



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case No: 1601/7/7/23

BETWEEN:

DR SEAN ENNIS

Class Representative

- v -

(1) APPLE INC.

(2) APPLE DISTRIBUTION INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

(3) APPLE CANADA INC.

(4) APPLE PTY LIMITED

(5) APPLE SERVICES LATAM LLC

(6) ITUNES K.K.

(7) APPLE (UK) LIMITED

(8) APPLE EUROPE LIMITED

Defendants

CONSENT ORDER

UPON hearing counsel for the Class Representative and the Defendants at a case management conference on 14 April 2025

AND UPON the Order of the Tribunal made on 5 June 2025 giving directions regarding the future conduct of the proceedings (the “CMC Order”)

AND UPON the Class Representative having appointed his current solicitors on an interim basis, pending completion of a process to identify and instruct new solicitors to represent him in the future conduct of the proceedings (his “permanent solicitors”)

AND UPON the parties having agreed to the terms of this order

BY CONSENT IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Paragraph 6 of the CMC Order shall be amended to read as follows:

“6. By 4pm on the date 14 calendar days after the date on which the Class Representative provides written notice to the Defendants of the instruction of his permanent solicitors:

- a. the Defendants shall give inspection of the documents referred to at paragraphs 8-9 of the Defendants’ letter to the Class Representative dated 21 March 2025 (the “Kent Disclosure”), in accordance with a process to be agreed by the parties; and
- b. the Defendants shall make clear to the Class Representative what documents were in the trial bundles in *Kent* (and, to the extent not already done, provide the Class Representative with inspection of those documents).”

2. Costs in the case.

3. Liberty to apply.

Andrew Lenon KC
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 27 June 2025
Drawn: 27 June 2025