

IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case Nos: 1292/5/7/18 (T)

1293/5/7/18 (T)

1294/5/7/18 (T)

BETWEEN:

(1)– (339) SUEZ GROUPE SAS AND OTHERS

Claimants

- and -

(1)– (4) FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V. AND OTHERS

Defendants

- and -

(1)-(15) IVECO S.P.A. AND OTHERS

Third Parties

AND BETWEEN:

(1)– (139) VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT S.A. AND OTHERS

Claimants

- and -

(1)– (15) FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V. AND OTHERS

Defendants

- and -

(1)- (4) PACCAR INC AND OTHERS

Third Parties

AND BETWEEN:

(1)– (153) WOLSELEY UK LIMITED AND OTHERS

Claimants

- and -

(1) – (4) FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V. AND OTHERS

Defendants

- and -

(1)– (15) MAN SE AND OTHERS

Third Parties

REASONED ORDER

UPON reading the correspondence from the solicitors to the claimants dated 28 February 2019, from the solicitors to the Iveco defendants dated 4 March 2019 and from the solicitors to the MAN defendants dated 1 April 2019

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Iveco defendants and the MAN defendants who are Addressees of the Decision of the European Commission dated 19 July 2016 in Case AT.39824 - Trucks ("the Decision") identify (either jointly or separately) by 26 April 2019, whether by way of a supplementary schedule or by list: --

which of the recitals in the Addressee Defendants' Response to the Claimants' Recital Schedules served on 5 February 2019 pursuant to the orders of the Tribunal made on 21-22 November 2018 (the "Orders") that these defendants have contended are not binding as they do not form an essential basis of the Decision, these defendants admit as a matter of fact or law; and where the defendants do not admit all the facts and matters in a recital, whether there are any parts of that recital which are admitted.

2. The costs occasioned by the correspondence referred to above are reserved to the hearing on 2-3 May 2019.

REASONS:

- 1. The purpose of the Orders was to establish the relevant scope of the dispute on the binding nature of the recitals to the Decision, so that the Tribunal can consider at the next Case Management Conference the most appropriate way to determine this dispute as a matter of case management. Insofar as a recital is admitted, any dispute as to whether it is binding as a matter of law is irrelevant.
- 2. Since these defendants will have considered the Statement of Objections adopted by the Commission against them on 20 November 2014 and thereafter engaged in settlement discussions with the Commission expressing their views on the objections raised against them, this order imposes no hardship upon them.

Made: 2 April 2019

Drawn: 3 April 2019

The Hon Mr Justice Roth
President of the Competition Appeal Tribunal