



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

BETWEEN:

Case No: 1595/7/7/23

ROBERT HAMMOND

Class Representative

- v -

(1) AMAZON.COM, INC.

(2) AMAZON EU S.À.R.L.

~~(3) AMAZON SERVICES EUROPE S. À.R.L~~

(4) AMAZON EUROPE CORE S.À.R.L.

(5) AMAZON UK SERVICES LTD

Defendants

AND BETWEEN:

Case No: 1644/7/7/24

PROFESSOR ANDREAS STEPHAN

Class Representative

- v -

(1) AMAZON.COM, INC.

(2) AMAZON EUROPE CORE S.À.R.L.

~~(3) AMAZON SERVICES EUROPE S. À.R.L~~

(4) AMAZON EU S. À.R.L.

(5) AMAZON UK SERVICES LTD

(6) AMAZON PAYMENTS UK LIMITED

Defendants

ORDER

UPON the Orders of the Tribunal dated 27 November 2025 in the Hammond Proceedings and the Stephan Proceedings

AND UPON hearing counsel for Mr Hammond, counsel for Prof. Stephan, counsel for ACSO and counsel for the Defendants in the Hammond Proceedings and the Stephan Proceedings (“**Amazon**”) at a case management conference on 2 June 2026

AND UPON the Order of the Tribunal drawn on 6 July 2026 directing that there shall be a First Trial

AND UPON the Tribunal considering the parties’ proposals as to the issues to be determined at the First Trial and at a second trial if required (the "**Second Trial**")

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The trial of the issues arising in the Hammond Proceedings and the Stephan Proceedings shall be split.
2. The following issues shall be determined at the First Trial:
 - (i) the definition of the relevant market(s);
 - (ii) whether Amazon was and is dominant on that market(s);
 - (iii) whether Amazon has abused and is abusing its dominant position on the relevant market(s) in the relevant periods and, if so, in what respect and over what periods, including:
 - (a) which aspects of the alleged conduct occurred and during what periods;
 - (b) whether such conduct constituted competition on the merits;
 - (c) the appropriate counterfactual(s);
 - (d) whether any proved conduct produced or was capable of producing anti-competitive effects as alleged in the relevant market(s) and/or related markets;
 - (e) whether such effects on competition were appreciable (where relevant);
 - (f) whether any proved conduct that would constitute an abuse of dominance actually or potentially affected trade between Member

- States or within the United Kingdom;
- (g) whether any proved conduct that would otherwise constitute an abuse of dominance was and is objectively justified; and
 - (iv) the extent to which (if at all) the Hammond Proceedings are time-barred.

3. The following issues shall be determined at the Second Trial (if required):

- (i) whether any conduct found at Trial 1 to constitute abuse of dominance caused the Class Members loss and, if so, the quantum of that loss;
- (ii) in that regard, whether, and if so to what extent, third-party sellers passed on to their customers any higher prices paid for fulfilment/logistics services and/or e-commerce marketplace fees they incurred as a result of any abuse of dominance found in Trial 1; and
- (iii) the amount of interest to which the Class Members are entitled.

4. Costs in the case.

5. Liberty to apply.

Sir Peter Roth
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 15 July 2026
Drawn: 15 July 2026