



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case Nos: 1292/5/7/18 (T)
1293/5/7/18 (T)
1294/5/7/18 (T)

BETWEEN:

(1)– (339) SUEZ GROUPE SAS AND OTHERS

Claimants

- and -

(1)– (4) FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V. AND OTHERS

Defendants

- and -

(1)– (15) IVECO S.P.A. AND OTHERS

Third Parties

AND BETWEEN:

(1)– (139) VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT S.A. AND OTHERS

Claimants

- and -

(1)– (15) FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V. AND OTHERS

Defendants

- and -

(1)– (4) PACCAR INC AND OTHERS

Third Parties

AND BETWEEN:

(1)– (153) WOLSELEY UK LIMITED AND OTHERS

Claimants

- and -

(1) – (4) FIAT CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILES N.V. AND OTHERS

Defendants

- and -

(1)– (15) MAN SE AND OTHERS

Third Parties

REASONED ORDER

UPON reading the application from the solicitors to the Daimler defendant to carry out a relevance review of certain minutes of the meetings of the “Trucks Delivery Database Project” (“TDDB”) which are to be voluntarily disclosed by the Volvo/Renault defendants and/or the MAN defendants

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The application is refused.

REASONS:

1. None of the later TDDB minutes, which have already been disclosed to the claimants, have been redacted for relevance. It is arguably relevant to see the full character of the discussions between the competitors.
2. There has been no application to make any redactions by the party proposing to make disclosure of the minutes in question.

The Hon Mr Justice Roth
President of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 5 June 2019
Drawn: 5 June 2019