This Transcript has not been proof read or corrected. It is a working tool for the Tribunal for use in preparing its judgment. It will be placed on the Tribunal Website for readers to see how matters were conducted at the public hearing of these proceedings and is not to be relied on or cited in the context of any other proceedings. The Tribunal's judgment in this matter will be the final and definitive work.

IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL Case No: 1018/3/3/03

TRIBUNAL

Victoria House Bloomsbury Square London WC1 2EB

Wednesday, 12th May 2004

Sir Christopher Bellamy (President)
Mr Michael Blair QC
Dr Arthur Pryor CB

BETWEEN

Mr

BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PLC

- v -

OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS (FORMERLY THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS)

Respondent

Appellant

supported by

VODAFONE LIMITED

and

O2 (UK) LIMITED

Interveners

Gerald Barling QC, Mr Alan MacLean and Ms Sarah Stevens (instructed by BT Legal) appeared for the Appellant

Mr Richard Fowler QC (instructed by the Director General of Telecommunications) appeared for the Respondent

Ms Elizabeth McKnight of Herbert Smith appeared for Vodafone Limited

Ms Niamh Grogan of SJ Berwin appeared for O2 (UK) Limited

HEARING AT WHICH JUDGMENT WAS HANDED DOWN

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Transcription of the stenographic notes of
Harry Counsell & Co
(incorporating Cliffords Inn Conference Centre)
Cliffords Inn,
Fetter Lane,
London EC4A 1LD
Telephone: 020 7269 0370

(At 9.45 a.m.)

THE PRESIDENT: For the reasons given in the judgment that we have handed down today, the Tribunal decides:

- (1) the appeal is allowed;
- (2) the Direction dated 23rd June 2003 is declared to be without legal effect;
- (3) the Direction is remitted to OFCOM with a direction to treat the Direction as being without legal effect and to take no further action pursuant to the Telecommunications (Interconnection) Regulations 1997 as regards the dispute between BT and Vodafone which formed the subject matter of the Direction.

We make it clear, although that is not part of our formal order, that in our view the continuation notice falls with the Direction that we set aside.

MR BARLING: I am very grateful to the Tribunal, sir. The only matter -- well, I suppose there may be other matters but costs is an obvious matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR BARLING: The position on that is that Mr Fowler has very kindly said to me that he anticipates, and I would hope so too, that we would be able to deal with that matter without troubling the Tribunal other than to tell you or perhaps ask you later on to make some sort of consent order.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR BARLING: That is the hope anyway.

THE PRESIDENT: We had obviously anticipated that there might be an application for costs so we had given it very preliminary sort of first consideration and we had simply wondered to ourselves what is the right approach to costs in a regulatory field like this where there is a real likelihood of litigation of one sort or another between these two particular parties. This is, in a sense, part of the regulatory system and in this particular case the Director was, at least formally speaking, adjudicating on a dispute that had been brought to him by Vodafone to resolve.

So where all those matters took one on the question of costs, we had not made further progress other than to

- ask ourselves, or to point out to ourselves that there
  perhaps was a question about costs that we needed to think
  about at some point.
  - MR BARLING: So, sir, the obvious sequence would be that if we were not able to resolve it between ourselves, then we would inform the Tribunal and the Tribunal would then, in its busy schedule -----
  - THE PRESIDENT: If you are not able to resolve it, then of course we will make a ruling if there is an application. If there is no application, we have nothing to decide.
- 11 MR BARLING: I think there is an outstanding application. I am
  12 not sure ----
- 13 THE PRESIDENT: I think, informally speaking, in your Notice of 14 Appeal there is an application for costs, yes.
- 15 MR BARLING: In our Notice of Appeal, yes.
- 16 THE PRESIDENT: But we do not know whether that is pursued or not at this point.
- 18 MR BARLING: The answer is -- anyway, I will not say what it 19 is. It is better if we can resolve matters.
- THE PRESIDENT: Yes. I think if you can resolve it, so much the better.
- 22 MR BARLING: Thank you very much.
- 23 THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Are there any other matters we need to decide?
  - MR FOWLER: Sir, Mr Barling has rightly put forward our position in relation to costs. In relation to permission, at the moment my clients wish to consider further the implications of the judgment.
  - THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

10

25

26

27

2829

33

34

35 36

- 30 MR FOWLER: And, if necessary, we would propose to deal with 31 that within the one-month period for written application 32 permission.
  - THE PRESIDENT: Yes. As a very preliminary indication, I am not sure the Tribunal would have a particularly warm feeling about granting permission to appeal at our level, but that is a matter, of course, for your clients to consider.
- 37 MR FOWLER: I am much obliged.
- 38 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think the only other 39 thing we would like to say, if we may, is that we had

enormous amount of assistance from all the parties in this case, BT, OFCOM and the Intervener, and we are very grateful indeed to everybody for that. So thank you very much indeed.

(Adjourned at 9.50 a.m.)

- - - - -