



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case No: 1424/5/7/21 (T)

AND BETWEEN:

- (1) KELKOO.COM (UK) LIMITED**
- (2) KELKOO SAS**
- (3) JAMPLANT LIMITED**
- (4) KELKOO INTERNET SL**
- (5) KELKOO AS**
- (6) KELKOO SRL**
- (7) KELKOO NETHERLANDS BV**
- (8) KELKOO AB**
- (9) KELKOO DEUTSCHLAND GMBH**
- (10) KELKOO DANMARK A/S**
- (11) JOLT LIMITED**
- (together “Kelkoo”)**

Claimants

- v -

- (1) GOOGLE UK LIMITED**
- (2) GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED**
- (3) GOOGLE LLC**

Defendants

Case No: 1596/5/7/23

AND BETWEEN:

- WHITEWATER CAPITAL LIMITED**
- (“Ciao”)**

Claimant

- v -

- (1) GOOGLE LLC**
- (2) ALPHABET INC**

Case No: 1636/5/7/24 (T)

REASONED ORDER (EXTENSION OF TIME)

UPON a Case Management Conference in the above proceedings taking place on 17 - 18 July 2025

AND UPON the order made on 18 July 2025 and drawn on 19 September 2025 for disclosure by Google in the form of the Disclosure Order (Kelkoo & Ciao) (the "**Kelkoo & Ciao Disclosure Order**")

AND UPON the Defendants' application made on 25 September 2025 ("**the Application**") for an extension to the deadline for them to provide the remainder of the disclosure pursuant to paragraphs 3, 4, 6 (b) and 7 of the Kelkoo & Ciao Disclosure Order

AND UPON reading the letter of 24 September 2025 sent on behalf of the Claimants

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The times for Google to comply with the Kelkoo & Ciao Disclosure Order shall be extended as follows, by reference to the paragraphs of that Order and the Confidential Schedule thereto:
 - (a) to **4:00pm** on **10 October 2025** in respect of disclosure category R24;
 - (b) to **4:00pm** on **14 November 2025** in respect of disclosure categories A5(b), A7 and A13;
 - (c) to **4:00pm** on **7 November 2025** in respect of the Expert Document Disclosure in Part B;
 - (d) to **4:00pm** on **31 October 2025** in respect of paragraphs 6(a) and 7(a)-(b) of the Kelkoo & Ciao Disclosure Order, save that as regards documents held by Eric Tholomé, Jennifer Liu and Anand Murugappan the extension is to **4:00pm** on **14 November 2025**; and

- (e) to **4:00pm** on **14 November 2025** in respect of paragraph 7(c) of the Kelkoo & Ciao Disclosure Order.
2. Google shall pay the costs of and occasioned by the Application, such costs to be subject to detailed assessment if not agreed.
 3. There shall be liberty to apply.

REASONS:

1. While I can understand that more time is needed as regards older documents and the Filer/X20 repository, on the basis of what is said in the Application I do not consider that the same extension is reasonable or should be necessary for more recent documents or for custodians whose documents have already been scrutinised. In that regard only a three week extension is granted as set out in paragraphs 1(d) of this Order. Moreover, there is a tight timetable for preparation of expert evidence for trial and a staged disclosure with lesser extension in some categories reduces the impact of this delay on the claimants. The extensions granted are fashioned accordingly.
2. The Application was made extremely late, given that the deadline for disclosure of the category R24 documents, which had already been extended, expired on 26 September 2025. An extension for various categories was agreed in early September pursuant to Google's request. It is extraordinary that less than three weeks after requesting that extension, presumably on the basis that a further extension would not be required for other categories, Google now has to seek extension for other categories as well as further extensions for those categories to which an extension was agreed only a few weeks ago. That is not satisfactory conduct on the part of Google and Google should bear the costs.

Sir Peter Roth
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 30 September 2025
Drawn: 30 September 2025