This Transcript has not been proof read or corrected. It is a working tool for the Tribunal for use in preparing its judgment. It will be placed on the Tribunal Website for readers to see how matters were conducted at the public hearing of these proceedings and is not to be relied on or cited in the context of any other proceedings. The Tribunal's judgment in this matter will be the final and definitive record.

## IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case No 1024/2/3/04

Victoria House, Bloomsbury Place, London WC1A 2EB

31st August 2006

Before: MARION SIMMONS QC (Chairman)

Sitting as a Tribunal in England and Wales

**BETWEEN**:

FLOE TELECOM LIMITED

 $(In\ administration)$ 

Appellant

supported by

WORLDWIDE CONNECT (UK) LIMITED

<u>Intervener</u>

and

OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS

Respondent

supported by

VODAFONE LIMITED T-MOBILE (UK) LIMITED

<u>Interveners</u>

Transcribed from the Shorthand notes of
Beverley F. Nunnery & Co.
Official Shorthand Writers and Tape Transcribers
Quality House, Quality Court, Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1HP
Tel: 020 7831 5627 Fax: 020 7831 7737

PROCEEDINGS AFTER JUDGMENTS HANDED DOWN

## **APPEARANCES**

Mr. Edward Mercer (of Taylor Wessing) appeared for the Appellant.			
Mr. David Allen Green (of Taylor Wessing) appeared for Worldwide Connect Limited.			
Ms. Lélia Maïou (of the legal department, Office of Communications) appeared for the Respondent.			
Mr. Stephen Wisking (of Herbert Smith) appeared for Vodafone Limited.			
T-Mobile (UK) Limited did not appear and was not represented.			

1	THE CHAIRMAN:		
2			
3	1	I am handing down this morning two Decisions. The first is Floe's appeal against Ofcom's	
4		Decision dated 28 <sup>th</sup> June 2005; and the second is the Tribunal's assessment of costs pursuant to	
5		its order of 1 <sup>st</sup> December 2004.	
6			
7	2	The Tribunal dismisses the Appeal against Ofcom's decision dated 28th June 2005 and	
8		confirms Ofcom's Decision that Vodafone had not abused a dominant position when	
9		disconnecting Floe's SIM cards. In reaching our Judgment we have made findings on the list	
10		of issues which have been agreed by the parties which, in certain respects, differ from the	
11		position set out in Ofcom's Decision. Our full reasons are set out in the Judgment being	
12		handed down and a summary of the reasons can be found at paras. $11 - 14$ of our Judgment.	
13			
14	3	Turning to the Tribunal's assessment of the costs pursuant to our order of 1st December 2004,	
15		the Tribunal assesses the additional costs at £6,000.	
16			
17	4	The Tribunal's reasons are set out in the Ruling which I am now handing down. Insofar as	
18		the Tribunal's Decision in the Appeal against the Decision of Ofcom of 28 <sup>th</sup> June 2005	
19		requires further directions the hearing of the case management conference will take place on	
20		13 <sup>th</sup> September 2006 at 10.30.	
21			
22	5	Pending our Judgment in the Floe case, VIP Communications (in administration) v Ofcom has	
23		been stayed. On 13 <sup>th</sup> September 2006 there will also be a case management conference in the	
24		VIP case, particularly to consider the implications of the Tribunal's Decision in Floe on the	
25		VIP Appeal and to give such directions are as are appropriate for the hearing of that Appeal.	
26			
27		I assume there are no applications today? Thank you very much for coming.	
28			
29			