



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case No: 1771/7/7/26

BETWEEN:

JEREMY NEWMAN

Proposed Class Representative

- v -

(1) RIGHTMOVE PLC

(2) RIGHTMOVE GROUP LIMITED

Proposed Defendants

ORDER

UPON the Proposed Class Representative (“**PCR**”) filing an application for a Collective Proceedings Order pursuant to section 47B of the Competition Act 1998 and Rule 75 of the Competition Appeal Tribunal Rules 2015 (SI 1648/2015) (the “**Tribunal Rules**”) on 1 April 2026 (the “**CPO Application**”)

AND UPON the filing of witness statements from the PCR, Mr Jeremy Steven Newman (“**Newman 1**”) and Mr James Hain-Cole (“**Hain-Cole 1**”) in support of the CPO Application

AND UPON the establishment of a confidentiality ring pursuant to the Confidentiality Ring Order made by the Tribunal on 26 June 2026 (the “**Confidentiality Ring**”)

AND UPON the Proposed Defendants’ application on 29 June 2026 for the disclosure of certain communications with select Proposed Class Members (“**PCMs**”), (the “**PCM Communications**”) pursuant to Rules 53(2) and 74(1) of the Tribunal Rules, on the basis that the PCR has waived privilege over the PCM Communications (the “**Disclosure Application**”)

AND UPON hearing counsel for the PCR and the Proposed Defendants at a case management conference (“**CMC**”) on 16 July 2026

AND UPON counsel for the PCR indicating at the CMC that the PCR agrees, in lieu of the disclosure sought in the Disclosure Application of any document containing or concerning communications between a PCM and the PCR and/or his solicitors that is relevant to the number or proportion of PCMs that may have feared retaliation if their involvement with the Proposed Collective Proceedings became known to the Proposed Defendants, to provide information in a witness statement (with a statement of truth) concerning the overall number of PCMs with whom the PCR had communicated, and the number of PCMs whom the PCR had asked about the fear of retaliation if their involvement with the Proposed Collective Proceedings became known to the Proposed Defendants; and the Proposed Defendants' acceptance of this offer (the "**Agreement**")

AND UPON the Tribunal's ruling dated 27 July 2026 [2026] CAT 62 in respect of the Disclosure Application (the "**Ruling**")

AND UPON the PCR indicating by letter to the Proposed Defendants dated 27 July 2026 that in light of the Ruling he intends to remove, from Newman 1 and Hain-Cole 1, the references to communications with PCMs referred to at paragraph 54 of the Ruling

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. By 4pm on the day 5 working days from the date of this Order, the PCR shall: disclose and provide inspection of the PCM Communications, comprising any document containing or concerning communications between a PCM and the PCR and/or Scott+Scott which was in existence at the point at which the CPO Application was filed, and that is relevant to whether the PCM feared retaliation by the Proposed Defendants, or an adverse impact on their commercial relationship with the Proposed Defendants if their involvement with the Proposed Collective Proceedings became known to the Proposed Defendants (including but not limited to any written communications with the PCM including emails, and any record of oral communications including attendance notes of meetings).
2. Paragraph 1 of this Order shall be discharged and the Agreement shall fall away in the event that the PCR removes, from Newman 1 and Hain-Cole 1, the references to communications with PCMs referred to at paragraph 54 of the Ruling, namely the sections of Newman 1 and Hain-Cole 1 quoted at paragraph 3 of the Ruling, and the wording in brackets in Newman 1 immediately preceding the quoted wording. The PCR shall have permission to re-file Newman 1 and Hain-Cole 1 with those sections removed by 4pm on the day 5 working days from the date of this Order.
3. Paragraph 1 of this Order shall not require the disclosure of any internal solicitors' emails, emails between solicitors and counsel, or emails between solicitors and the

PCR, save where such emails contain a record of a communication responsive to paragraph 1 that would not otherwise be disclosed.

4. Subject to paragraph 2 of this Order, the PCR shall disclose the PCM Communications under paragraph 1:
 - (a) in an electronic format and on an electronic medium to be agreed by the parties;
and
 - (b) into the Confidentiality Ring, whereby inspection shall be limited to Confidentiality Ring Members.
5. Costs in the case.
6. There be liberty to apply.

Ben Tidswell
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 11 August 2026
Drawn: 11 August 2026