



Case No: 1435/5/7/22 (T)

**IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL**

BETWEEN:

- (1) STELLANTIS AUTO SAS
- (2) GIE PSA TRESORERIE
- (3) STELLANTIS NV
- (4) OPEL AUTOMOBILE GMBH
- (5) STELLANTIS EUROPE SPA
- (6) FCA SRBIJA D.O.O. KRAGUJEVAC
- (7) FCA POLAND SP. Z O.O
- (8) MASERATI SPA
- ~~(9) SOCIETA EUROPEA VEICOLI LEGGERI (SEVEL) SPA~~
- (10) VAUXHALL MOTORS LTD
- (11) STELLANTIS ESPAÑA SLU

Claimants

- v -

- (1) AUTOLIV AB
- (2) AUTOLIV, INC
- (3) AUTOLIV JAPAN LTD
- (4) AUTOLIV B.V. & CO. KG
- (5) AIRBAGS INTERNATIONAL LTD
- (6) ZF TRW AUTOMOTIVE HOLDINGS CORP.
- (7) ZF AUTOMOTIVE SAFETY GERMANY GMBH
- (8) ZF AUTOMOTIVE GERMANY GMBH
- (9) TRW SYSTEMS LTD
- (10) ZF AUTOMOTIVE UK LTD
- ~~(11) TOKAI RIKI CO., LTD~~
- ~~(12) TOYODA GOSEI CO., LTD~~

Defendants

---

**REASONED ORDER (PERMISSION TO APPEAL)**

---

**UPON** the Tribunal, in a ruling of 22 April 2024, dismissing an application by the First to Fifth Defendants (the “Autoliv Defendants”) and the Sixth to Tenth Defendants (the “ZF Defendants”) (together, the “Defendants”) to vary the 2 November 2023 Ruling for the Autoliv Defendants and the ZF Defendants to be granted permission to instruct separate experts in relation to the issue of overcharge (the “Ruling”)

**AND UPON** the application by the Defendants dated 22 April 2024 for permission to appeal the Ruling

**AND UPON** reading the letter from the solicitors of the Claimants dated 23 April 2024

**IT IS ORDERED THAT**

1. Permission to appeal the Ruling to the Court of Appeal is granted.

**REASONS**

1. The Tribunal grants permission to appeal the Ruling. It relates to the 2 November 2023 Ruling in respect of which the Defendants have already been granted permission to appeal. It is logical that the Court of Appeal should have both Rulings before it when it reviews the decision requiring the Defendants to share a single expert in the field of competition economics.

Justin Turner KC  
Chair

Sir Iain McMillan  
CBE FRSE DL

Professor Anthony Neuberger

23 April 2024