



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case Nos. 1408/7/7/21
1673/7/7/24

BETWEEN:

ELIZABETH HELEN COLL

the Coll Class Representative

- and -

- (1) ALPHABET INC**
- (2) GOOGLE LLC**
- (3) GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED**
- (4) GOOGLE COMMERCE LIMITED**
- (5) GOOGLE PAYMENT LIMITED**

Defendants
(the Coll Proceedings)

AND BETWEEN:

PROFESSOR BARRY RODGER

the “Rodger” Class Representative

- and -

- (1) ALPHABET INC**
- (2) GOOGLE LLC**
- (3) GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED**
- (4) GOOGLE ASIA PACIFIC PTE LIMITED**
- (5) GOOGLE COMMERCE LIMITED**
- (6) GOOGLE PAYMENT LIMITED**
- (7) GOOGLE UK LIMITED**

Defendants
(the Rodger Proceedings)

ORDER

UPON the Rodger Class Representative's application dated 22 August 2024 (the **Rodger CPO Application**)

AND UPON the Tribunal's Collective Proceedings Order in the Rodger Proceedings dated 23 May 2025 (the **Rodger CPO**)

AND UPON Google's application dated 27 February 2026 for an order to vary the Rodger CPO (the **CPO Variation Application**)

AND UPON the Rodger Class Representative's application dated 17 March 2026 for permission to amend the Claim Form in the Rodger Proceedings (the **Rodger Amendment Application**), enclosing an updated draft Re-Amended Claim Form (the **Rodger DRACF**)

AND UPON the Coll Class Representative filing and serving the Fourth Expert Report of Hal Singer dated 19 May 2026 (**Singer 4**) and the Third Expert Report of Jonathan Krein dated 19 May 2026 (**Krein 3**)

AND UPON the Coll Class Representative's application dated 18 February 2026 for Google to disclose updated transaction data (the **Updated Transaction Data Application**)

AND UPON the Coll Class Representative's application dated 8 April 2026 for permission to update her expert evidence to take account of the updated transaction data sought by the Updated Transaction Data Application (the **Updated Expert Evidence Application**)

AND UPON the Tribunal's Reasoned Order dated 21 April 2026 dismissing the Updated Transaction Data Application and the Updated Expert Evidence Application

AND UPON the expert reports filed in the Rodger Proceedings and the Coll Proceedings in the fields of Economics, Forensic Accounting, Payments and Security (the **Original Expert Reports**)

AND UPON hearing counsel for each of the Rodger Class Representative, Coll Class Representative and Google at the case management conference on 4 June 2026 (the **June Hearing**)

AND UPON the Tribunal indicating that the parties may seek a short extension to the deadline in paragraph 6 below if required

AND UPON Google indicating its intention to pursue disclosure applications (the **Disclosure Applications**) pursuant to Tribunal Rule 89(1)(c) against represented persons

AND UPON the Tribunal proposing a provisional timetable for the Disclosure Applications which would require the respondents to respond to the Disclosure Applications within 14 days of their issue (subject to representations as to extensions that may be made by the parties to the Disclosure Applications)

AND UPON the Tribunal indicating its provisional intention to determine the Disclosure Applications on the papers (subject to representations as to whether a hearing is required that may be made by the parties to the Disclosure Applications).

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

Expert evidence, joint expert statements and trial expert reports

1. Paragraph 22 of the Tribunal's Order dated 4 August 2025 is set aside.
2. The Tribunal's Order dated 1 June 2026 is set aside.
3. By 5pm on Friday 19 June 2026, the parties are to file a single agreed joint expert statement (the **Joint Expert Statement**) of up to 25 pages covering each of the following disciplines and setting out (1) the key issues in dispute between the parties in that field (the **Key Issues**), and (2) a short narrative explanation as to whether those Key Issues are agreed or disagreed between the experts, subject to the page limits set out below in relation to each discipline:
 - 3.1 Economics – up to 10 pages
 - 3.2 Forensic Accounting – up to 5 pages
 - 3.3 Payments – up to 5 pages; and
 - 3.4 Security - up to 5 pages
4. The parties' experts in each of the fields set out in paragraph 3 above shall meet on a without prejudice basis to discuss their respective report(s) and produce the Joint Expert Statement.
5. To the extent necessary for the purposes of the Joint Expert Statement and pursuant to Practice Direction 3/2025, the parties' lawyers are permitted to be involved in the process of identifying the Key Issues in each field, in conjunction with the parties' experts.

6. By **5pm on Wednesday 22 July 2026**, each of the parties' experts in the fields set out in paragraph 3 above shall file revised expert reports for trial (the **Trial Expert Reports**) addressing only those matters necessary for the trial in these proceedings, and in particular complying with the following requirements:
 - 6.1 The Trial Expert Reports shall comply with the following page limits, which for the avoidance of doubt are the total page limits permitted for the experts in each specified discipline for the relevant party (in Google's case) or parties together (in the case of Coll and Rodger), giving a total of no more than 510 pages: (1) Economics: Coll/Rodger 180 pages, Google 160 pages; (2) Forensic accounting: Coll/Rodger 40 pages, Google 35 pages; (3) Payments: Coll 20 pages, Google 20 pages; (4) Security: Coll/Rodger 30 pages, Google 25 pages.
 - 6.2 Each Trial Expert Report is permitted to address only the Key Issues identified in the Joint Expert Statements in the field of that expert that are not agreed between the parties' experts in the Joint Expert Statements.
 - 6.3 Each Trial Expert Report shall be structured such that the Key Issues in that field are adopted as the headings for that Trial Expert Report.
 - 6.4 The Trial Expert Reports may not refer back to any Original Expert Reports. The parties' experts are, however, permitted where strictly necessary to cut and paste tables, diagrams or charts from their underlying reports and include these within an annex to their Trial Expert Report, provided that any such annex shall contain only supporting material and not substantive opinion.
 - 6.5 Subject to the page limits to be imposed pursuant to paragraph 6.1 above, each Trial Expert Report is limited to summarising or incorporating evidence which was previously included in that expert's Original Expert Reports, save that Google is permitted to respond to the new evidence as identified in RPC's letter dated 29 May 2026 of Singer 4 within its Trial Expert Report in the field of Economics.

7. The Joint Expert Statement and Trial Expert Reports are to be prepared in narrative form, with formatting in accordance with Tribunal Practice Direction 2/2025.
8. Singer 4 is admissible and may be relied upon at trial, save to the extent that it relies on inadmissible material, and may accordingly be reflected in Singer's Trial Expert Report.
9. Krein 3 is inadmissible and may not be relied upon at trial. If Ms Coll wishes to rely on further evidence from Dr Krein, she should make an application accordingly.

Evans inadmissibility process

10. The parties' further obligations pursuant to paragraphs 19 and 20 of the Tribunal's Order made on 24 February 2026 and drawn on 1 April 2026 (as amended by the Tribunal's Order dated 28 May 2026) are set aside.

Rodger's application to amend

11. The Rodger Class Representative has permission to amend his Collective Proceedings Claim Form in the form set out in the Rodger DRACF (the **Rodger Re-Amended Claim Form**), which must be filed and served by **5pm on 8 June 2026**.
12. The costs of Google's opposition to the Rodger Amendment Application are costs in the case. The Rodger Class Representative shall pay Google's costs of and occasioned by the amendments to his Amended Claim Form, to be assessed if not agreed.

The CPO Variation Application

13. The CPO Variation Application is dismissed.
14. Google shall pay the Rodger Class Representative's costs of and occasioned by the CPO Variation Application, to be assessed on the standard basis if not agreed.

Google's Disclosure Applications

15. Google shall make any Disclosure Applications by no later than **5pm on 12 June 2026**.
16. Google shall provide a copy of this Order and relevant extracts of the transcript of the 4 June 2026 case management conference (if available) to any entity against which it

issues an application as addressed at paragraph 15 above, and shall inform each respondent that:

- 16.1 the Tribunal provisionally requires the respondent to provide a response to the application within two weeks of it being made;
 - 16.2 the respondent(s) should write to the Tribunal to request more time if required; and
 - 16.3 such applications are to be determined by the Tribunal on the papers unless a compelling reason for a hearing is given.
17. The Rodger Class Representative is to be joined as an interested party to any applications made pursuant to paragraph 15 above, and is permitted to make written submissions or observations at the same time as any respondent.
18. To the extent any respondents produce disclosure, the parties' experts are permitted to comment on that disclosure in their Trial Expert Reports.

Costs of the Rodger CPO Application

19. The costs of and occasioned by the Rodger CPO Application are costs in the case.

Costs of the Updated Transaction Data Application and the Updated Expert Evidence Application

20. The Coll Class Representative shall pay Google its costs of the Updated Transaction Data Application and the Updated Expert Evidence Application, agreed in the sum of £30,000, by **5pm on 2 July 2026**.

Trial length estimate and trial timetable

21. Paragraph 35 of the Tribunal's Order dated 4 August 2025 shall be amended to read as follows: "*The trial shall commence on **28 September 2026** with a provisional trial length estimate of no more than **11 weeks**. The trial length is subject to review at the PTR. The week commencing 28 September 2026 shall be a reading week for the Tribunal.*"

22. The parties shall liaise and seek to agree the timetable for trial, and shall file a composite proposed trial timetable (showing areas of agreement and disagreement and, where this is disagreement, explaining the competing proposals) by **5pm on 19 June 2026**.

Other matters

23. Save in respect of the matters addressed at paragraphs 12, 14, 19 and 20 above, costs in the case.
24. There be liberty to apply.

The Honourable Mrs Justice Bacon
President of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 4 June 2026
Drawn: 10 June 2026