Case No: 1378/5/7/20



IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

BETWEEN:

(1) EPIC GAMES, INC.(2) EPIC GAMES INTERNATIONAL S.À.R.L

Claimants

and

(1) ALPHABET INC.
(2) GOOGLE LLC
(3) GOOGLE IRELAND LIMITED
(4) GOOGLE COMMERCE LIMITED
(5) GOOGLE PAYMENT LIMITED

Defendants

ORDER

UPON hearing counsel for all parties at a case management conference on 6 December 2021

AND UPON the US Parties (defined below) (which includes the first Claimant and the second to fourth Defendants) being subject to a Stipulated Amended Protective Order ("**SAPO**") and a Stipulated Amended Supplemental Protective Order governing production of protected non-party materials and information ("**SASPO**") issued, in the US Proceedings (defined below) on 22 October 2021 and annexed hereto (collectively, the "**US Protective Orders**")

AND UPON the US Parties (defined below) having designated information and material for protection in the US Proceedings (defined below) in accordance with the US Protective Orders

AND UPON the Claimants and the Defendants (together, the "Parties") having agreed that disclosure given in the US Proceedings (defined below) shall stand as disclosure in these

proceedings given the particular circumstances of this case, including the commonality of parties, the direct overlap in certain issues, and the fact that a significant review exercise by the US Parties has already commenced

AND UPON the Parties having agreed that disclosure given in the US Proceedings (defined below) should be supplemented as necessary by targeted additional UK disclosure in respect of any UK-specific custodians and/or issues

AND UPON the Parties having agreed that notwithstanding the collateral use restrictions that arise under Rule 102 of the Competition Appeal Tribunal Rules 2015 (S.I. 2015 No. 1648) (the "**CAT Rules**") and paragraph 7 of the SAPO, there would be efficiencies, in the highly specific circumstances of this case, if the Parties' and the US Parties' respective legal teams, experts and witnesses were able to discuss and freely share materials (i) that are subject to the US Protective Orders; and (ii) first produced in these proceedings through supplemental disclosure.

AND UPON the Parties having agreed to the terms of this Order

IT IS ORDERED BY CONSENT THAT:

- 1. For the purposes of this Order:
 - (a) "Confidential Material" refers to (i) documents or information designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" under the SAPO or (ii) documents or information first produced in these proceedings that is (or is likely to be) accorded confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 99 and/or Rule 101 of the CAT Rules.
 - (b) "Designated In-House Counsel" means, for each Party, the two In-House Counsel named in Part A of Annex A to this Order who (i) have no involvement or reasonably foreseeable involvement in competitive decision-making, and (ii) may be provided access to Confidential and Highly Confidential Material in these proceedings.
 - (c) "Designating Party" means a Party, US Party or third-party that designated information that it produces in these proceedings, or has produced or subsequently produces in the US Proceedings, as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material.

- (d) "Expert" means a person named in Part A of Annex A to this Order or bound by the US Protective Orders with specialised knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to these proceedings who has been retained by a Party to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in these proceedings or the US Proceedings.
- (e) "External Counsel" means any counsel and instructing solicitors named in Part A of Annex A to this Order or bound by the US Protective Orders who are not employees of a Party but are retained to represent or advise a Party and are instructed in these proceedings or the US Proceedings by that Party.
- (f) "Highly Confidential Material" refers to: (i) documents or information designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the SAPO; or (ii) extremely sensitive and confidential documents or information:
 - disclosure of which to another Party or third party would create a substantial risk of serious harm that could not be avoided by less restrictive means; and
 - (ii) is (or is likely to be) accorded confidential treatment pursuant to Rule99 and/or Rule 101 of the CAT Rules.
- (g) "In-House Counsel" refers to qualified lawyers named in Part A of Annex A to this Order or bound by the US Protective Orders who are employees of a Party, or a Party's affiliate, and who have responsibility for managing this action.
- (h) "Non-Party Highly Confidential Material" refers to third party documents or information designated as "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" under the SASPO or extremely sensitive and confidential third party documents or information:
 - disclosure of which to a Party or another third party would create a substantial risk of serious harm that could not be avoided by less restrictive means; and

- (ii) is (or is likely to be) accorded confidential treatment pursuant to Rule99 and/or Rule 101 of the CAT Rules.
- (i) "Professional Vendors" means those persons or entities named in Part A of Annex A to this Order or bound by the US Protective Orders that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organising, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium and their employees and subcontractors).
- (j) "Protected Material" means any material that is designated as "Confidential Material", "Highly Confidential Material" or "Non-Party Highly Confidential Material" pursuant to the US Protective Orders or this Order.
- (k) "Receiving Party" means a Party or US Party that received Protected Material in the US Proceedings or in these proceedings, as applicable.
- "US Parties" means the first Claimant, the second to fourth Defendants, Google Asia Pacific Pte. Limited and Google Payment Corp.
- (m) "US Proceedings" means the case of *Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*,
 (Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD) taking place before the United States District
 Court for the Northern District of California (San Francisco Division) and any
 appeals to Courts of competent jurisdiction.

SCOPE

- 2. The protections conferred by this Order on Protected Material apply also to:
 - (a) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material;
 - (b) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and
 - (c) any testimony, conversations, or presentations that reveal Protected Material.
- 3. The protections conferred by this Order do not apply to the following information:
 - (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party as a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order

or either of the US Protective Orders, including becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and

(b) any information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party.

COLLATERAL USE OF MATERIALS FIRST PRODUCED IN THESE PROCEEDINGS

- 4. Rule 102 of the CAT Rules is dispensed with only to the extent that materials, including Protected Materials, first produced in these proceedings through supplemental disclosure and any work product derived or containing excerpts from those materials, may be shared with the US Parties and their 'House Counsel', 'Outside Counsel of Record' and 'Experts' in the US Proceedings (each as defined in the SAPO) provided that:
 - (a) any materials designated Confidential Material pursuant to this Order may be shared only with those groups and individuals with whom Protected Material designated as 'CONFIDENTIAL' may be shared pursuant to the SAPO;
 - (b) any materials designated Highly Confidential Material pursuant to this Order may be shared only with those groups and individuals with whom Protected Material designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY' may be shared pursuant to the SAPO; and
 - (c) any materials designated Non-Party Highly Confidential Material pursuant to this Order may be shared only with those groups and individuals with whom Protected Material designated as 'NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY' may be shared pursuant to the SASPO.

ACCESS TO AND USE OF INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS "CONFIDENTIAL"

5. Subject to paragraph 4, Confidential Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order and may be used only for

purposes of prosecuting, defending or attempting to settle these proceedings or of discussing the US Proceedings.

- 6. Unless otherwise ordered by the Tribunal or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any Confidential Material only to:
 - (a) the Receiving Party's External Counsel to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including In-House Counsel) of the Receiving Party named in Part A of Annex A to this Order to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary because they either have responsibility for making decisions dealing directly with these proceedings or are assisting External Counsel with these proceedings, and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (c) the Experts of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (d) the Tribunal and its personnel (and any appeal Court of competent jurisdiction and its personnel);
 - (e) Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (f) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise lawfully possessed or knew the information; and
 - (g) any current employee of the Designating Party.

7. Confidential Information must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorised under this Order.

ACCESS TO AND USE OF INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"

- 8. Subject to paragraph 4, Highly Confidential Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order and may be used only for purposes of prosecuting, defending or attempting to settle these proceedings or of discussing the US Proceedings.
- 9. Unless otherwise ordered by the Tribunal or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any Highly Confidential Material only to:
 - (a) the Receiving Party's External Counsel to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders and their support staff;
 - (b) the Designated In-House Counsel of the Receiving Party named in Part A of Annex A to this Order, who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order;
 - (c) the Experts of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (d) the Tribunal and its personnel (and any appeal Court of competent jurisdiction and its personnel);
 - (e) Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;

- (f) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise lawfully possessed or knew the information; and
- (g) any current employee of the Designating Party.
- 10. Highly Confidential Material:
 - (a) may only be transmitted to Designated In-House Counsel through a passwordprotected Secured File Transfer Protocol (SFTP), and if downloaded, the Designated In-House Counsel must download and store such documents in a secure location that cannot be accessed by others, and shall delete them within 14 days after receipt.
 - (b) may also be made available:
 - (i) in in-person meetings where the documents remain in the possession of External Counsel;
 - (ii) via screen-sharing technology; or
 - (iii) through a document review platform with printing and downloading disabled.
- 11. Notwithstanding the preceding paragraph, any lawyers' work product containing Highly Confidential Material may be transmitted to Designated In-House Counsel via corporate/firm e-mail accounts, provided, however, exhibits to the foregoing that are or contain Highly Confidential Material documents may not be transmitted via e-mail.
- 12. Highly Confidential Information must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorised under this Order.

ACCESS TO AND USE OF INFORMATION DESIGNATED AS "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY"

13. Non-Party Highly Confidential Material produced by third parties in the US Proceedings may not be produced in these proceedings without: (i) an order from the

Tribunal under Rule 63 of the CAT Rules; or (ii) the written permission of the (third party) Designating Party.

- 14. Subject to paragraph 4, Non-Party Highly Confidential Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order and may be used only for purposes of prosecuting, defending or attempting to settle these proceedings or of discussing the US Proceedings.
- 15. Unless otherwise ordered by the Tribunal or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any Non-Party Highly Confidential Material only to:
 - (a) the Receiving Party's External Counsel to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders and their support staff;
 - (b) the Experts of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (c) the Tribunal and its personnel (and any appeal Court of competent jurisdiction and its personnel);
 - (d) Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these proceedings and who have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order or are bound by the US Protective Orders, and their support staff;
 - (e) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise lawfully possessed or knew the information.
- 16. Non-Party Highly Confidential Information must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorised under this Order.

THIRD-PARTY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN THESE PROCEEDINGS

- 17. In the event that a Party is required to produce a third party's confidential information in its possession (the "**Obligated Party**"), and the Obligated Party or one of its affiliates is subject to an agreement which prevents the production of the third party's confidential information in these proceedings, then the Obligated Party shall:
 - (a) promptly notify in writing the third party of the requirement to produce the third party's confidential information;
 - (b) promptly provide the third party with a copy of this Order and a reasonably specific description of the information that must be produced; and
 - (c) make the information requested available for inspection by the third party.
- 18. If the third party fails to object or make an application to the Tribunal to prevent disclosure within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Obligated Party may produce the third party's confidential information, subject to the terms of this Order.
- 19. If the third party objects or makes an application to prevent disclosure within 14 days of receiving such a notice, the Obligated Party shall not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the third party before a determination by the Tribunal.
- 20. Absent an order to the contrary, the third party shall bear the costs of relief sought from the Tribunal in respect of its confidential information.

UNAUTHORISED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

- 21. If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorised under this Order, the Receiving Party must immediately:
 - (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorised disclosures;
 - (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorised copies of the Protected Material;

- (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorised disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order; and
- (d) request such person or persons to give a signed undertaking to the Tribunal in the terms of Part B of the Annex to this Order.

PROTECTED MATERIAL ORDERED TO BE PRODUCED IN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

- 22. If a Party is served with an order issued by a court, arbitral, administrative, or legislative body that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in these proceedings as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material, that Party must:
 - (a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party, including a copy of the relevant order;
 - (b) promptly notify in writing the person who caused the order to be issued that some or all of the material sought is subject to this Order, including a copy of this Order; and
 - (c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

DESIGNATION

- 23. The process by which Parties designate material first disclosed in the US Proceedings as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material shall be governed by the terms of the US Protective Orders. All designations applied to Protected Materials first disclosed in the US Proceedings shall stand in these proceedings. The process by which Parties designate material first disclosed in these proceedings as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material shall be governed by paragraphs 24 to 31 of this Order.
- 24. Each Party that designates information or items first disclosed in these proceedings for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the CAT Rules.

- 25. The Designating Party must designate for protection, in a specific document or set of documents first disclosed in these proceedings, only those parts that qualify for protection under this Order in accordance with Rule 101 of the CAT Rules. Mass, indiscriminate or routinised designations are prohibited.
- 26. Rule 101 of the CAT Rules is dispensed with only for Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material and Non-Party Highly Confidential Material which is first disclosed in the US Proceedings and governed by the terms of the US Protective Orders.
- 27. If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items first produced and designated for protection in these proceedings do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation or of the lesser designation such information or items qualify for and under which they are accordingly re-designated.
- 28. The Designating Party must affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" (to Confidential Material), "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" (to Highly Confidential Material), or "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" (to Non-Party Highly Confidential Material) to each page that contains Protected Material.
- 29. The use of a document as an exhibit in a witness statement shall not, without Party consent or further order, in any way affect its designation as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material.
- 30. Transcripts of hearings containing Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material shall have an obvious legend on the title page that the transcript contains Protected Material, and the title page shall be followed by a list of all pages that have been designated as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material. The Designating Party shall inform the transcriber of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared shall be treated during a period of 21 days as if it had been designated as Highly Confidential Material in its entirety unless otherwise agreed. After the expiration of that period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated (or as non-confidential if no confidentiality claims are made).

31. For information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the Designating Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" (to Confidential Material), "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" (to Highly Confidential Material) or "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" (to Non-Party Highly Confidential Material). If affixing the appropriate legend on a container is not feasible, the Designating Party shall find another means to identify the information, for example through a cover letter or other communication.

CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 32. Subject to paragraphs 23 and 47, any Party may challenge a designation of material as being Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material at any time.
- 33. Unless a prompt challenge to a designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary costs, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 34. The challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice which:
 - (a) states each designation it is challenging;
 - (b) describes the basis for each challenge; and
 - (c) states the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this paragraph.
- 35. The Parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly within 14 days of the date of service of notice. The challenging party must:
 - (a) explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper; and

- (b) give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation.
- 36. If a Party:
 - (a) has undertaken the process prescribed by paragraphs 32 to 35 above; or
 - (b) establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to undertake the process prescribed by paragraphs 32 to 35 above in a timely manner;
 - (c) the challenging Party shall file and serve an application supported by evidence to re-designate or de-designate material under this Order within 14 days of the parties agreeing that the process prescribed by paragraphs 32 to 35 above will not resolve their dispute.
- 37. The Parties shall continue to afford the material subject to any challenge the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Designating Party's designation until the Tribunal determines any application made or agreement is reached otherwise by the Parties.
- 38. When Confidential, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material has been re-designated (whether as non-confidential or as a different confidentiality category) in the US Proceedings, that re-designation shall apply automatically in these proceedings. Each Designating Party whose documents have been re-designated in the US Proceedings shall use best endeavours to inform the Receiving Parties' External Counsel in these proceedings of all such re-designations promptly.

TERMINATION

39. In respect of documents or information which maintain their designation as "CONFIDENTIAL", "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" or ""NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" under the US Protective Orders, the treatment of Protected Material following termination of these proceedings shall be governed by the terms of the US Protective Orders. For the purposes of this Order, termination of these proceedings shall be deemed to occur on the later of (i) dismissal of all claims and defences, (ii) entry of a final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, or (iii) settlement of the case.

- 40. In respect of other documents or information which maintain their designation as Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material within 60 days of the termination of these proceedings.
- 41. For the avoidance of doubt, no Receiving Party is obligated to return or destroy Protected Material until 60 days following the termination of these proceedings, notwithstanding final disposition (as defined in Section 4 of the SAPO) of the US Proceedings.
- 42. The obligations contained in the undertakings provided pursuant to this Order and Rule 102 of the CAT Rules shall continue to apply following termination of these proceedings and each of the Parties shall continue to treat all Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material and Non-Party Highly Confidential Material in accordance with this Order unless the Designating Party consents until the Party has confirmed to the Designating Party that all relevant Protected Material held by it or on its behalf has been destroyed.
- 43. For the avoidance of doubt, none of the obligations imposed by this Order shall prevent External Counsel from retaining and/or disclosing any information contained within any Protected Material which is required to be retained and/or disclosed by operation of law or by order of a court of competent jurisdiction or by a regulatory or other body having jurisdiction over the External Counsel.

GENERAL

- 44. Any Party that is a beneficiary of the protections of this Order may enter a written agreement releasing any other Party from one or more requirements of this Order even if the conduct subject to the release would otherwise violate the terms of this Order, without application to the Tribunal.
- 45. This Order is made without prejudice to any right any Party or third-party otherwise would have:

- (a) to object to disclosing or producing any information on any ground not addressed in this Order; or
- (b) to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Order.
- 46. Any Party may not file in the public record in these proceedings any Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material or otherwise rely on any Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material or Non-Party Highly Confidential Material during any public hearings without:
 - (a) written permission from the Designating Party; or
 - (b) an order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons.
- 47. The specific arrangements for and use of Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material and Non-Party Highly Confidential Material at trial shall be the subject of determination at a subsequent CMC.
- 48. Any Party may seek to amend the list of persons set out at Part A to Annex A by providing written notice of at least 14 days to all other Parties. If the other Parties provide their consent to the admission of a person during the notice period, that person shall immediately be admitted once they have signed an undertaking in accordance with Part B of Annex A to this Order. During the notice period -
 - (a) the Party seeking to amend the list may, in an urgent case, write to the Tribunal seeking its approval to the proposed amendment if consent from the other Parties has not been received within 24 hours of a request having been made;
 - (b) any other Party may write to the Tribunal and to the Party seeking the amendment to raise any reasoned objection thereto. If such objection is taken, the amendment sought shall not be made pending the Tribunal's determination on such objection.
- 49. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Party from seeking additional protections.

- 50. The Parties shall provide the Tribunal and their respective External Counsel with all amendments, variations and replacements of, and written agreements in connection with, the US Protective Orders promptly after execution.
- 51. There shall be liberty to apply.

The Hon Mr Justice Marcus Smith	Dr Catherine Bell CB
President	

Andrew Young QC

Made: 15 December 2021 Drawn: 15 December 2021

ANNEX A

PART A: RELEVANT UK ADVISERS

This Part contains the names, for each party, of relevant UK advisers:

Epic Games, Inc.; Epic Games International S.À R.L

Officers, Directors & Employees

In-House Counsel

Designated In-House Counsel

- Jessica Medina, Counsel
- Dan Taskalos, Counsel

External Counsel

Clifford Chance LLP

- Elizabeth Morony, Partner
- Anna Henderson, Senior Associate
- Carla Lewis, Senior Associate
- Ben Jasper, Senior Associate
- Oliver Carroll, Associate
- Ji Eun Park, Associate
- Simone Matthews, Associate
- Tom Dyer, Associate
- Deon Fang, Associate
- Leo Lou, Associate
- Jaime Streete, Associate
- Kieran Varghela, Associate
- George Tyler, Associate
- Saskia Mondon-Ballantyne, Associate
- Chloe Lettington, Trainee Solicitor

- Euan Lynch, Trainee Solicitor
- Dave McCartney, Senior Case Manager
- Steven Cornwall, Case Manager

Counsel

- Mark Brealey QC
- Daisy Mackersie

Experts

Professional Vendors

Alphabet Inc.; Google LLC; Google Ireland Limited; Google Commerce Ltd; Google Payment Limited

Officers, Directors & Employees

• Zanna Iscenko, Senior Economist, Google

In-House Counsel

• [not currently used]

Designated In-House Counsel

- Ken Maikish, Senior Litigation Counsel, Google
- Kate Shires, Legal Counsel, Google

External Counsel

Counsel

- Josh Holmes QC, Barrister, Monckton Chambers
- Thomas Sebastian, Barrister, Monckton Chambers
- Jack Williams, Barrister, Monckton Chambers

Solicitors

- David Cran, Partner, RPC
- Lambros Kilaniotis, Partner, RPC
- Sarah Mountain, Senior Associate, RPC
- Leonia Chesterfield, Senior Associate, RPC
- Sophie Tuson, Senior Associate, RPC
- Thomas McCall, Associate, RPC
- Samuel Coppard, Associate, RPC
- Ellie Ward, Associate, RPC
- Harvey Briggs, Trainee Solicitor, RPC
- Courtney Brotherson, Trainee Solicitor, RPC
- Andy Hodgson, Paralegal, RPC

• Alexander Waksman, Partner, gunnercooke LLP

Experts

- Stephen Lewis, Partner, RBB Economics
- Simon Bishop, Partner, RBB Economics
- Paul Reeve, Principal, RBB Economics
- Charles Nicholson, Associate Principal, RBB Economics
- Nuno Alvim, Associate Principal, RBB Economics
- Jakub Redlicki, Senior Associate, RBB Economics
- Nikta Kermani, Associate, RBB Economics

Professional Vendors

• [not currently used]

PART B: FORM OF UNDERTAKINGS ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

- I, _____ [print or type full name], of ______
 [print or type full address], have read in its entirety and understand the Order that was issued by the Competition Appeal Tribunal on [date]. I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Order and I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought in respect of a failure to comply with the terms of this Order.
- 2. I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.
- 3. I will use the information or items that are subject to this Order only for the purpose of these proceedings and for the purpose of no other current or future proceedings, dispute, complaint, or other use whatsoever in any jurisdiction (save for information or items originating from my client, subject to their consent).
- 4. I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the Competition Appeal Tribunal for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of these proceedings.
- 5. I hereby appoint _____ [print or type full name] of _____ [print or type full address and telephone number] as my English agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings related to enforcement of this Order.

Date:	
Address:	
Printed name:	
Signature:	

ANNEX B US PROTECTIVE ORDERS

	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189	Filed 10/22/21 Page 1 of 27
1 2	Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175) karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com BARTLIT BECK LLP	Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199) paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
3	1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200	Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor
4	Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 592-3100	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-7500
5	Hae Sung Nam (<i>pro hac vice</i>) hnam@kaplanfox.com	Christine A. Varney (<i>pro hac vice</i>) cvarney@cravath.com
6	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 850 Third Avenue	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP 825 Eighth Avenue
7	New York, NY 10022 Tel.: (212) 687-1980	New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000
8 9	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.
10	Steve W. Berman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) steve@hbsslaw.com	Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643) bglackin@agutah.gov
11	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000	OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL
12	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	160 E 300 S, 5th Floor PO Box 140872
13	Eamon P. Kelly (pro hac vice)	Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872 Telephone: 801-366-0260
14	ekelly@sperling-law.com SPERLING & SLATER P.C.	Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States
15	55 W. Monroe, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576)
16	Telephone: 312-641-3200	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
17	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596
18	and Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc.	Telephone: (415) 442-1000
19	Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174) bsweeney@hausfeld.com	Daniel M. Petrocelli (SBN 97802) dpetrocelli@omm.com
20	HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Fl.
21	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 633-1908	Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035 Telephone: (310) 553-6700
22	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In	Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503)
23	re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc.	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
24		350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3426
25		Telephone: (213) 683-9100
26	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
27		
28		Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	[PROPOSED] AMENDI	ED PROTECTIVE ORDER

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 2 of 27
1 2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
5	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
6 7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER
8	<i>Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.</i> , Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD Judge: Hon. James Donato
9 10	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD
11	In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD
12 13	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
28	3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1

1.

PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of 2 confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public 3 4 disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. On December 10, 2020, the Court entered a Stipulated Protective Order in the following 5 cases: Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD, Dkt. No. 110, approving Dkt. No. 106-1; Case No. 3:20-cv-6 7 05761-JD, Dkt. No. 117, approving Dkt. No. 109-1; Case No. 3:20-cv-05792; JD, Dkt. No. 78, approving Dkt. No. 76-1. On July 20, 2021, the Court entered an Order Determining Cases to be 8 Related with respect to the case entitled State of Utah, et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-9 cv-5227-JSC, which consolidated that case with the aforementioned cases into MDL Case No. 10 2981 (the "Litigation"). The Parties acknowledge that the Stipulated Protective Order requires 11 amendment to govern the production by and to the Plaintiff States of Discovery Materials (as 12 defined below). 13 Accordingly, the Parties hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following 14

Stipulated Amended Protective Order ("Protective Order"). The Parties acknowledge that this 15 Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that 16 the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information 17 or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The 18 Parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 14.4, below, that this Stipulated Amended 19 Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local 20 Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied 21 when a Party seeks permission from the Court to file material under seal. 22

23

26

27

28

2.

DEFINITIONS

24 2.1 <u>Challenging Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of
 25 information or items under this Order.

Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

1

1 2.2 "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items: information (regardless of how it is 2 generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule 3 of Civil Procedure 26(c). 2.3 4 Counsel (without qualifier): State AGO Attorneys, Outside Counsel of Record, 5 and House Counsel (as well as their support staff). 6 2.4 Designated House Counsel: For each Party, up to two House Counsel who may be 7 provided access to "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information in 8 this matter. 9 2.5 Designating Party: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it 10 produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY 11 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." 12 2.6 Disclosure or Discovery Material: all items or information, regardless of the 13 medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, 14 testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or 15 responses to discovery in this matter. 16 2.7 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to 17 the Litigation who: (1) has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or 18 as a consultant in this action; (2) is not a current employee or current business consultant of a 19 Party or of a Party's competitor, or otherwise currently involved in competitive decision-making 20 for a Party or a Party's competitor; (3) has not, within the 12 months preceding the entry of this 21 Protective Order, been an employee or business consultant of a Party or a Party's competitor, or 22 otherwise been involved in competitive decision-making for a Party or a Party's competitor; and 23 (4) at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee or business consultant of a 24 Party or a Party's competitor, or to be otherwise involved in competitive decision-making for a 25 Party or a Party's competitor. If, while this action is pending, a Party learns that any of its 26 retained experts or consultants as defined herein is anticipating to become, or has become, an 27

2

28

Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;

3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD;

3:21-cv-05227-JD

Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 5 of 27

1	employee or business consultant of a Party or a Party's competitor, or otherwise involved in	
2	competitive decision-making for a Party or a Party's competitor, the Party learning such	
3	information shall promptly disclose the information to the other Parties.	
4	2.8 <u>"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information or</u>	
5	Items: extremely sensitive "Confidential Information or Items," disclosure of which to another	
6	Party or Non-Party would create a substantial risk of serious harm that could not be avoided by	
7	less restrictive means.	
8	2.9 <u>House Counsel</u> : attorneys who are members in good standing of at least one state	
9	bar, who are employees of a Party, or a Party's affiliate, and who have responsibility for	
10	managing this action. House Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record, any other	
11	outside counsel, or State AGO Attorneys.	
12	2.10 <u>Non-Party</u> : any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal	
13	entity not named as a Party to this action.	
14	2.11 <u>Outside Counsel of Record</u> : attorneys who are not employees of a Party but are	
15	retained to represent or advise a Party and have appeared in this action on behalf of that Party or	
16	are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that Party.	
17	2.12 <u>Party</u> : any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees,	
18	consultants, retained experts, Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs), and State	
19	AGO Attorneys.	
20	2.13 <u>Plaintiff States or States:</u> states, commonwealths, territories, or districts within the	
21	United States that are plaintiffs in the action styled <i>State of Utah, et al. v. Google LLC, et al.</i> , No.	
22	3:21-cv-05227-JD.	
23	2.14 <u>Producing Party</u> : a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery	
24	Material in this action.	
25	2.15 <u>Professional Vendors</u> : persons or entities who are not employees of a Party but are	
26	retained to provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating,	
27	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;	
28	3 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER	

Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 6 of 27

1 preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, reviewing or retrieving documents 2 or data in any form or medium and their employees and subcontractors).

2.16 Protected Material: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," or as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY".

5

3

4

Receiving Party: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material. 2.17

6 2.18 State AGO Attorneys: attorneys employed by the Plaintiff States' attorneys 7 general offices (including retained attorneys and contract attorneys), as well as their support staff, 8 where the attorneys and support staff have access to internal State attorneys general office 9 information technology systems in the ordinary course of their employment responsibilities and 10 have been informed of their obligations to comply with this agreement. The obligation to inform 11 support staff is met once a state AGO attorney informs a supervising member of the support staff 12 about the obligations of this agreement.

13 3.

28

SCOPE

14 The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected 15 Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected 16 Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any 17 testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal 18 Protected Material. However, the protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order do not 19 cover the following information: (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of 20 disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a 21 Receiving Party as a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order, including 22 becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any information known to 23 the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the 24 disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and under no obligation of 25 confidentiality to the Designating Party. In addition, nothing in this Protective Order alters the 26 rights and obligations of the parties under the confidentiality agreements or other protective 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 4

3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 7 of 27

orders pursuant to which some Defendants produced documents in response to civil
 investigative demands by certain States, except as modified by the Stipulation and Order re:
 Documents Google Produced to the States in Response to Civil Investigative Demands (Case
 3:21-md-02981, Dkt. #109). Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by a
 separate agreement or order.

6

4.

DURATION

Even after final disposition of this Litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by
this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a Court
order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all
claims and defenses in this action, with or without prejudice; or (2) entry of a final judgment
herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews
of this action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time
pursuant to applicable law.

14

5.

DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

15 5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party 16 or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care 17 to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. At 18 the reasonable request of any Party, the Designating Party must designate for protection, in a 19 specific document or set of documents, only those parts that qualify for protection under this 20 Order, so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which 21 protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order. 22 Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are 23 shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to 24 unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process or to impose unnecessary 25 expenses and burdens on other Parties) expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

- 26
- 27

28

Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

5

1 If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated 2 for protection do not qualify for protection at all or do not qualify for the level of protection 3 initially asserted, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is 4 withdrawing the mistaken designation. 5 5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order 6 (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, 7 Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so 8 designated before the material is disclosed or produced. 9 Designation in conformity with this Order requires: 10 for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents, (a) 11 but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing 12 Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS" 13 EYES ONLY" to each page that contains protected material. 14 A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection 15 need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which 16 material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all 17 of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -18 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants 19 copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, 20 qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the 21 Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY 22 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY") to each page that contains Protected 23 Material. 24 for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, (b) 25 that the Designating Party identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or 26 other proceeding, all protected testimony and specify the level of protection being asserted. When 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 6 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 28 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1 it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection and it 2 appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Designating 3 Party may invoke on the record (before the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding is concluded) 4 a right to have up to 21 days after the transcript of the proceedings becomes available to identify 5 the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of 6 protection being asserted. Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated 7 for protection within the 21 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective 8 Order. Alternatively, a Designating Party may specify, at the deposition or up to 21 days 9 afterwards if that period is properly invoked, that the entire transcript shall be treated as 10 "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." 11 The use of a document as an exhibit at a deposition shall not in any way affect its 12 designation as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES 13 ONLY." 14 Transcripts containing Protected Material shall have an obvious legend on the title page 15 that the transcript contains Protected Material, and the title page shall be followed by a list of all 16 pages (including line numbers as appropriate) that have been designated as Protected Material 17 and the level of protection being asserted by the Designating Party. The Designating Party shall 18 inform the court reporter of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared before the 19 expiration of a 21-day period for designation shall be treated during that period as if it had been 20 designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in its entirety unless 21 otherwise agreed. After the expiration of that period, the transcript shall be treated only as 22 actually designated. 23 (c) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any 24 other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the 25 container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" 26 or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." If affixing the appropriate 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 7 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 28 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

legend on a container is not feasible, the Designating Party shall find another means to identify
 the information, for example through a cover letter or other communication.

5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to
designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's
right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a
designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is
treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

8

6.

CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of
confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality
designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic
burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the Litigation, a Party does not waive its right to
challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the
original designation is disclosed.

15 6.2 Meet and Confer. The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution 16 process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis 17 for each challenge. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written 18 notice must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this 19 specific paragraph of the Protective Order. The Parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in 20 good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other 21 forms of communication are not sufficient) within 14 days of the date of service of notice. In 22 conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality 23 designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the 24 designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, 25 to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next 26 stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or

- 27
- 28

8

establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in
 a timely manner.

3	6.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u> . If the Parties cannot resolve a challenge without Court	
4	intervention, the Challenging Party shall file and serve a motion to re-designate or de-designate	
5	under Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) within 14	
6	days of the Parties agreeing that the meet and confer process will not resolve their dispute. Each	
7	such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has	
8	complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph.	
9	The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating	
10	Party. Frivolous challenges and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose	
11	unnecessary expenses and burdens on other Parties) may expose the Challenging Party to	
12	sanctions. All Parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to	
13	which it is entitled under the Designating Party's designation until the Court rules on the	
14	challenge.	
15	7. <u>ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>	
16	7.1 <u>Basic Principles</u> . A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or	
17	produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this case only for prosecuting,	
18	defending, or attempting to settle this Litigation, and such Protected Material shall not be used for	
19	any other business purpose, in connection with any other legal proceeding, or for any other	
20	purpose whatsoever. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons	
21	and under the conditions described in this Order. ¹ When the litigation has been terminated, a	
22	Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 15 below (FINAL DISPOSITION).	
23	¹ In the event a New Perty with easily outborized to receive Protected Material that is to be used	
24	¹ In the event a Non-Party witness is authorized to receive Protected Material that is to be used during his/her deposition but is represented by an attorney not authorized under this Order to	
25	receive such Protected Material, the attorney must provide prior to commencement of the deposition an executed "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" in the form attached herete as Exhibit A. In the event such attorney declines to sign the "Acknowledgment and	
26	hereto as Exhibit A. In the event such attorney declines to sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" prior to the examination, the Parties, by their attorneys, shall jointly seek a protective order from the Court prohibiting the attorney from disclosing Protected Material	
27	in order for the deposition to proceed. Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;	
28	9 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD	
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER	

1 The parties understand that some of the State AGO Attorneys prosecuting this matter are 2 also prosecuting antitrust enforcement actions against some Defendants in other venues. Nothing 3 in this Order or the Stipulated Supplemental Protective Order Governing Production of Protected 4 Non-Party Materials (Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD (N.D. Cal.), ECF No. 44), including as 5 amended, precludes State AGO Attorneys with access to information subject to this Protective 6 Order from prosecuting such other actions, so long as they comply with their obligations under 7 this Protective Order and any protective orders or confidentiality agreements governing such 8 other actions. To prevent inadvertent disclosure, Discovery Materials produced to States in this 9 case will be housed in a separate database only accessed by State AGO Attorneys. 10 Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and 11 in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order. 12 7.2 Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered 13 by the Court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any 14 information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to: 15 (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as 16 employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the 17 information for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be 18 Bound" (Exhibit A); 19 (b) State AGO Attorneys who have appeared on behalf of Plaintiff States in 20 this litigation, who are actively prosecuting the Litigation, or to whom it is reasonably necessary 21 to disclose the information for purposes of this litigation; State AGO Attorneys who have 22 appeared will maintain a list of Attorneys as well as supervisors of support staff subject to this 23 section; 24 (c) the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the 25 Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary because they either have 26 responsibility for making decisions dealing directly with the litigation in this action or are 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 10 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 28 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 13 of 27

1 assisting outside counsel in preparation for proceedings in this action, and who have signed the 2 "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A); 3 (d) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom 4 disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment 5 and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A); 6 (e) the Court and its personnel; 7 (f) stenographic reporters, videographers and their respective staff, 8 professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably 9 necessary for this Litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be 10 Bound" (Exhibit A);

11 (g) during their depositions, witnesses in the action that are not otherwise 12 authorized to receive CONFIDENTIAL Information pursuant to Section 7.2(a)-(f) or (h)-(i) to 13 whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and 14 Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless the Designating Party objects to such disclosure or 15 except as otherwise ordered by the Court. Receiving Parties shall give the Designating Party 16 reasonable notice if they expect to provide a witness, during a deposition, with Protected Material 17 pursuant to this Section 7.2(g). Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to 18 depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may 19 not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.

20 (h) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a
21 custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information; and

22

27

28

(i) any current employee of the Designating Party.

7.3 <u>Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"</u>
 <u>Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted in writing by the
 Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "HIGHLY
 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" only to:

Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 11 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER
(a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as
 employees of Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the
 information for this Litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be
 Bound" (Exhibit A);

(b) State AGO Attorneys who have appeared on behalf of Plaintiff States in
this Litigation, who are actively prosecuting the Litigation, or to whom it is reasonably necessary
to disclose the information for purposes of this Litigation; State AGO Attorneys who have
appeared will maintain a list of Attorneys as well as supervisors of support staff subject to this
section;

10 (c) Designated House Counsel of the Receiving Party (i) who has no 11 involvement in competitive decision-making, (ii) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for 12 this litigation, (iii) who has signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is 13 attached hereto as Exhibit A, and (iv) as to whom, at least 14 days prior to the disclosure of any 14 "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information, the Party that seeks 15 to disclose to Designated House Counsel has disclosed to the Designating Party (1) the full name 16 of the Designated House Counsel and the city and state of his or her primary place of work, and 17 (2) the Designated House Counsel's current and reasonably foreseeable future primary job duties 18 and responsibilities in sufficient detail to determine if House Counsel is involved, or may become 19 involved, in any competitive decision-making. If a Party objects to the Designated House 20 Counsel, that Party must do so in writing within 14 days of the identification of the Designated 21 House Counsel. The Parties shall meet and confer to try to resolve the matter by agreement within 22 seven days of any such written objection. If no agreement is reached, the Designating Party may 23 file a motion as provided in Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if 24 applicable) objecting to the disclosure. For the avoidance of doubt, if the Designating Party has 25 moved the Court to object to the disclosure, no disclosure shall be made until the Court has ruled 26 on such objection. Documents designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS'

- 27
- 28

1 EYES ONLY may only be transmitted to Designated House Counsel through a password-2 protected Secured File Transfer Protocol (SFTP), and the Designated House Counsel must 3 download and store such documents in a secure location that cannot be accessed by others, and 4 shall delete them within 14 days after receipt. Additional acceptable forms of disclosure of 5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY documents include: in-person 6 meetings where the documents remain in the possession of Outside Counsel; screen-sharing 7 technology; or through a document review platform with printing and downloading disabled. 8 Notwithstanding the foregoing, summaries, memoranda, drafts, briefs, court filings, expert 9 reports, outlines, and other attorney work product containing HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-10 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Information may be transmitted to Designated House Counsel via 11 corporate/firm e-mail accounts; provided, however, exhibits to the foregoing that are HIGHLY 12 CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY documents may not be transmitted via e-mail. 13 (d) Experts of the Receiving Party (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably 14 necessary for this litigation and (2) who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be 15 Bound" (Exhibit A); 16 the Court and its personnel; (e) 17 (f) stenographic reporters, videographers and their respective staff, 18 professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably 19 necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be 20 Bound" (Exhibit A); and 21 the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a (g) custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information; and 22 23 (h) any current employee of the Designating Party. 24 7.4 **Purposefully Left Blank.** 25 26 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 13 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 28 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

2

8. *Purposefully left blank.*

9. <u>SOURCE CODE</u>

(a) To the extent the production of source code becomes necessary, the Parties
reserve their rights to modify this order as necessary to protect such materials and information,
and the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith regarding such modifications. No Party will
be required to produce source code until modifications to this order relating to the protection of
source code have been entered by the Court.

8

9

15

16

17

21

22

23

28

10. <u>PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN</u> <u>OTHER LITIGATION</u>

If a Party is served with a subpoena issued by a court, arbitral, administrative, or legislative body, or with a court order issued in other Litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" that Party must:

(a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

(b) promptly notify in writing the person who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other Litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated

18 Protective Order; and

(c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by
 the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.²

If the Designating Party timely³ seeks a protective order, the Party served with the

subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as

² The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court or other tribunal from which the subpoena or order issued. ³ The Designating Party shall have at least 14 days from the service of the notification pursuant to Section 10(a) to seek a protective order, unless a shorter period applies under the rules of the Court or other tribunal from which the subpoena cuch rules of the Court or other tribunal from which the service of the rules of the Court or other tribunal from which the subpoena cuch rules chall

- 27 Court or other tribunal from which the subpoena or order issued, in which case such rules shall 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
 - Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-056/1-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

"CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" before a
determination by the court or other tribunal from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the
Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear the
burden and expense of seeking protection in that court or other tribunal of its confidential material
– and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving
Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court or tribunal with appropriate
jurisdiction.

8 10.1 If, under any public records or other relevant law any Protected Material is subject 9 to any form of compulsory process in a Plaintiff State, or is demanded from a Plaintiff State, such 10 State shall notify in writing the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected 11 within 10 business days of receiving the process or demand and before producing Protected 12 Material, unless state statute, or court order or other public adjudicatory body requires that the 13 State produce the Protected Material in a shorter time frame. The State shall not produce the 14 Protected Material in response to such compulsory process or public records request unless the 15 State deems that it is required by law to do so and provides 10 business days' notice of its intent 16 to do so to the Designating Party, unless state statute, or court order or other public adjudicatory 17 body requires that the State produce the Protected Material in a shorter time frame. However, if a 18 State denies a public records or similar request and the denial is not challenged, the State does not 19 need to provide notice pursuant to this paragraph. If Protected Material is requested for disclosure 20 under a state's public information act or the equivalent, this Order prohibits disclosure to the 21 extent the state's public information act or the equivalent provides an exception for disclosure of 22 information protected by court order. Nothing contained herein shall alter or limit the obligations 23 of a State that may be imposed by statute or court order regarding the disclosure of documents 24 and information supplied to the State.

> Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

15

25

26

27

1	
I	

11. <u>A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN</u> <u>THIS LITIGATION</u>

	THIS LITIGATION
2	(a) The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-
3	Party in this action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
4	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with
5	this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these
6	provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.
7	(b) In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to
8	produce a Non-Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an
9	agreement with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the
10	Party shall:
11	1. promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party
12	that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-
13	Party;
14	2. promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated
15	Protective Order in this Litigation, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific
16	description of the information requested; and
17	3. make the information requested available for inspection by the
18	Non-Party.
19	(c) If the Non-Party fails to object or seek a protective order from this Court
20	within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party may
21	produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-
22	Party timely objects or seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any
23	information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the
24	Non-Party before a determination by the Court. ⁴ Absent a Court order to the contrary, the Non-
25	
26	⁴ The purpose of this provision is to alert the interested parties to the existence of confidentiality rights of a Non-Party and to afford the Non-Party an opportunity to protect its confidentiality
27	interests in this court. Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
28	16 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER
I	

Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this Court of its Protected Material.

3

1

2

12. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

4 If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected 5 Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective 6 Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the 7 unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the 8 Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were 9 made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the 10 "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A. 11 Unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure shall not change the confidentiality designation 12 status of any disclosed material or waive the right to maintain the disclosed material as 13 "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." In 14 addition, for the avoidance of doubt, if Protected Material is disclosed to any person or in any 15 circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Designating Party 16 reserves all rights to seek further appropriate relief from the Court. 17 13. **PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL** 18 When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain produced material is 19 subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are 20 those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to 21 modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e), 22 23 the production of a privileged or work-product-protected document is not a waiver of privilege or 24 protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, 25 the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents in this case as part of a 26 mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or any other federal or state proceeding. 27 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 17 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 28 3:21-cv-05227-JD

14.

MISCELLANEOUS

14.1 <u>Right to Further Relief</u>. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to
seek its modification by the Court in the future. Furthermore, without application to the Court,
any party that is a beneficiary of the protections of this Order may enter a written agreement
releasing any other party hereto from one or more requirements of this Order even if the conduct
subject to the release would otherwise violate the terms herein.

7 14.2 <u>Right to Assert Other Objections</u>. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective
8 Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any
9 information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no
10 Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered
11 by this Protective Order.

12 14.3 Export Control. Disclosure of Protected Material shall be subject to all applicable 13 laws and regulations relating to the export of technical data contained in such Protected Material, 14 including the release of such technical data to foreign persons or nationals in the United States or 15 elsewhere. Upon notice that a Party seeks to remove certain Protected Material from the United 16 States, the Producing Party shall be responsible for identifying any such controlled technical data, 17 and the Receiving Party shall take measures necessary to ensure compliance.

14.4 Filing Protected Material. Without written permission from the Designating Party 18 or a Court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in 19 the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any 20 Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be filed 21 under seal pursuant to a Court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at 22 issue. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, a sealing order will issue only upon a request 23 establishing that the Protected Material at issue is privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or 24 otherwise entitled to protection under the law. If a Receiving Party's request to file Protected 25 Material under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) is denied by the Court, then the 26

- 27
- 28

Receiving Party may file the Protected Material in the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule
 79-5(e)(2) unless otherwise instructed by the Court.

3 14.5 <u>Agreement Upon Execution</u>. Each of the Parties agrees to be bound by the terms of
4 this Stipulated Protective Order as of the date counsel for such party executes this Stipulated
5 Protective Order, even if prior to entry of this Order by the Court.

6

28

15. <u>FINAL DISPOSITION</u>

7 Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in paragraph 4, each 8 Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such 9 material. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, 10 compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected 11 Material. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must 12 submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the 13 Designating Party) by the 60-day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all 14 the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the Receiving Party has 15 not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or 16 capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to 17 retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motions and trial briefs (including all supporting and 18 opposing papers and exhibits thereto), written discovery requests and responses (and exhibits 19 thereto), deposition transcripts (and exhibits thereto), trial transcripts, and exhibits offered or 20 introduced into evidence at any hearing or trial, and their attorney work product which refers or is 21 related to any CONFIDENTIAL and "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES 22 ONLY" information for archival purposes only. Any such archival copies that contain or 23 constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 24 (DURATION). Notwithstanding the foregoing in this Paragraph 15, the Plaintiff States agree to 25 return, destroy, or maintain all Protected Material in accordance with this Agreement subject to 26 any restrictions contained in any of the States' document retention laws or policies. 27

STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

19

Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;

3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD;

3:21-cv-05227-JD

l	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 22 of 27
1	Dated: October 11, 2021	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Christine Varney (pro hac vice)
2		Katherine B. Forrest (pro hac vice) Darin P. McAtee (pro hac vice)
3		Gary A. Bornstein (pro hac vice) Timothy G. Cameron (pro hac vice)
4		Yonatan Even <i>(pro hac vice)</i> Lauren A. Moskowitz <i>(pro hac vice)</i>
5		Omid H. Nasab (pro hac vice) Justin C. Clarke (pro hac vice)
6		M. Brent Byars (pro hac vice)
7		FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)
8 9		Respectfully submitted,
9 10		By: /s/ Yonatan Even
10		Yonatan Even
12		Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.
13	Dated: October 11, 2021	BARTLIT BECK LLP Karma M. Giulianelli
14		KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP
15		Hae Sung Nam
16		Respectfully submitted,
17		By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli
18		Karma M. Giulianelli
19		Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
20		Litigation
21	Dated: October 11, 2021	PRITZKER LEVINE LLP Elizabeth C. Pritzker
22		Respectfully submitted,
23		By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker
24		Elizabeth C. Pritzker
25 26		Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation
27		
28		20 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULA	TED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 23 of 27
1	Dated: October 11, 2021	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Steve W. Berman
2		Robert F. Lopez Benjamin J. Siegel
3		SPERLING & SLATER PC
4		Joseph M. Vanek Eamon P. Kelly
5		Alberto Rodriguez
6 7		Respectfully submitted,
8		By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u> Steve W. Berman
9		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
10		Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Pure Sweat Basketball
11		
12	Dated: October 11, 2021	HAUSFELD LLP
13		Bonny E. Sweeney Melinda R. Coolidge Katie R. Beran
14		Scott A. Martin Irving Scher
15		
16		Respectfully submitted,
17		By: <u>/s/ Bonny E. Sweeney</u> Bonny E. Sweeney
18 19		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
20		Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Peekya App Services, Inc.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
28	STIPI II A	21 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD TED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 24 of 27
1 2	Dated: October 11, 2021	OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL Brendan P. Glackin
3		
4		Respectfully submitted,
5		By: <u>/s/ Brendan P. Glackin</u> Brendan P. Glackin
6		Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States
7	Dated: October 11, 2021	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
8		Brian C. Rocca
9		Sujal J. Shah Michelle Park Chiu Minna L. Naranjo
10		Rishi P. Satia
11		Respectfully submitted,
12		
13		By: <u>/s/ Brian C. Rocca</u> Brian C. Rocca
14		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
15		
16	Dated: October 11, 2021	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Daniel M. Petrocelli
17		Ian Simmons Benjamin G. Bradshaw
18		Stephen J. McIntyre
19		Respectfully submitted,
20		
21		By: <u>/s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli</u> Daniel M. Petrocelli
22		
23		<i>Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.</i>
24		
25		
26		
27		Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
28		22 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULA	TED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 25 of 27
1 2	Dated: October 11, 2021 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP Glenn D. Pomerantz Kuruvilla Olasa
3	Emily C. Curran-Huberty Jonathan I. Kravis
4	Justin P. Raphael Kyle W. Mach
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	By: /s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz
7	By: <u>/s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz</u> Glenn D. Pomerantz
8	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
9	
10	ORDER
11	Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ordered, except that in those cases where the stipulated protective
12	order conflicts with the Court's standing orders (e.g., with respect to the filing of discovery
13	motions), the Court's standing orders will control.
14	
15	DATED: <u>10/22/2021</u> HON. JAMES DONATO
16	United States District Court Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD: 3:20-cv-05671-JD:
28	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 23 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 26 of 27
1	E-FILING ATTESTATION
2	I, Brian Christensen, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file
3	this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the
4	signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.
5	
6	<i><u>/s/ Brian Christensen</u></i> Brian Christensen
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	24 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
20	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 189 Filed 10/22/21 Page 27 of 27
1	EXHIBIT A
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND
3	I, [print or type full name], of [print or
4	type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and understand the
5	Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of
6	California on [date] in the case of [insert formal name of the case and the number and
7	initials assigned to it by the court]. I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this
8	Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me
9	to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any
10	manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity
11	except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.
12	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern
13	District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order, even if
14	such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
15	I hereby appoint [print or type full name] of
16	[print or type full address and telephone number] as my
17	California agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings related to
18	enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order.
19	
20	Date:
21	City and State where sworn and signed:
22	Printed name: [printed name]
23	
24	Signature: [signature]
25	
26	
27	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
28	25 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 190	Filed 10/22/21 Page 1 of 12
1 2 3 4	Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175) karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com BARTLIT BECK LLP 1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 592-3100	Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199) paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-7500
5 6 7	Hae Sung Nam (<i>pro hac vice</i>) hnam@kaplanfox.com KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 850 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 Tel.: (212) 687-1980	Christine A. Varney (<i>pro hac vice</i>) cvarney@cravath.com CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000
8 9	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.
10	Steve W. Berman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) steve@hbsslaw.com	Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643) bglackin@agutah.gov
11 12	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 E 300 S, 5th Floor PO Box 140872
13 14	Eamon P. Kelly (<i>pro hac vice</i>) ekelly@sperling-law.com	Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872 Telephone: 801-366-0260
15	SPERLING & SLATER P.C. 55 W. Monroe, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: 312-641-3200	Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576) brian.rocca@morganlewis.com
16 17 18	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc.	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Telephone: (415) 442-1000
19	Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174) bsweeney@hausfeld.com	Daniel M. Petrocelli (SBN 97802) dpetrocelli@omm.com
20 21	HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94104	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035
22	Telephone: (415) 633-1908	Telephone: (310) 553-6700
23	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Poolog App Services Inc.	Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503) glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
24	and Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc.	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3426
25		Telephone: (213) 683-9100
26	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
27		
28		
l	I	

I	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 190	Filed 10/22/21 Page 2 of 12
1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
4		
5		CT OF CALIFORNIA
6	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
7	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
8	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	
9	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING
10	<i>Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.</i> , Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS
11	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust	Judge: Hon. James Donato
12	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	
13	In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD	
14	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	
15	No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD	
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PRO	3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER DTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

1	WHEREAS, on May 20, 2021, the Court entered a Stipulated Protective Order Governing
2	Production of Protected Non-Party Materials (the "Non-Party Protective Order") in the above-
3	captioned cases. See Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. No. 44;
4	WHEREAS on July 20, 2021, the Court entered an Order Determining Cases to be
5	Related with respect to the case entitled State of Utah, et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-
6	cv-5227-JSC, which consolidated that case into MDL Case No. 2981 (the "Litigation"). The
7	Parties acknowledge that certain protective orders require amendment to govern the production
8	by and to the Plaintiff States;
9	WHEREAS on December 10, 2020, the Court entered a Stipulated Protective Order in the
10	following cases: Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD, Dkt. No. 110, approving Dkt. No. 106-1; Case No.
11	3:20-cv-05761-JD, Dkt. No. 117, approving Dkt. No. 109-1; Case No. 3:20-cv-05792; JD, Dkt.
12	No. 78, approving Dkt. No. 76-1, and Parties have concurrently submitted an amendment to that
13	Stipulated Protective Order to govern the production by and to the Plaintiff States ("Amended
14	Protective Order");
15	WHEREAS, Section 11(a) of the Amended Protective Order states that its provisions
16	should not "be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections";
17	WHEREAS, materials that Parties intend to produce in the Litigations may contain
18	information that is subject to contractual obligations to a Non-Party, including but not limited to
19	non-disclosure agreements between a Party and Non-Party, or may otherwise contain Non-Party
20	Protected Material (as defined herein);
21	WHEREAS, Parties have served subpoenas pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of
22	Civil Procedure on Non-Parties;
23	WHEREAS, certain Non-Parties have expressed concerns regarding the production of
24	competitively sensitive information to Parties in the Litigations absent certain additional
25	protections beyond those set forth in the Amended Protective Order;
26	WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that documents or information disclosed or
27	produced by Non-Parties or by Parties to the extent such documents or information are designated
28	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 1 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

as containing Non-Party Protected Material in connection with the Litigations shall be subject to 1 2 the following provisions (the "Amended Supplemental Protective Order"): 3 1.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

4 1.1 The definitions, terms and provisions contained in the Amended Protective 5 Order shall be incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein; provided, 6 however, that in the event of a conflict between any definition, term, or provision of this 7 Amended Supplemental Protective Order and any definition, term, or provision of the Amended 8 Protective Order, this Amended Supplemental Protective Order will control with respect to such 9 conflict.

1.2 10 The definitions, terms and provisions contained in this Amended 11 Supplemental Protective Order shall apply only to those Discovery Materials designated as Non-12 Party Protected Material in accordance with this Amended Supplemental Protective Order, and 13 nothing herein shall provide any rights or protections to the Parties to the Litigations beyond 14 those set forth in the Amended Protective Order.

2. 15 DEFINITIONS

16 2.1 Designating Party: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or 17 items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery in the Litigations as "NON-18 PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY."

19 2.2 Non-Party Protected Material: sensitive Non-Party confidential 20 information or documents, disclosure of which to another Party or Non-Party could create a 21 substantial risk of serious harm to the Non-Party.

22

3. SCOPE

23 3.1 This Amended Supplemental Protective Order covers not only documents 24 designated "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY", 25 but also any information copied, excerpted, or summarized from documents with such 26 designation and any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Counsel that might reveal 27 information from documents with such designation. However, the protections conferred by this Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 28 2 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

1 Amended Supplemental Protective Order do not cover the following: (a) any documents or 2 information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes 3 part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party for reasons not involving a 4 violation of this Amended Supplemental Protective Order or the Amended Protective Order, 5 including becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any documents or 6 information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving 7 Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the document or information lawfully and 8 under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party. 9 3.2 Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party 10 from seeking additional protections. 11 4. DESIGNATION AND USE OF NON-PARTY PROTECTED MATERIAL 12 4.1 In order for materials produced in discovery to qualify for protection as 13 "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY", the 14 Designating Party must affix the legend "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" to each page of any document for which the Designating 15 16 Party seeks protection under this Amended Supplemental Protective Order; 17 4.2 Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted by all implicated Non-18 Parties, a Receiving Party may disclose documents and information designated as "NON-PARTY 19 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" to the following only: 20 The Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as (a) 21 well as employees of Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose 22 the documents or information for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and 23 Agreement to be Bound" (Exhibit A to the Amended Protective Order); 24 (b) State AGO Attorneys who have appeared on behalf of Plaintiff 25 States in this litigation, who are actively prosecuting the Litigation, or to whom it is reasonably 26 necessary to disclose the information for purposes of this litigation; State AGO Attorneys who 27 have appeared will maintain a list of Attorneys as well as supervisors of support staff subject to Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 28 3 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

this section;	
(c) Experts of the Receiving Party (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably	
necessary for the Litigations and (2) who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to	
be Bound" (Exhibit A to the Amended Protective Order);	
(d) The Court and its personnel;	
(e) Stenographic reporters, videographers, and their respective staff,	
professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably	
necessary for these Litigations and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to be	
Bound" (Exhibit A to the Amended Protective Order);	
(f) The author or recipient of a document containing the information or	
custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.	
4.3 Filing and Filing under Seal. A Party may not file in the public record in	
this action any Non-Party Protected Material. Non-Party Protected Material may be filed only	
under seal pursuant to a Court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Non-Party Protected	
Material at issue. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Non-Party Protected Material must	
comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. In addition to the requirements of Civil Local Rule 79-5(e),	
the Party seeking to file under seal any Non-Party Protected Material should identify the Non-	
Party whose Non-Party Protected Material has been designated as "NON-PARTY HIGHLY	
CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" and serve the supporting declaration	
on the Non-Party so that Non-Party has the opportunity to file a declaration establishing that all of	
the designated material is sealable pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e). If a Receiving Party's or	
Non-Party's request to file Non-Party Protected Material under seal pursuant to Civil Local	
Rule 79-5(e) is denied by the Court, then the Receiving Party may file the Protected Material in	
the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)(2), unless otherwise instructed by the	
Court.	
4.4 Use of Non-Party Protected Material at Depositions. Except as may	
otherwise be ordered by the Court, any person may be examined as a witness at deposition and	
Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 4 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS	

Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 190 Filed 10/22/21 Page 7 of 12

1 may testify concerning Non-Party Protected Material to the extent such person was the author, 2 recipient, or custodian of the material, or otherwise possessed or had prior knowledge of such 3 material. For clarity, 4 (a) A present director, officer, agent, employee and/or designated Rule 5 30(b)(6) witness of a Non-Party may be examined and may testify concerning Non-Party 6 Protected Material, but to the extent a document is designated as NON-PARTY HIGHLY 7 CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY and contains another Non-Party's 8 Protected Material, all such materials, of which such person did not have prior knowledge, should 9 be redacted prior to introducing any such document for examination; 10 A former director, officer, agent, and/or employee of Non-Party (b)11 may be interviewed or examined and may testify concerning Non-Party Protected Material to the 12 extent such person was the author, recipient, or custodian of the material, or otherwise possessed 13 or had prior knowledge of such material, but to the extent a document is designated as NON-14 PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY and contains 15 another Non-Party's Protected Material, all such materials, of which such person did not have 16 prior knowledge, should be redacted prior to introducing any such document for examination; 17 Any other witness may be examined at deposition or otherwise (c) 18 testify concerning any document containing Non-Party Protected Material which appears on its 19 face or from other documents or testimony to have been received from, communicated to, or 20 otherwise made known to that witness as a result of any contact or relationship with the Non-21 Party, but to the extent a document is designated as NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – 22 OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY and contains another Non-Party's Protected Material, all 23 such materials, of which such person did not have prior knowledge, should be redacted prior to 24 introducing any such document for examination; and 25 (d) At deposition, any person other than (i) the witness, (ii) the 26 witness's outside attorney(s), and (iii) any Person(s) qualified to receive documents designated as 27 NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY under this Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 28 5 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 190 Filed 10/22/21 Page 8 of 12

1 Amended Supplemental Protective Order shall be excluded from the portion of the examination 2 concerning such information, unless the Non-Party or the witness appearing on behalf of the Non-3 Party whose Non-Party's Protected Material is at issue consents to that person's attendance. If 4 the witness is represented by an outside attorney who is not qualified under this Amended 5 Supplemental Protective Order to receive such information, then prior to the examination, the 6 outside attorney shall be requested to sign and provide a signed copy of the "Acknowledgment 7 and Agreement to be Bound" attached as Exhibit A to the Protective Order, and to confirm that he 8 or she will comply with the terms of this Amended Supplemental Protective Order and maintain 9 the confidentiality of information contained within documents designated as NON-PARTY 10 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY. In the event that such 11 outside attorney declines to sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to be Bound" prior to the 12 examination, the Non-Party may seek a protective order from the Court, in a motion to which the 13 Parties will not object, prohibiting such outside attorney from disclosing Non-Party Protected 14 Material. 4.5 15 Use of Designated Material at Hearings. A Party must not disclose NON-16 PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY information, 17 directly or indirectly, in an open hearing without prior consent from the Non-Party or without 18 authorization from the court. If the disclosing party anticipates disclosing any NON-PARTY 19 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY information in any open

20 hearing, the disclosing party must notify the Non-Party of its intent to disclose such material at 21 least four (4) business days in advance of such disclosure, or, if such notice is not possible, as 22 soon as is reasonably practicable, and describe the materials to be disclosed with reasonable 23 particularity, so that the Non-Party has the opportunity to file an objection to such disclosure and 24 establish that the materials to be disclosed are sealable pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) and 25 the Court's standing orders. If such notice is not given or the Non-Party files such an objection, 26 the Disclosing Party must request that the courtroom be sealed, and that only those authorized to 27 review NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

28

6

Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;

3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD;

information remain present during the presentation of such material, unless the Court orders
 otherwise.

3	4.6 <u>Use of Designated Material at Trial</u> . Any Party that discloses any NON-
4	PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY materials on any
5	trial exhibit list exchanged with an opposing Party, or that is disclosed to the Court, must disclose
6	such materials to the implicated Non-Party no later than two (2) business days after such
7	exchange or disclosure, and describe the materials with reasonable particularity, so that the Non-
8	Party has the opportunity to file an objection to such disclosure and establish that the materials to
9	be disclosed are sealable pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) and the Court's standing orders. If
10	such notice is not given or the Non-Party files such an objection, the Disclosing Party must
11	request that the courtroom be sealed, and that only those authorized to review NON-PARTY
12	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY information remain present
13	during the presentation of such material, unless the Court orders otherwise.
14	Deted: October 11, 2021 CDAVATH SWAINE & MOODE LLD
15	Dated: October 11, 2021 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Christine Varney (pro hac vice) Katherine B. Forrest (pro hac vice)
16	Darin P. McAtee (pro hac vice) Gary A. Bornstein (pro hac vice)
17	Timothy G. Cameron (pro hac vice) Yonatan Even (pro hac vice)
18	Lauren A. Moskowitz (pro hac vice) Omid H. Nasab (pro hac vice)
19	Justin C. Clarke (pro hac vice) M. Brent Byars (pro hac vice)
20	FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
21	Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)
22	Respectfully submitted,
23	By: <u>/s/ Yonatan Even</u> Yonatan Even
24	
25	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.
26	
27	
28	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 7 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD 3:21-cv-05227-JD;
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Document 190 Filed 10/22/21 Page 10 of 12
1	Dated: October 11, 2021	BARTLIT BECK LLP
2	Ducu. October 11, 2021	Karma M. Giulianelli
3		KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Hae Sung Nam
4		6
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		By: <u>/s/ Karma M. Giulianelli</u> Karma M. Giulianelli
7		Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in
8 9		In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation
10	Dated: October 11, 2021	PRITZKER LEVINE LLP Elizabeth C. Pritzker
11		Respectfully submitted,
12		By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker</u>
13		Elizabeth C. Pritzker
14 15		Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation
16 17	Dated: October 11, 2021	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Steve W. Berman Robert F. Lopez Benjamin J. Siegel
18		SPERLING & SLATER PC
19		Joseph M. Vanek Eamon P. Kelly
20		Alberto Rodriguez
21		Respectfully submitted,
22		
23		By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u> Steve W. Berman
24		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff
25		Pure Sweat Basketball
26		
27		
28		8 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 8 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD
	STIPULATED [PRO GOVERNING F	DPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Document 190 Filed 10/22/21 Page 11 of 12
1 2 3 4	Dated: October 11, 2021	HAUSFELD LLP Bonny E. Sweeney Melinda R. Coolidge Katie R. Beran Scott A. Martin Irving Scher
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		By: <u>/s/ Bonny E. Sweeney</u> Bonny E. Sweeney
7		
8 9		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Peekya App Services, Inc.
10	Dated: October 11, 2021	OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY
11		GENERAL Brendan P. Glackin
12		Respectfully submitted,
13		By: <u>/s/ Brendan P. Glackin</u>
14		By: <u>Isrendan P. Glackin</u> Brendan P. Glackin Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States
15		Counsel for Olan and the Flaining States
16	Dated: October 11, 2021	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian C. Rocca
17		Sujal J. Shah Michelle Park Chiu
18		Minna L. Naranjo Rishi P. Satia
19 20		
20 21		Respectfully submitted,
21		By: <u>/s/ Brian C. Rocca</u>
22		Brian C. Rocca
24		<i>Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.</i>
25		
26		
27		
28		9 Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD;
	STIPULATED [PRO GOVERNING F	3:21-cv-05227-JD DPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS

	Case 3:20-cv-05671-JD Document 190 Filed 10/22/21 Page 12 of 12
1 2 3	Dated: October 11, 2021 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Daniel M. Petrocelli Ian Simmons Benjamin G. Bradshaw Stephen J. McIntyre
4 5	Respectfully submitted,
6	
7	By: <u>/s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli</u> Daniel M. Petrocelli
8	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
9	De la casta di contenenti de la contenenti de la contenenti de
10	Dated: October 11, 2021 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP Glenn D. Pomerantz Kuruvilla Olasa
11	Emily C. Curran-Huberty Jonathan I. Kravis
12 13	Justin P. Raphael Kyle W. Mach
13	Respectfully submitted,
15	Drug /c/ Claure D. Domensate
16	By: <u>/s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz</u> Glenn D. Pomerantz
17	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
18	
19	
20	ODDED
21	ORDER
22	Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ordered.
23	DATED: <u>October 22, 2021</u>
24	HON. JAMPS DONATO United States District Judge
25 26	
26 27	
27	Nos.: 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD;
	10 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:20-cv-05792-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD STIPULATED [PROPOSED] AMENDED SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED NON-PARTY MATERIALS