



**IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL**

Claim Nos: 1296/5/7/18 etc.

as listed in Annex 1

BETWEEN:

**THE CLAIMANTS IN THE SCOTTISH PROCEEDINGS LISTED IN ANNEX 2**

Scottish Pursuers

-and-

**THE CLAIMANTS IN THE ASDA PROCEEDINGS LISTED IN ANNEX 2**

Asda Claimants

-and-

**THE CLAIMANTS IN THE MORRISONS PROCEEDINGS LISTED IN ANNEX 2**

Morrisons Claimants

-and-

**THE CLAIMANTS IN THE BOOTS PROCEEDINGS LISTED IN ANNEX 2**

Boots Claimants

-and-

**THE CLAIMANTS REPRESENTED BY EDWIN COE LLP LISTED IN ANNEX 2**

Edwin Coe Claimants

**V**

**THE DEFENDANTS TO THE CLAIMS LISTED IN ANNEX 2**

Defendants

---

**ORDER (MASTER CONFIDENTIALITY RING)**

---

**UPON** the terms of this Order as set out below having been agreed between the parties listed in Annex 2 (the “**Parties**”).

**AND UPON** the Parties agreeing that, for the purpose of receiving and reviewing the Positive and Negative Cases as defined in the Tribunal’s Future Conduct Ruling of 9 January 2024 (the “**Future Conduct Ruling**”) (the “**Cases**”), it is appropriate that a further Confidentiality Ring (the “**Master Confidentiality Ring**”) be established, allowing for the inclusion of persons representing the Parties.

**AND UPON** the Parties agreeing that the Master Confidentiality Ring shall be in addition to any confidentiality ring order in place in any of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings (each, a “**Second Wave Confidentiality Ring**”).

**AND UPON** the Parties agreeing that (save for Excluded Information (as defined below)) it will not be a breach of any Second Wave Confidentiality Ring for a person to provide, in accordance with this Order, any Confidential Information (as defined below) disclosed under a relevant Second Wave Confidentiality Ring (to which that person is an Inner Confidentiality Ring Member (as defined below)) to another person who is not an Inner Confidentiality Ring Member of that Second Wave Confidentiality Ring.

**AND UPON** the Parties recalling the Tribunal’s Reasoned Order (Rule 102) of 21 March 2024, including the requirement that the disapplication of Rule 102 to Confidential Information being shared between Second Wave Trucks Proceedings applies only insofar as the Parties ensure that the Confidential Information so protected is similarly protected (*mutatis mutandis*) when used in any other of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings.

**AND UPON** each of the persons named in Part A of the Schedule to this Order giving a written undertaking to the Tribunal in the terms of Part B of the Schedule to this Order prior to receiving any Confidential Information.

**IT IS ORDERED BY CONSENT THAT:**

**1. DEFINITIONS**

1.1 For the purposes of this Order:

(a) “**Confidential Information**” means Inner Confidentiality Ring Information.

(b) “**Excluded Information**” means documents or information:

(i) that were provided (1) by a Second Wave Party (who is not a Party); and  
(2) subject to a Second Wave Confidentiality Ring, insofar as such a Second Wave Party has not consented to such documents or information being treated as Confidential Information for the purpose of this Order;

(ii) that were provided by a Party but which such Party has designated as Excluded Information by Notice on the basis that such documents or information are not capable of being treated as Confidential Information for the purpose of this Order without consent from a third party. For the avoidance of doubt, insofar as the requisite consent is subsequently obtained, the Party may give Notice (as defined below) that the applicable documents or information are no longer Excluded Information; or

(iii) which, by reference to the Tribunal's Order dated 21 February 2025 (“**Sainsbury’s Order**”), derive from the Sainsbury's v Mastercard Trial heard *in camera* or the Relevant Witness Evidence, the disclosure of which to any Party other than the Sainsbury's Targeted Claimants and the Second Wave Defendants as defined in the Sainsbury’s Order requires the prior written approval of the Tribunal.

(c) “**Inner Confidentiality Ring Information**” means:

(i) documents or information provided by a Party or Parties (the “**disclosing Party**”) pursuant to this Order, including any part of those documents and any information contained within those documents which:

1. the disclosing Party has designated as Inner Confidentiality Ring Information in accordance with paragraph 5 of this Order;

2. a Party designated as Inner Confidentiality Ring Information or Outer Confidentiality Ring Information under a Second Wave Confidentiality Ring (as those terms are defined in the applicable Second Wave Confidentiality Ring), except Excluded Information; or
3. are (or were) designated as Inner Confidentiality Ring Information by the Tribunal; and

(ii) documents that contain Inner Confidentiality Ring Information such as:

1. working documents created by Inner Confidentiality Ring Members;
2. inter-partes correspondence;
3. documents filed at the Tribunal, such as pleadings, witness evidence, skeleton arguments, applications and draft orders;
4. datasets; and
5. transcripts prepared by a third-party service provider, which contain or refer to the content of the documents/information provided under (i).

(iii) For the avoidance of doubt, redacted versions of the documents described at 1.1(c)(ii) above are not Inner Confidentiality Ring Information if they have been redacted so that they no longer contain or refer to the content of the documents/information provided under 1.1(c)(i).

(d) **“Inner Confidentiality Ring Members”** are:

- (i) those persons listed in Part A of the Schedule to this Order, as amended from time to time in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.1 below or an order of the Tribunal, who have given a signed undertaking in the terms of Part B of the Schedule to this Order and that signed

undertaking has been provided to the Tribunal and (in the case of those persons who are added as Inner Confidentiality Ring Members in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.1 below) where the Proposing Party has complied with paragraph 4.1(c)(ii);

(ii) necessary secretarial and other support personnel, such as IT and reprographics support personnel, (not including trainee solicitors or paralegals) under the supervision of those persons identified in (i) above, provided that such personnel have been informed of the confidential nature of the Confidential Information and the terms of Part B of the Schedule to this Order; and

(iii) any external eDisclosure or litigation support provider engaged by one of the Parties in connection with the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings to provide eDisclosure or similar services in support of those persons identified in (i) above, who may have access to Inner Confidentiality Ring Information as a necessary consequence of the provision of their services and whose identity is notified in writing to the other Parties, provided that such provider has been informed of the confidential nature of the Confidential Information and the terms of Part B of the Schedule to this Order.

(e) For the avoidance of doubt, redacted versions of the documents described at (b) above are not Inner Confidentiality Ring Information if they have been redacted so that they no longer contain, or refer to the content of, the documents/information provided under (a).

(f) **“Party”** or **“Parties”** means the parties listed in Annex 2, including the defendants who are the subject of a Part 20 contribution claim.

(g) **“Second Wave Confidentiality Ring”** means any of the various Confidentiality Ring Orders in place at any time in the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings at the time of this Order, but (for the avoidance of doubt) excludes the Master Confidentiality Ring.

(h) “**Second Wave Party**” means each of the parties to any or all of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings, and includes (for the avoidance of doubt) the Parties unless otherwise specified.

(i) “**Second Wave Trucks Proceedings**” means the proceedings specified in Annex 1 to this Order.

## **2. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

2.1 Confidential Information provided in the context of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings under this Order is to be provided or made available solely to the Inner Confidentiality Ring Members, to be held by them on the terms set out in Part B of the Schedule to this Order, subject to the following paragraphs of this Order.

## **3. SCOPE OF THE ORDER**

3.1 For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Order or in its Schedule restricts the production or use of documents and/or information received by a Party other than via the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings under the terms of this Order.

## **4. ADDITIONS TO THE INNER CONFIDENTIALITY RING**

4.1 If a Party (the “**Proposing Party**”) wishes to add an additional person as an Inner Confidentiality Ring Member (which shall include, but shall not be limited to, any additional expert witness):

(a) it shall notify and request the express written consent of the other Parties (each a “**Receiving Party**” and together, the “**Receiving Parties**”), and when requesting such written consent specify the name and role of the proposed additional person and provide an explanation of why the addition is reasonable and necessary;

(b) following receipt of a notice pursuant to paragraph 4.1(a) above, a Receiving Party shall not unreasonably withhold or delay their consent and if any

Receiving Party objects to the proposed addition to the Inner Confidentiality Ring, they shall notify the Proposing Party in writing within 4 working days that they so object;

(c) if express consent is given by the Receiving Parties, or the Receiving Parties (or any of them) fail to give express consent and fail to give written notice of objection within the 4 working day period specified in paragraph 4.1(b) above:

(i) the additional person will be required to give the written undertaking to the Tribunal in the terms of Part B of the Schedule to this Order; and

(ii) the Proposing Party concerned will provide the written undertaking referred to in paragraph 4.1(c)(i) above and an amended version of Part A of the Schedule to this Order to the Tribunal and the other Parties; and

(d) if any objection referred to in paragraph 4.1(b) above is received, the Proposing Party may apply to the Tribunal and give notice of such application to the Receiving Parties.

4.2 For the purposes of paragraph 4.1, it shall be deemed that no Receiving Party objects to the proposed addition of persons to the Inner Confidentiality Ring who, as at the date of this Order, have signed undertakings in any Second Wave Confidentiality Ring, and the 4 working day period specified in paragraph 4.1(b) above does not apply to those persons.

4.3 If a Party wishes to remove a person as an Inner Confidentiality Ring Member, that Party will notify the other Parties and provide an amended version of Part A of the Schedule to this Order to the Tribunal and the other Parties. The Party will also comply with paragraph 10.1.

## **5. DESIGNATION OF DOCUMENTS/INFORMATION**

- 5.1 Subject to paragraph 5.5 below, a Party providing a document/information under this Order may designate that the document/information is: (i) Inner Confidentiality Ring Information; or (ii) not confidential.
- 5.2 Designation of a document/information as Inner Confidentiality Ring Information must be made in writing to the Parties receiving the document/information.
- 5.3 A designation of not confidential means that the document/information is not Confidential Information.
- 5.4 Failure to provide a designation for a document/information at the time the document/information is provided shall be deemed to be a designation that the document/information in question is not confidential.
- 5.5 Where a document/information was already designated under any of the Second Wave Confidentiality Rings, the disclosing Party must adopt the same designation as was provided for in the relevant Second Wave Confidentiality Ring(s), subject to any de-designation process under the relevant Second Wave Confidentiality Ring(s).
- 5.6 A Party receiving documents/information under this Order may request that, where a Party that was first responsible for designating the Confidential Information under any of the Second Wave Confidentiality Rings (or where designation first occurred under this Order, then the Party responsible for designating the Confidential Information under this Order), that Party amend the designation of a document/information that it has provided (including amendment to a designation of not confidential):
- (a) that requesting Party must provide a written request to the relevant Party (copied to the other Parties) specifying the following:
- (i) the relevant Confidential Information;
- (ii) the designation the requesting Party believes is appropriate; and



(iii) why it is reasonable and necessary for the designation of the Confidential Information to be amended;

(b) the relevant Party may consent in writing to amend the designation of Confidential Information, with such consent not to be unreasonably withheld and, in any event, a response should be provided within 14 days of having initially received the written request referred to at paragraph 5.5(a) above; and

(c) should the consent referred to in paragraph 5.5(b) above not be obtained, the requesting Party may apply to the Tribunal for an order that the Confidential Information should be designated as either: (i) Inner Confidentiality Ring Information; or (ii) not confidential (as the requesting Party deems appropriate), provided that notice is given of that application to the other Parties.

## **6. PROPOSED PROVISION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION TO PERSONS OUTSIDE THE RINGS**

6.1 For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Order prevents a Party, its advisers or experts from sharing Confidential Information first designated by that Party under a Second Wave Confidentiality Ring.

6.2 A Party that receives Confidential Information under this Order may request that certain Confidential Information is to be provided or made available to one or more persons who are not Inner Confidentiality Ring Members.

6.3 If a Party wishes such Confidential Information to be provided or made available to such persons:

(a) it shall notify and request the express written consent of the other Parties, and when requesting such written consent specify the name and role of the proposed person(s), the specific Confidential Information that is to be provided or made available to such person(s) (by reference to the relevant

documents) and provide an explanation of why it is reasonable and necessary for the Confidential Information to be provided or made available to such person(s);

- (b) following receipt of a notice pursuant to paragraph 6.3(a) above, a recipient of any such notice shall not unreasonably withhold or delay their consent and if any such recipient objects to Confidential Information being provided or made available to the proposed person, they shall notify the requesting Party in writing within 14 days that they so object;
- (c) if express consent is given by the recipient(s) of any notice under paragraph 6.3(a) or the recipient(s) (or any of them) fail to give express consent and fail to give written notice of objection within the 14 day period specified in paragraph 6.3(b) above:
  - (i) the additional person will be required to give the written undertaking to the Tribunal in the terms of Part B of the Schedule to this Order, as amended to list the specific documents that are to be provided or made available to them; and
  - (ii) the Party concerned will provide the written undertaking referred to in paragraph 6.3(c)(i) above to the Tribunal and the other Parties;

6.4 If any objection referred to in paragraph 6.3(b) above is received, the requesting Party may apply to the Tribunal and give notice of such application to the other Parties.

## **7. COPIES OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

7.1 Subject to the exception in paragraph 7.2 below, at the conclusion of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings or when an individual ceases to be involved in the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings, copies of Confidential Information provided pursuant to this Order (in both hard and soft copy) shall continue to be treated in accordance with this Order unless a Party confirms in writing to the other

Parties that the relevant copies of the Confidential Information have been destroyed or made inaccessible. For the avoidance of doubt, any Party that has not confirmed the destruction or inaccessibility of all or any copies of Confidential Information shall continue to treat such copies of Confidential Information in accordance with the terms of this Order.

- 7.2 Paragraph 7.1 does not apply to a Party with respect to the Confidential Information where that Party was first responsible for designating the Confidential Information under any of the Second Wave Confidentiality Rings.

## **8. UNAUTHORISED DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

- 8.1 In the event of any disclosure of Confidential Information other than in a manner authorised by this Order, including any unintentional or inadvertent disclosure, lawyers for the improperly disclosing Party shall immediately notify the improper recipient(s) and the lawyers for the Second Wave Party or Second Wave Parties who provided the Confidential Information (as the Party under this Order and, if applicable, as the Second Wave Party under a Second Wave Confidentiality Ring) of all the pertinent facts, and the improperly disclosing Party shall use its best endeavours to further prevent unauthorised disclosure including retrieving all copies of the Confidential Information from the improper recipient(s) thereof and securing the agreement of such recipient(s) not to further disseminate the Confidential Information in any form.

## **9. DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER**

- 9.1 If at any time any Confidential Information is made the subject of a court disclosure or discovery order (or similar) anywhere in the world, the person upon whom the order is served shall immediately give written notice to the legal representatives of the Second Wave Party or Second Wave Parties which produced the Confidential Information (either as the Party under this Order or, if applicable, as the Second Wave Party under a Second Wave Confidentiality Ring). If the Second Wave Party which first designated the Confidential Information does not take steps to prevent the further disclosure of such

Confidential Information within ten days of the date on which such written notice was given, the Party against whom the order was made may produce such Confidential Information but shall take all reasonable measures to have the Confidential Information treated in accordance with the terms of this Order. For the avoidance of doubt, this paragraph only applies to a Party who is the recipient of Confidential Information provided under this Order and does not apply to the Second Wave Party which first designated the Confidential Information under any Second Wave Confidentiality Ring.

## **10. ENFORCEMENT OF THE ORDER, LIBERTY TO APPLY AND COSTS**

- 10.1 In the event of any anticipated or actual breach of this Order, any Party may seek to enforce the terms of this Order.
- 10.2 There shall be liberty to apply, which shall be on notice to the other Parties.
- 10.3 The costs of compliance with and of drafting this Order shall be costs in the case.

## **11. NOTICES**

- 11.1 Any notice, consent or objection to be given under or in connection with this Order (each a “**Notice**” for the purposes of this paragraph) shall be in writing.
- 11.2 Service of a Notice must be effected by email.
- 11.3 Notices shall be addressed as follows:

- (a) Notices for the Edwin Coe Claimants shall be marked for the attention of:

Email addresses:      [Zahira.Hussain@EdwinCoe.com](mailto:Zahira.Hussain@EdwinCoe.com)

[David.Greene@EdwinCoe.com](mailto:David.Greene@EdwinCoe.com)

Truck.claims@edwincoe.com

Reference: DMG/ZH/MP/TRU.0029.0001

- (b) Notices for the Scottish Pursuers shall be marked for the attention of:

Email addresses: xtrucks@andersonstrathern.co.uk  
Katrina.Lumsdaine@andersonstrathern.co.uk  
Scott.Flannigan@andersonstrathern.co.uk  
Eilidh.MacEwan@andersonstrathern.co.uk

Reference: SCO0749.0001x

- (c) Notices for the Asda Claimants shall be marked for the attention of:

Email addresses: Sarah.Houghton@Mishcon.com  
Ben.Lasserson@Mishcon.com

Reference: SH/BL/65536.1

- (d) Notices for the Morrisons Claimants shall be marked for the attention of:

Email addresses: AP\_Morrisons@arnoldporter.com  
John.Schmidt@arnoldporter.com  
Jane.Wessel@arnoldporter.com  
Alastair.Brown@arnoldporter.com

Reference: JW/JS/103058.00002x

- (e) Notices for the Boots Claimants shall be marked for the attention of:

Email addresses: BootsTrucks@fieldfisher.com

Reference: UK01-2018064.00001

- (f) Notices for the Defendants shall be marked for the attention of:

Volvo/Renault Defendants:

Email address:

[\\$VRT Trucks UK Confidentiality@freshfields.com](mailto:$VRT_Trucks_UK_Confidentiality@freshfields.com)

Reference: 168213:0001 BCT/NJF/RHV/EJP

Daimler Defendants:

Email address:

[\\_MacfarlanesTrucksConfidentiality@macfarlanes.com](mailto:_MacfarlanesTrucksConfidentiality@macfarlanes.com)

Reference: CAFF/SJXD/680318

Iveco Defendants:

Email address:

[IvecoUKConfidentialityNotification@hsfkramer.com](mailto:IvecoUKConfidentialityNotification@hsfkramer.com)

Reference: 30991611/4168/2097

DAF Defendants:

Email address: [trucks.confidential@pinsentmasons.com](mailto:trucks.confidential@pinsentmasons.com)

Reference: 674680.07001

MAN Defendants:

Email address:

[SM \\_MANTrucksConfidentiality@SlaughterandMay.com](mailto:SM_MANTrucksConfidentiality@SlaughterandMay.com)

Reference: DMT/HEW

Named Third Parties:

Email address:

[scaniatrucksukconfidentiality@aoshearman.com](mailto:scaniatrucksukconfidentiality@aoshearman.com)

Reference: RUSB/0032310-0000086

**The Honourable Mr Justice Huddleston**  
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 26 June 2025  
Drawn: 26 June 2025

This part contains the names of Inner Confidentiality Ring Members:

**PART A**

Inner Confidentiality Ring Members as of the date of this Order



## **PART B**

### **UNDERTAKING (TO BE PROVIDED BY INNER CONFIDENTIALITY RING MEMBERS)**

In respect of any Inner Confidentiality Ring Information subject to this Order, each Inner Confidentiality Ring Member undertakes that they will comply with the following requirements, to the extent applicable to them, in the terms below.

I, **[name]**, of **[company]** being **[legal or other qualification or position]** undertake to the Tribunal and each of the Parties as follows:

- 1 I have read a copy of the Tribunal's Order requested by the Parties on [date] and understand that Order and the implications of giving this undertaking.
- 2 I have read 102 of the Competition Appeal Tribunal Rules 2015 and rule 31.22 of the Civil Procedure Rules and am aware of and will comply with the obligations imposed by the rule.
- 3 I will not disclose Confidential Information to any person who is not an Inner Confidentiality Ring Member (except to the extent that I am aware of the same information from another document that does not constitute "Confidential Information" and that was not obtained in breach of this undertaking or of the Tribunal's Order).
- 4 I will use the Confidential Information only for the purpose of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings and for the purpose of no other current or future proceedings, dispute, complaint, or other use whatsoever in any jurisdiction (except to the extent that I am aware of the same information from another document that does not constitute "Confidential Information" and that was not obtained in breach of this undertaking or of the Tribunal's Order).
- 5 The documents containing any Confidential Information will remain in my custody or the custody of another Inner Confidentiality Ring Member at all times and be held in a manner appropriate to the circumstances so as to prevent unauthorised access.
- 6 The production of further copies by me of the documents containing the Confidential Information shall be limited to those required for the use of the Inner Confidentiality Ring Members for the purposes of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings only and such copies shall be held in accordance with paragraph 4 of this undertaking.
- 7 Subject to the exception in paragraph 7.1 of the Order, and to the extent permitted by law, at the conclusion of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings or when I cease to be involved in the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings, any and all copies of Confidential Information which are within my control will continue to be treated in accordance with this Order unless I confirm in writing to the other Parties that the relevant copies of the Confidential Information have been destroyed or made inaccessible.

Signed:

Name:

Date:

### ANNEX 1: Second Wave Trucks Proceedings

Case Number	Case Name
<b>Cases in England and Wales</b>	
1296/5/7/18	Arla Foods AMBA & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Another
1338/5/7/20 (T)	Adnams PLC & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1343/5/7/20 (T)	DS Smith Paper Limited & Others v MAN SE & Others
1355/5/7/20 (T)	Hertz Autovermietung GmbH & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others
1356/5/7/20 (T)	Balfour Beatty Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others
1358/5/7/20 (T)	Zamenhof Exploitation & Others v Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V. & Others
1360/5/7/20 (T)	BFS Group Limited & Another v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1361/5/7/20 (T)	Enterprise Rent-a-Car UK Limited v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1362/5/7/20 (T)	ABF Grain Products Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1368/5/7/20 (T)	LafargeHolcim Limited & Others v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ) & Others
1371/5/7/20 (T)	The BOC Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others
1372/5/7/20 (T)	GIST Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others
1417/5/7/21 (T)	Dan Ryan Truck Rental Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1420/5/7/21 (T)	A to Z Catering Supplies Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1431/5/7/22 (T)	Adur District Council & Others v TRATON SE & Others
1521/5/7/22 (T)	Wm Morrison Supermarkets PLC & Others v Volvo Group UK Limited & Others
1578/5/7/23 (T)	Asda & Others v AB Volvo & Others
1594/5/7/23(T)	GAP Group Limited and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1607/5/7/23 (T)	Wincanton Holdings Limited and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1608/5/7/23 (T)	Adnams PLC and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1609/5/7/23 (T)	SP0117 Limited (as Assignee) and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1610/5/7/23 (T)	Rowleys of Northwich Limited and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others

Case Number	Case Name
1616/5/7/23 (T)	Boots & Others v TRATON SE & Others
1633/5/7/24	Tesco Stores Limited & anor v Scania (Great Britain) Limited & others
<b>Cases in Scotland</b>	
1538/5/7/22 (T)	Clackmannanshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1539/5/7/22 (T)	Angus Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1540/5/7/22 (T)	East Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1541/5/7/22 (T)	The City of Edinburgh Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd
1542/5/7/22 (T)	East Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1543/5/7/22 (T)	East Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1544/5/7/22 (T)	Fife Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1545/5/7/22 (T)	Midlothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1546/5/7/22 (T)	Glasgow City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1547/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1548/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Water v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1549/5/7/22 (T)	West Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1550/5/7/22 (T)	Perth & Kinross Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1551/5/7/22 (T)	Stirling Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1552/5/7/22 (T)	Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1553/5/7/22 (T)	South Ayrshire Council v VFS & Others
1554/5/7/22 (T)	The North Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1555/5/7/22 (T)	Western Isles Council v VFS Financial Services & Others
1556/5/7/22 (T)	West Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services
1557/5/7/22 (T)	North Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd
1558/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Borders Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1559/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee CC & Others t/a Tayside Contracts v VFS FS Ltd & Others

Case Number	Case Name
1560/5/7/22 (T)	Aberdeenshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1561/5/7/22 (T)	Argyll and Bute Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1562/5/7/22 (T)	East Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1563/5/7/22 (T)	South Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1564/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy (Processing Ltd) v CNH Industrial
1565/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy Ltd v CNH Industrial N.V.
1566/5/7/22 (T)	Graham's Dairies Limited v CNH Industrial N.V
<b>Cases in Northern Ireland</b>	
1536/5/7/22 (T)	C Faulkner & Sons v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ)
1703/5/7/25 (T)	AH Fuel Oils Limited v AB Volvo
1704/5/7/25 (T)	Anthony McLornan trading as McLornan Transport v Renault SAS
1705/5/7/25 (T)	Hyland Fuels v AB Volvo
1706/5/7/25 (T)	J Stockdale and Son Limited v AB Volvo
1707/5/7/25 (T)	JC Campbell (NI) Limited v AB Volvo
1708/5/7/25 (T)	JH Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited v AB Volvo
1709/5/7/25 (T)	McHugh's Oil Limited v AB Volvo
1710/5/7/25 (T)	Niall McCann Trading as NMC Haulage v AB Volvo
1711/5/7/25 (T)	Cynthia Beattie t/a Beattie Transport -v- AB Volvo
1674/5/7/24 (T)	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited –v- DAF Trucks N.V
1675/5/7/24 (T)	Gibson Bros Limited –v- DAF Trucks N.V.
1676/5/7/24 (T)	Joseph Walls Ltd –v- DAF Trucks NV
1677/5/7/24 (T)	M.G. Oils Limited–v- DAF Trucks NV
1678/5/7/24 (T)	J.K.C. Specialist Cars Limited–v- DAF Trucks NV
1679/5/7/24 (T)	G.P. Marketing Limited trading as Patterson Oil –v- DAF Trucks NV

Case Number	Case Name
1680/5/7/24 (T)	J.H. Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited –v- DAF Trucks NV
1681/5/7/24 (T)	Trevor Leckey t/a Stoneyford Concrete –v- DAF Trucks NV
1683/5/7/24 (T)	R Magowan & Son Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1684/5/7/24 (T)	C. Russell Auto Sales Ltd -v- Iveco S.P.A
1685/5/7/24 (T)	Kennedy & Morrison Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1686/5/7/24 (T)	Niall McCann t/a NMC Haulage -v- Iveco S.P.A
1687/5/7/24 (T)	John Rodgers Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1715/5/7/25 (T)	Derek O'Reilly t/a O'Reilly's The Sweet People -v- Daimler AG
1716/5/7/25 (T)	Patrick Megoran -v- Daimler AG
1717/5/7/25 (T)	Stephen Pollard -v- Daimler AG
1682/5/7/24 (T)	John Rodgers Limited -v- Daimler AG
1718/5/7/25 (T)	Andrew Ingredients Ltd -v- Daimler AG
1719/5/7/25 (T)	Kieran Quinn t/a Pomeroy Haulage -v- Daimler AG
1714/5/7/25 (T)	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited -v- Daimler AG

**ANNEX 2: Parties who have agreed this Master Confidentiality Ring**

<b>Definition</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>The Edwin Coe Claimants</b>	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1338/5/7/20 (T), 1417/5/7/21 (T), 1420/5/7/21 (T), 1594/5/7/23 (T), 1607/5/7/23 (T), 1608/5/7/23 (T), 1609/5/7/23 (T) and 1610/5/7/23 (T).
<b>The Asda Claimants</b>	The Claimants in Case No: 1578/5/7/23 (T).
<b>The Boots Claimants</b>	The Claimants in Case No: 1616/5/7/23 (T).
<b>The Morrisons Claimants</b>	The Claimants in Case No: 1521/5/7/22 (T).
<b>The Scottish Pursuers</b>	The Pursuers in cases filed in Scotland as set out in Annex 1.
<b>The Defendants</b>	The Defendant Manufacturing Groups of DAF, MAN, Iveco, Volvo/Renault, Daimler and Scania (including in each case as Part 20 Defendants, as applicable).