2 3 4	hearing of these proceedings an	e Tribunal Website for readers to see how matte d is not to be relied on or cited in the context of er will be the final and definitive record.	
5	IN THE COMPETITIO		
6	APPEAL TRIBUNAL	<u></u>	Case No: 1378/5/7/20
7			
8 9	Salisbury Square House		
10	8 Salisbury Square		
11	London EC4Y 8AP		
12			Wednesday 25 May 2022
13 14		Before:	
15		The Honourable Mr Justice Marcus S	mith
16		The Honourable Lord Young	
17		Dr Catherine Bell CB	
18	(Sitting as a Tribunal in England and V	Wales)
19			,
20			
21		BETWEEN:	
22			
23		Epic Games, Inc. and Others	Claimants
24			
25		V	
26			- a -
27	A	Alphabet Inc., Google LLC and Others	Defendants
28			
29		ADDEADANCES	
30		APPEARANCES	
31	M - 1- D 1 OC	. 1 W:11 D (O. 1. 1. 16 - 6 E C	
32 33	•	nd Will Perry (On behalf of Epic Gam ian (On behalf of Alphabet Inc., Goog	
34		γ (On behalf of the Competition and M	,
35	David Bancy	(On behalf of the Competition and W	farkets Authority)
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41		Digital Transcription by Epiq Europ	
42	Lower	Ground 20 Furnival Street London	EC4A 1JS
43	Tel N	No: 020 7404 1400 Fax No: 020 74	
44		Email: <u>ukclient@epiqglobal.co.u</u>	<u>ık</u>
45			
46 47			
47 40			
48 49			
49 50			
51			

"To consider what UK supplemental disclosure is actually ordered."

25

26

1	basically UK specific Fortnite and EGS data.
2	Number 2:
3	"For the parties to indicate the number and range of witnesses of fact."
4	We can pick that up from the skeleton, our skeleton, at 3(c). Both parties have
5	indicated that they intend to adduce between ten and twelve witnesses of fact.
6	I believe that can still be accommodated in the six-week provisional timetable.
7	"In accordance with paragraph 12 of the order to consider the number of expert
8	witnesses."
9	Again, you will have seen this, sir, at paragraph 4 of the agreed order. There are
10	three areas of expertise, which is eminently sensible: economic, security and
11	payment systems.
12	"To consider any directions as to the CMA's proposed intervention."
13	I think all the parties are agreed on this. Mr Bailey may wish to make submissions,
14	but essentially they are going to have access to certain documents, but
15	inspection will be held off to a certain date. They wish to make written
16	submissions. We obviously don't object to that. No-one objects to that. They
17	reserve their right to make oral submissions. So, it is a fairly neutral
18	intervention at the moment.
19	Those are the substantive matters I believe.
20	MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH: I am very grateful. Mr Sebastian, do you have
21	anything you wish to add?
22	MR SEBASTIAN: No.
23	MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH: I am very grateful. Mr Bailey, if there's anything
24	you want to say about the CMA's position on this, then do feel free.
25	MR BAILEY: Very briefly, sir. Just simply to say that the thinking behind the draft
26	directions that we have proposed and agreed with the parties is that the CMA

wishes to see the full picture of the evidence, both factual and expert, before it puts in its written observations, which it has a right to do under Rule 50. As my learned friend Mr Brealey said, there is a pragmatic compromise reached in relation to disclosure and inspection. It is thought that there is no need to trouble the parties with unnecessary disclosure, which may well be very extensive at this stage. But the CMA does want to have the opportunity once it has seen the factual evidence, to request specific documents and one hopes that will be proportionate.

It has been agreed by my learned friends that the way the confidentiality regime would work is that the CMA would write to the parties indicating any documents it wishes to inspect and at that stage put forward suitable confidentiality arrangements.

Then the only other point really to add is that it was thought appropriate, in terms of timing, that the CMA would lodge its written observations under Rule 50 six weeks after the expert reports are filed. That was hopefully sequenced so that it would come after the evidence was complete but in sufficient time before written openings in September next year. Then, as my learned friend says, the CMA may wish to apply for permission at the pre-trial review to make oral submissions.

MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH: I must say that's extremely sensible. I am going to look at both Lord Young and Dr Bell to see if there are any further points, but I see none.

The only point, Mr Brealey, that we would like to ask, and I think we know the answer to it, but we raise it for good order, obviously the directions in this case have been made with a very close eye on what's going on in the US proceedings, and we anticipate that if something had happened to make a difference to

ı	now we ought to be trying these matters, the parties would have raised it. 50,		
2	we assume that the US proceedings are proceeding exactly as we expected		
3	them to proceed last time we were here last December.		
4	MR BREALEY: Absolutely. I think the only difference is that the US trial has been		
5	put back a little bit. I believe it is 3 rd April 2023 but otherwise it is exactly the		
6	same as it was before.		
7	MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH: Indeed. It is simply because we have well in mind		
8	that we wanted to ensure a minimum of duplication and a maximum of		
9	efficiency. But, of course, if something happened to either derail or cause the		
10	US proceedings to vanish with the UK proceedings still in train, then obviously		
11	we would need to have a discussion about that. But what you have said		
12	reassures us on that level.		
13	MR BREALEY: It's exactly the same.		
14	MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH: Very grateful. We will make an order in these		
15	terms. Very grateful to the parties for the care that they have given to ensure		
16	that this matter is proceeding in so efficient a way. So, thank you very much		
17	and we will issue an order in these terms as soon as we can. So, thank you		
18	very much.		
19	MR BREALEY: I hope Lord Young gets over COVID very quickly.		
20	MR JUSTICE MARCUS SMITH: Well, fortunately it has not disabled him from		
21	attending at all. It has been a need to isolate, but it hasn't rendered his		
22	presence impossible. So, we are very pleased about that, but thank you all		
23	very much. I will adjourn the hearing now. Thank you.		
24	(10.38 am)		
25	(Hearing concluded)		
26			