doesn't end there because this |
| 6 | participated in the meeting, he also knows that it | 6 | meeting featured, if you could now pick up the pleadings |
| 7 | wasn't said to be confidential to either Miss Platt or | 7 | bundle, please, at bundle A and turn in it to tab |
| 8 | Mr Crabb. | 8 | number 3, you will see at internal page 53 of the |
| 9 | Relevant to that is a bundle, a document in | 9 | bundle -- this is part of paragraph 40 of the amended |
| 10 | bundle 14, where you will also find the four party | 10 | defence on behalf of GHL, you will see that this meeting |
| 11 | meeting note itself. Just so that you can locate it, | 11 | has been described, including the substance thereof, |
| 12 | the four party meeting note is on page 7734. You might | 12 | from the very beginning of the pleadings of this action. |
| 13 | want to just put a mark or finger in there for a moment | 13 | So it is a completely open document, has always been |
| 14 | and also turn -- | 14 | open, never been suggested that this was confidential. |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Hang on, finger's not in yet. | 15 | No steps have been taken to make it confidential. It |
| 16 | MR HARRIS: Sorry. 7734 and 35 is the meeting note itself. | 16 | talks about the meeting, who was there. Then in K, it |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 17 | talks about Mr Springett seeking to persuade |
| 18 | MR HARRIS: You will have seen from the witness statements | 18 | Countrywide, LSL and Connells to agree collectively to |
| 19 | that the proposal was after the meeting to create | 19 | join the (inaudible) and to agree collectively to |
| 20 | confidentiality agreements and nondisclosure agreements | 20 | boycott Zoopla Primelocation. It talks openly about Mr |
| 21 | of further discussions, so the chronology is important. | 21 | Springett's explaining that he wanted to produce a |
| 22 | You will find the one that relates to Connells in this | 22 | membership proposal -- well you can see the rest. All |
| 23 | bundle at 7780. | 23 | of these things are completely open. Never been |
| 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 24 | suggested to be confidential. |
| 25 | MR HARRIS: You don't need to look at any of the details. | 25 | The next point, sir, is that you are quite right. |
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| 1 | It is fairly pro forma. What is important is this was | 1 | This is a central document, this note. I will be |
| 2 | produced afterwards and if you look at the email on | 2 | cross-examining extensively on the note. Mr Maclean is |
| 3 | 7779 , the previous page, you will see: | 3 | about to do the same. It is undoubtedly the case, |
| 4 | "Dear David [so that is to Mr Livesey from | 4 | whatever conclusion you come to, you will be referring |
| 5 | Mr Springett], good to see you ... we agreed the next | 5 | to this document in your judgment. It is likely to crop |
| 6 | step would be to get a nondisclosure agreement in place | 6 | up in numerous places in my cross-examination and I want |
| 7 | to cover any proposals we make and subsequent | 7 | to be able to refer to it openly, given that it is |
| 8 | discussions. I attach a draft NDA for your | 8 | already open. |
| 9 | consideration." | 9 | The next point, sir, is that what we apprehend is |
| 10 | Not only was there was no suggestion at the meeting | 10 | that the supposed sensitivity about this document, it |
| 11 | itself and that one by itself, is decisive, that it was | 11 | has nothing to do with business secrets or |
| 12 | confidential but the clear and express proposal was that | 12 | confidentiality of this type. It is because |
| 13 | subsequent discussions would be covered under | 13 | Agents' Mutual is embarrassed by what Mr Springett put |
| 14 | a confidentiality agreement, not these discussions. So | 14 | forward there because it is not consistent with the |
| 15 | that's the next point. | 15 | message that he was putting forward to his members. And |
| 16 | The third point is that the cat is already out of | 16 | these are matters that will be explored throughout the |
| 17 | the bag. Because the meeting was not said to be | 17 | remainder of this week. That is not a reason for |
| 18 | confidential to any of the participants, including | 18 | confidence at all, on any view. The fact that you have |
| 19 | Mr Livesey, my clear instructions from Mr Livesey is | 19 | made awkward and embarrassing proposals and not |
| 20 | that he has already told people about the contents of | 20 | consistent with how you have been presenting your |
| 21 | the meeting. So, for instance, unsurprisingly, given | 21 | organisation to your own members, it has nothing to do |
| 22 | that it wasn't confidential, he has told at least | 22 | with confidence. |
| 23 | Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree, as you would expect. | 23 | That is really what is going on here. |
| 24 | Now, the claim from my learned friend's side is that | 24 | The final point, as I said yesterday, if you needed |
| 25 | this is confidential to the four participants of the | 25 | to see it there, is the redaction of the supposed |
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| 1 | irrelevance. On any view, that has to be removed, since | 1 | MR MACLEAN: No, that was shorthand for it being a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr Livesey was present at the meeting and even he is | 2 | confidential meeting. |
| 3 | being excluded from seeing what that says. So for those | 3 | MR FREEMAN: But it is not shorthand, is it? |
| 4 | reasons, sir, I suggest that you should rule that this | 4 | MR MACLEAN: That is why I said it was a misnomer. |
| 5 | document is not confidential. | 5 | MR FREEMAN: There is only one rule anyway, as far as |
| 6 | MR MACLEAN: With respect, the NDA point is a point against | 6 | I know. |
| 7 | Mr Harris because it would be a bit odd if this | 7 | MR MACLEAN: (Inaudible) As I say, I am not suggesting it |
| 8 | discussion, with its fairly high level discussion and | 8 | was under Chatham House rules, I am not suggesting |
| 9 | various options, was not confidential and the parties | 9 | Chatham House rules -- |
| 10 | only got into a confidence huddle when they, following | 10 | MR FREEMAN: That is a very different suggestion. That is |
| 11 | that meeting and as a direct consequence of that meeting | 11 | non-attributability, not confidentiality. |
| 12 | as the next step, then put in place a formal | 12 | MR HARRIS: May I respond on that. We are trying to find |
| 13 | confidentiality agreement to get down to the brass tacks | 13 | the reference. The express suggestion has been made by |
| 14 | on. | 14 | the other side it was Chatham House rules, which as Mr |
| 15 | So the fact that on 27 January which was six days | 15 | Freeman has pointed out, is not the same as confidence |
| 16 | after the meeting, my client is proposing | 16 | at all. We will try to find you that reference. |
| 17 | confidentiality nondisclosure agreements with each of | 17 | I think it is in the witness statement, that concern. |
| 18 | the other players, as the next step, is an indication, | 18 | We are just checking and another point -- |
| 19 | contrary to Mr Harris's submission, that the four way | 19 | MR FREEMAN: I suspect it is a red herring actually. |
| 20 | meeting was and was understood by all of the | 20 | MR HARRIS: With respect, they have to identify exactly what |
| 21 | participants, to be a private and confidential one. | 21 | the supposed nature of the confidence was. They have in |
| 22 | The second point is not a sound point. I don't, of | 22 | the past suggested that it was the four people in the |
| 23 | course, contend that the meeting was private and | 23 | room. That's now changed. They have in the past |
| 24 | confidential to the four individuals. I don't for | 24 | suggested it was Chatham House rules. That's now |
| 25 | a moment suggest that Mr Livesey couldn't discuss it | 25 | changed. If you are going to maintain a claim for |
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| 1 | with Mr Twigg and I am not surprised that he has | 1 | confidentiality, you have to know exactly what it is and |
| 2 | discussed it with Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree. But that, | 2 | yet they don't even know. |
| 3 | of course, doesn't let any cat out of any bag because | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right, we will retire to consider our ruling. |
| 4 | the relevant bags are Connells, Countrywide LSL and | 4 | MR HARRIS: We will find you the reference to the Chatham |
| 5 | Agents' Mutual. | 5 | House rules. |
| 6 | So far as the pleading is concerned, that is another | 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, I suspect Mr Freeman is right that |
| 7 | bad point because if one looks at the amended defence at | 7 | it is more red herring than anything else. But |
| 8 | subparagraph (c) at page 53, the passage that Mr Harris | 8 | thank you. |
| 9 | referred to, there is nothing in there which refers to | 9 | (10.47 am) |
| 10 | the proposed possible change of structure of the | 10 | (A short break) |
| 11 | business which is the material which I am particularly | 11 | (10.50 am) |
| 12 | concerned about. | 12 | Ruling |
| 13 | My learned friend says my clients are embarrassed. | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal has the power to maintain |
| 14 | It is not a question of embarrassment. It is a question | 14 | confidentiality over material adduced before it, even in |
| 15 | of the commercial confidence of proposed ways in which | 15 | its judgments. Needless to say, that is a power that |
| 16 | the business might develop: that is sensitive, | 16 | needs to be exercised sparingly. It is a power that has |
| 17 | commercially confidential information and that part, at | 17 | given rise to confidentiality rings and the practice |
| 18 | least, of the four way meeting note should, in our | 18 | before the Tribunal is that we have something of a taper |
| 19 | respectful submission, be treated as confidential. | 19 | effect. Initially, many papers are put into the ring. |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: Even though the proposal went nowhere? | 20 | Often, on examination, confidentiality is lifted, still |
| 21 | MR MACLEAN: Even though the proposal went nowhere. It | 21 | more so if the document is referenced in the judgment. |
| 22 | doesn't mean it's not confidential. | 22 | In this case, we are faced with an application to |
| 23 | MR FREEMAN: Can I ask you, you mentioned Chatham House | 23 | have confidentiality lifted over four pages that have |
| 24 | rules, you are not claiming this should be subject to | 24 | been introduced into the confidentiality ring, pages |
| 25 | Chatham House rules instead of being confidential? | 25 | from the H bundles, 7734 through to 7737. |
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| 1 | These are materials which are going to be used in | 1 | would not include the One Other Portal rule. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the cross-examination of two witnesses, Mr Livesey and | 2 | Q. We looked at his presentation yesterday. There was |
| 3 | Mr Springett. They are notes of a meeting in which | 3 | nothing in that about dropping the OOP rule and you and |
| 4 | Mr Springett and Mr Livesey both participated with two | 4 | your colleagues, by which I mean Connells, raised in |
| 5 | others. The material is said to be confidential as | 5 | that meeting, your opposition to the rule and |
| 6 | between the four organisations who participated in this | 6 | essentially said that your position was you weren't |
| 7 | meeting and Mr Maclean, for the claimants, references | 7 | interested in OnTheMarket with the OOP rule? |
| 8 | the witness statement of Mr Springett in which that | 8 | A. And his position -- when I gave him that push back on |
| 9 | confidentiality is asserted. | 9 | the One Other Portal Rule, his position was that they |
| 10 | There are after the event agreements or draft | 10 | would only consider dropping the One Other Portal rule |
| 11 | agreements which have sought to protect confidentiality | 11 | if all three corporates were to join. |
| 12 | in any subsequent negotiations and I am inclined to | 12 | Q. How many offices did the three corporates have listed on |
| 13 | agree with Mr Maclean that these subsequent NDAs cut | 13 | Rightmove and on Zoopla in January 2016, roughly? |
| 14 | both ways, in the sense that they do indicate that the | 14 | A. I would guess probably about $1,700,1,800$, that kind of |
| 15 | subject matter of the conversation was regarded as | 15 | order; around 500 from Connells, around 500 from LSL and |
| 16 | sensitive. | 16 | about 900 from Countrywide. |
| 17 | That said, it is not so much a question of whether | 17 | Q. So more than 1,000 , perhaps not as many as 2 ? |
| 18 | these documents are confidential but whether they are | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | sufficiently confidential to deserve the protection of | 19 | Q. Now, let's look at the meeting note at H14/7734. And we |
| 20 | the confidentiality ring, in a context where they are | 20 | agreed yesterday, just before we stopped for the day, |
| 21 | also important for purposes of cross-examination and | 21 | that the one thing that we can agree about this meeting |
| 22 | perhaps, in due course, our judgment. | 22 | is that nothing was agreed at the meeting; correct? |
| 23 | In these circumstances, given that large parts of | 23 | A. We agreed that the next step would be for Mr Springett |
| 24 | the substance of the four party meeting, as this meeting | 24 | to furnish us with a draft confidentiality agreement in |
| 25 | has been called, are pleaded, given the fact that these | 25 | preparation for a formal proposal. |
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| 1 | materials are going to be put to two witnesses, given | 1 | Q. So nothing concrete was agreed? |
| 2 | the fact that it is quite likely that we are going to | 2 | A. Well, that was agreed. I've seen some internal |
| 3 | want to aver to this meeting, it seems to us that the | 3 | correspondence from Mr Springett, where he says that any |
| 4 | confidence ought to be lifted, particularly when this | 4 | action points on his part are purely optional, whatever |
| 5 | was a meeting which was, as it were, crossing the line | 5 | that means, but no, that was the specific agreement, |
| 6 | between the protagonists. So in these circumstances, we | 6 | that he would bring a proposal to us at the next |
| 7 | order that the four documents, 7734 to 7737, be removed | 7 | meeting. It would include other members of the |
| 8 | from the confidentiality ring. | 8 | Agents' Mutual board, so we would have some exposure to |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: Sir, there is also the question of whether we | 9 | them and vice versa. |
| 10 | can now be provided with the missing words. | 10 | Q. Yes. Now, I am going to come to the note of the meeting |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: On grounds that they are only irrelevant, | 11 | in a moment but I just want to take another file at the |
| 12 | I don't see why not. | 12 | same time. Bundle H16/9086. You won't have seen this |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. | 13 | document at the time but I am sure with diligent |
| 14 | MR MACLEAN: I don't know what they are. We'll get them. | 14 | homework, you have seen it in preparation for these |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am grateful, Mr Maclean, thank you. | 15 | proceedings. Do you see 9086? It is minutes of a board |
| 16 | MR DAVID LIVESEY (continued) | 16 | meeting of my client on 11 January last year. Do you |
| 17 | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN (continued) | 17 | see that, ten days before the four way meeting; right? |
| 18 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Livesey, let's turn to the meeting. Just to | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | recap Leighton Buzzard, before we get to the four way | 19 | Q. As you know, that was on the 21 st. If you go over the |
| 20 | meeting. The dropping of the OOP rule didn't form any | 20 | page, there is an update and if you look at part of |
| 21 | part of Mr Springett's pitch to Connells at | 21 | item 7, 9088: |
| 22 | the September Leighton Buzzard meeting, did it? | 22 | "It was confirmed that the OTM plus one [that is the |
| 23 | A. Well he didn't make a formal pitch to us at that meeting | 23 | One Other Portal rule] strategy was essential to achieve |
| 24 | but I made it very clear to him that I was only | 24 | the company's aims and should not be compromised." |
| 25 | interested in a pitch, a presentation from him that | 25 | Do you see that? |
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```
A. Mmm.
Q. And when Mr Springett came to the four way meeting, consistent with that board decision, and he of course, is not a member of the board, he did not at the meeting, when it began, resile in any way from the OOP rule, did he? And you were very impatient. We'll look at your witness statement. You were very impatient, you say. Take bundle D.
A. I was a little tetchy in the meeting.
Q. Yes?
A. The reason for that was at the meeting in Leighton Buzzard, it could not have been any clearer that the only basis I wanted to hear a proposal from Mr Springett for Connells was without the One Other Portal rule. So when we did come to the four way meeting and he started putting a proposition together that incorporated the One Other Portal rule, therefore I was tetchy and the reasons were obvious, that I was not expecting that. We then got into the conversation about: well let's have two alternative proposals, one which included the OOP and one which didn't.
Q. Let's come to that in a minute. So the start of the meeting is at Leighton Buzzard, you had made it clear to Mr Springett that Connells wasn't interested in OTM with OOP?
```
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A. Correct.
Q. He then turns up at the meeting and is adhering to OOP and that leads you to be, as you put it in paragraph 53 of your first statement:
"... very impatient with this suggestion, given the discussions in our previous meeting on 28 September, about Connells' absolute unwillingness to sign up to the OOP rule."
A. Yes, at which point, in Leighton Buzzard, he had said the only basis upon which they could drop the One Other Portal rule was if all three corporates were to join. All three corporates were in the room, so my expectation was that his proposal would be without the One Other Portal rule.
Q. And Mr Springett, as the note makes clear, made it clear that Agents' Mutual's position was that the OOP rule was vital to its entry into the market; right?
A. No, he said that he would consider dropping it.
Q. Well, what he said, as the note reflects, was that there was no way that Agents' Mutual would have entered the market without OOP and Agents' Mutual's position was that the OOP rule was key to its success. If you look at page 7734, do you see the seventh bullet point:
"DL [that is you] pushed dropping One Other Portal."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. "DL pushed dropping One Other Portal and AP [that's Miss Platt] supported, saying the market should decide and the best portal would win. They would provide us with extra stock to put us in the game. IS said there is no magic. RM [Rightmove] is now the only portal to deal with 100 per cent stock and matching income, so is winning. Would not have entered the market on any other basis than agents backing and directing their stock via OTM plus one rule. Still plenty of mileage in that and consistent with the most interesting scenario."
A. It also says later in the document that the rationale for going to the members to drop the One Other Portal rule would be concerns over competition law.
Q. That had always been clear, that if and when my client obtained some degree of market power, then the OOP rule may have to be rethought and if all three of the corporates were, in the wake of this meeting, all to have joined OnTheMarket, that would have given a not insignificant, as John Major might say, boost to the number of listings on OnTheMarket, wouldn't it?
A. That was one year into what would have been five year contracts with that clause.
Q. So when Miss Platt questioned the OOP rule, Mr Springett explained that it was essential to the strategy of
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Agents' Mutual, given the two key players, Rightmove and Zoopla, didn't he?
A. I think he was trying to justify why it was there in the first place.
Q. And he noted that even if the three corporates all joined Agents' Mutual, OnTheMarket would still be a long way from the point at which it would have gained that market power that the CMA had indicated in its letter of March 2015, might cause the CMA to start being interested in the competition legalities of OOP, in a way that it was completely uninterested in when Agents' Mutual had no market power; right?
A. We didn't have that discussion in the meeting.
Q. And it was Miss Platt who asked Mr Springett how, hypothetically, the dropping of the OOP rule might be presented to the members of Agents' Mutual, didn't she?
A. She did.
Q. And that led to a discussion, a bit of blue sky thinking about how the financial upside to any investment contemplated from the three corporates would be impacted and whether the effect of the value of the holding which all three corporates had in Zoopla, could in some way be accommodated or catered for or taken account of, if the corporates joined OnTheMarket; right?
A. There was no blue sky thinking at all. Mr Springett
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| 1 | actually had a slide which demonstrated what he thought | 1 | us potentially dropping Rightmove. It was about |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the financial impact of this could be. So there was no | 2 | dropping Zoopla and finding an equity based way of |
| 3 | blue sky thinking there. | 3 | compensating us for the damage that that would do to the |
| 4 | Q. When you talk about the slide, you mean the one at 7736, | 4 | value in our Zoopla shareholdings. |
| 5 | do you? | 5 | Q. We had that discussion yesterday about what he was doing |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | was recognising the fact that the three corporates all |
| 7 | Q. Which shows the market capitalisation of Rightmove was | 7 | had an equity stake in it. What he was suggesting was |
| 8 | 4 billion; Zoopla, 1 billion, of which there was some | 8 | that if the corporates joined OnTheMarket, they might |
| 9 | speculation that two per cent goes to the three | 9 | prudently choose to divest themselves of their |
| 10 | corporates, though Mr Springett may or may not have | 10 | shareholdings in Zoopla and cash in their chips? |
| 11 | known the precise numbers and, obviously, if all three | 11 | A. And he would give equity to compensate us for that. |
| 12 | corporates joined Agents' Mutual, then they would all be | 12 | Q. No, no. That's the -- |
| 13 | joining what was, at that stage, a mutual organisation, | 13 | A. That's exactly what he said in Leighton Buzzard. |
| 14 | by definition? | 14 | Q. That is the point in my learned friend's skeleton |
| 15 | A. So my point was that this wasn't blue sky thinking. | 15 | argument at paragraph 44, where it said that -- what is |
| 16 | He'd come with a proposition in mind. | 16 | suggested by my learned friend in his skeleton argument |
| 17 | Q. Well, it is not a specific financial proposal, is it? | 17 | was that there was a suggestion at the meeting that |
| 18 | A. Not specific and, in fact, the follow on was that he | 18 | there would be compensation paid when they all chose to |
| 19 | would come back with a specific proposal. In his words, | 19 | drop Zoopla. That's not right, is it? |
| 20 | he would come with a -- a with or without the One Other | 20 | A. Is that not a boycott? |
| 21 | Portal rule and I told him that I was not interested in | 21 | Q. No. |
| 22 | the one that included the One Other Portal rule, so to | 22 | A. When they all chose to drop Zoopla? |
| 23 | discover the one specific proposal. | 23 | Q. No, when they all chose to disinvest their equity in |
| 24 | Q. By the time of the four way meeting, it was clear to all | 24 | Zoopla? |
| 25 | of you at this meeting, wasn't it, that by a clear | 25 | A. In the meeting at Leighton Buzzard he said very clearly |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |
| 1 | majority but short of unanimity, those estate agents who | 1 | that he would come up with an equity based proposition |
| 2 | had joined OnTheMarket had chosen Rightmove | 2 | that would compensate us for any potential damage to the |
| 3 | preponderantly, over Zoopla, to be their one other | 3 | value in our shareholdings in Zoopla. |
| 4 | portal? | 4 | Q. Exactly. |
| 5 | A. That's correct. | 5 | A. In the statements that have crisscrossed he said that |
| 6 | Q. You all knew at this stage that the numbers were | 6 | I must have misunderstood that but it is really |
| 7 | somewhere between 80/20 and 90/10? | 7 | interesting that Alison Platt, from her one-to-one |
| 8 | A. It was in the public domain. | 8 | meeting with him, seemed to have that same |
| 9 | Q. Everybody knew that. It was no surprise to anybody. | 9 | understanding. |
| 10 | You all knew this industry as well as each other; right? | 10 | Q. As the note of the meeting makes clear, Mr Springett, if |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | you look at page 7735, third bullet point: |
| 12 | Q. The one thing that doesn't appear in the four way | 12 | "AP asked again about OTM plus 1. She felt it |
| 13 | meeting note is any reference to any boycott by anybody | 13 | constrained choice. IS explained that this had been an |
| 14 | of anybody; is that right? | 14 | essential strategy to enter a market dominated by two |
| 15 | A. That's not right. If you read the note, that is very | 15 | big players. We were not forcing anyone to do anything |
| 16 | clearly what he was trying to do. He was trying to | 16 | but there was now a credible new option for agents and |
| 17 | convince all three of us to come off Zoopla at the same | 17 | consumers. It would be sustainable until we gained |
| 18 | time. It even says that in his file note. | 18 | market power under the CMA definition. Even with the |
| 19 | Q. He was trying to convince all of you to join | 19 | three [that's Connells, Countrywide and LSL], we would |
| 20 | OnTheMarket, wasn't he? | 20 | be a long way from that. One eighth of Rightmove |
| 21 | A. No. Well, that as well but specifically, and in his | 21 | revenue, for example. However, we would accelerate |
| 22 | file note, he says that he wants us to drop ZPG. He | 22 | quickly and might need to drop it later." |
| 23 | said that in the meeting in Leighton Buzzard. He says | 23 | So there was no discussion about coercing or forcing |
| 24 | it again in the file note, he said it in the meeting. | 24 | or boycotting anybody. It was just a discussion about |
| 25 | He didn't talk to us at any point in the meeting about | 25 | terms on which these three corporates, with their large |
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| 1 | number of listings, might choose to join OnTheMarket and | 1 | well. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | recognising that they had an equity stake in Zoopla, | 2 | Q. We have just seen what the board's position was, reinforcing the OOP rule ten days before the meeting; right? |
| 3 | there was some sort of high level discussion, a very | 3 |  |
| 4 | sketchy discussion about whether some mechanism might be | 4 |  |
| 5 | arrived at which would, as it were, compensate the | 5 | A. I am giving you the question that $I$ asked and the answer |
| 6 | corporates for the loss of their equity interest in | 6 | that I got from him, that they were fully aware of the |
| 7 | Zoopla? | 7 | conversation and he said they would be very happy to |
| 8 | A. No, that's not right. He was encouraging us and | 8 | meet us at the next stage. |
| 9 | incentivising us collectively, to all leave Zoopla at | 9 | Q. There was also discussion about the position of internet |
| 10 | the same time. The incentive would take the form of | 10 | only agents and Mr Springett mounted a defence of what |
| 11 | equity to compensate us for the damage to the value in | 11 | we now call the Bricks and Mortar rule, didn't he? |
| 12 | the shareholdings. So not forcing or coercing but | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | definitely incentivising a boycott. | 13 | Q. And his position about that, you might think it is |
| 14 | Q. The question of leaving, divesting yourself of the | 14 | commercially sensible or not sensible but it is |
| 15 | equity and which other portal you might choose as | 15 | a perfectly logical position, isn't it? |
| 16 | a member of OnTheMarket, are two different questions? | 16 | A. I disagree with his position. I don't think that |
| 17 | A. And he talks in the file note about us dropping Zoopla, | 17 | a portal should choose which type of estate agent to |
| 18 | separate to the issue of selling the shares. | 18 | allow to use it as a customer and which shouldn't. |
| 19 | Q. Whatever might have been discussed at the meeting in the | 19 | Q. Now, you must have reported back internally -- in fact, |
| 20 | end, if you look at the bullet point at 7735, it was all | 20 | Mr Harris told us you reported back internally -- as to |
| 21 | a bit of a dialogue of the deaf, wasn't it, Mr Livesey, | 21 | what was said at this meeting, didn't you? |
| 22 | because if you look at that second last bullet: | 22 | A. I did. |
| 23 | "DL said no. Rather they are closely embedded with | 23 | Q. To Mr Twigg and Mr Plumtree? |
| 24 | Rightmove and Zoopla and have no intention of leaving | 24 | A. The fellow execs, so Shipperley, Plumtree and Twigg. |
| 25 | either. AP agreed." | 25 | Q. And you did that by email, presumably? |
|  | Page 26 | Page 28 |  |
| 1 | So here we are, right at the end to the meeting, because the only thing that happens now is that you say: would it be worth meeting some of the board member firms? And Mr Springett concurred and then he suggests that you wanted to go straight to, as it were, the board, to sidestep members. If that is right or not, I don't, frankly, care, but if you look at the penultimate bullet point, by the end of this discussion, you were all back where you started, weren't you? You had made it clear and Miss Platt had agreed, that you were closely embedded with Rightmove and with Zoopla and you had no intention of leaving either, which was a recognition of the fact that if you had been interested in joining OnTheMarket, you would have had to have decided which of those two other portals to drop? <br> A. No, I think you're reading something into that that's not there. What we were saying was: we will not have a new supplier that forces us to do anything negative to our existing suppliers, but if he was prepared to bring forward a proposal that didn't include the One Other Portal rule, we were all ears. The question that I asked in the meeting was: are your board aware of these discussions? And his answer was: yes, they are. I said: well in which case, at the next stage, it would be quite helpful for us to meet some of the board as | 1 | A. No. |
| 2 |  | 2 | Q. Or a note of the meeting? Did you make a note of the |
| 3 |  | 3 | meeting? |
| 4 |  | 4 | A. I made no file note of the meeting. |
| 5 |  | 5 | Q. You didn't circulate a note of the meeting? |
| 6 |  | 6 | A. I didn't. |
| 7 |  | 7 | Q. There is no written evidence anywhere in Connells, |
| 8 |  | 8 | evidencing this discussion? |
| 9 |  | 9 | A. No. There was an informal, early doors discussion. |
| 10 |  | 10 | Didn't know at that stage if it would go anywhere. If |
| 11 |  | 11 | the NDA had been signed and we got into substantive |
| 12 |  | 12 | negotiations, then obviously things would start to be |
| 13 |  | 13 | documented. |
| 14 |  | 14 | Q. So if it had come down from the level of blue sky |
| 15 |  | 15 | thinking, you might have put pen to paper, but it |
| 16 |  | 16 | didn't, so you didn't? |
| 17 |  | 17 | A. You describe it as blue sky thinking. I think there is |
| 18 |  | 18 | a very clear outline proposition in the mind of |
| 19 |  | 19 | Mr Springett which was centred around retaining the One |
| 20 |  | 20 | Other Portal rule and we sent him away to reconsider it |
| 21 |  | 21 | without the One Other Portal rule. |
| 22 |  | 22 | Q. If there was a very clear proposition, you would have |
| 23 |  | 23 | discussed that and put a note round internally in |
| 24 |  | 24 | Connells about it, wouldn't you, expressing your views |
| 25 |  | 25 | about it? |
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| 1 | A. He didn't give us a proposal in that meeting. | 1 | prepared to join OnTheMarket and the reason for that was |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. No, so there was no proposal in the meeting? | 2 | that OnTheMarket, obviously, would have welcomed the |
| 3 | A. So there was nothing for me to circulate. | 3 | support of these corporates, because if all of them were |
| 4 | Q. So it was, in the end, much ado about nothing because | 4 | to join OnTheMarket, it would have brought about 90,000 |
| 5 | the end point was you and Miss Platt wanted shot of OOP, | 5 | additional property listings, wouldn't it? |
| 6 | otherwise you weren't interested and Mr Springett's | 6 | A. He'd had an individual meeting with each of the three |
| 7 | position at the meeting was consistent with the board's | 7 | corporates. It sounds like he'd got fairly similar |
| 8 | resolution ten days before, that OOP was essential to | 8 | messages to and from each of them and on the basis of |
| 9 | the strategy? | 9 | that, he had asked for a meeting with all three |
| 10 | A. No, it wasn't an unhelpful meeting at all, in the sense | 10 | together. |
| 11 | that it took us from the point at which he came in with | 11 | Q. And the one message that or one of the messages that |
| 12 | an outline proposal as to how he could bring the three | 12 | comes across loud and clear from this meeting was that |
| 13 | corporates on board with the One Other Portal rule. He | 13 | there was no way that OnTheMarket would ever have got |
| 14 | left the meeting with a number of things agreed. One | 14 | into the market other than on the basis of the OOP rule, |
| 15 | was that he would come forward with a proposal which did | 15 | given that exclusivity wasn't an option; right? |
| 16 | not include the One Other Portal rule and | 16 | A. No, that may be what he says but I think that's |
| 17 | a nondisclosure agreement and at the next stage we would | 17 | fundamentally flawed. |
| 18 | meet his board. | 18 | Q. Let's look at your second witness statement, Mr Livesey, |
| 19 | Q. But as you have accepted, what was discussed at Leighton | 19 | in bundle D, tab 7, paragraph 15. We had a bit of |
| 20 | Buzzard was that Mr Springett was, even in his own mind, | 20 | discussion about this paragraph about something called |
| 21 | never mind the board's position, prepared to even | 21 | the pre-trial review. I don't think you were there but |
| 22 | contemplate getting rid of the OOP rule unless all three | 22 | you know that this paragraph proved to be controversial, |
| 23 | corporates agreed to join OnTheMarket? | 23 | don't you? |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | A. I do. |
| 25 | Q. And what's clear from the penultimate bullet point is | 25 | Q. What you say in this statement, you produce a bunch of |
|  | Page 30 |  | Page 32 |
| 1 | that at least you and Miss Platt's organisations were | 1 | emails, including some emails involving Mr Rook of Rook |
| 2 | making it perfectly clear that you were never going to | 2 | Matthews Sayer which was an independent agency, a bit |
| 3 | do that if it impacted on any way with your current | 3 | like Gascoigne Halman which, like Gascoigne Halman, was |
| 4 | arrangements, your closely embedded arrangements with | 4 | acquired by Connells in March 2016. So about five or |
| 5 | Rightmove and Zoopla? | 5 | six months after Gascoigne Halman; right? |
| 6 | A. All three of the estate agents there said the same | 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | thing. He only mentions myself and Alison Platt but | 7 | Q. And you say here: |
| 8 | Ian Crabb was of the same mind, that he wasn't | 8 | "In light of the evidence and emails referred to by |
| 9 | interested with the One Other Portal rule included. He | 9 | Mr Springett in his fifth witness statement, I looked to |
| 10 | also, in the meeting though, refers to the other ZPG | 10 | see whether there were any of Mr Rook's emails that |
| 11 | partners, as he refers to them, that he was trying to | 11 | could shed more light on what was being discussed at |
| 12 | get across out of Zoopla and to OnTheMarket. So when | 12 | this time and who was involved in those discussions. |
| 13 | you talk about the collective boycott issue, it wasn't | 13 | I set out what I found below." |
| 14 | just the three estate agency firms in the room, he was | 14 | That's not an accurate description of what you did |
| 15 | talking to other firms as well. He says that he had | 15 | or what happened, is it? |
| 16 | been talking to a number of other large Zoopla clients, | 16 | A. It is. |
| 17 | with a view to getting them to drop Zoopla. | 17 | Q. If we look at your third witness statement, filed on |
| 18 | Q. He's trying to get them to join OnTheMarket? | 18 | 20 January, if you look at paragraph 2, page 154. Now, |
| 19 | A. He's getting them to drop Zoopla. | 19 | what you say here is, over the top of page 154, you |
| 20 | Q. You are equating joining OnTheMarket with dropping | 20 | refer to: |
| 21 | Zoopla? | 21 | '... addressing the comprehensiveness of the search |
| 22 | A. They are his words. | 22 | I undertook of a limited number of documents that are in |
| 23 | Q. I don't accept that, Mr Livesey. What Mr Springett was | 23 | the possession, custody, control of Rook Matthews |
| 24 | doing was exploring whether there were circumstances in | 24 | Sayer". |
| 25 | which one, two or three of these corporates would be | 25 | And you are very concerned in this witness statement |
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| 1 | to deny any suggestion that you were involved in any | 1 | back to tab 7 at page 109. So at page 109 you are referring there to some emails involving Julie Emmerson, who is one of the agents working for my client in the North East; yes? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | cherry-picking operation; right? | 2 |  |
| 3 | A. Correct. | 3 |  |
| 4 | Q. But the position is that the cherries had been picked | 4 |  |
| 5 | and you were presented with the bowl to sort through; | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | right? You didn't carry out the search at Rook Matthews | 6 | Q. So you say in paragraph 11 of your third statement: |
| 7 | Sayer. You were presented with the fruits of that | 7 | "I was also interested to know whether there were |
| 8 | search and then exhibited some emails. Is that what | 8 | any further emails from Miss Emmerson, stating that she |
| 9 | happened? | 9 | would not be involved in any collective boycott |
| 10 | A. There was a formal search undertaken, not by me, of all | 10 | discussions. However, as I explained in my second |
| 11 | the documents in Rook Matthews Sayer for another matter | 11 | witness statement, no such emails were found, only |
| 12 | which is the subject of legal privilege. | 12 | a limited number of RMS documents". |
| 13 | Q. Do you know who conducted that search? | 13 | So we don't get any more than you've got in your |
| 14 | A. I don't. It would have been in conjunction with our | 14 | second witness statement, so far as Miss Emmerson is |
| 15 | lawyers or with Rook Matthews Sayers's lawyers, so I was | 15 | concerned. That is where it rests; right? |
| 16 | presented with the fruits of that search, if you like, | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | to review the documents, to see if there was anything | 17 | Q. If you go in the same statement, the third statement, |
| 18 | relevant to the new information that Mr Springett was | 18 | look at paragraph 6: |
| 19 | providing. | 19 | "When I reviewed the documents [and these are |
| 20 | Q. Yes, so you were given a bowl of cherries and then you | 20 | Mr Springett's documents], IS1 [that is the exhibit to |
| 21 | picked some of the cherries from the bowl of cherries? | 21 | Mr Springett's witness statement, right, and then we've |
| 22 | A. No, I wouldn't characterise it that way at all. I was | 22 | got the H bundle references], I observed many of the |
| 23 | given a large volume of documents which I reviewed with | 23 | emails of the Morth East group were sent to, amongst |
| 24 | colleagues, to see if there were any documents in that | 24 | others, Mr Clive Rook of RMS. In addition, I noticed |
| 25 | bundle which had been searched for for other purposes, | 25 | one particular email that Mr Springett exhibited, namely |
|  | Page 34 | Page 36 |  |
| 1 | to see if there was anything relevant to the new information that Mr Springett had raised. That's all. There was no cherry-picking. No positive selection of just stuff that might help our case and not hinder us. That was the process. | an email from Mr Rook to Miss Emmerson, Ms Whiteley and |  |
| 2 |  | 2 | Mr Springett, in which Mr Rook states the need to keep |
| 3 |  | 3 | the media negotiation item to the end of the meeting is |
| 4 |  | 4 | clearly understood. To me, this email suggested that |
| 5 |  | 5 | the evidence of Mr Springett regarding Agents' Mutual |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Livesey, I want to get away from the cherry analogy if we can, but it is the case that | 6 | not being involved in any collective discussions |
| 7 |  | 7 | regarding agent portal selection, may not be accurate." |
| 8 | you looked through a limited pool of documents that had | 8 | Is your evidence that Mr Springett's evidence about |
| 9 | been produced to you; in other words, someone had made | 9 | not being involved in discussions about agent portal |
| 10 | a selection. I am not going to ask you on what criteria | 10 | selection in the North East, is it your evidence that |
| 11 | they had been made but someone, you think a lawyer, for | 11 | Mr Springett's evidence is not true, is that what you |
| 12 | a purpose that has nothing to do with this litigation, | 12 | are saying? |
| 13 | produced a selection of documents which you then looked | 13 | A. I have very strong suspicions that that's not the case. |
| 14 | through? | 14 | Q. So you are suggesting that Mr Springett is lying, when |
| 15 | A. Yes, they would have been produced in conjunction with | 15 | he says that Agents' Mutual wasn't involved in any |
| 16 | the lawyers, probably by a third party data company, as | 16 | collective discussion regarding agent portal selection |
| 17 | a formal search of Rook Matthews Sayers' documents and | 17 | in the North East of England? |
| 18 | data in respect of this other thing. So I didn't see | 18 | A. I have very strong suspicions that employees of |
| 19 | every piece of documentation in this business but | 19 | Agents' Mutual were closely involved in those kind of |
| 20 | there's hundreds of pages in there. | 20 | discussions. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. | 21 | Q. But all you have been able to dig up to support that are |
| 22 | MR MACLEAN: Look at paragraph 11, please, Mr Livesey, of | 22 | the emails which you disclosed in your second witness |
| 23 | this third statement. You refer back to paragraph 19 of | 23 | statement; is that right? |
| 24 | your second statement, so just let me show you the | 24 | A. They were the emails that were there. |
| 25 | context of that. So if you keep a finger at 156 and go | 25 | Q. And the resources available to Connells across all of |
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| 1 | these other acquired companies, Rook Matthews Sayer, | 1 | purpose of GHL complying with its disclosure obligations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Gascoigne Halman, that's the sum total of it, is what | 2 | in this case." |
| 3 | you exhibit to your second statement; right? | 3 | I have just suggested to you there is a perfectly |
| 4 | A. I can only use the information that's within our -- | 4 | simple mechanism by which RMS's documents could have |
| 5 | Q. And that is the basis for your evidence that | 5 | been put into a proper disclosure exercise, subject to |
| 6 | Mr Springett is not telling the truth here? | 6 | the proper disclosure rules, which you, as a director of |
| 7 | A. All the emails and the documentation throughout the | 7 | all three companies, and Connells as the owner of both |
| 8 | whole case kind of points in that direction, yes. | 8 | companies, could, if it had wanted to, very easily have |
| 9 | Q. Now, in paragraph 8 of this statement you say: | 9 | put into motion. And that is right, isn't it? |
| 10 | "I can also confirm that RMS and GHL are sister | 10 | A. They are two sister companies, they are independent |
| 11 | companies and RMS's documents cannot be accessed by GHL. | 11 | legal entities with their own boards and the board of |
| 12 | And, therefore, RMS's documents could not be and were | 12 | Rook Matthews Sayer would have been quite reasonable in |
| 13 | not captured and searched by GHL for the purposes of GHL | 13 | saying "no way", if the request came in from Gascoigne |
| 14 | complying with its disclosure obligations in this case." | 14 | Halman to access its records. |
| 15 | I agree, Mr Livesey, that RMS and GHL are sister | 15 | Q. Not if its 100 per cent shareholder passed a shareholder |
| 16 | companies and I agree that RMS's documents couldn't, on | 16 | resolution, Mr Livesey. That would have bound the |
| 17 | the face of it, be accessed by GHL. But the | 17 | board? |
| 18 | 100 per cent shareholder in GHL, or at least the | 18 | A. OnTheMarket is suing Gascoigne Halman, not Connells |
| 19 | controlling majority shareholder in GHL is Connells; | 19 | Group. |
| 20 | right? | 20 | Q. There is a nice point. Let's go back to where we |
| 21 | A. Correct. | 21 | started. This is a battle between my client and |
| 22 | Q. And Connells controls RMS as well? | 22 | Connells and the entity in which they still have a very |
| 23 | A. Correct. | 23 | significant shareholding, namely Zoopla. And Gascoigne |
| 24 | Q. And you are a director of both Connells and GHL? | 24 | Halman is merely the patsy, it is merely the proxy for |
| 25 | A. I am. | 25 | this battle and the suggestion that this is all to do |
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| 1 | Q. And Rook Matthews Sayer? | 1 | with little Gascoigne Halman which has 18 branches in |
| 2 | A. I am. | 2 | the North West of England, you know it is complete |
| 3 | Q. So why couldn't Connells, by way of shareholders | 3 | nonsense, is it not, Mr Livesey? |
| 4 | resolution, have instructed RMS to produce its documents | 4 | A. Absolutely not. There was no need for this litigation. |
| 5 | and then given them to GHL, so that this tribunal would | 5 | OnTheMarket have decided to take an injunction against |
| 6 | have had a fuller picture? | 6 | Gascoigne Halman and went straight to an injunction. |
| 7 | A. I didn't know that -- well, until Mr Springett's | 7 | They leapfrogged all of the dispute resolution clauses |
| 8 | statement, where he raised the issue of the collusion | 8 | in the contract. They started this. They also |
| 9 | that looked to have been taking place in the North East, | 9 | threatened us with the procurement rule which then would |
| 10 | I didn't refer to the documents that had been produced | 10 | have sucked in the whole of the 600 branches of the |
| 11 | for RMS for this other purpose. I was aware that that | 11 | Connells Group but this is a fight that we couldn't run |
| 12 | search had gone on for the other purpose but it was that | 12 | away from. |
| 13 | that triggered me to go and look at those documents. | 13 | Q. It wouldn't have done, actually, Mr Livesey, because |
| 14 | I didn't order GHL to be able to have access to its | 14 | that is not what the rule means, but I am not going to |
| 15 | sister company's records. | 15 | debate the law with you. |
| 16 | Q. Do you accept that the "therefore" in the middle of that | 16 | A. That was the threat that was made at the time. |
| 17 | second line, is a non sequitur? | 17 | Q. Do you accept -- |
| 18 | A. Where are we looking? | 18 | A. Sorry, the threat is still being made. |
| 19 | Q. At the sentence I just read: | 19 | Q. Do you accept or not that the word "therefore" in the |
| 20 | "I can also confirm that RMS and GHL are sister | 20 | second line of paragraph 8 , is a non sequitur? |
| 21 | companies and RMS documents cannot be accessed by GHL | 21 | A. I really don't understand what you mean. The documents, |
| 22 | ...' | 22 | the data from Rook Matthews Sayer was not available to |
| 23 | Okay, fine. Pausing there: | 23 | Gascoigne Halman. |
| 24 | '... and that, therefore, RMS's documents could not | 24 | Q. But it was available to Connells? |
| 25 | be and were not captured and searched by GHL for the | 25 | A. It was available to me as a director of Connells. |
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| 1 | Q. And there is no earthly reason why, if Connells had | 1 | cherries, the same wodge of stuff that we were |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | wanted to pass a shareholders resolution, instructing | 2 | discussing earlier? |
| 3 | RMS to cough up its documents, there is no earthly | 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | reason why it couldn't have done so, is there? | 4 | Q. Did you do that exercise, searching through this wodge |
| 5 | A. We did. The documents are here. | 5 | of stuff, did you do that alone or with the assistance |
| 6 | Q. No, you didn't, you had a selective disclosure exercise | 6 | of others? |
| 7 | by somebody, I don't know who, under some criteria, | 7 | A. I did it with the assistance of others. |
| 8 | I don't know what, from which you then made a selection, | 8 | Q. Lawyers? |
| 9 | on the basis of which you are suggesting that | 9 | A. Lawyers, external and internal. |
| 10 | Mr Springett is a liar, aren't you? | 10 | Q. There is something else, Mr Livesey. In paragraph 27 of |
| 11 | A. I have not called him a liar. He's raised some issues | 11 | this second statement, page 112, you say: |
| 12 | in the North East. I was aware of some documents that | 12 | "Mr Springett claims that Agents' Mutual had to |
| 13 | had been put together through a trawl through of the new | 13 | divert funds from marketing, in order to pay for fees |
| 14 | subsidiary, Rook Matthews Sayer. I looked at that | 14 | associated with these proceedings. I note the alleged |
| 15 | bundle. There were some documents there that were | 15 | diversion is not quantified or supported by documents. |
| 16 | relevant. | 16 | I note that this claim of diversion cannot be tested by |
| 17 | Q. You are calling him a liar. I took you to the last | 17 | GHL or by the court because Agents' Mutual has refused |
| 18 | sentence of paragraph 6, where you say the email | 18 | point blank to provide disclosure of its relevant |
| 19 | suggested to you, suggested to you that his evidence may | 19 | finances, at all stages of the dispute in this |
| 20 | not be accurate. Then I asked you whether it was your | 20 | litigation." |
| 21 | position that his evidence was not accurate and you said | 21 | It sounds like a submission you might have heard at |
| 22 | you had a strong suspicion that it was? | 22 | the interlocutory application: |
| 23 | A. Yes, there is a difference between saying that something | 23 | "It seems most surprising to me that Agents' Mutual |
| 24 | may not be accurate and calling someone a liar. | 24 | would reduce marketing spend, given that Mr Springett |
| 25 | Q. That is what I was exploring with you. Is it simply | 25 | recognised such spend is so important. Even if there |
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| 1 | that you think his evidence may not be accurate or you | 1 | was such a reduction, there is no basis upon which I or |
| 2 | think it is not accurate? | 2 | the court can determine whether other costs could have |
| 3 | A. I think it's probably not accurate. | 3 | been or indeed, have been produced." |
| 4 | Q. On the basis of the Julie Emmerson email? | 4 | Let us go back to where we started. The costs of |
| 5 | A. On the basis of all of the information that you see | 5 | this litigation are very considerable, aren't they? |
| 6 | here, including those emails. | 6 | A. I would guess probably $\mathbf{f 6}$ million, by the time we're all |
| 7 | Q. If you look in your second witness statement, please. | 7 | done. |
| 8 | Go back to your second witness statement, tab 7, I think | 8 | Q. Do you know what a cross undertaking fortification is? |
| 9 | it is, paragraph 19. I touched on this earlier. This | 9 | A. No. |
| 10 | is a long paragraph about Mr Henning and Mr Small and | 10 | Q. Do you know what security for costs is? |
| 11 | Mr Rook and Miss Emmerson. But at the end of it, there | 11 | A. My understanding of that is that if one party sues |
| 12 | is a sentence beginning about six lines from the bottom | 12 | another, they are asked to make a payment into the court |
| 13 | of the paragraph: | 13 | for costs for the other side that they are suing, if |
| 14 | "On this occasion"; do you see that? | 14 | they choose to walk away mid-litigation, which would be |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | unfair to dump those costs on the other side. |
| 16 | Q. '... Miss Emmerson of Agents' Mutual continues to be | 16 | Q. Not quite but I don't get to debate the rules with you. |
| 17 | involved in the discussions between the agents in the | 17 | My clients don't have the benefit of a sugar daddy with |
| 18 | North East regarding the portal they should all drop. | 18 | $£ 250,000$ to hand down, do they? |
| 19 | I have searched for but not found, any email or other | 19 | A. Sugar daddy, $£ 250,000$ in the context of a $£ 4$ million |
| 20 | communication from Miss Emmerson in which she responds." | 20 | bill. This isn't --I think your point is that Zoopla |
| 21 | Did you search for and if so, where did you search? | 21 | are behind all this. It is a small fraction of our |
| 22 | A. The same place that I looked for these other emails. | 22 | legal costs that they might pick up. |
| 23 | The bundle of documents that had been produced for the | 23 | Q. You see in paragraph $20-$ it is all just a bit narky, |
| 24 | other purpose. | 24 | Mr Livesey. 28: "Even if Mr Springett's unsubstantiated |
| 25 | Q. So you looked through the same, I won't use a bowl of | 25 | claim is accurate". Are you seriously suggesting that |
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| 1 | it comes as a surprise to you that because of this | 1 | I wonder if I could ask you about this contribution that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | litigation, my clients have had to reduce their proposed | 2 | Zoopla made to your costs, the 250,000. |
| 3 | marketing spend in 2016? | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | A. I think it's absolutely bonkers that they would spend | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Is that subject to any terms? Is there |
| 5 | this kind of money on this piece of litigation, to stop | 5 | any -- |
| 6 | 18 branches in Cheshire from listing on their | 6 | A. No, there's a very brief, early exchange of emails and |
| 7 | competitor, when they could have been spending that on | 7 | they offered to pay up to $£ \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ to cover our costs. |
| 8 | business development. It is just nonsense. | 8 | This was at the very genesis of the litigation, when |
| 9 | Q. Come on, Mr Livesey, you know that if my clients don't | 9 | OnTheMarket had opened the litigation -- sorry, taken |
| 10 | defend the OOP rule in this litigation, then the OOP | 10 | out the injunction against Connells. It's not been |
| 11 | rule will unravel like the proverbial ball of wool? | 11 | contracted. There is no documentation. |
| 12 | A. But they have already approached me with a view to | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Against Connells? |
| 13 | unravelling it themselves voluntarily. Getting the | 13 | A. Sorry, against Gascoigne Halman. |
| 14 | three corporates on board, dropping the One Other Portal | 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Has that payment been made? |
| 15 | rule and, actually, they'll have a better business | 15 | A. No. |
| 16 | without it. | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Is it subject to any pre-conditions for |
| 17 | Q. Mr Springett says and the reference is in his fifth | 17 | payment or is it unconditional -- |
| 18 | witness statement, bundle D , tab-4, page $71-$ it must | 18 | A. No -- |
| 19 | be bundle C, tab 4. Sorry, my mistake. Paragraph 17.7. | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: -- its obligation to pay or the offer to pay |
| 20 | I think you are entitled to look at the yellow ... he | 20 | is unconditional? |
| 21 | needs the confidential version. Could Mr Holmes -- it's | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | just for that one sentence, sir. | 22 | MR MACLEAN: Sorry, sir, I can't hear you. |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think it is important that the witness | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I was asking about the conditionality |
| 24 | sees it. (Handed) | 24 | of the payment and Mr Livesey said there were no |
| 25 | MR MACLEAN: Have you got page 71, Mr Livesey? Do you see | 25 | conditions to the payment. |
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| 1 | the last sentence of paragraph -- | 1 | A. It is just an exchange of emails. There is no |
| 2 | A. Sorry, bear with me. | 2 | documentation. And come the end of the trial, we'd be |
| 3 | Q. I am sorry. Do you see the first word at the top of the | 3 | going back to them and asking them for that contribution |
| 4 | page should be "home"? | 4 | to our total costs of circa 4 million. |
| 5 | A. Correct. | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 6 | Q. And the last steps of that paragraph begins "in any | 6 | MR MACLEAN: Can I just ask one question arising? |
| 7 | event"; is that right? | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: I raised it now, for that reason. |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | MR MACLEAN: Between whom are the relevant emails, |
| 9 | Q. And does that sentence end with the word "2016"? | 9 | Mr Livesey? |
| 10 | A. Sorry, what is this document? | 10 | A. Myself and Alex Chesterman. |
| 11 | Q. It is Mr Springett's statement. | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Livesey, Mr Harris may have |
| 12 | A. His original statement? | 12 | some questions in re-examination. |
| 13 | Q. This is his first statement for trial, yes, his fifth | 13 | Re-examination by MR HARRIS. |
| 14 | statement. Have you got a sentence at the end of the | 14 | MR HARRIS: I have a few things to go through. I'm in the |
| 15 | paragraph beginning with the words "In any event", and | 15 | Tribunal's hands as to if and when you'd like |
| 16 | ending with the words "in 2016"? | 16 | a shorthand break. I am just going to start. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Mr Livesey, on a point of clarification yesterday |
| 18 | Q. Could you just read that sentence to yourself. (Pause). | 18 | you were giving some evidence about the Rightmove stats |
| 19 | That is wholly unsurprising, isn't it, that that should | 19 | and the Zoopla stats of leads per month, per branch and |
| 20 | be the circumstances that my client finds itself in? | 20 | I just want to make sure what your answer was because at |
| 21 | A. Because it's open litigation incurred a load of costs, | 21 | one point you said the Rightmove stats were 328 per |
| 22 | it has had to reduce its marketing spend. No surprise | 22 | month, per branch and the Zoopla stats were 180 per |
| 23 | at all. | 23 | month, per branch but a few moments later you said the |
| 24 | MR MACLEAN: Thank you very much, Mr Livesey. | 24 | Zoopla stats were 280 per month, per branch. Which is |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Livesey, before Mr Harris re-examines, | 25 | right? |
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| 1 | A. 180. I think I also made the comment that the general | 1 | become the credible alternative to the number 1." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | gist of the volumes is that Zoopla's are more than half | 2 | It goes on to talk about: |
| 3 | of Rightmove's, but 180 is the figure. | 3 | "Members overwhelmingly indicating that nationally, |
| 4 | Q. Thank you. Towards the beginning of your | 4 | Zoopla is weaker." |
| 5 | cross-examination you were giving some evidence about | 5 | And then do you see the next line: |
| 6 | what you expected to be a flexible or conciliatory | 6 | "Accordingly, our objective for the year ahead -- " |
| 7 | approach that would be adopted by Mr Springett and | 7 | Which year is that, Mr Livesey? |
| 8 | Agents' Mutual, after Connells had taken over Gascoigne | 8 | A. That would be 2015. |
| 9 | Halman; yes, do you remember that? | 9 | Q. So that is for the year -- and what does it say? |
| 10 | A. I do. | 10 | A. Where are you looking exactly, sir? |
| 11 | Q. Can I draw your attention, please, to bundle number H13. | 11 | Q. So beneath "Accordingly, our objective for the year |
| 12 | Can I just check with Mr Maclean whether this is | 12 | ahead is"? |
| 13 | confidential. (Pause) | 13 | A. Forgive me. (Pause) Can you take me to the line, sir? |
| 14 | Sir, I am going to move on because that one is, | 14 | Q. Yes, so second hole punch. Do you see "Objective for |
| 15 | I think -- that is a document that is blanked out from | 15 | the year ahead"? |
| 16 | you, Mr Livesey. Do you have a copy or can you be | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | provided with a copy of yesterday's transcript, please, | 17 | Q. And we just read out a few lines and do you see the line |
| 18 | Mr Livesey. I can give you the page reference but | 18 | beginning "The portal choices made by members indicate"? |
| 19 | no one from my team has a hard copy. It is in | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | yesterday's transcript at page 190, line 3. Do you see | 20 | Q. And it says "Accordingly, our objective for the year |
| 21 | you were giving your evidence in response to questioning | 21 | ahead is"? |
| 22 | and what you describe is: | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | "... wasn't an assurance or a promise made to me but | 23 | Q. And what does it then say? |
| 24 | it was very loudly broadcast at the launch of | 24 | A. "To replace Zoopla as the number 2 portal by January |
| 25 | OnTheMarket that that is what would happen." | 25 | 2016." He uses the word "replace" and he sets a |
|  | Page 50 |  | Page 52 |
| 1 | You were talking about taking over from Zoopla and | 1 | timescale on it. |
| 2 | killing off Zoopla. Do you recall that? | 2 | Q. And then if you can go down a couple more lines, do you |
| 3 | A. I do. | 3 | see the line beginning "If OnTheMarket.com grows"; do |
| 4 | Q. Can I draw your attention to bundle 9, please, page 51 | 4 | you have that line? |
| 5 | and 52. | 5 | A. Yes, "and 90 per cent of this comes at the expense of |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, the bundle starts at 4708, Mr Harris. | 6 | Zoopla." |
| 7 | MR HARRIS: Within bundle 9, do you not have a 5152 towards | 7 | Q. Are these amongst the sorts of materials that you had in |
| 8 | the back of bundle 9 ? | 8 | mind when you gave your evidence at page 190 at |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, 5152? | 9 | yesterday's transcript, line 3, about what was being |
| 10 | MR HARRIS: Sorry, if I didn't say that. Do you see, | 10 | broadcast at or about the launch of OnTheMarket? |
| 11 | Mr Livesey, that that is an email from Agents' Mutual | 11 | MR MACLEAN: I am not sure that's quite how one does |
| 12 | "Update for members", so sent to all its members on | 12 | re-examination, sir. |
| 13 | 17 February 2015? | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: I have the point, Mr Maclean. |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | A. This is the exactly the kind of documentation. There |
| 15 | Q. Is that or is that not at or about the time of launch? | 15 | was a lot of it at the time. Press releases, these kind |
| 16 | A. Yes, it was around the time of launch and it was | 16 | of documents. |
| 17 | probably about a month after. | 17 | MR HARRIS: Can I also draw your attention, please, to |
| 18 | Q. Can I take you over to the third page of that email to | 18 | bundle 11, a document you were taken to by my learned |
| 19 | all members, a few weeks after launch. Do you see by | 19 | friend, the Leighton Buzzard slides. Page 6237. If you |
| 20 | the first hole punch, the heading "Objective for the | 20 | could just cast your eye at 6237 and also have a look at |
| 21 | year ahead"? | 21 | page 6249. Do these documents cast any light on what |
| 22 | A. I do. | 22 | you were saying in answer to my learned friend's |
| 23 | Q. "Members will be aware that from the outset, our | 23 | questions about replacing Zoopla or anything on that |
| 24 | strategic objections have been to become the number 2 | 24 | line? |
| 25 | portal as rapidly as possible and then to be billed to | 25 | A. They do. These are exactly the points. These are the |
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| 1 | Q. And now yesterday and just a few moments ago, you | 1 | A. The second alternative wasn't put forward as a proposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | referred to an internal document that you thought | 2 | in the meeting, other than the concept upon which he |
| 3 | existed in the hands of OTM, about Mr Springett saying | 3 | would come back to us with a proposal, which was an |
| 4 | he could conceivably or words to the effect of "the only | 4 | initiative to get the three corporate estate agents to |
| 5 | way in which he could drop the OTM rule was if all three | 5 | join OnTheMarket but without the One Other Portal rule |
| 6 | joined together -- all big three joined together." Do | 6 | being involved. It would involve giving us equity to |
| 7 | you remember that yesterday? If you look in the | 7 | compensate us for the potential damage to our Zoopla |
| 8 | transcript, you will see it at 161, lines 21 to 24. Do | 8 | shares and there would be a discussion about listings, |
| 9 | you see that? You said in answer: | 9 | fees and durations and so on. |
| 10 | "He then said the only way we could consider | 10 | Q. And why would there be any need to compensate you for |
| 11 | dropping the One Other Portal rule is if all three | 11 | your Zoopla shareholdings? |
| 12 | corporates joined. He says that elsewhere in some | 12 | A. Because at that point he was still trying to convince us |
| 13 | internal communications as well." | 13 | to come away from Zoopla. |
| 14 | Can I draw your attention, please, to bundle 11 at | 14 | Q. When you say "convince us", who are you referring to? |
| 15 | page 6215; do you see that? That is an email from | 15 | A. Convince Connells, Countrywide and LSL together, to all |
| 16 | Mr Springett internally, to Mr Milsom on 28 September. | 16 | come off Zoopla, along with the other agents he refers |
| 17 | A. Yes, got that. | 17 | to in his file note that he was in discussions with, to |
| 18 | Q. That's the one at 6215 , is it? | 18 | encourage them to come off Zoopla as well, using equity |
| 19 | A. It is, yes. | 19 | from the IPO. |
| 20 | Q. Are you able to shed any light as to whether or not this | 20 | Q. Nearly there, Mr Livesey, I am grateful. A few minutes |
| 21 | is a relevant internal document? | 21 | later you talked about: at the next stage, you would |
| 22 | A. It is very relevant. | 22 | meet -- "they would meet with us at the next stage". We |
| 23 | MR MACLEAN: What kind of question is that? This has | 23 | had got to the end of the note and I was just -- can you |
| 24 | nothing to do with -- I appreciate Mr Livesey referred | 24 | just tell the Tribunal who you were talking about as the |
| 25 | to internal communications. All right, we can all look | 25 | "they" in the "they would meet with us at the next |
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| 1 | at the internal communications. This is a document from | 1 | stage"? |
| 2 | Mr Harris to put to Mr Springett. It is nothing to do | 2 | A. I think that is referring to the board of |
| 3 | with this witness's evidence and his part of the story | 3 | Agents' Mutual. |
| 4 | at all. | 4 | Q. The board of Agents' Mutual? |
| 5 | MR HARRIS: With respect, this is picking up in | 5 | A. Yes, and in the conversation. I'm not a competition |
| 6 | re-examination, line 24 on page 161 of yesterday's | 6 | lawyer but in the discussion about the One Other Portal |
| 7 | transcript. | 7 | rule, the phrase that I use is if it waddles like |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, he refers to internal communications | 8 | a duck, swims like a duck and quacks like a duck, it |
| 9 | which, by definition, he won't have seen at the time. | 9 | probably is a duck. And I couldn't have made it any |
| 10 | I am very happy for you to fill in what communications | 10 | clearer to him in the meeting in September in Leighton |
| 11 | they are. As we all know, these are after the event | 11 | Buzzard and in the four way meeting, that the One Other |
| 12 | comments which Mr Livesey is making. Take them in that | 12 | Portal rule is anti-competitive and it just wouldn't |
| 13 | light. | 13 | wash with us, there was no point talking about it. |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. This morning you were being asked | 14 | Q. Nearly there, Mr Livesey. Do you recall being |
| 15 | about the four party meeting and there was an exchange | 15 | questioned by Mr Maclean and he was criticising you for |
| 16 | about Mr Maclean saying you were impatient and you used | 16 | not having given a fuller picture by reference to the |
| 17 | the phrase "I was a little tetchy". You then went on to | 17 | Rook Matthews Sayer wodge of documents? Do you know |
| 18 | refer to Leighton Buzzard and then you said that | 18 | whether Gascoigne Halman have ever asked for more |
| 19 | Mr Springett offered two alternative ways forward at the | 19 | disclosure about the goings on with the North East |
| 20 | four party meeting. Do you recall that? | 20 | groups of agents from Agents' Mutual? |
| 21 | A. I do. | 21 | A. I'm not aware of any request. |
| 22 | Q. And you started to describe the first one but you were | 22 | Q. The last point then is, at the end, just then at the |
| 23 | cut off and interrupted by Mr Maclean before you got to | 23 | same point, it was put to you without being in |
| 24 | the second alternative. What was the second alternative | 24 | a question, that you maintain now, a significant |
| 25 | put forward in the meeting? | 25 | shareholding in Zoopla. Do you recall that? |
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| 1 | A. I do. | 1 | the internet very strongly, it is integral to our |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. What is the shareholding that Connells holds in Zoopla? | 2 | business, but we also have branches. If you compare us |
| 3 | A. It's just under 3 per cent. | 3 | with Purple Bricks which is the main contender in this |
| 4 | Q. Thank you. May I just have one moment? No further | 4 | so-called internet estate agency space, they don't have |
| 5 | questions. Very grateful. | 5 | branches but they do have 300 people based locally, who |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Freeman and Mr Landers both have | 6 | are there to deliver very similar service to what we're |
| 7 | questions, Mr Livesey. | 7 | trying to deliver. They have a different fee structure |
| 8 | Questions from the Tribunal | 8 | which is the most marked difference of their business |
| 9 | MR FREEMAN: Mr Livesey, you said on a number of occasions | 9 | model, where they will charge you a fee of 795 upfront |
| 10 | in your evidence that I think you were in favour of fair | 10 | for example, rather than maybe 1.5 per cent upon |
| 11 | competition. Could you just describe to me what you | 11 | a successful sale. So there's differentiation that they |
| 12 | think fair competition is? You did say you weren't | 12 | have come into the marketplace with and created and |
| 13 | a competition lawyer. | 13 | competed. And, actually, I see that as fair |
| 14 | A. In this context, sir? | 14 | competition, it keeps us on our toes as a business and |
| 15 | MR FREEMAN: A businessman's comment on what fair | 15 | I wouldn't try as OnTheMarket have done, have tried to |
| 16 | competition is in this context. | 16 | lock them out by some device. |
| 17 | A. Fair competition would be coming to the market with | 17 | MR FREEMAN: I think we should revisit this in ten years and |
| 18 | a portal proposition, winning clients because of the | 18 | see who is right, thank you. |
| 19 | nature of that new proposition, the differentiation | 19 | MR LANDERS: Yesterday you were asked about the fees per |
| 20 | factor and building a business in competition, rather | 20 | branch paid by Connells and by Gascoigne Halman and you |
| 21 | than saying to potential customers: if you join us, you | 21 | said that the buyer -- the fees charged by Zoopla and |
| 22 | can't use your other suppliers. | 22 | Rightmove and you said that Connells would be |
| 23 | MR FREEMAN: And does that concept of fair competition apply | 23 | significantly lower. Am I right in thinking that the |
| 24 | equally at whatever stage you are in your market | 24 | costs incurred by a portal would be the same for two |
| 25 | performance, whether you are a market leader, whether | 25 | branches of the same size, irrespective of who owned |
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| 1 | you are halfway up the ladder or whether you are just | 1 | them? So the implication is that the margins achieved |
| 2 | a new joiner. Is that a broad concept you have or just | 2 | on the smaller estate agent by Zoopla and Rightmove will |
| 3 | applying to Agents' Mutual? | 3 | be, to use your words, significantly higher than they |
| 4 | A. No, that would be my general concept and I'm all for | 4 | would achieve on your own branches. If that is true, |
| 5 | fair competition. Our business is always getting | 5 | when you said you would consider joining Agents' Mutual |
| 6 | competition. There's very low thresholds to entry to | 6 | if they didn't have the OOP rule, would you have |
| 7 | the estate agency market. You can be a window cleaner | 7 | anticipated a similar sort of price discount, a volume |
| 8 | on Friday and a estate agent on Monday. | 8 | discount with them? Would that have been part of your |
| 9 | MR FREEMAN: Don't demean your profession quite so much, | 9 | plans? |
| 10 | Mr Livesey. | 10 | A. Yes, sir, so this is the discount for scale -- |
| 11 | A. So people come into our profession and, actually, that's | 11 | MR LANDERS: Yes. |
| 12 | very healthy and I think it's the same in the portal | 12 | A. -- and we would expect that from any supplier. You |
| 13 | market, it is the same in most markets, that I think you | 13 | know, if you have got a business with six and a half |
| 14 | can come in and compete on fair grounds, without having | 14 | thousand staff and 600 branches, you would be expecting |
| 15 | to tie hands. | 15 | to get better deals from your suppliers. Whether it is |
| 16 | MR FREEMAN: One other question. Do I take it from what you | 16 | the BMWs that you buy or the photocopiers or what you |
| 17 | have said that you regard the traditional Bricks and | 17 | pay to the portals, you would be expected to strike |
| 18 | Mortar office based estate agency model as robust and | 18 | a better deal for volume than a single branch competitor |
| 19 | able to survive the perils of the internet age, if I can | 19 | might. But that doesn't mean to say that a single |
| 20 | put it like that? | 20 | branch competitor will have poorer profit margins than |
| 21 | A. It is a very good question. It is very relevant. | 21 | us. They run their business completely differently. If |
| 22 | I would describe Connells as an online agency with | 22 | you look at Connells' profit margins and compare them |
| 23 | branches. We have been involved in all of the internet | 23 | with LSL, for example, they're a similar size to us. |
| 24 | stuff, Rightmove, Zoopla. We have got search engine | 24 | Ours are completely different to their margins because |
| 25 | optimisation, specialists within our business. We use | 25 | of the different shape of the business. |
|  | Page 67 |  | Page 69 |


| 1 | MR LANDERS: But Zoopla and Rightmove would expect to have | 1 | do you recognise that is the front page of a second |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | higher margins if they were dealing with a smaller | 2 | witness statement you have given in the proceedings? |
| 3 | estate agent, than they would have dealing with you? | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | A. On a per branch basis, we will be paying them millions. | 4 | Q. Is that a copy of your signature on the last page of |
| 5 | MR LANDERS: And if you joined Agents' Mutual, would your | 5 | that tab? |
| 6 | going in position have been: we want better prices than | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | the small agents that set you up because of the volume? | 7 | Q. Are the contents of this witness statement also true and |
| 8 | A. Indeed, that's what OnTheMarket offer. They do offer | 8 | accurate to the best of your knowledge and information? |
| 9 | volume discounts already and they would have offered | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | a bigger volume discount for the bigger scale that we | 10 | Q. Then in the same bundle can you turn to tab 10. Do you |
| 11 | would have brought with us. | 11 | recognise that as the front page of a third witness |
| 12 | MR LANDERS: Thank you. | 12 | statement? |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, any questions arising out of | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | those questions? | 14 | Q. I think you get the drill, now Mr Notley. |
| 15 | MR MACLEAN: No, sir. | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris? | 16 | Q. Actually, is yours signed on the final page? |
| 17 | MR HARRIS: No, sir. | 17 | A. No, this was electronic I believe. |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Livesey. You are | 18 | Q. Did you sign that one electronically? |
| 19 | released. We'll rise for five minutes. | 19 | A. I believe so. |
| 20 | (The witness withdrew) | 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: There is something that looks like |
| 21 | (12.10 pm) | 21 | a signature on mine. I wouldn't warrant it is |
| 22 | (A short break) | 22 | a signature but it looks like one. |
| 23 | (12.15 pm) | 23 | MR HARRIS: Did you recall signing a copy of your finished |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: Sir, may I please call my next witness, | 24 | witness statement? |
| 25 | Mr Notley. | 25 | A. I believe so, yes. |
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| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Harris. | 1 | Q. Does it represent the true and accurate contents of |
| 2 | MR JONATHAN LEWIS NOTLEY (affirmed) | 2 | further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? |
| 3 | Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | MR HARRIS: Please can the witness be given bundle number D. | 4 | Q. Finally, there is a fourth witness statement of yours in |
| 5 | And turn to tab 4. Mr Notley, do you recognise that is | 5 | a separate bundle, bundle X. You ought to find that at |
| 6 | the front page of the witness statement you have given | 6 | tab number 30 ? |
| 7 | in these proceedings. | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | Q. Do you see that? Is that a front page of a fourth |
| 9 | Q. On the final page within that tab is that a copy of your | 9 | witness statement? |
| 10 | signature? | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Q. Have you also signed a copy of that witness statement on |
| 12 | Q. Are the contents, subject to one correction you wish to | 12 | the final page at page 230 of that bundle? |
| 13 | make in I think paragraph 7, are the contents of that | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | statement true and accurate to the best of your | 14 | Q. Is that also a true and accurate reflection of the |
| 15 | knowledge and belief? | 15 | further evidence you wish to give in these proceedings? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. What is the correction you would like to make in | 17 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. Mr Notley, there may be some |
| 18 | paragraph 7? | 18 | questions for you. |
| 19 | A. So, in paragraph 7 there is a typo. I have said along | 19 | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN |
| 20 | with around 4,000 new homes developments, it is actually | 20 | MR MACLEAN: Good morning, Mr Notley. |
| 21 | 3,000. | 21 | A. Morning. |
| 22 | Q. So you would like to change the 4 into a 3 and make it | 22 | Q. You are the chief commercial officer of Zoopla. To whom |
| 23 | 3,000 not 4,000? | 23 | do you report? |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | A. I report to Mark Goddard who is the managing director of |
| 25 | Q. In the same bundle can you now turn to tab number 8 and | 25 | property services, and until recently I reported |
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| 1 | directly to Mr Chesterman. | 1 | Q. Any names? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Mr Chesterman is the founder of Zoopla; is that right? | 2 | A. None that spring to mind. |
| 3 | A. Co-founder and chief executive, yes. | 3 | Q. None that come to mind? |
| 4 | Q. In 2015 Mr Chesterman sold some shares and committed to | 4 | A. He's very experienced. |
| 5 | a new share scheme which was intended to bind him into | 5 | Q. He knows what he's doing, doesn't he? |
| 6 | the business for a further period of time; is that | 6 | A. My view on his approach both for Primelocation and for |
| 7 | right? | 7 | Agents' Mutual I don't personally agree with. I don't |
| 8 | A. That's right. | 8 | think it starts in the right place and therefore I would |
| 9 | Q. He sold a quarter of his stake in the business and, | 9 | say he clearly knows what he's doing in the sense of |
| 10 | according to the press anyway, cashed those shares in | 10 | running a business but in terms of running a successful |
| 11 | for $£ 11$ million; is that right? | 11 | property portal I would have to disagree. |
| 12 | A. I don't know the details. | 12 | Q. What about Primelocation, pretty successful, wasn't it? |
| 13 | Q. But he kept 75 per cent of his shares? | 13 | A. Well it depends what context you -- what lens you look |
| 14 | A. I don't know. | 14 | through. |
| 15 | Q. And he kept that shareholding under an arrangement which | 15 | Q. You think Primelocation was unsuccessful from |
| 16 | will see him gain a further 7.5 million shares in Zoopla | 16 | Mr Springett's point of view, do you? |
| 17 | if he can boost shareholder returns over the next three | 17 | A. I think it was successful from Mr Springett's point of |
| 18 | or four years; is that right? | 18 | view, yes. |
| 19 | A. I know there is an arrangement in place. I don't know | 19 | Q. Take your second witness statement, bundle D, tab 8, |
| 20 | the details of it. | 20 | page 117, Mr Notley. Look at paragraph 6.1. What you |
| 21 | Q. So as one might say, Mr Chesterman although he sold | 21 | are doing here is commenting on Mr Springett's evidence, |
| 22 | 25 per cent of his shareholding, has plenty of skin left | 22 | you are making what you call "overarching" points, do |
| 23 | in the game, doesn't he? | 23 | you see that? |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | "I make the following overarching points..." |
| 25 | Q. Can you think of a single individual who has more to | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | gain from the successful outcome of these proceedings | 1 | Q. And the first overarching point, read that sentence to |
| 2 | from Gascoigne Halman's perspective than | 2 | yourself beginning "first" and then I want to pick it up |
| 3 | Alex Chesterman? | 3 | at the next sentence beginning "either". |
| 4 | A. I can't think of anyone. | 4 | A. Okay. |
| 5 | Q. Neither can I. Why is Mr Chesterman not giving evidence | 5 | Q. "Either Mr Springett considers that consumers are not |
| 6 | in this case? He's putting up $£ 250,000$ for it. Why is | 6 | important or he fails to understand why consumers are |
| 7 | he not coming along to give evidence? | 7 | important for the overall success of a portal." |
| 8 | A. So the case isn't between Zoopla and Agents' Mutual. It | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | is between Gascoigne Halman. You have probably seen | 9 | Q. Are you really suggesting to this tribunal that |
| 10 | that we have made a number of acquisitions recently and | 10 | Mr Springett is as dumb as that sentence suggests? |
| 11 | we are very, very busy. I have given up a lot of time | 11 | A. I have not said that Mr Springett is dumb. I have said |
| 12 | to this process and trying to run the business. I don't | 12 | that he hasn't considered consumers. |
| 13 | think it would make a lot of sense for Mr Chesterman | 13 | Q. No, you don't. You say: |
| 14 | being here and being involved in the proceedings. | 14 | "Either Mr Springett considers that consumers are |
| 15 | Q. Do you agree that there are very few people in the | 15 | not important..." |
| 16 | country with as long and as successful association with | 16 | A. Correct, yes. |
| 17 | the property portal business than Ian Springett? | 17 | Q. Do you really think that Mr Springett considers |
| 18 | A. There are a few people. There aren't many but there are | 18 | consumers are not important? Is that really your true |
| 19 | a few, yes. | 19 | belief? |
| 20 | Q. Who are they? | 20 | A. I think the point I am making there is if Mr Springett |
| 21 | A. So some of the guys at Rightmove. You have got some of | 21 | considered that consumers were important, he wouldn't |
| 22 | the people who have been involved in some of the early | 22 | have taken the approach that he's taken. |
| 23 | portals like FindaProperty. That had been around. Some | 23 | Q. So your evidence to the Tribunal is that either |
| 24 | of whom do development work for Agents' Mutual over time | 24 | Mr Springett considers that consumers are not important |
| 25 | I believe. | 25 | or he does consider they are important but fails to |
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| 1 | understand why they're important? | 1 | drafted not in your words but in some lawyer's words |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Sorry, say that again. | 2 | wasn't it? |
| 3 | Q. I am just breaking your sentence up into two. It is | 3 | A. They are my words. |
| 4 | either he doesn't consider consumers are important at | 4 | Q. And these attempts to enter the property portal market |
| 5 | all or he does but he's completely failed to understand | 5 | weren't purported. They were real attempts which |
| 6 | why? | 6 | failed, didn't they? |
| 7 | A. Could be one of those, yes. | 7 | A. No, I think I made the point a moment ago that referring |
| 8 | Q. In your case it is one or the other. | 8 | to Tescos as an entry into the portal market as |
| 9 | A. Well, so the point I am making here is that the ultimate | 9 | a property portal is an incorrect statement. |
| 10 | beneficiary of a property portal is either the vendor on | 10 | Q. Well, Mr -- |
| 11 | one side or it is the purchaser on the other side. | 11 | A. They were judged to be an estate agent and that put |
| 12 | Q. And you think Mr Springett doesn't understand that? | 12 | Tesco off, as I understand it, because they didn't want |
| 13 | A. I think he understands that perfectly well. | 13 | to be subject to the sort of legal ramifications of |
| 14 | Q. Right, good. | 14 | being an estate agent. |
| 15 | A. The approach that he's taken to the property portal | 15 | Q. Are you suggesting that successfully entering the |
| 16 | market doesn't seem to consider that fully. | 16 | property portal market is not very difficult? |
| 17 | Q. Is this statement in your own words, Mr Notley? | 17 | A. Entering the market isn't difficult at all. |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | Q. Successfully entering the market? |
| 19 | Q. Let's go to paragraph 6.6. We are still on your | 19 | A. Successfully entering the market is a difficult thing to |
| 20 | overarching points about Mr Springett. We haven't got | 20 | do. |
| 21 | to your story: | 21 | Q. It is very difficult, isn't it? |
| 22 | "Fourthly, Mr Springett has a section in his fifth | 22 | A. Very difficult, yes. Certainly if you don't have |
| 23 | witness statement that is headed 'Attempts by other | 23 | something that is compelling to a house buyer or |
| 24 | portals to enter the market'. In this section he goes | 24 | a vendor or an agent you are going to struggle entering |
| 25 | through a few selected purported attempts to enter the | 25 | this market. |
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| 1 | property portal market and draws the conclusion that | 1 | Q. And this litany of failures which Mr Springett refers to |
| 2 | entry is very difficult." | 2 | is testament to that, aren't they? |
| 3 | What was purported about the attempts to enter the | 3 | A. I don't think they are relevant examples is the point |
| 4 | property portal market that you refer to in this | 4 | I made. |
| 5 | paragraph, Mr Notley? | 5 | Q. So they don't tell us anything, these failed purported, |
| 6 | A. I believe what I was referring to, certainly one of the | 6 | to use your word, attempts they don't tell us anything |
| 7 | examples was Tesco's entry into the market. I believe | 7 | about how easy or difficult it is to enter the property |
| 8 | the OFT determined that Tesco wasn't a portal, it was in | 8 | portal market. Is that your evidence, Mr Notley? |
| 9 | fact an agent which is one of the reasons why Tesco | 9 | A. I think there are two separate things. There are the |
| 10 | decided to exit the market. The other one was Google | 10 | specific examples that have been given of businesses |
| 11 | entering the market. Google experimented listing | 11 | attempting to enter the property market versus whether |
| 12 | property portals on its Google Map service but it didn't | 12 | it is difficult or very difficult to successfully enter |
| 13 | actually launch a portal as such. So, therefore, they | 13 | the property portal market. The point I am making here |
| 14 | are the two examples that I said were purported | 14 | is that the examples Mr Springett has given are not |
| 15 | attempts. They weren't actual attempts. | 15 | relevant examples but I do agree that it is difficult, |
| 16 | Q. They weren't actual attempts to enter the property | 16 | even very difficult to enter the portal market |
| 17 | portal market? | 17 | successfully. |
| 18 | A. Correct as a property portal. | 18 | Q. You joined an outfit called FindaProperty as sales |
| 19 | Q. Really? | 19 | director in 2005? |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | A. Correct. |
| 21 | Q. You suggest that Mr Springett goes through a few | 21 | Q. What did you do before that? |
| 22 | selected purported attempts to enter the property portal | 22 | A. I worked for Express Newspapers for four years. |
| 23 | market and draws the conclusion that entry is very | 23 | Q. In sales? |
| 24 | difficult. Now, first of all, Mr Notley, purported is | 24 | A. Yes, advertising sales, yes. |
| 25 | lawyer's words and this paragraph, I suggest to you, was | 25 | Q. So basically you are a salesman? |
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| 1 | A. That's my background, yes. | 1 | "Mr Springett also asserts at paragraph 6.8 of his |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. And you left DPG as it had become in 2011? | 2 | fifth witness statement that the 'duopolistic' structure |
| 3 | A. Correct, yes. | 3 | of the property portal market in the UK that existed |
| 4 | Q. Why? | 4 | prior to Agents' Mutual is itself a barrier to entry. |
| 5 | A. To join Zoopla. | 5 | Mr Springett appears to be familiar with the OFT |
| 6 | Q. Why? | 6 | assessment ... That being so, Mr Springett ought to be |
| 7 | A. Because it was clear to me that Zoopla had a winning | 7 | aware that in clearing the margin OFT determined that |
| 8 | proposition. The more I met the people in the team | 8 | the market would function more effectively with two |
| 9 | I wanted to join it and I moved across. | 9 | credible competitors where there was a truly effective |
| 10 | Q. You got promoted, a bigger job, more money? | 10 | and genuine competitive constraint on Rightmove in the |
| 11 | A. It was actually smaller team, much smaller revenues, | 11 | form of the merged Zoopla/DPG entity." |
| 12 | smaller customer base but a winning proposition. | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. And that was obviously pre-merger? | 13 | Q. You don't give any particular reference to any |
| 14 | A. That was pre-merger, correct, yes. | 14 | particular passage of the OFT report, do you, Mr Notley? |
| 15 | Q. So you stepped away from DPG, went to Zoopla? | 15 | A. That's right. |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | Q. And that is because that sentence is a considerable |
| 17 | Q. And then about 12 months later you found those two | 17 | gloss on what the OFT actually said, isn't it? |
| 18 | organisations merging one with the other? | 18 | A. It's my interpretation of the OFT ruling, yes. |
| 19 | A. It was about 18 months after I was exited from Digital | 19 | Q. So it is a gloss on what the OFT said? |
| 20 | Property, yes. | 20 | A. No, no, I believe that the deal was approved, for the |
| 21 | Q. You were at FindaProperty? | 21 | reasons that I put in my witness statement. |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | Q. Let me show you what the OFT actually said. Take |
| 23 | Q. Mr Springett was the chief executive at Primelocation? | 23 | bundle F1 and turn to page 309 and you should be looking |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | at the first page of the OFT determination. If you then |
| 25 | Q. Primelocation was sold to the Daily Mail and General | 25 | go to page 330. It starts at 309. I am showing you the |
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| 1 | Trust in 2006? | 1 | first page of the document. And if you go to 330 . |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. And Mr Springett stayed on as chief executive after that | 3 | Q. Paragraph 70. The first sentence. Under the heading |
| 4 | acquisition? | 4 | "Assessment": |
| 5 | A. That's right, yes. | 5 | "The OFT considered that the merger is likely to |
| 6 | Q. And then he departed? | 6 | have a pro-competitive impact by creating a portal that |
| 7 | A. Mmm. | 7 | can rival Rightmove." |
| 8 | Q. So what if any relationship contact did you have during | 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | that period when Mr Springett was still in post for two | 9 | A. Yes. Sorry, which number? |
| 10 | years after the buyout of Primelocation? | 10 | Q. I have just read the first sentence of paragraph 70. |
| 11 | A. Very limited, probably no contact other than the odd | 11 | A. Of 70, yes. |
| 12 | sort of brush in a management meeting up until 2008 and | 12 | Q. Can you see that? That is not the same as the OFT |
| 13 | then at that point there was a proposal made to Daily | 13 | determining that the market would function more |
| 14 | Mail that with a new merged Digital Property Group | 14 | effectively with two credible competitors, is it? |
| 15 | business that Ian Springett would be chairman, | 15 | A. Sorry, say that again, I was reading the paragraph. |
| 16 | David Garrett who was the chief executive of | 16 | Q. Just listen to the question, Mr Notley, we'll go a bit |
| 17 | FindaProperty would be the chief executive of Digital | 17 | quicker; all right? |
| 18 | Property Group, and I was invited in as commercial | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | director. | 19 | Q. I have read the first sentence of paragraph 70 and I |
| 20 | Q. So while Mr Springett was still the chief executive for | 20 | think you have at least twice; right? |
| 21 | the two year period you were still under the | 21 | A. Well I was reading the whole paragraph. |
| 22 | FindaProperty umbrella, were you? | 22 | Q. And I was suggesting to you that that is not the same as |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | the suggestion that the OFT determined that the market |
| 24 | Q. We are still I think in your second witness statement. | 24 | would function more effectively with two credible |
| 25 | Look at paragraph 14. | 25 | competitors is it? |
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| 1 | A. I guess what I was saying in my witness statement was on | 1 | has increased as well. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | balance, the OFT agreed that it was good for competition | 2 | Q. So in other words, what you are saying is it is quite difficult to draw conclusions about price just by looking at overall revenue recovered by a portal because there are lots of things going on. Is that right? |
| 3 | that the merging go ahead, otherwise they wouldn't have | 3 |  |
| 4 | allowed it to go ahead. | 4 |  |
| 5 | Q. You see, if you just quoted what the OFT, said there | 5 |  |
| 6 | wouldn't be any debate about it but this witness | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | statement is constantly spinning the facts, isn't it? | 7 | Q. In fact, Zoopla -- let's pocket the merger. The merger |
| 8 | Glossing them and spinning them to mount an argument | 8 | has now happened; okay? In fact, Zoopla's publicly |
| 9 | rather than simply presenting the facts? | 9 | stated strategy after the merger was for ZPG's ARPA to |
| 10 | A. Well, as far as I'm aware, this is a public document and | 10 | close the gap with Rightmove, by rising to meet it, |
| 11 | the assessment is available in full. | 11 | rather than Rightmove's ARPA falling to meet Zoopla's. |
| 12 | Q. Can I ask you to look at paragraph 56 at page 327 while | 12 | You know that, don't you? |
| 13 | we are here. Are you involved in this merger -- you | 13 | A. We only have a strategy that is relevant to the Zoopla |
| 14 | were in the Zoopla side of this, you are working for | 14 | ARPA. I am not sure -- which document are you referring |
| 15 | Zoopla at this time; right? | 15 | to? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | Q. I am not referring to a document. I am asking you |
| 17 | Q. Were you involved in this process? | 17 | whether you are familiar with the fact that Zoopla's |
| 18 | A. In which process? The OFT process? | 18 | publicly stated strategy after the merger was for ZPG's |
| 19 | Q. The merger process, yes, the clearance process? | 19 | ARPA to close the gap with Rightmove, by rising to meet |
| 20 | A. Not directly in the OFT process, no. | 20 | it, rather than Rightmove's ARPA following to meet |
| 21 | Q. Let us look at paragraph 56: | 21 | Zoopla's? |
| 22 | "By merging to create a larger portal, the parties | 22 | A. Well, we have never had a strategy that involves any |
| 23 | submitted [that's Zoopla and DPG] that there would be | 23 | competitor's ARPA or business generally but certainly it |
| 24 | increased competition, as estate agents would have | 24 | is our business objective to grow ARPA through a number |
| 25 | a viable alternative they could switch to on leaving | 25 | of means. So from increasing the value that we deliver |
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| 1 | Rightmove. Third parties generally indicated they | 1 | to agents, by increasing the number of services. So, |
| 2 | believed that the merger would increase competition to | 2 | for example, we acquired a software business a few |
| 3 | Rightmove and that, overall, the price of property | 3 | months ago. So we have added in considerable new |
| 4 | portals would fall." | 4 | services over that period of time but it has never been |
| 5 | Do you see that? | 5 | our business objective or strategy in any way to affect |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | any other business's performance. |
| 7 | Q. "A number of estate agents specifically said they would | 7 | Q. Why did Mr Chesterman make precisely the statement that |
| 8 | switch away from Rightmove following the merger, | 8 | I have just read to you in 2013? |
| 9 | suggesting Rightmove would face customer attrition | 9 | A. I don't know. In what document have you seen that? |
| 10 | unless they had improved its competitive offering. Some | 10 | Q. Don't worry, Mr Notley, we'll look at plenty of |
| 11 | third parties, however, stated that they believe they | 11 | documents; all right? |
| 12 | would have to advertise with both Rightmove and the | 12 | MR HARRIS: Sir, with respect, he just now put to him the |
| 13 | merged portal post merger which would therefore indicate | 13 | proposition and that it comes from a specific document. |
| 14 | that they may be compliments rather than substitutes. | 14 | MR MACLEAN: No, I didn't. No, I didn't. |
| 15 | If this did occur, the merger would not significantly | 15 | MR HARRIS: "Why did Mr Chesterman make exactly that phrase" |
| 16 | enhance rivalry, as there would be a lack of switching | 16 | was the -- |
| 17 | between the portals." | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Notley hasn't answered. Do go on, |
| 18 | Do you see that? | 18 | Mr Maclean. |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful. |
| 20 | Q. Now, after this merger, the overall price of property | 20 | Were you at the event in 2013 which Morgan |
| 21 | portals did not fall, did it, it rose? | 21 | Stanley -- I will put you out of your misery, Mr Notley. |
| 22 | A. Correct. Although I don't believe that that's a -- you | 22 | If you take bundle H5 and turn to page 2354. |
| 23 | can't look at it completely at face value because the | 23 | A. Okay. |
| 24 | audience to property portals has grown significantly in | 24 | Q. Were you present at something called the DMGT investor |
| 25 | that time and the range of services provided by portals | 25 | day? |
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| 1 | A. I believe so, yes. | 1 | a misinterpretation of what was said on that day because |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. And Mr Chesterman spoke at that investor day, didn't he? | 2 | it's never been our strategy to specifically close the |
| 3 | A. Yes, I'm trying to see the date of that investor day on | 3 | ARPA on Rightmove or affect any other business in any |
| 4 | here. | 4 | way. |
| 5 | Q. It was in 2013. | 5 | Q. Was the investor day recorded and broadcast, Mr Notley? |
| 6 | A. So I was involved in a number of investor day | 6 | A. It may have been. |
| 7 | presentations on the lead up to the IPO. I can't say | 7 | Q. Does a recording of it exist? |
| 8 | for sure whether I was at this one. | 8 | A. Potentially, I don't know. |
| 9 | Q. If you look in this document, this is -- are you | 9 | Q. I want to be absolutely clear about this. Are you |
| 10 | familiar with this document, Mr Notley? | 10 | suggesting that Morgan Stanley have got the wrong end of |
| 11 | A. I remember the title. I've seen it over time, yes. | 11 | the stick when they say that "Mr Chesterman indicated at |
| 12 | Q. If you look at page 2367, do you see that the Morgan | 12 | the investor day that he expected ZPG's ARPA to close |
| 13 | Stanley document has what they call a key debate and the | 13 | the gap with Rightmove by rising, rather than |
| 14 | question, the first question, there were two questions | 14 | Rightmove's falling to meet Zoopla's"? |
| 15 | debated here, the first is: | 15 | A. I would need to understand the context. That |
| 16 | "Will Zoopla dent Rightmove's profitability?" | 16 | information may have come from a question. So, for |
| 17 | Do you see that? | 17 | example, if the question was: do you expect your ARPA to |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | increase or Rightmove's to fall, I imagine |
| 19 | Q. And at the bottom of the page 2367, last line: | 19 | Mr Chesterman's only potential comment on that would be |
| 20 | "The result, ie the result of the merger, has been | 20 | that we are planning on growing our business. |
| 21 | to create a duopoly with the combined market share | 21 | Q. Well you see, if Mr Chesterman had come to give |
| 22 | across Rightmove and Zoopla of greater than 95 per cent | 22 | evidence, I could have asked him and we wouldn't have to |
| 23 | online property advertising in the UK in 2012." | 23 | have your imaginings of what he had said. |
| 24 | That is correct, isn't it? | 24 | Look at page 2385, Mr Notley. The Morgan Stanley |
| 25 | A. That's the opinion of the analyst at Morgan Stanley, | 25 | model was that third last bullet point: |
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| 1 | yes. | 1 | "Rightmove and Zoopla are able to drive effective |
| 2 | Q. It is obviously correct. That is obviously was what | 2 | price increases on portals of circa 13 per cent per |
| 3 | was -- | 3 | annum in 2012 to 2020, absorbing declining print spend |
| 4 | A. I wouldn't say that, necessarily, the analyst at Morgan | 4 | as well as attracting the bulk of incremental marketing |
| 5 | Stanley would be correct. It depends on his | 5 | spend." |
| 6 | interpretation of what information he was given on the | 6 | Have they got the wrong end of the stick there too |
| 7 | day. | 7 | or is that correct? |
| 8 | Q. Look at page 2373, Mr Notley, under the heading | 8 | A. Well, I don't think there's any secret that we are |
| 9 | "Pricing"; do you see at the top of the page?: | 9 | looking to drive up the ARPA of our -- for agents and |
| 10 | "ZPG's broad intention to raise prices seemed to be | 10 | developers who advertise with us. That is a very clear |
| 11 | confirmed by the CEO ..." | 11 | strategy on our part but it is through what means are we |
| 12 | The CEO is Mr Chesterman; right? | 12 | planning on doing that? And our plans are very much to |
| 13 | A. Correct. | 13 | add in additional services. So if you read this bullet |
| 14 | Q. "... who indicated at the DMGT investor day that they | 14 | point in isolation, you could get multiple |
| 15 | expected ZPG ARPA to close the gap with Rightmove by | 15 | interpretations from it. We are -- I mean, if you |
| 16 | rising to meet it rather than Rightmove ARPA falling to | 16 | compare our business to Rightmove, as we are doing here, |
| 17 | meet Zoopla's." | 17 | Rightmove's strategy is very much to continue to provide |
| 18 | That is what Mr Chesterman said at the investor day, | 18 | exactly the same service to estate agents and developers |
| 19 | isn't it? | 19 | but to increase prices over time. If you look at the |
| 20 | A. I'm really sorry, I don't remember that being said and | 20 | reality of our strategy over the last two or three |
| 21 | what we are looking at here is an analyst document and | 21 | years, it has been to acquire and to add meaningful |
| 22 | we are relying on interpretation of a third party on | 22 | services, so you can't compare the two things. |
| 23 | a strategy which is based around increasing prices | 23 | Q. Look at page 2358. Paragraph 9, left-hand column: |
| 24 | because of the value increasing of the proposition that | 24 | "The merger of Zoopla and FindaProperty competition |
| 25 | we provide. So this, I would say, is | 25 | should not undermine returns. The merger of Zoopla, |
|  | Page 91 |  | Page 93 |


| 1 | FindaProperty and Primelocation in 2012 to form Zoopla | 1 | paragraph 21 and 22. You don't mention the Morgan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Property Group has created a duopoly in the online | 2 | Stanley document in this witness statement do you, the |
| 3 | portal market in the UK and reduced the risk of | 3 | one we just looked at? |
| 4 | regulatory intervention for Rightmove. Commentary from | 4 | A. No, there's a lot of documents out there, there are |
| 5 | DMGT suggests that ZPG achieves a circa 50 per cent | 5 | a lot of documents in circulation. |
| 6 | EBITDA margin. This high profitability and its stated | 6 | Q. Yes, but that might be thought to be one of the more |
| 7 | desire to raise prices, gives us confidence that there | 7 | important ones. What you do mention is one from |
| 8 | will not be aggressive competition on price and industry | 8 | Barclays Capital. Let us look at 21: |
| 9 | profitability will be supportive. Our market model | 9 | "First, Mr Springett's comments in relation to |
| 10 | conservatively assumes a normalisation in Rightmove's | 10 | Zoopla's ARPA are derived from a report prepared by |
| 11 | share of digital marketing spend to 60 per cent by | 11 | Barclays Capital and not by Zoopla, that reflect the |
| 12 | 2020." | 12 | comments and views of Barclays Capital, based on its [in |
| 13 | So if Morgan Stanley have not got the wrong end of | 13 | italics] understanding of what was stated at Zoopla's |
| 14 | the stick, what they are suggesting is that Rightmove | 14 | capital markets day." |
| 15 | and Zoopla are going to do very nicely, thank you very | 15 | Do you see that? |
| 16 | much, between 2012 and 2020, as duopolists in the portal | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | market; right? | 17 | Q. And then you quote from a document at H17/9555. Let's |
| 18 | A. That is the view of a third party and you know, it is | 18 | just pick that up. This is actually Mr Springett who |
| 19 | talking about a duopoly that exists that I certainly | 19 | had actually referred to this document. You are merely |
| 20 | haven't experienced over the years. | 20 | giving us your helpful commentary on his evidence; |
| 21 | Q. If Morgan Stanley have not got the wrong end of the | 21 | right? If you turn to 9555 . This is a much later |
| 22 | stick, if they have got the right end of the stick, then | 22 | document than the Morgan Stanley report that we have |
| 23 | do you accept that this report, assuming it has the | 23 | just looked at because this document is dated, do you |
| 24 | right end of the stick, is not consistent with the | 24 | see, 15 September -- it gives you the price anyway, on |
| 25 | suggestion that ZPG was constraining Rightmove on price, | 25 | 15 September 2016, so it must have been introduced after |
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| 1 | at this stage, after the merger? | 1 | that. Do you see that? |
| 2 | A. I disagree. So subsequent to -- or rather after the | 2 | A. I am trying to see whose document it is. |
| 3 | merger, I had lots of feedback that Rightmove would | 3 | Q. 9555, just under the heading. Do you see "Price, |
| 4 | change their behaviour in terms of pricing. In fact, | 4 | 15 September 2016"? |
| 5 | I remember meeting with Paul Smith, the chief executive | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | of SpicerHaart, who I met for the first time after quite | 6 | Q. So I think we can assume this document was produced |
| 7 | a long break because he wasn't advertising on Zoopla | 7 | around about then. The bit you quote from is over the |
| 8 | prior, and the first thing he said to me in our meeting | 8 | page at 9556: |
| 9 | was: well I have to start off by thanking you, for the | 9 | "At the IPO ..." |
| 10 | first time I have got a discount from Rightmove. | 10 | When was the IPO, Mr Notley? |
| 11 | Equally, following the merger, some new homes developers | 11 | A. June 2014. |
| 12 | were able to list on just one portal. So Persimmon | 12 | Q. "... the Zoopla pitch was that it would close the ARPA |
| 13 | Homes, for example, just list on Zoopla. They don't | 13 | gap versus Rightmove to reflect their relative share in |
| 14 | list on Rightmove. So I think the merger has actually | 14 | leads. Of course this hasn't panned out, as OnTheMarket |
| 15 | delivered some material or had developed some material | 15 | has disrupted Zoopla but in time, Zoopla still sees an |
| 16 | benefits until the launch of OnTheMarket, when Zoopla | 16 | opportunity here. We do have the underlying portal |
| 17 | was effectively pulled back. | 17 | business getting back to ARPA growth of 6 per cent in |
| 18 | Q. And that spoilt it all? | 18 | 18, 19. We have Rightmove doing high single digit ARPA |
| 19 | A. It is not that it spoilt it all, we still have a very | 19 | growth of a significantly higher base." |
| 20 | successful business but in terms of our ability to | 20 | And so on. You then go on -- go back to your |
| 21 | compete and offer competition to Rightmove, that has | 21 | statement: |
| 22 | been materially diminished. | 22 | "It is not clear how Mr Springett draws from the |
| 23 | Q. I don't accept any of that, Mr Notley, but let's move on | 23 | above passage, the conclusion that Zoopla can increase |
| 24 | to your second witness statement. Still on the same | 24 | ARPA to the level achieved by Rightmove. As is clear |
| 25 | copy. Second witness statement, bundle D, tab 8 , | 25 | from the Barclays Capital report, the analyst's comments |
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| 1 | that the gap between Rightmove and Zoopla is not likely | 1 | some other people that we have seen in these emails: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to close." | 2 | "As you are all aware, I have had the pleasure of |
| 3 | But the point is that this Barclays document has got | 3 | negotiating the London consortium 2013 contract with the |
| 4 | exactly the same account of the Zoopla pitch as Morgan | 4 | newly formed Zoopla Property Group, ZPG." |
| 5 | Stanley had, hasn't it? | 5 | What is the London consortium, Mr Notley? |
| 6 | A. I don't know whether they have got this information from | 6 | A. It is a group of agents, mainly represented by the |
| 7 | the Morgan Stanley report. I don't know -- so I sit on | 7 | businesses in the recipients -- |
| 8 | the exec team of Zoopla Property Group and I'm involved | 8 | Q. "As a starting point, ZPG took an aggressive stance to |
| 9 | in all of our senior management meetings and never once | 9 | negotiations and demanded large increases." |
| 10 | has it been our strategy to close the ARPA gap on | 10 | Do you see that? |
| 11 | Rightmove. | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Notley, you are seeing something which has |
| 12 | Q. Yes it has, Mr Notley, as you well know, as Mr | 12 | a blank after that; is that right? |
| 13 | Chesterman announced at the investor day? | 13 | MR MACLEAN: I don't see how this can be confidential to |
| 14 | A. I don't understand the context of that -- I don't know | 14 | Zoopla because it's Zoopla's own data. |
| 15 | whether that was a misunderstanding or whether it was an | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Let's resolve this then. First of all, whose |
| 16 | answer to a specific question. | 16 | confidentiality is this? Is it your client's? |
| 17 | Q. So Barclays Capital and Morgan Stanley have published | 17 | MR MACLEAN: It can't be my client's. |
| 18 | these documents based on a misconception of what the CEO | 18 | MR HARRIS: It says this is an Eversheds confidential |
| 19 | said was the fundamental strategy of the whole business. | 19 | documents on the right-hand side. |
| 20 | Is that remotely plausible, Mr Notley? | 20 | MR MACLEAN: The increase proposed is clearly not |
| 21 | A. So what I'm saying is these are third parties, not | 21 | confidential to Zoopla because it is proposed by Zoopla. |
| 22 | commissioned by Zoopla Property Group, who are giving | 22 | So Mr Notley ought to see the blanked out passage in |
| 23 | their view on a presentation and no doubt $Q$ and $A$ | 23 | this paragraph. |
| 24 | session, and this is their interpretation of how they | 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am entirely in agreement. It is your |
| 25 | see our business progressing over time. It is not | 25 | client's yellow, so if the yellow is removed -- |
|  | Page 98 |  | Page 100 |
| 1 | a Zoopla document and, therefore, it cannot state our | 1 | MR MACLEAN: The yellow should be removed. |
| 2 | strategy. It can give a view on what they believe is | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: -- then I am sure we can proceed on that |
| 3 | our strategy. | 3 | basis. |
| 4 | Q. The strategy was to have the merger and then jack up the | 4 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, Mr Notley. It is not your fault. |
| 5 | ARPA and enjoy the comfortable duopoly. That was the | 5 | So have a look at this sentence which begins "As |
| 6 | strategy, it is not very difficult? | 6 | a starting point." Do you see that? |
| 7 | A. So it was a -- was that a question? | 7 | The second sentence: |
| 8 | Q. Yes, that is not very difficult. That was the strategy? | 8 | "ZPG took an aggressive stance to the negotiations |
| 9 | A. No, it wasn't the strategy. I think it is very clear | 9 | by demanding a 100 per cent rate increase on 2012 rates |
| 10 | from our behaviour as a business that we've always been | 10 | and suggesting that the new rate would be payable from |
| 11 | about making sure that -- in fact, our mission statement | 11 | January 2013. After much toing and froing, the offer |
| 12 | is that we want to be the most useful resource to our | 12 | they have now proposed has been reduced to an increase |
| 13 | consumers and the most effective partner to | 13 | of 30 per cent year on year, effective from 1 April." |
| 14 | professionals. | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. I see. | 15 | Q. And that was the final offer: |
| 16 | A. And our business is all about increasing value and we | 16 | "The basis for such a large increase year on year is |
| 17 | believe that we can grow the commercial value of our | 17 | that the consortium now benefit from listing on Zoopla |
| 18 | business by doing so. | 18 | [that was true because previously, it had been on the |
| 19 | Q. I see. Take bundle H1, Mr Notley and turn to page 394. | 19 | other entities, Primelocation or FindaProperty] and the |
| 20 | Let's just have a look at an example. I am not sure -- | 20 | number of leads received by most members has increased |
| 21 | it can't be confidential to you, Mr Notley, but do you | 21 | by up to 40 per cent in some cases." |
| 22 | see we are looking at an email of 13 December 2012, so | 22 | So the justification for the initially contended for |
| 23 | this is after the merger; right? | 23 | 100 per cent rate increase, was that the number of leads |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | had shot up after the merger; is that right? |
| 25 | Q. And it is from Mr Jack to Mr Flint and Mr Jarman and | 25 | A. So $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ per cent rate increase is not the case. That is |
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| 1 | not what we were asking for. That is a misunderstanding |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | and it is one that I established to be the case with |
| 3 | Paul Jarman of Savills. |
| 4 | Q. So you were involved in this, were you? |
| 5 | A. Yes, yes, I was personally involved in this negotiation |
| 6 | and this $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ per cent rate increase was a complete |
| 7 | misunderstanding and more to the point, it is important |
| 8 | to know that in 2008, when this group of agents were |
| 9 | advertising on Primelocation and Mr Springett was |
| 10 | running Primelocation, if you compare the rate that |
| 11 | these agents were paying at that point versus the rate |
| 12 | they were paying at the end of this, they were paying |
| 13 | a discounted rate from their Primelocation rate, four |
| 14 | years in the future, after portal traffic had more than |
| 15 | doubled. So they were actually being delivered a much |
| 16 | more cost effective proposal and there was a material |
| 17 | misunderstanding from this proposal, that we asked for |
| 18 | 100 per cent. That is just incorrect. |
| 19 | Q. I will take your word for it but the 30 per cent is |
| 20 | correct, isn't it? |
| 21 | A. I have to look back at the numbers. |
| 22 | Q. And you see at the end of the email, the recommendation |
| 23 | was they came back with a counteroffer of 25 . I don't |
| 24 | know where you ended up but the battle lines seem to |
| 25 | have been drawn between 30 per cent on the one hand and |
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25 on the other; right?
A. The principle of the negotiation was that we'd increased, on average, leads of about 50 per cent, I believe. I don't know if it is referred to but every one here had benefited from a period of time where they had been listed on not just Primelocation and FindaProperty but also Zoopla, albeit that FindaProperty was absorbed into Zoopla and all of these members, I believe the smallest amount of increase they had seen was 50 per cent more leads and we settled on the 25 per cent increase. So even after the increase they had had, the following year's value was a materially better rate on a cost per lead basis, than it had been the year before. So it is misleading to talk about a 30 per cent increase in isolation, when you don't consider that as a result of the merger, all of these agents saw a material increase in the leads that we delivered.
Q. One of the tensions in the merger was that the demographic profile of Primelocation on the one hand and Zoopla on the other, was rather different, wasn't it?
A. Funnily enough, there is a perception that the demographic is different but, actually, the Zoopla demographic is actually higher than the Primelocation demographic.
Q. What these customers thought anyway, was that Primelocation and Zoopla had a different demographic, with Zoopla having a modest percentage of AB visitors whereas Primelocation was at the other end of the scale?
A. That was the perception, yes.
Q. And we see that one of the factors that these agents are discussing in the middle paragraph, beginning "To assist you", is the concept of monthly unique visitors; do you see that? Do you see in the middle of the page, it's in parenthesis.
A. "To assist you in assessing the offer"?
Q. Third line "Monthly unique visitors." I think you and I can agree, Mr Notley, that the concept of the unique audience for a portal is of major importance to its attractiveness to an estate agent; right?
A. Depending on who those unique users are, yes.
Q. Clearly, if you are in Mr Abrahmsohn's neck of the woods and you don't have very many AB visitors, that's not going to buy those properties?
A. Yes, exactly.
Q. But all things being equal, I think you would agree. Now --
THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, when you have a convenient moment.
MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir. I was quite carried away by
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the excitement of the merger and my gut was not sending me any signal at all. Would that be a convenient moment?
THE CHAIRMAN: That would be convenient, Mr Maclean.
MR HARRIS: Sir, ought we have to have a word about the timings because we have Mr Notley and Mr James and Mr Forrest all to be finished today.
MR MACLEAN: I will be very short with Mr James, if that helps and I won't be terribly long with Mr Forrest but I will be a little time with Mr Notley but not nearly as long with Mr --
THE CHAIRMAN: So we may be running behind but not very much.
MR MACLEAN: Not very much.
THE CHAIRMAN: We'll see where we are after 4 o'clock today and we can, if necessary, sit a little earlier tomorrow.

Mr Notley, you probably know this already but don't
discuss your evidence with anyone over the short
adjournment or hopefully it will just be the only time then. 2 o'clock.
( 1.05 pm )
(Luncheon Adjournment)
( 2.00 pm )
MR MACLEAN: Mr Notley, could you turn, please, to bundle H1/519.

| 1 | A. I am looking at a confidential version. | 1 | own email is at 669, 15 March 2013, on the facing page. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. It's okay. | 2 | And you wanted to extend the term by a couple of years; |
| 3 | A. So it is fine for me to look at the confidential -- | 3 | do you see that, paragraph 1 ? |
| 4 | Q. It is, because it is your numbers again. | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | Q. And including the ability for any member to cancel? |
| 6 | Q. So this is an email from Mr Ozwell; do you see that? | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | Q. And then just below the yellow box: |
| 8 | Q. And it is discussing a meeting he had had with you; do | 8 | "Given that the property seeking audience has |
| 9 | you see?: | 9 | largely moved online and over 50 per cent of our |
| 10 | "I my meeting with Jon Notley, who was the guy | 10 | audience does not visit Rightmove, strategically, what |
| 11 | basically in charge of creating full national coverage | 11 | we are proposing should be very easy to agree to." |
| 12 | for Zoopla. Asked him to summarise his proposal and | 12 | So one of your big selling points was the amount of |
| 13 | this is attached." | 13 | unique audience which Zoopla had, people who only used |
| 14 | So this was for IEAG members, see paragraph 6, for | 14 | Zoopla's portal and didn't use Rightmove's? |
| 15 | example, "In the strictest confidence"; do you see that? | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | Q. And the higher that percentage of unique audience, the |
| 17 | Q. So you, on behalf of Zoopla, were making a proposal | 17 | higher it is, obviously, the more attractive your portal |
| 18 | collectively to IEAG members for listing fees for the | 18 | will be, other things being equal, to the estate agents |
| 19 | following year? | 19 | that you are selling it to? |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | A. All other things being equal, yes. |
| 21 | Q. And the pitch you were making, see paragraph 2, was: | 21 | Q. And you had collective negotiations with a number of |
| 22 | "We need to support someone else to break down the | 22 | estate agents in a whole series of different parts of |
| 23 | monopoly that RM has, to stop them increasing fees year | 23 | the country, didn't you? In south Wales, in the North |
| 24 | on year, get them to start reducing fees year on year." | 24 | East, in London and I think some other places too? |
| 25 | Do you see that? | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | A. Okay. | 1 | Q. So if you take bundle H5. Now in South Wales -- not |
| 2 | Q. And then we see the negotiation and you were saying: | 2 | clear to me from the bundle whether it's south Wales or |
| 3 | "The cost to Zoopla providing all of this runs at | 3 | west Wales or southwest Wales but perhaps it doesn't |
| 4 | ." | 4 | matter. 2560, please. This is Mr Jones, Nigel Jones of |
| 5 | That number in paragraph 4, that was your figure. | 5 | John Francis in Carmarthen? |
| 6 | And they were coming back, see the paragraph: | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | "From my own point, expenditure of another [you see | 7 | Q. You know him, don't you? |
| 8 | the number there] per month ... like a hole in the | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | head." | 9 | Q. If you go to 2560. This is March 2014. So by this |
| 10 | And at the bottom: | 10 | time, you knew that a number of estate agents had signed |
| 11 | "I genuinely believe both sides are stuck between | 11 | up to OnTheMarket but OnTheMarket hadn't yet launched |
| 12 | a rock and a hard place." | 12 | and it wasn't to launch until the beginning of 2015; |
| 13 | You say you: | 13 | yes? |
| 14 | "... couldn't go down on the figures we want because | 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | of the impact on the existing membership rate. On our | 15 | Q. So we are about ten months away from what became |
| 16 | side if we don't support ... the only major competitor | 16 | OnTheMarket's launch. And we are about -- well we are |
| 17 | are RM, then RM continues their stranglehold on us." | 17 | two years now, after the merger. So 2560 : |
| 18 | So you were making a pitch then, collectively, to | 18 | "We met Jon Notley from Zoopla yesterday in what was |
| 19 | IEAG. If you take the next bundle on the same point, | 19 | a very positive meeting. One thing that occurred to us |
| 20 | $\mathrm{H} 2 / 668$. This is an email from a Mr Jenkinson to | 20 | is what are the founder and board member companies |
| 21 | Mr Ozwell; do you see? | 21 | intending to do when choosing a portal partner with AMP? |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | This would have an influence on other members, as it |
| 23 | Q. "Subject: re Zoopla and IEAG." | 23 | would give a big indication to others on how Rightmove |
| 24 | If you look down the page, what you were | 24 | and Zoopla might be strengthened or weakened. We are |
| 25 | suggesting -- he was referring to your email and your | 25 | favouring Zoopla, as is Clive Rook in the North East." |
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| 1 | In fact, you were successful, weren't you, in | 1 | organisation called REAP; is that right? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | collectively dealing with the agents in Wales, all of | 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | whom decided that -- those who were OnTheMarket | 3 | Q. Just remind me, Mr Notley, what REAP is? |
| 4 | members -- all of whom decided to list Zoopla and not | 4 | A. REAP is a collection of agents in the north of London. |
| 5 | Rightmove as their one other portal, didn't they? | 5 | There is a bit of cross over between the REAP membership |
| 6 | A. Correct. | 6 | and CLEA membership, so Central London agents and they |
| 7 | Q. And if you go to 2563, Mr Jones reporting to | 7 | are -- I believe they came about and created a magazine |
| 8 | Mr Springett: | 8 | called Fabric. |
| 9 | "We will have to see what our agents group view is | 9 | Q. And a London magazine as well? |
| 10 | when we report back to them on these discussions, as | 10 | A. That's CLEA. |
| 11 | Zoopla were, in contrast, very helpful and positive in | 11 | Q. So there are these two groups and each of them set up |
| 12 | their discussions with us. We are awaiting their final | 12 | a magazine -- |
| 13 | proposal before we report that to other members of our | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | marketing group. There does still seem to be a strong | 14 | Q. -- which is circulated in London? |
| 15 | body of support within our grouping to go with Zoopla | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | but time will tell if that holds firm." | 16 | Q. For their own purposes? |
| 17 | Now, Mr Springett never put a spanner in the works, | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | did he, of the deal that you did with these agents in | 18 | Q. And here you are dealing with REAP and on this occasion, |
| 19 | Wales, to list Zoopla as the other portal, did he? | 19 | Mr Abrahmsohn is emailing you and he's copying in |
| 20 | A. I don't know what Mr Springett did in relation to this. | 20 | Mr Chesterman? |
| 21 | Q. You are not aware of him doing anything, are you? | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | A. I'm not aware of him doing anything, no. | 22 | Q. We don't see Mr Chesterman appearing very often in these |
| 23 | Q. Or anyone else at Agents' Mutual doing anything? | 23 | emails. Why should he be copied in to this one? Is |
| 24 | A. Correct. | 24 | this because REAP was a particularly important group |
| 25 | Q. But if there was some dastardly plot to do down Zoopla | 25 | of -- |
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| 1 | at the heart of my client's raison d'etre, you would | 1 | A. I believe Trevor and Alex are near neighbours. |
| 2 | have expected them to be exercised about this, wouldn't | 2 | Q. In north London? |
| 3 | you? | 3 | A. Yes, and I know there's -- you know, their paths have |
| 4 | A. I can't comment on what they were doing in relation to | 4 | crossed outside Zoopla, I believe. |
| 5 | the west Wales group and the interesting thing about the | 5 | Q. Just keep that one open if you would and if you take |
| 6 | west Wales group is they were very different to other | 6 | bundle H8/4481. This, I think, is your proposal in |
| 7 | groups, in that they, I believe, know -- if not know, | 7 | 2014, November 2014, to Mr Abrahmsohn on behalf of REAP? |
| 8 | but very few corporate offices down in the southwest. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | So they were quite different, in the sense that they | 9 | Q. "Following to our discussion late last week, we reviewed |
| 10 | were insulated from any of their competitors listing | 10 | our proposal as follows. Should a minimum of X per cent |
| 11 | with Rightmove -- | 11 | sign up, we will extend the discount offered to Y. |
| 12 | Q. The North East -- | 12 | Should the minimum of $Z$ sign up, we will increase the |
| 13 | A. -- after the fact. | 13 | discount to [something else]. I hope you will agree we |
| 14 | Q. The North East group which we will see some examples of | 14 | have moved a long way from our initial starting point of |
| 15 | in a minute, the North East group was a bit more | 15 | freezing rates." |
| 16 | fractured, wasn't it, and in fact, I think didn't, in | 16 | So what we see here is your proposal and then at |
| 17 | the end, all list with Zoopla or with Rightmove, some | 17 | 4575, if you look at the "Dear John" email at the bottom |
| 18 | split one and some to the other, is that right? | 18 | of 4575 on to 6, you see what Mr Abrahmsohn was |
| 19 | A. The vast majority in the North East went with Rightmove. | 19 | suggesting by way of response to your offer; do you see |
| 20 | Q. But not all? | 20 | that?: |
| 21 | A. I don't believe so, no. I think there were a small | 21 | "We are looking for a discount of ..." |
| 22 | handful of letting agents who stuck with Zoopla. The | 22 | Do you see, 4576? |
| 23 | vast majority went with Rightmove. | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Can you take H6/3265. One of the other groups of estate | 24 | Q. And then your response is to offer a further level of |
| 25 | agents that you collectively negotiated with was an | 25 | discount, subject to continued advertising; right? |
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| 1 | A. Correct. | 1 | south Wales. What were the other three sizeable geographic groupings, Mr Notley? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. So what we see by this time, by 2014, shortly before | 2 |  |
| 3 | OnTheMarket launches into the portal market, is that | 3 | A. I don't know. I don't recall. Maybe -- I don't recall |
| 4 | with the agents which were signing up to OnTheMarket, in | 4 | that. |
| 5 | other words, a fairly marginal part of Zoopla's | 5 | Q. Do you recall? |
| 6 | business, Zoopla was reacting to OnTheMarket's impending | 6 | A. I am guessing that maybe REAP was one of them. |
| 7 | arrival and offering better deals to these marginal | 7 | Q. Yes. |
| 8 | customers than it would have been if OnTheMarket's | 8 | A. I can't remember. |
| 9 | impending arrival wasn't coming. Is that right? | 9 | Q. There was one in Devon, wasn't there? |
| 10 | A. In this case, yes. | 10 | A. Yes, north Devon, did we get -- I don't think we got to |
| 11 | Q. And -- | 11 | a point of offering a proposal to north Devon. I'm not |
| 12 | A. Although it has to be said that we have had these sort | 12 | entirely sure. |
| 13 | of group negotiations in the past and for us, if we're | 13 | Q. There was some contact with a group in north Devon by |
| 14 | able to negotiate with a group, it has made life a lot | 14 | Zoopla, wasn't there? |
| 15 | easier and, therefore, we are sometimes prepared to give | 15 | A. Yes. It is difficult because when a group of local |
| 16 | better terms. I'm not sure but I think the linear | 16 | agents, local competitors get together and ask to |
| 17 | example that you gave, I can't remember exactly what the | 17 | negotiate on a group basis, especially when there's |
| 18 | date on that was but I believe that was well in advance | 18 | a substantial chance that you may lose all of the |
| 19 | of OnTheMarket. | 19 | listings in that area, you are more likely to -- |
| 20 | Q. That was when you were putting the prices up. That was | 20 | Q. Do you know what Rightmove did? Did they deal with |
| 21 | before -- that was in 2012, I think, that one, wasn't | 21 | these people in the same group basis or not? |
| 22 | it? That was while my client's entry was still some way | 22 | A. No, because I think -- and this is the interesting thing |
| 23 | off? | 23 | around this piece, is that the positioning by |
| 24 | A. Yes, so we have got a number of group deals that we have | 24 | Mr Springett that this was a duopoly, I think is a false |
| 25 | given around the UK over the years but yes, the timing | 25 | one, because I think the point you are going to make is |
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| 1 | of this is just before -- is at the point at which we | 1 | that Rightmove didn't negotiate. |
| 2 | have the threat of losing agents as a result of | 2 | Q. I am just asking you a question. |
| 3 | Agents' Mutual's entry, yes. | 3 | A. So my understanding is that they weren't as forthcoming |
| 4 | Q. So for the people who are signing up to OnTheMarket but | 4 | at this time, yes. |
| 5 | not more generally for Zoopla's business, you are going | 5 | Q. Take bundle H7, Mr Notley, and turn to page 3182. This |
| 6 | round, reacting to OnTheMarket's impending arrival by | 6 | is September 2014. Do you know who Mr John Newell is? |
| 7 | offering better deals? | 7 | A. What company is he from? |
| 8 | A. We had a number of negotiations at the time, yes. | 8 | Q. Kingston Newell. Does that ring a bell? |
| 9 | Q. If you still have H6 which I hope you still have that, | 9 | A. No. |
| 10 | if you go to 3287. This is an email from Mr Rook of | 10 | Q. Look at the email at the bottom of 3812 : |
| 11 | Rook Matthews Sayer, from whom the wodge is eventually | 11 | "Hope all is well. Just an interesting conversation |
| 12 | extracted later in the story. Mr Rook to Mr Jones. So | 12 | had today with Zoopla, as I have given my one month |
| 13 | this is the North East agent -- he's one of the group | 13 | required notice which expires on 5 October and all of |
| 14 | you are negotiating with in the North East, Mr Rook, | 14 | a sudden, a call out of the blue from one of the |
| 15 | emailing another agent in south Wales that you've also | 15 | management team today, virtually begging for me to stay. |
| 16 | been negotiating with. We have just seen his email, | 16 | They have slashed monthly rate by 50 per cent, thrown in |
| 17 | Mr Jones; right?: | 17 | loads of extras. He explained the next two months heavy |
| 18 | "Thanks for the update. I had a good chat with Jon | 18 | marketing and TV ... and want to be the second portal |
| 19 | Notley yesterday, as preparation for the NE group | 19 | for Agents' Mutual. I had to keep a straight face |
| 20 | meeting on September 10th. He was optimistic about the | 20 | without laughing. He explained west Wales [this is the |
| 21 | reception received by your group and intends to make | 21 | Zoopla representative] are dropping Rightmove and |
| 22 | a similar offer. He indicated that there may be at | 22 | sticking with Zoopla as a group of agents and want all |
| 23 | least three other sizeable geographic groupings which | 23 | of Wales to do the same as they have offered west |
| 24 | could also receive a group offer." | 24 | Wales." |
| 25 | So this is the North East of England talking to | 25 | So what seems to be happening here is that Zoopla is |
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| 1 | suggesting to Mr Newell that like those in west Wales, | 1 | compete at a local level. There were some areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | agents in his area should get together and stick with | 2 | where -- there were some markets where no agents were |
| 3 | Zoopla; right? | 3 | signing up to Agents' Mutual and some markets where |
| 4 | A. That's the view of John Newell. I'm not aware of this | 4 | almost all agents were signing up to Agents' Mutual. |
| 5 | conversation, so I can't comment on it. | 5 | Q. But where estate agents were showing an interest, |
| 6 | Q. Now, the first paragraph of that email, beginning "Hope | 6 | signing a letter of intent for OnTheMarket, Zoopla was |
| 7 | all is well", down to "without laughing", would you | 7 | reacting to their particular position by going round to |
| 8 | agree with me that's indicative of competition at work, | 8 | them, either individually or more usually, in your case, |
| 9 | Mr Notley? | 9 | on a group basis, and competing with OnTheMarket by |
| 10 | A. No, I think that's the One Other Portal rule at work. | 10 | offering better deals than you otherwise would have |
| 11 | I think that's the carving a value out of Zoopla as part | 11 | done? |
| 12 | of a purported duopoly which isn't a duopoly at all, it | 12 | A. But in the case that you've -- the two cases that you |
| 13 | is a monopoly. And Zoopla naturally defending their | 13 | have given or certainly these last few cases, not the |
| 14 | position in any way that we could at the time. That's | 14 | INEA one, these agents have signed up to Agents' Mutual, |
| 15 | exactly what this is saying to me and, actually, most of | 15 | so we were actually competing with Rightmove They had |
| 16 | these examples tell me exactly that. And you will see | 16 | already signed with OnTheMarket so there is no question |
| 17 | from some of the commentary in some of these emails, | 17 | that they were going to drop some -- |
| 18 | comments about the fact that Zoopla are being a lot more | 18 | Q. Agents' Mutual, people signed, as you well know, people |
| 19 | friendly and a lot more helpful. That is because we had | 19 | signed a letter of intent months and months before OTM |
| 20 | to be. | 20 | launched and it would never have launched unless it got |
| 21 | Q. That is because you are competing? | 21 | to the critical mass of agents to make the project |
| 22 | A. No, it is because we had a competitor coming into the | 22 | viable. So all the way through 2013 and 2014, there was |
| 23 | market, whose sole purpose was to carve value out of our | 23 | a struggle going on, wasn't there? |
| 24 | business and we did what we could to resolve that. | 24 | A. Not between us and Rightmove. |
| 25 | Q. You are competing with my clients, who I think haven't | 25 | Q. Between you and OnTheMarket, as -- |
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| 1 | yet but are about to, enter this portal market, aren't | 1 | A. Sorry, not between us and OnTheMarket. It was a direct |
| 2 | you? | 2 | competition in those cases, between us and Rightmove and |
| 3 | A. We are competing with OnTheMarket and we're competing | 3 | as you have seen, in the vast majority of cases we lost |
| 4 | with Rightmove and all this does is set Zoopla back in | 4 | because we are a long way behind Rightmove. |
| 5 | their competitive position with Rightmove, thereby | 5 | Q. Let's have a look at 4467. H8/4467. Have a look at the |
| 6 | strengthening Rightmove. | 6 | email at -- it starts at 4468 at the bottom: |
| 7 | Q. You equate, don't you, any weakening of Zoopla's | 7 | "Dear Mark". Do you see that? Go over the page: |
| 8 | position with an equal and opposite strengthening of | 8 | "It was great to see you." |
| 9 | Rightmove. That is fundamental to your position, isn't | 9 | This is from Mike Norris, who is an account manager |
| 10 | it? | 10 | at ZPG, so he works for you, does he? Do you see that? |
| 11 | A. I'm not attaching proportions to it but I would say that | 11 | A. He's in my team, yes. |
| 12 | a weakening of Zoopla and a widening of the competitive | 12 | Q. Do you see the paragraph in 4469: |
| 13 | gap between Zoopla and Rightmove, serves really no other | 13 | "Our standard membership rate, if you were joining |
| 14 | party other than Rightmove. | 14 | us as a new customer today, would be ..." |
| 15 | Q. It doesn't please Mr Chesterman, no doubt but that is | 15 | And I am not going to read that number out in case |
| 16 | a different matter? | 16 | you don't want me to but you see that number: |
| 17 | A. Talking about Mr Chesterman, I think this is a -- for | 17 | " ... plus VAT per month for your two offices. Due |
| 18 | me, I think there is no clear example with the emails | 18 | to our ongoing relationship and your continuing support |
| 19 | that you have sent me and the group negotiations we are | 19 | for ZPG, I can offer you a significantly discounted rate |
| 20 | talking about, that we don't exist in a duopoly. | 20 | of a bit less plus VAT per month, effective from |
| 21 | Q. Have a look at bundle H8/4467, Mr Notley, please. You | 21 | 21 December 2014." |
| 22 | see the people who were joining OnTheMarket were | 22 | Then you see the email from Mark Hayward which |
| 23 | a relatively small proportion of the total agents | 23 | starts at the bottom of 4467: |
| 24 | listing on Zoopla, weren't they? | 24 | "Please be in no doubt I do not agree your |
| 25 | A. They -- yes, but a local level because of course, agents | 25 | 14 per cent increase in rates as from 21 December 2014. |
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| 1 | Q. I thought you said consumer is a synonym for vendor but | 1 | listings that an agent would have would be, say, 60. So |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | now you're saying it's not? | 2 | the cost to Mr Hayward of a listing for a month is $£ 4$. |
| 3 | A. Vendor being a vendor is a house-hunter. | 3 | The average property is on the market for between three |
| 4 | Q. I know what a vendor is but they are not the only | 4 | and six months, so that's between 18 and $£ 36$ benefit on |
| 5 | end-users, are they, the vendors, what about the | 5 | a overall estate agency fee of 1.5 per cent of -- we |
| 6 | prospective purchaser or the prospective tenant or the | 6 | will assume it is the national average premium. That is |
| 7 | prospective landlord? | 7 | $\mathfrak{£ 3 , 0 0 0}$. So I think there would be a material |
| 8 | A. That is not what I am referring to in point 38. I'm | 8 | disadvantage to the end consumer, by the agent moving to |
| 9 | talking about the end consumer. | 9 | Agents' Mutual, if the agent is using Rightmove and |
| 10 | Q. You told us a moment ago, I think you told us that what | 10 | Zoopla prior to moving to Agents' Mutual. |
| 11 | you intended by consumers was vendor; is that right? | 11 | Q. Zoopla wanted to charge Mr Hayward £560 and ended up |
| 12 | A. Vendors, householders. | 12 | agreeing to charge only $£ 240$ per month? |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: A vendor and a house-hunter may be the same | 13 | A. Yes, it's $\mathbf{£ 5 6 0}$ for two offices, two Chew Magna at $£ \mathbf{2 8 0}$ |
| 14 | thing sequentially but they are not the same thing at | 14 | and Wrington. And it is a matter of public record that |
| 15 | the same time. | 15 | our ARPA, our average ARPA is $£ 367$, so it looks to me |
| 16 | A. No they're not. When I am referring to consumers in my | 16 | like -- |
| 17 | witness statement, sir, I am referring to a member of | 17 | Q. It was a 14 per cent increase that has been planned? |
| 18 | the public, as opposed to a property professional, is | 18 | A. Yes, the missing information here is what we have done |
| 19 | probably the -- | 19 | in terms of increasing value. |
| 20 | MR MACLEAN: What about Mr Hayward's end-users? | 20 | Q. Not much by the looks of it, Mr Notley? |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, I don't want to interrupt but | 21 | A. Well, we don't have that information in front of us, do |
| 22 | let's just get Mr Notley's evidence on exactly on what | 22 | we? I don't know whether Debbie Fortune were just |
| 23 | he means by consumers. | 23 | listing on Zoopla prior to the merger or just on DPG. |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | Q. If there was any increased value, Mr Hayward hasn't |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: You are talking about not necessarily | 25 | spotted it, has he? |
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| 1 | vendors, you are talking about the man or woman in the | 1 | A. Correct, yes, I agree with that. Or he's negotiating. |
| 2 | street who is looking to buy or sell property or simply | 2 | Q. Now, when agents did join OnTheMarket, Zoopla sent |
| 3 | see what property there is in the market? | 3 | letters to their clients, didn't they? |
| 4 | A. That's right, who may or may not also be a vendor or | 4 | A. Yes, well we employ lots of different marketing |
| 5 | a landlord but it is the end consumer and you know, | 5 | techniques, yes. |
| 6 | different types of consumers use our service and use | 6 | Q. And that is one of the strategies which presumably you, |
| 7 | through their agent, our services. | 7 | in your senior position, approved as part of Zoopla's |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: So perhaps could one put it this way: the | 8 | strategy to counter OnTheMarket? |
| 9 | members of the public to whom estate agents provide | 9 | A. It was a marketing decision but $I$ was aware of it, yes. |
| 10 | their services? | 10 | Q. You approved it, didn't you? |
| 11 | A. Yes, yes. | 11 | A. I was involved in the decision-making process, yes. |
| 12 | MR FREEMAN: So not an intermediary? | 12 | Q. Did you disapprove of it? |
| 13 | A. Yes, not an intermediary, no. | 13 | A. No, no, not necessarily. |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, I don't know whether it matters. | 14 | Q. Take bundle H7, please, and come to 4020. This caused |
| 15 | MR MACLEAN: I am very grateful, sir. | 15 | a bit of a stir in the trade press, didn't it, this |
| 16 | So what you are talking about here is vendors or | 16 | tactic? |
| 17 | property seekers but you see, take the example of | 17 | A. I remember it got some coverage, yes. |
| 18 | Mr Hayward, who, when he kicked up a fuss and mentions | 18 | Q. It did, didn't it: |
| 19 | Agents' Mutual, the jacking up of his price got | 19 | "Zoopla letter direct to Agent's clients is |
| 20 | cancelled, it got frozen at what it was and he got ten | 20 | confirmed as genuine. A letter sent by Zoopla to house |
| 21 | free premium listings. Wouldn't that in due course | 21 | seller appears to be going viral among the estate agency |
| 22 | trickle down to the benefit of Mr Hayward's ultimate | 22 | industry. Other agents have forwarded it to Eye after |
| 23 | customers, vendors or property seekers? | 23 | being sent it themselves." |
| 24 | A. It is probably worth just talking that through. So | 24 | And it refers to a heavily creased letter. If you |
| 25 | currently paying $£ 240$ per branch. The total number of | 25 | go over to page to 4022, that I think, is a heavily |
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| 1 | creased letter but if the Tribunal would like to see it | 1 | that letters like this were a standard part of your |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | once it has been ironed, you have it at $\mathrm{H} 9 / 4741$, where | 2 | marketing and it wasn't a specific response at the time |
| 3 | we can see it a bit more clearly. Addressed to the home | 3 | of OnTheMarket arriving? |
| 4 | owner: | 4 | A. This was something we started doing in 2014. This |
| 5 | "We are very sorry to see your property is no longer | 5 | letter is dated 2 September 2014. I can't say it |
| 6 | being marketed in any of our websites, mobile apps or | 6 | wasn't -- I can't say you can entirely separate it but |
| 7 | partner sites. Marketing your property as widely as | 7 | we have -- vendor targeted marketing is something we do |
| 8 | possible is advised until you get maximum exposure and | 8 | often. Even our TV advertising often has a vendor angle |
| 9 | the best price in any transaction ... " | 9 | to it because we are looking to educate consumers, as in |
| 10 | And so on: | 10 | the vendor in that case, that choosing an agent that |
| 11 | "We recommend that you discuss this matter with your | 11 | lists with Zoopla would be of benefit to them. And in |
| 12 | agent as soon as possible and consider using an agent | 12 | most cases, for any agent who left ZPG to join |
| 13 | who will market your property as widely as possible, | 13 | OnTheMarket, in many cases the agent would have felt |
| 14 | including on the websites above." | 14 | obliged to have written to their customers to inform |
| 15 | And then very helpfully you add: | 15 | them they were leaving Zoopla, especially if the |
| 16 | "You can find a list of such agents in your area ... | 16 | customer had signed up on the understanding that they |
| 17 | www.Zoopla.co.uk/find-agents. Love and kisses, Zoopla." | 17 | were going to be listed on Zoopla. Potentially the |
| 18 | So that's another example, isn't it, of what might | 18 | agent could fall short of the consumer protection |
| 19 | be described as rather aggressive reaction at the | 19 | regulations in that case, especially given they're |
| 20 | margins of Zoopla's business to the agents who are | 20 | leaving because they have taken, effectively, |
| 21 | joined OnTheMarket, by trying to set their clients, | 21 | a shareholding in a competitive website. So I saw |
| 22 | their ultimate clients, against them by having them | 22 | a number of letters sent by agents themselves to |
| 23 | running into the estate agent saying: what the devil are | 23 | vendors. But for us this is -- it is quite punchy but |
| 24 | you doing, signing up with this new outfit, we want you | 24 | it is marketing and it is completely valid marketing and |
| 25 | back on Zoopla PDQ. That is the message you wanted to | 25 | in some cases, vendors switch agent because they |
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| 1 | get across, wasn't it? | 1 | couldn't believe that they weren't advertised on Zoopla |
| 2 | A. It is marketing, yes. | 2 | because that's the website they use. In some cases it |
| 3 | Q. It is not just marketing, it is targeted competitive | 3 | was ignored. In some cases it was passed on to estate |
| 4 | reaction to the new player in the game? | 4 | agents which is why we see it here. |
| 5 | A. No, it's marketing. | 5 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Notley, this isn't untargeted marketing. |
| 6 | Q. It is just marketing, is it? | 6 | The very first line of the letter doesn't say -- |
| 7 | A. Yes, and it wasn't targeted, necessarily, at -- | 7 | A. I wasn't saying untargeted to the consumer. I was |
| 8 | Q. It was untargeted marketing? | 8 | saying it wasn't necessarily targeted to just |
| 9 | A. It wasn't targeted -- let me finish, please. It wasn't | 9 | OnTheMarket members. It was targeted to any consumer, |
| 10 | targeted, necessarily, at anyone who wasn't with Zoopla | 10 | quite clearly targeted because it had an address on it |
| 11 | and who was OnTheMarket. It was targeted at any vendor | 11 | to consumers whose properties weren't listed on Zoopla. |
| 12 | who had their property OnTheMarket and perhaps wasn't | 12 | Q. Not quite, Mr Notley, that's my point. The very first |
| 13 | aware their property wasn't listed on our websites. | 13 | line of the letter says, not "We are very sorry to see |
| 14 | Q. It wasn't targeted to people whose agents were on | 14 | that your property is not being marketed on any of our |
| 15 | Rightmove, was it, because the letter wouldn't have made | 15 | websites." It says "We are very sorry to see your |
| 16 | sense, if it had been sent to them? | 16 | property is no longer being marketed on any of our |
| 17 | A. If it was on Rightmove and it wasn't on Zoopla, yes, | 17 | websites." |
| 18 | they may have received a letter. | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. Really? | 19 | Q. So it had been marketed on one of your websites? |
| 20 | A. It was only relevant -- it is only relevant if the | 20 | A. Correct, and taken off but not necessarily -- |
| 21 | property isn't advertised on Zoopla, Primelocation, | 21 | Q. And taken off and the reason why it would be taken off |
| 22 | Times, Telegraph, Evening Standard. We believe that is | 22 | in this period in 2014 and following, is because agents |
| 23 | quite an important message to get to a vendor. | 23 | were making their choices as to who their other portal |
| 24 | Q. If you go back to bundle H2, please. | 24 | was. We have seen some of these agents in the examples |
| 25 | MR LANDERS: I am sorry, can I just clarify, are you saying | 25 | I have been showing you, giving their 30 day notice to |
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| 1 | ZPG, dropping Zoopla. That means their properties | 1 | behind the scenes. The full address isn't published on |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | disappear off the website and this triggers this rather | 2 | the consumer facing website. |
| 3 | aggressive letter then being sent to the homeowner, | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but it is available to you? |
| 4 | designed to set that homeowner, that vendor, to go along | 4 | A. It is available behind the scenes, yes. |
| 5 | to the estate agent, saying, "What the devil are you | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. I am just trying to understand what the |
| 6 | doing, get back on Zoopla"? | 6 | provider was adding to information you already had. |
| 7 | A. So it doesn't necessarily mean that the property is | 7 | A. So in many cases we weren't being provided with the full |
| 8 | featured on Agents' Mutual, is my point. | 8 | address but we were being provided with the full |
| 9 | Q. Not necessarily but that's obviously the -- | 9 | postcode, so we may have had Acacia Avenue but we didn't |
| 10 | A. It is a retention -- | 10 | have 32 Acacia Avenue and clearly, you can't send the |
| 11 | Q. -- reason why these letters -- | 11 | letter to Acacia Avenue, you need to send it to -- |
| 12 | A. It is a retention piece of marketing. | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: I understand. So you had somebody who was |
| 13 | Q. And the reason why you started doing this in 2014, not | 13 | able to convert Acacia Avenue with the postcode into 31 |
| 14 | 2011 or 2012 or 2016 or 2015, is because you knew that | 14 | Acacia Avenue? |
| 15 | OTM was coming down the track? | 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | A. No, I don't accept that. That's not true. | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Can you give us an idea of when this |
| 17 | Q. It is just a big coincidence, is it? | 17 | marketing started? As an example of September 2014? |
| 18 | A. So the primary reason that we started doing this was | 18 | A. It may have been around that time. This may have been |
| 19 | because that a provider emerged in the market who was | 19 | one of the first letters that went out. |
| 20 | able to provide full address details, so the fact that | 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 21 | that provider emerged and we became aware of them at | 21 | MR MACLEAN: Let me take you to bundle H2/906. Do you |
| 22 | that point, that's what drove the decision. | 22 | remember meeting Mr Jarman at Savills in May 2013, along |
| 23 | Q. I see, so you sent the letters out because you could; is | 23 | with Mr Chesterman? |
| 24 | that your evidence? | 24 | A. I'm sure the email will remind me. |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | Q. Savills, as the Tribunal may know, are a pretty well |
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| 1 | Q. A bit like climbing Everest because it's there. I don't | 1 | established, fairly high end estate agent and one of the |
| 2 | accept that, Mr Notley. This was targeted at the | 2 | founder members of Agents' Mutual. Is that right, Mr |
| 3 | vendors who had -- | 3 | Notley? |
| 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Notley, so where did you get the address | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | from? | 5 | Q. I am going to show you the email in a minute. Is that |
| 6 | A. So in many cases agents don't provide full addresses to | 6 | right? |
| 7 | us. So for some agents, if we were going to send this | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | kind of marketing, we had to source the full address of | 8 | Q. So you had had a meeting with Mr Chesterman and |
| 9 | the property from an external provider. It meant | 9 | Mr Jarman in May 2013. "He", and I assume that's |
| 10 | a provider that offers its services to estate agents for | 10 | Mr Chesterman who presumably did most of the talking; is |
| 11 | essentially the same reasons. So we were tapping into | 11 | that right, from your side? |
| 12 | that supply. | 12 | A. Yes, that's a fair assumption, yes. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: So when a property is listed on Zoopla, it | 13 | Q. "He implied". That is what I gathered from Mr Livesey |
| 14 | will just say 31 Acacia Avenue and that's it? | 14 | yesterday. |
| 15 | A. Yes, in many cases the agent sends the full address but | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | we don't publish it is and the reason we don't publish | 16 | Q. "He implied that the AM portal was of little concern as |
| 17 | it is because agents don't want other estate agents | 17 | he didn't think we would get enough traction for it to |
| 18 | touting their business, effectively. But in many cases | 18 | get off the ground." |
| 19 | the agent doesn't provide the full address and, | 19 | Does Mr Chesterman normally go round and see clients |
| 20 | obviously, you can only do this kind of marketing if you | 20 | to talk about things that he's not concerned about? |
| 21 | have the full address. | 21 | A. Mr Chesterman doesn't see a huge number of clients. |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: How do geographic searches work, if you don't | 22 | Especially not nowadays but back then, he would fairly |
| 23 | have the full address? | 23 | regularly attend client meetings with me. |
| 24 | A. Because we have full postcode. So generally we go off | 24 | Q. What Mr Chesterman suggested was that he would like to |
| 25 | full postcode. We go off full address as well but it is | 25 | try and tie this steering group into a five year deal |
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| 1 | with ZPG at a fixed rate; yes? | 1 | do $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}$ on the other, even if the consequence of doing X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. That's what it says, yes. | 2 | might inevitably be also Y? |
| 3 | Q. So even as early as May 2013, we can see that the | 3 | A. Well, all I can say is that at no point have we made any |
| 4 | prospect of OnTheMarket has given greater leverage to | 4 | proposal to any agent in any year that has required them |
| 5 | these agents vis à vis ZPG, hasn't it? | 5 | doing or not doing something else on another portal. |
| 6 | A. I don't accept that. The reason I don't accept it is | 6 | Q. If that's right, you are in exactly the same position as |
| 7 | because there is a well established grouping of agents | 7 | Mr Springett, aren't you, Mr Notley? |
| 8 | here, just looking at the emails, who formed part of the | 8 | A. I don't agree with that. |
| 9 | consortium, who we -- like I say, they were paying $£ 360$ | 9 | Q. Now, let me turn to something else. Will you take |
| 10 | per month, per branch, when Mr Springett left | 10 | bundle X, please. This bundle is liable to give you |
| 11 | Primelocation and they were paying significantly less | 11 | a broken wrist. I want you to turn to tab -- the |
| 12 | than that around the time of this conversation. So to | 12 | Tribunal might have bundle X . We will split it into |
| 13 | suggest that this group of agents had no negotiating | 13 | two. It is probably getting overfull. It does get |
| 14 | position and then had a negotiating position because of | 14 | added to every hour, on the hour. Will you take bundle |
| 15 | Agents' Mutual, I don't think is right. | 15 | X at 3D. Would you also take at the same time, take |
| 16 | Q. I suggest to you it is plain and obvious why you and | 16 | bundle H18 and turn to the first page of the bundle? |
| 17 | Mr Chesterman went to see Mr Jarman? | 17 | A. They look like the same document. |
| 18 | A. Yes, it was an interesting conversation to have. | 18 | Q. They do indeed look like the same document. Would you |
| 19 | Q. Will you take bundle H4, please, and go to 1929. This | 19 | turn in H18 to internal page 20? |
| 20 | is an email from Paul Masters. Do you know who he is? | 20 | A. "Long-term strategic vision". |
| 21 | He is the Kinleigh Folkard; yes? | 21 | Q. That's right. If you then go back a page, internal 19, |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | it is a page headed "Macro backdrop." Do you see that? |
| 23 | Q. To various people on 4 November 2013: | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | "I have a feeling that Zoopla wanted to do a deal | 24 | Q. This document that has been in the bundles doesn't |
| 25 | with us all to list with them when AM goes live, as this | 25 | appear to be complete or at least there is another |
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| 1 | was suggested by Jon Notley when I saw him a few weeks | 1 | version, because if you go back to the X version and you |
| 2 | ago. I told him that we will make our own decision, | 2 | turn to page 125 W or internal page 19 in old money, |
| 3 | based on what's best for our business and I assumed | 3 | there is a heading "Macro backdrop"; do you see? |
| 4 | others would as well." | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | So you were suggesting to a group of agents that | 5 | Q. And then if you go over the page, 125 X and 125 Y , those |
| 6 | they should all identify Zoopla as their one other | 6 | two pages for some reason aren't in the version at H18. |
| 7 | portal and thereby drop Rightmove; is that right? | 7 | Do you see? |
| 8 | A. That's what this email says. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. That's what you suggested to Mr Masters, isn't it? He's | 9 | Q. I want to ask you about 125 Y in a minute, but do you |
| 10 | not making it up? | 10 | have any idea why there are two versions of this |
| 11 | A. No, I don't think so. It is a well established group of | 11 | document? |
| 12 | agents that we had already negotiated with as a group, | 12 | A. No. |
| 13 | at their request. | 13 | Q. We can put H18 away because it doesn't have the most |
| 14 | Q. And so you were suggesting that they collectively could | 14 | interesting page. The most interesting page is 125 Y . |
| 15 | identify Zoopla as the One Other Portal and drop, as | 15 | So these are Zoopla's full year results for 2014, dated |
| 16 | a group, Rightmove? | 16 | 25 November 2014, and what we have here is some of these |
| 17 | A. No, we were suggesting that they do a deal with us. | 17 | pie charts that we saw with Mr Livesey yesterday. So |
| 18 | Unfortunately, because of the One Other Portal rule, | 18 | ZPG and Rightmove account for 61 per cent of property |
| 19 | that meant that they had to drop someone else. We | 19 | traffic in the UK. The source for that is Hitwise. The |
| 20 | weren't suggesting they had to drop anyone else. The | 20 | next 1,000 property websites, collectively, are |
| 21 | One Other Portal rule insisted they had to drop someone | 21 | 39 per cent and 28 per cent of Zoopla's property portal |
| 22 | else. They were forced to. | 22 | users don't visit Rightmove and 35 per cent of |
| 23 | Q. So what you are saying is, and you may very well be | 23 | Rightmove's don't visit Zoopla; right? |
| 24 | right, Mr Notley, that there is a distinction to be | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | drawn between saying "do X " on the one hand and "don't | 25 | Q. And so as we saw yesterday with Mr Livesey, it is not |
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| 1 | quite one third, one third, one third, but it is not so | 1 | made is that in terms of audience, in terms of consumers using the websites, there is some clearly defined behaviours. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | very far away; right? | 2 |  |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 |  |
| 4 | Q. And the point that this document is making, this page is | 4 | MR FREEMAN: Another way of interpreting it could be that |
| 5 | making, is that Zoopla has an excellent position in a | 5 | the market is concentrated to account for 90 per cent of |
| 6 | market with high barriers to success; right? | 6 | value and the two of you, even though you say you |
| 7 | A. Where does it say that? | 7 | compete with each other, are well entrenched. That |
| 8 | Q. In great big type at the top of the page, Mr Notley. | 8 | could be a reasonable reading of that strap line. |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | A. It is certainly the case that in terms of -- there is no |
| 10 | Q. And that's right, isn't it? Zoopla, just before | 10 | doubting when it comes to usage, consumers have very |
| 11 | OnTheMarket came along, was sitting in an excellent | 11 | clear, entrenched behaviours in using Zoopla and |
| 12 | position in a market with high barriers to success, | 12 | Rightmove. That doesn't follow though, that we |
| 13 | enjoying the duopoly with Rightmove? | 13 | necessarily have a duopoly as such. The evidence is -- |
| 14 | A. I don't recognise the duopoly. So it is absolutely the | 14 | MR FREEMAN: You are dug in in different trenches, you would |
| 15 | case and you would expect it from a Zoopla document, for | 15 | say? |
| 16 | us to be very clear about the fact that we are very | 16 | A. I would say Rightmove is effectively a de facto monopoly |
| 17 | proud of what we've achieved over the years through | 17 | and we have been working hard to catch up and the entry |
| 18 | a lot of hard work and a lot of disruption, in terms of | 18 | of Agents' Mutual has effectively carved value out of |
| 19 | the information and the transparency that we brought to | 19 | Zoopla. |
| 20 | the market but to portray the Rightmove and Zoopla | 20 | MR FREEMAN: I understand you are saying that but I was just |
| 21 | position as a duopoly, is incorrect in my view. | 21 | looking at this picture which is before Rightmove has |
| 22 | Q. What -- | 22 | appeared, I think -- Agents' Mutual, sorry. |
| 23 | MR LANDERS: Could you just explain what you mean by | 23 | A. Yes, although if you were to add Agents' Mutual into |
| 24 | duopoly? You obviously mean more than just two large | 24 | this chart because of roughly 5 per cent of the audience |
| 25 | companies dominating the market? | 25 | of Rightmove and about 10 per cent of the audience of |
|  | Page 142 | Page 144 |  |
| 1 | A. Well I think it's -- the inference for me, of a duopoly, is that you have two players effectively dominating a sector and the competition inference is that there is, therefore, no competition between those two players. Whereas if you look at the Gascoigne Halman evidence, you can see us working incredibly hard to win the | 1 | Zoopla, it wouldn't really make much of a difference to this. |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 3 |  | 3 | MR FREEMAN: No. |
| 4 |  | 4 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Notley, can I just take you back to your |
| 5 |  | 5 | second witness statement at paragraph 6.6. I am sorry |
| 6 |  | 6 | to go back to -- bundle D, tab 8, page 120. Do you |
| 7 | Gascoigne Halman business. So the inference of duopoly | 7 | remember a discussion about the second sentence of |
| 8 | has always been, for me, of two businesses that are | 8 | paragraph 6.6, where you say: |
| 9 | effectively milking estate agents for cash. Whereas you | 9 | "In this section he [that is Mr Springett] goes |
| 10 | can see from the evidence that you have seen today | 10 | through a few selected purported attempts to enter the |
| 11 | Zoopla simply -- it is not the position that $I$ have been | 11 | property portal market." |
| 12 | in. And you can see that my name is littered across | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | these meetings. I have done a lot of miles over the | 13 | Q. Do you remember the discussion about purported? |
| 14 | last few years and I simply don't recognise the picture | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | that's being painted. | 15 | Q. And I think you told us the examples Mr Springett was |
| 16 | MR FREEMAN: Could I ask, what do you understand by a well | 16 | talking about which you are responding to here, weren't |
| 17 | entrenched and concentrated market? Does that mean you | 17 | actually entering the property portal market at all and |
| 18 | are well entrenched, Rightmove is well entrenched? | 18 | hence your reference to purported was perfectly |
| 19 | A. It is talking about the market there, I believe, in | 19 | appropriate. Was that the thrust of your evidence? |
| 20 | a well entrenched and concentrated market. You have | 20 | A. Yes, I believe so, yes. |
| 21 | to -- from a -- | 21 | Q. And I think you gave examples of Tesco and Google, |
| 22 | MR FREEMAN: The market can't be entrenched, it doesn't make | 22 | didn't you? |
| 23 | sense. | 23 | A. They were Mr Springett's examples, yes. |
| 24 | A. It is an internal document. I'm not entirely sure who | 24 | Q. But what you were telling me was that those were |
| 25 | the audience is for this but I think the point being | 25 | purported attempts to enter the property portal market |
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| 1 | and your reference to purported attempts was correct | 1 | Q. So you say and Mr Springett was the chief executive in 2006 to 2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | because they weren't actually attempting to enter the | 2 |  |
| 3 | property portal market? | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | A. They weren't portals as such. | 4 | Q. But there's a debate between us which we will be |
| 5 | Q. They were doing something else? | 5 | submitting to the Tribunal in due course, is not one the |
| 6 | A. Correct. | 6 | Tribunal needs to resolve, in order to resolve the case |
| 7 | Q. So can you help me then. If you go back to bundle X, | 7 | and so I am going to move on. |
| 8 | the page we were on at 125 Y , this Zoopla document. Just | 8 | Now, do you accept that by 2013, what Mr Chesterman |
| 9 | help me with the bottom left-hand corner. You see the | 9 | would call the portal space was rather different to the |
| 10 | two square boxes, the two blobs, "Significant | 10 | situation he had been in a few years previously? |
| 11 | resources"; do you see that? | 11 | A. Materially different, yes. |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | Q. Really quite different? |
| 13 | Q. " ... are required to obtain and retain a critical mass | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | in this fragmented market. Google REA Group and Tesco | 14 | Q. And for your note, for the Tribunal's note, |
| 15 | tried to enter the market unsuccessfully." | 15 | Mr Chesterman's email which I don't now need to go to is |
| 16 | What market is page 125 Y referring to, Mr Notley? | 16 | bundle H2/915, 3 March 2013. |
| 17 | A. Well, it's making -- it's insinuating that they are | 17 | I just want a couple of more points, three or four |
| 18 | entering the portal market. | 18 | points, Mr Notley. Paragraph 16 of your second |
| 19 | Q. It is not insinuating, it is making it perfectly clear, | 19 | statement, page 125 , bundle $\mathrm{D}, \operatorname{tab} 8$, you say: |
| 20 | isn't it? | 20 | "Pricing -- " |
| 21 | A. Yes, I don't know who put this document together. | 21 | Third line: |
| 22 | Q. They have got it wrong, have they? | 22 | "Pricing in our industry is, however, not |
| 23 | A. They have in those two cases, not in the REA Group case. | 23 | principally driven by costs. Rather, agents that are |
| 24 | Q. Perhaps they got Morgan Stanley or Barclays Capital to | 24 | looking to maximise their marketing spend are assessing |
| 25 | help them. How does this square with your answer to me | 25 | how to spend their marketing budget based on what will |
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| 1 | earlier, Mr Notley, about purported attempts? Do you want to reconsider your defence of purported attempts? <br> A. No, not at all. Tesco clearly was not a portal entering the market. Whoever -- someone at Zoopla has fallen into the same trap here. <br> Q. Let me help you -- I am grateful to Mr Holmes. Could you go back to page 125 G . We can see who put this document together? | 1 | generate the most number of leads from that portal |
| 2 |  | 2 | marketing spend." |
| 3 |  | 3 | Pausing there. What they are actually interested |
| 4 |  | 4 | in, I suggest, is generating the biggest number of |
| 5 |  | 5 | new ... |
| 6 |  | 6 | (3.10 pm) |
| 7 |  | 7 | (A short break) |
| 8 |  | 8 | ( 3.15 pm ) |
| 9 | A. It wouldn't have been put together by Alex or Stephen. | 9 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Notley, I think I was reading to you, |
| 10 | Q. Mr Chesterman doesn't make a presentation unless he's | 10 | paragraph 16 of your second statement, wasn't I? Third |
| 11 | satisfied that the presentation is something that he's | 11 | line: |
| 12 | happy to put his name to, does he? Mr Chesterman and | 12 | "Pricing in the industry is not driven principally |
| 13 | Mr Morana? | 13 | by cost." |
| 14 | A. It doesn't change my position on Google and Tesco | 14 | And then you refer to spending the budget based on |
| 15 | entering the market though. They weren't entering as | 15 | what would generate the most number of leads from that |
| 16 | property portals. | 16 | portal marketing spend: |
| 17 | Q. All right, Mr Notley. There we are. Now, I haven't got | 17 | "Agents are concerned about the value that they |
| 18 | time, Mr Notley, to have a little skirmish with you | 18 | derive from their portal listings rather than how the |
| 19 | about why Primelocation dropped its exclusivity but | 19 | listing fees compare to the costs faced by a portal." |
| 20 | there is a difference in the evidence between you and | 20 | Do you accept that the high profit margins of the |
| 21 | Mr Springett about that. It doesn't matter. I don't | 21 | portals, Rightmove and Zoopla, which of course, in large |
| 22 | care. Because it doesn't matter. I don't accept your | 22 | part, not exclusively, is thanks to the estate agents' |
| 23 | position on that but I am going to move on. | 23 | data that they display, is a powerful reason why the |
| 24 | A. My information came from conversations with senior | 24 | mutual model of OnTheMarket would be attractive, |
| 25 | management at The Daily Mail Group. | 25 | especially to independent agents like Gascoigne Halman |
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| 1 | that couldn't command the discounts that the Connells | 1 | Q. Yes, all right. Are you familiar with the Morgan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | and the Countrywides of this world could enjoy? | 2 | Stanley report from October 2013 which supported the |
| 3 | A. So there are a couple of questions there. I can | 3 | view also expressed by BNP Paribas that agents perceived |
| 4 | understand why the mutual structure would be attractive | 4 | Rightmove's leads to be superior to those of Zoopla? |
| 5 | to an estate agent, yes. | 5 | A. I think I've seen it, yes. |
| 6 | Q. Now, do you accept that the value of a portal to agents | 6 | Q. If we take bundle H5/2384. |
| 7 | resides not simply in a number of leads delivered to | 7 | A. I've got that. |
| 8 | agents but, more importantly, the quality of the leads | 8 | Q. This is a document I have just referred to. |
| 9 | delivered to agents? | 9 | A. This is the one we looked at earlier? |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | Q. No. |
| 11 | Q. And do you agree with me that different types of lead | 11 | A. Well underpinned -- no, was that a different one? No, |
| 12 | offer -- | 12 | this is the same one, I think. |
| 13 | A. I think there's a balance between the two. | 13 | Q. It is the same one, you are quite right. It is the same |
| 14 | Q. Well, obviously, if you only have high one quality lead | 14 | one. A different passage in it. At page 2362, the |
| 15 | per year, you would starve? | 15 | right-hand column, top of the page: |
| 16 | A. Correct. | 16 | "The findings from our Alphawise survey appear to |
| 17 | Q. Do you agree that different types of lead offer | 17 | challenge Zoopla's assertion at the DMGT capital markets |
| 18 | different levels of value to agents and some leads are | 18 | day [and there is the date we were looking for earlier, |
| 19 | less likely than others to result in instructions, sales | 19 | March 2013] that it is responsible for 50 per cent of |
| 20 | or lettings? | 20 | all sales being generated from online portals. Our |
| 21 | A. Every lead is different, yes. | 21 | survey date ...(Reading to the words)... Rightmove that |
| 22 | Q. Well, but there are different types of lead which, | 22 | this is responsible for five to six times the number of |
| 23 | typically, some are more likely to lead to instructions | 23 | leads versus its nearest competitor." |
| 24 | than others. An appraisal lead being the classic | 24 | And then it is suggested Rightmove was a must have |
| 25 | example of one that's most likely to lead to an | 25 | portal and then: |
|  | Page 150 | Page 152 |  |
| 1 | instruction, compared to a prospective tenant ringing up? | 1 | "As a further sense check, we asked agents about the |
| 2 |  | 2 | quality of sales being generated from portals. A larger |
| 3 | A. Yes, but it depends on the motive, the readiness, | 3 | portion, 30 per cent of respondents, detected no |
| 4 | whether it is relevant to that agent's part of the | 4 | discernible difference between Rightmove and Zoopla but |
| 5 | market. There are lots of things that can affect the | 5 | Rightmove led Zoopla by a factor of four times, with 56 |
| 6 | value of a lead. | 6 | ...(Reading to the words)... higher quality compared to |
| 7 | Q. Do you agree that in general terms, leads relating to | 7 | 14 per cent for Zoopla." |
| 8 | valuations of property are of the most value to agents? | 8 | Now, that is consistent, isn't it, with the BNP |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | Paribas -- I don't know, were you in court when I looked |
| 10 | Q. And do you agree that that's on the basis that any | 10 | at the BNP Paribas report yesterday, I think? |
| 11 | agent's primary source of revenue, not only, is from | 11 | A. Maybe. |
| 12 | fees for marketing properties and instructions to an | 12 | Q. If you take bundle -- |
| 13 | agent to market a property usually follow from that | 13 | A. Could I just ask a question. It says here "October 4, |
| 14 | agent conducting a valuation of the property in | 14 | 2013, Rightmove Plc." Is that because this was funded |
| 15 | question? | 15 | and paid for by Rightmove? Or are they acting on behalf |
| 16 | A. Yes, I mean, that would mainly be the case for an online | 16 | of Rightmove? |
| 17 | agent who charges a fee based on the marketing of the | 17 | Q. I am sorry, where are you now? I have closed my volume. |
| 18 | property but for a traditional high street agent, they | 18 | A. I am on H5/2362. |
| 19 | don't get a fee if they're working on a success basis, | 19 | Q. No, it is not a Rightmove document, it is a Morgan |
| 20 | unless someone buys that property. | 20 | Stanley -- |
| 21 | Q. So accordingly, individual owner or vendor emails or | 21 | A. They are initiating on Rightmove, are they? |
| 22 | telephone calls or other contacts are generally | 22 | Q. They're just talking about Rightmove, that's all. |
| 23 | considered by agents to be the highest quality of lead? | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think if you look at page 2354, you see the |
| 24 | A. Yes, agreed. Well highest value lead, not necessarily | 24 | title. It is a report into Rightmove. |
| 25 | the highest quality. | 25 | MR MACLEAN: That's right. Mr Notley, I want to take you to |
|  |  |  | Page 153 |

bundle X again, tab 3.
A. Are we leaving this one?
Q. Yes, we are leaving that one. The next one is more of the same.
A. Can I just make a point on this before we leave it?
Q. Of course.
A. The respondents here, there are 147 respondents and there are 18 to $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ estate agents in the UK. Seventy per cent of the respondents were directors. In many cases, directors aren't the people who are fielding leads on a day-to-day basis. So what we have found when we have done research on these kind of things is these things tend to be self perpetuating. Where you read the Zoopla leads are lower quality and then your perception of Zoopla leads, when we have dug into the data ourselves and we have done it many times, actually the differences aren't that great at all.
Q. I understand you take issue with these figures but what you are saying is that this information is too impressionistic?
A. It is not a scientific study, 147 agents, many of whom aren't dealing with leads on a day-to-day basis.
Q. And it would be much, much better, much more robust information, is this what you are saying, if it was statistically significant data, based on a scientific

Page 154
survey?
A. I am merely making an observation about that piece of analysis.
Q. But you are making the point, are you, that it is not of any statistical significance?
A. No, it is 147 , so it is significant, in the sense that they have spoken to 147 people, but that is 147 of nearly 20,000 .
Q. But if it was a --
A. If it was a thousand, using data, as opposed to a section, you may get a different result.
Q. If it was a randomised sample carried out by statisticians that gave a statistically significant result, then you would sit up and pay much more attention to it, wouldn't you?
A. Depending on the source, yes.
Q. Yes, let's look at bundle X, tab 3. BNP Paribas. In order to try and save time, Mr Notley, can I just ask you, please, to turn to page 81 and could you just read to yourself, please, the paragraph beginning "We note from industry contacts". Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And to the bottom of the page and just tell me when you have got to the bottom of the page, okay? (Pause) All right?
A. Yes.
Q. Once you have done that, turn on to 89, please. Just read the paragraph beginning "We note from industry contacts" to yourself. (Pause). All right? Down to "Client enquiries".
A. Yes.
Q. And then to save time, I am going to skip over one at the bottom of the page. Go over the page to "A second factor".

## A. Sorry, where's this?

Q. Over the page, page 90, "A second factor", do you see: "Outlined in the graphic below is the importance of the one to all emails. If an individual conducts a property search in a given geography, both websites, [this is Rightmove and Zoopla] at the bottom of the page, may offer the opportunity to send a one to all email. This email allows the individual to request contact from all the agents in a given area."

That is right, is it not, so far so good?
A. Yes.
Q. "Our testing suggested two key differences between the sites which potentially could inflate Zoopla's lead count. Firstly, Zoopla typically preselected a number of agents. In the case of Exeter, 16. Rightmove never preselected enquiries for the user."
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> Pausing there, that is a key difference between the two approaches, isn't it?
A. So it's -- they are different approaches, correct.
Q. So Zoopla preselects agents and Rightmove doesn't do so?
A. At that time, yes, Rightmove has removed it completely now, yes.
Q. "Secondly, Zoopla typically offered the one to all offering more regularly than Rightmove. We see from the Bromley example below, an individual could instantly generate 34 leads for Zoopla, while Rightmove do not offer a one to all email for such a wide search criteria."
A. Okay.
Q. So that was also the position, wasn't it?
A. Well, you probably saw in my fourth witness statement, I went into this in a little bit more detail and actually spoke about the proportion of our leads that are email and it is a relatively small proportion of the total, so this strikes me as a relatively marginal point.
Q. These two key differences have always existed, haven't they, between the Rightmove portal and the Zoopla portal?
A. But like I say, the proportion of leads that are sent through the email auto is relatively small.

| 1 | Q. But you do accept these two key differences have always | 1 | Rightmove website? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | been there, at least since the merger in 2012? | 2 | A. I can't say whether it's important but they no longer |
| 3 | A. I wouldn't describe them as key differences. They are | 3 | provide that tool which we believe is useful to |
| 4 | differences. | 4 | consumers and agents. |
| 5 | Q. They are differences. | 5 | Q. When did that happen? |
| 6 | A. Yes, and you will notice on Rightmove there is a button | 6 | A. They moved over to a responsive platform a year ago and |
| 7 | there that says "Select all". I can't quite see it but | 7 | I think it happened then. |
| 8 | I know it existed at the time and equally on the Zoopla, | 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: What do you mean by "responsive platform"? |
| 9 | example, there is a button which says "Deselect all". | 9 | A. So a website that works across mobile desktop app, a |
| 10 | Q. So your answer to this, if we go to your fourth witness | 10 | single website and I believe at that point they dropped |
| 11 | statement which is paragraph 14, which Mr Harris | 11 | one of the email all tool at the same -- or one tool as |
| 12 | referred to in X , tab 30 which is also more conveniently | 12 | they called it. |
| 13 | I think in bundle D, tab 12 if you have a tab 12. Do | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Are you going to another topic, Mr Maclean, |
| 14 | you have it at the very back at 159 and following? | 14 | if so I had one question. |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, sir. |
| 16 | Q. It is much more convenient obviously to take it from | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Are you moving on to another topic? |
| 17 | there. If you would turn, please, in D, Mr Notley, to | 17 | MR MACLEAN: I am going to my last question on a slightly |
| 18 | page 164, paragraph 14 this is where you deal with this | 18 | different topic. |
| 19 | point. This is where you deal with this point, isn't | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Then I will interrupt you. |
| 20 | it? | 20 | You said a moment ago that email wasn't the most |
| 21 | "In his seventh witness statement Mr Springett seeks | 21 | important lead? |
| 22 | to make a point about the fact that Zoopla shows | 22 | A. Email? |
| 23 | property search results with agents pre-selected ..." | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Email, yes. |
| 24 | So you accept that that's what Zoopla does. | 24 | A. Did I? |
| 25 | Mr Springett -- | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, you were -- the transcript. You were |
|  | Page 158 |  | Page 160 |
| 1 | A. Yes, our view on that is if someone is working the email | 1 | being asked about these email selections and you said |
| 2 | all tool they want to email multiple agents and | 2 | that email is a relatively small source of leads. |
| 3 | therefore preselecting it is quite useful for the | 3 | A. Sorry, the proportion of leads that are sent through |
| 4 | consumer but we make it very easy for them to deselect | 4 | this tool is relatively small. |
| 5 | it. Our view isn't if a consumer is the using the email | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: I see. |
| 6 | all tool they want to email no agents which is why we | 6 | A. I gave the numbers in my witness statement. I believe |
| 7 | preselect it to be useful. | 7 | it was the end of -- yes, paragraph 18 in my fourth |
| 8 | Q. What you are saying is that if the person using the | 8 | witness statement. |
| 9 | Zoopla portal doesn't want to email everybody, they can | 9 | MR MACLEAN: The very last page. |
| 10 | so organise things to make sure they don't by | 10 | A. It is marked as confidential but you can see it is |
| 11 | deselecting? | 11 | a relatively small proportion. So even if the resulting |
| 12 | A. Yes, a single click. | 12 | emails that went from this tool on Rightmove at the time |
| 13 | Q. By contrast in the Rightmove situation if you want to | 13 | or OnTheMarket were half the number of Zoopla, it would |
| 14 | email multiple people you have to click your finger on | 14 | be a very marginal difference in the overall quality of |
| 15 | your mouse? | 15 | the lead. Genuinely, it is very interesting when you |
| 16 | A. You could either select all or you can select them | 16 | get into this area because if you were to ask an agent, |
| 17 | individually. | 17 | all things being equal, would you take an email all lead |
| 18 | Q. But the inert suffer, if I can put it like that, the | 18 | or would you take a telephone call, they would say, I'll |
| 19 | default position on the Zoopla website is preselecting | 19 | take the telephone call. Whereas if you say the |
| 20 | everybody and on the Rightmove, and I think OnTheMarket | 20 | telephone call is from a plumber selling his wares but |
| 21 | website, is not preselecting everybody? | 21 | the email all lead is a vendor who has a $£ 4$ million |
| 22 | A. Except it doesn't exist on the Rightmove site today. | 22 | property ready to put on the market, they would take the |
| 23 | Q. Anymore? | 23 | email all tool. So it is very subjective. |
| 24 | A. Correct. | 24 | MR FREEMAN: I am relieved to hear it. |
| 25 | Q. Because there has been an important change on the | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: In terms of being able to attribute the lead |
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|  | back to a particular portal, so if I am an estate agent |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | and I get an email it will be obvious it comes from |
| 2 | either Zoopla or Primelocation -- Rightmove, I beg its |
| 3 | pardon. |
| 5 | A. So all of our leads are sent from Zoopla Property Group. |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: So I will know as an estate agent that the |
| 7 | lead as an email comes from a particular portal. |
| 8 | A. Yes, and telephone call as well because there's |
| 9 | a whispering service that -- so we lay our telephone |
| 10 | numbers on top of the agent's telephone number so when |
| 11 | the call comes through the first thing the agent gets is |
| 12 | "this is a call from Zoopla being put through" and then |
| 13 | the consumer is put through. So whether it is |
| 14 | a telephone number or an email they will know the source |
| 15 | of the lead if they're paying attention and are |
| 16 | interested. |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see, thank you. |
| 18 | MR MACLEAN: Finally, Mr Notley, do you accept that prior to |
| 19 | OnTheMarket's entry into the property portal market |
| 20 | in January 2015 neither Rightmove nor Zoopla routinely |
| 21 | allowed property seekers or landlords or vendors to |
| 22 | click directly from the full details page of their |
| 23 | portals straight through to the estate agent's own |
| 24 | website? |
| 25 | A. I believe I answered this in my witness statement, |
|  |  |
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Mr Maclean. Zoopla has been doing that almost since our launch and Rightmove has been doing the same.
Q. I don't think that is right, is it? Zoopla at times on its full details page provides a click through but not directly to the home page of the estate agent?
A. Not to the home page but that's quite a confusing experience for a consumer to be on a property detail page on a portal and then to get sent to a home page where they've got -- if they are interested and they think by clicking this link they are going to get to more details about that property they are sent right back to the beginning of the search. We don't believe that's a sensible thing to do.
Q. But rightly or wrongly, as we can see from, for example, if you take bundle H14/7576 --
A. Have we finished with $X$ ?
Q. Yes, thank you. H14/7576 is a version of the information memorandum of my client, okay?
A. Yes.
Q. You can see the first page, if you just want to get your bearings at 7570 , right, that is the information memorandum. If you turn to 7576 and turn it round you see the heading "Portal"?
A. Yes.
Q. The second paragraph:
"The design is elegant and uncluttered. No distraction like third party advertising. Unlike Zoopla and Primelocation the page doesn't offer a statistically based opinion of the value of the property.
OnTheMarket.com believes this information should be for the agent handling the property to communicate with applicants so it can be properly explained."

Then at the bottom of the page.
"Unlike our competitors OnTheMarket.com provides a visible and direct link to the agent's own website. Member agents also have the choice of their own office phone numbers or a trackable TO number [that is the whispering service which you just referred to I think] which facilitates the reporting of telephone leads, call introduction and call record."

So rightly or wrongly my client saw as one of its key selling points for its portal that ability to have the visible and direct link to the agent's own website which wasn't offered by either Rightmove or Zoopla, was it?
A. That's right. We didn't send people to an agent's home page from the property details page, that's right.
Q. But now you do?
A. On the agent's profile page, yes.

MR MACLEAN: Thank you very much, Mr Notley.
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MR LANDERS: Just on that last point, Mr Maclean, when you say "a key selling point", to whom, the consumers or the agents?
MR MACLEAN: To the agents joining OnTheMarket. The information memorandum was targeted at the agents to encourage them to join OnTheMarket as opposed to down the chain consumers.

Re-examination by MR HARRIS
MR HARRIS: Mr Notley, I have a few questions for you in re-examination. You were asked, Mr Notley, about closing the gap and driving ARPA and you said, amongst other things, you need to understand the context and one part of that context was I have written down you saying that Zoopla has been adding new services. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you give any examples of new services that you have added?
A. Crikey. So I guess I'll group them as follows. So there is the products and services that we offer on our websites to agents and developers. Then there's the additional business services marketing services that we offer to professionals, so we power a thousand agent websites today. We can provide in print solutions, you know, and we offer digital marketing services to agents

| 1 | and developers. | 1 | see the final line "On our side"? Do you see that line? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Interestingly, one of the products or services that | 2 | A. Sorry, on 519? |
| 3 | we've started offering since the acquisition of Property | 3 | Q. At the bottom of that page does it say "On our side if |
| 4 | Software Group is a product called Move It and Move It | 4 | we do not ..."? |
| 5 | is a platform that sits on top of the agent's software | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | that they use on a day-to-day basis and increasingly | 6 | Q. "... if we do not support the only major competitor and |
| 7 | agents are looking to sell more peripheral services, | 7 | Rightmove continues their stranglehold on us ..." |
| 8 | mortgages, conveyancing services et cetera and there is | 8 | Who do you understand Mr Ozwell to be referring to |
| 9 | a lot of pressure on fees in the market at the moment | 9 | in that sentence as the only major competitor? |
| 10 | and that's driving down fees. Most agents are | 10 | A. Zoopla. |
| 11 | experiencing -- everyday agents are experiencing that. | 11 | Q. Thank you. While we are still in this bundle ever so |
| 12 | And what Move It does it effectively helps the agent | 12 | slightly out of order but it is easier to do it while we |
| 13 | become better at selling ancillary services. So the | 13 | are in the bundle, can you please turn to page 250 . Do |
| 14 | interesting thing when you look at our ARPA growth now | 14 | you recall as you turn up that page that you were |
| 15 | and you ignore the Move It product which launched a year | 15 | answering both the Tribunal and Mr Maclean about the |
| 16 | ago and is starting to gain some more attraction now. | 16 | context and the meaning of duopoly? |
| 17 | You are forgetting that the more our ARPA grows with the | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Move It platform the agent is actually generating more | 18 | Q. And you were saying, amongst other things, that you |
| 19 | revenue. So if you were to put all of our ARPA together | 19 | didn't accept that there wasn't any competition between |
| 20 | for all those products you would end up with a quite | 20 | the two players? |
| 21 | distorted picture. | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. Have I understood this right, you sell these further and | 22 | Q. Then you went on to say, and I tried to note this down, |
| 23 | additional services to the estate agents, do you? | 23 | I think it was the transcript, page 133, line 9 and my |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | note reads something like, "We worked very hard to get |
| 25 | Q. And that -- | 25 | Gascoigne Halman's business." Do you remember saying |
|  | Page 166 |  | Page 168 |
| 1 | A. Or rather we give them a tool that helps them sell the | 1 | that? |
| 2 | services on to their end customer. | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. Yes, sorry that was the Move It one? | 3 | Q. What is this document starting on page 250 ? |
| 4 | A. That was the Move It one. | 4 | A. It looks like a presentation, sales presentation given |
| 5 | Q. But you also talked about a service that relates to, | 5 | to Gascoigne Halman 2011. |
| 6 | say, conveyancing? | 6 | Q. Is that pre- or post-launch of OTM? |
| 7 | A. That was one of the services they would sell on to their | 7 | A. Oh well, well before. |
| 8 | own customers. The products that we would sell direct | 8 | Q. I am sorry, what did you say? |
| 9 | would include software to help run their business, | 9 | A. Well before. |
| 10 | websites to power their website, a product called | 10 | Q. Well before? |
| 11 | Average which is a partnership with Google and Facebook | 11 | A. Years before. |
| 12 | where we help them target their local market, that way | 12 | Q. Years before indeed. You may not have put this together |
| 13 | helping them tilt some of their direct spend with Google | 13 | yourself but can you offer any comment about what's |
| 14 | towards ZPG. | 14 | going on on page 269 of this document? |
| 15 | So a lot of these products and services are tilt and | 15 | A. Yes, we're offering an introductory trial and proposal |
| 16 | spend elsewhere into their relationship with ZPG. So | 16 | beyond that trial period by the looks. |
| 17 | the commercial relationship is growing as we are | 17 | Q. Thank you. Slightly earlier than when you gave evidence |
| 18 | providing more services and becoming more valuable. | 18 | about working hard for Gascoigne Halman's business |
| 19 | Q. Do they all contribute to your ARPA, these other | 19 | Mr Maclean asked you various questions and you said: "Oh |
| 20 | services? | 20 | well, that's a response or reaction to competitive |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | pressure." Do you remember those exchanges? |
| 22 | Q. Can I take you to a couple of documents in bundle 1 that | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Mr Maclean took you to. We only need to look at them | 23 | Q. And then one of your answers -- I am afraid I have |
| 24 | briefly. The first one is at page 519. Do you | 24 | not -- I think it is page 117, lines 8 to 9 was: "Well, |
| 25 | recognise this as an email about the IEAG group. Do you | 25 | that's not right," you said to Mr Maclean. "In our case |
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| 1 | it was like having a gun to our head." What did you | 1 | Questions by the Tribunal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mean by that? | 2 | MR FREEMAN: Mr Notley, you described Zoopla's strategy |
| 3 | A. So the existence of the One Other Portal rule means that | 3 | going forward and your vision for the future. Do you |
| 4 | anyone who signs that contract has to select either | 4 | see that as including the continued existence of the |
| 5 | Rightmove or Zoopla as their One Other Portal or drop | 5 | high street bricks and mortar estate agent's business? |
| 6 | both. If a group of agents comes to you and says that | 6 | A. Absolutely. |
| 7 | they are going to leave you en masse and then that's | 7 | MR FREEMAN: And your relationship with that business, how |
| 8 | going to leave you with less inventory in your area it's | 8 | do you see that? |
| 9 | like having a gun put to your head in a commercial | 9 | A. Sorry, in the commercial sense? |
| 10 | sense. | 10 | MR FREEMAN: Well, in the service between you you offer the |
| 11 | Q. Thank you. We are almost there now. I think you have | 11 | consumer, you discuss the consumer, do you see |
| 12 | your fourth statement possibly at the back of your | 12 | yourselves as partners with the estate agents? |
| 13 | bundle D. I still have it in X, tab 30. You were asked | 13 | A. Yes, absolutely. |
| 14 | some questions about one to all and clicking through to | 14 | MR FREEMAN: Or in some possible future scenario replacing |
| 15 | websites just a few moments ago. Do you remember those? | 15 | them and making their existence unnecessary? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. So this is a subject that often comes up and it often |
| 17 | Q. And you said, "It's dealt with in my fourth witness | 17 | plays -- |
| 18 | statement." Perhaps you could just look at that and? | 18 | MR FREEMAN: I am sorry if I'm following the track but it is |
| 19 | A. This is point 18, paragraph 18 ? | 19 | something we talk about. |
| 20 | Q. I am looking at paragraph 8. My page number is 226 but | 20 | A. But it has played a big part of the narrative around |
| 21 | you may not have that number. Paragraph 8 of the -- | 21 | a lot of the conversations that I believe Agents' Mutual |
| 22 | MR MACLEAN: 162. | 22 | have had with agents that in future that somehow Zoopla |
| 23 | A. Yes, got it. | 23 | or Rightmove, I can't comment for what Rightmove are |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: Can you just read to yourself quickly 8 and 9 . | 24 | doing, but it would make absolutely no sense for our |
| 25 | (Pause) | 25 | business whatsoever to compete directly with our estate |
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| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | agent partners. Our job as we see it is to support |
| 2 | MR MACLEAN: Does this arise out of cross-examination? | 2 | agents. |
| 3 | I don't remember going to either of these paragraphs. | 3 | The interesting thing about agencies is it is |
| 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, you didn't. | 4 | changing. David Livesey spoke earlier about the |
| 5 | MR HARRIS: Yes, of course it arises out of the | 5 | changing face of estate agency, and if you look at the |
| 6 | cross-examination. A strange question. | 6 | likes of Purple Bricks and you look at the services they |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Proceed for the moment, Mr Harris. | 7 | provide there is no doubting that they are estate |
| 8 | A. Yes, I have read it. | 8 | agents. Where we don't get into making judgment calls |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: So when you were being asked questions in | 9 | is determining as long as a business is providing agent |
| 10 | cross-examination about click throughs to websites and | 10 | services, whether they are an estate agent or not. |
| 11 | you said, "I think I deal with it in my witness | 11 | So whether they are bricks and mortar, whether they |
| 12 | statement", are those the paragraphs that you had in | 12 | are a hybrid agent, as most of the online agents are |
| 13 | mind? | 13 | described, we will continue to support them as their |
| 14 | A. Yes. Did I go on and say from an agent's point of view | 14 | partners and build their websites, provide their |
| 15 | actually it wasn't useful? Primelocation had the same | 15 | software, provide advertising services and I am sure |
| 16 | feature on its website. I can't remember exactly when | 16 | there will be some more ideas that we will come up with |
| 17 | it was but we did some extensive research with agents at | 17 | over the next few years, but we see our job as |
| 18 | the time around the value they placed on that traffic, | 18 | supporting our agent partners and in no way competing. |
| 19 | and the agreement with the majority of agents that we | 19 | MR FREEMAN: So you don't think it is like the travel agency |
| 20 | spoke to was that they would prefer the traffic | 20 | where the travel agent, the bricks and mortar travel |
| 21 | contained within the portal so they could actually see | 21 | agent has succumbed to the online offering? |
| 22 | the value that we delivered, so we got rid of the links | 22 | A. The interesting thing in the travel agency market is -- |
| 23 | at that point. So I don't see it as a key selling | 23 | I use a business called Destinology for my holidays and |
| 24 | point. | 24 | they are an amazing travel agent. They just don't |
| 25 | MR HARRIS: Thank you, I have no further questions. | 25 | happen to have a high street office. You go to some |
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| 1 | towns with certain demographics there are still high | 1 | not available tomorrow. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | street travel agents. What's happening in the market is | 2 | MR HARRIS: I am afraid not. Three opportunities have been |
| 3 | that service offerings are becoming more diverse because | 3 | given to have earlier starts. |
| 4 | it's directly meeting consumers' needs as opposed to | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to go into the blame -- we'll |
| 5 | forcing one business model on the market. | 5 | sit as long as necessary then in that case, Mr Maclean. |
| 6 | MR FREEMAN: So that suggests that online estate agency will | 6 | MR MACLEAN: Thank you. |
| 7 | grow. | 7 | MR HARRIS: Without further ado can I ask Mr James to come |
| 8 | A. Yes, although to Mr Livesey's point earlier, online | 8 | to the stand. |
| 9 | agencies are a bit of red herring because Purple Bricks | 9 | MR NICHOLAS JAMES (affirmed) |
| 10 | have feet on the street. I personally think that pure | 10 | Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS |
| 11 | online estate agency won't grow materially because when | 11 | MR HARRIS: Please can the witness be handed bundle $D$ and |
| 12 | you are selling your most important asset you want | 12 | open it at tab 2 A . It is not on the index I am afraid, |
| 13 | someone to look after that process for you. You want | 13 | members of the Tribunal, but it is in the bundle or at |
| 14 | someone to come to your home and understand your home | 14 | least it is not on my index. Do you recognise that as |
| 15 | and sell your home. So I believe that that aspect will | 15 | the first page of a witness statement you put together |
| 16 | always dominant an estate agency. Pure online click for | 16 | for use in these proceedings when Moginie James was |
| 17 | bricks as it called I believe has got limited appeal. | 17 | formally a party to these joint proceedings? |
| 18 | MR FREEMAN: I see, thank you. | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions arising out of those? | 19 | Q. Is that a copy of your signature on the final page? |
| 20 | MR MACLEAN: No, sir. | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Notley, you are | 21 | Q. Is the evidence contained in this witness statement true |
| 22 | released. | 22 | and accurate to the best of your knowledge? |
| 23 | (The witness withdrew) | 23 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: Just whilst Mr Notley is making his way away. | 24 | Q. Do you have any corrections or ..? |
| 25 | Of course we have two more witnesses and they both have | 25 | A. No. |
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| 1 | to be dealt with today. They are available today and we | 1 | MR HARRIS: You may have some questions, thank you. |
| 2 | have not been told there are any problems for today. | 2 | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN |
| 3 | That is Mr James and Mr Forrest. I am going to call | 3 | MR MACLEAN: Mr James, good afternoon. |
| 4 | Mr James in just a moment. | 4 | A. Good afternoon. |
| 5 | Before we finish for today I would like to make | 5 | Q. Can I just ask you to take bundle $\mathrm{H} 2 / 967$, please. That |
| 6 | a short submission about this document which is now | 6 | is a bit of it. Go to page 933 for the front page. |
| 7 | unredacted. I am in your hands. I am happy to call | 7 | This is a presentation that was given in June 2013 which |
| 8 | Mr James and Mr Forrest but this needs to be dealt with | 8 | I think you say you attended? |
| 9 | as I would like to invite the Tribunal to do something | 9 | A. Yes, I believe so, yes. |
| 10 | overnight. Before finish for the day we also need a few | 10 | Q. And you attended another presentation I think |
| 11 | minutes on that document. | 11 | in November 2013 in Bridgend? |
| 12 | MR MACLEAN: It is all very mysterious because my learned | 12 | A. That's correct, yes. |
| 13 | friend hasn't told me what the submission is so I am | 13 | Q. And the two presentations were materially identical? |
| 14 | completely in the dark, but I suggest we get on with | 14 | A. Yes, just let me have a ... |
| 15 | Mr James. Mr Woolfe and Mr Holmes might, for example, | 15 | Q. I am just going to take you to this one as illustrative |
| 16 | discuss whatever this point is because I have no idea. | 16 | of the two unless you tell me for some reason why that |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: In terms of timing are you going to be able | 17 | is not a safe course? |
| 18 | to finish both witnesses tonight? | 18 | A. There were two presentations, one at the RAM meeting |
| 19 | MR MACLEAN: I am in the Tribunal's hands as to how long you | 19 | where I first met Mr Springett and then later on at |
| 20 | are able to sit but in principle, yes. | 20 | Bridgend. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: I have a meeting at 4.30. It is not | 21 | Q. So this is June 2013. Can I just ask you about some of |
| 22 | essential that I be there. | 22 | the features of the Bricks and Mortar estate agency |
| 23 | MR MACLEAN: I am not going to finish both witnesses before | 23 | business in the summer of 2013, okay, before we come to |
| 24 | that. | 24 | the detail of what's in the presentation. Was the |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, but I didn't think you were but they are | 25 | background for Bricks and Mortar estate agents in 2013 |
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| 1 | that there had been a pattern over a period of years of | 1 | A. I think we negotiated quite well with them. Our rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ever increasing prices being charged by the portals? | 2 |  |
| 3 | A. I think, yes, there certainly had been an increase in | 3 | I think if anything, I think Mr Livesey referred to this |
| 4 | prices, not hugely but I think as a commercial entity | 4 | earlier, that of course with his spending power he would |
| 5 | they were both increasing prices annually. | 5 | be expecting to get a slightly better rate and I can't |
| 6 | Q. And both for listing fees and for various add-ons, is | 6 | really argue with that. |
| 7 | that right? | 7 | Q. So it is not surprising if you were in Mr Springett's |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | shoes in 2013 that the first port of call to build the |
| 9 | Q. Increasingly there was pressure on estate agents to be | 9 | OnTheMarket business would be to go to leading |
| 10 | on Rightmove and Zoopla? | 10 | independent estate agents like you? |
| 11 | A. I wouldn't say there was pressure on us. It is all | 11 | A. Very possibly, yes. But I think, you know, I think we |
| 12 | a competitive thing. I think that one of the | 12 | can -- we just -- we were happy to look at the options |
| 13 | attractions when OnTheMarket came forward is agents tend | 13 | of the OnTheMarket at the time, so -- |
| 14 | to look at getting an edge. | 14 | Q. Because OnTheMarket against the background of a number |
| 15 | Q. But there is a bit of a herd instinct, isn't there? | 15 | of these problems or one might even say threats that |
| 16 | I think Mr Forrest talks about this in his witness | 16 | were faced by the independent estate agents the |
| 17 | evidence. There is a bit of a herd instinct, isn't | 17 | principle of an agent owned portal on a not for profit |
| 18 | there, amongst estate agents so that if the other agents | 18 | basis was one which you and many others found very |
| 19 | at the other end of the street join a second portal, | 19 | attractive, wasn't it? |
| 20 | then the chap at the other end of the street says, "Well | 20 | A. Yes, I think initially it was, but I think I feel very |
| 21 | I'd better do that too"? | 21 | misled by that. I personally think it could have been |
| 22 | A. Yes, I think there's an element of that, yes. That's | 22 | launched without the One Other Portal rule. I think the |
| 23 | why in my view I think probably OnTheMarket could have | 23 | fact that agents do follow each other, the fact that if |
| 24 | launched without the One Other Portal rule. | 24 | OnTheMarket were there others -- we would have joined it |
| 25 | Q. One of the other features was that the portals were | 25 | et cetera et cetera. |
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| 1 | creating more and more paid for services which they were then offering to the estate agents, weren't they? | 1 | Q. Yes. You found -- I am sorry, go on. |
| 2 |  | 2 | A. Well, also I think there has been lots said about the |
| 3 | A. Yes, I think just adding different products. I think it was just normal for the time. | 3 | presentations made and with the different memberships |
| 4 |  | 4 | and we were very much led to believe that being a gold |
| 5 | Q. By the summer of 2013 there was also I think at least | member -- because this trial seems to have been so much |  |
| 6 | for some of the portals the inclusion of direct private | 6 | about the big boy against the other big boy. |
| 7 | listings alongside agent listings, wasn't there? | 7 | I represent a tiny agent who really collectively across the country helped launch OnTheMarket as a business because we gave them loan money upfront. |
| 8 | A. I'm not sure on that. | 8 |  |
| 9 | Q. Increasingly there were internet-based or non-Bricks and | 9 |  |
| 10 | Mortar based agencies who were also entering the estate | 10 | Q. Yes, but -- I am sorry. |
| 11 | agency sector? | 11 | A. In doing that it subsequently has become apparent that |
| 12 | A. I think just at that time they were just starting to | 12 | agents are being signed up at a fraction of the cost |
| 13 | raise their heads, yes, but again, you know, I am | 13 | that we did even although we gave them money upfront. |
| 14 | a small agent in Wales. We weren't subject to the big | 14 | So it appears to me that although it is an attractive |
| 15 | meetings in London et cetera et cetera and down in Wales | 15 | proposition then that the presentations that were made |
| 16 | we just don't seem to be getting much input into the | 16 | and the contracts we have are practically worthless |
| 17 | market from not on the high street agents. | 17 | because they are doing what they like. |
| 18 | Q. Because you were an independent and perhaps because of | 18 | Q. We'll come to this in a minute, Mr James, but your |
| 19 | your geographic location you weren't in a position like | 19 | complaint last year and what led to the litigation, your |
| 20 | Mr Livesey and the big corporates like Connells and | 20 | complaint was that you said that there had been |
| 21 | Countrywide or LSL to drive a harder bargain with the | 21 | misrepresentation to you about the commercial terms. |
| 22 | portals to get a decent discount, were you? | 22 | Your complaint wasn't about the One Other Portal rule or |
| 23 | A. Well, I think we were viewed locally as being a leading | 23 | any competition law concerns, was it? |
| 24 | agent. | 24 | A. It was a concern. It was a concern definitely, but, |
| 25 | Q. I am not suggesting otherwise. | 25 | yes, very, very -- we feel very angry, very angry that |
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| 1 | we feel misrepresented. | 1 | corrected if I am wrong, in the November presentation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Yes. And that litigation was settled following summary | 2 | "What next? Consider information memorandum with |
| 3 | judgment application. Can I show you bundle X, please, | 3 | your colleagues. Each firm must make its own |
| 4 | at page 190 which is tab 28A. Mr James, have you seen | 4 | independent decision." |
| 5 | this document before? | 5 | And that is exactly what Moginie James did, isn't |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | it? |
| 7 | Q. Can you just cast your eye over (i) at the bottom of the | 7 | A. Yes, we discussed options with other agents but, yes, |
| 8 | page. Just read that to yourself, would you. (Pause) | 8 | that's what we ultimately did. |
| 9 | A. Yes, but that doesn't tell the story at all. | 9 | Q. And you understood that when a letter of intent was sent |
| 10 | Q. Well -- | 10 | that the whole project might not get off the ground if |
| 11 | A. Can I -- I have got something to add to that if | 11 | there wasn't the necessary critical mass? |
| 12 | possible. | 12 | A. No, I didn't really think that at the time. |
| 13 | Q. What we are not here to do, Mr James, because it is not | 13 | Q. You didn't really think that at the time? |
| 14 | the function of this Tribunal and because your | 14 | A. No. |
| 15 | misrepresentation claim has been dealt with in that | 15 | Q. Have you had any contact with Zoopla in recent months, |
| 16 | consent order is that this Tribunal is not concerned | 16 | Mr James? |
| 17 | with the complaints which you made about what you saw | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | and felt, no doubt on a heartfelt basis, felt to be the | 18 | Q. And as we stand here today Moginie James is listing its |
| 19 | misrepresentations that you say were made to you. That | 19 | properties on what, OnTheMarket and Rightmove? |
| 20 | is not what we are here for. | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | A. What I wanted to add if possible is that, you know, this | 21 | MR MACLEAN: Thank you very much, Mr James. |
| 22 | doesn't actually represent the whole story and it goes | 22 | MR HARRIS: Sir, I don't have any re-examination. |
| 23 | into -- what I have got to say does explain a little bit | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. You are released. |
| 24 | about the company we're dealing with here, and simply | 24 | (The witness withdrew) |
| 25 | that we were going to win that summary judgment but we | 25 | MR HARRIS: Sir, that leaves my final witness who has been |
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| 1 | just had run out of money and effectively we were forced | 1 | very patient today, Mr Martin Forrest. If he can please |
| 2 | into a situation when we had to settle. Because it was | 2 | come to the stand. |
| 3 | adjourned I just couldn't go that extra mile and we just | 3 | MR MARTIN FORREST (affirmed) |
| 4 | ran out of money, and I think it reflects on the type of | 4 | Examination-in-chief by MR HARRIS |
| 5 | company that have been bullying me all year and, you | 5 | MR HARRIS: Please can the witness be handled bundle D, the |
| 6 | know, I feel very, very frustrated that this was the end | 6 | witness statements and open at tab 6, please. |
| 7 | result. | 7 | Mr Forrest, do you recognise that is the front page of |
| 8 | Q. Mr James, let's have a look at this. One of the things | 8 | a witness statement you have given in these proceedings? |
| 9 | you were attracted by at the outset was the 15 per cent | 9 | A. I do. |
| 10 | coupon on the loan notes, right? | 10 | Q. Is there a copy of your signature on the final page? |
| 11 | A. Sorry? | 11 | A. That's right. |
| 12 | Q. 15 per cent that was payable on the loan notes. That | 12 | Q. Are the contents of this true and accurate evidence that |
| 13 | was an attractive proposition to you? | 13 | you wish to give to this Tribunal? |
| 14 | A. The attractive proposition to me was the fact that we | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | were absolutely told that being a gold member and | 15 | Q. Are there any corrections or inaccuracies in this |
| 16 | putting the loan upfront meant that we would always have | 16 | statement? |
| 17 | the cheapest rate payable back. | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Mr James, I am really not going to start debating with | 18 | Q. Can you also please turn over in this bundle to tab 9 . |
| 19 | you the terms of the disclaimer and the information | 19 | Do you recognise that as the front page of a second |
| 20 | memorandum because it is simply not the business of the | 20 | witness statement in these proceedings? |
| 21 | Tribunal, okay. | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Let me show you one piece of paper from the | 22 | Q. And a copy of your signature on the final page? |
| 23 | presentation. Would you turn to page 972 . This is one | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | of the last slides of the presentation which was made | 24 | Q. Is this true and accurate evidence you wish to give to |
| 25 | in June and there is a similar slide, I think, I will be | 25 | the Tribunal? |
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47 (Pages 182 to 185)

| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | January 2015, these year on year price increases had |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. Any corrections or inaccuracies? | 2 | been going on for many years, hadn't they? |
| 3 | A. No. | 3 | A. They had. |
| 4 | MR HARRIS: You may have some questions. | 4 | Q. If we go to your witness statement at paragraph 20 -- |
| 5 | Cross-examination by MR MACLEAN | 5 | let me just show you a document. In bundle H1. Can you |
| 6 | MR MACLEAN: Good afternoon, Mr Forrest. | 6 | just be shown H1 at 355. Mr Halman was -- he was the |
| 7 | A. Good afternoon. | 7 | boss; right? |
| 8 | Q. You are an accountant by background? | 8 | A. He was the managing director. |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | Q. He was the boss, you were one of the management team? |
| 10 | Q. And you have never set up a portal business, have you? | 10 | A. Yes, that's right. I suppose overall, yes. |
| 11 | A. No. | 11 | Q. There wasn't a CEO, there was an MD instead? |
| 12 | Q. You have never set up any business? | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | Q. And you explained in your witness statement you worked |
| 14 | Q. You've never worked in what Mr Chesterman, if he were | 14 | closely together and you had an open door policy and so |
| 15 | here, would no doubt call the portal space, have you? | 15 | on? |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | A. We did. |
| 17 | Q. Gascoigne Halman had 18 branches when it was -- still | 17 | Q. And Mr Halman's view, as we see from page 355, look at |
| 18 | has 18 branches, I think; is that right? | 18 | the sentence beginning "The merger of Zoopla." Do you |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | see in the middle of the page? |
| 20 | Q. You attended the management meetings, you tell us in | 20 | A. I do. |
| 21 | your statement at paragraph 9, until August 2015? | 21 | Q. "I think it is likely that we will end up having to be |
| 22 | A. That's right. | 22 | on Zoopla in due course but I see no reason for being |
| 23 | Q. And have you seen these bundles? | 23 | the catalyst for all of our offers going on to the |
| 24 | A. Yes, I have. | 24 | site." |
| 25 | Q. A number of examples in the bundles? | 25 | And at the end of the email he makes the point: |
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| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | "We may have to face up to it as a defensive measure |
| 2 | Q. Now, the evidence suggests, I think, that Rightmove | 2 | at some stage in the future." |
| 3 | always generated good quality leads for Gascoigne | 3 | That's the 19 June 2012. So what Mr Halman was |
| 4 | Halman? | 4 | saying was that what I would call the herd behaviour |
| 5 | A. Well, they generated a lot of leads and a lot of them | 5 | instincts of estate agents might force Gascoigne Halman |
| 6 | are good quality but not always. | 6 | on to Zoopla in time but he didn't want to be the first, |
| 7 | Q. And those were focused and accurate leads as well, | 7 | as it were, wildebeest to cross the Mara river; right? |
| 8 | weren't they? | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | A. More so than any other leads we had, yes. | 9 | Q. And you refer to the same characteristic of estate |
| 10 | Q. And, in particular, more so than Zoopla's leads which | 10 | agents, of what I call the herd instinct, at |
| 11 | were, every time a free trial was offered, without | 11 | paragraph 41 of your statement? |
| 12 | exception, fairly hopeless, weren't they? | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | A. Yes, they weren't well respected. | 13 | Q. In 2012, Gascoigne Halman had another go on Zoopla and |
| 14 | Q. And at the same time, as you say in your paragraph 24, | 14 | the leads were found to be very poor? |
| 15 | if we just turn that up, please, Mr Forrest, in your | 15 | A. Again. |
| 16 | first witness statement, which is at tab 6 , | 16 | Q. The same bundle, bundle 1 at page 342, please, just |
| 17 | paragraph 24 , you say: | 17 | a few pages further back. Mr Jolleys, what was his |
| 18 | "Overall, Gascoigne Halman has generally been | 18 | role? He was a manager -- basically a manager of one of |
| 19 | satisfied with the services offered by Rightmove, save | 19 | the offices? |
| 20 | for the year on year price increases and the practice of | 20 | A. He is -- he's currently the manager of our Wilmslow |
| 21 | Rightmove consistently forcing more and more additional | 21 | office but in 2012 he was probably the manager of our |
| 22 | services and product on us which you would not | 22 | Didsbury office. |
| 23 | necessarily have chosen but at considerable cost." | 23 | Q. He makes the point to Mr Halman: |
| 24 | A. That's correct. | 24 | "Considering Didsbury [that was then his office |
| 25 | Q. So by the time OnTheMarket entered which was in | 25 | then] isn't in profit yet, I would consider it foolish |
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| 1 | to remain on Zoopla at the moment. Also, I take on | 1 | Q. Do you remember seeing that, that is the information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | board your valid views we could be fuelling another RM." | 2 | memorandum? |
| 3 | That was obviously a reference to Rightmove; yes? | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | Q. That's the information memorandum. And the meeting with |
| 5 | Q. And if you go two pages further on, here is one of your | 5 | Mr Halman was a very positive one and the very next day, |
| 6 | management meetings. This one is held on 14 June 2012. | 6 | after that meeting, Mr Halman sent the letter of intent |
| 7 | Can you just glance, Mr Forrest, at the top of 345, | 7 | to Agents' Mutual, didn't he? |
| 8 | under the heading "Property portals", and I want you to | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | tell me what the reasons are that are un-minutable? | 9 | Q. Between the end of the meeting and sending a letter of |
| 10 | A. I should imagine it was a little while ago, clearly, | 10 | intent, Mr Halman discussed that with you, did he? |
| 11 | that the leads were very poor. | 11 | A. He did. |
| 12 | Q. So could I infer that somebody perhaps expressed in the | 12 | Q. And you concurred with his decision to send a letter of |
| 13 | vernacular what they thought of Zoopla's leads; is that | 13 | intent? |
| 14 | it? | 14 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 15 | A. That could well be the case. | 15 | Q. And Mr Halman saw, as you say in paragraph 35 of your |
| 16 | Q. Mr Halman, at page 384, was rather presciently, if I may | 16 | statement: |
| 17 | say so, keen to ensure, as he put it, that "we will | 17 | "GHL also viewed -- " and this was certainly |
| 18 | resist" -- you see the third paragraph of 384, beginning | 18 | Mr Halman's view, if you look at paragraph 35 of your |
| 19 | "As a consequence", second line: | 19 | first statement: |
| 20 | "We will resist as long as we can. Better to have | 20 | "... the Agent's Mutual proposition as a way for GHL |
| 21 | only one ogre than two." | 21 | to be proactive and take a step towards defending itself |
| 22 | So Mr Halman was a deep sceptic about Zoopla, wasn't | 22 | against Rightmove and the ever increasing costs that we |
| 23 | he? | 23 | were facing for listing on that portal." |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | Pausing there. So far as GHL was concerned, Zoopla |
| 25 | Q. And by contrast, he was rather enthusiastic from the off | 25 | wasn't imposing any restraint at all, so far as you |
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| 1 | about OnTheMarket? | 1 | could detect from your perspective, on Rightmove, was |
| 2 | A. Yes, there were different times, that was 2012 and | 2 | it? |
| 3 | I think OnTheMarket was 2014. | 3 | A. Not in our geographical area, no. |
| 4 | Q. The presentation actually, we will come to in a second, | 4 | Q. And your experience was that Rightmove's prices |
| 5 | the presentation, when Mr Springett came to see | 5 | historically, as you put it in paragraph 32 , had, |
| 6 | Mr Halman, was on 20 June 2013. It was a year later; | 6 | historically, gone up and up? |
| 7 | yes? | 7 | A. That's right. |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | Q. And against that background, Mr Halman took the view, as |
| 9 | Q. So Mr Springett came to see Mr Halman on 20 June 2013. | 9 | he expressed in an email of 30 December that |
| 10 | I don't think he met you, did he? | 10 | Agents' Mutual was a must have for all agents, didn't |
| 11 | A. No. | 11 | he? |
| 12 | Q. I don't think you have ever met Mr Springett? | 12 | A. I'm not sure that he described it as that to me, but he |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | was certainly very positive. |
| 14 | Q. And he visited the office in Wilmslow which is where | 14 | Q. I am sorry, I didn't catch the last word? |
| 15 | Mr Halman had his office and the purpose of the meeting | 15 | A. He was certainly very positive. |
| 16 | was to introduce Gascoigne Halman to the Agents' Mutual | 16 | Q. Yes, indeed he was. And he was very positive from first |
| 17 | proposition? | 17 | to last, wasn't he? Even when following the takeover by |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | Connells, he had to part company with OnTheMarket, he |
| 19 | Q. You weren't at the presentation but I know that you | 19 | did so more in sorrow than in anger, didn't he? |
| 20 | discussed it with Mr Halman afterwards, didn't you? | 20 | A. I think at that time we were probably getting a bit |
| 21 | A. We did. | 21 | disillusioned with OnTheMarket because of lack of |
| 22 | Q. And you know, don't you -- let me show you H2/735. This | 22 | results. |
| 23 | is the presentation that Mr Springett made. No, it is | 23 | Q. Really? Take bundle H14, Mr Forrest, and turn to |
| 24 | not. | 24 | page 7919. I have to suggest to you that that last |
| 25 | A. Yes, I remember seeing that. | 25 | answer isn't entirely or even mainly correct. Here is |
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| 1 | Mr Halman's email to Ms Whiteley of Agents' Mutual; do | 1 | A. It showed promise to start with, yes, but actually, it |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you see? | 2 | performed very similarly to Zoopla for our purpose. |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | Q. You, personally, didn't ever, at any of these monthly |
| 4 | Q. Have you ever seen this email before? | 4 | meetings, raise any query or disillusionment or question |
| 5 | A. I have. | 5 | about OnTheMarket, did you? |
| 6 | Q. You have. So you will be familiar with the fact that he | 6 | A. No. |
| 7 | says in the middle of the paragraph: | 7 | Q. And there is no evidence in any of these bundles that |
| 8 | "As you will recall, as an independent estate | 8 | anyone else did either, until after Connells bought |
| 9 | agency, we were one of the first to support AM, as we | 9 | Gascoigne Halman and then the corporate party line |
| 10 | saw it as an opportunity to break the Rightmove/Zoopla | 10 | changed, didn't it? |
| 11 | dominance. I believe that OTM has a real battle moving | 11 | A. It did. |
| 12 | forward, as it really seems to be Zoopla and OTM which | 12 | Q. And since October 2015, you have had to toe the |
| 13 | are battling for the register, with Rightmove less | 13 | Connell's party line, haven't you? |
| 14 | affected. With the corporates in such an acquisitive | 14 | A. That is not exactly their management style, no. We |
| 15 | mood, this can only make your aims and intentions | 15 | still operate quite autonomously. There are very few |
| 16 | increasingly challenging." | 16 | things that we are told that we have to do. The ones |
| 17 | Then he talks about the loan notes and he says "best | 17 | that we are told we have to do are relating to health |
| 18 | wishes to OnTheMarket for the future." | 18 | and safety procedures rather than how we run our |
| 19 | There is not a whisper or a hint of a suggestion of | 19 | business. |
| 20 | any dissatisfaction by Mr Halman with the OnTheMarket | 20 | Q. Toeing the line in this litigation, that is the other |
| 21 | proposition, is there? | 21 | one that is absolutely crucial; right? |
| 22 | A. No, but then why would he want to raise any | 22 | A. That was one of the items, yes. |
| 23 | dissatisfaction at that stage, just as you're leaving? | 23 | MR MACLEAN: Thank you very much, Mr Forrest. |
| 24 | Q. Well, why not? | 24 | Re-examination by MR HARRIS |
| 25 | A. Well, why? | 25 | MR HARRIS: I am afraid, Mr Forrest, I can't lay my finger |
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| 1 | Q. The truth is, he doesn't ever raise any suggestion that | 1 | on the document that I am looking for but am I right in |
| 2 | there was any disillusionment at all, did he? | 2 | saying that in answer to Mr Maclean's question, you said |
| 3 | A. He didn't raise it, but I was aware of it. | 3 | that you didn't think that leads from Zoopla, and |
| 4 | Q. You were at these management meetings until August 2015. | 4 | I paraphrase, were very good? |
| 5 | There isn't a hint of a suggestion in one of them of any | 5 | A. That's right. |
| 6 | dissatisfaction with OnTheMarket, is there? | 6 | Q. Was that the case across all of your branches? |
| 7 | A. I think we discussed OnTheMarket very little because | 7 | A. No, there was one office, our sale office, who valued |
| 8 | they featured very little within the marketing that we | 8 | the leads from Zoopla. We could only assume that it was |
| 9 | had for our properties. | 9 | the demographic. There is more central Manchester type |
| 10 | Q. If it had been a problem, if it had been a pain in the | 10 | of people who would search for properties. Whereas the |
| 11 | neck or causing some problem for the business, it would | 11 | rest of our geographical spread was north Cheshire and |
| 12 | have been discussed at these monthly meetings, wouldn't | 12 | a different suburbia. |
| 13 | it? | 13 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. I am afraid we can't locate it right |
| 14 | A. Yes. I wouldn't say it was a pain in the neck. I think | 14 | now, sir, but we were under the impression that there |
| 15 | it was OnTheMarket was a replacement for Zoopla for us | 15 | was a document in the Gascoigne Halman internal meeting |
| 16 | and we felt that we had to be on two portals because our | 16 | notes, board minutes, making adverse comments about OTM |
| 17 | competitors featured on two portals and that was | 17 | and Mr Maclean said there wasn't one. But I don't think |
| 18 | a preferred one rather than the other. It wasn't | 18 | I need to put it to the witness. If we find it |
| 19 | providing many leads, it wasn't really that worthwhile | 19 | overnight, we'll draw it to the Tribunal's attention |
| 20 | talking about. | 20 | tomorrow. If it's not there and we don't find it, well |
| 21 | Q. It wasn't a replacement for Zoopla for you, Mr Forrest | 21 | so be it and it stays with how Mr Maclean put it. |
| 22 | because Zoopla for you had always been rubbish. | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. |
| 23 | Whereas OnTheMarket promised to actually have an impact, | 23 | MR HARRIS: If you are content with that, then I have no |
| 24 | a positive impact from Mr Halman's perspective, didn't | 24 | more questions for Mr Forrest. |
| 25 | it? | 25 | Questions by the Tribunal |
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| 1 | MR FREEMAN: Mr Forrest, when Mr Maclean asked you whether | 1 | a mistaken redaction for irrelevance. It is not just |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the arrival of Connells meant that you were toeing the | 2 | similar in theme to that which wasn't redacted but it |
| 3 | line in this litigation, and you agreed, I think, what | 3 | also goes to show how much thought had gone into whether |
| 4 | do you understand by that, what do you mean by that? | 4 | a great deal or less of a deal, it doesn't matter. It |
| 5 | A. Well, we were asked to list our properties on Zoopla. | 5 | is the degree of thought that had gone into this second |
| 6 | We obviously raised the point that we were with -- | 6 | proposal that was being put and how developed that |
| 7 | MR FREEMAN: That was before the litigation started, | 7 | proposal was. Which, as you know, is a bone and has |
| 8 | presumably? | 8 | been a bone of contention between the witnesses. And |
| 9 | A. Oh yes. | 9 | this detail that has now been unredacted goes squarely |
| 10 | MR FREEMAN: So once the litigation started, you were asked | 10 | to the degree of detail which has always been in dispute |
| 11 | to toe the line in the litigation? | 11 | between the parties, so it has clearly been relevant. |
| 12 | A. Well, not so much in the litigation but just on the | 12 | I raise that specifically because as you know, we |
| 13 | listing of the properties. Not in the litigation at | 13 | have always had, as you know from the PTR, amongst other |
| 14 | all, no. | 14 | things, leaving aside the reams of correspondence about |
| 15 | MR FREEMAN: You were asked to take the step which has | 15 | this, very great concerns about what's been redacted in |
| 16 | provoked this litigation? | 16 | this case. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | And can I give you a specific example of a document |
| 18 | MR FREEMAN: Thank you. | 18 | that now I would invite you to direct the other side to |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions? | 19 | revisit. That's a board minute reporting upon the four |
| 20 | MR MACLEAN: No questions. | 20 | party meeting. |
| 21 | MR HARRIS: No questions. | 21 | I have it in a separate bundle but my common bundle |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Forrest, you are | 22 | reference is in $\mathrm{H} 15 / 8190$. This is a board minute from |
| 23 | released. | 23 | Agents' Mutual dated Monday, 8 February 2016, so it is |
| 24 | (The witness withdrew) | 24 | a matter of a couple of weeks after the four party |
| 25 |  | 25 | meeting. There are various matters redacted on page 1 |
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| 1 | Housekeeping | 1 | for irrelevance and page 2. The whole of page 3. Half |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, you had a point on the new 7737A. | 2 | of page 4. Indeed, we don't know what any of those |
| 3 | MR HARRIS: With your permission, we need to run through | 3 | matters are although they are under the heading |
| 4 | arrangements for tomorrow and also the point that I have | 4 | "Business update." One might have thought that the four |
| 5 | about this document. I am in your hands as to -- | 5 | party meeting was a relevant business update. But in |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to start with the document? | 6 | any event, something becomes unredacted in the bundle, |
| 7 | MR HARRIS: Yes, so as you know, we asked yesterday for the | 7 | 8193. It is about four pages in. And then it is |
| 8 | supposedly relevant words to be unredacted and they have | 8 | headed -- this is, of course, not confidential now. It |
| 9 | now been provided. Can you see them, two lines up from | 9 | is reporting on the four party meeting, so I am at |
| 10 | the bottom, after where it says "done by AM to avoid | 10 | liberty to read this out, now that those notes have been |
| 11 | hares running. And then it was redacted. All felt | 11 | declared non-confidential. So you can see for yourself |
| 12 | Close Brothers would be a credible source for this." | 12 | it is a report on the meeting -- well -- |
| 13 | And those were the words that were redacted and then | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, which page are you on now? |
| 14 | it went on "forward income worked." | 14 | MR HARRIS: I think it is on page 8193. It is about the |
| 15 | The point is simply this, sir, that we are concerned | 15 | fourth page of these meeting notes. |
| 16 | that those words should have been said to have been | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I have it. |
| 17 | redacted for irrelevance, right up until a couple of | 17 | MR HARRIS: And you can see that the heading is about major |
| 18 | hours ago because they are not irrelevant. They are | 18 | corporates and the meeting. And then you can see that |
| 19 | every bit as relevant as the previous sentences. It is | 19 | there is various aspects of the substance, about the |
| 20 | talking about approaching an investment bank. That | 20 | meeting. If you just cast your eye over it. Some parts |
| 21 | wasn't redacted for irrelevance. "All new suitable | 21 | of the meeting are discussed. Various bullet points. |
| 22 | investment houses." That wasn't redacted for | 22 | Then it goes down "IS expressed his view ..." |
| 23 | irrelevance. And then when they go on to give | 23 | Over the page, "IS reported that". And then "This |
| 24 | an example of either an investment bank or an investment | 24 | would involve retaining OTM plus one. This would |
| 25 | house. And what concerns us, sir, is that this is | 25 | accelerate the growth of OTM." And suddenly, in the |
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| 1 | middle of the discussion, the contents of which are | 1 | I have already had instructions to suggest that my team |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | plainly relevant and not at all confidential, a huge | 2 | which may or may not include me or Mr Holmes but senior |
| 3 | chunk is redacted on alleged grounds of irrelevance and | 3 | people on our side, will overnight review this note, in |
| 4 | then it picks it up again "As LSL had seemed | 4 | particular dealing with the business update and the |
| 5 | interested ..." And then further reference to what | 5 | kernel of Mr Harris's suspicion or concern is the bit in |
| 6 | happened in this meeting. The entire contents of which | 6 | the middle of 8194. We will look at that and we will |
| 7 | we have now seen in the meeting note and in the witness | 7 | apply the appropriate standard of relevance and we will |
| 8 | statements and then another large chunk is redacted for | 8 | report back in the morning. |
| 9 | alleged irrelevance and then over the page at privilege. | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Maclean. I wasn't, to be |
| 10 | And then we don't see item 8 , et cetera. | 10 | clear, going to make an order, I was inviting a helpful |
| 11 | What concerns us very greatly, now that we have seen | 11 | response which I have now received. |
| 12 | this mistaken redaction for irrelevance having been | 12 | MR MACLEAN: I hope that is helpful. |
| 13 | lifted, is that the same mistake or similar mistakes may | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, I hope that deals with that point? |
| 14 | well have been made in at least this document and, in | 14 | MR HARRIS: Yes, thank you, I am happy with that. |
| 15 | particular, the two chunks of alleged irrelevance on | 15 | There is one other matter that arises which is the |
| 16 | page 8194 . What I would invite you to do, sir, with | 16 | Tribunal has been served today, as have my learned |
| 17 | great respect, is to direct the solicitors for | 17 | friends, with an additional witness statement from my |
| 18 | Agents' Mutual to review very carefully now, in light of | 18 | instructing solicitor which contains some additional |
| 19 | the ruling that you made earlier today on this removal | 19 | evidence that came to us very late in the day, at the |
| 20 | of the irrelevance redaction, at least those passages on | 20 | very end of last week and was reviewed over the weekend |
| 21 | that page, to make sure that only truly irrelevant | 21 | and was disclosed over the weekend. It takes the form |
| 22 | material remains redacted from us and that if there are | 22 | of some audio tapes and some transcripts of those tapes |
| 23 | any other reports of the meeting in other documents -- | 23 | from collected meetings of agents that took place in |
| 24 | that's the only one that I have been able to find since | 24 | Northern Ireland and -- |
| 25 | this was handed to me at the short adjournment -- at the | 25 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry to interrupt my friend. We received |
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| 1 | end of the short adjournment today -- if there are any | 1 | the transcripts today, not over the weekend. Not |
| 2 | other such reports, that they also be reviewed for | 2 | Thursday, not Friday but today and we received a witness |
| 3 | redactions. | 3 | statement of Mr Bronfentrinker over the luncheon |
| 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, to be quite clear, I don't think | 4 | adjournment and I haven't read it. |
| 5 | the Tribunal made a ruling on the unredaction of the | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Maclean, let me try and take a little bit |
| 6 | irrelevance line. It was simply a matter which you | 6 | of the heat out of this. I know the existence of |
| 7 | asked for and I could see no reason to resist that and | 7 | Mr Bronfentrinker's statement but it has been kept away |
| 8 | the matter has been disclosed. | 8 | from the Tribunal. We have not read it. And what I am |
| 9 | It is quite clear from the identification of | 9 | going to suggest is that you at least, have some time to |
| 10 | irrelevance and privileged parts that there has been an | 10 | look at it. |
| 11 | application of mind to this. And I am not particularly | 11 | MR MACLEAN: I haven't read it. |
| 12 | inclined to require wholesale revisiting of that which | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Precisely. Before we look at it ourselves. |
| 13 | has already been marked up. | 13 | MR MACLEAN: It raises a number of questions because as |
| 14 | And I can see why you suggest that notes and minutes | 14 | I understand it, there are three transcripts of three |
| 15 | going to this particular meeting are important. If | 15 | different meetings, all of which took place in the |
| 16 | Mr Maclean or his learned, nearly leading junior were | 16 | spring time of last year which, as I understand it, it |
| 17 | minded to double check this. | 17 | is suggested came into the possession of Quinn Emanuel |
| 18 | MR MACLEAN: Sir, can I help? | 18 | at the end of last week, in circumstances which I am |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Of course you can. | 19 | told are unsatisfactorily explained in |
| 20 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris's side have never raised this | 20 | Mr Bronfentrinker's witness statement. I am also told |
| 21 | particular issue until now. There have been endless | 21 | that what was originally delivered were very poor |
| 22 | other points raised but not this one. As you rightly | 22 | quality, I think Mr Bronfentrinker accepts, very poor |
| 23 | say, sir, an application of mind has been applied to | 23 | quality tapes of meetings which it seems have been |
| 24 | this document as to relevance and privilege. In order | 24 | surreptitiously recorded by way of some sort of |
| 25 | to set Mr Harris's mind at rest, I have instructions -- | 25 | microphone in somebody's handbag. The transcripts, |
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| 1 | I understand, are quite long. There may be an issue | 1 | formally closed his case but if he wants to adduce more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | about the correct transcription of those transcripts but | 2 | evidence, then he has to adduce that evidence through |
| 3 | the circumstances in which this evidence has come to | 3 | some witness who is able to speak to that evidence and |
| 4 | light are very odd and Mr Harris's case very shortly | 4 | I don't know who that witness is. It is not going to be |
| 5 | before the case opened, is unsatisfactory. I obviously | 5 | Mr Bronfentrinker. |
| 6 | need an opportunity to read Mr Bronfentrinker's | 6 | MR HARRIS: I am very grateful to Mr Maclean. Another |
| 7 | statement. My respectful suggestion is that the | 7 | reason why I raise it now is precisely because I haven't |
| 8 | Tribunal should maintain the self denying ordinance | 8 | closed my case. The tapes speak for themselves. They |
| 9 | meanwhile, until we can revisit this matter in the | 9 | are formally adduced by Mr Bronfentrinker. If you would |
| 10 | morning but it may be we aren't able to reach an agreed | 10 | like to call him or have him called so he can explain |
| 11 | resolution then. | 11 | the circumstances in which they were arrived at, but |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, these transcripts, how are you | 12 | that's all set out in his witness statement. But I am |
| 13 | proposing to put them into evidence? | 13 | not to be denied, in my respectful submission, the |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: Sir, may I make one correction. I did not say | 14 | introduction of what we say is relevant and germane |
| 15 | that the transcripts had been disclosed over the | 15 | evidence that only just came into our possession and |
| 16 | weekend. I said that the audio tape had been disclosed | 16 | which we have very promptly disclosed. |
| 17 | over the weekend. The transcripts, and it says it on | 17 | MR MACLEAN: There is a difference between the continuing |
| 18 | today's transcript, the transcripts were disclosed today | 18 | obligation of disclosure on the one hand and obtaining |
| 19 | because we had to make the transcripts. That is a point | 19 | permission from a court or tribunal to adduce a witness |
| 20 | of correction. | 20 | statement which exhibits further evidence out of time, |
| 21 | We have done that as fast as has been humanly | 21 | which is what Mr Harris needs. That's base camp for the |
| 22 | possible, since we received those documents. So there | 22 | submission because directions were given and have been |
| 23 | can be absolutely no criticism whatsoever. Evidence | 23 | complied with for serving witness statements, setting |
| 24 | comes into our possession when it comes into our | 24 | out the evidence-in-chief and that was all done months |
| 25 | possession and that's the end of that matter. | 25 | ago. |
|  | Page 206 |  | Page 208 |
| 1 | Now, I accept the reason I raise it today is because | 1 | Mr Harris needs to make a proper application, |
| 2 | it now needs to be dealt with. We have disclosed it, | 2 | explaining and persuading the Tribunal that he's |
| 3 | pursuant to our obligations of disclosure and because we | 3 | entitled to the indulgence of the Tribunal to allow him |
| 4 | wish to make use of it, it being relevant evidence, we | 4 | to put in evidence late. The mere fact that they got |
| 5 | have also put it into the form of exhibits to a formal | 5 | some documents in a brown paper bag or however it was |
| 6 | witness statement. The reason I raise it tonight is | 6 | last Thursday and they have to disclose it, they say, |
| 7 | because if it is going to be opposed on whatever | 7 | through their continuing obligation of disclosure, |
| 8 | grounds, that needs to take place first thing tomorrow | 8 | doesn't get Mr Harris home, in terms of adducing this as |
| 9 | morning, because I do propose, otherwise, to use this | 9 | what he calls evidence. It is not evidence until the |
| 10 | evidence in the cross-examination of witnesses during | 10 | Tribunal gives him permission to put it into evidence. |
| 11 | the remaining part of this week. And so that's why and | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: So you don't know how these tape recordings |
| 12 | it makes absolutely no sense for the Tribunal to not | 12 | came into Mr Harris's team's possession? |
| 13 | have regard to this material overnight because the | 13 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Bronfentrinker may explain it but I haven't |
| 14 | Tribunal will need to have had regard to it in order to | 14 | read his statements. |
| 15 | make a decision, if its introduction by way of evidence | 15 | MR HARRIS: Yes, I do because it is in Mr Bronfentrinker's |
| 16 | in the form of exhibits is opposed in the morning. And | 16 | witness statement. It sounds to me, sir, as though we |
| 17 | that's why I say, with respect, responsibly, bring this | 17 | have now reached the relevant point for today. Which is |
| 18 | matter to the attention of the Tribunal at this | 18 | that it sounds as though Mr Maclean is going to oppose |
| 19 | juncture. | 19 | the introduction of the evidence. In which case I will |
| 20 | I am not suggesting there is any application now but | 20 | need to make an application in the morning, in which |
| 21 | I am suggesting that everybody needs to take stock of | 21 | case the tribunal, with great respect, and I am sorry to |
| 22 | that overnight and if there is going to be opposition to | 22 | add to your burden, given that we already have 10,000 |
| 23 | it, it needs to be dealt with tomorrow morning. | 23 | pieces of paper, will have to read Mr Bronfentrinker's |
| 24 | MR MACLEAN: One problem is that Mr Harris's last witness | 24 | witness statement and have regard to the transcripts |
| 25 | has just left the witness box. I appreciate he hasn't | 25 | overnight. And I would, if I may respectfully say and |
|  | Page 207 |  | Page 209 |


| 1 | this is a development as unexpected for me as for |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | anybody else, and there is going to be a large amount to |
| 3 | get through with the three witnesses and I would beg the |
| 4 | Tribunal's indulgence to start, say, at 10 o'clock |
| 5 | tomorrow, so that we can dispose of this question of the |
| 6 | introduction or otherwise of this evidence at the |
| 7 | outset. |
| 8 | It needs to be dealt with before I start with the |
| 9 | witnesses and before I close that part of my case. May |
| 10 | I -- |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: So you are planning to put it to Mr Wyatt and |
| 12 | Mr Symons, are you? |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: Probably not those two gentlemen but I will |
| 14 | certainly have dealt with both of those and be dealing |
| 15 | with Mr Springett tomorrow and it will certainly arise |
| 16 | for Mr Springett, yes. |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Springett is, on the timetable, appearing |
| 18 | not merely on the 8th but on the 9th and the 10th. |
| 19 | MR HARRIS: That's right, yes. |
| 20 | MR MACLEAN: As I understand it, it is not suggested that |
| 21 | Mr Springett attended any of these meetings. |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: I don't want to get into arguing the merits. |
| 23 | Clearly an application will have to be dealt with. I am |
| 24 | a little reluctant to push you, Mr Maclean, into |
| 25 | resisting the application at 10 o'clock tomorrow, seeing |
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the word 4.50 today.
MR MACLEAN: Yes, quite.
THE CHAIRMAN: And from what, Mr Harris, you have said, I am
not sure why we can't deal with it first thing on Thursday.
MR HARRIS: Sir, I take that point. That is a fair point. We can do that.
THE CHAIRMAN: In that case, we will pursue our case of self abligation for tonight. We will give Mr Maclean
a chance to catch up and we will read tomorrow night,
the Bronfentrinker statement and Mr Maclean, you can
perhaps indicate tomorrow morning whether you continue
your position, because I appreciate you haven't had
a chance to take a final view and we'll see where we go.
MR MACLEAN: Yes.
MR HARRIS: Thank you very much, sir. That is a sensible course, if I may say.

My understanding is that it is going to be Mr Symons
first and then Mr Wyatt and then when those two
gentlemen are finished, Mr Springett and that is how
I am proposing to proceed tomorrow.
THE CHAIRMAN: Good. I am grateful. Anything else before we rise?
MR MACLEAN: I don't believe so, sir.
THE CHAIRMAN: Will 10.30 tomorrow suit?

MR HARRIS: Yes, there is a great deal to get through but I am happy to start at 10.30. Unlike Mr Maclean, of course, I have vastly more documents to put to the witness because all of the documents come from Agents' Mutual, virtually all of them come from Agents' Mutual, so I am very happy to start but there is a lot to get through.
THE CHAIRMAN: I am quite conscious that we had a diary issue today. I don't want to go into the details of why it arose in the way that it did but given what you have said, there must at least be a risk that Mr Springett may slip into Monday. Is that going to cause problems with regard to the experts?
MR MACLEAN: Sir, it depends how long the Tribunal had in mind for the hot tubbing experience on Monday. In principle, we, for our side, don't think the point you raise would cause a problem.
THE CHAIRMAN: No.
MR HARRIS: Yes, I am afraid there is a risk. Plainly it rather depends on how long it takes for answers to come out but at this stage, I do think that that is a risk.
It doesn't present a problem for us if it slips into Monday, with either continuing cross-examination or dealing with the experts.
THE CHAIRMAN: What I suggest is that we establish the
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experts' availability into the 15 th and 16th, just in case and hopefully we won't need those days, but it seems sensible that we at least ensure we have an overrun. I would be discouraging of any overrun beyond half a day or so, I have to say.
MR MACLEAN: I would say, sir, that there was some debate about the timetable and Mr Harris contended for more time to cross-examine than we did. Initially, we were suggesting two and a half days each and Mr Harris's side said: well we need more time, for the reason he has just articulated and we saw the force of that. Mr Harris is going to have three days. I have had two. As you will have gathered, I cut my cloth this afternoon. I am not suggesting I didn't put points that had to be put but I kept within my time. I lost, and I am not complaining, an hour and a half yesterday, whenever it was, and we made up a little bit of it, so we, on our side, have kept to our side of the two days/three days bargain and Mr Harris says he has a lot to do. Maybe he has but the Tribunal, I am sure, will be astute to make sure he gets on with it and doesn't overlabour the cross-examination.
THE CHAIRMAN: I think that is an entirely fair point, Mr Harris, I am sure you have that well in mind.
MR HARRIS: Yes.
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