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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Friday, 10 February 2017 |
| 2 | $(10.06$ am $)$ |
| 3 | MR HARRIS: Morning, sir, unless there are any preliminaries |
| 4 | I would like to invite Mr Springett back to the stand. |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: We have a short ruling. |
| 6 | MR HARRIS: Of course, I beg your pardon. |
| 7 | Ruling |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: For the reasons set out in greater detail in |
| 9 | our ruling, we are conscious that the course of |
| 10 | admitting the audio files and transcripts would leave |
| 11 | Agents' Mutual with no ability properly to investigate |
| 12 | the provenance or content of the audio files or the |
| 13 | transcripts and no real ability to counter them if so |
| 14 | advised with further evidence. |
| 15 | This application has been made in the middle of the |
| 16 | trial and the factual evidence has, to a substantial |
| 17 | extent, already been heard. To require Agents' Mutual |
| 18 | to do anything in response to the evidence would, in our |
| 19 | minds, be entirely unreasonable. |
| 20 | On a number of occasions when seeking to counter our |
| 21 | concerns regarding the provenance and content of the |
| 22 | audio files and transcripts Mr Woolfe very properly |
| 23 | sought to assuage those concerns by suggesting that |
| 24 | they, the concerns, could be resolved by asking the |
| 25 | Agents' Mutual representative present at some but not |
|  |  |
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all of the recorded meetings about them.
We wish to make explicitly clear that if this
evidence is admitted, then it is on the basis that
Gascoigne Halman have to live with the deficiencies in
the evidence that we describe in our ruling and cannot
at a later stage in the proceedings seek to bolster this material by suggesting that if it were false,
Agents' Mutual could have produced evidence to say so.
We will not entertain any submission that silence on
the part of Agents' Mutual in response to this material
indicates any form of acceptance or evidence that the recordings are accurate or unimpeachable.

On that basis, and on that basis only, we admit the evidence.
MR HARRIS: Sir, thank you very much. I don't have any other preliminaries or housekeeping.
THE CHAIRMAN: One point, as we all know, the person who knows most about economics and competition law is sitting to my right and he particularly suggests that on horizontal matters the parties might in closing want to address us on the European Commission's communication on horizontal corporation agreements 2011/C11/01 and the Court of Appeal's judgment in BAGS v AMRAC [2009] EWCA 750. Not a matter for this week but it might be a matter for work at the end of next week.
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MR HARRIS: Very much so, sir, and you will see in our skeleton we have already addressed BAGS to some extent, but we will take on board that point.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MR HARRIS: Thank you very much.
Sir, may we have Mr Springett back.

## MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued)

Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued)
MR HARRIS: Good morning, Mr Springett.
A. Morning, Mr Harris.
Q. So yesterday you had taken my train ticket and we had travelled at the end of the day towards west Wales, so we are back there. Could I draw your attention, please, to bundle number 6 and this time an email that begins on page 3449 .

Do you see that this is a copy of an email from Mr Jones, with whom you had had some correspondence; yes?

## A. Yes.

Q. To the group of west Wales agents. If you just cast your eye over the names of the people to whom he sends the email and copies in. Do you recognise them as being the Agents' Mutual members in west Wales?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And he says to these other agents:
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> "This is a summary of the agents meeting held yesterday."

And he goes on to say certain things about it. And over the page do you see the paragraph by the first hole punch, the one beginning "The agents present"?
A. "There was some concern that agents had not understood the terms of the offer by John Notley?"
Q. No, I am -- there are three -- at the top of 3450 , third paragraph:
"The agents present were all prepared to sign up to the Zoopla deal ..."
A. Yes.
Q. "... subject to the points below being agreed by Zoopla and, of course, subjects to those agents not present agreeing to them as well."
A. Yes.
Q. So this is the west Wales group of agents all signing up as a collective to the Zoopla deal, subject to ironing out the final wrinkles; correct?
A. Yes, and I think you can see at the top line on that page that there was a threshold that Zoopla had set for the deal to trigger.
Q. But in the context of these agents all being members of Agents' Mutual and therefore being bound by the OOP rule, them collectively agreeing to sign up with Zoopla
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| 1 | means that they collectively also agree to drop | 1 | response to Mr Jones sending you the offer. It is 3422. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Rightmove, don't they, insofar as they were using | 2 | So you say at the top of 3422: |
| 3 | Rightmove? Insofar as they were using Rightmove. | 3 | "Nigel, thanks for forwarding the Zoopla offer. |
| 4 | A. Insofar as they were using Rightmove. | 4 | Please find attached the notes and comments/thoughts." |
| 5 | Q. That is right, isn't it? | 5 | You see that? |
| 6 | A. That is a logical conclusion. | 6 | A. "For your personal use", yes. |
| 7 | Q. I am glad you see it that way, Mr Springett, because | 7 | Q. Yes. We can find the note at 3429 . |
| 8 | that is the logical conclusion of the One Other Portal | 8 | A. We should just finish off this $\mathbf{3 4 2 2}$ where it says: |
| 9 | rule, isn't it? | 9 | "I hasten to say that I would not presume to tell |
| 10 | A. In this context. | 10 | any member what they should do and still less a group as |
| 11 | Q. Just so you know, you were joined into this chain, if | 11 | sophisticated in its approach as yours. But I hope you |
| 12 | you were to go earlier in the bundle to 3446, you aren't | 12 | will find it helpful." |
| 13 | on this bit of the chain but you do get added to it at | 13 | Q. You go on to say, don't you, if you really want to |
| 14 | the email on 3446, which is a couple of days later. | 14 | finish off that email: |
| 15 | What you say, picking it up between the two hole punches | 15 | "I think what you are achieving in west Wales is |
| 16 | is in response to Mr Jones -- well, to Mr Hope, copied | 16 | terrific. Good luck with the meeting." |
| 17 | to Mr Jones, in the middle paragraph: | 17 | And that is a group meeting, isn't it? |
| 18 | "As you know, agents joining silver sign a | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | non-binding letter of intent ..." | 19 | Q. And if you find the note itself, it is to be found at |
| 20 | And then it carries on: | 20 | 3429. So this is the note that you send back about the |
| 21 | "... and I wondered if you wanted to ask all the | 21 | group offer that the west Wales group had received from |
| 22 | silver agents in the west Wales group to sign their | 22 | ZPG, isn't it? |
| 23 | contract before entering any deal with Zoopla." | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | Q. And what we see is that you comment about the strategy |
| 25 | Q. So you were expressly wanting them to be signing up as | 25 | that you think Zoopla has and then you comment about the |
|  | Page 6 |  | Page 8 |
| 1 | a group, weren't you? | 1 | deal pricing. I don't need to read any of that out. |
| 2 | A. No, not particularly. We would have made available -- | 2 | I don't think any of it is confidential but I don't need |
| 3 | this was before the main conversion of silver letters of | 3 | to go there. |
| 4 | intent to a contract had begun, because at this point we | 4 | In the next bullet point below the table you comment |
| 5 | hadn't reached, I think, the 4,000 office level of | 5 | on Zoopla regarding the pricing as a loss leader and you |
| 6 | support, but in order to assist this group I was | 6 | go on to make some comments about specific terms, don't |
| 7 | offering, I guess, to say: well, we could make those | 7 | you, that the group could or should consider agreeing |
| 8 | contracts available to agents to sign if they wanted to. | 8 | with Zoopla? |
| 9 | Q. And it is right, isn't it, that this group of agents | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | sent you the draft offer from Zoopla, didn't they -- | 10 | Q. That is right. So one of the specific terms that you |
| 11 | A. Sorry, Mr Harris, can I just refer you to the last line | 11 | suggest that they should or could agree is, first |
| 12 | of my email? | 12 | bullet: |
| 13 | Q. Yes, please. Sorry, which page are we on? | 13 | "The AM group could agree not to buy additional |
| 14 | A. 3446: | 14 | Zoopla products." |
| 15 | "Let me know and I can send a copy to you and also | 15 | Yes? |
| 16 | arrange for them to receive the contracts direct for | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | signing." | 17 | Q. So that is them as a group, isn't it, that you are |
| 18 | Q. Thank you. | 18 | making that suggestion to? |
| 19 | A. Thank you. | 19 | A. Yes, that could form part of the arrangements with |
| 20 | Q. They sent you the draft offer, didn't they, that they | 20 | Zoopla. |
| 21 | had got from Zoopla for your input? | 21 | Q. And then you make another suggestion as to what the |
| 22 | A. I think Mr Jones asked for my views on it, I think in | 22 | group should be doing at the bottom, final bullet point: |
| 23 | general, but also in particular to a request by Zoopla | 23 | "If the group believes that, in practice, there will |
| 24 | within the deal that the agents should promote Zoopla. | 24 | be substantial further spend on Zoopla products, maybe |
| 25 | Q. If we look earlier in the bundle you can see your | 25 | there is scope to get the base Zoopla deal down |
|  | Page 7 |  | Page 9 |


| 1 | further." | 1 | some pointers on how he might conduct that negotiation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Do you see that one? | 2 | Q. Yes, on behalf of the group and as a group, right? |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | A. Well, that's what he's doing. |
| 4 | Q. And then over the page, under the heading "notice | 4 | Q. Well, you say "The group should consider all of this"; |
| 5 | period" you talk about the proposed notice period. It | 5 | those are your words, aren't they? |
| 6 | is covered in yellow so I won't read out the number, | 6 | A. Yes, because I'm aware there is a negotiating group, but |
| 7 | although I can't believe it is confidential. And in the | 7 | the advice I'm giving is to Mr Jones. |
| 8 | second bullet point, having identified the notice | 8 | Q. Yes, who is leading the group, isn't he? |
| 9 | period, you suggest again to this group of agents, they | 9 | A. As far as I'm aware. |
| 10 | might put pressure on Zoopla regarding that term, don't | 10 | Q. Yes, thank you. So can I just take you then into your |
| 11 | you? | 11 | witness statement, fifth Springett. You will find that |
| 12 | A. No, that's not what it says, does it? It says the | 12 | in bundle C and at tab number 4. If you turn it up in |
| 13 | inability to leave Zoopla in the short term might put | 13 | internal page 66, you will see there is |
| 14 | pressure on the One Other Portal rule. | 14 | a paragraph 15.17 in your fifth witness statement. And |
| 15 | Q. You are making suggestions about how they can deal with | 15 | do you see at the end, in that paragraph you are talking |
| 16 | the proposal for Zoopla for a specific notice period, | 16 | about the west Wales grouping. In the final sentence |
| 17 | aren't you? | 17 | reads: |
| 18 | A. I'm saying what the consequences might be for them. | 18 | "I did not advise the group on its decision or on |
| 19 | Q. That's right. In order that they as a group can go back | 19 | any specific terms with the exception of reminding them |
| 20 | to Zoopla regarding this specific term, the notice | 20 | that they could not agree to promote Zoopla as they |
| 21 | period; that is right, isn't it? | 21 | already agreed to comply with the ..." |
| 22 | A. Whereas the point above really crystallises exactly what | 22 | That is completely false, is it not, in the light of |
| 23 | we are trying to achieve here: a more vigorous | 23 | the document we have just looked at? |
| 24 | negotiation environment where there's some switching. | 24 | A. No, it isn't. I didn't advise the group. I advised, |
| 25 | Q. And then under the next heading, "Promotion of Zoopla | 25 | because he had asked for it, Mr Jones what I thought he |
|  | Page 10 |  | Page 12 |
| 1 | brands", Zoopla had been putting forward, hadn't they, | 1 | should do in the context of that negotiation. |
| 2 | a suggestion about promoting Zoopla; correct? | 2 | Q. So that is your point of distinction, is it? |
| 3 | A. That's what I was told, yes. | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. And what you are suggesting back to this group of agents | 4 | Q. You are saying that because the email to the leader of |
| 5 | is a potential or actual counterpoint on that specific | 5 | the group suggesting what the group should do isn't to |
| 6 | term, aren't you? | 6 | the group at large, that is not you advising the group. |
| 7 | A. Member agents are required to promote AM but may only | 7 | That is nonsense, isn't it? |
| 8 | advise customers that they also use the other portal. | 8 | A. No, it isn't. |
| 9 | Q. So you are suggesting to the group that as a group, they | 9 | Q. In any event it is wrong because you say you don't give |
| 10 | can go back to Zoopla and say: well, hang on a minute, | 10 | any advice on additional products. |
| 11 | Zoopla, you have asked for this but you should tell them | 11 | A. Not to the group. |
| 12 | that? | 12 | Q. I see. Don't you think that's misleading, Mr Springett, |
| 13 | A. I am pointing out that that's what's in each individual | 13 | that sentence? |
| 14 | member's contract. | 14 | A. No, I don't. It is the same conversation we had |
| 15 | Q. And then under the heading "summary" at the bottom, | 15 | yesterday about the discussion I had with Mr Abrahamson. |
| 16 | second paragraph down, you talk about the deal and then | 16 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that that is thoroughly |
| 17 | you say: | 17 | misleading evidence that you have given and it is |
| 18 | "The group should consider all of this in the light | 18 | downright wrong when it comes to the position that you |
| 19 | of the overriding objective of getting into a position | 19 | say you didn't give any advice about specific terms; |
| 20 | where AM members have the option to wind down their use | 20 | that is right, is it not? |
| 21 | of any other major portal". | 21 | A. That is not true, is it? Because when I reply to |
| 22 | So it is very much a series of suggestions or ideas | 22 | Mr Jones I recall reading an email recently where I say: |
| 23 | about what the group should do as a group, isn't it? | 23 | do not pass this on to the group as my -- as having come |
| 24 | A. Well, on the basis that this is a group negotiation with | 24 | from me. So I'm specifically saying to him he should |
| 25 | Zoopla, this note is intended for Mr Jones only to give | 25 | not use my name or Agents' Mutual in connection with any |
|  | Page 11 |  | Page 13 |


| 1 | of that email that he chose to use. | 1 | Q. I take that point, Mr Springett. I know what your evidence is on that. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. What on earth has that got to do with the point, | 2 |  |
| 3 | Mr Springett, it is still you passing on advice? | 3 | And what you say is: |
| 4 | A. You are pointing to this part of my witness statement | 4 | "Dear Clive, ahead of your upcoming meeting with |
| 5 | and saying I did not advise the group, and I did not | 5 | Zoopla ..." |
| 6 | advise the group. | 6 | That is a group meeting, isn't it? |
| 7 | Q. I see. Whilst we are still in your statement if you | 7 | A. I understand it is a meeting at which Zoopla will be |
| 8 | turn two paragraphs earlier on at 15.9, you start giving | 8 | presenting a proposition to a group of agents. |
| 9 | evidence in your written form about Gascoigne Halman's | 9 | Q. That is right. And you say: |
| 10 | allegations regarding a collective boycott, and you say | 10 | "I prepared a note with a few thoughts on the |
| 11 | in the third line: | 11 | prospective group deal for your personal use." |
| 12 | "It was very clear to me and I have always been very | 12 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 13 | clear to others". | 13 | Q. "I hope you will find it helpful, although you and |
| 14 | That is simply not right in light of the emails we | 14 | others in the North East group probably considered all |
| 15 | saw yesterday, both to the west Wales group and North | 15 | the points made." |
| 16 | East group, is it? | 16 | Yes? |
| 17 | A. Again, I can only repeat what I have said before, that | 17 | A. And more, yes. |
| 18 | I have made it very clear to all of the agents to whom | 18 | Q. And then to be fair to you, Mr Springett, you say the |
| 19 | I've presented the proposition that they have to make an | 19 | same sentence effectively as you did in the other email |
| 20 | individual decision. Now, if they choose to do | 20 | that we saw a moment ago: |
| 21 | something different and I become aware of it, then | 21 | "Never presume to tell an AM member what to do." |
| 22 | I have, when appropriate, indicated to them that they | 22 | But it is not a question of telling them. It is |
| 23 | need to take their own separate legal advice about what | 23 | a question of knowing in advance that they are going to |
| 24 | they're doing. | 24 | have a group decision and trying to influence that group |
| 25 | Q. Your evidence here, Mr Springett, is, and I quote: | 25 | decision, isn't it? |
|  | Page 14 | Page 16 |  |
| 1 | "I have always been very clear to others." | 1 | A. I'm not influencing the group decision. I'm just |
| 2 | Remind me where in the email to Miss Pattinson where | 2 | saying: here are some thoughts about the negotiation. |
| 3 | you suggest she should align herself with others in the | 3 | Q. I see, but we don't need to look at it in detail, but if |
| 4 | North East you say to her very clearly you cannot do | 4 | you see the notes of the North East over the page at |
| 5 | that? | 5 | 3435, and I think you have just accepted from me, aside |
| 6 | A. Well, Miss Pattinson wasn't meeting with me. I was just | 6 | from effectively changing the references from the west |
| 7 | saying to her: I will happily come and see you if you | 7 | Wales group to the North East group, and aside from the |
| 8 | want to talk about joining Agents' Mutual. | 8 | fact that you don't have so many details about what |
| 9 | Q. You don't do it, do you, in that email? | 9 | Zoopla is actually proposing in the North East compared |
| 10 | A. I didn't need to do it. | 10 | to west Wales, it is the same note, isn't it? |
| 11 | Q. You can put C away again now. Thank you. And we're | 11 | A. It is the same note, and it is actually very similar to |
| 12 | back in volume 6 where we were a moment ago and I just | 12 | advice I gave also to Mr Abrahamson when he asked for |
| 13 | invite you over the page to 3433. Do you see that | 13 | it. |
| 14 | 21 minutes after you send your series of ideas and | 14 | Q. Thank you. In fact, you facilitate other group |
| 15 | advice on the group decision for the west Wales group | 15 | meetings, knowing that they are to be about group |
| 16 | you effectively do the same thing to the North East | 16 | decisions concerning the portals that the group wants to |
| 17 | group, don't you? | 17 | join or come off, don't you? |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | A. I don't think so. |
| 19 | Q. So at two minutes past 7 on 2 August, 21 minutes after | 19 | Q. Really? You can't remember facilitating any such |
| 20 | the other one, you top and tail the same note that you | 20 | further meetings in any of the other agent groups around |
| 21 | send to the west Wales group and you send this off to | 21 | the country that you have admitted knowing were taking |
| 22 | a board member in the North East, don't you, Mr Rook? | 22 | place? |
| 23 | A. Let me correct you again. The note wasn't sent to the | 23 | A. I don't think I facilitated, no. |
| 24 | west Wales group, and this one is sent to Mr Rook, two | 24 | Q. In that case, still in this bundle then, bundle 6, if |
| 25 | individuals. | 25 | you could go earlier in time, earlier in the bundle to |
|  | Page 15 | Page 17 |  |


| 1 | page 2951, do you see that is an email of 4 July 2014 to | 1 | I paraphrase: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you from an agent in Regent Street, Cambridge? | 2 | "I have always been very clear with agents about |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | making their individual decisions." |
| 4 | Q. And that's Mr Burt-Gray writing to you, saying: | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | "The time is coming where we as an agent will need | 5 | Q. So where in the email response: |
| 6 | to decide which one of the two portals, Rightmove or | 6 | "Hi Kevin, I am well thanks. I hope you are too. |
| 7 | Zoopla, to jettison." | 7 | I must be very clear with you that it is not at all |
| 8 | Correct? | 8 | permissible for you to get together with other |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | Agents' Mutual members in order to reach a general |
| 10 | Q. And he says: | 10 | consensus on which portal they are likely to retain." |
| 11 | "It could be good to know who are fellow | 11 | Where does it say that in the email? |
| 12 | Agents' Mutual members in Cambridge in order that we | 12 | A. It doesn't need to be there because I've already told |
| 13 | could have a few discrete discussions with some of them | 13 | him that. |
| 14 | in order to gauge the general consensus on which portal | 14 | Q. I see. But instead, far from you being very clear |
| 15 | they are likely to retain." | 15 | always, what do you do? You give him the very |
| 16 | That is what he is asking you, isn't it? | 16 | information that he seeks, knowing that he wants it in |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | order to have discrete discussions about which portal |
| 18 | Q. So you know perfectly well when you receive this email | 18 | they are likely to retain and reach a general consensus, |
| 19 | that he is proposing to get together if he can with | 19 | don't you? |
| 20 | other Agents' Mutual's members and have a discussion | 20 | A. But this would be information -- he doesn't need me to |
| 21 | about which portal they are likely to retain, don't you? | 21 | do that for him. He can get that information from the |
| 22 | A. You are saying "get together". It doesn't say that, | 22 | members register if he really wants it. |
| 23 | does it? | 23 | Q. That is completely irrelevant, Mr Springett. You are |
| 24 | Q. Mr Springett, be serious. | 24 | providing him with the information he wants. You are |
| 25 | A. Does it say that? | 25 | facilitating and encouraging a group meeting, knowing |
|  | Page 18 |  | Page 20 |
| 1 | Q. He is asking you to know who the other Agents' Mutual | 1 | perfectly well it is with the intention of reaching |
| 2 | members are "in order that we could have a few discrete | 2 | impermissible collective decisions about which portal to |
| 3 | discussions with some of them". | 3 | choose, don't you? |
| 4 | A. So -- | 4 | A. It is a bit of a stretch, isn't it, don't you think? |
| 5 | Q. Are you picking semantics about whether that means "have | 5 | Q. Certainly not. |
| 6 | a meeting"? | 6 | If we now move on. We are heading down into the |
| 7 | A. Does it say a group meeting? | 7 | southwest of the country, to Devon. If you could pick |
| 8 | Q. Let's be serious. You know perfectly well they are | 8 | up bundle number 2 and this time turn to page 991. Do |
| 9 | going to have a collective decision about which portal | 9 | you see this is an email from you to Mr Flint and |
| 10 | to join, don't you, when you receive this email? | 10 | various other directors or members of the steering |
| 11 | A. It isn't what it says, is it. | 11 | committee probably at that point? |
| 12 | Q. And -- | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | A. Also -- may I just finish the answer, please. It is | 13 | Q. And beneath -- |
| 14 | also right that he refers to Agents' Mutual members, and | 14 | A. No, they were directors by then. |
| 15 | there is a register of members, he could go to the | 15 | Q. The company had been formed by then? |
| 16 | register of members and find out who else are the | 16 | A. Yes, it had. |
| 17 | members. | 17 | Q. Do you see beneath the second hole punch, about four |
| 18 | Q. But he doesn't need to do that, does he, Mr Springett, | 18 | bullets down, you say -- that is part of your update on |
| 19 | because you facilitate the very group meeting, or | 19 | progress -- that you are working on a southwest regional |
| 20 | getting together, or discussions, whatever you like to | 20 | meeting in Dorset and westwards? |
| 21 | call it, yourself, don't you, personally? | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | A. I'm being helpful by giving him the information he would | 22 | Q. So you had some personal involvement, didn't you, in |
| 23 | otherwise have to go to the members register to get. | 23 | working to get together a southwest regional meeting in |
| 24 | Q. That is exactly right. If you look two pages earlier in | 24 | Dorset and westwards? |
| 25 | response, in your witness evidence you say, and | 25 | A. Yes, to present the proposition. |
|  | Page 19 |  | Page 21 |


| 1 | Q. If you can put away bundle number 2 and go now, please, to bundle number 7, this time to page 4031. This is an email I think we have seen before, Mr Springett. It begins at the bottom of 4029. I genuinely can't remember now whether you and I have gone to this together, but in any event do you see it begins at the bottom of 4029 ? | 1 | A. It is what I say. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | 2 | Q. What you say is "We don't want anything that would |
| 3 |  | 3 | evidence AM is leading any kind of collective boycott". |
| 4 |  | 4 | A. That is what I say, yes, so it is plain -- |
| 5 |  | 5 | Q. It is not what you just said in evidence then. |
| 6 |  | 6 | A. It is plain what I say. You are trying to reorientate |
| 7 |  | 7 | what I say. |
| 8 | A. Graham. | 8 | Q. I see. We can see what it says on the page. |
| 9 | Q. Yes, and it is from you to Graham, Mr Prescott and | 9 | A. Yes, you can. |
| 10 | Mr Underwood. | 10 | Q. But in fact your wish went completely unheeded, didn't |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | it, about them avoiding collective boycott decisions in |
| 12 | Q. They are agents in this Devon or southwest region, | 12 | the Devon area, right? |
| 13 | aren't they? | 13 | A. What are you going to refer me to? |
| 14 | A. Yes, they are. | 14 | Q. Well, you know that they collectively agreed to come off |
| 15 | Q. And to be fair to you, Mr Springett, I think we perhaps | 15 | Zoopla, don't you, as a group? |
| 16 | went to this one before, because you say in number 1 | 16 | A. I don't know that. |
| 17 | over the page that you must avoid anything that would | 17 | Q. You don't know that. Let's have a look at bundle |
| 18 | evidence collusion between agents. What you don't say | 18 | $8 / 4140$. This is an email that I took Mr Wyatt to. |
| 19 | is: you cannot get together to make collective decisions | 19 | Mr Wyatt's an agent from Stags in this part of the |
| 20 | by way of collusion, do you? | 20 | world, isn't he? |
| 21 | A. Webbers, being one of the first people I went to when we | 21 | A. No, it's Mr Symons. |
| 22 | were setting this thing up, were already perfectly well | 22 | Q. I beg your pardon. |
| 23 | aware from us that that's the case. | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Day 4. |
| 24 | Q. I see, but you don't say it in this email, do you? What | 24 | MR HARRIS: And this clearly shows, doesn't it, the |
| 25 | you say is "We must avoid anything that would evidence | 25 | southwest group, including Stags reaching a group |
| Page 22 |  | Page 24 |  |
| 1 | collusion", don't you? <br> A. Graham Harrison is the chairman of Webbers. He is a senior guy. He knows the situation. <br> Q. But not from this email, does he? <br> A. Not from this email. <br> Q. No. So the reason you are saying this is because you are concerned that this group of agents in the south west might be engaging in a collective boycott, don't you? That is why you say it? | 1 | decision to give notice to Zoopla, doesn't it? |
| 2 |  | 2 | A. Let me read it. |
| 3 |  | 3 | It says that is a consensus and Webbers has already |
| 4 |  | 4 | given notice. |
| 5 |  | 5 | Q. Yes. Do you accept that? Consensus is a meeting of |
| 6 |  | 6 | minds. That is what it means, doesn't it? |
| 7 |  | 7 | A. I think that's right. |
| 8 |  | 8 | Q. And it goes on to talk about a collective plan, the plan |
| 9 |  | 9 | discussed -- this is amongst the group -- is to defer. |
| 10 | A. Well, I'm responding actually to his email to me, which talks about having dinner with the managing director of | 10 | It has been agreed to carry out some marketing, |
| 1 |  | 11 | et cetera, et cetera. |
| 12 | Rightmove, and there is reference made there to | 12 | A. Mmm. |
| 13 | a meeting that the agents have clearly had, and I am | 13 | Q. It's a clear collective decision to drop Zoopla on |
| 14 | saying to him: in any conversation you have with | 14 | behalf of this group of north Devon agents, isn't it? |
| 15 | Rightmove, you need to be careful. | 15 | A. Yes, I think it may have been in the context of -- |
| 16 | Q. Yes, absolutely. So you are concerned because | 16 | I think Mr Notley said the other day that a group in |
| 17 | information has come into your possession suggesting | 17 | this part of the world had also been in discussion with |
| 18 | that this group of agents in Devon may be engaging in | 18 | him about a deal similar to that in the North East and |
| 19 | a collective boycott. That is why you say "We must | 19 | west Wales, but I wasn't involved in any way with that |
| 20 | avoid anything which would evidence of collusion between | 20 | in the same way that I was aware of it in those places. |
| 21 | agents or that AM is leading any kind of collective | 21 | Q. Thank you. And now we are going to move a little bit |
| 22 | boycott", don't you? | 22 | further north into the Bristol region. Can I draw your |
| 23 | A. I'm saying: you are talking to the managing director of | 23 | attention to volume 3, page 1487A on the left-hand side |
| 24 | our biggest competitor, be careful what you say. | 24 | of the bundle. This is an email from a Mr Lease, |
| 25 | Q. No, that is not what you say at all, Mr Springett. | 25 | originally to the Agents' Mutual info email, and then by |
|  | Page 23 |  | Page 25 |


| 1 | the looks of it fairly promptly passed on to you | 1 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. And then we are now moving east in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | personally; correct? | 2 | the country, Mr Springett. I imagine you were fairly |
| 3 | A. Yes, I think it was only me at that stage. | 3 | peripatetic at this point, weren't you? Bristol, |
| 4 | Q. You were the very personification. | 4 | Devon ... |
| 5 | A. I was Agents' Mutual. | 5 | A. Yes, all over the place. |
| 6 | Q. I see. And what he says is: | 6 | Q. You perhaps really did have a train ticket. |
| 7 | "Hello, I am an agent in Bristol ... " | 7 | A. I really did have a train ticket. |
| 8 | The second paragraph: | 8 | Q. Now we are in bundle 8. You can put away bundle 3, |
| 9 | "The reason for dropping you a line is we have our | 9 | thank you. And we are at page 4126. And do you see |
| 10 | AGM and drinks party coming up. We feel it would be | 10 | that the way this, as I understand it, works is that |
| 11 | useful perhaps to present a bit more info ..." | 11 | there's an email is sent to a Mr Harwood, who worked |
| 12 | And he goes on to say: | 12 | with Noel Flint, and I think that's at Kinleigh Folkard |
| 13 | "... to the members and other agents to see if we | 13 | \& Hayward; is that right? |
| 14 | can get a critical mass of support to join up on | 14 | A. Noel Flint is Knight Frank. |
| 15 | launch." | 15 | Q. I beg your pardon. Knight Frank. I get those two mixed |
| 16 | So he's telling you that the proposal in the Bristol | 16 | up. |
| 17 | area or the suggestion in the Bristol area is for | 17 | A. They wouldn't be happy about that. |
| 18 | a group to join up on launch; yes? | 18 | Q. I am sorry? |
| 19 | A. I have a very clear recollection about what actually | 19 | A. Knight Frank wouldn't be happy about that. |
| 20 | happened, which I'll tell you about in a moment. | 20 | Q. No, I am sure. Strike that bit from the transcript. |
| 21 | Q. Yes. | 21 | So Mr Harwood at Knight Frank is receiving an email |
| 22 | A. But what this is saying is critical mass of support to | 22 | from an agent in the Maidstone area; yes? That is the |
| 23 | join up on launch. | 23 | slightly lighter type on 4126. Is that how you |
| 24 | Q. Yes, so that means a group joining up on launch, doesn't | 24 | understand it? It goes over the page. You can see that |
| 25 | it? | 25 | it's -- |
|  | Page 26 |  | Page 28 |
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: I don't see any lighter type. |
| 2 | Q. And then he goes on: | 2 | MR HARRIS: On my copy ... |
| 3 | "This grouping wants to see if we can get ..." | 3 | So if you start on 4127 -- |
| 4 | I will just read it: | 4 | A. Yes, I'm here. |
| 5 | "... see if we can get a critical mass of support to | 5 | Q. Do you see there is a regards from a -- |
| 6 | join up and drop the other portals except Rightmove?" | 6 | A. Martin White. |
| 7 | A. That is what he says. | 7 | Q. Martin Davison-White in Maidstone. He is an agent, is |
| 8 | Q. And where's the email back in which you say "Woah, hang | 8 | he not, in Page \& Wells in Maidstone? |
| 9 | on a minute". According to your witness statement, you | 9 | A. Page $\&$ Wells is an agent. |
| 10 | are always very clear with these people that they can't | 10 | Q. He did, in fact, get this email chain, as we will see in |
| 11 | do this. Where's the email back saying "No, no, no, you | 11 | a minute. If you go earlier in the bundle you can see |
| 12 | can't do that as a collective"? | 12 | where that email starts: |
| 13 | A. I haven't presented to them at this point. I did | 13 | "Andrew, just keeping you in the loop." |
| 14 | present to them. I did go to their drinks party and | 14 | Right? |
| 15 | I did get a slot in a room above a pub to make the | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | presentation. And the presentation contained the normal | 16 | Q. And then you can see that Andrew, if you look at the |
| 17 | warning which I gave everybody who was present, and | 17 | bottom of 4125, Andrew is Andrew Harwood, who then |
| 18 | there were quite a lot of people there who were actually | 18 | passes on this email from Mr Davison-White to one of his |
| 19 | not estate agents in the room, and then I left. I don't | 19 | partners, Noel Flint, at Knight Frank? |
| 20 | think, actually, there were even questions and answers | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | because I think they were very keen to get on with their | 21 | Q. He says: |
| 22 | cocktail party. | 22 | "Noel, thank you for the reply. A group of local |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: So that, Mr Springett, is the recollection | 23 | agents asked me to attend the meeting. I thought you |
| 24 | you wanted to tell us about? | 24 | might be interested in the email I received." |
| 25 | A. Yes, it was. | 25 | And the email is below; yes? |
|  | Page 27 |  | Page 29 |

A. Yes.
Q. And then just finishing off how the emails fit together, on 4125 Noel then passes that on to you, doesn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you respond to Noel, the first part of which is privileged.
A. Yes.
Q. So that is how it all fits together. But what's interesting, I suggest to you, Mr Springett, is in the email to Andrew that then gets passed to Noel and then passed to you, the agent in the Maidstone area is saying in the paragraph that is two down from where it says "Andrew" -- do you see the one beginning "The Maidstone-based agents"?
A. Yes.
Q. And he says in the second sentence:
"At the meeting I very much expect us to determine ..."

The "us" is the Maidstone-based agents, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. "... and I very much expect us to determine which portal to retain."

Right?
A. Yes.
Q. So there you are obtaining knowledge when this is passed
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on to you, aren't you, as indeed is your fellow director Mr Flint, of another proposal by a group of agents, this time in a different part of the country, to make a collective decision about which portal to retain; correct?
A. Yes, it says that.
Q. But then when Mr Flint passes that on to you he says, doesn't he -- this is now towards the bottom of 4125 , in the middle:
"What is interesting is that local agents are getting together to make group decisions. Is this an issue which we need to deal with?"

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. That is right. And what neither you nor your fellow director do is email back the group, either directly or via Mr Harwood, to say "Woah hang on, you can't do this, you can't have collective group decisions 'about which portal to retain'", do you?

## A. Well, I don't know what Mr Flint may have said to Mr Harwood. <br> Q. I appreciate that. My question to you is: on what has been disclosed to us there is no email back or other communication back to this group of agents that both you and your fellow director know are going to be making
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collective decisions about which portal to retain, no email or other communication back saying "Woah, don't do that, you're not allowed to do that", is there?
A. It doesn't look as if I replied direct to Mr Harwood but it is certainly possible Mr Flint did.
Q. So that's it, is it? You are suggesting that although we don't have any disclosure of it, there might have been a reply from Mr Flint?
A. I think there is very likely to have been because he's been -- as he says, "I've left a message for Andrew to call me."
Q. I see. Moving on now, Mr Springett, you knew very well, didn't you, before launch that the regional groupings would preponderantly choose Rightmove as their one other portal rather than Zoopla, didn't you?
A. It didn't actually turn out that way.
Q. I beg your pardon?
A. It didn't turn out that way.
Q. It did. 90/10. 90 per cent chose Rightmove. 10 per cent --
A. But they are not regional groupings. We have been talking about regional groupings, and one specifically we have been talking about have been the North East, north London --
Q. Yes, and they dropped Zoopla, didn't they.
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## A. -- and west Wales.

THE CHAIRMAN: Don't interrupt, Mr Harris.
A. And two of those three dropped Rightmove.

MR HARRIS: That is right. And around the country
90 per cent dropped Zoopla, didn't they?
A. No, it's not true.
Q. I beg your pardon?
A. No, 90 per cent -- by the time we got to launch 90 per cent had chosen Rightmove.
Q. So 90 per cent therefore had dropped Zoopla, hadn't they?
A. You are presuming that everybody was on both.
Q. I see.
A. Well, you say "I see, I see", but there were plenty of people who weren't necessarily on Zoopla.
THE CHAIRMAN: We have a slight mis-transcription I think. Just to be clear, Mr Springett, your evidence on this is of those three, which two --

## A. Of the three --

THE CHAIRMAN: Or the outcomes.
A. The outcome in west Wales was that the agents did in fact take the Zoopla deal and they continued to list with OnTheMarket and Zoopla. Not all of them, not all the members in that area have done that; some have chosen Rightmove. And in north west London, which was
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| 1 | Primelocation territory historically, where that | 1 | reference that comes out of the OFT report on the merger |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | business was strong, the agents there also took up | 2 | where the parties specifically put forward that the |
| 3 | a group offer from Zoopla. In the North East I am less | 3 | strength of Primelocation in London, for example, and |
| 4 | clear what happened, but I know that there wasn't | 4 | the relative weakness of Rightmove showed that |
| 5 | completion of a group deal with Zoopla. | 5 | increasing the stock in an area available to an |
| 6 | MR HARRIS: It certainly wasn't a surprise to you that | 6 | individual portal would increase rivalry. |
| 7 | Rightmove would not be the main loser, was it? | 7 | Q. I am right, am I not, Mr Springett in saying that at all |
| 8 | A. I have to say that became clear in the latter part of | 8 | material times, that is from 2011, 2012, 2013, at all |
| 9 | 2013. I think when we started out we imagined that | 9 | these times Rightmove has been by far the strongest of |
| 10 | there would be a much more even balance between the two | 10 | the portals out there on the market, hasn't it? |
| 11 | portals. The merger had taken place. There was a lot | 11 | A. No, I don't think that is true. A very good example in |
| 12 | of chest beating going on by ZPG, presumably in the | 12 | the document bundles is the Zoopla IPO prospective, |
| 13 | run-up to its IPO. There's already been reference to | 13 | which actually purports to show Zoopla neck-and-neck in |
| 14 | Mr Chesterman's presentation to investors. I think also | 14 | terms of coverage by agents, very close on brand |
| 15 | the fact that we started this in and around London and | 15 | awareness and I think ahead on lead generation. |
| 16 | the southeast meant that the perception of agents was | 16 | Q. So on those bases then a meaningful competitor to |
| 17 | more balanced in that area, but as I went further | 17 | Rightmove? |
| 18 | afield, so I began, for example, to go to the North East | 18 | A. You would have thought so. |
| 19 | and territories beyond London and the southeast, it | 19 | Q. Yes, because -- |
| 20 | became clear to me that Zoopla was not particularly | 20 | A. Based on those numbers. |
| 21 | a constraint on Rightmove; and that combines with | 21 | Q. That is right, because the closer it is to Rightmove on |
| 22 | analysts' reports that we were beginning to see in late | 22 | those numbers, the stronger a competitive constraint it |
| 23 | 2013 where there were surveys done. So our perspective | 23 | is on Rightmove, yes? |
| 24 | of the potential outcome changed during that year, | 24 | A. Well, that's for the experts to determine. |
| 25 | especially the latter part of that year. | 25 | Q. No, Mr Springett, this is a question to you. You are |
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| 1 | Q. That is simply not right, is it, Mr Springett? You knew | 1 | the one who just said you thought, on the basis of those |
| 2 | from 2011 that it was inconceivable that the majority of | 2 | metrics, that Zoopla was not a distant second behind |
| 3 | agents would drop Rightmove, didn't you? | 3 | Rightmove. That is your evidence; that is correct, |
| 4 | A. Yes, I noticed you took one of our other witnesses to | 4 | isn't it? |
| 5 | a passage yesterday in the November 2011 document, the | 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: He is recounting what was said in the Zoopla |
| 6 | discussion document. | 6 | IPO prospectus. |
| 7 | Q. Yes. | 7 | MR HARRIS: And in response, though, to a question where |
| 8 | A. But at that point -- this didn't really come out I don't | 8 | I said he knew all along Zoopla was the stronger portal. |
| 9 | think -- that was pre-merger, so at that point you had | 9 | He was denying that by reference to these materials. |
| 10 | Rightmove as the gorilla in the room. You had, | 10 | That is what happened, is it not, Mr Springett? |
| 11 | I believe by that time, DPG, which was a combination of | 11 | A. And that's, if you ask me "Did I just say that?" that is |
| 12 | Primelocation and FindaProperty, and you had Zoopla. | 12 | what I just said. The other metric or the other element |
| 13 | I think by that time Zoopla had hoovered up any other | 13 | of the Zoopla proposition was that it had |
| 14 | worthwhile portal. So it was the four of them, and it | 14 | a substantially unique audience. In other words, people |
| 15 | is the case at that point that it was inconceivable | 15 | went to Zoopla that did not go to Rightmove and vice |
| 16 | people would leave Rightmove where they had been using | 16 | versa. |
| 17 | it for several years, because the competition was rather | 17 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that you are |
| 18 | weaker. | 18 | embellishing here. You have known all along that |
| 19 | That situation didn't pertain necessarily post | 19 | Rightmove is the stronger of the portals on the market, |
| 20 | merger. | 20 | haven't you, from 2011 onwards? |
| 21 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that it was never | 21 | A. I think the evidence going back into 2011 even, which |
| 22 | a surprise to you that over 90 per cent of the people | 22 | I don't consider is the final formulation of what we |
| 23 | who became bound by the OOP rule would choose the | 23 | were going to do, but if you look at what the steering |
| 24 | dominant market leader, that is right, isn't it? | 24 | committee members were concerned about, this is |
| 25 | A. That is not at all right. And there is a further bit of | 25 | pre-merger, what they were concerned about was the power |
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| 1 | that the Digital Property Group had accumulated by | 1 | steering group members and the agents who gave birth to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | acquiring and managing as one Primelocation and | 2 | this as a concept are what I might call reluctant portal |
| 3 | FindaProperty. | 3 | owners. In other words, the agents have never |
| 4 | Q. And you know, don't you, Mr Springett -- I am glad you | 4 | particularly wanted to own and manage a portal |
| 5 | mentioned the merger because you know perfectly well | 5 | organisation. It's been done in response to the |
| 6 | that the OFT's assessment in its phase 1 assessment | 6 | evolving circumstances in the market, and in early 2011 |
| 7 | after examination was that Zoopla and DPG were | 7 | a number of alternative options were considered, so |
| 8 | significantly less strong than Rightmove at that stage | 8 | purchasing a smaller portal was one of them, collective |
| 9 | in 2012, don't you? | 9 | negotiation was another one. And it wasn't until around |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Don't you answer that question for the | 10 | the time of November 2011 that I think the decision was |
| 11 | moment, Mr Springett. | 11 | crystallised that the only route forward was to create |
| 12 | Mr Harris, I think we have got an issue here. We | 12 | one from scratch. |
| 13 | have got to the question of what as a matter of fact is | 13 | And so what I am saying to Mr Harris is that those |
| 14 | the relative strength of Rightmove on the market, and | 14 | concerns were borne of two stages really. The first |
| 15 | obviously we are going to hear from the experts and from | 15 | stage was the actions of DPG whereby they had previously |
| 16 | you in submission in due course, but I have to say | 16 | run Primelocation and FindaProperty as individual |
| 17 | I think in terms of what objectively is the position | 17 | businesses, they had bought both of them, but I was the |
| 18 | I am not sure this witness can assist. | 18 | chief executive of one of them. The chap called |
| 19 | MR HARRIS: No, that is why I am asking him his perception. | 19 | David Garrett was running FindaProperty. And they were |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: If you want to ask him about his perception | 20 | set up to be competitors but within the Daily Mail |
| 21 | and his understanding then that's fine, but you will | 21 | framework. |
| 22 | have to establish first, for instance, if you are going | 22 | And they were quite different actually. They |
| 23 | to what the OFT said in a particular document, that he | 23 | covered different market segments and actually different |
| 24 | looked at it at the time and that it bore on his | 24 | geographies for much of that time. |
| 25 | thinking. | 25 | The point that I left was also the point where it |
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| 1 | MR HARRIS: Yes, Mr Springett, we do know that you looked at | 1 | was decided to bring them under common management and |
| 2 | the OFT document in 2012, don't we, because you refer to | 2 | one of the first things that happened was that a new |
| 3 | it in your business plan? | 3 | pricing structure was put forward to agents saying: you |
| 4 | A. That's correct. | 4 | now have to have both of these portals and the pricing |
| 5 | Q. So you do know that the OFT's perception at the time was | 5 | was adjusted upwards accordingly. |
| 6 | that PG and Zoopla, they were both individually | 6 | There are a number of other things that were done. |
| 7 | significantly weaker and smaller than Rightmove, weren't | 7 | There was a change, for example, in the terms and |
| 8 | they? | 8 | conditions whereby the agents' data -- ownership of the |
| 9 | A. I agree that's what it says. | 9 | data that had been put on to the portal system was |
| 10 | Q. Thank you. I am suggesting to you that you have known | 10 | specifically now transferring to the portal. So that |
| 11 | all along that Rightmove was the stronger of the | 11 | was a concern for the agents as well. |
| 12 | portals, and you have denied that, but -- | 12 | So I think during the course of 2011 that was really |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just a second, Mr Springett, you have agreed | 13 | the primary focus as well as Rightmove. No one is |
| 14 | that what the OFT said is what it says. | 14 | contesting that. It was the gorilla in the room. |
| 15 | A. Yes, sir. | 15 | So first of all, they had experienced those things |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: But there is a stage next to that, which is | 16 | being done and they had found themselves, even quite |
| 17 | what is your own understanding or appreciation in the | 17 | large businesses, unable to do much about it. But we |
| 18 | light of what you have read. | 18 | still hadn't got to the point during 2011 where a firm |
| 19 | A. Yes, sir. | 19 | decision had been taken to move forward with the |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: Now, it may be the same or may be different, | 20 | development of our own portal. But at some point, and |
| 21 | you may not have thought about it at all, but I think we | 21 | I don't remember when it was, an announcement was made |
| 22 | do need to know what subjectively you were thinking at | 22 | of the intent for the merger to take place. I think it |
| 23 | the time. | 23 | was eventually approved in April 2012 and that's what |
| 24 | A. Yes, sir. I mean, I think there is a bit of background. | 24 | galvanised them. |
| 25 | It is probably worth saying that the agents, the | 25 | So that is the development. And I think people |
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| 1 | could see that it was going to lead to a market | 1 | included launching with 1,000 but we launched with |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | situation where there were two very strong portals and | 2 | 4,600." |
| 3 | 15,000 very small agents. | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think you have a pagination problem, |
| 4 | MR FREEMAN: Mr Springett, may I ask you, I think a little | 4 | Mr Harris. Our document is 5639. |
| 5 | while back you said that in 2013 your perception changed | 5 | MR HARRIS: The bit I am going to go to in a minute is 5640 |
| 6 | and it became clear to you that Zoopla was not | 6 | but the beginning of the email is 5639. I am sorry. |
| 7 | particularly a constraint on Rightmove and that combined | 7 | For later on can you just note what it says here, the |
| 8 | with analysts' reports you were beginning to see. So | 8 | figures or perhaps pagination or whatever. |
| 9 | what you are telling us is that pre the merger you | 9 | The basis case was launch with 1,000 , but in fact it |
| 10 | thought that the merging companies were not much of | 10 | launched with 4,600 at which the marketing spend would |
| 11 | a constraint on Rightmove. | 11 | have been, and then there is a figure which I don't need |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | to read out. Then in fact it says that the marketing |
| 13 | MR FREEMAN: With the merger you presumably read the OFT's | 13 | spend instead of being that lower figure was |
| 14 | decision, and it looked encouraging, and you are saying | 14 | significantly higher. That is the second figure. And |
| 15 | that that was disappointing in practice? | 15 | then also that "the optimistic case had us launching |
| 16 | A. Yes, I think the key phrase for me in the whole report | 16 | with 1,500 offices and that would have spent" a figure |
| 17 | is that if agents found themselves, as a result of the | 17 | which is neither of those other two figures. |
| 18 | merger -- and obviously it would take some time -- in | 18 | If you could just note that the figures of offices |
| 19 | a position where they could switch between the two, and | 19 | are all open and there is this movement in marketing |
| 20 | they didn't need to be on both, and therefore they could | 20 | spend depending on where you launch with et cetera. |
| 21 | play them off against each other and put some kind of | 21 | Mr Springett, having just identified that set of |
| 22 | constraint on pricing, then that would have been a good | 22 | data, if we look over the page, your final substantive |
| 23 | outcome. And it is the case that some of the people who | 23 | paragraph which appears on 5640, you say in the second |
| 24 | are now on my board made submissions to the OFT, and | 24 | sentence under "One other portal": |
| 25 | positive submissions, in the hope that that would be | 25 | "The idea is that members retain the stronger of the |
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| 1 | what happened. But it very quickly became obvious -- | 1 | duopoly portals to cover themselves while OTM builds up |
| 2 | and frankly, personally I never thought that that would | 2 | into the true alternative they need. No surprise that |
| 3 | be how it would play out. But it fairly quickly became | 3 | over 90 per cent chose the dominant market leader." |
| 4 | apparent that that's not what happened, and I think it's | 4 | That is what your view of the idea and the aim and |
| 5 | also fairly clear that it was never the intention of the | 5 | objective of the One Other Portal rule, isn't it? |
| 6 | merged group to operate as an alternative. | 6 | A. I'm making this remark on 4 June 2015. So if you are |
| 7 | MR FREEMAN: That is a fairly central economic issue on | 7 | trying to equate that with what $I$ thought in 2011 it is |
| 8 | which we'll hear more no doubt. | 8 | wrong to do so. |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: I am afraid we are running behind schedule in | 9 | Q. No, Mr Springett, I am saying to you quite clearly -- |
| 10 | light of the long answers. That is not a criticism, of | 10 | I didn't make a chronological point. I am saying that |
| 11 | your answers, Mr Springett, but that is just the way it | 11 | is your view of the ideal or the aim or the objective of |
| 12 | is. | 12 | One Other Portal rule, isn't it? |
| 13 | Can I take you to a document, please, in volume 10 | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | at page 5640. This is a message that starts the | 14 | Q. Why do you say that then, the idea is that? |
| 15 | previous page from you to Ed. I think that is Ed Mead, | 15 | A. The idea is that members retain the stronger of the |
| 16 | is it not, who was a director of a company from D\&G? | 16 | duopoly portals to cover themselves while OTM builds up. |
| 17 | A. Yes. I am looking at 4 June, 08.44. | 17 | And this is in response to an email to me reporting |
| 18 | Q. That's right. And you say: | 18 | quite a bit of negativity in the discussions that were |
| 19 | "Hi Ed, thank you for sending this through ..." | 19 | reported to Mr Mead. And again, I think I am trying to |
| 20 | Mr Springett and members of the Tribunal, I am not | 20 | express that people were never led to expect an instant |
| 21 | going to need this now, but on this email do you see the | 21 | substitute for whichever of the portals they dropped. |
| 22 | figures that are set out obscured in yellow about | 22 | Q. Be serious. You are saying he very clearly -- this |
| 23 | increases in marketing spend and the numbers of | 23 | totally gives the game away, that you think that the |
| 24 | offices -- | 24 | idea of the One Other Portal rule, that is what is |
| 25 | "There is a base case [this is not yellow] which | 25 | intending to achieve, is for the members to retain the |
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| 1 | stronger of the duopoly portals. Which is the stronger | 1 | Q. That's right. The aim and strategy -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | of the duopoly portals? | 2 | A. -- because we are number 3 in the market. To get to |
| 3 | A. I am looking in hindsight here, aren't I? | 3 | number 2 you have to overhaul the existing number 2. |
| 4 | Q. Which is the stronger of the duopoly portals? | 4 | Q. That is right. The aim and strategy, as we shall see |
| 5 | A. The duopoly portals? That is now Rightmove. | 5 | later, Mr Springett, was to the overtake Zoopla well |
| 6 | Q. So you are saying the idea of the rule is to retain | 6 | within the five-year period, wasn't it? |
| 7 | Rightmove, isn't it? | 7 | A. As soon as possible. |
| 8 | A. No. | 8 | Q. And well within five years, yes? |
| 9 | Q. That is the stronger of the duopoly portals, isn't it? | 9 | Mr Springett, we shall see those documents later so |
| 10 | A. It doesn't say that is the idea of the rule here, does | 10 | I'll come back to that. |
| 11 | it? | 11 | Then the next sentence reads: |
| 12 | Q. It does. Under One Other Portal the idea is that; that | 12 | "No surprise that over 90 per cent chose the |
| 13 | is what it says, isn't it? | 13 | dominant market leader." |
| 14 | A. That is a decision for the individual agents to | 14 | The dominant market leader is Rightmove, isn't it? |
| 15 | determine what works best for them. | 15 | A. At this point, yes. |
| 16 | Q. And the idea of the rule is to retain the stronger, and | 16 | Q. So I am suggesting to you that you had known that all |
| 17 | you have just said the stronger is Rightmove? | 17 | along, that it was the stronger, and it was never |
| 18 | A. But the point here is that $I$ am responding to something | 18 | a surprise to you that a very large proportion of the |
| 19 | that's complaining that we are not doing well enough | 19 | agents around the country would choose Rightmove; that |
| 20 | amongst a group of agents, and I am saying -- what are | 20 | is right, isn't it? |
| 21 | we, four or five months post launch, and I am saying | 21 | A. I am sorry, I have already explained our perception on |
| 22 | they need to be slightly more realistic about, first of | 22 | this moved considerably during the course of 2013 |
| 23 | all, what's been achieved, but also the gap that we | 23 | because I moved into -- personally, as you know, on the |
| 24 | still have to fill. | 24 | train, into territories that we hadn't considered |
| 25 | Q. It go on to say to cover themselves. That means to | 25 | earlier in the planning stage and it became clear that |
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| 1 | protect themselves, doesn't it? | 1 | Zoopla was not a factor or not nearly as great a factor |
| 2 | A. Of course, because they needed to be in a position where | 2 | in those areas. It is not to say it wasn't present and, |
| 3 | they could continue to operate their businesses | 3 | as their reports to the market say, they had managed to |
| 4 | successfully, which I'm pleased to say they've done, | 4 | get very strong coverage, but it became apparent that |
| 5 | whilst switching in most cases to a new portal entering | 5 | Rightmove was still the must-have portal, and that's |
| 6 | the market. | 6 | what Mr Symons explained yesterday -- yesterday; the day |
| 7 | Q. That is right. And then it goes on to say "while OTM | 7 | before yesterday. |
| 8 | builds up into the true alternative". It doesn't say | 8 | Q. And I'm right, I think, you know about other group |
| 9 | "while OTM implements its new site and launches on to | 9 | regional meetings, don't you, in which hand showing is |
| 10 | the market", does it? | 10 | taking place by the agents' present at the meeting about |
| 11 | A. Look, there is no secret that our ambition was to create | 11 | which portal to ditch? |
| 12 | a proper alternative, a proper alternative to Rightmove, | 12 | A. You would have to remind me. |
| 13 | which Zoopla never became. | 13 | Q. Well, there was one such meeting in Bath, wasn't there, |
| 14 | Q. Yes, and as we shall see later on, by proper alternative | 14 | in November 2014? |
| 15 | you mean to have overtaken Zoopla and to be encroaching | 15 | A. Where, sorry? |
| 16 | upon the Rightmove territory, don't you? | 16 | Q. In Bath. |
| 17 | A. Overtake Zoopla and develop into -- we wouldn't be able | 17 | A. I really don't recall. |
| 18 | to do that straight away, but build up to being in | 18 | Q. A meeting that was hosted by Ms Whiteley and Miss Donna |
| 19 | a situation where people could genuinely feel that they | 19 | Beaufoy. Does this ring any bells with you? |
| 20 | could, if they wanted to, leave Rightmove. | 20 | A. No. |
| 21 | Q. And overtake Zoopla, as we shall see by reference to | 21 | Q. Who is Miss Beaufoy? |
| 22 | documents later on, well within the five-year period; | 22 | A. She is the business development consultant. Our sales |
| 23 | correct? | 23 | representative for the southwest area. |
| 24 | A. Well, I am not sure we knew when it would be, but that's | 24 | Q. And November 2014, that is before launch, isn't it? |
| 25 | the objective we are clearly working towards -- | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. And are you saying you don't have any knowledge of | 1 | portal would look like. I don't think we had a live |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a meeting at the Bailbrook House Hotel in November 2014 | 2 | demo but we certainly had screenshots of what the portal |
| 3 | by this -- | 3 | would look like and we introduced, as you will see from |
| 4 | A. I am just saying I don't recall it. | 4 | this email, the concept of how the additional product |
| 5 | Q. I see, so you don't recall there being a presentation | 5 | offering would be presented on the portal. And there |
| 6 | delivered by Ms Whiteley at that meeting and Donna | 6 | were various other elements to the presentation. It was |
| 7 | saying in the meeting -- | 7 | the marketing programme on launch, things that the |
| 8 | A. Mr Harris, are you saying I was present? | 8 | members could then do to support it, so making sure that |
| 9 | Q. No, I am asking you, do you know about this -- | 9 | their co-branding activity was underway and so forth. |
| 10 | A. I don't recall. | 10 | So these were 39 meetings held across the country. |
| 11 | Q. You don't recall this? | 11 | I wasn't the main presenter actually. These were |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | handled in the main by the local business development |
| 13 | Q. So you don't know whether it happened or not? | 13 | consultants. |
| 14 | A. No. | 14 | Q. But there were -- because these are your words; correct |
| 15 | Q. Because I am going to put to you that there was | 15 | me if I've misunderstood this -- at these pre-launch |
| 16 | a meeting -- if you don't recall, by all means say. | 16 | meetings, public calls for shows of hands about what the |
| 17 | There was a meeting at which Donna Beaufoy together with | 17 | agents wanted, weren't there? |
| 18 | Helen Whiteley encouraged the attendees and asked them | 18 | A. Oh yes, and in fact I attended some of the meetings. |
| 19 | to give a show of hands as to which other portal they | 19 | I was in London, I was in Yorkshire. But what we are |
| 20 | were going to choose. | 20 | talking about there is we ran into a storm of opposition |
| 21 | A. Well, I would be very surprised if that was what | 21 | to the introduction of these products, and in some |
| 22 | happened. | 22 | meetings members themselves wanted to know what other |
| 23 | Q. So you don't know whether or not she, and I suggest to | 23 | people in the room thought about it, and the strength of |
| 24 | you she did, put it for Donna Beaufoy as a supposedly | 24 | feeling was such that in the end we walked back from |
| 25 | hypothetical question as to who you would choose as | 25 | introducing those products. |
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| 1 | a group of agents? | 1 | Q. That is right. So in fact I might as well deal with |
| 2 | A. I have no idea. | 2 | this point while we are in the email. There was |
| 3 | Q. But you have been to lots of meetings in advance of | 3 | a proposal, wasn't there, about introducing what you |
| 4 | launch though where there were showing of hands about | 4 | call in the first line in capitals "additional |
| 5 | decisions that the group of members proposed to take, | 5 | products"? |
| 6 | haven't you? | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | A. I don't recall that. | 7 | Q. Those are additional products above and beyond just bare |
| 8 | Q. Perhaps I could remind you then. Take up bundle | 8 | listing of the house or property in question; correct? |
| 9 | number 8 and page 4579. This is an email from you, | 9 | A. That would be right. So a range of things. |
| 10 | dated 12 December 2014. That is pre-launch, isn't it? | 10 | Q. And -- |
| 11 | A. Yes, the month before, yes. | 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Such as? Just so we can get some flesh on |
| 12 | Q. And what you say: | 12 | the bones. |
| 13 | "Dear all ... " | 13 | A. Well, as you heard from other witnesses, these are extra |
| 14 | And I am going to be coming back to this email at | 14 | advertising opportunities, they can be, on the portals, |
| 15 | a later point, but for present purposes I am just | 15 | so that one of the most common ones is where extra can |
| 16 | inviting your attention to the second paragraph: | 16 | be paid in order to enhance an individual property |
| 17 | "This reaction was not universal either across all | 17 | listing within the search results; so it gives it some |
| 18 | 30 of my meetings or even amongst those attending." | 18 | standout characteristics, extra photographs, some kind |
| 19 | Do you see that? | 19 | of emboldening or other. Perhaps a more valuable |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | opportunity than that is to be the featured agent in |
| 21 | Q. I think that is reference to -- did you attend most or | 21 | a particular postcode or area so that when someone |
| 22 | all of those 39 meetings? | 22 | searches for, say, SW1 there will be a banner at the top |
| 23 | A. No, I didn't and let me explain. These meetings were | 23 | or some kind of display advertisement for the agent who |
| 24 | for members and they were pre-launch meetings and they | 24 | wishes to promote themselves as representing that area. |
| 25 | were there to demonstrate to members what their new | 25 | MR HARRIS: Mr Springett -- do we need any more examples, |
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| 1 | sir, or can I move on? | 1 | the sorts of things that you said they could pay for, that is going to enable that agent to have a competitive advantage over agent 2 next door on the same street, isn't it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just so I understand, what you are doing is | 2 |  |
| 3 | you are well underway but pre-launch -- | 3 |  |
| 4 | A. Months before launch | 4 |  |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: -- of the Agents' Mutual concept. And are | 5 | A. Well, I wasn't able to finish my explanation to |
| 6 | you road-testing further features of the system to see | 6 | Tribunal, but that particular product that you have |
| 7 | what should and shouldn't be included in the final | 7 | mentioned there is what I call an unlimited stock item. |
| 8 | offering? | 8 | So Rightmove, for example, has far more page views than |
| 9 | A. That is not how we went into these meetings. We were | 9 | can sell the advertising for. There is almost |
| 10 | presenting what the portal was going to look like only | 10 | unlimited space available and opportunity for agents to |
| 11 | a month later and, amongst many other aspects of the | 11 | have those upgraded property listings, and very often |
| 12 | meetings, explaining to people how these additional | 12 | this is what was being referred to -- an agent would be |
| 13 | products would be implemented. And we found that | 13 | invited, shall we say, to elect for an upgraded package |
| 14 | members were, or at least a significant proportion of | 14 | as their forthcoming year's arrangement with Rightmove, |
| 15 | them, were very resistant to having them at all. And so | 15 | which would include a certain number of those sorts of |
| 16 | the matter was then discussed once we had collated all | 16 | products. And I am told by agents that the alternative |
| 17 | the feedback at board level and the decision was taken | 17 | they were given was not to have that package, but |
| 18 | to cease the implementation of those in response to what | 18 | instead to accept a hike in their listing lead. |
| 19 | the members had indicated they wanted. | 19 | So I am just making the point that it would be in |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right, so it wasn't an intentional | 20 | the eye of the beholder a little bit as to whether this |
| 21 | fine-tuning. | 21 | is actually fuelling competition in relation to those |
| 22 | A. No, sir, no, not on my part. | 22 | products between agents or if it's just a means for |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: That was the end result | 23 | Rightmove to move its prices up. |
| 24 | A. It was. | 24 | Q. This is nothing to do with Rightmove, is it? This is |
| 25 | MR HARRIS: It is very interesting, is it not, Mr Springett | 25 | OTM additional products. |
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|  | because the reason they didn't want these additional products is because they didn't want as a group to compete with each other, did they? <br> A. They wanted the product they wanted. <br> Q. No, no, Mr Springett. That is not what this note says at all. What it says, if you carry on, is: <br> "However, the phrase which kept coming up ..." <br> That is amongst the agents, isn't it? <br> "... was the wish for a level playing field where no agent can pay to achieve an edge over the others." <br> So this grouping of agents around -- all of these meetings around the others, the phrase which kept coming up was they didn't want to compete with each other regards additional products, did they? <br> A. Well, it is a an interesting point as to whether that sale of additional products is a method of introducing additional competition between agents or -- <br> Q. It plainly is, isn't it? <br> A. -- simply a vehicle for price rises, and you will have heard Mr Symons talking about these additional products -- <br> Q. It plainly is, isn't it? If you have agent 1 on high street A and you have agent 2 on high street A, and agent 1 pays additional money to have his property highlighted in lights with flashing and banners and all <br> Page 55 | A. You put to me that it was us or the members, in fact, saying to us: we don't want to compete with each other. <br> Q. That is right. That is exactly, because they say -- <br> A. What they said to us: we don't want -- <br> Q. I am sorry, sir, but we are now very badly behind schedule. There is no prospect of me getting through if the answers continue to be as long. <br> THE CHAIRMAN: Well, speaking entirely for myself I am finding the insights rather helpful. <br> MR HARRIS: I will carry on then, sir. <br> THE CHAIRMAN: We'll obviously try and keep up, but I am not at the moment inclined to cut the witness's answers back. <br> MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, it is the agents own perception about competing with each other, because what they say is they want a level playing field where no agent can pay to achieve an edge over others as regards additional products on OTM, isn't it? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. So that means competitive edge. What they are saying is: I don't want to be paying more to achieve an edge, ie competitive edge. What I want is a level playing field. That is what they are all saying to you, aren't they? <br> A. Well, again, forgive me, Mr Harris, but something else |  |
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| 1 | the Tribunal might find useful to understand, is that in | 1 | your various answers. And in fact you weren't happy, were you, with the decision by the groups of agents who are members to not have additional products as a feature at the start, were you? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | addition to the unlimited stock items, as I call them, | 2 |  |
| 3 | there are limited stock items. So, for example, on | 3 |  |
| 4 | Rightmove in each postcode if someone elects to seek | 4 |  |
| 5 | a valuation for their own property, they will put in | 5 | A. I was disappointed, that's true. |
| 6 | some rudimentary details about the location of their own | 6 | Q. And the reason you were disappointed, you can see lower |
| 7 | house and that will then generate a shortlist of agents | 7 | down in the email, you say in the penultimate paragraph: |
| 8 | who are proposed by Rightmove as the agent that they | 8 | "The fact remains it will be impossible for us to |
| 9 | might refer to for such a valuation. And that is | 9 | move forward satisfactorily with the current proposed |
| 10 | a limited stock item. So Rightmove will only allow -- | 10 | product proposal still in place." |
| 11 | and off the top of my head I can't remember what the | 11 | And you go on in the final paragraph to say: |
| 12 | right number is -- but possibly four, and those are very | 12 | "There are firms who actively want and expect to be |
| 13 | sought after for pretty obvious reasons, but they are | 13 | able to pay and differentiate themselves with products." |
| 14 | not readily available to all agents; in other words, | 14 | So that would them differentiating as a competitive |
| 15 | there is competition for them, and if you are the | 15 | feature between themselves, wouldn't it? |
| 16 | sitting tenant then you have first refusal. | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | And that's the kind of thing that the agents are | 17 | Q. And then you say one of the reasons you are |
| 18 | very much against. | 18 | disappointed, understandably, because you are the chief |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: It is like with Google searches, as | 19 | executive, is, you say very clearly: |
| 20 | I understand it, you can pay to have your response come | 20 | "Whatever we do it is unlikely to be early enough to |
| 21 | up in response to a certain search query, you pay for | 21 | generate material income at the time we most need it in |
| 22 | that and you have perhaps three slots before you get | 22 | mid-year 2015". |
| 23 | what the Google search engine returns objectively. | 23 | So what you are saying is actually from your |
| 24 | A. Yes, I am at risk here of expanding the answer beyond | 24 | perspective as chief executive, these additional |
| 25 | where it needs to go. There is an element of -- there's | 25 | products for which people pay are very important because |
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| 1 | another dynamic in that arrangement which doesn't exist | 1 | they'll bring you in more material income at exactly the |
| 2 | in the Rightmove context, in the sense that Google, | 2 | time that you need it, right? |
| 3 | being a very clever beast, will not only factor in how | 3 | A. Yes, and I think -- the most disappointing thing for me |
| 4 | much someone is prepared to bid for the various | 4 | about it was that, again, it was another example of what |
| 5 | advertising slots, but also how they get paid is how | 5 | I call agents trying to eat the cake before it's baked. |
| 6 | many times that advert is clicked on. And so it is | 6 | In other words, if eventually they wanted to have an |
| 7 | a combination of how much it is paid, but also, do the | 7 | environment that didn't have these extra revenue streams |
| 8 | public see that as an attractive offering, therefore | 8 | running for OnTheMarket, then great, but we really |
| 9 | generate revenue. | 9 | needed the revenue in the early days and an awful lot of |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Whereas the Rightmove one is much more | 10 | money -- Rightmove, for example, generates around |
| 11 | binary; you pay for being number 1 in response to | 11 | 40 per cent of its agent revenue from these products on |
| 12 | a search in a particular postcode? | 12 | top of the basic listing fees. So it had potentially |
| 13 | A. Well, that is the sort of interim. So there is the | 13 | been a significant source of revenue for us. |
| 14 | unlimited stock, there is a more limited stock and there | 14 | However, this is a portal that belongs to the |
| 15 | is a very limited stock, which is the most prized | 15 | members, they own it. They have directed us to operate |
| 16 | products amongst the agents. The focus of competition | 16 | in a particular way. |
| 17 | is who can get those, for argument's sake, four slots | 17 | Q. I am very pleased with that, Mr Springett. I think your |
| 18 | and people can be locked out for years from accessing | 18 | evidence a moment ago was that it was a potentially |
| 19 | those. And the view of the members, not all of them, | 19 | significant source of further revenue. |
| 20 | a high proportion, was this is actually not contributing | 20 | A. Indeed. |
| 21 | to competition, and it's not the basis on which they | 21 | Q. That is right? |
| 22 | wanted their portal run. | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. | 23 | Q. And the fact is that if you had had this potentially |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. Well, Mr Springett, I suggested to | 24 | significant source of further revenue, you would have |
| 25 | you what I say that sentence means and you have given | 25 | grown faster than without it, wouldn't you? |
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|  | A. Well, let's take the first part of that. I don't accept | 1 | the whole network came over, that means as a group, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that anything that I said to Mr Ozwell would have caused | 2 | doesn't it? |
| 3 | him to form that impression. In fact, you can see in | 3 | A. Absolutely. It means that, and he absolutely was |
| 4 | the first paragraph that he talks about the overall | 4 | looking for some form of discount relative to our |
| 5 | critical mass, in other words, the scale, which is | 5 | published pricing structure. |
| 6 | really what I was interested in. And even the paragraph | 6 | Q. And then he ends the email, doesn't he, by saying: |
| 7 | that you refer to is slightly ambiguous, isn't it? | 7 | "It would be useful if you all kept in touch by |
| 8 | Because the second sentence implies that actually I had | 8 | copying each other with our thoughts. I will of course |
| 9 | presented something which set it out on an even handed | 9 | come back to you on the group situation." |
| 10 | basis. | 10 | So you knew, is this right, that he had said to you |
| 11 | Q. I see. Moving on, the next paragraph. Do you see he is | 11 | in the meeting with you that he was acting on behalf of |
| 12 | expressly talking to you -- I will rephrase that. He is | 12 | the group and that he had said to you that -- did he say |
| 13 | reporting to his group back about what he had suggested | 13 | to you that he would come back with a group thought and |
| 14 | to you in the meeting, and what he had suggested to you | 14 | plans? |
| 15 | was very much making a collective decision to join as | 15 | A. Did he say he would? |
| 16 | a group, wasn't he? | 16 | Q. Did he say he would? |
| 17 | A. He was. | 17 | A. No, he left it in my court to come back to him to say |
| 18 | Q. And he goes on to point out that indeed IEAG is an | 18 | whether or not we could offer anything on that basis. |
| 19 | established network, possibly the oldest current | 19 | Q. Thank you. |
| 20 | network, and over the page he express -- according to | 20 | MR LANDERS: Could I just follow that up. Do you offer |
| 21 | his version of events: | 21 | group discounts? |
| 22 | "He expressly pointed out to Ian ..." | 22 | A. Certainly at the time that wasn't our practice. We, |
| 23 | That is you, isn't it? | 23 | I would need help on that actually. I don't want to |
| 24 | A. Mmm. | 24 | give you the wrong answer, but certainly the case |
| 25 | Q. "... that if the whole network came over, then it would | 25 | pre-launch was that we did our utmost to avoid that, |
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| 1 | be quite a coup for him to get us all in." | 1 | because the nature of the proposition was such that |
| 2 | So again, he is expressly talking to you about | 2 | there were already volume discounts built into |
| 3 | a group decision on behalf of this old and established | 3 | the pricing structure and so we wanted agents to regard |
| 4 | network to join AM as a group, isn't he? | 4 | this as not so much a commercial negotiation with |
| 5 | A. He's trying to get a discount. | 5 | a portal, but an invitation to become part of a new |
| 6 | Q. That is right. I think the answer to the question is | 6 | venture. So if we and they agreed together to |
| 7 | "yes", is it? | 7 | effectively reduce the revenue that we were getting, it |
| 8 | A. Remind me -- | 8 | would make it less likely the thing would succeed. |
| 9 | Q. That is the problem, Mr Springett, because quite often | 9 | So I can't say to you that downstream we haven't |
| 10 | you are not listening to the question and then you are | 10 | made any group arrangements, but I would need to confirm |
| 11 | giving a different answer. The question was: he is | 11 | that. |
| 12 | expressly putting forward to you -- | 12 | MR HARRIS: I think perhaps I can help you, Mr Springett, |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, he's not putting forward to Mr Springett, | 13 | because my understanding is that you did respond to |
| 14 | is he? | 14 | Mr Ozwell offering a discount to the group that he was |
| 15 | MR HARRIS: Yes, he is reporting back on what he has | 15 | representing. Would you turn in bundle 2 to page 1058. |
| 16 | suggested to Mr Springett. | 16 | This is an email from you back to Mr Ozwell, seven or |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: There are two questions. First of all, what | 17 | eight days later on 14 June, headed "Agents' Mutual and |
| 18 | does Mr Ozwell say to the group? And we can see that on | 18 | IEAG". My understanding of it is if you look at the |
| 19 | the page. The question perhaps you ought to be putting | 19 | third line of the second paragraph -- |
| 20 | is whether this is what Mr Springett said to Mr Ozwell. | 20 | A. Sorry, Mr Harris, which page are we on? |
| 21 | MR HARRIS: I am happy the do that, sir, and I am sorry if | 21 | Q. 1058, Mr Springett. |
| 22 | that was a confusion in my question, Mr Springett. | 22 | A. Thank you. |
| 23 | I suggest to you, based upon this email, that he had | 23 | Q. So you say: |
| 24 | suggested to you when he met with you, as he says he | 24 | "Group discounts of the type you mention could have |
| 25 | pointed out to you, that the whole network could -- if | 25 | the effect of undermining ..." |
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| 1 | But you go on to say: | 1 | various members of the IEAG group, including Mr Halman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "That said, we recognise the value that the firms in | 2 | from my client. And he says: |
| 3 | your group could bring to Agents' Mutual." | 3 | 'It seems Agents' Mutual is gaining ground quickly. |
| 4 | And you say you've discussed the position with the | 4 | We signed up last night and a number of IEAG members |
| 5 | directors and, in fact, you then put forward -- | 5 | have already signed up. I have negotiated better rates |
| 6 | A. One of our directors. | 6 | for IEAG members but it is based on all IEAG members |
| 7 | Q. Yes, with one of our directors, and you put forward, as | 7 | signing up." |
| 8 | understand it, that is a group discount proposal, isn't | 8 | So he plainly understood that you were putting |
| 9 | it, to the IEAG group? | 9 | forward a group offer to them to sign up as a group, |
| 10 | A. Yes, it is. | 10 | didn't he? |
| 11 | Q. So what they are doing is they are saying to you that | 11 | A. He did. |
| 12 | they have a proposal to act as a group in taking their | 12 | Q. And then over the page at the top he says: |
| 13 | whole network over to you, and far from responding to | 13 | "I personally hope that IEAG members do sign up so |
| 14 | say you can't make group decisions to join of that kind, | 14 | we can all enjoy the lower subs. I am therefore copying |
| 15 | instead you go back and say "Well, group discounts, oh | 15 | this email to all IEAG members by way of a reminder to |
| 16 | all right, here's one", don't you? | 16 | let us know where we all are." |
| 17 | A. You are conflating two things there I think, aren't you? | 17 | A. Forgive me, it is relevant to look at the top of 1442 |
| 18 | Nothing about this suggests that each member wouldn't | 18 | where John Shellcross of Farrell Heyworth reports that |
| 19 | make their own individual decision. It is the same sort | 19 | they have already made their decision independently of |
| 20 | of arrangement actually in reverse that Mr Notley was | 20 | the rest of the group to send their letter of intent to |
| 21 | putting to our members. In fact, it didn't go anywhere | 21 | us |
| 22 | and you will know from earlier evidence that on the | 22 | Q. These people are not members are they, at this stage? |
| 23 | 20 June I was up in Wilmslow seeing Mr Halman, who | 23 | A. No, that's true. |
| 24 | signed up on the full terms on the 21 June. | 24 | Q. No, that is right. So they are people considering |
| 25 | Q. Mr Springett, I am confused by that because towards the | 25 | becoming members and they are making group collective |
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| 1 | bottom of 1058 you say in terms, three lines up from the | 1 | decisions about whether to become members, aren't they? |
| 2 | bottom: | 2 | A. No, they are not. |
| 3 | "This offer will be triggered only if all members of | 3 | Q. Yes, they are. And you are putting forward a group |
| 4 | the [and I think that should say 'IEAG'] fulfil these | 4 | discount based upon them joining as a group, aren't you? |
| 5 | obligations." | 5 | A. I completely disagree with the first part of what you |
| 6 | So it is very much an offer to the group as a group, | 6 | have said. |
| 7 | isn't it? | 7 | Q. Then the next part of the chronology for present |
| 8 | A. It would be triggered only if they all come in. But | 8 | purposes is bundle 5. You can put away number 3 now and |
| 9 | what that doesn't mean is that each member is not taking | 9 | go now please to bundle 5. This is an email at the |
| 10 | an individual decision. And it is clear that Gascoigne | 10 | top -- 2646, I beg your pardon. We have moved on |
| 11 | Halman did do that, and they did it without being part | 11 | into April of the following year, and this is about |
| 12 | of any discount arrangement. | 12 | a proposal for a meeting with Zoopla. I appreciate that |
| 13 | Q. I see. | 13 | you haven't seen -- you are not a recipient of this |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Would that be a convenient moment, Mr Harris? | 14 | email, Mr Springett, and I understand that. But do you |
| 15 | MR HARRIS: Yes. | 15 | see that in the second paragraph Mr Halman is telling |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Five minutes. | 16 | members of the IEAG group that: |
| 17 | (11.40 am) | 17 | "Agents' Mutual will require us to drop one portal. |
| 18 | (A short break) | 18 | For us it is a no-brainer." |
| 19 | (11.45 am) | 19 | Do you see that? |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, so we had seen the offer, the | 20 | A. Yes, I think Mr Forrest explained that the other day, |
| 21 | group discount offer that you had put to the IEAG group, | 21 | didn't he? |
| 22 | and can I now take you on to the next document in the | 22 | Q. That's right. Do you know that it is a no-brainer for |
| 23 | story, which is in volume 3/1442. Do you see at the | 23 | them because the one to drop was obviously Zoopla? |
| 24 | bottom of 1442, this time it is Mr Ozwell -- this is | 24 | A. That was their individual decision. |
| 25 | after the group discount had been put forward by you to | 25 | Q. I think I am right in saying that you went on to give |
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| 1 | collectively, didn't you, the so-called big three, LSL, | 1 | agent doesn't matter". That is you effectively knocking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Countrywide and Connells; you attempted to get them to | 2 | Zoopla in the meeting with Connells, isn't it? |
| 3 | join you as a group and leave Zoopla as a group, didn't | 3 | A. Well, I need to spend a little time perhaps talking |
| 4 | you? | 4 | through what the purpose and intent of this whole |
| 5 | A. No. | 5 | presentation was in order to answer that question. It |
| 6 | Q. And I am going to take you now to this four-party | 6 | is going to take a little time, I'm sorry. |
| 7 | meeting, but just to be clear, Mr Springett, insofar as | 7 | The context is that we had discussions at our board |
| 8 | what I put to you is not consistent with what you say | 8 | meeting in August 2015 and looked at various ways, now |
| 9 | about the four-party meeting in your fifth witness | 9 | that the portal was established and in place, of moving |
| 10 | statement at paragraph 16 and your sixth witness | 10 | it on. And whilst we hadn't approached the large |
| 11 | statement at paragraph 9, then I challenge what you say | 11 | corporates previously, believing that their interests |
| 12 | in those two parts of your written evidence. | 12 | were not necessarily aligned with those of the majority |
| 13 | I am going to take the first part quickly in light | 13 | of small and medium-sized agents in the country, we |
| 14 | of time. | 14 | thought it was worth an approach. |
| 15 | A. Should I be looking at something? | 15 | So we did that and we were surprised and very |
| 16 | Q. Not just yet, no. I am suggesting to you that it was in | 16 | pleased that Connells responded and invited us to come |
| 17 | fact you who wanted to convene the four-party meeting as | 17 | and see them. And this presentation, if I take you to |
| 18 | a multi-party meeting; that is right, isn't it? | 18 | 6227, was really, first of all, an introduction as to |
| 19 | A. No. | 19 | who we were and what we were about, what the agent |
| 20 | Q. Sir, the relevant document reference, I am not going to | 20 | proposition and the consumer proposition would be, what |
| 21 | go to them with the witness, but I have put my point | 21 | progress we had made so far, what our strategy now was, |
| 22 | fairly and squarely: they are in bundle 12,6489 ; bundle | 22 | which was indeed to overtake Zoopla to become number 2, |
| 23 | 13, 7370; bundle 13, 7369 and 7368. | 23 | what the financials in outline of the business were, and |
| 24 | You did though, Mr Springett, didn't you, say to | 24 | what the opportunity we saw was for working together, |
| 25 | Mr Livesey and his colleagues in September 2015 in | 25 | our members aligned with Connells in this case. |
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| 1 | Leighton Buzzard that the only conceivable basis upon | 1 | So much of this early presentation is, certainly as |
| 2 | which you, Agents' Mutual, could consider dropping the | 2 | far as $\mathbf{6 2 3 2}$ is concerned, very similar to the general |
| 3 | One Other Portal rule would be if all three of the big | 3 | presentation we were making either individually or to |
| 4 | three estate agencies came to OTM; that is right, is it | 4 | groups of agents. |
| 5 | not? | 5 | But I suppose I was exploring whether there was |
| 6 | A. That is true. | 6 | a basis -- whether there was any kind of common purpose |
| 7 | Q. And then my suggestion to you is then that you wanted | 7 | and whether we could align what the corporate agent |
| 8 | a group meeting and that you put forward at the group | 8 | wanted with what the purpose of Agents' Mutual was in |
| 9 | meeting that they should drop Zoopla as a group; that is | 9 | relation to its members. So, for example, on 6233 I was |
| 10 | right, isn't it? | 10 | presenting that the facilitation by the duopoly portals |
| 11 | A. What is actually the case is that following one-to-one | 11 | of the internet-only business model was actually causing |
| 12 | meetings with Connells and then with LSL and | 12 | considerable pressure on all traditional agents, |
| 13 | Countrywide, there was no positive reaction other than | 13 | including even the largest, and perhaps even especially |
| 14 | from Mr Livesey, and I didn't seek a further meeting | 14 | the largest ones. |
| 15 | with Mr Livesey at all until I was prompted to do so by | 15 | If I take you to the next page the focus is on |
| 16 | a conversation he had with one of our directors | 16 | Rightmove. |
| 17 | in December. | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Which page are you look at there? |
| 18 | Q. Thank you. I would like to take you as a sort of lead | 18 | A. I am sorry, 6234 now. Where I am seeking to illustrate |
| 19 | up to the four-party meeting to the Leighton Buzzard | 19 | that of the quoted estate agency businesses, including |
| 20 | slides with Mr Livesey and his team. They are to be | 20 | LSL and Countrywide, but I think there may be another |
| 21 | found in bundle 11 at page 6. They start on 6226. That | 21 | one in there as well, I was just illustrating their |
| 22 | is the front page. So if you could turn within that | 22 | share price performance over the preceding period, |
| 23 | document, please, to 6244. These are extracts from you | 23 | I think five years, relative to Rightmove's share price |
| 24 | from the Zoopla websites, aren't they, in which you have | 24 | performance and pointing -- trying to point out that the |
| 25 | emblazoned over the front, in an elliptical object, "The | 25 | monster was actually creating more and more difficulties |
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| 1 | for the traditional agency model that actually Connells | 1 | A. Thank you, sir. Only to say that that doesn't mean that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | operates. | 2 | they were not providing them but simply that -- well, in |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: That was helpful background but just to go | 3 | relation to the presentation of the agents' full details |
| 4 | back to counsel's question -- | 4 | pages, Rightmove has begun down this track but Zoopla |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | has gone already further down it. |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: -- you were specifically referred to 6244. | 6 | MR HARRIS: This was the point at which Mr Livesey said that |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | he interjected and said something along the lines of: |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: And I think the question was: on this slide | 8 | stop knocking your opposition when I'm playing -- |
| 9 | at least, you are knocking Zoopla in the meeting with | 9 | I think it was a round of golf. I'm not worried about |
| 10 | Connells? | 10 | what the opposition -- |
| 11 | MR HARRIS: That is right, is it not, Mr Springett? | 11 | A. He did do that but it was a bit later in the |
| 12 | A. I'm not resiling from that. What I -- | 12 | presentation. |
| 13 | Q. And over the next page as well, 6245 ? | 13 | Q. Let's carry on, because in fact the theme continues as |
| 14 | A. What I'm pointing out on 6244 is that portals have | 14 | your target, in my suggestion, being Zoopla. If you |
| 15 | forgotten who their customers are. | 15 | look at 6253 and 6254, what you do there is you give |
| 16 | Q. That's right. And in particular you are focussing on | 16 | some details about seemingly Zoopla's share price, don't |
| 17 | Zoopla. That is a Zoopla extract from their website in | 17 | you, on both of those. |
| 18 | the background, isn't it? | 18 | A. Yes, and it is about here I think that Mr Livesey took |
| 19 | A. Because Zoopla has gone further down that line than | 19 | me to task about knocking Zoopla. |
| 20 | Rightmove has so far. | 20 | Q. That is right and in these two slides, in particular |
| 21 | Q. Over the next page, Mr Springett, there is another one, | 21 | 6254 you can see that you are giving some information |
| 22 | where you are saying that Zoopla is an example of -- | 22 | about possible ZPG share price, if you like, movements |
| 23 | well, you describe yourself, "The agent doesn't matter", | 23 | or what it might be worth to Connells? |
| 24 | and it is in the background of knocking Zoopla, isn't | 24 | A. Mmm. |
| 25 | it? | 25 | Q. That is right? |
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| 1 | A. Yes, I'm illustrating they are presenting an | 1 | A. That is right. |
| 2 | advertisement for easyproperty.com, finding tenants for | 2 | Q. Then what happened was you made a suggestion to |
| 3 | £9.95, against a list of agents who have paid for the | 3 | Connells -- firstly just with the meeting with them -- |
| 4 | privilege of being displayed there. | 4 | that they should leave Zoopla and they should |
| 5 | Q. God forbid a portal should allow advertisements from | 5 | crystallise the ZPG share gains now, didn't you? That |
| 6 | a competitor of one of your members, Mr Springett. | 6 | is 6256 . |
| 7 | Over the next page, 6426. Again, that is knocking | 7 | A. Yes, in fact we never got to that slide. We stopped the |
| 8 | Zoopla, isn't it, because uSwitch, which is on the | 8 | slide presentation at the point of Mr Livesey's golf |
| 9 | slide, that is a Zoopla product or service, isn't it? | 9 | analogy and the remainder of the meeting was |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | a discussion about -- there was quite a lot actually |
| 11 | Q. And what you are saying is: the agent doesn't matter, | 11 | about his criticisms of our business model, the |
| 12 | Zoopla is advertising these other products, aren't you? | 12 | illegalities of it and so forth. We did touch on, as |
| 13 | A. Yes, I'm recognising that for Connells a very large part | 13 | I mentioned at some point earlier in my evidence, what |
| 14 | of their existing business model and their revenue | 14 | the situation would be if Connells purchased one of our |
| 15 | derives from selling particularly mortgages conveyancing | 15 | larger member firms. |
| 16 | and surveys to their customers. | 16 | So a number of topics were covered. It is true |
| 17 | Q. None of these slides identify Rightmove as providing | 17 | that, as he said, he was very clear that Connells would |
| 18 | these things which don't matter to agents, do they? | 18 | not entertain joining Agents' Mutual with the one other |
| 19 | A. They don't, but -- | 19 | rule in place. |
| 20 | Q. No, and in fact -- | 20 | Q. There is no wonder that Mr Livesey, as he said in his |
| 21 | A. -- if you look -- | 21 | evidence, should have got the very clear impression that |
| 22 | Q. If you -- | 22 | he wanted his organisation to leave Zoopla when, for |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to hear a little further on | 23 | example, on slide 6256 you say "switch from Zoopla", |
| 24 | that. | 24 | don't you? |
| 25 | MR HARRIS: Sorry. | 25 | A. Yes, as I say, we never presented that slide to |
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| 1 | Mr Livesey. | 1 | Q. I beg your pardon, Alison Platt is Countrywide? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. By that stage it was perfectly obvious what you were | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | saying to him about leaving Zoopla, wasn't it? | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: So divest yourself of Zoopla and invest in |
| 4 | A. It wasn't but hopefully I'm going to help you, Mr Harris | 4 | Agents' Mutual. |
| 5 | because I'm certainly happy to say that had I presented | 5 | A. Yes. And it is important to remember that we are |
| 6 | that slide to him, that's what I was saying. So if | 6 | a mutual so the -- and I didn't have a developed, |
| 7 | I take the two slides together, and these are the final | 7 | a specific proposal as to how that would be done but we |
| 8 | two slides in the presentation, on the one hand, I was | 8 | had begun to consider internally the use of loan notes |
| 9 | saying the strategic opportunity is to do what is laid | 9 | to fulfil that purpose, so that we would, if I take the |
| 10 | out in that slide there which is to differentiate full | 10 | Connells example, negotiate around a loan note incentive |
| 11 | service community based agents from the fixed fee | 11 | which would provide somewhere between $£ 10$ million and |
| 12 | internet only competitors which is fundamental to our | 12 | £22 million worth of value over a five-year period. |
| 13 | strategy, retain cross-selling opportunities for agents | 13 | Now that is a much smaller opportunity than the one |
| 14 | on the basis that our policy was not to develop | 14 | that was talked about later in the four-way meeting but |
| 15 | competing services, reduce costs for everybody, which | 15 | it was based on the understanding -- it was based on an |
| 16 | would mean more profit for the best and that the portal | 16 | exploration as to whether actually having made a lot of |
| 17 | became more of a utility where competition was around | 17 | money from Rightmove and made a lot of money from |
| 18 | the real and genuine value that they can deliver in | 18 | Zoopla, actually the time had now come where embracing |
| 19 | competition with each other. | 19 | a portal that had a sort of different set of objectives |
| 20 | The final slide simply recognises the reality of the | 20 | might be of interest to them. It turned out not to be |
| 21 | market and it was an attempt by me to have some | 21 | I have to say. |
| 22 | understanding of the position I knew that Connells were | 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Just one question going back to 6244 which is |
| 23 | in. | 23 | the Zoopla screenshots with your comments superimposed. |
| 24 | So bearing in mind that this was September 2015 | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | I didn't think contractually or commercially there was | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: At this time, had you been minded to do so, |
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| 1 | any possibility that they would choose to leave | 1 | could you have made a similar critique using a Rightmove |
| 2 | Rightmove. So I was suggesting that the financial | 2 | slide -- screenshot? |
| 3 | opportunity for them was to switch from Zoopla to us and | 3 | A. Absolutely I could have done and in fact that was often |
| 4 | to crystallise whatever gains, and they were very | 4 | a feature of presentations I was doing at that time and |
| 5 | considerable gains, they had made on their Zoopla | 5 | the presentation would highlight that Rightmove had |
| 6 | shares. | 6 | begun to go down this route, and the specific thing that |
| 7 | Now, if I take you back to the slide on 6254, that | 7 | I'm referring to is: show the date on which your |
| 8 | slide is a calculation based on what we understood | 8 | property was first advertised. |
| 9 | Connells shareholding in Zoopla to be of a couple of | 9 | MR HARRIS: But I think -- |
| 10 | scenarios of what the additional value of those shares | 10 | A. My general feeling about this page is that we are |
| 11 | would be over the ensuing five years. | 11 | looking here at what we consider to be the agents' page |
| 12 | And taken together, what I was suggesting was if | 12 | of advertising for their property and that a number of |
| 13 | they came to us we would clearly expect them to exit | 13 | things have been inserted around it which detract from |
| 14 | a holding in a rival portal and in doing that I was | 14 | its power as an advertising -- an advertisement for that |
| 15 | indicating that we would find a method of, if you like, | 15 | property and I made in presentations to many smaller |
| 16 | compensating for that loss of future upside, if you | 16 | agents the connection between them taking a page of |
| 17 | like. | 17 | magazine advertising and I used to ask them, would you |
| 18 | Q. Thank you. And I think you also made a suggestion about | 18 | expect to see all this added to your page by the |
| 19 | compensating Alison Platt's organisation, LSL, at the | 19 | publisher of that magazine? And the answer is obviously |
| 20 | meeting you had had one-to-one with her organisation, | 20 | no. And also whether anyone had consulted them before |
| 21 | hadn't you? | 21 | those things were added to their page of advertising. |
| 22 | A. Sir, the presentation was almost identical in format | 22 | So in terms of Rightmove, the illustration I gave |
| 23 | other than on page 6254 the numbers in there were | 23 | was that Rightmove has already begun to offer direct |
| 24 | tailored to Countrywide's position in terms of their | 24 | advertising to owners of properties if those properties |
| 25 | Zoopla holding. | 25 | are outside the UK. |
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| 1 | MR HARRIS: Do I take it from your evidence a moment ago, | 1 | a group but without the One Other Portal rule but |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr Springett, that with the exception of changing the | 2 | instead taking an equity stake; that is correct, is it |
| 3 | numbers on the share slides it was the identical | 3 | not? |
| 4 | presentation that you gave not just to Alison Platt at | 4 | A. That is not correct. |
| 5 | Countrywide, and in fact one or two of her colleagues, | 5 | Q. Interestingly, that wasn't challenged in Mr Livesey's |
| 6 | but also to Mr Crabb and Mr Embley at LSL? | 6 | evidence. The reference is second Livesey paragraph 24. |
| 7 | A. Well, it was different in the sense that we managed to | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, you take your course. You put it |
| 8 | get to the end of the slides in those two cases. | 8 | to the witness or you don't. |
| 9 | Q. But save for that, identical? | 9 | MR HARRIS: I accept that. |
| 10 | A. In terms of the slides, yes. | 10 | I am suggesting to you that you did put forward this |
| 11 | Q. So in fact in each case the slides that you presented | 11 | seven part road map and indeed I think we can see that |
| 12 | not to Zoopla to all three of those organisations in | 12 | on the front page of the slide, the fifth bullet point |
| 13 | fact, didn't they? | 13 | down and then there are seven subbullet points, aren't |
| 14 | A. Yes, they did. | 14 | there? |
| 15 | Q. You accepted from me approximately ten minutes ago that | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | you had suggested to Mr Livesey that there was and | 16 | Q. So that's the seven part road map and part of it, if you |
| 17 | indeed the only conceivable basis upon which we would | 17 | pick it up at the fifth bullet point, is that if and |
| 18 | consider dropping the plus one portal rule would be if | 18 | insofar as the OOP -- that is the One Other Portal rule, |
| 19 | all three came? | 19 | isn't it, OTM plus 1 ? |
| 20 | A. That's correct. | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. Then we have indeed this meeting, the notes of which are | 21 | Q. Your intention, I suggest to you, was that was designed |
| 22 | to be found, the four-party meeting, in bundle 14 and | 22 | to get you past tipping point number 1, correct? |
| 23 | they begin at 7734 and they go for four pages. You may | 23 | A. So you are now saying this was a proposal that retained |
| 24 | find, Mr Springett, that in your bundle there are now | 24 | one other portal? |
| 25 | two versions, one beginning 7737A. I don't mind which | 25 | Q. This one is, yes, that is right. And part of that |
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| 1 | one you use. The A, B, C ones just add the missing | 1 | proposal was to get you past tipping point 1 , correct? |
| 2 | Close Brothers words added, so it doesn't matter to me | 2 | A. It would have, I think, just about had that effect. |
| 3 | which one you use. | 3 | Q. Tipping point 1, I am right, aren't I, Mr Springett, |
| 4 | I am suggesting to you, Mr Springett, by reference | 4 | when I say that tipping point 1 was tipping Zoopla out |
| 5 | to this meeting that you explain to them that joining as | 5 | of the market, wasn't it? |
| 6 | a group all three of them could have significant | 6 | A. No, that's not right. It is getting to a point where we |
| 7 | financial benefits and you could have put forward | 7 | had more property listings than them. |
| 8 | a proposal to compensate them for the reduction in the | 8 | Q. Tipping it means, doesn't it, Mr Springett that somebody |
| 9 | value of their Zoopla shares if they joined your | 9 | else is edged out, the market is tipped in your favour |
| 10 | organisation. That is right, isn't it? | 10 | and tipped against them, isn't it? |
| 11 | A. Well, I guess I was broadly repeating what I'd said to | 11 | A. It doesn't mean that in this context and we could go |
| 12 | them individually. | 12 | back to the slide of 28 September presentation and it's |
| 13 | Q. That is right. We are going to look at various parts of | 13 | perfectly clear what it means. |
| 14 | this note but I am on the front page of the note. I am | 14 | Q. I am very happy to do that, Mr Springett. We can see |
| 15 | using 7734. Down at the bottom you did put forward | 15 | exactly what it means in those slides. It is |
| 16 | a suggestion, and I am quoting from the note, | 16 | bundle $1 / 6249$. In fact this use of the word "tipping |
| 17 | "a business projection based upon the three joining with | 17 | point" begins on 6247 . |
| 18 | OTM"? | 18 | A. So the tipping point is -- |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, I haven't asked you a question |
| 20 | Q. So as a group, correct? | 20 | yet. |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | A. I thought you had. |
| 22 | Q. I think what Mr Livesey described in his witness | 22 | Q. It is correct, is it not, that 6247 is the strategy of |
| 23 | statement at paragraph 24, which wasn't challenged in | 23 | the company? |
| 24 | cross-examination, that you had put forward a detailed | 24 | A. It is more than that, more than one part but that is the |
| 25 | seven point plan for the big three all joining as | 25 | first I guess. |
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| 1 | Q. Let us take it in stages then. So you accept though | 1 | Q. So tipping point number 1 in fact you then grow fast after it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that it is the strategy of the company at this date? | 2 |  |
| 3 | A. To become the number 2 portal. | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. How do you get there? You can see it on the slide. You | 4 | Q. What I suggest to you, you know perfectly well what that |
| 5 | are going to tip the market in your favour, correct? | 5 | means is Zoopla will wither away and you will grow |
| 6 | That is your strategy? | 6 | faster precisely because the market has tipped in your |
| 7 | A. That isn't what it means. What it means is that we get | 7 | favour and against them. That is correct, isn't it? |
| 8 | to a point where we have more property listings than | 8 | A. It's competition. |
| 9 | Zoopla have. | 9 | Q. No, no, Mr Springett, because this tipping takes place |
| 10 | Q. What you can see two pages over is exactly what you mean | 10 | because you are using the OOP rule, doesn't it? |
| 11 | by "tipping the market" on 6249 . You can see it very | 11 | A. It is because agents are choosing to adopt and embrace |
| 12 | graphically illustrated on the bar chart, can't you? | 12 | the OnTheMarket proposition. |
| 13 | You tip the market so that you are by far the biggest | 13 | Q. Put it like this, Mr Springett -- |
| 14 | second player and Zoopla is far, far smaller than you | 14 | A. The rule itself doesn't do anything. It is the |
| 15 | and in fact Rightmove is still ahead of everybody at the | 15 | agents -- |
| 16 | first tipping point. That is right, isn't it? | 16 | Q. I see the smile on your face, Mr Springett and I can |
| 17 | A. Well, it reflects the fact that we don't think that | 17 | understand why you have that smile. The fact is that |
| 18 | there is too much of an appetite amongst agents for | 18 | this page, 6249, quite clearly shows that as part of the |
| 19 | paying for three portals. | 19 | company strategy Zoopla very substantially diminishing |
| 20 | Q. No, Mr Springett, it doesn't reflect that. What it | 20 | in size, doesn't it? |
| 21 | reflects is the company strategy to reach a tipping | 21 | A. It shows it on that chart, yes. |
| 22 | point whereby Zoopla is tipped out of the market, isn't | 22 | Q. That is right. Thank you. |
| 23 | it? There it is diminishing very significantly compared | 23 | A. It is a five-year strategy. That's what it also says. |
| 24 | to the previous slide? | 24 | Q. I am delighted that you should pick that up, because |
| 25 | A. I think one of the things you are missing is that first | 25 | that was going to be my last point. Here is Zoopla on |
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| 1 | of all there is a very considerable challenge to get to the tipping point and there is an extremely difficult challenge once you have reached it to get the rest of the way to maintain your number 2 position and indeed develop into being an alternative to Rightmove. <br> Q. I am not commenting on the level of difficulty or otherwise, Mr Springett. | 1 | this slide, Zoopla vastly diminishing in size within the |
| 2 |  | 2 | five years of the strategy, isn't it? |
| 3 |  | 3 | A. That would be the result of us achieving our strategy. |
| 4 |  | 4 | Q. Yes, over a course of -- within the five years -- you |
| 5 |  | 5 | have reached tipping point early on, don't you, tipping |
| 6 |  | 6 | point number 1? |
| 7 |  | 7 | A. Well, we are talking here I think about late 2015. |
| 8 | A. Well, you are implying that it automatically -- | 8 | Q. Yes, so this is September 2015, which is nine months |
| 9 | Q. Mr Springett, I haven't asked you a question yet. What | 9 | after launch, isn't it? |
| 10 | I am saying to you Mr Springett is it is quite clear | 10 | A. A five-year strategy from now. |
| 11 | that the company strategy is to tip the market in its | 11 | Q. And this is a presentation given nine months after |
| 12 | favour and in so tipping it you tip Zoopla out of the | 12 | launch, isn't it? |
| 13 | market so that you, to use the heading on 6249, you then | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | become the alternative to Rightmove, don't you? | 14 | Q. And then indeed, just to finish off while we are here, |
| 15 | A. Well, I think you are reading the word "tipping", you | 15 | if you turn over the page to 6252, picking up in 6251 |
| 16 | are putting more meaning on it than we put on it. | 16 | you can see that part of the five-year strategy is to |
| 17 | Q. Well, the bar chart speaks for itself, Mr Springett. | 17 | generate cash surpluses -- I won't read out the numbers |
| 18 | Indeed, what it says in the text is that after the | 18 | in case they are particularly sensitive -- isn't it? |
| 19 | tipping point, this is tipping point number 1 you are | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | referring to in this slide aren't you, that you are | 20 | Q. Part of the strategy within this five years is to have |
| 21 | going to grow fast after tipping point, yes? | 21 | become the alternative to Rightmove. I am reading -- |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | A. Absolutely. |
| 23 | Q. And that is tipping point number 1 you are referring to | 23 | Q. And over the page at 6252, within the five years, |
| 24 | on this slide? | 24 | looking at the right-hand side of the slide, you are |
| 25 | A. Once we become the number 2 player. | 25 | aiming to generate a cash surplus -- and I won't read |
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| 1 | out the figure -- of a very significant sum, aren't you? | 1 | competing on the merits, is it? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. That is quite right. | 2 | A. Well, as I have said, I was exploring in September with |
| 3 | Q. Thank you. | 3 | Connells whether, on the position I understood to be the |
| 4 | A. If you look on the previous slide, the allocation of | 4 | case, which was that the status quo was very much in |
| 5 | that of money is also noted, repay loan notes. | 5 | their favour, might have been changing. |
| 6 | Potentially you make a distribution and reduce listing | 6 | Q. Miss Platt said at the meeting that she thought the OOP |
| 7 | fees. | 7 | rule, describing in this as OTM plus one, constrained |
| 8 | Q. Thank you. I think you would accept from me, wouldn't | 8 | choice for estate agents, didn't she? |
| 9 | you, that both Mr Livesey and Miss Platt, at least | 9 | A. She did say that. I didn't agree with her. |
| 10 | during the four-party meeting, both suggested that they | 10 | Q. And in fact -- no, what you say is that you think -- |
| 11 | wanted to see competition on the merits in the portals | 11 | I am trying to find the relevant part. It is over on |
| 12 | market, didn't they? | 12 | the next page, 7735, so page 2 of the note, third bullet |
| 13 | A. That's what they said. They said they wanted to see | 13 | point down. What you say is: |
| 14 | three portals and us release the One Other Portal rule | 14 | "When she is challenging the OTM plus one" -- sorry, |
| 15 | at that point. | 15 | it is such small type. |
| 16 | Q. In fact, it has always been the position so far as you | 16 | A. "An essential strategy to enter a market". |
| 17 | are aware that the big three have been concerned about | 17 | Q. I am reading -- yes, the next line down. You respond to |
| 18 | the emergence of Rightmove as a powerful monopoly | 18 | her and you say that: |
| 19 | supplier, isn't it? | 19 | "It [that is the OOP rule] would be sustainable |
| 20 | A. That isn't -- certainly isn't what Miss Platt said to | 20 | until we gained market power under the CMA definition." |
| 21 | me. She said it was a symbiotic relationship and they | 21 | Right? |
| 22 | enjoyed being the largest customer for both those | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | portals. | 23 | Q. So it is not being calibrated, your use of the OOP rule, |
| 24 | Q. I am a bit confused by that, Mr Springett. If you take | 24 | by reference to implementing your venture on to the |
| 25 | up bundle X now and turn to tab 28. There is a 28 and | 25 | market successfully, is it? |
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| 1 | 28 A and I want 28 please, if I may. | 1 | A. Well, I think what we are looking at here is trying to |
| 2 | I am afraid mine doesn't have a page number on it, | 2 | become established in a market that is characterised by |
| 3 | Mr Springett but perhaps somebody can provide -- is | 3 | duopoly, with two big media owners as the players. |
| 4 | there an internal page number for this document? | 4 | Q. No, with respect, it is very clear what you are saying. |
| 5 | An email from you to Paul at Kinleigh Folkard \& | 5 | Your view is that you can keep the OOP rule in place |
| 6 | Hayward on 17 May 2013. | 6 | until you get market power under the CMA definition; |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | that is right, isn't it? |
| 8 | Q. You can see your name at the end. Do you see that on | 8 | A. And that's what we had been advised by the CMA. |
| 9 | the first page of that, I think the internal page number | 9 | Q. Where is that advice, Mr Springett? |
| 10 | is 186 if anyone wants that, between the two hole | 10 | A. It is in a letter I referred to earlier in my evidence |
| 11 | punches -- so this is at a far earlier stage than the | 11 | yesterday I think, March 2015. |
| 12 | four-party meeting, 17 May 2013. | 12 | Q. I am happy to liaise with Mr Maclean about that over the |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | short adjournment. But -- |
| 14 | Q. And you say to Paul -- is that Paul Masters at Kinleigh | 14 | MR MACLEAN: Do you want the reference? |
| 15 | Folkard \& Hayward? | 15 | MR HARRIS: No, I will happily liaise with you about it over |
| 16 | A. Yes, it is. | 16 | the short adjournment. |
| 17 | Q. "We have learnt, albeit indirectly, that one of the | 17 | What you don't say here is that you regard it as |
| 18 | primary motives of the big three for its alliance with | 18 | sustainable until you have successfully entered the |
| 19 | Zoopla was concern about the emergence of Rightmove as a | 19 | market, do you? |
| 20 | powerful monopoly supplier, which might ultimately | 20 | A. Well, it is clear what I've said. |
| 21 | dictate terms even to them." | 21 | Q. Right, so you were regarding it as acceptable to carry |
| 22 | A. I agree. | 22 | on with the OOP rule until you obtained market power |
| 23 | Q. So my suggestion to you is it is no surprise that these | 23 | under the CMA's definition as opposed to until you had |
| 24 | people should say to you at the four-party meeting that | 24 | successfully entered the market, right? |
| 25 | they are in favour of a further portal entering and | 25 | A. Our understanding from that CMA letter is exactly as |
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| 1 | I have described it here. | 1 | the future." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. So can you answer the question? | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: So those are the passages that you were |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think it may be that the letter needs to be | 3 | thinking of in your answer to Mr Harris's earlier |
| 4 | referred to. It is intrinsic to his answer, isn't it, | 4 | question? |
| 5 | Mr Harris. | 5 | A. When he said, why did I come to write in my notes and |
| 6 | MR MACLEAN: It is bundle H10/5393. | 6 | say what I said at the meeting? It is that very first |
| 7 | MR HARRIS: Did you get that, Mr Springett? Bundle | 7 | paragraph of the letter and the penultimate paragraph on |
| 8 | H10/5393. | 8 | page 3 of the letter. |
| 9 | A. Yes, and 5395 is the reference and it is the bottom | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 10 | section -- I am sorry, one moment. I am sorry, it is | 10 | MR HARRIS: So am I right in thinking that you were |
| 11 | the middle section. It is underneath the heading "The | 11 | proceeding on the basis of some potential concerns that |
| 12 | suspected agreements or concerted practices". No, I am | 12 | the CMA had raised in a letter dated March 2015, several |
| 13 | sorry, please give me a moment to find the correct ... | 13 | years after you had conceived the OOP and at least two |
| 14 | (Pause). | 14 | months after you had implemented it? Is that your |
| 15 | Yes, sorry, I was right the first time. It is the | 15 | evidence? |
| 16 | second paragraph up from the bottom of that page, 5395. | 16 | A. We were proceeding on the basis -- |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Beginning "In a similar way"? | 17 | Q. That it was -- sorry, let me -- |
| 18 | A. Correct. | 18 | A. Sorry, we received that letter and we took, as you might |
| 19 | MR HARRIS: Is this the basis upon which you made that | 19 | imagine, legal advice on what it meant. |
| 20 | remark? | 20 | Q. That is right. A minute ago you said what you did was |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | based upon this letter -- |
| 22 | Q. You are saying that you rely upon this as being a view | 22 | A. Well -- |
| 23 | of the law, is that right, that it is acceptable to have | 23 | Q. -- and I am now exploring that with you. |
| 24 | the OOP rule in place until you achieve a position of | 24 | A. No, I have explained what you asked me to explain, which |
| 25 | market power under the CMA's definition? | 25 | is what's in the note of the meeting. |
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| 1 | A. That's what the CMA have told us. | 1 | Q. Yes, and I asked you about -- |
| 2 | Q. That is not what it says at all, is it? | 2 | A. Our position is that it would be sustainable until we |
| 3 | MR MACLEAN: I think in all fairness if Mr Harris takes the | 3 | gained market power under the CMA definition. That was |
| 4 | witness to the start of the letter and gives the witness | 4 | our understanding. |
| 5 | an opportunity to look at the letter, the questions | 5 | Q. That's right. And I was asking about that and why and |
| 6 | might proceed on a more sound footing. | 6 | you said in reliance upon -- |
| 7 | MR HARRIS: I am happy for you to look at any other part of | 7 | A. Let's have a look at the CMA letter, and in combination |
| 8 | this letter, Mr Springett. | 8 | with that we took legal advice on it at the time. |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Cast your eye over it, Mr Springett, and let | 9 | Q. I don't want to ask you about your legal advice unless |
| 10 | us know when you are happy to answer Mr Harris's | 10 | you want to tell me. You are entitled not to tell me or |
| 11 | question. | 11 | mention it, no problem. |
| 12 | A. Yes, thank you. (Pause). Well, I suppose the other | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | relevant paragraph in the letter is the third -- are the | 13 | Q. But insofar as it was based upon this letter, it is |
| 14 | paragraphs at the top of page 2 where they say: | 14 | quite extraordinary, isn't it? This letter says on its |
| 15 | "While we haven't conducted a formal investigation | 15 | face, and I am reading now on page 2 , a third of the way |
| 16 | and we at present do not intend to do so, it doesn't | 16 | down that they had not conducted a formal investigation; |
| 17 | preclude the CMA from doing so again." | 17 | correct? |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: I just want you to read the letter and then | 18 | A. Mmm. |
| 19 | Mr Harris will ask you his questions. | 19 | Q. And that in fact they are making reference to a decision |
| 20 | A. Okay. (Pause). Yes. Well, I think the two most | 20 | "possibly being to revisit, depending upon whether our |
| 21 | pertinent paragraphs are the first one and, in | 21 | prioritisation assessment changes"; right? |
| 22 | particular, the second sentence: | 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | "We also write to highlight some potential concerns | 23 | Q. And over the page what they say in the passages to which |
| 24 | around the current rules of Agents' Mutual should | 24 | you have drawn attention under the second emboldened |
| 25 | onthemarket.com establish a position of market power in | 25 | heading is only that they "May have some concerns ..." |
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| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | There are two different things. Our board has |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q. "... should this OTM establish a position of market | 2 | always understood that we need to keep the One Other |
| 3 | power." | 3 | Portal rule and other elements of our policies under |
| 4 | That is not a definitive view or advice, is it? | 4 | review, and we meet regularly and have discussions with |
| 5 | A. They say they may have some concerns if we achieve | 5 | our lawyers on this point. And all I am observing is |
| 6 | a position of market power. | 6 | that as of today we are a long way from a position where |
| 7 | Q. Then it goes on again to say, in the second passage to | 7 | we believe there's anything wrong. |
| 8 | which you draw attention to, that their view, which of | 8 | Q. One last thing, Mr Springett, on the letter. I am going |
| 9 | course you know is not after a formal investigation, may | 9 | to come back to you, by the way, on what you just said |
| 10 | change if OnTheMarket establishes a position of market | 10 | about keeping it under review. That is a topic for |
| 11 | power. That is again not a definitive position or | 11 | later on. But just in the letter, what the CMA is in |
| 12 | advice, is it? | 12 | fact saying to you is that: you may wish to seek |
| 13 | A. They've always been -- or I've always understood that | 13 | independent legal advice to ensure that Agents' Mutual |
| 14 | until they have done an investigation, there isn't | 14 | and its members comply with competition law, don't they? |
| 15 | a definitive position reached. | 15 | A. That's what it says, yes. |
| 16 | Q. Absolutely. So you are accepting that this is not | 16 | Q. Just on this question then of your expressed approach at |
| 17 | a statement by them of even their own definitive | 17 | the four-party meeting about the OTM plus one rule being |
| 18 | position, is it? | 18 | sustainable until we gained market power under the CMA |
| 19 | A. No. | 19 | definition, you have currently got well over 6,000 agent |
| 20 | Q. Let alone a statement of the law? | 20 | members, haven't you, Mr Springett, of the company? |
| 21 | A. This letter, no. | 21 | A. No, that's the number of branches. |
| 22 | Q. And indeed, that is abundantly -- | 22 | Q. I beg your pardon. |
| 23 | A. They are the regulator, aren't they? | 23 | A. That's -- |
| 24 | Q. Yes, but it is abundantly clear that they are doing | 24 | Q. You are quite right. You would be smiling even more |
| 25 | neither thing, isn't it? If you look at the final page | 25 | broadly if it was 6,000 members. |
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| 1 | of the letter on 5396, under the heading | 1 | A. I would. |
| 2 | "Self-assessment to assure compliance with competition | 2 | Q. You are quite right to correct me. You have 6,000 |
| 3 | law" -- | 3 | member branches. And I think I am right in saying that |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | you have, since the launch of the company, been able to |
| 5 | Q. -- they say, in terms, don't they, "This letter does not | 5 | sign up even more than that, haven't you, from time to |
| 6 | constitute legal advice"? | 6 | time? |
| 7 | A. And it also says: | 7 | A. Well, not -- over 6,000. We have been at around 6,300, |
| 8 | "It is a matter for Agents' Mutual and we may wish | 8 | yes. |
| 9 | to seek independent legal advice." | 9 | Q. This one is said to be confidential, but can I just take |
| 10 | Which we did. | 10 | you to a number which is in bundle X at tab 25. I can |
| 11 | Q. Absolutely. So insofar as your answer was that: my view | 11 | understand why you might say this one is confidential. |
| 12 | at the four-party meeting was based upon this letter, | 12 | It is a bit of an unwieldy bundle, isn't it, that one. |
| 13 | that's a thoroughly unsound basis for putting forward | 13 | A. It is. |
| 14 | that view, isn't it? Because it is not giving the | 14 | Q. Tab 25. Do you recognise this as a letter dated |
| 15 | definitive position even of the CMA and it certainly | 15 | 21 December from your solicitors to my instructing |
| 16 | doesn't constitute legal advice, does it? | 16 | solicitors? |
| 17 | A. In any event, we were and are an extremely long way from | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | being anywhere close to market power. | 18 | Q. And there is a table where the details are redacted. |
| 19 | Q. That's interesting, Mr Springett, so I just want to | 19 | I hope you can see them. Is it highlighted in yellow in |
| 20 | clarify that with you. You have been proceeding | 20 | your -- |
| 21 | throughout, haven't you, on the basis that the OOP rule | 21 | A. It is, yes. |
| 22 | is acceptable unless and until you reach a position of | 22 | Q. These have come from you and it is details of membership |
| 23 | market power; that is right, isn't it? | 23 | opening dates, closing dates and contracted branches. |
| 24 | A. No, that's not right. That's been our strategy to make | 24 | Do you see that? |
| 25 | sure that we can have a successful entry to the market. | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. And am I right in saying that a moment ago you just said | 1 | A. Yes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | in open court that it hovers around 6,300? | 2 | Q. And you have been trading away for two years, haven't |
| 3 | A. That's correct. | 3 | you? |
| 4 | Q. So that is the number that you find at the bottom of the | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | right-hand most column, isn't it, on 172? | 5 | Q. Over two years as of today? |
| 6 | A. 172, yes. | 6 | A. Yes, although we are still in loss. |
| 7 | Q. So that one is not confidential. The one next to it, is | 7 | Q. Thank you. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, that when |
| 8 | that confidential? | 8 | you set out creating this new venture you intended |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | materially to change the structure of competition on the |
| 10 | Q. So that is a confidential number but it represents the | 10 | market, didn't you? |
| 11 | number of agents that you have had at various times | 11 | A. We intended to add some. |
| 12 | signed up to your company, doesn't it? | 12 | Q. You intended materially to change it and disrupt it in |
| 13 | A. It's the total number that have ever been signed up, so | 13 | your favour, didn't you? |
| 14 | clearly some of them have left. | 14 | A. We intended to disrupt what was there. |
| 15 | Q. Yes. That's fine. As I say, I don't need to read that | 15 | Q. I think in your sixth witness statement at |
| 16 | number. Thank you. | 16 | paragraph 5.14 you say, and I quote: |
| 17 | Am I right in saying that there are approximately | 17 | "The purpose of the strategy has been to disrupt the |
| 18 | 18,000-odd estate agent branches in the country? | 18 | position". |
| 19 | A. It's a difficult number to get precise, but I would | 19 | Is that still your evidence? |
| 20 | accept that number as an estimate. | 20 | A. The existing duopoly, indeed. |
| 21 | Q. About that. That is the number that everyone has been | 21 | Q. So the structure you describe as duopoly, I know you |
| 22 | using and it's in the pleadings and the evidence and | 22 | know that we describe it in various different ways, but |
| 23 | what have you. | 23 | the point here is, Mr Springett, that you wanted to |
| 24 | A. Yes, I don't dispute it. | 24 | change that structure of competition, or duopoly |
| 25 | Q. So on that view, even the 6,300 is more than a third and | 25 | structure in your view, in your favour, didn't you? |
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| 1 | the other number is even higher than that; correct? | 1 | A. We wanted to add some competition where there was a very |
| 2 | A. The other number isn't relevant in that assessment | 2 | limited amount of competition. |
| 3 | because that isn't a number that has ever been in | 3 | Q. You wanted, in fact, didn't you, to take listings away |
| 4 | membership with us, if you see what I mean. | 4 | from Zoopla so that the market would tip against Zoopla |
| 5 | Q. I accept -- | 5 | and there would be no need any longer to use Zoopla, |
| 6 | A. Simultaneously, shall we say. | 6 | didn't you? |
| 7 | Q. That is a fair point, Mr Springett. But you accept that | 7 | A. We actually set out with the plan of taking listings |
| 8 | even the 6,300 number is over a third of that 18,000 , | 8 | from both of the other two major portals. |
| 9 | isn't it? | 9 | Q. I hope you haven't put it away, apologies if you have, |
| 10 | A. I do. | 10 | the note of the four-party meeting at 7734. What you |
| 11 | Q. I think you would accept from me, wouldn't you, that | 11 | say in the four-party meeting -- this is put forward as |
| 12 | Agents' Mutual has launched successfully on to the | 12 | your accurate note -- |
| 13 | market in your view? | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Which bundle is that now, Mr Harris? |
| 14 | A. I think we have got a long way to go. I think we are -- | 14 | MR HARRIS: Bundle 14. This is, I suggest to you, |
| 15 | we implemented what we set out to as the early stages of | 15 | a continuation of the strategy that the company has |
| 16 | a new entry to the market, but we -- we are well behind | 16 | always had, namely -- I am reading now from, again, the |
| 17 | on traffic and we are -- we have 17 million of turnover | 17 | fifth bullet point of the sub bullets, towards the top |
| 18 | against Rightmove, which turns over 200 million. | 18 | of that page: |
| 19 | Q. So I think taking that in stages, you have implemented | 19 | "To get you past tipping point number 1 and towards |
| 20 | your site successfully on to the market? | 20 | tipping point number 2, where we are seen as strong |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | enough for agents to begin withdrawing from Rightmove." |
| 22 | Q. Yes? | 22 | So tipping point number 1 is withdrawal from Zoopla, |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | isn't it? |
| 24 | Q. You have got a multi-million-pound turnover business; | 24 | A. Well, tipping point number 1 , in our view of the world, |
| 25 | that is what you just said? | 25 | is getting to a position where we have more listings |
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| 1 | than them, which can equally be taking listings from | 1 | competition to reach that sort of a place. But if that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Rightmove. | 2 | sort after of a place was reached, it would be |
| 3 | Q. We have seen what the slides say and who is named in the | 3 | a satisfactory outcome, given that in the slides that we |
| 4 | slide, so I won't go back over that territory, but | 4 | displayed in the September meeting, in five years time |
| 5 | certainly by the stage of this meeting you are | 5 | our average revenue per advertiser would be $£ 350$, and so |
| 6 | suggesting that tipping point 2 will be at that point | 6 | what that would allow is if agents began to see us as an |
| 7 | you are strong enough for agents to begin withdrawing | 7 | alternative to Rightmove, they would be saving a lot of |
| 8 | from Rightmove, aren't you? So tipping point number 2 | 8 | money and potentially transferring some of that revenue |
| 9 | is about leaving Rightmove? | 9 | to things they wanted from us. |
| 10 | A. Switching. | 10 | Q. For some months, at least some months prior to this |
| 11 | Q. Well, it says "withdrawing". | 11 | meeting, you had been of the view that: |
| 12 | A. Well, it means agents would be able to not have to use | 12 | "The idea of the OOP rule is that members retain the |
| 13 | Rightmove. | 13 | stronger of the duopoly portals to cover themselves |
| 14 | Q. So certainly as at the point of these slides, I beg your | 14 | while OTM builds up into the true alternative they need. |
| 15 | pardon, the notes of this meeting, you are talking about | 15 | No surprise that over 90 per cent chose dominant market |
| 16 | a tipping point number 1 against Zoopla and a tipping | 16 | leader." |
| 17 | point number 2 against Rightmove, aren't you? | 17 | That is right, isn't it? |
| 18 | A. Well, I don't know where you get tipping point number 2. | 18 | A. That is a retrospective view after the launch. |
| 19 | Q. Well, they are your words I think. These are your | 19 | Q. No, actually that is the view you expressed -- we saw |
| 20 | notes, aren't they? | 20 | this document. I will give you the reference again. |
| 21 | A. They are. | 21 | I don't want to turn it up. It was at bundle 10, 5640. |
| 22 | Q. I am reading from the fifth bullet point: | 22 | A. Bundle 10 is post-launch I believe. |
| 23 | "All members retain OTM plus one and this gets us | 23 | Q. I said some months prior to this meeting. The email |
| 24 | past tipping point number 1 and towards tipping point | 24 | that I just quoted back to you is 4 June 2015. And you |
| 25 | number 2." | 25 | knew perfectly well who the stronger of the duopoly |
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| 1 | A. I am sorry, you are right, tipping point 2 , "where we | 1 | portals was, didn't you? |
| 2 | are seen as strong enough for agents to begin | 2 | A. It was evident by then. |
| 3 | withdrawing from Rightmove". Yes. | 3 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, Mr Harris got it wrong. The |
| 4 | Q. So I am right: tipping point number 1 is against Zoopla | 4 | witness said: |
| 5 | and tipping point number 2 is against Rightmove, isn't | 5 | "That is a retrospective view after the launch." |
| 6 | it? | 6 | "No", said Mr Harris. Line 15. |
| 7 | A. Well, tipping point 2 and tipping point 1 are the same. | 7 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. I suggest to you that what that -- |
| 8 | We have more properties than Zoopla at tipping point 1, | 8 | well, actually, I am going to move on because I dealt |
| 9 | and tipping point 2 is where we see agents not | 9 | with that email before. |
| 10 | necessarily needing to be on Rightmove. | 10 | Do you accept from me, Mr Springett, that other |
| 11 | Q. No, Mr Springett, come on. Tipping point 1 and tipping | 11 | representatives of Agents' Mutual have seen and |
| 12 | point 2 can't possibly be the same. Why are they | 12 | expressed to groups of agents that damaging other |
| 13 | differentiated in this note? | 13 | portals is the purpose of the OOP rule? |
| 14 | A. Because tipping point 2 is where we start to have more | 14 | A. I'm not aware of that. |
| 15 | properties than Rightmove does. | 15 | Q. Can I just take you then to one extract from the |
| 16 | Q. I see. And then the next bullet point, what you even | 16 | transcript of one of the meetings in the Northern |
| 17 | described to the participants in the four-party meeting | 17 | Ireland. |
| 18 | as what your endgame is: having 15,000 branches at an | 18 | Sir, actually it may be a sensible moment to pause |
| 19 | average monthly fee of 1,000 , with no real need to list | 19 | because I am not entirely sure where everybody has these |
| 20 | anywhere else. | 20 | and I want to make sure that the witness has actually |
| 21 | That is your end game you are striving for within | 21 | got a bundle with page numbers that I can use for the |
| 22 | the five years of your five-year strategy, isn't it? | 22 | transcripts. |
| 23 | A. That absolutely would be a good place for us to end up. | 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: That is fair enough. |
| 24 | Q. And that is the company strategy, isn't it? | 24 | MR HARRIS: So it might be sensible to stop there. |
| 25 | A. Well, I mean it is the outcome of pretty vigorous | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Now, would it be assist you, Mr Harris, if we |
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| 1 | tried to sit at 1.45? | 1 | doesn't sit on Wednesday morning. I complete my |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. In fact, I was going to raise, as | 2 | cross-examination of Mr Parker on Tuesday afternoon when |
| 3 | I have said a moment -- no criticism of anybody, it is | 3 | Mr Bishop will be here. He can't be here in the morning |
| 4 | just the way it is, but this is taking a lot, lot longer | 4 | but he can be here in the afternoon of Wednesday, so my |
| 5 | than I had any reasonable grounds to understand. And | 5 | learned friend cross-examines him on Wednesday |
| 6 | fair enough. Mr Springett has plenty to say. But I am | 6 | afternoon, and the Tribunal makes its own arrangements, |
| 7 | badly behind my schedule. What I have already done is | 7 | as it has, on Wednesday morning. If that is convenient |
| 8 | trimmed out last night approximately 15 per cent of what | 8 | to the Tribunal, we can firm up those arrangements. |
| 9 | I otherwise would have done. Obviously I am happy to do | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: That seems -- |
| 10 | further trimming, and there will be yet further trimming | 10 | MR HARRIS: Sir, may I take instructions on that? It seems |
| 11 | at the weekend because it is not an endless piece of | 11 | anodyne to me. |
| 12 | string. | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, it also seems -- I mean, we are |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: No. | 13 | obviously drifting, we are not drifting too far, but |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: But nevertheless can I just identify to the | 14 | that seems to me -- |
| 15 | Tribunal that there is still plenty to get through. | 15 | MR HARRIS: Can I respond to that at 1.45? |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am conscious of that, but I am equally | 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you raise it at 1.45 . We'll rise |
| 17 | minded to stick to the 12.30 on Monday indication that | 17 | until 1.45. |
| 18 | we discussed a day or two ago. | 18 | $(1.00 \mathrm{pm})$ |
| 19 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. | 19 | (Luncheon Adjournment) |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: I mean, I appreciate that there are long | 20 | $(1.45 \mathrm{pm})$ |
| 21 | answers that are being given, but my sense of the | 21 | MR HARRIS: Sir, just on the housekeeping matter. It is our |
| 22 | witness is that when he can agree with you he does and | 22 | understanding that the proposal currently is we finish |
| 23 | does so very quickly, and it is when he feels that he | 23 | with Mr Springett -- in the nicest possible way, |
| 24 | needs to give a longer answer that he does so. Were it | 24 | Mr Springett -- by Monday lunchtime, the hot tubbing |
| 25 | not the case, I, frankly, would have intervened. | 25 | begins on Monday afternoon, and then both experts will |
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| 1 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir, and again -- | 1 | be in purdah overnight and they'll finish the hot |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: You very fairly said there was no criticism. | 2 | tubbing part of it by no later than Tuesday lunchtime, |
| 3 | MR HARRIS: Shall we proceed on that basis. Can I perhaps | 3 | and then they'll continue to be in purdah until such |
| 4 | just put down one suggestion at this stage; that it | 4 | time as their cross-examination has finished, and that |
| 5 | might be an earlier start than is traditional on the | 5 | Mr Parker will be finished by no later than the end of |
| 6 | Monday but that we definitely finish by 12.30 ? | 6 | Tuesday, and then there will just be an interregnum |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think I had already offered 10 o'clock | 7 | because Mr Bishop has some other commitment and I'll |
| 8 | on Monday. | 8 | start with him at 2 o'clock on Wednesday. |
| 9 | MR HARRIS: I see, thank you. | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. |
| 10 | MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, can I just raise one matter of | 10 | MR HARRIS: And until he has finished his cross-examination |
| 11 | housekeeping, which is this. | 11 | he's in purdah, just like Mr Parker is until the end of |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 12 | his cross-examination. |
| 13 | MR MACLEAN: We had a discussion yesterday about the hot tub | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. And the plan will be to finish on |
| 14 | starting on Monday afternoon. The indication was it | 14 | Wednesday. |
| 15 | might run into Tuesday but it would be over by | 15 | MR HARRIS: Yes. |
| 16 | lunchtime. | 16 | MR MACLEAN: That accords with my understanding of the |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. | 17 | timetabling. I am not sure it accords with my |
| 18 | MR MACLEAN: The position is Mr Bishop cannot be here on | 18 | understanding of the purdah rules. Of course once the |
| 19 | Wednesday morning but he can be here on Wednesday | 19 | hot tub starts, until that experience finishes, so if it |
| 20 | afternoon. The obvious solution to this, which my | 20 | runs over Monday night, both experts will be in purdah. |
| 21 | learned friend may well be only too happy to accede to | 21 | My understanding was -- I'll be corrected if I'm |
| 22 | for his part, obviously originally we weren't supposed | 22 | wrong -- that once the hot tub is finished, that the |
| 23 | to be sitting on Wednesday. | 23 | experts were then no longer in purdah. There may not be |
| 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: No. | 24 | a very long gap between the end of the hot tub and |
| 25 | MR MACLEAN: So the obvious solution is that the Tribunal | 25 | Mr Parker being cross-examined, but I didn't understand |
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```
A. Yes.
Q. And that's a fair characterisation, isn't it, of the
    strategy of using the one other portal; correct?
A. I would agree that it characterises the movement of
        property stock and agents from other portals.
Q. Yes, and the point being that it will impact upon their
        success and hit them significantly, won't it? That is
        the purpose of the OOP rule; correct?
    A. To the extent that they have less property stock.
    Q. So yes, to that extent you agree with me?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Thank you. Then lower down in that extract do you
        accept -- I am reading now about eight lines up from the
        bottom of that passage, the sentence beginning "So you
        could have". Do you see that one?
    A. Eight lines up from the bottom of this section, so above
        where it says J, did you say?
    Q. Correct. If you go up eight lines, nine lines, there is
        a sentence --
    A. "So you could have half your stock ..."
    Q. '... and half your stock in another. It wouldn't be my
        ideal scenario because I would really want to impact one
        portal quite hard."
            And it is fair to say that it wouldn't be your ideal
        scenario either, would it, because you also wanted the
            Page 126
        OOP rule to impact one portal quite hard; that is right,
        isn't it?
        A. I didn't care.
        Q. I suggest to you that that is exactly what you wanted:
        you wanted the OOP rule to impact one portal quite hard
        and you wanted that portal to be Zoopla who was impacted
        quite hard, didn't you?
    A. Well, in this context, this being the Belfast market,
        Zoopla wouldn't have been really relevant to that.
        Q. Generally around the UK you wanted one portal to be
        impacted quite hard, didn't you?
    A. No.
    Q. And you wanted the portal to be impacted quite hard to
        be Zoopla, didn't you?
        A. No, I didn't.
        Q. But you do accept -- you can put the transcript away
        now, thank you, Mr Springett. You do accept, don't you,
        that the OOP rule has in fact made Rightmove stronger on
        all metrics by which portals are measured, don't you?
        A. Relative to where it was before, it's hard to say.
        Q. No, relative to where it was before is not at all hard
        to say, is it, Mr Springett? It has definitely
        increased in strength relative to where it was before
        the OOP rule came on to the market; that is right, isn't
        it?
```

A. It is not clear to me whether that's his opinion or whether he's reporting the opinion of other agents.
Q. Would you accept from me as well that he's describing a situation in which Rightmove plainly has a great deal of market power such that they both circle like vultures and they think they're going to double their fees?
A. I can't comment on that.
Q. So do you have no idea what he meant by that then, the PS?
A. I see what it says. I don't know if it's his opinion or whether he's relaying something that other agents have expressed, but that doesn't seem to me to prove it one way or the other.
Q. Thank you. I think you would accept from me, wouldn't you, Mr Springett, that agents acting as a group can deliver a very significant advantage to any given portal?
A. Yes.
Q. And in fact, that they as a group, they have a very strong influence over which portals succeed, don't they?
A. Yes.
Q. So imagine a group of agents coming together and creating their own members portal. They can deliver these significant advantages to their own members and deny them to non-members, can't they?
A. I don't necessarily agree.
Q. Do you see --
A. I think it was dominant and it's still dominant.
Q. It is more dominant now, isn't it, on any proper
metric --
A. No, I don't agree with that.
Q. Can I just show you a document now in bundle 10, please.
It is to be found at 5641. And there is an email in the
middle of the page, Wednesday, 3 June 2015. Do you see
that this is to you from one of your fellow directors at
Douglas \& Gordon, Mr Mead?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at the bottom -- so this is a few months after
launch, isn't it, June $3,2015$. And at the bottom he
says:
"PS, FYI Rightmove have circled like vultures and
told some agents who have remained with them that OTM
not working, Zoopla dying so they are going to double
their fees."
That is Rightmove to whom he is referring as
doubling their fees, isn't it?
A. It seems to be.
Q. So would you accept from me that in this email Mr Mead
is characterising Zoopla as dying a few months after
launch; yes?
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A. I don't necessarily agree.
Q. Do you see --
Q. It is more dominant now, isn't it, on any proper
metric --
Q. Can I just show you a document now in bundle 10, please.
It is to be found at 5641. And there is an email in the
middle of the page, Wednesday, 3 June 2015. Do you see
that this is to you from one of your fellow directors at
Douglas \& Gordon, Mr Mead?
A. Yes.
launch, isn't it, June 3, 2015. And at the bottom he
ays:
old some agents who have remained with them that OTM
not working, Zoopla dying so they are going to double
their fees."
That is Rightmove to whom he is referring as
doubling their fees, isn't it?
A. It seems to be.
Q. So would you accept from me that in this email Mr Mead
launch; yes?
```
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|  | A. The whole basis of this is that portals rely, both for | 1 | and the hard line that existed has become a little bit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | their valuable content and their revenue, on primarily | 2 | blurred. |
| 3 | agents, and the agents have a free choice as to where to | 3 | Now, we have taken the view that we will restore |
| 4 | put those revenues and property stock. | 4 | that hard line, but, for example, in the case of Zoopla, |
| 5 | Q. I am not sure that really grapples with the question, | 5 | they had, and certainly reiterated and reintroduced at |
| 6 | Mr Springett. You said that agents in a grouping can | 6 | the end of December 2013, a restriction whereby |
| 7 | deliver a significant advantage to a portal and have | 7 | providers of aggregated listings, and I can give some |
| 8 | a strong influence over which portal succeeds. You have | 8 | specific examples at any point if you wish to have them, |
| 9 | accepted both of those propositions. | 9 | were not allowed to list on its portal. |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | So it is a commercial choice, I think, for each |
| 11 | Q. And I suggested to you that when they come to -- imagine | 11 | portal to make as to which market it wants to address. |
| 12 | a situation in which member agents come together to | 12 | Q. I know that is your case and, indeed, you say, I think |
| 13 | create a members own portal. They can deny those | 13 | in a pleading it is characterised by your legal team as |
| 14 | significant advantages to people who are non-members, | 14 | a so-called legitimate choice. But the truth is you |
| 15 | can't they? | 15 | regard these non-traditional, non-full service agents as |
| 16 | A. Well, as I have just said, they are in charge of where | 16 | parasites, don't you? |
| 17 | they put their revenue and their listings. | 17 | A. That is a personal view, yes. |
| 18 | Q. How does that answer the question, Mr Springett? | 18 | Q. Perhaps we can see that. I don't know, members of the |
| 19 | A. Well, you are asking me to give you an example of an | 19 | Tribunal, if you still have open bundle $14 / 7734$, the |
| 20 | advantage. So clearly if they choose in the market to | 20 | meeting notes of the four-way meeting. One of my |
| 21 | use one portal over another, then that makes | 21 | learned friend's very helpful instructing solicitors |
| 22 | a difference. | 22 | over the short break has produced an enlarged version of |
| 23 | Q. Who is the "they" in your answer? | 23 | this if it assists you. It makes no difference to me |
| 24 | A. Agents. | 24 | because mine is so heavily marked up, but I just draw |
| 25 | Q. I suggest to you, Mr Springett, you know perfectly well | 25 | that to your attention. |
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| 1 | that the agents' owned member portal that was created by | 1 | Mr Springett, do you still have it, 14/7734? |
| 2 | your company could deny the benefits of membership of | 2 | A. I do, thank you. |
| 3 | that country to non-members, including all | 3 | Q. Can you please pick it up at the first page of the note, |
| 4 | non-traditional, full service agents, couldn't they? | 4 | the penultimate bullet point, the one beginning "AP |
| 5 | A. I think I would characterise the restriction which you | 5 | queried". Do you see that? |
| 6 | are referring to as simply our proposition to a market | 6 | A. "AP queried the desire of the DPG partners to join"? |
| 7 | segment that we wanted to serve. | 7 | Q. No, mine says "AP queried the strategy". |
| 8 | Q. Yes. You accept presumably, don't you, that you think | 8 | A. I beg your pardon, yes. |
| 9 | that your portal provides advantageous advertising | 9 | Q. And running past the emboldened type it says: |
| 10 | services in the market; yes? | 10 | "IS says pure internet plays are simply parasites |
| 11 | A. I do. It addresses specifically full service | 11 | only viable because the portals allow them to operate |
| 12 | locally-based agents. | 12 | alongside the main customer base of high street firms." |
| 13 | Q. And because non-full service, non-traditional estate | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | agents are not allowed to be members, then the | 14 | Q. So that is one place where you describe these -- you are |
| 15 | advertising advantages from your portal are denied to | 15 | describing them as "pure internet plays". By that you |
| 16 | them, aren't they? | 16 | mean non-full service, non-traditional estate agents |
| 17 | A. I guess that's true, but -- | 17 | don't you? |
| 18 | Q. That's right. | 18 | A. I do. |
| 19 | A. Let me say this: it may be helpful. All the portals | 19 | Q. And in fact, those non-full service, non-traditional |
| 20 | have target audiences and, indeed, categories of | 20 | agents, or, if you like, online agents, to use another |
| 21 | advertisers that they don't permit. And the one that we | 21 | epithet, they do compete with full service traditional |
| 22 | all have, at least for the time being, is individual | 22 | estate agents, don't they? |
| 23 | private vendors and private landlords. Rightmove, | 23 | A. They do. |
| 24 | Zoopla, nor OTM accept that form of advertising. And | 24 | Q. And another thing that was going on in putting together |
| 25 | that has been changing over the last two to three years | 25 | your venture was that you and the Agents' Mutual members |
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| 1 | didn't like the notion that the property portals were | 1 | and better brand awareness and lead generation delivered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | taking profits away that otherwise might be capable of | 2 | by the portal and progressively lower listing fees." |
| 3 | being earned by the Agents' Mutual members; that is | 3 | So that was your view, wasn't it, of one of the |
| 4 | right, isn't it? | 4 | purposes of Agents' Mutual, the new venture? |
| 5 | A. Yes, it had become a nil-sum game really where the | 5 | A. Well, it's self-evident that they were the owners of the |
| 6 | portals' profitability soared through the price into | 6 | business. |
| 7 | agents, which was unconnected with the cost of providing | 7 | Q. That is right. The idea was to make sure that benefits |
| 8 | the service. | 8 | that were otherwise leaving to Zoopla and Rightmove and |
| 9 | Q. And what you wanted was for all of those benefits to | 9 | their shareholders, or for that matter the shareholders |
| 10 | flow back directly to the members of the members club, | 10 | of any other portal, would instead, under the new |
| 11 | didn't you? | 11 | proposal, flow directly back to them; that is right, |
| 12 | A. Well, we were really primarily interested in having | 12 | isn't it? |
| 13 | a portal which addressed the needs of agents and their | 13 | A. That's a complicated way of saying they would get lower |
| 14 | customers. | 14 | prices. |
| 15 | Q. Yes, but you also -- my point, Mr Springett, is that you | 15 | Q. Well, it says here, these are your words, that all the |
| 16 | also wanted these benefits that would otherwise leave to | 16 | benefits of them doing so will flow directly back to |
| 17 | go to, in your view, the shareholders of Zoopla and | 17 | them. That is the members, isn't it? |
| 18 | Rightmove predominantly, you wanted them to all flow | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | back, by means of your model, back to your members, | 19 | Q. This is all the benefits, isn't it, as opposed to |
| 20 | didn't you? That was one of the purposes of the member | 20 | leaving to somebody else? |
| 21 | mutual company? | 21 | A. Okay. |
| 22 | A. By way of lower pricing. | 22 | Q. Yes? |
| 23 | Q. Perhaps if you can just take volume 2 now and turn to | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | page 659. I think I have finished altogether with | 24 | Q. Thank you. The same theme is picked up by one of your |
| 25 | bundle 14, the four-party meeting notes, so even though | 25 | fellow directors -- I beg your pardon, you are not |
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| 1 | they have now been blown up I think we're done. | 1 | a director. So one of the directors of the company, |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Can we have them blown up at the beginning | 2 | this time to be found in bundle 3/1265. |
| 3 | next time? | 3 | A. Are we done with this one? |
| 4 | MR HARRIS: I think we asked repeatedly, sir, but there we | 4 | Q. We are. I am afraid this is a section of the |
| 5 | go. | 5 | cross-examination where we are going to be almost |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: It's not a serious question. | 6 | playing juggle with bundles. I am now in bundle 3 at |
| 7 | MR HARRIS: If you look, please, in bundle number 2 at 659. | 7 | 1265. Do you see that this is a copy of an email, the |
| 8 | I just need to find the passage in there. Can you just | 8 | chain of which was subsequently passed to you the next |
| 9 | leave 2/659 open for the minute while Mr Woolfe locates | 9 | day, in which one of the board directors of the new |
| 10 | the wording. | 10 | venture in July 2013 writes back to Alex -- that is |
| 11 | Thank you, Mr Woolfe. If you see above the second | 11 | Alex Chesterman, chief executive of Zoopla -- doesn't |
| 12 | hole punch -- feel free to orientate yourself in this | 12 | he? |
| 13 | document. Can you just tell the Tribunal -- it is an | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | executive summary, I think, that you prepared about the | 14 | Q. Do you see in the third paragraph down in his email, so |
| 15 | state of the Agents' Mutual business. Is that right? | 15 | I am now nearly towards the bottom of page 1265, and the |
| 16 | A. It looks like a section of our business plan. | 16 | penultimate paragraph there begins "although". Do you |
| 17 | Q. This bit was prepared by you I think, wasn't it? | 17 | have that one? |
| 18 | A. Yes. The entire plan was drafted and obviously amended | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | as they saw fit by the directors. | 19 | Q. "Although we do believe in the commercial disciplines of |
| 20 | Q. Thank you very much. I am looking on internal page 16, | 20 | profit and loss, it is not our intention to expose a |
| 21 | just above the second hole punch. You say: | 21 | profit other than a desire to redistribute this to our |
| 22 | '... since the nature of Agents' Mutual Limited | 22 | members." |
| 23 | means that members have every reason to support it | 23 | So that seems to be his view of one of the purposes |
| 24 | wholeheartedly in the knowledge that all the benefits of | 24 | of Agents' Mutual, doesn't it? |
| 25 | them doing so will flow directly back to them in better | 25 | A. I think he's making a narrower point there, which is |
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| 1 | that the business is not designed to generate surpluses | 1 | you are saying there: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | for distribution. He's really saying that it will be | 2 | "It has reduced costs for all agents." |
| 3 | run on the basis of prices reflecting fairly closely | 3 | That means all agents who are members of the group, |
| 4 | what the costs of running the business would be. | 4 | doesn't it? |
| 5 | Q. I think to be fair, Mr Springett, he is making two | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | points, isn't he? Not our intention to expose a profit | 6 | Q. And then it goes on: |
| 7 | other than a desire to redistribute this to our members. | 7 | "- more profit for the rest." |
| 8 | So if and insofar as there is a profit, then it is going | 8 | So you were conceiving as part of the opportunity |
| 9 | to go back to the members, isn't it? | 9 | that some of the member agents, at least some of them, |
| 10 | A. Yes, and I am just clarifying that the method by which | 10 | the best ones, would obtain more profit; that is right, |
| 11 | that would happen is through lower prices. | 11 | isn't it? |
| 12 | Q. The idea, I suggest to you, of Agents' Mutual, as | 12 | A. I think that is really linked to the bottom dot point, |
| 13 | understood by you and the directors at the time, back | 13 | which is that the portal becomes a service to the |
| 14 | then in sort of mid-2013, was to reduce the costs for | 14 | industry and competition between agents is based on the |
| 15 | the agent members so that some of them could obtain more | 15 | things that the agents themselves do. |
| 16 | profits; that is right, isn't it? | 16 | Q. What I suggest to you is that you didn't want these |
| 17 | A. Well, what they did with any monies they saved would be | 17 | profits exiting to the shareholders of Zoopla and |
| 18 | individually up to them. | 18 | Rightmove, but what you did want to see was more profit |
| 19 | Q. Well, the idea was to have a collective grouping that | 19 | for some of the agent members of Agents' Mutual; that is |
| 20 | collectively reduced their costs so that some of them | 20 | right, isn't it? |
| 21 | could make more profit. That was the idea, wasn't it? | 21 | A. I have told you what my position is relating to these |
| 22 | A. No, the Agents' Mutual company was set up to run | 22 | slides. |
| 23 | a portal which would over time be a market leader, | 23 | Q. The next document in this theme, if you could put away |
| 24 | deliver many, many benefits to agents and consumers, as | 24 | number 11 and this time be handed document bundle X and |
| 25 | indeed some of the existing portals do, but to deliver | 25 | open it to tab 28. Several pages in, I think beginning |
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| 1 | all that at a price level much more closely related to | 1 | on internal page 186, we have seen this is an email that |
| 2 | what it cost to run the operation. | 2 | you wrote to Paul Masters to Kinleigh Folkard \& Hayward |
| 3 | Q. No, I am going to try again, Mr Springett. It was very | 3 | on 17 May 2013. |
| 4 | expressly in the minds of both you and some of the | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | directors at the time that they would collectively | 5 | Q. And we see over on the third page of that email, right |
| 6 | reduce the cost of agents so they could obtain more | 6 | at the bottom of the page -- |
| 7 | profit, wasn't it? | 7 | A. So we are now on page? |
| 8 | A. No. | 8 | Q. It must be 188. |
| 9 | Q. Perhaps I could just then take you to -- | 9 | A. 188, yes. |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, are you referring to more profit | 10 | Q. Yes. What you refer to in that final paragraph is |
| 11 | or to a cost saving that might, depending on how that | 11 | Agents' Mutual making a powerful disruptive entry to the |
| 12 | cost saving is deployed, result in more profit? | 12 | market. You hope to get enough gold members. And then |
| 13 | MR HARRIS: I am going to show you two documents that -- one | 13 | you go on to say: |
| 14 | of them in Mr Springett's own words, where he talks | 14 | "We hope that large numbers of agents will recognise |
| 15 | about it being more profit, and the other one in which | 15 | that this is ultimately about business value. In less |
| 16 | it is described as a particular addition to the bottom | 16 | than 10 years Agents' Mutual could represent the |
| 17 | line, which to me means more profit. | 17 | difference between paying out 2,000 for office per month |
| 18 | So the first one, Mr Springett, if I may, is in your | 18 | on portal fees and paying out 200 per office per month |
| 19 | own words, bundle 11, and apologies, we have seen this | 19 | for a single universal agent-owned portal. For Kinleigh |
| 20 | document many times now. It is 6255. | 20 | Folkard \& Hayward this could add over £1 million per |
| 21 | You wrote these slides, didn't you, Mr Springett? | 21 | annum to its bottom line. Agents' Mutual also defends |
| 22 | A. Let me just check which -- | 22 | the agents industry itself from creeping |
| 23 | Q. This is the Leighton Buzzard slides. | 23 | disintermediation." |
| 24 | A. Oh yes, I did. | 24 | So what you are suggesting there to I think at this |
| 25 | Q. In fact, if you look on 6255 , the third bullet point, | 25 | stage -- is Paul Masters a prospective member or had he |
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|  | become a letter of intent person at this stage? |
| ---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I think neither. I think this was in the period where |
| 2 | they were contemplating signing a letter of intent. |
| 4 | Q. What you are suggesting to him is one of the principal |
| 5 | sale points -- I will rephrase that. One of the sale |
| 6 | points to get him on board is it could add over |
| 7 | a million pounds per annum to the bottom line of |
| 8 | Kinleigh Folkard \& Hayward, weren't you? |
| 9 | A. That would be one of the things it could do from the |
| 10 | pure cost saving, but it could also spend more on the |
| 11 | service it was delivering. |
| 12 | Q. Thank you. Then the next line, what you mean there by |
| 13 | "creeping disintermediation" -- disintermediation means |
| 14 | cutting somebody out of the chain, doesn't it? |
| 15 | A. Yes. <br> 16 |
| 17 | Q. So what you are referring to there is the worry that the |
| 18 | existing portals, in particular Rightmove and Zoopla, |
| 19 | could cut a traditional estate agent out of effectively |
| 20 | A. Yes, I -- |
| 21 | Q. So, for example, either by for sale by owner, FSBO? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Or otherwise by making them less relevant because what |
| 24 | house-hunters and vendors want can be provided simply by |
| 25 | the portal without going to a traditional full service |
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agent; correct?
A. That would be right, yes.
Q. And the truth is that you were always opposed, you together with the steering committee members of project $Z$, to anything like for sale by owner, weren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. So you regarded that as a potential source of competition, didn't you, direct listings?
A. Yes, that would be the portal competing with its customer.
Q. Right, so a form of competition to the would-be members of Agents' Mutual would be direct listing; right?
A. It would be to all agents.
Q. Including the members of Agents' Mutual; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And what you wanted to do by creating the members only portal that disallowed that type of direct listing was to preclude that type of competition, at least via your portal; that is right, isn't it?
A. Well, that restriction, as I explained earlier, exists already for Zoopla and Rightmove. It is a common clause, I suppose, in the contracts or the policies of all three portals precisely because the primary body of customers for those three portals currently is the
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## traditional estate agent.

Q. You regarded FSBO as a method of competing with, at this stage, proposed members of Agents' Mutual, didn't you?
A. Sorry, I didn't follow that.
Q. Sorry. You regarded direct listings as a potential way of competing with the businesses of the proposed members of Agents' Mutual, didn't you?
A. What we foresaw, and it was, as I touched upon earlier, a possible future direction that the portals might take, particularly given that there was no other choice available for the traditional agent. So what we saw was the portals potentially beginning to compete with their customers. And I would say Agents' Mutual is a perfectly normal commercial response to that, which is that if they want to compete with us, we'll compete with them.
Q. I am going to suggest to you again, Mr Springett, direct listing is a form of competition, isn't it, with the traditional estate agency business of your members; yes?
A. Yes, and it has been so for a long, long time of course. Anyone can sell their own home.
Q. Thank you, so the answer to that is yes. And what you are not allowing on your members' portal is that form of competition, are you?
A. No, in line with the existing policy of the other two.
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Q. Thank you. And indeed, as I think we saw in opening, you in one of the early documents said that private listings is something that the other portals could do and it would be a bit like what was happening on Auto Trader, direct listing of cars; yes?
A. Yes, that's quite a good --
Q. That's right. But I am right in saying, aren't $I$, that at least one of the founder board members regarded this exclusion of one method of competing with the members as potentially anti-competitive, didn't he?
A. Goodness, if there was, that's a bit of surprise to me.
Q. Perhaps I can remind you then. It is at bundle number 1, page 429. There is an email beginning at page 428. The only one on 428 really, halfway down, comes from what looks maybe the PA to Mr Noel Flint. He was a founding member and member of the steering committee, wasn't he, from Knight Frank; yes?
A. He was, yes.
Q. And he writes to you in January 2013, copied to the other principal founding steering committee members; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And he is commenting on your draft business plan; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And then over the page at the first hole punch he says:
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| 1 | "General comment under the section 'threats to | 1 | full service traditional estate agents, from forms of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | agents'." | 2 | competitive threat; that is right, isn't it? |
| 3 | And that included, didn't it, the point about not | 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | accepting direct listings or FSBOs? | 4 | Q. It seems to have been the view that one of your board |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | members took. If you take up please bundle number 5 and |
| 6 | Q. And he says: whilst we all realise one of the incentives | 6 | turn to 2896D. |
| 7 | is to deal with a possible threat to our business | 7 | A. Have we finished with bundle $X$ for the moment? |
| 8 | through portals taking private listings, I am not sure | 8 | Q. Yes, thank you, Mr Springett. Do you see that by the |
| 9 | this should form part of our document in case it adds | 9 | second hole punch on 2896D there is an email from |
| 10 | weight to an anti-competition claim. What do you | 10 | Mr Rook; yes? |
| 11 | respond? | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | A. Okay, we'll amend. | 12 | Q. 1 April. So he is a director on the board at this |
| 13 | Q. That's right. Because what you didn't want was | 13 | point, isn't he? |
| 14 | documents to be around that added weight to an | 14 | A. Yes, he is. |
| 15 | anti-competition claim, did you? | 15 | Q. And what he writes to Mr Jones -- I think we have seen |
| 16 | A. The fact of how we've conducted our business from the | 16 | him before; he is one of the west Wales agents, isn't |
| 17 | outset is hardly a secret. | 17 | he? |
| 18 | Q. It is part of the same theme we saw when we were talking | 18 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 19 | about some of the collective negotiations with the | 19 | Q. And what he responds amongst other things is about |
| 20 | group, wasn't it? | 20 | some -- he is being asked how many times will he have to |
| 21 | A. No. | 21 | go down to the smoke to be on the board. And he says: |
| 22 | Q. Don't write things down, don't create evidence trails, | 22 | "Monthly on average. It is well paid. It is well |
| 23 | we don't want incriminating materials in circulation. | 23 | worth it if we can develop AM for our mutual |
| 24 | That is right, isn't it? | 24 | protection." |
| 25 | A. No. | 25 | So protection for them as a group seems to have been |
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| 1 | Q. You also thought that at this stage -- we are talking | 1 | the view of at least one of your board members as of |
| 2 | about these early stages of project Z thinking -- you | 2 | that date? |
| 3 | thought that the property portals had powerful brands of | 3 | A. I think what he's talking about there is protection |
| 4 | their own and you were worried, you thought | 4 | against unlimited and unconstrained price increases. |
| 5 | a competitive threat to the traditional estate agency | 5 | Q. Thank you. Can we now, please, go to another theme that |
| 6 | market would be that portals could launch an estate | 6 | in my suggestion you and the steering committee members |
| 7 | agent of their own, didn't you? You saw that as | 7 | and then the members were trying to protect themselves |
| 8 | a competitive threat? | 8 | against. It is found in bundle $1 / 213$. |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | Do you recognise this as being one of the pages in |
| 10 | Q. And thought otherwise that they could brand an existing | 10 | an early discussion draft of what was then known as |
| 11 | agent in the name of, say, Rightmove Estate Agents, | 11 | project Z ? |
| 12 | something like that? | 12 | A. Yes, it is November 2011. |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | Q. Yes. One of the things that you were concerned about in |
| 14 | Q. And you didn't want those competitive threats to be | 14 | the range of threats that -- do you see the words "range |
| 15 | given any life blood, did you? | 15 | of threats" in line 2 on page 213 ? |
| 16 | A. We didn't have any means of affecting whether they came | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | to life or not. What we were looking at was | 17 | Q. I think you confirmed earlier you were largely |
| 18 | a competitive response from agents to say if that's | 18 | responsible for the production of this document, weren't |
| 19 | what, in your example, Rightmove chooses to do, then | 19 | you? |
| 20 | we're going to enter the portals market and provide | 20 | A. I was. |
| 21 | ourselves with an alternative. | 21 | Q. And you identify a range of threats. If you go down the |
| 22 | Q. The fact is that for all of these reasons that I have | 22 | page you refer to, beneath the table, the amount of |
| 23 | just been through, the Agents' Mutual was | 23 | money leaving to the main portals, the non-property |
| 24 | a fundamentally protectionist venture, wasn't it, | 24 | advertising and then there is another two threats. The |
| 25 | designed to insulate a category of the market, namely | 25 | three bullet points are three threats, and you say just |
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| 1 | above the bullet points: | 1 | these portal markets; correct? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "The last two threats are adding a percentage | 2 | A. The person who pays the agent is the vendor via the |
| 3 | referral commission on top of monthly listing fees." | 3 | commission. |
| 4 | So what you are worried about on behalf of you and | 4 | Q. There are two sides to these portal markets; correct? |
| 5 | the steering committee, and on behalf of prospective | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | future members, was that portals may be changing their | 6 | Q. And on one side, extremely important because it is one |
| 7 | pricing structure to you and asking for a percentage | 7 | whole side, are house-hunters; is that not right? |
| 8 | referral commission on top of the monthly listing fees, | 8 | A. It is right. |
| 9 | weren't you? | 9 | Q. And it is extremely helpful to the house-hunters, isn't |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | it, to know, for example, how long a house has been on |
| 11 | Q. And then another thing that you were concerned about at | 11 | the market when they are looking to buy that house; |
| 12 | the time that you wanted, in my suggestion, to protect | 12 | correct? |
| 13 | yourself against is to be found in this bundle at | 13 | A. Yes, and it -- |
| 14 | page 178. Do you see by the second hole punch there is | 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think we need to hear about the other side, |
| 15 | a series of bullet points under the rubric "The main | 15 | Mr Harris. I am sorry, but we do. |
| 16 | concerns of the agents are for the future". | 16 | A. Sorry, what was the point I was on, sir? |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: We have talked about one side of the market. |
| 18 | Q. And it is right to say, isn't it, that the traditional | 18 | A. The helpful to consumers, yes. |
| 19 | full service agents, including the steering committee | 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but which consumers? |
| 20 | members, had a profound concern about what they | 20 | A. As far as I understood it, somebody who is a consumer |
| 21 | described as the third bullet point, "the loss of | 21 | pays something for whatever they are consuming. But to |
| 22 | control of our data"? | 22 | get away from that possibly confusing term, I have |
| 23 | A. That's absolutely right. They -- the usage of that data | 23 | talked about customers in this context as being the |
| 24 | and the manner in which -- I gave you the example in the | 24 | agent is the customer of the portal, and the vendor or |
| 25 | 28 September presentation in Leighton Buzzard. They | 25 | the landlord is the customer of the agent. They are the |
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| 1 | were very concerned about that as a format of | 1 | people paying for this and ultimately providing the |
| 2 | presentation of properties that they had been instructed | 2 | revenue to the portals. And I am saying that the |
| 3 | on to market. | 3 | balance between providing a useful service on that side |
| 4 | Q. I think you -- and when I say "you" I mean effectively | 4 | of the market is attracting a consumer audience on the |
| 5 | you and the steering committee members who were dealing | 5 | other side, who are not paying, but who are benefiting |
| 6 | with this document. | 6 | from the service being provided on that side of the |
| 7 | A. Yes, agents really. | 7 | market. |
| 8 | Q. And on behalf of prospective members, yes. What you | 8 | MR FREEMAN: But, Mr Springett, if there were no buyers, |
| 9 | were worried was that -- when you say loss of control of | 9 | there would be no sellers, there would be no agents, |
| 10 | data, is that you were worried that there would be | 10 | there would be no portals. |
| 11 | a weakening of the agents' central role in property | 11 | A. I agree. |
| 12 | transactions via the provision of increased information | 12 | MR FREEMAN: So they do play some role in this great scheme |
| 13 | to consumers; correct? | 13 | of things. |
| 14 | A. That's certainly one of the concerns; that the agents' | 14 | A. I am not denying that, sir. |
| 15 | data, which they had created, was then being | 15 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. I am back on the house-hunting side |
| 16 | appropriated really by the portals and being used in | 16 | of the market then. You were talking about the vendor |
| 17 | a manner that wasn't helpful. | 17 | side. But I think you accepted from me a moment ago |
| 18 | Q. Not that -- sorry, not helpful to the agents; correct? | 18 | that from that side of the market, the house-hunter, in |
| 19 | A. And their customers. | 19 | further information, ie increased information to |
| 20 | Q. No, on the contrary, Mr Springett, extremely helpful to | 20 | consumers, quoting from your page 179, about how long |
| 21 | their customers. So, for example, the extra data being | 21 | a house has been on the market, that would be of benefit |
| 22 | provided by people like Zoopla and Rightmove were things | 22 | to those people, wouldn't it? |
| 23 | like how long a house had been on the market, wasn't it? | 23 | A. On the consumer side, yes. |
| 24 | A. Tell me how that's helpful to the vendor. | 24 | Q. And likewise increased information to consumers in the |
| 25 | Q. Mr Springett, there are two sides, aren't there, to | 25 | form of a price history of the price, that would be of |
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| 1 | benefit to that side of the market, wouldn't it? | 1 | to bid what I might otherwise have bid because I can see |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A. Increased information about? | 2 | the price is going down. That is not in the interest of |
| 3 | Q. The price history of a particular property. | 3 | your members, is it? |
| 4 | A. Yes, but it could be very disadvantageous to the person | 4 | A. I can't see how that's of benefit to the advertiser, the |
| 5 | paying for the advert. | 5 | person paying for the advert. |
| 6 | Q. And additional information that the house-hunter side of | 6 | Q. No, but it is of great benefit to the person who is |
| 7 | the two-sided market might find beneficial would include | 7 | trying to buy the house, isn't it? |
| 8 | independent valuation tools, wouldn't it? | 8 | A. I am sure it has some benefit. |
| 9 | A. Well, it would depend whether they were in any way | 9 | Q. And likewise, an independent valuation tool, instead of |
| 10 | accurate I suppose. | 10 | just seeing the valuation that might have been put upon |
| 11 | Q. A tool is going to be of use to them as opposed to | 11 | it by the estate agent, there is an independent tool and |
| 12 | having no tool, isn't it? | 12 | that might give rise to a lower valuation. That is of |
| 13 | A. As I say, it does depend on whether it is a good tool or | 13 | great benefit to the house-hunter side of the market, |
| 14 | not. | 14 | isn't it? |
| 15 | Q. But the point is that the proposition on behalf of your | 15 | A. Well, it might give rise to a higher valuation. |
| 16 | constituent members, at this stage in the form of the | 16 | Q. It might do. So it is a benefit whichever way it turns |
| 17 | steering committee and later on when it generated actual | 17 | out? |
| 18 | members, was to deny this increased information to | 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Harris, we may be getting into an area |
| 19 | consumers in the form of, for example, how long a house | 19 | which we will almost certainly be covering with the |
| 20 | has been on the market, for example, what it's price | 20 | experts. But speaking for myself, I am a little |
| 21 | history, or for example, by providing independent | 21 | uncomfortable with your use of the single designation of |
| 22 | valuation tools; that is right, isn't it? | 22 | consumer in this context. |
| 23 | A. No, not particularly, because you're implying that | 23 | MR HARRIS: I think I have been using house-hunter. |
| 24 | that's done by everybody. Rightmove doesn't provide all | 24 | THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you have got consumer, in the last |
| 25 | of that. They're the market leader. | 25 | question, sees a price history, and of course if the |
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| 1 | Q. There was a concern on the part of the steering | 1 | consumer is a house-hunter then it may be helpful, but |
| 2 | committee and then the actual members when they joined | 2 | if the consumer is the house-seller, for whom of course |
| 3 | that providing this increased information to consumers | 3 | the estate agent is acting, then different |
| 4 | might impact upon their bottom line, wasn't there? | 4 | considerations may come into play. |
| 5 | A. Not particularly. I think they were concerned, first of | 5 | MR HARRIS: That is a very fair point, sir. I will be more |
| 6 | all, that the data was owned by them, and as I mentioned | 6 | careful when I -- |
| 7 | earlier today, there was -- as soon as the portals were | 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: And in a sense, this is one of the |
| 8 | in a position to do so, in other words, a position where | 8 | complications of the market. Now, I am not sure how far |
| 9 | individually agents couldn't resist, they simply | 9 | we want to be drawn into a debate with a witness of fact |
| 10 | unilaterally changed the terms and conditions on which | 10 | in this area. I don't want to stop you, but I do think |
| 11 | that data was transferred. | 11 | if you are making these points, you need to be very |
| 12 | Q. The concern about the bottom line arises in this way, | 12 | careful about -- we are not talking about a two-sided |
| 13 | Mr Springett, doesn't it: that if you say to | 13 | market here. We are talking about two groups of |
| 14 | a house-hunter, by providing this additional information | 14 | consumers, where both of them are consumers. |
| 15 | to consumers, that a house has been on the market for, | 15 | MR HARRIS: That is a very fair point, sir, and apologies, |
| 16 | say, six months or two years, as opposed to just on the | 16 | Mr Springett, if I haven't been sufficiently clear to |
| 17 | market, you can't tell, that might lead to a lesser | 17 | you. |
| 18 | offer for that house, because the person looking at it | 18 | What I am suggesting to you by way of the increased |
| 19 | thinks: well, it's obviously not highly in demand. That | 19 | provision of these types of tools or further information |
| 20 | is right, isn't it? | 20 | is that they are all of potentially significant benefit |
| 21 | A. Yes, if you are the advertiser it is really not very | 21 | to the house-hunter consumer side of the two-sided |
| 22 | helpful at all, is it? | 22 | market; that is right, isn't it? |
| 23 | Q. And likewise, if the consumer sees a price history and | 23 | A. That may be. I suppose just thinking back, and it is |
| 24 | it can see that that is coming down again, that consumer | 24 | a while back, but what this table is really trying to |
| 25 | might think: I will just wait a minute. I am not going | 25 | show is a continuum down which the portals begin to |
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40 (Pages 154 to 157)

| 1 | compete with their customers. So you will see as the | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | end point there inclusion of direct private listings | 2 | Hayward. One can see that from page 1154. And |
| 3 | alongside agent listings and this is where the vendor is | 3 | Ms Whiteley writes to you on 21 June 2013 in an |
| 4 | able to approach, let's say, Rightmove, as they do in | 4 | attachment entitled "KFH.4doc". She says: |
| 5 | the overseas market, directly and disintermediate the | 5 | "Here you go, some thoughts on question 4. Helen." |
| 6 | agents. And we are flagging up that by the creation of | 6 | And the document itself was on page 1160. And then |
| 7 | the agents' own portal, we will in turn be able to | 7 | you can see that her bullet point 3 or numbered point 3 |
| 8 | compete with the portals. | 8 | is: |
| 9 | Q. Thank you. I suggest to you again, Mr Springett, that | 9 | "In terms of the portal not working and the concern |
| 10 | one of the central reasons why the steering group and | 10 | that you are prevented from listing with other effective |
| 11 | then the members were opposed to the provision of this | 11 | portals, the very strategy of Agents' Mutual will reduce |
| 12 | further information to the consumer house-hunter side of | 12 | the power of the other portals as none will have |
| 13 | the market was because it might result in lower prices | 13 | complete property coverage. The Agents' Mutual strategy |
| 14 | being paid for the houses or properties and, therefore, | 14 | will also make it more difficult, if not impossible, for |
| 15 | lower commissions to the traditional full service agent; | 15 | new portals to enter the market." |
| 16 | correct? | 16 | So she at least did have that view of part of |
| 17 | A. I think you're overstating the importance of that in | 17 | Agents' Mutual's strategy being to create new barriers |
| 18 | both cases, and it didn't really form a big part of any | 18 | to entry, didn't she? |
| 19 | of the presentations that we did to people. The main | 19 | A. I don't know whether she's talking about the purpose of |
| 20 | issues were -- the main driver for this is the ever | 20 | it. It might have had that effect. |
| 21 | increasing price rises. That was the current issue. | 21 | Q. She says the strategy, Mr Springett. |
| 22 | Q. Thank you. A moment ago, line 1, you said that it | 22 | A. Well, the objective of the strategy might not have been |
| 23 | didn't form a big part. But protecting the bottom line | 23 | that and was not that. |
| 24 | by not allowing the house-hunter consumer side of the | 24 | Q. So is your evidence that she has that wrong when she |
| 25 | market to have access via your portal to this increased | 25 | says part of the strategy is to make it more difficult, |
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| 1 | information was at least part of the aims and objectives | 1 | if not impossible, for new portals to enter the market? |
| 2 | of Agents' Mutual, wasn't it? | 2 | A. Which point am I reading from now? |
| 3 | A. Well, it's in line with current market policy of all the | 3 | Q. Number 3. |
| 4 | portals. | 4 | A. Reduce the power of the other portals; yes. |
| 5 | Q. So the answer is yes, then. What you are saying is yes, | 5 | "The strategy will also make it more difficult, if |
| 6 | but other people did it as well? | 6 | not impossible, for new portals to enter the market." |
| 7 | A. I'm saying it was the market standard at the time. | 7 | I do disagree with her on that, but in any event, as |
| 8 | Q. Yes, so the answer is yes then? | 8 | we know, it was extremely difficult for new portals to |
| 9 | A. Okay. | 9 | enter the market as it was. |
| 10 | Q. Is that right the answer is yes? | 10 | Q. But I think a minute ago -- you tell me if I have not |
| 11 | A. Remind me of the question again, please? | 11 | understood -- I think you said a minute ago that "that |
| 12 | Q. (Pause). "Not allowing the house-hunter consumer side | 12 | may have been the effect". I think those were your |
| 13 | of the market to have access via your portal to this | 13 | words. That was the effect, wasn't it, to make it more |
| 14 | increased information was at least part of the aims and | 14 | difficult, if not impossible, for new portals to enter |
| 15 | objectives of Agents' Mutual, wasn't it?" | 15 | the market, right? |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | A. Well, there hadn't been a new entry of any significance |
| 17 | Q. Thank you. Another one of the objectives of | 17 | to the market for probably six or seven years by the |
| 18 | Agents' Mutual was to create additional barriers to | 18 | time that we entered in January 2015, so whether or not |
| 19 | entry to new portals, wasn't it? | 19 | we made it more difficult $I$ think is a marginal point. |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | It was already very, very difficult to enter, given the |
| 21 | Q. I think certainly your number 2 , Ms Whiteley, took that | 21 | market circumstance that existed before. |
| 22 | view, didn't she? | 22 | Q. This may be one of the few points, Mr Springett, where |
| 23 | A. I doubt it. | 23 | we are just agreeing. |
| 24 | Q. Can we open up bundle 2, this time, please, and go to | 24 | A. Ah, excellent. |
| 25 | page 1160 . This is a draft response by Ms Whiteley to | 25 | Q. What a relief. I think you said a minute ago it may |
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| 1 | have made it more difficult, Agents' Mutual, for other | 1 | A. I agree. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | portals to enter the market. | 2 | Q. And likewise, that reduces their expenses, doesn't it, |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | because instead of having to spend on three or four or |
| 4 | Q. And what you are saying is: well, yes, it was already | 4 | five portals, they are in fact spending on only two |
| 5 | difficult, but your view is that it has made it more | 5 | portals under the OOP rule; correct? |
| 6 | difficult even though it was already difficult? | 6 | A. That they don't spend on any more than two. |
| 7 | A. I don't know whether it has or not, but any difference | 7 | Q. And it therefore reduces their expenses; correct? |
| 8 | would be marginal. | 8 | A. No, I'm saying prior to our entry to the market, you |
| 9 | Q. Thank you. We are going back now to an issue that has | 9 | heard Mr Symons say that he wasn't paying for any of |
| 10 | been raised before. Do you remember that we had an | 10 | these other portals. The same with Mr Wyatt. So in |
| 11 | interchange about the number and identity of portals | 11 | that sense reducing doesn't reduce their expenses. |
| 12 | either being a parameter of competition between estate | 12 | Q. But it certainly was an objective of Agents' Mutual, |
| 13 | agents or at least ways of competing as between estate | 13 | wasn't it, to reduce the number of portals that the |
| 14 | agents? | 14 | member agents were spending on, and in most cases, |
| 15 | A. Yes, I remember that. | 15 | therefore, for them to reduce their overall expenditure? |
| 16 | Q. And I suggested to you that one of the aims and | 16 | A. No, it didn't -- that's exactly what it didn't do |
| 17 | objectives of Agents' Mutual was for the estate agent | 17 | because the majority I think were listing on Rightmove |
| 18 | members of Agents' Mutual to reduce their output and | 18 | and Zoopla and not paying for anything else. |
| 19 | therefore their expense in competing with each other; is | 19 | Q. Mr Springett, I am now very confused by that answer |
| 20 | that right? | 20 | because it seems to be directly contrary to what you |
| 21 | A. I remember you said that, yes. | 21 | yourself wrote in bundle 1 at page 181. Back in one of |
| 22 | Q. But that is right, isn't it? One of the aims was that | 22 | the project Z documents which you wrote, and I am |
| 23 | instead of having to spend away on two or three or four | 23 | reading towards the bottom of the page of 181 , the first |
| 24 | portals, they would reduce the services that they | 24 | bullet point: |
| 25 | provided to a maximum of two portals and therefore save | 25 | "The effect of this will be ..." |
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| 1 | money; that is right, isn't it? | 1 | So that paragraph deals with the OOP rule. We are |
| 2 | A. Well, I think you heard Mr Symons say that there were | 2 | going to come back to that in a minute because of some |
| 3 | only two that mattered. | 3 | extremely interesting differences in the rule as it then |
| 4 | Q. Yes. Insofar as agents compete with two or three or | 4 | was. But the last sentence reads: |
| 5 | four, and we heard Mr Symons and Mr Wyatt and Mr Livesey | 5 | "The effect of this will be to reduce the number of |
| 6 | and Miss Frew, and in an unchallenged part of | 6 | portals in most cases each member lists with and their |
| 7 | Mr Forrest's evidence, all say that they have at times | 7 | overall portal expenditure". |
| 8 | and would be prepared to list on more than two portals. | 8 | So I suggest to you again that that was something |
| 9 | Insofar as that happens or has happened or would | 9 | you were aiming to achieve by the Agents' Mutual mutual |
| 10 | otherwise happen, it is restricted by the OOP rule, | 10 | company, weren't you? |
| 11 | isn't it? | 11 | A. Could I take you, Mr Harris, to the paragraph above the |
| 12 | A. It is restricted by the OOP rule, but Ithink you also | 12 | dot points? |
| 13 | heard Mr Symons say that the additional portals beyond | 13 | Q. Yes. |
| 14 | the duopoly were not a relevant factor in competition | 14 | A. Where it says: |
| 15 | between agents. | 15 | "To allow it to achieve a sustainable entry to an |
| 16 | Q. In so far as it comes down to 2 from a number above 2, | 16 | already highly concentrated market with two entrenched |
| 17 | that is less output, isn't it, from estate agents? | 17 | major players. The venture needs to harness the value |
| 18 | A. I think output, is that a technical ...? | 18 | of the aggregated property listings. The listings will |
| 19 | Q. They are doing less advertising. If they were or could | 19 | be committed to the preferred portal on an exclusive |
| 20 | be advertising on three or four or five portals and they | 20 | basis for at least three years." |
| 21 | are restricted to producing advertising output on only | 21 | So that isn't the One Other Portal rule. This is |
| 22 | two portals, that is obviously right, isn't it, | 22 | a much earlier stage of the evolution of the |
| 23 | Mr Springett? | 23 | proposition. |
| 24 | A. All right. | 24 | Q. I am sorry, this is the earlier gestation of the One |
| 25 | Q. You agree? | 25 | Other Portal rule, isn't it? |
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| 1 | A. But the dot points refer to the paragraph above, so this | 1 | concerns of agents was that they were being forced effectively, by the policy of the portal owners, to list on more than they wanted to. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | isn't One Other Portal in action. This was an early | 2 |  |
| 3 | draft where a part of the discussion was full | 3 |  |
| 4 | exclusivity, which was not in the end adopted. | 4 | Q. And I suggest to you that by restricting the number of |
| 5 | Q. It was supposed to be full exclusivity for at least | 5 | portals that each member lists with, that that amounts |
| 6 | three years, not five years; correct? | 6 | to a restriction in this parameter of competition |
| 7 | A. Well, that's what it says, but this is 2011. It is well | 7 | between estate agents, doesn't it? |
| 8 | before the actual business plan was crystallised. | 8 | A. It might have done had we done it, but we didn't do it. |
| 9 | Q. And it goes on --I am going to be coming back to this | 9 | Q. No, you obviously restrict -- you had an OOP rule when |
| 10 | paragraph, but since you wanted to go to it, do you see | 10 | you launched, didn't you? |
| 11 | at that stage of the thinking of you and the steering | 11 | A. Yes, but what you are referring to in this document is |
| 12 | committee not only was it only a three-year restriction, | 12 | a time where consideration was being given pre-merger in |
| 13 | but in fact the thinking was that: | 13 | the context where there were four portals, two of which |
| 14 | "The exemption should be made such that agents could | 14 | were under the same ownership and being marketed as |
| 15 | list their properties priced at less than 1 million or | 15 | a package and at a point where we were considering the |
| 16 | renting for less than 500 per week also on Rightmove | 16 | fully exclusive option, which was subsequently deemed |
| 17 | over this period." | 17 | unviable. |
| 18 | Correct? | 18 | Q. The OOP rule -- |
| 19 | A. This entire paragraph and this, really, the great | 19 | A. So that's a completely hypothetical set of ... |
| 20 | majority of the document, was superseded by things which | 20 | MR MACLEAN: Mr Harris, without interrupting your flow, |
| 21 | evolved to become the actual business plan of 2013. | 21 | could I just ask whose scribbles are there? I have one |
| 22 | Q. That is right. I am going to be coming back to this | 22 | other portal -- |
| 23 | because in my suggestion to you in due course it is | 23 | A. That is me. |
| 24 | a highly revealing at this stage that you were | 24 | MR MACLEAN: That is you? |
| 25 | identifying Rightmove as the one other portal. | 25 | A. Yes. And this is probably in response to feedback from |
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| 1 | A. Well, because -- | 1 | the steering committee. |
| 2 | Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett, I haven't quite finished. And | 2 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. So I am going to suggest to you |
| 3 | also very revealing that at that stage you thought you | 3 | again, Mr Springett, that the OOP rule as adopted by the |
| 4 | could enter with a three-year restriction. But for the | 4 | company has reduced this parameter of competition |
| 5 | moment I am still on the point in the first bullet, so | 5 | between estate agents because it reduces the number and |
| 6 | I suggest it to you again, and I will put it a different | 6 | identity of portals that they can list on, doesn't it? |
| 7 | way. | 7 | A. I don't agree with that and let me explain why. We were |
| 8 | Irrespective of the precise content of the OOP rule | 8 | in a situation where only two portals counted. We've |
| 9 | you had in mind at that stage, what you intended to | 9 | entered the market and there are now three portals and |
| 10 | achieve by the Agents' Mutual company was to reduce the | 10 | the intense focus of competition between estate agents |
| 11 | number of portals in most cases that each member lists | 11 | has been around those people who have remained with |
| 12 | with and their overall portal expenditure. | 12 | Rightmove and Zoopla and our members who have chosen one |
| 13 | That is right, isn't it? | 13 | of two combinations, three combinations possibly, |
| 14 | A. Well, it is a different circumstance because this is | 14 | Rightmove and OnTheMarket, Zoopla and OnTheMarket, or |
| 15 | pre-merger here. This is 2011. | 15 | OnTheMarket alone. |
| 16 | Q. I don't deny the date, but let me try again, | 16 | And within the bundle there are lots of examples |
| 17 | Mr Springett. | 17 | where agents who have not joined Agents' Mutual on the |
| 18 | A. Well -- | 18 | market are using that as a competitive weapon in the |
| 19 | Q. At that stage what you saw as one of the aims and | 19 | fight for vendors against our members. So I think I've |
| 20 | objectives of Agents' Mutual was to reduce the number of | 20 | maintained this all along, that competition around |
| 21 | portals each member lists with and therefore their | 21 | portals has increased relative to the situation that |
| 22 | overall expenditure. That is simply right on the face | 22 | existed before. |
| 23 | of the document, isn't it? | 23 | Q. There are two points there, Mr Springett. Just on |
| 24 | A. It was certainly one of the concerns because the market | 24 | a point of detail, you suggested I think in your answer |
| 25 | at that stage had four portals in it, and one of the | 25 | that there are some of your members who have chosen only |
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|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | OnTheMarket. That is simply not right, is it? | 1 |
| 2 | A. They could do though. | 2 |
| 3 | Q. But they haven't in fact, have they? | 3 |
| 4 | A. I think very few. | 4 |
| 5 | Q. That is right. And then my question was about what you | 5 |
| 6 | sought to achieve with the OOP rule, and largely you | 6 |
| 7 | answered by reference to what you say has happened. So | 7 |
| 8 | I am going to try again. | 8 |
| 9 | $\quad$ The aim of the OOP rule was to reduce competition as | 9 |
| 10 | regards the number and identity of portals that estate | 10 |
| 11 | agents would otherwise choose, which is an important | 11 |
| 12 | parameter of competition, isn't it? | 12 |
| 13 | A. Well, if you want to have a discussion about what | 13 |
| 14 | the aim of the one other portal is we shouldn't be | 14 |
| 15 | looking at a document that was drafted in November 2011. | 15 |
| 16 | We should -- | 16 |
| 17 | Q. I am not, Mr Springett -- | 17 |
| 18 | A. We should go to -- | 18 |
| 19 | Q. I carefully expressed the question as being the form of | 19 |
| 20 | the OOP rule at the time of launch. | 20 |
| 21 | A. The idea of that was to make sure that agents were not | 21 |
| 22 | spending any more than they currently were on their | 22 |
| 23 | portal roster. | 23 |
| 24 | Q. That is right, and not choosing more than two portals | 24 |
| 25 | where the number and identity of portals is an important | 25 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |
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parameter of competition; correct?
A. Well, I can't -- I can repeat it again, but I don't think that it was an important parameter in operation before. It became more so after our entry, even though the net effect of the One Other Portal rule was that our members would continue to list on two portals.
Q. I don't propose to take you back to the document unless you particularly want to see it, but earlier on we looked at the report back at the meeting where the 39 meetings at which, many of them, additional products on the OTM portal itself had been put forward or presented to agents. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. And my suggestion to you is that when the agents came back, mostly to say they wanted a level playing field, it is because they also didn't want to see a competition even on the OTM portal itself as between themselves, did they?
A. I think they, as you probably picked up from some of the things we looked at, saw the additional products as an unfair method of creating competition and primarily simply creating price increases. That is how those additional products were viewed, and the feedback from the members was: we do not want to recreate Rightmove here.
Q. I think just quoting back some of your answer there, you prefaced it with unfair, but then you went on to say it was a method of competition. The additional products would be a method of competition as between estate agents who are listing on Agents' Mutual, wouldn't they?
A. This is a dominant market leader restricting key advertising -- creating and then restricting key advertising positions and bidding the price up.
Q. No, no, Mr Springett. I am talking about additional products on OTM's portal. You said a moment ago in answer to the question that you described that as being for some reason an unfair method of competition.
A. No, no, I didn't. I said that's how those products were viewed in the context of the two existing portals, and Rightmove in particular.
Q. But as regards additional products on your own portal, that would be a method of people, even on your own portal, competing with each other, wouldn't it?
A. Had they been introduced, yes, it would.
Q. That is right. And the point is, Mr Springett, that the agent members didn't want even that method of competing between themselves, did they, and that's why they rejected it at these 39 presentation meetings; correct?
A. I don't know why individually they all rejected it. Not everybody did. But it was clear to us that they weren't
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wanted.
Q. We do know why they rejected it, Mr Springett, because you reported it in the note of that meeting -- I will happily turn it up again if you like -- where it says they wanted to create a level playing field.
A. Yes.
Q. And they didn't want to compete with each other, did they?
A. That's not true. That is the best place to compete, on a level playing field.
Q. Gentlemen, the reference is $8 / 4579$. I am not going to turn it back up. I am in your hands. I know there ought to be a break and we started a bit early.
THE CHAIRMAN: Let's rise for five minutes, but before we do, it may not matter but I just want to understand a little bit more about the witness's terminology. Please just use your terminology, not the lawyers'. You will recall the exchange Mr Harris and I had about consumers.
A. Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And please don't think like a lawyer. Think like the businessman you are. You threw into the exchange with Mr Harris the term "advertiser".
A. Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And what I am really interested in is your

| 1 | impression or your take in terms of the services being | 1 | a preference, yes, closer to 4.15 than 4.30 . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | provided by these portals and the relationship that you | 2 | MR HARRIS: I understand. Also I don't know about anyone |
| 3 | perceive they have with estate agents. Are you seeing | 3 | else but I am certainly increasing in temperature. |
| 4 | the portals as essentially providing advertising | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: I noticed that and was going to raise it, but |
| 5 | services? | 5 | thank you for raising it. We will raise it with a view |
| 6 | A. Yes, they -- I see them as media, and one of the crucial | 6 | to lowering it. |
| 7 | differences which $I$ alluded to before is unlike | 7 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. |
| 8 | a newspaper or a magazine, which attracts an audience by | 8 | MR MACLEAN: Sir, just before the Tribunal rises, as well as |
| 9 | virtue of the quality of the editorial content and then | 9 | listening to the fascinating debate about consumers, my |
| 10 | it sells the audience it has attracted to advertisers, | 10 | subconscious part of my brain has been thinking about |
| 11 | in the case of a portal the content that consumers come | 11 | the point Mr Harris raised with you at 2 o'clock, which |
| 12 | to see are the property listings themselves. So in | 12 | took me somewhat by surprise; the purdah point. If |
| 13 | addition to providing that valuable content to the | 13 | I can be permitted to make my submissions on a drip feed |
| 14 | portals, the agents are also providing the advertising | 14 | basis. |
| 15 | revenue to the portals. | 15 | The reason why Mr Harris's suggestion -- which, as |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see. But in a sense, you are seeing | 16 | I say, I was surprised by, because it is not my |
| 17 | the portals as one option for the estate agent of having | 17 | understanding of the normal position -- can't be right |
| 18 | their own paper property magazine or advertising in | 18 | is this: as I understand his position, at the start of |
| 19 | newspapers or, dare I say, television advertising. | 19 | the hot tubbing the experts go into purdah and they |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | emerge from purdah when they finish giving their |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: So one of a range of things. | 21 | evidence. Conveniently for Mr Harris, Mr Parker will be |
| 22 | A. Indeed. | 22 | cross-examined first, and he would come out of purdah, |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: And you see them as -- obviously, it is | 23 | on Mr Harris's view of the world, on Tuesday afternoon |
| 24 | a commercial decision as to which one you go for -- | 24 | and would not be in purdah on Wednesday afternoon when |
| 25 | A. Yes, and it is in the context of an overall marketing | 25 | Mr Harris was cross-examining Mr Bishop. But the |
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| 1 | mix that they might deploy ... | 1 | contrary position would not attain and so there would be |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: In that context, Mr Harris banded about the | 2 | inequality of arms, and that patently wouldn't be fair. |
| 3 | word "consumer". Would you regard the estate agent as | 3 | There are only two ways out of that -- |
| 4 | the consumer? Or do you think that is not a helpful | 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Stretch the purdah or abrogate it. |
| 5 | designation? | 5 | MR MACLEAN: The obvious answer to that, in order to better |
| 6 | A. As Mr Freeman quite rightly observed, buyers are also | 6 | assist the Tribunal by having more focused questions |
| 7 | sellers. But the person paying -- | 7 | asked of the experts, I would respectfully have thought |
| 8 | MR FREEMAN: That is not quite what I said. I said without | 8 | would be to release the purdah, which in my |
| 9 | buyers there wouldn't be sellers. Whether sellers | 9 | understanding is the normal position anyway, at the end |
| 10 | become buyers and vice versa, which I am sure they do, | 10 | of the hot tub in the usual way. |
| 11 | is a different question. | 11 | But Mr Harris' suggestion, if I have understood it |
| 12 | A. I understand that. What $I$ am focused on is that this is | 12 | correctly, cannot be right. |
| 13 | fundamentally an advertising service which is being | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: The order of the witnesses hadn't escaped me. |
| 14 | provided by the portals, and the agent selects whether | 14 | MR MACLEAN: No. |
| 15 | to list on that portal and pays a fee for the | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: I do want to think about the question of how |
| 16 | advertising. That content is then what attracts | 16 | far the purdah stretches, so ... |
| 17 | property seekers, which is the essential audience of | 17 | MR MACLEAN: If one asks oneself, why is the purdah rule |
| 18 | hopefully motivated buyers and tenants that satisfy the | 18 | there? It is to stop the witness being, as it were, |
| 19 | advertiser. | 19 | interfered with, suggestions made and so on, in the |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know if that helps very much but it | 20 | middle of that evidence. But the two are, in my |
| 21 | was something I wanted to bottom out. | 21 | submission, entirely separate exercises, and if one |
| 22 | MR HARRIS: Can I raise two very brief housekeeping matters. | 22 | says, what's the damage to the interests of justice of |
| 23 | I think we are finishing today at 4.15. Is that | 23 | the purdah stopping at the end of the hot tub? It is |
| 24 | correct? | 24 | very difficult to see what it is. |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: Between 4.15 and 4.30. But if we had | 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: I completely understand where both of you |
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| 1 | come from, but Mr Harris, don't let me shut you out. | 1 | different point, which is the fact that both of you may |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR HARRIS: I would like to consider it further. It doesn't | 2 | well want to speak to your experts between the ending of |
| 3 | arise right now. | 3 | the hot tub and the beginning of cross-examination, and |
| 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: It doesn't. | 4 | it seems to me that we would be inclined, if you wanted |
| 5 | MR HARRIS: Perhaps we can review the matter on Monday | 5 | that, hopefully not for very long, to afford it to you. |
| 6 | morning. We don't agree with Mr Maclean's submissions, | 6 | But it seems to me that the logic of that is that we are |
| 7 | but of course he's had the opportunity whilst I have | 7 | speaking about two separate processes. We are talking |
| 8 | been cross-examining -- | 8 | about the hot tub process, during which one purdah |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Whilst you have been slaving away in a hot | 9 | applies, that ends, and then one goes into |
| 10 | court room, he has been thinking about purdah. | 10 | a cross-examination where an individual purdah applies |
| 11 | MR MACLEAN: At some stage I was wishing I was in purdah. | 11 | to the witness giving evidence. |
| 12 | MR FREEMAN: Can't you think about two things at once, | 12 | MR HARRIS: That is one of the issues. The other issue |
| 13 | Mr Harris? | 13 | which is further at the forefront of our mind is that |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: Believe me, Mr Freeman, I have been trying. | 14 | the normal rule for purdah, as we understand it, is |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: We will rise for no more than five minutes. | 15 | there is a risk that during the course of giving |
| 16 | ( 3.10 pm ) | 16 | evidence, by consulting, talking to a witness, the |
| 17 | (A short break) | 17 | witness's evidence is then -- and I mean this in |
| 18 | ( 3.15 pm ) | 18 | a neutral sense, the word which is often used is |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: We have briefly hot tubbed ourselves about | 19 | "contaminated". The concern that therefore arises as |
| 20 | the process on purdah and provisionally our thinking is | 20 | a matter of principle, I am not suggesting any untoward |
| 21 | this: that we are minded to have the hot tub process, | 21 | behaviour or anything like that, is that Mr Bishop will |
| 22 | where the Tribunal, and only the Tribunal, is asking | 22 | be consulting with, whilst on one view still giving |
| 23 | questions of both experts, carried on in its separate | 23 | evidence, his team about matters of substance, including |
| 24 | purdah. When the hot tubbing ceases, the experts of | 24 | those which he has partly given his evidence in response |
| 25 | course depart and one goes into the witness box and the | 25 | to the hot tubing, and yet partly to give his evidence |
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| 1 | other, we think -- well, both are then released from | 1 | in relation to my cross-examination. |
| 2 | purdah and then we would be inclined to have a new | 2 | Whereas there is an opportunity on the current |
| 3 | process start where the experts are themselves | 3 | timetable for that to occur -- and as I say, I mean this |
| 4 | individually in purdah, if that makes any sense. | 4 | in the in principle sense of contamination -- for |
| 5 | Now, I appreciate that that does give rise to one | 5 | Mr Bishop between Tuesday lunchtime through to Wednesday |
| 6 | issue, which is the converse of the problem Mr Maclean | 6 | lunchtime, in sharp contrast, on the current timetable |
| 7 | raised, which is that you, Mr Harris, might want to take | 7 | there is nil opportunity for any of Mr Parker's evidence |
| 8 | instructions or consult with your expert in light of | 8 | to be "contaminated", although that might be subject to |
| 9 | some of the answers that were given in the hot tub for | 9 | a short window that you have just identified, but in any |
| 10 | the purpose of your cross-examination. I can see that | 10 | event that is a completely different window. |
| 11 | might be relevant. In other words, you might want, | 11 | So may we consider it further because -- |
| 12 | between the hot tubbing ending and the witness evidence | 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Someone has to go first and someone has to go |
| 13 | beginning, to have a conversation with your expert, and | 13 | second. |
| 14 | that I think is something which we would be inclined to | 14 | MR HARRIS: I accept that. |
| 15 | allow both parties. | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: And that is why I think it was actually your |
| 16 | MR MACLEAN: That is my problem, sir. It is my problem | 16 | problem and not Mr Maclean's problem that I was |
| 17 | because it is Mr Parker who is cross-examined first. So | 17 | addressing, because rather than having a situation where |
| 18 | I am the one who has the (inaudible) after the hot tub. | 18 | it is an inability on the part of you to speak to an |
| 19 | THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I may have got my parties sorted | 19 | expert immediately upon embarking upon cross-examination |
| 20 | out -- | 20 | of the other, which I can see you might well want to |
| 21 | MR MACLEAN: But when Mr Parker is finished on the Tuesday | 21 | say, I need to explore this with you, I am trying to |
| 22 | evening, he is out of purdah. Mr Harris is | 22 | afford both counsel that opportunity to consult with the |
| 23 | cross-examining on Wednesday afternoon, so he has no | 23 | experts they have. |
| 24 | problem. | 24 | By all means think further, but we'll have to have |
| 25 | THE CHAIRMAN: There is that point. There is a slightly | 25 | a view I think first thing Monday morning. |
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| 1 | MR HARRIS: Yes, sir. You see one thing that occurs to | 1 | something which has arisen, we will make our final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | me -- just on my feet; I am doing my best to think of | 2 | position clear on Monday morning, and if that is not |
| 3 | two things at once -- is that if Mr Parker is available | 3 | exactly as the Tribunal has indicated it is |
| 4 | on Wednesday morning, and I don't know, I have to take | 4 | provisionally minded to do, we will say that and the |
| 5 | instructions, it may be that the sensible course would | 5 | Tribunal will decide. |
| 6 | be to have the hot tubbing finished by no later than | 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. One more minute on this. We were |
| 7 | Tuesday afternoon. It is not as though we and the | 7 | minded to hand or circulate to the parties a short |
| 8 | Tribunal don't have plenty of things to do on Tuesday | 8 | protocol on the hearing of concurrent expert evidence, |
| 9 | afternoon in any event. And then Mr Parker give his | 9 | and I believe we will call it the hot tubbing process, |
| 10 | evidence on Wednesday morning and Mr Bishop give his | 10 | which will contain a few guidelines and a brief and |
| 11 | evidence on Wednesday afternoon. | 11 | fairly broad brush agenda for the experts. |
| 12 | MR MACLEAN: That doesn't work though. | 12 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: Because he is away. | 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: One thing that they can expect is an |
| 14 | MR MACLEAN: It is a non-starter. | 14 | evolution of the data that both experts helpfully |
| 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: Wednesday morning is a problem in terms of -- | 15 | provided the Tribunal in response to our question. We |
| 16 | MR HARRIS: In any event, in my respectful submission the | 16 | tried to pull that together into a single document for |
| 17 | matter does require further thought because each side | 17 | them to consider. So it might be helpful if both |
| 18 | has a legitimate concern and it needs to be fairly dealt | 18 | experts were to mark out Monday morning as time just to |
| 19 | with. I am not able to deal with that fully and fairly | 19 | digest both the protocol and the data. |
| 20 | right now on my feet, but I promise, if I can put it | 20 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. And I am hoping Mr Freeman won't |
| 21 | like that, sir, to come back with our final submission | 21 | invite me personally to digest it whilst I am finishing |
| 22 | on the topic on Monday morning and then the Tribunal | 22 | off the cross-examination. |
| 23 | will make its view very clear, whether the provisional | 23 | MR FREEMAN: I have enormous confidence in your ability to |
| 24 | view or -- | 24 | do so, Mr Harris. |
| 25 | MR FREEMAN: And you will have in mind that the experts as | 25 | MR HARRIS: Thank you very much. |
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| 1 | experts have a particular duty to the Tribunal -- | 1 | MR FREEMAN: And something else as well no doubt. |
| 2 | MR HARRIS: Absolutely, sir. | 2 | MR HARRIS: Mr Springett, if we could go back to some of |
| 3 | MR FREEMAN: -- not to allow themselves to be contaminated. | 3 | these bundles, please. I have one open in front of me, |
| 4 | MR HARRIS: I entirely accept that, sir. You can see the | 4 | bundle 2/992. If you could please turn that up. You |
| 5 | issues. | 5 | will recognise this is the second page of an email that |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: I see the issues, but I think Mr Freeman's | 6 | we already looked at and you wrote on 7 June, a report |
| 7 | point is well made in the sense that in | 7 | on progress, and we looked at it, the previous page, |
| 8 | contradistinction to the factual witnesses, who | 8 | where you said you were working on a southwest regional |
| 9 | obviously would never hot tub, the experts are obliged | 9 | meeting. Do you remember that? |
| 10 | to push back if they are being, as it were, pushed down | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | a route that they know in their duty to the court they | 11 | Q. If you turn over the page, at the top of the second page |
| 12 | don't feel it appropriate to go down. | 12 | you will see that: |
| 13 | So in a sense, the purdah rule is of less | 13 | "Michael F has kindly agreed to help form |
| 14 | importance -- I'm not diminishing it, but it is of less | 14 | a reasonable group in East Anglia, presenting to |
| 15 | importance in the case of experts than it is in the case | 15 | Cheffins and Bidwells ..." |
| 16 | of factual witnesses. | 16 | Michael F. Is that like Fiddes, a steering |
| 17 | MR HARRIS: Yes. | 17 | committee and then founder board member? |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Whereas for both parties to have the ability | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | to speak to their experts and be guided by them when | 19 | Q. And he is from Strutt\&Parker; is that right? |
| 20 | they are dealing with other experts in the witness box, | 20 | A. He is. |
| 21 | that is also quite an important aspect for the function | 21 | Q. And can we then put away number 2. I just wanted to |
| 22 | of an expert. I think you see where I am going on this, | 22 | locate another grouping, this time on the eastern side |
| 23 | Mr Harris. | 23 | of the country. Move into bundle number 10 now, please, |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: I can see there are competing interests. All | 24 | and open it at 5749. I think I am right in saying that |
| 25 | I am really saying, as I know you know, is that this is | 25 | this is an email amongst a group of East Anglian estate |
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| 1 | agents. Do you recognise any of those people? There | 1 | group of Norfolk and East Anglian estate agents, as |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | are various people. It becomes clear -- | 2 | identifying a threat to his grouping of traditional |
| 3 | A. I think this is a group of members or -- representing | 3 | agents from the online agents? |
| 4 | member firms. | 4 | A. I would. I mean, I think his primary purpose is at the |
| 5 | Q. They are based in the East Anglia region. If you turn | 5 | bottom of page 5750, which is to encourage everybody to |
| 6 | to the last page of the email you can see it is from | 6 | stump up 30 quid for an advert. |
| 7 | a Mr Hammond, managing director of Hammond Lee, which is | 7 | Q. That is right. But he is regarding them, in my |
| 8 | a Norfolk estate agent. Do you see that? | 8 | suggestion to you, Mr Springett, as a threat, and he is |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | even quantifying the threat and saying effectively -- do |
| 10 | Q. And what he talks about is a collective marketing | 10 | you think this is a fair characterisation? -- "Look, |
| 11 | campaign. And then if it is not unfair to paraphrase | 11 | they are taking away from us potential fees of just over |
| 12 | Mr Hammond in this way -- I appreciate that you | 12 | half a million to nearly three quarters of a million |
| 13 | personally didn't receive this email but I can see that | 13 | pounds"? |
| 14 | your number 2 did, right, Miss Whiteley; that he has | 14 | A. He is identifying competitor activity. |
| 15 | effectively -- as I say, I hope this is not unfair -- | 15 | Q. Exactly. So they are competitors, and indeed they are |
| 16 | a sort of a bit of a moan or a go at online agents in | 16 | head-on competition, these online agents, aren't they, |
| 17 | Norfolk. Do you see the top of page 5750? So what he | 17 | in many ways geared to undercutting the fees charged by |
| 18 | says is: | 18 | the traditional online agents; is that right? |
| 19 | "The properties for sale by online agents in | 19 | A. That's exactly right; that they -- and one of their |
| 20 | Norfolk ..." | 20 | approaches to this is to present themselves as full |
| 21 | And then he names some very prominent | 21 | service agents but at a fraction of the price. And one |
| 22 | non-traditional non-full service agents, doesn't he? | 22 | of the objectives of the traditional agents, and again, |
| 23 | A. He does. | 23 | Mr Symons made reference to it, is to highlight the |
| 24 | Q. So amongst the more famous, or perhaps you prefer | 24 | differences, differentiate themselves. |
| 25 | infamous, Mr Springett, such people are Purplebricks and | 25 | Q. In a moment I shall take you to a document that suggests |
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| 1 | then eMoov; yes? | 1 | that there is really no substantive difference. I will |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | do that in a moment. But I am right in saying, |
| 3 | Q. And indeed Hatched. Who now owns Hatched? | 3 | aren't I, that some of the member agents of |
| 4 | A. I believe Connells acquired it. | 4 | Agents' Mutual regard online agents as simply stealing |
| 5 | Q. So we will come back to that, Mr Springett. But in any | 5 | their business, don't they? |
| 6 | event, what he is saying is: here are some properties | 6 | A. Well, if they do, it's in their minds. |
| 7 | being sold in my neck of the woods by some of these | 7 | Q. I am sorry, I don't quite understand that. "If they do, |
| 8 | well-known online estate agents. And he is basically | 8 | it's in their minds." What does that mean? |
| 9 | a bit upset, isn't he, because what he then says is, | 9 | A. In other words, I'm not aware of what they all think |
| 10 | having identified a total of 197 properties on his | 10 | and ... |
| 11 | online estate agents in his region, he goes on to set | 11 | Q. That is not right, is it, Mr Springett, because in fact |
| 12 | out a little arithmetic exercise at the bottom and he | 12 | in volume 14/7910 you are expressly aware of a group of |
| 13 | says beneath it: | 13 | agents where they say exactly that they think these |
| 14 | "I consider the 197 instructions at an average | 14 | online agents are stealing their business. 7910 in |
| 15 | asking price, according to Rightmove, in Norfolk of | 15 | bundle 14. Do you see that this is another -- I don't |
| 16 | 207,000 equates to 40-odd million of property values. | 16 | believe we looked at this one before, but this is |
| 17 | Let's assume we use this figure and multiply our average | 17 | another example of an email amongst the west Wales |
| 18 | fees [that is a commission fee, isn't it, of | 18 | grouping, isn't it, this time February 2016? |
| 19 | 1.25 per cent and 1.75 per cent]. We are basically | 19 | A. Right, yes. |
| 20 | allowing the online presence to remove a potential | 20 | Q. And it is copied to you, isn't it? |
| 21 | income from ourselves of between ..." | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Well you can see the figures, 510,000 to | 22 | Q. It attaches some notes of a meeting that they held, this |
| 23 | 714,000-odd." | 23 | west Wales group, at a meeting in Carmarthen. |
| 24 | So would it be fair to characterise this email in | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | your view, Mr Springett, as Mr Hammond, amongst this | 25 | Q. You see over the page the notes start. And then the bit |
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| 1 | I would like to take you to is -- actually, there are | 1 | Agents' Mutual, setting up a situation in which they |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | two bits. The bit on page 7911 by the second hole | 2 | wouldn't be able to compete with the other members of |
| 3 | punch, just above it. As I understand it, this is | 3 | Agents' Mutual? |
| 4 | recording the notes of the group meeting, and it says in | 4 | A. Well, I'm not aware of that, and it's obviously wrong |
| 5 | the second sentence: | 5 | because they are pretty vigorous competitors. |
| 6 | "The two large portals are supporting what could be | 6 | Q. Can I take you back then to the -- I think you have it |
| 7 | the biggest threat to the independent estate agency | 7 | in loose form -- the transcripts of the Northern Ireland |
| 8 | industry and the growth of the online-only estate | 8 | meetings. Can we pick it up at page 92 in the bottom |
| 9 | agents, including Purplebricks." | 9 | numbering. Do you see this is being put forward as |
| 10 | Do you see that? | 10 | being a transcript of the words of Lorna Kerr, the |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Agents' Mutual representative in Northern Ireland. Do |
| 12 | Q. And then the bit that you said you didn't know that they | 12 | you see that? |
| 13 | thought is over on 7913, just below the first hole | 13 | A. Yes, I see that. |
| 14 | punch: | 14 | Q. And do you see over the page at the top of 93 it is |
| 15 | "Do we want a situation with Tesco, Purplebricks ... | 15 | being put forward as her words where she says, picking |
| 16 | stealing the business through the likes of Rightmove and | 16 | it up at the third line down: |
| 17 | Zoopla?" | 17 | "It is estimated over the next five years that the |
| 18 | So you did have some reports at least of | 18 | online estate agency business will grow by 50 per cent, |
| 19 | Agents' Mutual members regarding online competitors as | 19 | so there is a huge amount of investment going into |
| 20 | stealing their business, right? | 20 | online estate agency just now, which is a threat to your |
| 21 | A. Okay. | 21 | business." |
| 22 | Q. And indeed, I think it is right that one of the aims of | 22 | And it is being put forward, isn't it, as her |
| 23 | Agents' Mutual was to set up a situation in which those | 23 | speaking to a group of estate agents; yes? |
| 24 | onlines would not be able to compete with you, isn't | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | that right? | 25 | Q. '... and if that's something that you don't see coming |
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| 1 | A. Sorry, you have lost me slightly. | 1 | in Northern Ireland, again, what I would say to you is |
| 2 | Q. One of the aims of the Agents' Mutual business was to | 2 | just because you can see the brand of Purplebricks, you |
| 3 | set up a situation in which the onlines would not be | 3 | are maybe not recognising all the other smaller guys who |
| 4 | able to compete with the full service agents that were | 4 | are operating from home just now. They wouldn't be able |
| 5 | members? | 5 | to do it if it wasn't for the portals allowing them to |
| 6 | A. No, that's complete nonsense. They have use, continuing | 6 | do it and they wouldn't be able to compete with you. So |
| 7 | use of the two existing very powerful portals. It is | 7 | that's why people decided to back Agents' Mutual." |
| 8 | not like they're short of places to advertise, and that | 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | only covers portals, and there are lots and lots of | 9 | A. I do, and there is a point I'd like to make about this, |
| 10 | other places where they can advertise. What you will | 10 | which I did touch on earlier, that it is a blurring of |
| 11 | see at the bottom of 7913 is: | 11 | the line between private listings by vendors and |
| 12 | "We must all shout and get our staff to shout about | 12 | landlords and property professionals, estate agents, |
| 13 | the service we offer compared to online-only agents." | 13 | because some of the propositions that are now put out as |
| 14 | Q. I am sorry, Mr Springett. Thank you for bearing with me | 14 | agents are no more than a conduit for private vendors |
| 15 | for a moment. (Pause). | 15 | and landlords to be able to list their properties |
| 16 | I suggest to you that there was at least one | 16 | directly on the two major portals. And so our |
| 17 | Agents' Mutual's representative who saw the strategy of | 17 | perspective on that has been it is, as we have suspected |
| 18 | Agents' Mutual as including setting up a situation in | 18 | for quite some time, just part of a journey towards the |
| 19 | which the likes of Purplebricks wouldn't be able to | 19 | portals themselves becoming the competitor of the |
| 20 | compete with Agents' Mutual. Do you accept that that is | 20 | agents. And so a difference was established I think in |
| 21 | a view that was taken by -- | 21 | Mr Livesey's witness statement between the likes of |
| 22 | A. I don't think -- I didn't particularly regard | 22 | Purplebricks, who have what they call local property |
| 23 | Purplebricks at this point -- it might become one -- as | 23 | experts, so they are far more than just an internet |
| 24 | a competitor for Agents' Mutual. | 24 | listing service. And an example is tepilo, which offers |
| 25 | Q. No, as excluding competition against the members of | 25 | precisely what I have just described: pay $£ 50$, have your |
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| 1 | property listed on Rightmove or Zoopla. | 1 | full service, community based locally visible agents and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | So at the same time that Rightmove is saying: we | 2 | so it is no different from Rightmove saying, we only |
| 3 | don't accept private listings, and maintaining the faith | 3 | want to work with property professionals and not with |
| 4 | with the majority of its customer base, it is also | 4 | members of the public. |
| 5 | actually facilitating that very thing but via this kind | 5 | Q. That is right, but Mr Springett, we are dancing around |
| 6 | of aggregated listing service. | 6 | again here, aren't we, because you have excluded online |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: And the aggregated listing service simply | 7 | agents from your online property portal, haven't you? |
| 8 | facilitates the listing, so if one, for instance, has to | 8 | A. In the same way that -- |
| 9 | show the potential purchaser round the houses on the | 9 | Q. The answer Mr Springett, is yes, isn't it? |
| 10 | market, that would be the homeowner who would do it. | 10 | A. They are excluded, but you are trying to take me away |
| 11 | A. Correct. So they are very low service operations. And | 11 | from what the true purpose of it is which is |
| 12 | it is that kind of -- it is the development of that kind | 12 | differentiation of the traditional model. We want to |
| 13 | of thing which has become a concern to the traditional | 13 | make -- whereas the interest -- it is very interesting |
| 14 | agents. | 14 | to read the Purplebricks IPO prospectus on this topic. |
| 15 | My personal view is it won't last very long because | 15 | Their approach to marketing is to try to present an |
| 16 | either that model will fail or the portals themselves | 16 | impression that they deliver exactly the same service |
| 17 | will adopt it. | 17 | but cheaper and it is in the interests, and Mr Symons |
| 18 | MR HARRIS: I am sorry, either that model will fail or what? | 18 | mentioned it in his evidence, that fair enough, compete |
| 19 | A. Or the portals themselves will adopt it by taking | 19 | with us but we want to find a way to get clear to the |
| 20 | private listings themselves. | 20 | public that our offering to you is actually different |
| 21 | Q. I see, but the point that I am suggesting to you is | 21 | and one of our propositions is OnTheMarket and it is in |
| 22 | being made by this evidence here in the transcript for | 22 | all of our marketing material, it is in our TV |
| 23 | what weight the evidence is worth is an Agents' Mutual | 23 | advertising to say: if you are using our portal and you |
| 24 | representative putting forward to a group of agents in | 24 | find a property and you make an enquiry you can be |
| 25 | Northern Ireland that it is going to be, as a minimum | 25 | comforted by the knowledge that you will be dealing with |
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| 1 | more difficult for online agents to compete with the | 1 | one of those traditional agents. |
| 2 | agents of Agents' Mutual if they aren't on the portal or | 2 | Q. Thank you, Mr Springett. Online agents are not allowed |
| 3 | on portals. That is right, isn't it? | 3 | to list on OnTheMarket, are they? |
| 4 | A. Well, it would be impossible for them to operate if they | 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | weren't on any portal. It is their entire business | 5 | Q. Thank you. But in fact online agents are allowed to |
| 6 | model. | 6 | list on Rightmove, aren't they? |
| 7 | Q. You contribute in my suggestion to the undermining of | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | this competitive business model to that of your members | 8 | Q. And online agents are allowed to list on Zoopla, aren't |
| 9 | by denying those very people access to your portal, | 9 | they? |
| 10 | don't you? | 10 | A. They are now. |
| 11 | A. I don't agree at this stage that's the case. If we were | 11 | Q. Thank you. I would like to take you, please, to the |
| 12 | to be in a position of market power that would be | 12 | email I said I would come back to where -- bundle |
| 13 | different. They have plenty of other options. | 13 | $7 / 4011$. Do you see that this is a copy of an email from |
| 14 | Q. Your purpose of denying them access to your venture is | 14 | a Mr Quirk who is the chief executive of one of the big |
| 15 | precisely in order to deny them what you regard as the | 15 | online agents, is he not, of eMoov? |
| 16 | necessary, if you like, publicity or advertising for | 16 | A. Of an online agent, yes. |
| 17 | their competing business model. That is right, isn't | 17 | Q. And it is to Mark at the National Association of Estate |
| 18 | it? | 18 | Agents, yes, Mark Hayward? |
| 19 | A. No, because -- I have tried to explain already, but the | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | purpose of that term of our contract or that term of our | 20 | Q. If you look over the page, that gets passed over to you |
| 21 | policy is to define who it is that we want to serve not | 21 | and indeed over the page you say: |
| 22 | who it is not who we want to serve. | 22 | "Please find attached a draft reply." |
| 23 | Q. But you have excluded them from your online business | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | model, your portal, haven't you? | 24 | Q. I will come back to your reply in just a moment. |
| 25 | A. Our membership rules specify that we want to work with | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | Q. But would you mind just reading that to yourself so that | 1 | have to compete harder? It puts pressure on margins and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you can see what Mr Quirk has to say. Members of the | 2 | this is a sector that's already very competitive in that |
| 3 | Tribunal as well, please. (Pause) | 3 | regard and it's compounded by the influence that the |
| 4 | Have you had a chance, Mr Springett, to just refresh | 4 | portals had on their costs. |
| 5 | your memory? | 5 | Q. I am delighted that you should say that, Mr Springett, |
| 6 | A. I have. | 6 | because your reaction is that a competing business |
| 7 | Q. Thank you very much. I suggest to you on the basis of | 7 | model, what do you say that one is competition, you |
| 8 | this email that in fact the online model is essentially | 8 | compete harder? |
| 9 | just a cheaper competing business model but that in many | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | respects is substantially the same as the service | 10 | Q. But in fact you haven't done that, have you? What you |
| 11 | provided by the traditional full service agents, isn't | 11 | have done is taken a restrictive rule to exclude them |
| 12 | it? | 12 | from your portal so they can't compete against you using |
| 13 | A. Well, based on Mr Quirk's email you would think so. | 13 | your portal. That is right, isn't it? |
| 14 | Q. Yes, and I am suggesting to you that that is right, | 14 | A. I think again, I would say that we don't see the likes |
| 15 | isn't it? | 15 | of eMoov as a competitor to Agents' Mutual. |
| 16 | A. And I'm disagreeing. | 16 | Q. What you have done as a mutual company, the members have |
| 17 | Q. I see. But it is interesting that he also refers to you | 17 | excluded from one means of competition these online |
| 18 | on a different occasion at the bottom of page 4011 as | 18 | agents by excluding them from the members own portal, |
| 19 | referring to these online agents including his company | 19 | haven't they? |
| 20 | as "parasitical". Is that accurate what he says there, | 20 | A. I will go through the explanation again. What we are |
| 21 | that you labelled his company as parasitical? | 21 | seeking to provide here is a rule which allows us to |
| 22 | A. No. | 22 | address a part of the market which is our target market. |
| 23 | Q. But it is your view about online agents, isn't it? | 23 | Q. Thank you, but you do regard these online agents as |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | being head on competition geared to undercutting your |
| 25 | Q. Then what you do, Mr Springett, if I may say with quite | 25 | member agents, don't you? |
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| 1 | some skill, is that you do draft a response, don't you? | 1 | A. I think they are part of the competitive environment |
| 2 | We don't need to go through it. I will just tell you | 2 | which is already very competitive. |
| 3 | where it is. It is a draft response at 4016 but what's | 3 | Q. You do regard them as being head on competition as |
| 4 | telling about the draft response, Mr Springett, is what | 4 | geared to undermining the full service agents, don't |
| 5 | you say in the cover email to the draft response to be | 5 | you? |
| 6 | found at page 4010? | 6 | A. Well that would be anti-competitive, wouldn't it? |
| 7 | A. Just before we leave that, as you referred to it, | 7 | Q. Well, let's have a look then at bundle number 5, |
| 8 | I think the key line on 4016, which is my draft reply, | 8 | page 2585 . I completely agree, Mr Springett, if you did |
| 9 | is: | 9 | hold that view it would be anti-competitive, wouldn't |
| 10 | "It is certainly not possible to argue that any | 10 | it? |
| 11 | National Association member has less choice as a result | 11 | A. No, what I was meaning was if their objective was to |
| 12 | of Agents' Mutual's entry to the market." | 12 | undermine the traditional agent. |
| 13 | Q. Thank you. What you are very careful to do in drafting | 13 | Q. Let's see what you say in your own words on page 2585, |
| 14 | your response, as you say in 4010, is in the second line | 14 | Mr Springett. |
| 15 | thereof: | 15 | MR FREEMAN: Head on competition means coming straight |
| 16 | "In drafting it, we have avoided any reference to | 16 | towards you, does it? |
| 17 | a pricing differential between the internet only and the | 17 | MR HARRIS: I am not sure in the context of a two-sided |
| 18 | local office agents as this does not form any part of | 18 | internet model I would like to respond to that, |
| 19 | our eligibility criteria." | 19 | Mr Freeman. |
| 20 | Do you see that? | 20 | MR FREEMAN: Not even while doing something else. |
| 21 | A. That's correct. | 21 | MR HARRIS: Not even while doing something else. |
| 22 | Q. But in fact a profound concern of the Agents' Mutual's | 22 | Do you see this is an email that you wrote, |
| 23 | was the undercutting price model from these head to head | 23 | Mr Springett, on 30 March to 2014 to at least two |
| 24 | estate agents, wasn't it? | 24 | agents, one of whom is at least a founder board member, |
| 25 | A. Additional competition, how do you respond to that? You | 25 | correct? |
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A. Yes.

```
Q. Let me put the question if I may. So these new business
    models may seek to move themselves forward in a certain
    way and you regard that as something that you have to
    defend the full service agent members against, don't
    you?
A. This comes back to a subsidiary objective of
    Agents' Mutual which is to provide an alternative place
    for traditional agents to move to if they find that
    Rightmove, for example, starts to compete with them. So
    they would move -- I think I have understood this
    correctly -- to the same horizontal level of
    competition.
Q. Thank you. We will both have learnt more about that by
    the end of this trial?
A. More than we wanted.
Q. Yes. But in fact what you do go on to say in the next
    paragraph is that you will not accept listings from such
    businesses. That is from the online agents, isn't it?
    It is not from Rightmove and Zoopla. They are not going
    to list on OnTheMarket, are they?
A. That is quite right.
Q. It is a fundamentally protectionist rule you excluding
    these online business competitive models?
A. No.
Q. Because -- it is your words, Mr Springett, "as it is
```
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        there to defend full service agency"?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And that is because you and the member agents regarded
        these online agents as contributing towards an
        existential crisis, didn't you?
    A. I did.
    Q. Thank you. But there is a bit of a tension, isn't
        there, in excluding the online agents from your own
        portal, isn't there, because the truth is that the more
        agents you get on to your online portal the stronger the
        venture would be, right?
    A. It is not just a question of volume but, yes, that's one
        of the objectives.
    Q. But in fact, right at the outset of this venture, as
        I understand it, your own view with the steering
        committee was the idea was to be as inclusive as
        possible and to include any and all independent agents
        because that would contribute to a stronger venture.
        That is right, isn't it?
    A. That's certainly true. We wanted it to be fully national and we wanted it to be relevant at all price levels in the market.
Q. And to include any and all independent agents, right?
A. Well that's -- it is just linguistic but, yes, I think it is reasonable to say that we were thinking of the

| 1 | kind of estate agent that is defined by our membership | 1 | put it in a different way by reference to a different |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | rules. | 2 | document. |
| 3 | Q. I see, so you are saying that you never ever had | 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Fair enough. |
| 4 | a thought of including non-traditional non-full service | 4 | MR HARRIS: I am afraid, Mr Springett, we are going to go |
| 5 | agents in the venture? | 5 | back to a document that I well and truly thought we had |
| 6 | A. I think as far as I can remember that's always been one | 6 | seen the last of, the note of the four-party meeting, so |
| 7 | of the elements and it's partly because we thought it | 7 | our old friend, bundle 14/7735. Do you see on 7735 in |
| 8 | would be attractive to the traditional estate agent. | 8 | the third bullet point, we have dealt with essentially |
| 9 | Q. But I am right in saying that if you got listings from | 9 | the second and third lines, do you remember we were here |
| 10 | the online estate agents you would certainly generate | 10 | before when I was -- and you said: no, you must look at |
| 11 | more income for the new venture, wouldn't you? | 11 | the CMA letter and we did? |
| 12 | A. Well, we might lose some from agents choosing no longer | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | to be with us. | 13 | Q. And then it carries on. It says: |
| 14 | Q. If you got greater income from the online agents -- | 14 | "Even with the three we would be a long way from |
| 15 | A. And everything else was equal, then I agree with what | 15 | that, one eighth of our rightful revenue. However, we |
| 16 | you said. | 16 | would accelerate quickly and might need to drop it |
| 17 | Q. Yes. In those circumstances, and I accept your "if", | 17 | later." |
| 18 | that is a fair point, Mr Springett, but in those | 18 | At that point you are talking about the OOP rule and |
| 19 | circumstances the company would have more members | 19 | a possible need to drop it later, correct? |
| 20 | quicker, wouldn't it? | 20 | A. That is quite right. |
| 21 | A. Well, subject to the "if". | 21 | Q. Then what you go on to say is: |
| 22 | Q. Subject to the if, yes? | 22 | "Similarly, any restriction on internet only might |
| 23 | A. Okay. | 23 | not be sustainable for ever." |
| 24 | Q. And it would therefore have a bigger budget sooner | 24 | A. Yes, and that is also referenced to that CMA letter. |
| 25 | wouldn't it? | 25 | Q. But in fact, the restriction on internet only has |
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| 1 | A. Subject to the if. | 1 | absolutely no time limit to it at all, does it? |
| 2 | Q. Yes. Could spend more marketing at an earlier stage, | 2 | A. Well, we did cover this the other day, but at the point |
| 3 | yes? | 3 | where it's appropriate for it to be dropped and under |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | advice from our legal team we can do that. |
| 5 | Q. Establish itself more quickly, couldn't it? | 5 | Q. It was never sold to members, was it, this internet only |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | restriction or the Bricks and Mortar restriction to |
| 7 | Q. That is right. In those circumstances you wouldn't need | 7 | members as being time limited, was it? |
| 8 | the OOP rule to endure for such a long period, would | 8 | A. I think originally the time period that most members |
| 9 | you, even on your case? | 9 | would have had in their heads would have been if they |
| 10 | A. If we got to a position where we could stand on our own | 10 | were signing for a five year contract, the five years, |
| 11 | two feet without it, you mean? The faster we got to | 11 | I don't think it would have featured very highly in |
| 12 | such a position the earlier we could release that rule. | 12 | their consciousness. And it is really a matter for our |
| 13 | If that's what you're saying, then I agree with it. | 13 | board in consultation with our legal team to assess and |
| 14 | Q. To be fair to you, I know it has been a very long week | 14 | determine when the right time comes. And I think it |
| 15 | and I apologise because I misstated in part that last | 15 | would be very unlikely if we were to communicate to the |
| 16 | question. I said you wouldn't need the OOP rule for as | 16 | members that that time had come and that there would be |
| 17 | long. What I should have said you wouldn't have needed | 17 | a furore insisting that we keep those restrictions in |
| 18 | to have the restriction against online agents in place | 18 | place, bearing in mind the board's view would be that |
| 19 | for as long as if you were generating more income from | 19 | they, in part at least, would be needed to be released |
| 20 | them, would you? | 20 | to make sure we didn't fall foul of the law. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am not sure that question works. Isn't the | 21 | Q. Thank you. I am not sure that you have quite answered |
| 22 | premise that you are having online agents on and | 22 | the question, Mr Springett. It wasn't ever sold to |
| 23 | therefore no rule excluding them? | 23 | members when they were signing up to this arrangement |
| 24 | MR HARRIS: Sir, I am going to move on because I haven't | 24 | that the restriction on internet only members would be |
| 25 | done that quite in the way I intended so I am going to | 25 | time limited, was it? |
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|  | A. You are right, and I have said I think that the focus of | 1 | board's perspective as well, is almost irrelevant because we've always understood that at whatever time those kind of restrictions run into anywhere near competition law issues we would release them. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | people's minds in terms of time would have been more to | 2 |  |
| 3 | do with the time of the contract, the length of the | 3 |  |
| 4 | contract that they were entering into. | 4 |  |
| 5 | Q. That is right. In fact, I am glad you mentioned the | 5 | Q. Thank you. But I am afraid, Mr Springett, I am going |
| 6 | membership contracts because the internet only | 6 | have to put that exact question again. Is it right that |
| 7 | restriction or otherwise referred to as the Bricks and | 7 | what you thought you were doing with the various |
| 8 | Mortar restriction is not limited in time in the | 8 | membership contracts was only having a Bricks and Mort |
| 9 | membership contracts either, is it? | 9 | restriction for up to five years; yes? |
| 10 | A. Well the contract itself though is time limited. It is | 10 | A. I honestly don't recall exactly what the contract, how |
| 11 | five years. | 11 | the contract was constructed, but $I$ think it is fair to |
| 12 | Q. No, actually, Mr Springett that is not right, is it? | 12 | say that when the original gold members signed up at the |
| 13 | The listing period is limited in time. | 13 | end of 2013, theirs was a six-year commitment. The |
| 14 | MR MACLEAN: I think my learned friend is now debating the | 14 | first year was pre-launch. It was five years afterwards |
| 15 | legal effect of the contract. I am not sure Mr | 15 | and I think everyone's mind who was involved was |
| 16 | Springett can help with that. I am sure he has a view | 16 | thinking, well, that is a realistic contractual |
| 17 | but I am not sure it will assist the Tribunal. | 17 | framework to help this business enter the market and |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: We'll proceed. I take the point, Mr Maclean, | 18 | prosper. |
| 19 | but you can carry on, Mr Harris. | 19 | Q. What you thought, Mr Springett, was that you were going |
| 20 | MR HARRIS: Thank you. I suggest to you in fact the | 20 | to only have a Bricks and Mortar restriction in place |
| 2 | membership contracts limit the duration of the listing | 21 | for five years post-launch for anybody; that's right, |
| 22 | period but not of membership. That is right, isn't it? | 22 | isn't it? |
| 23 | A. That's true. | 23 | A. I think that's akin to what I have just said, I don't |
| 24 | Q. That is right, isn't it? In fact it is by definition of | 24 | know. |
| 25 | being a member within the meaning of the membership | 25 | Q. And if I'm right and in fact it is not limited to five |
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| 1 | rules that you have to be an estate agent or letting agent which definition excludes the online estate agencies and the non-traditional agencies, isn't that right? <br> A. I'm sure you are technically right but it would be a slightly bizarre situation to have one without the other. <br> Q. I completely agree. So if I am indeed right that the membership definition carries on beyond the five years which therefore excludes beyond the five years people from becoming members who are online agents et cetera you would regard that as completely bizarre; is that right? <br> A. I suppose $I$ am saying that, as is set out in the business plan, the legal advice we received led us to, alongside the commercial necessity, put in place a contract with a five-year term for as many agents as we could encourage to enter into it and support the business in that way. <br> Q. I see, so is it fair Mr Springett, and another way of putting that, is that you thought what you were doing with the various membership contracts was only having a Bricks and Mortar restriction for five years? <br> A. I think the time that is set in any of the documents from my perspective, and I believe it would be the | 1 | years, then it goes further than you ever thought would be the case, a restriction on online agents; yes? <br> A. Yes, but let me repeat. However long that time period is, and even if it is unlimited, we completely understand that these things have to be looked at on a continuous basis, and there may well be at some point a commercial good reason to release them and there may be a legal necessity to release them. <br> Q. I understand that, Mr Springett, but I am not dealing with that point. What I am dealing with is the point that you never thought that you had in place or would need in place a restriction on online agents for more than five years, did you? <br> A. It hasn't been something that has -- it is an issue that hasn't been to the fore, let me put it that way. <br> Q. So are you saying that you had no view one way or the other as to whether or not you thought the restriction on online agents would last for more than five years? <br> A. I think the honest answer is no, because we've always regarded the five-year horizon as -- <br> MR MACLEAN: Sorry -- <br> THE CHAIRMAN: Carry on, Mr Springett. <br> A. A period of time within which this business will at least get to a stage where it looks like it can achieve what it set out to or it won't. And if it looks like it |
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| 1 | is and we're driving forwards, then we will begin to | 1 | depending on the development of Agents' Mutual, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | knock up against some of the competition law aspects | 2 | there I understand the evidence to be that you would |
| 3 | that we have discussed, but also there may be commercial | 3 | wait and see what happened and it might be that |
| 4 | reasons why we might want to change it. | 4 | commercial imperatives or it might be that legal |
| 5 | MR MACLEAN: Sir, this is with respect, a slightly | 5 | imperatives, or a combination of the two, might cause |
| 6 | unsatisfactory way of proceeding. You will recall from | 6 | a change. |
| 7 | the listing agreement that there are two restrictions: | 7 | A. In a nutshell, yes. |
| 8 | there is the OOP restriction, which is in clause 6 of | 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Does that help? |
| 9 | the listing agreement, and there is the marketing | 9 | MR HARRIS: Yes, I am happy. Thank you, Mr Maclean, for |
| 10 | restriction in clause 7. The Bricks and Mortar position | 10 | your intervention. I have asked the questions that |
| 11 | is not a restriction in the listing agreement at all. | 11 | I need to ask, including about what Mr Springett thought |
| 12 | It is plugged into the membership rules that one can | 12 | the duration and nature of the restriction was, and now |
| 13 | only become a member if you are an estate or letting | 13 | I am going to move on. |
| 14 | agency, rule 2.1.3. This series of questions, which | 14 | I can deal with the next topic in relatively short |
| 15 | further to the last time I got to my feet was to suggest | 15 | order and I am venturing to suggest that that might be |
| 16 | this was all slightly wrong-headed cross-examination, | 16 | the last one for today. |
| 17 | because what the contract means is a matter of law. | 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: Right. |
| 18 | What Mr Springett thought the contract means isn't | 18 | MR HARRIS: I am very grateful. |
| 19 | relevant to that question. I see it might be relevant | 19 | Can I take you, please, to bundle 10/5802, |
| 20 | to how long he thought a restriction was going to be in | 20 | Mr Springett. I hope that this is a list of all the |
| 21 | place for. | 21 | other brands that are included under the Connells |
| 22 | But the Bricks and Mortar point is not a restriction | 22 | heading. If you like, under the umbrella of Connells |
| 23 | in the listing agreement in the same way as the European | 23 | parent ownership. Is that how you understood it? |
| 24 | market. It is plugged into the very membership rules. | 24 | I think this is a slide from Agents' Mutual, right? |
| 25 | And therefore it is not a question of Bricks and Mortar | 25 | A. 5802? |
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| 1 | being in place for one year, five years, ten minutes or | 1 | Q. It may be that it wasn't you that produced it. It |
| 2 | until the end of time. | 2 | begins on 5790. |
| 3 | It is simply that under the rule you cannot be | 3 | A. Let me see what it is. Membership update. It seems to |
| 4 | a member of Agents' Mutual unless you are what we are | 4 | be a set of slides that would have been presented to our |
| 5 | calling the Bricks and Mortar agent. And so to that | 5 | board. |
| 6 | extent my learned friend's questions, which are premised | 6 | Q. Yes. So I am not suggesting that you produced them but |
| 7 | on the Bricks and Mortar rule being a restriction in the | 7 | they do appear to be an Agents' Mutual production; |
| 8 | same way as it will appear on the market restrictions in | 8 | correct? |
| 9 | the letting agreement, it isn't actually the correct | 9 | A. Yes, I agree. |
| 10 | factual or legal basis for the question. | 10 | Q. And if you look at 5801 there is a whole series of |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think we have a number of hares running at | 11 | brands that some of us may recognise from the high |
| 12 | the moment. We have, first of all, what the various | 12 | street but underneath the Countrywide umbrella; yes? |
| 13 | elements that comprise the agreements of which | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Agents' Mutual is a part mean, which, with great respect | 14 | Q. Separate brands; yes? |
| 15 | to you, Mr Springett, isn't a matter for you. | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | Q. So, for instance, one I recognise is Bridgfords and |
| 17 | THE CHAIRMAN: We have Mr Maclean's point, which is whether | 17 | Bairstow Eves; yes? |
| 18 | there is a difference in terms of restriction. Whether | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | if it arises in the contract, it will arise in the | 19 | Q. And then similarly on 5802, but this time for Connells? |
| 20 | rules, which also is not a matter for you, Mr Springett. | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | We then have what you thought was being imposed by these | 21 | Q. Right. So they have got William Brown, et cetera |
| 22 | matters, which may be a matter on which only you can | 22 | et cetera, and one of those brands is, of course, |
| 23 | speak. I am not quite sure of its relevance, but we | 23 | post-dating this set of slides; it is Gascoigne Halman, |
| 24 | will hear what you have to say. And we equally have the | 24 | isn't it, now? |
| 25 | final point, which is what you would do in the future | 25 | A. Yes, following their acquisition, yes. |
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| 1 | Q. And that acquisition, I think went through | 1 | of that firm to join, didn't you? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | on November 2015 according to Mr Forrest's witness | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | statement at paragraph 10. | 3 | Q. And the qualification was that simply each individual |
| 4 | A. Okay. | 4 | brand must adhere to the company's terms of membership |
| 5 | Q. So it post-dates this slide. But what we could do if we | 5 | as a standalone firm; yes? |
| 6 | wanted this to be a bit more up-to-date, we could update | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | that slide for Connells and we could add in, say, | 7 | Q. And the second condition was that the individual brands |
| 8 | Gascoigne Halman and probably a few others, because | 8 | must be established and separately managed agencies; |
| 9 | Mr Livesey's evidence was that they had about 590 | 9 | yes? |
| 10 | branches now whereas this says 501 . | 10 | A. That's correct. |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Q. So you expressly foresaw circumstances in which there |
| 12 | Q. Is that fair? | 12 | might be a separate brand but within a larger group, and |
| 13 | A. Yes, I mean, I don't know what the precise numbers are | 13 | provided it paid its own fees and was separately |
| 14 | but $I$ am happy to go with the 590 because it is what | 14 | managed, it could join by itself, right, without having |
| 15 | Mr Livesey said. | 15 | any implication for the other brands within the same |
| 16 | Q. Thank you. It would also have to be updated now, | 16 | corporate group; correct? |
| 17 | wouldn't it, because a few moments ago you accepted from | 17 | A. I think it actually hasn't arisen. We haven't had to do |
| 18 | me that Connells also owns one of the online estate | 18 | that or we haven't been given the opportunity to do it. |
| 19 | agencies called Hatched; that's right? | 19 | Q. In fact, this is perhaps where we'll end today, |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | Mr Springett, it has expressly arisen and you have |
| 21 | Q. Do you accept that on all the evidence we had a moment | 21 | expressly allowed somebody within a corporate group to |
| 22 | ago Hatched simply couldn't ever be permitted to list on | 22 | list, without any attempt to implicate or cover the |
| 23 | OnTheMarket, could it, because it is an online agency | 23 | remainder of the group. |
| 24 | and they are all excluded, right? | 24 | Can I take you to that example as my closing |
| 25 | A. With that model that would be correct. | 25 | document today. Bundle number 13 and can you please |
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| 1 | Q. And I think you would also accept from me, wouldn't you, | 1 | turn to page 7250. Just refresh your memory. |
| 2 | that if Gascoigne Halman had been a member of the | 2 | Mr Springett, is any of this in fact confidential? |
| 3 | Connells group already at the time of Gascoigne Halman's | 3 | Because it is all marked yellow in my bundle. |
| 4 | listing with you OnTheMarket, then Gascoigne Halman | 4 | A. Well, to the extent that it is about a contract between |
| 5 | would not have been bound to procure that its parent and | 5 | us and other parties, I guess -- |
| 6 | sister companies also list on OTM, right? | 6 | Q. Would it be fair -- you tell me if it is not -- provided |
| 7 | A. You are saying to me if Connells had already been | 7 | I don't mention any names of other parties, then the |
| 8 | a member of ours? | 8 | rest of it can be just used openly? |
| 9 | Q. If Gascoigne Halman had already been owned by Connells. | 9 | A. I completely agree with that, yes. |
| 10 | A. Had already been owned by Connells. And what? And had | 10 | Q. Thank you very much. So do you see that picking it up |
| 11 | attempted to join us? | 11 | at the bottom of the chain at 7250 , there is an email to |
| 12 | Q. Yes, if Gascoigne Halman had already been a member of | 12 | Jess from Chloe about a particular company? |
| 13 | Connells by the time it joined OTM -- | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | A. Well, I mean that would have been an unusual set of | 14 | Q. And then up the chain that company name is mentioned. |
| 15 | circumstances and not one that we would routinely | 15 | That is the name of a firm of estate agents, isn't it? |
| 16 | accept. We did think about that when we drafted the | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | information memorandum and I think we made provision for | 17 | Q. So it has an odd name but it is a firm of estate agents? |
| 18 | subsidiaries of groups that wanted to make an | 18 | A. Yes, we can use -- if it helps we could use initials. |
| 19 | application to join us to be considered, but it wouldn't | 19 | Q. Well, shall we just call it L? |
| 20 | ordinarily have been a routine piece of agent | 20 | A. Yes, indeed. |
| 21 | recruitment for us and a new contract established. | 21 | Q. So L. Thank you. That is very helpful. Then it goes |
| 22 | Q. I am not making a suggestion about how frequent it is, | 22 | up the chain. In the first query by the first hole |
| 23 | Mr Springett, but you expressly set out in putting | 23 | punch Jess is saying, "My understanding was that if |
| 24 | together the Agents' Mutual company that there could be | 24 | a corporate that wasn't with us purchased an agent, then |
| 25 | separate brands joining without requiring all the brands | 25 | we let the agent go. Same process as some other |
|  | Page 219 |  | Page 221 |


|  | branches." But she wants confirmation about whether or |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | not that's effectively the right approach with L, yes? |
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. And then she passes -- that's partly written to Helen. |
| 5 | That's Helen Whiteley, yes? |
| 6 | A. Yes. <br> 7 |
| 8 | Q. And Helen Whiteley writes back, "I don't think the |
| 9 | purchase by Countrywide" -- |
| 10 | MR HARRIS: That is not a sensitive name, is it? |
| 11 | A. I don't think so. |
| 12 | Q. So that's not L, sir. |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: No, okay. |
| 14 | MR HARRIS: "And I think in any cases like this please refer |
| 15 | to me or Ian." |
| 16 | And then up the chain again all the correspondence |
| 17 | is on a certain file name. |
| 18 | "Nothing about Countrywide from our end was |
| 19 | confirmed in writing. Only that we will review when |
| 20 | they could disclose who the business was being sold to. |
| 21 | I then confirmed that we would process the cancellation |
| 22 | effective on a certain date." |
| 23 | Then up the chain Ms Whiteley writing back: |
| 24 | "Unfortunately this cancellation was agreed a little |
| 25 | while ago" and then she mentions -- it was L. "And |
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I don't think we can go back and undo it but any new purchases by the corporates will not be allowed to cancel."
Then at the top you are directly involved and you say:
"No problem. I think I did say at the Countrywide meeting that we could be flexible."

```
        Is that right?
```

A. Yes.
Q. So that's right, isn't it, in the Countrywide meeting that you had had one-to-one with Alison Platt of Countrywide and perhaps some of her colleagues, you had said to her that you could be flexible about what would happen when one of the big corporates bought another agency that was listing with OnTheMarket, yes?
A. I don't think that was covered at that meeting, no.
Q. So when you say, "I did say at the Countrywide meeting that we could be flexible" what do you mean by that?
A. We met with -- not with Alison Platt. This was a separate meeting.
Q. Sorry.
A. And it related to, I believe, the Countrywide acquisition of a business called John Francis which is familiar territory in west Wales.
Q. Thank you, but your reference to --
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```
A. Sorry, I didn't answer your question. So the meeting
    was with, I believe, the regional director of
        Countrywide who covers that part of the world and
        therefore John Francis fell under his remit.
    Q. But the reason that you are mentioning flexibility here,
        Mr Springett, now that we have been through the chain
        from bottom to top, is because what you are saying is
        that even though Countrywide have brought L it doesn't
        have the effect of binding the entire rest of the
        Countrywide stable into listing on Agents' Mutual, does
        it?
    A. Well --
    MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, that is the legal question. That
        is what the contract means. It is not a legitimate
        question for Mr Springett.
    MR HARRIS: Not at all.
    MR MACLEAN: What the contract means is a matter of law.
    MR HARRIS: I will rephrase the question.
    THE CHAIRMAN: You can ask what he did and you can ask what
        he thought. I confess I am not sure how far that has
        a bearing on the legal meaning of the procure rule but
        I suppose it is conceivable that it bears on the factual
        matrix.
    MR HARRIS:We can see, the fact is, Mr Springett, that what
        happened was that instead of any binding effect around
```
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        the rest of the Countrywide stable --
        MR MACLEAN: I am sorry, but the email talks about being
        flexible. Mr Harris is trying to equate being flexible
        with what the contract might or might not mean. That is
        not a legitimate exercise.
    MR HARRIS: No, I am asking a question about what happened.
    THE CHAIRMAN: He is asking about what happened but we will
        get into this. When one discusses factual matrix in an
        agreement that covers many. As I understand it. You
        need an understanding that crosses the line on all
        fronts. I know you are not trying to deal with the
        meaning of the contract, Mr Harris, because that would
        be pointless --
    MR HARRIS: Absolutely.
        THE CHAIRMAN: -- but I think you need to keep this quite
        short.
    MR HARRIS: This is I hope the very last question.
    THE CHAIRMAN: Because I confess even on the factual basis
        I am not really sure that this is going to help us very
        much.
    MR HARRIS: We'll leave it like this, Mr Springett: so what
        happened was that in these circumstances the agreement
        with \(L\) was cancelled, wasn't it?
    A. Yes, this one was, it appears to have slipped through.
    MR HARRIS: Thank you. That's my final question for today.
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    | 1 | Thank you very much, Mr Springett. Thank you, | 1 | out and provide them. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr Springett for bearing with me and the Tribunal. | 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Indeed, just between ourselves make sure they |
| 3 | Sir, I think Mr Woolfe would like to raise | 3 | are in the ring. |
| 4 | a housekeeping point on instructions. | 4 | MR WOOLFE: Just for the avoidance of doubt I think what |
| 5 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, just a short point. There have been | 5 | I said on the transcript was that they were content for |
|  | a couple of instances where Mr Springett mentioned in | 6 | their names to be provided both in the confidentiality |
| 6 | a couple of instances where Mr Springett mentioned in | 7 | ring and indeed for Agents' Mutual, actually Mr |
| 7 | the course of his evidence not just today but I think | 8 | Springett to -- |
| 8 | going back to Day 4 of the hearing, Day 4 and Day 5 | 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: I think that is what the record says, so I am |
| 9 | where in the course of giving evidence he mentioned | 10 | grateful for that, thank you. |
| 10 | certain documents, certain emails from Savills that he | 11 | Anything else? |
| 11 | received on Day 4 and a list of emails he received from | 12 | MR HARRIS: No, sir. Thank you ever so everybody, in |
| 12 | Mr Rook. | 13 | particular for putting up with me in the second half of |
| 13 | My instructing solicitors wrote to Eversheds asking | 14 | this week. |
| 13 | My instructing solicitors wrote to Eversheds asking | 15 | THE CHAIRMAN: None of us will say anything about that, |
| 14 | for disclosure of those documents. Their response as of | 16 | Mr Harris. |
| 15 | yesterday was simply to say that they couldn't look for | 17 | Mr Springett, you remain in purdah until Monday |
| 16 | them because Mr Springett is in purdah and they weren't | 18 | morning. We will resume at 10 o'clock. |
| 17 | able to take his instructions whilst he is in purdah. | 19 | ( 4.30 pm ) |
| 18 | We simply observe that they don't need to take his | 20 | (The court adjourned until Monday, 13 February at 10.00 am ) |
| 19 | instructions to make some effort to find the documents. | 21 |  |
| 20 | If they can't find them they can't find them but we |  | Ruling $\qquad$ |
| 21 | would like the Tribunal possibly to direct that they do | 22 | MR IAN SPRINGETT (continued) $\qquad$ |
| 22 | make some effort to try and locate the documents. | 23 |  |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: The trouble is, Mr Woolfe, it rather depends |  | Cross-examination by MR HARRIS (continued) ....... 4 |
| 24 | on how easy it is to find the documents without | 24 |  |
| 25 | Mr Springett's assistance. It is all a question of | 25 |  |
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| 1 | proportionality. |  |  |
| 2 | MR WOOLFE: Yes. They haven't said it is not possible to |  |  |
| 3 | locate them without his assistance. What they have said |  |  |
| 4 | in correspondence, sir, if I can read it out: |  |  |
| 5 | "We are unable to liaise with Mr Springett with |  |  |
| 6 | regard to his evidence nor are we able to take his |  |  |
| 7 | instruction concerning documents to which he referred." |  |  |
| 8 | Obviously that is the case. But what we are asking |  |  |
| 9 | is if they could make an effort to try and locate them |  |  |
| 10 | and if they can to disclose them. |  |  |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: I am not going to make a direction because, |  |  |
| 12 | as both parties know from what I said before, I know |  |  |
| 13 | that they take their ongoing disclosure obligations very |  |  |
| 14 | seriously and I am quite sure that Eversheds will comply |  |  |
| 15 | with that. And so if they need Mr Springett then you |  |  |
| 16 | won't get them. If they don't then you will. |  |  |
| 17 | MR WOOLFE: Thank you, sir, that is acceptable. Thank you. |  |  |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: We will be inserting the identities of -- |  |  |
| 19 | I can't remember what we called them, Y and Z I think |  |  |
| 20 | they are into the confidentiality ring with regard to |  |  |
| 21 | the transcripts of the Northern Ireland conversation, |  |  |
| 22 | won't we? |  |  |
| 23 | MR WOOLFE: Sir, yesterday you said that we could find out |  |  |
| 24 | and provide them and so you are indicating that we |  |  |
| 25 | should now find them. We don't know but we will find |  |  |
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| A | access 158:25 | 163:13 171:10,20 | 56:9 59:5 83:12 | 55:23,24 56:2,3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ability 2:11,13 | 159:13 195:9,14 | 171:23 172:3,9,16 | 89:12,14,17,21,24 | 56:12 57:16 58:8 |
| 183:18 184:23 | accessing 59:18 | 199:25 | 131:9,15,24 | 61:11 80:1,19 |
| able 47:17 56:5 | accords 121:16,17 | address 3:21 | 149:24 163:19,20 | 81:7 82:23 83:11 |
| 60:13 109:4 | accumulated 38:1 | 132:11 200:22 | 163:21 172:7,8 | 108:19 110:18 |
| 114:12 124:1,6 | accurate 3:12 | addressed 4:2 | 174:4,14,18,19 | 138:15 140:19 |
| 158:4,7 182:19 | 113:12 154:10 | 134:13 | 175:13,16 195:16 | 142:18 143:1 |
| 190:24 191:4,19 | 198:20 | addresses 131:11 | 196:23 | 144:1,11 147:7,11 |
| 192:2 193:4,6,15 | achieve 10:23 | addressing 76:2 | advice 12:7 13:10 | 152:2,24,25 |
| 226:17 227:6 | 45:25 55:10 57:17 | 181:17 | 13:19 14:3,23 | 156:11 157:3 |
| Abrahamson 13:15 | 57:21 102:24 | adds 146:9 | 15:15 17:12 101:9 | 158:3,15 162:17 |
| 17:12 | 106:5 165:9,15 | adhere 220:4 | 104:19 105:8,9 | 172:21 174:17 |
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| 2016 123:25 189:18 | 43:17 45:6 116:24 | 590 218:9,14 | 113:10 |  |
| 20172:1 | 160:5 226:8,8,11 | 6 | 7735 100:12 208:7 |  |
| 207,000 187:16 | 228:22,23 <br> 4,000 <br> 7.5 | $\frac{6}{64: 1415: 12 ~ 17: 24}$ | 7737A 90:25 $7910 \text { 189:14 }$ |  |
| 21 15:14,19 70:24 109:15 160:3 | 4,000 7:5 $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 0} 44: 2,10$ 62:20 | 64.1415 .1217 .24 $79: 21214: 8$ | 7911 190:2 |  |
| 213 149:15 | 4.15 175:23,25 | 6,000 108:19,25 | 7913 190:13 191:11 |  |
| 22 88:12 | 176:1 | $\begin{gathered} 109: 2,7 \\ \mathbf{6 . 3 0 0} 109: 7 \text { 110:2 } \end{gathered}$ | $8$ |  |
| 24 91:23 92:6 | 4.30 175:25 176:1 | 6,300 109:7 110:2 <br> 110:25 111:8 | $\frac{\mathbf{8}}{\mathbf{8} 28: 851: 9}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ 109:10,14 | $\begin{array}{r} 228: 19 \\ 4061: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 110:25 } 111 \\ \mathbf{6 2 2 6} 79: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 828: 851: 9 \\ \text { 8/4140 24:18 } \end{array}$ |  |
| $2585201: 8,13$ $264673: 10$ | 40 61:11 40-odd 187:16 | $6227 \text { 80:18 }$ | 8/4579 173:11 |  |
| 2646 73:10 $\mathbf{2 8}$ 65:25 93:12 | 4010 199:6,14 | $\mathbf{6 2 3 2} 81: 2$ | 84 123:23 |  |
| 98:25,25 99:1 | 4011 198:18 | $6233 \text { 81:9 }$ $\mathbf{6 2 3 4} \text { 81:18 }$ | $9$ |  |
| 140:25 150:25 | $4016199: 3,8$ 402922.4 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{6 2 3 4} 81: 18 \\ \mathbf{6 2 4 4} 79: 23 \\ 82: 6,14 \end{array}$ | 978:11 |  |
| 2896D 148:6,9 | $402922: 4,7$ $403122: 2$ | 6244 79:23 82:6,14 <br> 88:22 | 9.95 83:3 |  |
| 28A 99:1 2951 18:1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 4031 \\ \text { 40II } 76: 22 \end{array}$ | $6245 \text { 82:13 }$ | $9032: 1933: 5,8,9$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 2951 & 18: 1 \\ \text { 2EB } 1: 5 \end{array}$ | 4125 29:17 30:3 | 6247 93:17,22 | 33:10 35:22 45:3 |  |
| 2EB 1.5 | 31:8 | 6249 94:11 95:13 | 48:12 116:15 |  |
| 3 | 4126 28:9,23 | 96:18 | 90/10 32:19 |  |
| 3 25:23 28:8 48:2 | 4127 29:3 | 6251 97:15 | 92 192:8 |  |
| 73:8 104:8 128:9 | 428 145:14,14 | 6252 97:15,23 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 3} 192: 14 \\ & \mathbf{9 5} 125: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |

